Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**ScienceDirect** 



**Biomedical Journal** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bj

# **Original Article**

# Thyroid functions and serum lipid profile in metabolic syndrome



Biomedi

Biomedical

Journal

# Manish Gutch <sup>a,\*</sup>, Sumit Rungta <sup>a</sup>, Sukriti Kumar <sup>b</sup>, Avinash Agarwal <sup>a</sup>, Annesh Bhattacharya <sup>c</sup>, Syed Mohd Razi <sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Medicine, King George's Medical College, Lucknow, U.P, India

<sup>b</sup> Department of Radiodiagnosis, King George's Medical College, Lucknow, U.P, India

<sup>c</sup> Department of Cardiology, RML Hospital, Lucknow, U.P, India

<sup>d</sup> Department of Endocrinology, LLRM Medical College, Meerut, U.P, India

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 June 2015 Accepted 30 December 2016 Available online 30 May 2017

Keywords: Thyroid hormones Metabolic syndrome Serum lipid profile

#### ABSTRACT

Background: Thyroid hormones are known to affect energy metabolism. Many patients of
metabolic syndrome have subclinical or clinical hypothyroidism and vice versa. To study
the correlation of thyroid profile and serum lipid profile with metabolic syndrome.
Method: It is a hospital based cross sectional case-control study carried out in tertiary care
health center, we studied thyroid functions test and serum lipid profile in 100 metabolic
syndrome patients according to IDF criteria and a similar number of age, gender and
ethnicity matched healthy controls.
Result: We found that serum HDL was significantly lower ( $p < 0.001$ ) in cases (41.28 $\pm$ 8.81) as
compared to controls (54.00 $\pm$ 6.31). It was also found that serum LDL, VLDL, triglyceride
levels and total cholesterol were found to be significantly higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) in cases than
controls. Serum TSH levels of subjects in cases group (3.33 $\pm$ 0.78) were significantly higher
(p < 0.001) than that of controls (2.30 $\pm$ 0.91) and significantly lower levels of T_4 (p < 0.001) in
the patients of metabolic syndrome (117.45) than in controls (134.64) while higher levels of
$T_3$ , although statistically insignificant in the patients of metabolic syndrome.
Conclusion: Thyroid hormones up-regulate metabolic pathways relevant to resting energy
expenditure, hence, obesity and thyroid functions are often correlated.

Obesity, a key component of metabolic syndrome, occurs due to increased energy intake, decreased energy expenditure, or a combination of both, thus leading to positive energy balance. Thyroid hormones up-regulate metabolic pathways relevant to resting energy expenditure, hence, obesity and thyroid functions are often correlated. On one hand, obesity per se causes alterations in thyroid hormones, i.e. increased thyroid hormone levels [1], increased TSH (Thyroidstimulating hormone) with no effect on  $T_3$  (Triiodothyronine) and  $T_4$  (thyroxine), [2] or increase in TSH and  $T_3$  with no effect on  $T_4$ , [3] on the other hand, subclinical hypothyroidism as a result of slow metabolism can lead to the obesity [4]. The mechanism of normal levels of  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  with increased TSH in metabolic syndrome is not defined, but it has been hypothesized that metabolic syndrome is associated with insulin resistance due to the defect in post receptor signal

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Medicine, King George's Medical College, Shah Mina Rd., Chowk, Lucknow, U.P 226003, India. E-mail address: manish07gutch@gmail.com (M. Gutch).

Peer review under responsibility of Chang Gung University.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2016.12.006

<sup>2319-4170/© 2017</sup> Chang Gung University. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

# At a glance commentary

## Scientific background on the subject

Obesity per se causes alterations in thyroid hormones, i.e. increased thyroid hormone levels, increased TSH with no effect on  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , or increase in TSH and  $T_3$  with no effect on  $T_4$ ; on the other hand, subclinical hypothyroidism as a result of slow metabolism can lead to the obesity.

## What this study adds to the field

The mechanism of normal levels of  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$  with increased TSH in metabolic syndrome is not defined, but it has been hypothesized that metabolic syndrome is associated with insulin resistance due to the defect in post-receptor signal transduction in target tissue, a similar mechanism of thyroid receptor resistance might be operating in these obese persons.

transduction in target tissue; a similar mechanism of thyroid receptor resistance might be operating in these obese persons [2]. It is still not clear whether alterations in thyroid hormones are a cause or an effect of obesity (metabolic syndrome) suggesting need for further evaluation on a large scale with inclusion of various hormones elaborated by adipose tissue (like, leptin, resistin, adiponectin, etc). There are various studies on thyroid functions in patients with metabolic syndrome but there is a scarcity of studies on the issue from northern India.

# Material and methods

#### Study design

The present study was conducted in the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, in tertiary care health center in northern part of India, it is a hospital based cross sectional case-control study, to study the correlation of thyroid function and serum lipid with metabolic syndrome.

#### Study population

Patients attending the outpatient department (OPD) of Endocrinology and Metabolism department were included in the present study (n = 200).

