RESEARCH ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Boosting curcumin activity against human prostatic cancer PC3 cells by utilizing scorpion venom conjugated phytosomes as promising functionalized nanovesicles

Mohammed W. Al-Rabia^a, Nabil A. Alhakamy^{b,c,d} (b), Waleed Y. Rizg^b, Adel F. Alghaith^e, Osama A. A. Ahmed^b and Usama A. Fahmy^b

^aDepartment of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^bDepartment of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^cCenter of Excellence for Drug Research and Pharmaceutical Industries, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^dMohamed Saeed Tamer Chair for Pharmaceutical Industries, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^eDepartment of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmaceutical Industries, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ^eDepartment of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Prostate cancer (PC) is emerging as one of the leading causes of mortality and morbidity worldwide. Curcumin (CUR) is a well-known phytochemical, and scorpion venom (SV) is a natural peptide with proven anticancer properties. However, these natural bioactive agents are limited by low solubility, low bioavailability, poor thermal stability, and short half-lives. Therefore, the aim of this study was to fabricate SV-conjugated CUR phytosomes as promising functionalized nanovesicles and assess their anticancer efficacy in human prostatic cancer PC3 cells. CUR-Phytosome-SV was fabricated using experimental design software in which the zeta potential and particle sizes were used as dependent variables. The anticancer effect of the fabricated formulation was determined by performing a tetrazolium (MTT) assay, cell cycle analysis, annexin V staining, and examining the expression levels of Bclassociated X-protein (Bax), p53, caspase-3, B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2), nuclear factor kappa beta (NFkB), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α). The particle size of the nanoconjugates was found to be in the range of 137.5 ± 7.9 to 298.4 ± 11.9 nm, and the zeta potential was 2.9 ± 0.1 to 26.9 ± 1.2 mV. The outcome of the MTT assay showed that curcumin-Phospholipon®-scorpion venom (CUR-PL-SV) exhibited a satisfactory level of cytotoxicity, and the IC_{50} was found to be lower than CUR and PL-SV individually. Cell cycle analysis showed predominantly cell cycle arrest at the G2-M and pre-G1 phases. In contrast, annexin V staining showed significant early and late apoptosis events in addition to increased necrosis when PC3 cells were treated with CUR-PL-SV. Reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis showed a reduction in expression of Bax, p53, caspase-3, NF-kB, TNF- α , and an increase in Bcl-2 expression. Moreover, a MMP analysis showed a reduction in mitochondrial permeability and hence confirmed the superior anticancer potential of CUR-PL-SV. Thus, the present study showed significant anticancer potency of SV-conjugated CUR phytosomes against human prostatic cancer PC3 cells, making it a novel treatment approach for PC.

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is the third most frequently diagnosed cancer after lung and colorectal cancers. PC accounts for 7.3% of total diagnosed cancer types, whereas lung and colorectal account for 11.4% and 10.0%, respectively (Sung et al., 2021). PC is more prevalent in countries with a higher human development index (HDI) than lower index (37.5 and 11.3/100,000 individuals, respectively). Geographically, Western and Northern Europe, North America, New Zealand, and Australia account for a higher number of cases, whereas Asian countries, such as Japan and Singapore, account for the lower cases (Sung et al., 2021). Altered function of androgen receptors is considered the hallmark of PC (Evangelista

et al., 2021). Clinical and preclinical studies have also shown the involvement of multiple pathogenic factors, such as androgen mutations, amplification, altered androgen posttranslational modifications, intertumoral synthesis of androgens, and dysregulation of c-MYC, phosphoinositide 3 kinase/protein kinase B/phosphatase and tensin homolog (PI3k/ Akt/PTEN), p53/p38, and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways (Wang et al., 2018). Based on disease severity, radiotherapy, surgery, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy can be used as treatment options. Abiraterone acetate, docetaxel, goserelin, leuprolide, buserelin, enzalutamide, darolutamide, flutamide, apalutamide, flutamide, bicalutamide, olaparib, and sipuleucel-T are some of the commonly used pharmacotherapeutic agents (Litwin & Tan, 2017). However,

CONTACT Usama A. Fahmy 🖾 uahmedkauedu.sa@kau.edu.sa 🗈 Department of Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 17 January 2022 Revised 16 February 2022 Accepted 21 February 2022

KEYWORDS

Phytosome; prostate cancer; cell cycle; apoptosis; membrane potential



despite being potent drug candidates, the use of these drugs is associated with variable degrees of toxicity and unsatisfactory endpoints.

Curcumin (CUR) is one of the extensively studied phytochemicals and is extracted from Curcuma longa rhizomes. CUR is characterized as 'Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS)' by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA; Perera et al., 2020). Pharmacological studies conducted to date report antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective, cardioprotective, reno-protective, antiviral, antifungal, antiproliferative, and anticancer properties of CUR (Liczbiński et al., 2020). In various in vitro and in vivo studies, CUR showed inhibition of tumorigenesis, initiation, proliferation, metastasis, and angiogenesis (Baldi et al.. 2020). Mechanistically, CUR inhibits the progression of the cell cycle, induces apoptosis, alters mitochondrial membrane permeability, inhibits angiogenesis mechanism, and inhibits the proliferative pathways. Moreover, CUR has also been reported to reverse altered signaling pathways, such as PI3K/ Akt, p53/p38 MAPK, and others toward normal, and thus, more than 60 clinical trials for the anticancer role of CUR have been registered (Baldi et al., 2020).

Considering the role of CUR in PC, several studies have reported inhibition of proliferation, cell cycle arrest, antiangiogenic properties, and induction of apoptosis (Hong et al., 2006; Teiten et al., 2010; Yallapu et al., 2012). Despite being a potent phytochemical, CUR suffers from the limitation of low solubility, low bioavailability, and rapid hepatic metabolism (Teiten et al., 2010). In one of the clinical studies, when 10 and 20 g of CUR were administered orally, the maximum concentration was found to be 2.30 ± 0.26 and $1.73 \pm 0.19 \,\mu$ g.mL⁻¹, respectively. In other clinical studies, 3.6 g of CUR daily for four months showed no effect on tumorigenesis markers (Hong et al., 2006). Thus, based on these studies and various others, it was concluded that CUR undergoes extensive metabolism and hence, needs some technological advancement for optimizing clinical benefits.

Recently, nanocarrier-based drug delivery systems have attracted significant attention for use in cancer therapy (Jain et al., 2010). Among various nanocarriers, phyto-phospholipid complexes (phytosomes) have attracted widespread attention in overcoming the pharmacokinetic limitations of phytoconstituents (Jain et al., 2010). Phytosomes are prepared by using a complex mixture of phytoconstituents and phospholipids under well-defined conditions (Pastorelli et al., 2018). Generally, amphipathic phospholipids, such as phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidic acid, phosphatidylglycerol, and phosphatidylcholine, are used to incorporate the drugs so that the resulting complex can easily cross the gastrointestinal (GI) membrane (Li et al., 2015; Gnananath et al., 2017). These phytosomes are easily absorbed, exhibit significantly higher bioavailability, and ultimately produce improved pharmacological outcomes. Moreover, phytosomes significantly differ from liposomes because drugs are dispersed inside the cavity or in the membranous layer in liposomes. In contrast, in the case of phytosomes, drugs are an integral part of the membrane (Pastorelli et al., 2018).

Peptide-conjugated dosage forms have attracted attention in cancer therapy (Hawryłkiewicz & Ptaszyńska, 2021; Li et al., 2021). Scorpion venom (SV) is a diverse and pleiotropic natural peptide and possesses potent anticancer properties (Alhakamy et al., 2020a). SV consists of various peptides that are a rich source of disulfide bonds, are stable, and exhibit a multifactorial mechanism of action (Gómez Rave et al., 2019). In PC, dysregulated ion channels have been reported, and SV is well-reported to reverse this dysregulation toward normal (Akef et al., 2017). Additionally, SV is a potent inductor of apoptosis and inhibitor of proliferation, angiogenesis, and metastasis. However, unlike most natural bioactive agents, SV also suffers from low stability limitations, undergoes thermal degradation, is metabolized, and possess a very short halflife (Akef et al., 2017).

Therefore, in the present study, SV-conjugated CUR phytosomes were fabricated and optimized. The resulting phytosome complex was characterized in terms of particle size and zeta potential, and the success of the formulation was validated by exploring the anticancer potential in human prostatic cancer PC3 cells (Kaighn et al., 1979; Tai et al., 2011).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and reagents

In this study, SV (chlorotoxin from *Leiurus quinquestriatus* (North Africa) \geq 98% HPLC) and CUR (\geq 94% (curcuminoid content), \geq 80% CUR), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Incorporation (St. Louis, MO) and used accordingly. As a gift, Germany-based Lipoid GmbH (Ludwigshafen, Germany) provided Phospholipon[®] 90H (PL). PL is a hydrogenated phosphatidylcholine with 90% content of soybean origin. Egypt-based VACSERA provided the human tumor cells (PC3), used in this study, via a human prostatic adenocarcinoma meta-static to bone although the US-based ATCC was the organization from which it was originally acquired. The study also purchased fetal bovine serum (FBS), Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM), in addition to other materials for culture from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA).

2.2. Curcumin–Phospholipon[®]–scorpion venom (CUR–PL–SV) nano-phytosome design and optimization

To formulate and optimize CUR–PL–SV nanovesicles, a factorial experimental design was utilized in this study. Concentrations of PL (μ M, X_1) and concentrations of SV (μ M, X_2) were the independent variables. Vesicle sizes were the response parameters. The coded levels (-1, 0, +1) and their values are indicated in Table 1. Nine formulations were generated by the design as indicated in Table 2. Design-Expert 12 was the software that statistically analyzed the responses data. To select the most suitable sequential model for all responses, model fit statistics was utilized on the basis of R^2 , the forecasted/adjusted coefficients, and adequate precision ratios and predicted residuals sum of squares (PRESS). This step was followed by the process of generating the optimal model equations for the investigated responses, and the use of analysis of variance (ANOVA) to estimate the significance (at p<.05) of the responses' variables along with their potential interactions.

2.3. Preparation of CUR-PL-SV nanovesicles

CUR-PL-SV were prepared as previously described with several modifications (Alhakamy et al., 2020b). Briefly, CUR (30 mg) and PL (design-based amount) were added to 25 mL dichloromethane. After refluxing this organic solution at 60 °C, the solution was subject to evaporation from 5 mL concentrate and then to lyophilization for a period of 72 h with the view to deriving CUR-PL dried mass. Separately, distilled water (design-based amount) was used to dissolve SV. The dried CUR-PL mass was hydrated using the aqueous SV solution for preparing CUR-PL–SV nanovesicles (Alhakamy et al., 2020b).

2.4. Potential measurement of CUR-PL-SV

This study determined the zeta potential and size of CUR–PL–SV nanovesicles after using double distilled water to dilute these vesicles. The average of five replicates was calculated to express the results.

2.5. Optimization of CUR-PL-SV nanovesicles

As Table 1 shows, the desirability function was calculated to predict the factors optimized levels to maximize the zeta potential and obtain the minimum size. This step was followed by the preparation of CUR-PL-SV nanovesicles

Table 1. Independent variables' levels and responses' constraints utilized in the 3² factorial design for the optimization of Curcumin–Phospholipon[®]–Scorpion venom (CUR–PL–SV) phytosomes.

| | Levels | | |
|------|--------------------|---|--|
| (-1) | (0) | (+1) | |
| 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | |
| 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | |
| | Desirabilit | y constraint | |
| | Minimize | | |
| | Max | imize | |
| | (—1) 1.0 0.1 | Levels (-1) (0) 1.0 2.0 0.1 0.3 Desirabilit Min Max | |

CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

(optimized), and a comparison was drawn between the predicted zeta data/size with the actual results.

2.6. Evaluation of anticancer potential of CUR-PL-SV

2.6.1. Optimized CUR-PL-SV PC3 cytotoxicity

The tetrazolium (MTT) assay was used for a cytotoxicity study of optimized CUR–PL–SV in the PC3 cells, which were maintained in DMEM that consisted of penicillin-streptomycin, 10% FBS, sodium pyruvate, and L-glutamine. Cells were grown (5×10^3 cells per well) in 96-well plates and incubated overnight. These PC3 cells were treated using PL-SV, CURraw, or CUR–PL–SV. After 48 h incubation, a MTT solution (10 µL) was used to treat these cells following the incubation for 4 h at 37 °C. Formosan crystals were dissolved and assessed at 570 nm using a microplate reader. Non-linear regression analysis (GraphPad Prism software v8, La Jolla, CA) was used to calculate the IC₅₀ values.

2.6.2. Cell cycle analysis

Flow cytometry was used to examine the effects of different drug samples on the cell cycle. PC3 cells were treated with the IC_{50} values of the above-mentioned phytosomes. This step was followed by centrifugation to separate the cells after which they were fixed with cold ethanol (70%). The cells were then again separated by centrifugation and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Before carrying out the flow cytometric analysis, propidium iodide (PI) was used to stain the cells.

2.6.3. Annexin V staining analysis

An annexin V method was applied to analyze CUR-raw, CUR-PL-SV, and plain PL-SV. Six-well plates were used to grow PC3 cells before incubating them overnight with a variety of treatments at a temperature of 37 °C for 4 h after which the cells were centrifuged. At room temperature, these cells were washed twice and re-suspended in PBS. Thereafter, Annexin V (10 μ L) and Pl solution (5 μ L) was included with the treatments, and cells were incubated at room temperature for 5 min.