# Inclusion criteria

- 1. Subjects who fulfilled the IDF (International Diabetic Federation) criteria for metabolic syndrome were grouped under cases.
- 2 Subjects who gave written informed consent.

#### Exclusion criteria

- 1. Subjects who are a known case of any psychiatric illness.
- 2. Patients suffering from any major medical or surgical illness.

Waist circumference								
Men Women Ethnicity								
≥94 cm	≥80 cm	Europid, Sub-Saharan African, Eastern and Middle Eastern						
≥90 cm	≥80 cm	South Asian, Chinese and ethnic South and Central American						
≥85 cm	≥90 cm	Japanese						

Two or more of the following:

Fasting triglycerides≥150mg/dL or specific medication.

HDL cholesterol <40mg/dL and <50mg/dL for men and women, respectively, or specific medication.

Blood pressure >130 mm systolic or >85 mm diastolic or previous diagnosis or specific medication.

Fasting plasma glucose  $\geq$  100mg/dL or previously diagnosed Type 2 diabetes.

Fig. 1 IDF criteria for metabolic syndrome.



3. Patients on medications affecting thyroid profile and serum lipids.

IDF criteria for metabolic syndrome [5] [Fig. 1]

We chose to follow the IDF criteria over NCEP: ATP III (National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III) because the IDF criteria takes into account the variation of ethnicity while ATP III is applicable mainly to the American population.

## PROTOCOL of the study shown in Fig. 2

The first 100 patients attending OPD of department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, who were fitting in the IDF criteria for metabolic syndrome, were enrolled as cases after taking their consent for the study. Then, the same number of controls was included after matching their age, sex and social status with cases.

#### History

# Information on age, sex and occupation and past history of type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension was obtained by self report.

#### Examination

Body weight to the nearest 1 kg and standing height to nearest 1 cm were measured using a manual scale and standard measuring tape. Trained physician or technicians measured waist circumference to the nearest 1 cm using standard measuring tape at the level of mid-line between inferior border of ribs and superior border of iliac crest (as per IDF guidelines).

Blood pressure was measured by trained physician after 5 min rest in right arm sitting position with a mercury sphygmomanometer.

Goiter was assessed, and graded as per the WHO grading system. Anti TPO antibody (Anti-thyroid peroxidase antibodies) were not done in patients with metabolic syndrome because of financial reasons.

#### Blood collection and measurement of biochemical variables

All the blood samples were collected by 8–10 h overnight fast in the morning. Serum HDL (High Density Lipoprotein) – Cholesterol, Triglycerides, LDL (Low Density Lipoprotein) – Cholesterol, Total Cholesterol was measured using enzymatic method as instructed in information sheets. Plasma glucose was measured using Enzymatic (GOD-POD) method.  $T_3$  (1.3–3.1 nmol/l),  $T_4$  (66–181 nmol/l), TSH (0.270–4.20 µIU/ ml) were measured using ELISA.

#### Statistical analysis

Continuous data were summarized as Mean  $\pm$  SD while discrete (categorical) in number and percentage. Continuous two independent groups were compared by parametric independent student's t test and the significance of parametric t test was also validated with nonparametric alternative Mann–Whitney U test, where appropriate. Discrete (categorical) groups were compared by chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test. A two-sided ( $\alpha = 2$ ) p values less than 0.05 (p < 0.05) was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed on STA-TISTICA software (Windows version 6.0).

#### Result

The present study was undertaken in the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, to find out the correlation between thyroid profile and metabolic syndrome in patients of Metabolic Syndrome and compare it with healthy controls. The study was approved by the ethics committee. One hundred patients attending Endocrinology and Metabolism OPD from August 2012 to July 2013 who fulfilled the criteria of metabolic syndrome as per IDF guidelines were included in the study as cases and an equal number of age, gender and social status matched controls were included. Informed consent was taken before the start of study from patients. Table 1 showed the age of total study population ranged from 18 to 60 years. In Control group mean age of subjects was 38.77  $\pm$  9.94 (range – 18–57) years whereas in Case group mean age of subjects was 38.10  $\pm$  10.33 (range – 19–60) years. Majority of patients in both the groups were between age groups 31–50 years. Statistically, there was no significant difference between two groups with respect to age. The distribution of subjects in two groups according to gender: In both the groups, majority of subjects were females. There were 64 (64%) females and 36 (36%) males in both control and case group. The present study was a case-control study, to exclude any age or gender bias, an attempt was made to include controls of similar age and gender as of controls. Groups matched perfectly according to age and gender.