Table 2. Combination of independent variables in CUR–PL–SV phytosomes experimental runs prepared according to 3² factorial design and their corresponding responses.

| Run | X_1 PL concentration (uM) | X_2 SV concentration (µM) | Y ₁ Vesicle size ^a +SD (nm) | Y ₂ Zeta potentialª+SD (mV) |
|-----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | γ | 0 1 | 211 3 + 8 9 | 46+02 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.1 | 276.8 ± 11.3 | 2.9 ± 0.1 |
| 3 | 1 | 0.3 | 170.5 ± 5.8 | 19.8 ± 0.9 |
| 4 | 2 | 0.3 | 233.4 ± 8.4 | 11.6 ± 0.3 |
| 5 | 3 | 0.5 | 318.2 ± 12.3 | 21.6 ± 0.8 |
| 6 | 2 | 0.5 | 255.2 ± 10.2 | 18.9 ± 0.7 |
| 7 | 1 | 0.5 | 199.6 ± 9.2 | 26.9 ± 1.2 |
| 8 | 1 | 0.1 | 137.5 ± 7.9 | 8.9±0.2 |
| 9 | 3 | 0.3 | 298.4 ± 11.9 | 9.8 ± 0.3 |

CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide; SD: standard deviation. aResults are presented as mean \pm SD, n = 5.

2.6.4. B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2), Bcl-associated X-protein (Bax), p53, caspase 3, nuclear factor kappa beta (NF-kB), and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) estimation

Reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) was used to express the previously described oncoproteins. IC_{50} concentrations of PL-SV, CUR–PL–SV, and CUR were used to treat the P3 cells. This step was followed by RNA extraction from which the RNA was used to synthesize cDNA. The software named Gene Runner was used to design the primers for the above-mentioned oncoproteins and then β -actin was used for normalizing these samples.

2.6.5. Determination of mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP)

PC3 cells (5×10^3 cells, 96-well plate) were incubated separately with the various treatments for a period of 24 h. The PC3 cells were kept in dark conditions, and tetramethylrhodamine, a methyl ester solution (probe solution), was replaced. A fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) Caliber, BD Biosciences flow cytometer (Franklin Lakes, NJ) was used to detect MMP using the ABCAM assay kit (Cambridge, UK).

2.7. Western blot investigation of Bax, p 53, Bcl-2, caspase 3, NF-kB, and TNF_{α} proteins expression

The investigation of optimized formula CUR–PL–SV, CUR-raw, and plain PL-SV formula by Western blot assay was carried according to our laboratory and the previously reported protocols (Mahmood & Yang, 2012; Eid et al., 2022). The band intensity of the Bax, p 53, Bcl-2, caspase 3, NF-kB, and TNF_{α} proteins was normalized vs. the β -actin band intensity (ChemiDocTM MP imager, Bio-Rad Inc., Hercules, CA).

2.8. Statistical analysis

The standard deviation (SD) was used for expression of values. Statistical analysis was performed using a one-way ANOVA and multiple comparisons (Tukey's) test for which a p value of <.05 was deemed significant.

3. Results

3.1. Design (experimental)

In this study, vesicle size data were fitted into the two-factor interaction (2FI) model, while that of the zeta potential was fitted into the linear model. Notably, for all responses or dependent factors, the fitting model's forecasted R^2 was reasonably consistent with the adjusted R^2 as shown in Table 3.

The adequate precision for both responses was greater than the permissible limit of 4, confirming that the chosen models are pertinent for designing space exploration (Aldawsari & Badr-Eldin, 2020; Alhakamy et al., 2020b).

For the two responses, this study developed diagnostic plots to establish the sequential model's goodness of fit as shown in Figure 1. 1-BI and 1-AI were found to correspond to lambda (λ) values of 0.7 and 1.06, respectively. The red lines highlight the confidence limits of 95% comprising the current λ value of 1, which indicates no need for particular transformation concerning both responses was present (Badr-Eldin et al., 2021). The zeta potentials and vesicle size ratios of maximum and minimum responses at 9.27 and 2.36, respectively, provide supportive evidence for the absence of transformation. Notably, transformation would be required for a ratio exceeding 10. Figure 1(AII,BII) suggest the absence of any variable that could exert an impact on the responses that are measured (Fahmy et al., 2020).

3.1.1. Effect of variables on vesicle size (Y_1)

Recently, the focus has shifted to exploring the potential to treat cancer using lipidic vesicle systems. Reportedly, particles <400 nm could be suitable for cancer therapy purposes (Sharma et al., 2014). Accordingly, acceptable vesicle size ranges have been shown by CUR-PL-SV to be 137.5 ± 7.9 to 298.4 ± 11.9 nm. However, nanovesicular system buildup within the tumor and the their effectiveness could be challenged by hindered permeation in pathological situations established by malignancy (Zhang et al., 2019). Thus, reducing vesicle size could enhance tumor penetration (Badr-Eldin et al., 2021). It is for this reason that the nanophytosomal formulation of CUR-PL-SV was optimized to the minimum value. It was suggested that 2FI was the sequential model that related the size of vesicle to the independent variables under investigated. In turn, this process denoted the importance of the primary effects and the contours of inter-variable interactions. The significance of the model could be demonstrated by the model F-value of 2005.78. The likelihood that noise would cause the value to be so high was merely 0.01%. The equation shown below was used to denote the model's 2FI with respect to the factors that were coded:

$$Y_1 = 233.43 + 64.30 \ X_1 + 24.57 \ X_2 - 5.18 \ X_1 X_2 \tag{1}$$

Amounts of SV and PL were found to have a major impact on the size of vesicle (p<.0001), according to ANOVA test. Moreover, Table 4 shows that the X_1X_2 interaction was found to show statistical significance (p=.0051).

The three-dimensional (3D)-surface plots and 2D-contour plot that show how amounts of SV/PL affected vesicle sizes are illustrated in Figure 2. Evidently, the increased vesicle

Table 3. Model summary statistics of CUR-PL-SV phytosomes responses.

| Responses | Model | Sequential p value | R ² | Adjusted R ² | Predicted R ² | Adequate precision | PRESS | Significant terms |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------|---|
| Y_1 : vesicle size (nm) | 2FI | 0.0051 | 0.9992 | 0.9987 | 0.9961 | 122.4255 | 112.61 | $\begin{array}{c} X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{1}X_{2} \\ X_{1}, X_{2} \end{array}$ |
| Y_2 : zeta potential (mV) | Linear | 0.0002 | 0.9425 | 0.9234 | 0.8739 | 18.4085 | 70.70 | |

CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon® 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide; PRESS: predicted residual error sum of squares.



Figure 1. Diagnostic plots for (A) vesicle size and (B) zeta potential of Curcumin-Phospholipon[®]-Scorpion venom (CUR–PL–SV) nano-phytosomes. (I) Box–Cox plot; (II) externally studentized residuals vs. run number plot. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

| Source | Sum of squares | Degrees of freedom | Mean square | <i>F</i> -value | p Value |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Model | 28535.19 | 3 | 9511.73 | 2005.78 | <.0001 |
| X ₁ : PL amount | 24806.94 | 1 | 24806.94 | 5231.14 | <.0001 |
| X ₂ : SV amount | 3621.13 | 1 | 3621.13 | 763.60 | <.0001 |
| X_1X_2 | 107.12 | 1 | 107.12 | 22.59 | .0051 |
| Residual | 23.71 | 5 | 4.74 | | |
| Cor total | 28558.90 | 8 | | | |

Table 4. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the vesicle size of CUR–PL–SV nano-phytosomes.

sizes were attributed to an increase in both X_1 and X_2 . In general, the increased size with a corresponding rise in PL quantity agrees with patterns that have been previously reported concerning vesicle system sizes (Saoji et al., 2016; Ahmed & Badr-Eldin, 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). As a case in point, the vesicular sizes of icariin phytosomes with an

increased CUR/PL molar ratio have been reported in previous studies (Alhakamy et al., 2020b). At the same time, the SV peptide positive charges could be responsible for the increase in size at greater amounts of SV that is accompanied by heightened vesicular bilayer repulsion caused by the inducement of positive charge. According to prior studies, due to such interventions, the vesicular system (cationic) sizes were reported to be larger than their neutral counterparts (Elsana et al., 2019).

3.1.2. Effect of variables on zeta potential (Y_2)

The quantitative estimation of nanoparticle system surface systems is denoted by the zeta potential. Cationic nanovesicles reportedly exhibit a consistent decline in cancerous vessels/tissues in comparison to the adjacent areas (Dubey



Figure 2. (A) Contour two-dimensional (2D)-plot and (B) response 3D-plot showing the effects and interaction between PL and SV amounts on the vesicle size of CUR–PL–SV nano-phytosomes. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

et al., 2007; Mehanna et al., 2017). In this regard, utilization of SV to induce positive charges in the phytosome surfaces was found to be useful. The surface of all phytosomes (CUR–PL–SV) was found to contain positive charges.

As per the model fit statistics, the zeta potential data fitting the linear model indicating the significance of the main effects of the studied variables is shown in Table 3. The model *F*-value of 49.20 implies the model was significant. Only a 0.02% chance exists that this value could be this high owing to noise. The equation representing the linear sequential model for the zeta potential in terms of coded factors was computed as shown below:

$$Y_2 = 13.80 - 3.68 X_1 + 8.63 X_2 \tag{2}$$

Statistical analysis with an ANOVA using a sum of squares type III-partial showed that both the amounts of PL (X_1) and SV (X_2) had a significant effect on zeta potentials (Table 5). The effect of the SV quantity was more pronounced than that of the PL quantity as demonstrated by the higher coefficient of the linear term (X_2) and the lowest p value.

The main effects of both PL and SV amounts on zeta potential are illustrated in Figure 3. The zeta potential decreased with increasing PL amounts, and in contrast, it increased at higher SV quantities. This observation could be supported by the corresponding negative sign of the X_1 term and the positive sign of the X_2 term in the coded equation. The pronounced effect of the amount of SV on the zeta potential could be explained on the basis of the cationic charge of the SV peptides and consequently their role in conferring a positive charge on the phytosomal surface (Wang et al., 2016; Saadat et al., 2019).

3.1.3. Optimization of CUR-PL-SV formulation

The optimized PL and SV levels, with which the set goals of minimizing vesicle size and maximizing zeta potential could

| Table CUR–PL | 5. Analysis –SV phytosome | of s. | variance | for | the | zeta | poten | tial | of |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|----------------|------|-------|------|-----|
| Source | Sur sau | n of ares | Degrees freedor | of n | Mean square | F-v | alue | p Va | lue |

| Source | squares | freedom | square | F-value | p Value |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| Model | 528.61 | 2 | 264.30 | 49.20 | .0002 |
| X_1 : PL amount | 81.40 | 1 | 81.40 | 15.15 | .0081 |
| X ₂ : SV amount | 447.21 | 1 | 447.21 | 83.25 | <.0001 |
| Residual | 32.23 | 6 | 5.37 | | |
| Cor total | 560.84 | 8 | | | |

be achieved, were predicted by a numerical optimization technique. The optimized amounts of 1.00 and 0.5 μ M for PL and SV, respectively, should achieve the objectives when combined with the desirability of 0.800. The measured values for vesicle size and zeta potential of 195.7 nm and 27.3 mV were in good harmony with the predicted values of 198.9 nm and 26.1 mV (Table 6). The relatively low percentage error of less than 5% for both responses confirmed the suitability of the design and the validity of the optimization technique.

3.2. Evaluation of anticancer potential of CUR-PL-SV phytosomes

3.2.1. Determination of IC₅₀ values

The cytotoxic potential positively correlated to the anticancer potential of drug. When PC3 cell line was exposed to the PL-SV, CUR, and CUR–PL–SV as shown in Figure 4, CUR–PL–SV showed potent cytotoxicity at the lowest concentration compared to PL-SV and CUR. The results showed that the IC_{50} values were 12.33 ± 0.46 , 32.11 ± 1.21 , and $3.302 \pm 0.12 \mu g/mL$ for PL-SV, CUR, and CUR–PL–SV, respectively. It should be noticed that the IC_{50} value of CUR–PL–SV refers to equivalent amount of CUR in the nanovesicle formula and the IC50 value of PL-SV refers to equivalent amount of SV in Pl-SV.



Figure 3. Main effect plots of PL and SV amounts on the zeta potential of CUR–PL–SV phytosomes. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

| Table 6. Optimization of CUR- | -PL–SV phytosom | nes. | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------|----------------|
| Independent variables | Low | High | Optimum |
| X_1 : PL concentration (μ M) | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| X_2 : SV concentration (μ M) | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Responses | Predicted va | alue | Observed value |
| Y_1 : particle size (nm) | 198.9 | | 195.7 |
| Y_2 : zeta potential (mV) | 26.1 | | 27.3 |

CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

3.2.2. Cell cycle analysis

The outcome of cell cycle analysis showed that cells of the control group were present at a maximum in the G0–G1 and S phases with optimum proliferation. The percentages of cells present in the G2-M and pre-G1 phases signify apoptotic activity or cell cycle arrest. Thus, it can be observed from Figure 5 that CUR–PL–SV produced potent anticancer effects against PC3 cell line via cell cycle arrest in the pre-G1 phase compared to the effects produced by CUR and PL-SV individually. However, CUR showed a superior anticancer impact on the G2-M phase compared to CUR–PL–SV. However, overall, CUR–PL–SV showed superior anticancer potential and arrested cell cycle and hence, inhibited proliferation. The percentage of data was estimated after excluding dead cells in the pre-G phase.

3.2.3. Annexin V assay for apoptotic activity

Based on the annexin V assay, it was found that treatment of PC3 cells with CUR–PL–SV caused an increase in early, late, and total percentages of apoptotic cells as represented in Figure 6. The findings also validated the success of the CUR-SV phytosome as this phytosome showed superior apoptotic activity compared to CUR and PL-SV. Additionally, the percentage of apoptotic cells in the late phase was significantly higher than in the other phases. Moreover, CUR–PL–SV also

showed increased necrosis, whereas PL-SV and CUR showed similar effects against the necrosis of PC3 cells.

3.2.4. Expression of Bax, caspase-3, p53, and Bcl2

Apoptosis is one of the most important parameters for validating the anticancer potential of a drug. The expression level of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins signifies the rate of apoptosis. In this study, treatment of PC3 cells with CUR-PL-SV led to an increase in the expression level of Bax, caspase-3, and p53 and hence induced apoptosis. Additionally, CUR-PL-SV also led to a reduction in the expression of the anti-apoptotic protein, Bcl-2 and thus, confirmed the occurrences of apoptotic and anticancer activities compared to PL-SV and CUR as shown in Figure 7. Control incubations did not receive any treatment.

3.2.5. Expression of TNF- α and NF-kB

NF-kB is a well-known transcription factor and, once activated, it regulates the transcription of various proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α . Increased expression of NF-kB and TNF- α promotes tumor initiation, progression, and angiogenesis. In the present study, Figure 8 shows that when PC3 cells were treated with CUR–PL–SV, significant reductions in the expression of activated NF-kB and TNF- α were found thus signifying the anticancer potential of CUR–PL–SV. Control incubations did not receive any treatment.

3.2.6. Mitochondrial membrane potential study

Acquisition of tetramethylrhodamine-6-maleimide (TMRM) into mitochondria is a predictive pointer of MMP and provides substantial information about apoptotic activity. High retention of fluorescence signifies healthy mitochondria and



Figure 4. Cytotoxicity of (A) PL-SV, (B) CUR, and (C) CUR–PL–SV estimated by the MTT assay. Based on these results, the IC₅₀ of samples was calculated after the 48 h treatment using an MTT assay. Data were calculated as the mean of four independent experiments ± SD. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

mitochondrial membranes and vice versa. In the present study, when PC3 cells were treated with CUR–PL–SV and studied for the MMP, it was found that CUR–PL–SV caused a significant reduction in the percentage of fluorescence compared to other treatment groups and signified a reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential and also provided substantial evidence for the superior apoptotic activity of CUR–PL–SV compared to PL-SV and CUR as shown in Figure 9.

3.3. Western blot assay

Western blot assay was carried out for the investigation of the effect of the optimized formula CUR–PL–SV, CUR-raw, and plain PL-SV formula on Bax, p 53, Bcl-2, caspase 3, NFkB, and TNF α protein expression. The results revealed that the optimized CUR–PL–SV formula showed a significant (p<.05) increase in Bax, P53, and caspase 3 protein expression when compared with control, CUR-raw, and plain PL-SV formulas (Figure 10(A,B)).

4. Discussion

Recently, the occurrence and prevalence of PC, has increased considerably (Sung et al., 2021). Ideally, PC is diagnosed at the old stage, and the use of the various chemotherapeutic drug is associated with severe side effects. Moreover, diagnosis is usually done at a later stage, and hence, the survival rate is compromised (Litwin & Tan, 2017). Recently, various targeted therapies and immunotherapy modalities have been used, but the clinical outcomes and their cost are not up to the mark (Litwin & Tan, 2017). Thus, various bioactive natural sources have been explored for the possible anticancer potential (Wang et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2018). Among various natural products, CUR has been extensively explored for anticancer potential in preclinical and clinical setup (Hong et al., 2006; Teiten et al., 2010; Baldi et al., 2020; Liczbiński et al., 2020). CUR is categorized as 'GRAS' by the US FDA, and hence its safety is well assured (Carlson, 2021). Despite being a potent natural bioactive, CUR is poorly soluble, possesses low bioavailability, and undergoes rapid hepatic metabolism. SV is another protein-based natural product and is reported to possess potent anticancer potential (Ortiz et al., 2015; Al-Asmari et al., 2016, 2018; Akef et al., 2017; Rapôso, 2017; Gómez Rave et al., 2019). However, unlike CUR, SV also suffers from the limitation of low thermal stability, short half-life and poor controlled release pattern (Ortiz et al., 2015).

Therefore, in the present study, the potential of anticancer activity of CUR and SV was investigated. In this study, SVconjugated CUR phytosomes were fabricated and studied for their anticancer potential using the PC3 cell line after which various cellular and molecular parameters were examined. PC3 cells do not express androgen receptor (androgen-independent) or prostate-specific antigen (Kaighn et al., 1979; Van Bokhoven et al., 2003). PC3 cells show highly aggressive behavior representing the aggressive forms of prostatic adenocarcinoma and castration-resistant tumors (Tai et al., 2011). PC3 cells have been used extensively by researchers to represent the aggressive form of PC. PC3 cells have the characteristics of prostatic small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (Tai et al., 2011).

In this work, identification of the variables that affect CUR-PL-SV nanovesicle characteristics is essential. The factorial design offers an advantage concerning this issue as it possesses the ability to analyze the influence of different factors concurrently. SV peptides are K(+)-channels blockers and/ or Na(+)-channel modifiers (Gao et al., 2013; Ortiz et al., 2015). Phytosomes showed superior advantage of enhancing the pharmacokinetic properties and hence improving the bioavailability of encapsulated natural therapeutic agents when compared with liposomes (Aguirre et al., 2011; Jemal et al., 2011). The fabricated phytosomes were experimentally optimized and characterized in terms of vesicle size and zeta potential. Vesicle size is one important parameter that determines the anticancer potential of phytosome in the tumor microenvironment. A vesicle size <400 nm is considered ideal for anticancer activity. In the present study, vesicle size of the optimized formula was 195.7 nm. Apart from the vesicle size, surface charge is a decisive parameter necessary for penetration of the tumor mass. Cationic nanovesicles are considered ideal for tumor penetration. Thus, the positive charge of prepared CUR-PL-SV potentiated the anticancer property of the prepared phytosome as a result of the positive charges on the SV peptide (Ortiz et al., 2015). The optimized formula showed a zeta potential value of 27.3 mV.

After the successful characterization of fabricated CUR-PL-SV, we validated the anticancer potential of the prepared phytosome. First, an MTT assay was performed to



Figure 5. Cell cycle analysis by flow cytometry. (A) Untreated Control, (B) PL-SV, (C) CUR, (D) CUR–PL–SV, and (E) bar diagram of the different cycle phases. Data are the mean of four independent experiments \pm SD. *Significantly different vs. control, p<.05; [#]significantly different vs. PL-VS, p<.05; ^Ssignificantly different vs. CUR. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

validate the cytotoxic potential. When PC3 cells were treated with CUR–PL–SV, CUR, and PL-SV, CUR–PL–SV showed enhanced cytotoxicity at a much lower concentration than PL-SV and CUR. Uncontrolled cell division is a major hallmark

of carcinogenesis (Balk & Knudsen, 2008). Any drug that arrests the cell cycle is considered an ideal anticancer drug (Balk & Knudsen, 2008). The cell cycle data revealed that CUR-PL-SV predominantly induced cell cycle arrest at pre-G1



Figure 6. Annexin assay for apoptotic activity. (A) Untreated control, (B) PL-SV, (C) CUR, (D) CUR–PL–SV, and (E) bar diagram of different types of cell death. Data are the mean of four independent experiments \pm SD. *Significantly different vs. control, *p*<.05; [#]significantly different vs. PL-VS, *p*<.05; ^{\$}significantly different vs. CUR. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

and G2-M phases and hence confirmed the anticancer potential of phytosomes. Apoptosis is one of the critical parameters for the determination of the anticancer potential of drug candidates (Ali & Kulik, 2021). The initial work for the association between apoptosis and carcinogenesis was established in the 1970s (Wong, 2011). Reduced apoptosis is commonly



Figure 7. Expression of apoptotic markers (A) Bax, (B) caspase-3, (C) p53, and (D) Bcl-2. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide. Data are the mean of four independent experiments \pm SD. *Significantly different vs. control, *p*<.05; [#]significantly different vs. PL-VS, *p*<.05; ^{\$}significantly different vs. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.



Figure 8. Expression level of inflammatory markers (A) TNF- α and (B) NF-kB. Data are the mean of 4 independent experiments ± SD. *Significantly different vs. control, p < .05; [#]significantly different vs. CUR. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

seen during carcinogenesis (Ali & Kulik, 2021). Apoptosis is regulated by the presence of pro- and antiapoptotic proteins. Bax, BAD, and caspases are considered as pro-apoptotic proteins, and Bcl-2 is a well-studied antiapoptotic protein (Lu et al., 2020). In the case of PC and other cancer, reduced or inhibited apoptosis and evasion of apoptosis were reported. Additionally, tumor cells inhibit the level of various proapoptotic proteins and increase the level of antiapoptotic protein (Lu et al., 2020). Thus, any drug candidate that induces apoptosis in tumor cell is considered a potent anticancer agent. In our study, when the PC3 cells were treated with CUR-PL-SV, CUR, or PL-SV, CUR-PL-SV showed enhanced induction of early late and total apoptosis and necrosis. The results also revealed enhanced cytotoxic effects of SV on PC3 cells when compared with CUR. SV has been previously reported to induce apoptosis in less sensitive tumor cells with no effect on normal cell lines, such as MRC-5, MDCK, and Vero (Díaz-García et al., 2013).

Additionally, an RT-PCR assay also confirmed the superior apoptotic activity of CUR-PL-SV where increased expression of Bax and caspase-3 and reduced expression of Bcl-2 were found. To confirm the mechanism of apoptosis, we also checked the expression level of p53. p53 is an extensively studied tumor suppressor gene, and in the case of PC, its expression level was significantly increased (Chi et al., 1994). p53 also acts as an important checkpoint during the uncontrolled cycle and causes cell cycle arrest via modulation of Rb, CDK, and other cell cycle machinery components (Chi et al., 1994). In the present study, we found a significant elevation in the expression level of p53 when PC3 cells were treated with CUR-PL-SV as compared to CUR and PL-SV.

It is also important to understand that the mitochondria are important organelles that regulate apoptotic activity. In general, mitochondria are sites for oxidative phosphorylation and ATP production, but these organelles are also involved in the apoptotic process (Armstrong, 2006). In response to



Figure 9. Change in mitochondrial membrane permeability. Data are the mean of four independent experiments ± SD. *Significantly different vs. control, p<.05; [#]significantly different vs. PL-VS, p<.05; ^{\$}significantly different vs. CUR. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

the increased level of Bax, holes are produced on the mitochondrial surface in response to reduced MMP, and cytochrome C is released, which in combination with Apaf and AIF, form apoptosomes and ultimately produces caspase 3 (Tsujimoto, 1998; Armstrong, 2006; Wang & Youle, 2009). Thus, a potent anticancer drug should reduce the MMP so that the apoptotic cascade can begin. Interestingly, in our study, a significant reduction in the MMP when PC3 cells were treated with CUR–PL–SV compared to CUR and PL-SV was found.

TNF- α promotes apoptosis through NF-kB (Chipuk et al., 2004). TNF- α binds with the type I cell surface receptor (TNFRI) that can activate the NF-κB survival pathway or caspase-dependent cell death (Harper et al., 2003; Micheau & Tschopp, 2003). NF-kB is a major transcription factor that regulates the production of proinflammatory cytokines (Schmitt & Zischka, 2018; Igubal et al., 2020a; Piotrowski et al., 2020). NF-kB is ideally located in the cytoplasm, and in response to tumor stimulus, it undergoes nuclear translocation and regulates the transcription of various proinflammatory cytokines and interleukin (Igubal et al., 2018, 2020b,c; Tuli et al., 2021). Studies have shown that increased activation and nuclear translocation are associated with tumor initiation, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis (Gupta et al., 2010; Gómez-Valenzuela et al., 2021). Thus, in the present study, the expression levels of NF-kB and TNF- α were also assessed. Our finding showed reduced expression of activated NF-kB and TNF- α and thus confirmed the anti-inflammatory in addition to the anticancer potential of CUR-PL-SV.

5. Conclusions

In the present study, SV conjugated CUR phytosomes were fabricated, optimized, and characterized. The fabricated phytosomes showed reduced vesicle size and positive surface charge, which is critical for optimum anticancer activity. Fabricated phytosome was studied for cell cycle arrest, apoptosis stimulation, mitochondrial membrane permeability, and



Figure 10. Western blots (A) and histogram for proteins expression (B) of Bax, p 53, Bcl-2, caspase 3, NF-kB, and TNF α protein expression for the four groups in PC3 cells; (I) control, (II) CUR–PL–SV, (III) PL-SV, and (IV) CUR. Data are the mean of three independent experiments ± SD. *Significantly different vs. control, p<.05; [#]significantly different vs. PL-VS, p<.05; ^Ssignificantly different vs. CUR. CUR: curcumin; PL: Phospholipon[®] 90H; SV: scorpion venom peptide.

inflammatory mediator. Cellular and molecular analysis using human prostatic cancer PC3 cells showed arrest of the cell cycle at the pre-G1 phase, enhanced apoptosis and necrosis, reduced mitochondrial membrane permeability, reduced Bcl-2, NF-kB, TNF- α levels, and increased Bax, caspase, and p53 levels upon treatment with SV conjugated CUR phytosomes.

Authors contributions

Conceptualization, U.F., N.A., and M.W.; methodology, O.A. and W.Y.; software, O.A. and A.F.; validation, W.Y., A.F., and M.W.; formal analysis, W.Y.; investigation, W.Y.; resources, N.A.; data curation, N.A.; writing—original draft preparation, W.Y.; writing—review and editing, U.F. and W.Y.; visualization, A.F.; supervision, N.A.; project administration, N.A.; funding acquisition, N.A.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research & Innovation, Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the Project Number (IFPRC-096-140-2020) and King Abdulaziz University, DSR, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

ORCID

Nabil A. Alhakamy (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3826-1519

References

- Aguirre L, Arias N, Macarulla MT, et al. (2011). Beneficial effects of quercetin on obesity and diabetes. Open Nutraceut J 4:189–98.
- Ahmed OAA, Badr-Eldin SM. (2019). Development of an optimized avanafil-loaded invasomal transdermal film: ex vivo skin permeation and in vivo evaluation. Int J Pharm 570:118657.
- Akef H, Kotb N, Abo-Elmatty D, Salem S. (2017). Anti-proliferative effects of *Androctonus amoreuxi* scorpion and *Cerastes cerastes* snake venoms on human prostate cancer cells. J Cancer Prev 22:40–6.
- Al-Asmari A, Riyasdeen A, Abbasmanthiri R, et al. (2016). Scorpion (*Androctonus bicolor*) venom exhibits cytotoxicity and induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in breast and colorectal cancer cell lines. Indian J Pharmacol 48:537–43.
- Al-Asmari AK, Riyasdeen A, Islam M. (2018). Scorpion venom causes apoptosis by increasing reactive oxygen species and cell cycle arrest in MDA-MB-231 and HCT-8 cancer cell lines. J Evid Based Integr Med 23:2156587217751796.
- Aldawsari HM, Badr-Eldin SM. (2020). Enhanced pharmacokinetic performance of dapoxetine hydrochloride via the formulation of instantly-dissolving buccal films with acidic pH modifier and hydrophilic cyclodextrin: factorial analysis, in vitro and in vivo assessment. J Adv Res 24:281–90.
- Alhakamy NA, Badr-Eldin SM, Fahmy UA, et al. (2020a). Thymoquinoneloaded soy-phospholipid-based phytosomes exhibit anticancer potential against human lung cancer cells. Pharmaceutics 12:761.
- Alhakamy NA, Fahmy UA, Badr-Eldin SM, et al. (2020b). Optimized icariin phytosomes exhibit enhanced cytotoxicity and apoptosis-inducing activities in ovarian cancer cells. Pharmaceutics 12:346.

- Ali A, Kulik G. (2021). Signaling pathways that control apoptosis in prostate cancer. Cancers 13:937.
- Armstrong JS. (2006). Mitochondria: a target for cancer therapy. Br J Pharmacol 147:239–48.
- Badr-Eldin SM, Aldawsari HM, Ahmed OAA, et al. (2021). Optimized semisolid self-nanoemulsifying system based on glyceryl behenate: a potential nanoplatform for enhancing antitumor activity of raloxifene hydrochloride in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. Int J Pharm 600: 120493.
- Baldi A, De Luca A, Maiorano P, et al. (2020). Curcumin as an anticancer agent in malignant mesothelioma: a review. Int J Mol Sci 21:1839.
- Balk SP, Knudsen KE. (2008). AR, the cell cycle, and prostate cancer. Nucl Recept Signal 6:e001.
- Carlson S. (2021). GRAS notice no. GRN 000822. Available at: https:// www.fda.gov/media/130730/download.
- Chi SG, White RWD, Meyers FJ, et al. (1994). P53 in prostate cancer: frequent expressed transition mutations. J Natl Cancer Inst 86:926–33.
- Chipuk JE, Kuwana T, Bouchier-Hayes L, et al. (2004). Direct activation of Bax by p53 mediates mitochondrial membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. Science 303:1010–4.
- Díaz-García A, Morier-Díaz L, Frión-Herrera Y, et al. (2013). In vitro anticancer effect of venom from Cuban scorpion *Rhopalurus junceus* against a panel of human cancer cell lines. J Venom Res 4:5–12.
- Dubey V, Mishra D, Dutta T, et al. (2007). Dermal and transdermal delivery of an anti-psoriatic agent via ethanolic liposomes. J Control Release 123:148–54.
- Eid BG, Alhakamy NA, Fahmy UA, et al. (2022). Melittin and diclofenac synergistically promote wound healing in a pathway involving TGFβ1. Pharmacol Res 175:105993.
- Elsana H, Olusanya TO, Carr-wilkinson J, et al. (2019). Evaluation of novel cationic gene based liposomes with cyclodextrin prepared by thin film hydration and microfluidic systems. Sci Rep 9:1–17.
- Evangelista L, Zattoni F, Cassarino G, et al. (2021). PET/MRI in prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 48:859–73.
- Fahmy UA, Badr-Eldin SM, Ahmed OAA, et al. (2020). Intranasal niosomal in situ gel as a promising approach for enhancing flibanserin bioavailability and brain delivery: in vitro optimization and ex vivo/in vivo evaluation. Pharmaceutics 12:485.
- Gao B, Harvey PJ, Craik DJ, et al. (2013). Functional evolution of scorpion venom peptides with an inhibitor cystine knot fold. Biosci Rep 33: 513–27.
- Gnananath K, Sri Nataraj K, Ganga Rao B. (2017). Phospholipid complex technique for superior bioavailability of phytoconstituents. Adv Pharm Bull 7:35–42.
- Gómez-Valenzuela F, Escobar E, Pérez-Tomás R, Montecinos VP. (2021). The inflammatory profile of the tumor microenvironment, orchestrated by cyclooxygenase-2, promotes epithelial–mesenchymal transition. Front Oncol 11:686792.
- Gupta SC, Kim JH, Prasad S, Aggarwal BB. (2010). Regulation of survival, proliferation, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis of tumor cells through modulation of inflammatory pathways by nutraceuticals. Cancer Metastasis Rev 29:405–34.
- Harper N, Hughes M, MacFarlane M, Cohen GM. (2003). Fas-associated death domain protein and caspase-8 are not recruited to the tumor necrosis factor receptor 1 signaling complex during tumor necrosis factor-induced apoptosis. J Biol Chem 278:25534–41.
- Hawryłkiewicz A, Ptaszyńska N. (2021). Gemcitabine peptide-based conjugates and their application in targeted tumor therapy. Molecules 26: 364.
- Hong JH, Ahn KS, Bae E, et al. (2006). The effects of curcumin on the invasiveness of prostate cancer in vitro and in vivo. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 9:147–52.
- lqubal A, Ali Syed M, Haque MM, et al. (2020a). Effect of nerolidol on cyclophosphamide-induced bone marrow and hematologic toxicity in Swiss albino mice. Exp Hematol 82:24–32.
- Iqubal A, Ali Syed M, Najmi AK, et al. (2020b). Ameliorative effect of nerolidol on cyclophosphamide-induced gonadal toxicity in Swiss Albino mice: biochemical-, histological- and immunohistochemical-based evidences. Andrologia 52:e13535.

Iqubal A, Sharma S, Sharma K, et al. (2018). Intranasally administered pitavastatin ameliorates pentylenetetrazol-induced neuroinflammation, oxidative stress and cognitive dysfunction. Life Sci 211:172–81.

- Iqubal A, Ali Syed M, Ali J, et al. (2020c). Nerolidol protects the liver against cyclophosphamide-induced hepatic inflammation, apoptosis, and fibrosis via modulation of Nrf2, NF-κB p65, and caspase-3 signaling molecules in Swiss albino mice. Biofactors 46:963–73.
- Jain N, Gupta BP, Thakur N, et al. (2010). Phytosome: a novel drug delivery system for herbal medicine. Int J Pharm Sci Drug Res 2:224–8.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, et al. (2011). Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 61:69–90.
- Kaighn ME, Narayan KS, Ohnuki Y, et al. (1979). Establishment and characterization of a human prostatic carcinoma cell line (PC-3). Invest Urol 17:16–23.
- Liczbiński P, Michałowicz J, Bukowska B. (2020). Molecular mechanism of curcumin action in signaling pathways: review of the latest research. Phytother Res 34:1992–2005.
- Li CM, Haratipour P, Lingeman RG, et al. (2021). Novel peptide therapeutic approaches for cancer treatment. Cells 10:2908.
- Litwin MS, Tan HJ. (2017). The diagnosis and treatment of prostate cancer: a review. JAMA 317:2532.
- Liu G, Yang F, Li F, et al. (2018). Therapeutic potential of a scorpion venom-derived antimicrobial peptide and its homologs against antibiotic-resistant Gram-positive bacteria. Front Microbiol 9:1159.
- Li J, Wang X, Zhang T, et al. (2015). A review on phospholipids and their main applications in drug delivery systems. Asian J Pharm Sci 10: 81–98.
- Lu X, Chen D, Yang F, Xing N. (2020). Quercetin inhibits epithelial-tomesenchymal transition (EMT) process and promotes apoptosis in prostate cancer via downregulating IncRNA MALAT1. Cancer Manag Res 12:1741–50.
- Mahmood T, Yang PC. (2012). Western blot: technique, theory, and trouble shooting. N Am J Med Sci 4:429–34.
- Mehanna MM, El-Kader NA, Samaha MW. (2017). Liposomes as potential carriers for ketorolac ophthalmic delivery: formulation and stability issues. Braz J Pharm Sci 53:1–10.
- Micheau O, Tschopp J. (2003). Induction of TNF receptor I-mediated apoptosis via two sequential signaling complexes. Cell 114:181–90.
- Ortiz E, Gurrola GB, Schwartz EF, Possani LD. (2015). Scorpion venom components as potential candidates for drug development. Toxicon 93:125–35.
- Pastorelli D, Fabricio AS, Giovanis P, et al. (2018). Phytosome complex of curcumin as complementary therapy of advanced pancreatic cancer improves safety and efficacy of gemcitabine: results of a prospective phase II trial. Pharmacol Res 132:72–9.
- Perera WPTD, Dissanayake RK, Ranatunga UI, et al. (2020). Curcumin loaded zinc oxide nanoparticles for activity-enhanced antibacterial and anticancer applications. RSC Adv 10:30785–95.
- Piotrowski I, Kulcenty K, Suchorska W. (2020). Interplay between inflammation and cancer. Rep Pract Oncol Radiother 25:422–7.

- Rapôso C. (2017). Scorpion and spider venoms in cancer treatment: state of the art, challenges, and perspectives. J Clin Transl Res 3:233–49.
- Rave G, Jenny L, Bravo AXM, et al. (2019). Scorpion venom: new promise in the treatment of cancer. Acta Biol Colomb 24:213–23.
- Saadat M, Zahednezhad F, Zakeri-Milani P, et al. (2019). Drug targeting strategies based on charge dependent uptake of nanoparticles into cancer cells. J Pharm Pharm Sci 22:191–220.
- Saoji SD, Raut NA, Dhore PW, et al. (2016). Preparation and evaluation of phospholipid-based complex of standardized Centella extract (SCE) for the enhanced delivery of phytoconstituents. AAPS J 18:102–14.
- Schmitt S, Zischka H. (2018). Targeting mitochondria for cancer therapy. Deutsche Zeitschrift Onkol 50:124–30.
- Sharma S, Shukla P, Misra A, Mishra PR. 2014. Interfacial and colloidal properties of emulsified systems: pharmaceutical and biological perspective. Pharmaceutical and biological perspective. In: Colloid and interface science in pharmaceutical research and development. Elsevier Inc., 149–72.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. (2021). Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 71:209–49.
- Tai S, Sun Y, Squires JM, et al. (2011). PC3 is a cell line characteristic of prostatic small cell carcinoma. Prostate 71:1668–79.
- Teiten MH, Gaascht F, Eifes S, et al. (2010). Chemopreventive potential of curcumin in prostate cancer. Genes Nutr 5:61–74.
- Tsujimoto Y. (1998). Role of Bcl-2 family proteins in apoptosis: apoptosomes or mitochondria? Genes Cells 3:697–707.
- Tuli HS, Mittal S, Aggarwal D, et al. (2021). Path of silibinin from diet to medicine: a dietary polyphenolic flavonoid having potential anti-cancer therapeutic significance. Semin Cancer Biol 73:196–218.
- Van Bokhoven A, Varella-Garcia M, Korch C, et al. (2003). Molecular characterization of human prostate carcinoma cell lines. Prostate 57: 205–25.
- Wang Y, Guo W, Xu H, et al. (2018). An extensive study of the mechanism of prostate cancer metastasis. Neoplasma 65:253–61.
- Wang W, Rayburn ER, Hao M, et al. (2008). Experimental therapy of prostate cancer with novel natural product anti-cancer ginsenosides. Prostate 68:809–19.
- Wang C, Youle RJ. (2009). The role of mitochondria in apoptosis. Annu Rev Genet 43:95–118.
- Wang HX, Zuo ZQ, Du JZ, et al. (2016). Surface charge critically affects tumor penetration and therapeutic efficacy of cancer nanomedicines. Nano Today 11:133–44.
- Wong RS. (2011). Apoptosis in cancer: from pathogenesis to treatment. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 30:87.
- Yallapu MM, Jaggi M, Chauhan SC. (2012). Curcumin nanoformulations: a future nanomedicine for cancer. Drug Discov Today 17:71–80.
- Zhang YR, Lin R, Li HJ, et al. 2019. Strategies to improve tumor penetration of nanomedicines through nanoparticle design. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol 11:e1519.