Table 2 shows distribution of subjects in two groups according to presence of different factors contributing to metabolic syndrome: In case group, all (100%) subjects had central obesity, 84% had raised blood pressure and 47% had raised fasting plasma glucose, a total of 83 (83%) had raised triglycerides and 76% had reduced HDL cholesterol. In control group, a total of 29 (29%) subjects fulfilled the IDF criteria for central obesity (Males >90 cm, Females >80 cm), 32% had raised blood pressure (>130/85 SBP/DBP or known hypertensive) and only 1 had raised fasting plasma glucose (>100 mg/dL or known diabetic), a total of 2 had raised triglyceride levels ( $\geq$ 150 mg/dL) and 8% had reduced HDL cholesterol (Males  $\leq$  40 mg/dL, Females  $\leq$  50 mg/dL). Above data clearly

Table 1 Age and gender distribution of subjects.								
Age group (years)	Cases (n $=$ 100)	Controls (n = 100)						
Upto 20	2	2						
21-30	22	22						
31-40	34	34						
41-50	31	31						
51-60	11	11						
Gender	Cases (n = 100)	Controls (n = 100)						
Female	64	64						
Male	36	36						

#### Table 2 Distribution of subjects in two groups according to presence of different factors contributing to metabolic syndrome.<sup>a</sup>

Factors contributing	Controls $(n = 100)$	Cases $(n = 100)$	Stati signif	stical icance				
to metabolic syndrome			$\chi^2$	p value				
Central obesity	29	100	110.078	< 0.001				
Raised TGL	2	83	134.240	< 0.001				
Reduced HDL cholesterol	8	76	94.910	<0.001				
Raised blood pressure	32	84	55.501	<0.001				
Raised fasting plasma glucose	1	47	58.004	<0.001				
<sup>a</sup> According to gender specific IDF criteria for metabolic syndrome								

 According to gender specific IDF criteria for metabolic syndrome for South Asian population. Table 3 Distribution of subjects according to gender in two groups according to presence of different factors contributing to metabolic syndrome.

	Controls (n $=$ 100)				Cases (n = 100)			
	Female (n = 64)		Male	Male (n = 36)		Female (n $=$ 64)		(n = 36)
	No. %		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Central obesity	22	34.38	7	19.44	64	100	36	100
	$\chi^2 = 2.494; p = 0.114$			$\chi^2 = 0; p = 1$				
Raised TGL	1	1.56	1	2.78	53	82.81	30	83.33
	$\chi^2 = 0.174; p = 0.677$				$\chi^2 = 0.004;  p = 0.947$			
Reduced HDL cholesterol	7	10.94	1	2.78	55	85.94	21	58.33
	$\chi^2 = 2.084; p = 0.149$			$\chi^2 = 9.625; p = 0.002$				
Raised blood pressure	20	31.25	12	33.33	50	78.13	34	94.44
	$\chi^2 = 0.046; p = 0.830$				$\chi^2 = 4.5$	$\chi^2 = 4.566; p = 0.033$		
Raised fasting plasma glucose	0	0.0	1	2.78	28	43.75	19	52.78
$\chi^2 = 1.796; p = 0.180$				$\chi^2 = 0.7$	54; p = 0.385			
TGL: triglyceride level: HDL: high density lipoprotein.								

Table 4 Comparison according to lipid parameters. Hemodynamic variables Controls (n = 100)Cases (n = 100)Statistical significance Mean SD Mean SD 't' value p value HDL 54 00 41 28 6.31 8.81 11.748 < 0.001 LDL 83.66 17.08 104.92 20.16 -8.043< 0.001 Triglycerides 134.68 18.87 189.91 63.27 -8.365 < 0.001 Total Cholesterol 166.21 17.58 184.78 26.22 -5.884 < 0.001 VLDI. 26.83 3.82 36 93 11 48 -8.354 < 0.001

HDL: high density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein cholesterol; VLDL: very low-density lipoprotein.

Table 5 Comparison according to Thyroid profile parameters.								
Thyroid profile	Controls (n =	100)	Cases (n =	100)	Statistical significance			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	't' value	p value		
T <sub>3</sub> (1.3–3.1 nmol/l)	2.12	0.47	2.23	0.46	-1.624	0.106		
T <sub>4</sub> (66–181 nmol/l)	134.64	22.14	117.45	25.07	5.140	< 0.001		
TSH (0.270–4.20 μIU/ml)	2.30	0.91	3.33	0.78	-8.660	<0.001		

indicates that all the above factors contributing to metabolic syndrome were present in significantly higher proportion (p < 0.001) in cases than in controls.

Table 3 shows distribution of subjects according to gender in two groups according to presence of different factors contributing to metabolic syndrome: Above data indicates that in controls none of the above factors showed statistically significant difference between males and females. It was found from the present study that all the cases included in the study were centrally obese irrespective of their gender. In cases, reduced HDL cholesterol was found to be in significantly lower proportion of male (58.33%) than female (85.94%) subjects (p = 0.002) and raised blood pressure was found in significantly higher proportion of male (94.44%) than female (78.13%) subjects (p = 0.033). Statistically no significant difference between gender was found in raised TGL (p = 0.947) and raised fasting plasma glucose (p = 0.385).

Table 4 shows comparison of subjects according to lipid parameters: In the present study it was found that serum HDL was significantly lower (p < 0.001) in cases (41.28 ± 8.81) as compared to controls (54.00 ± 6.31). It was also found that serum

LDL, VLDL, Triglyceride levels and total cholesterol were found to be significantly higher (p < 0.001) in cases than controls.

Table 5 shows comparison of subjects according to thyroid profile parameters: Serum T<sub>3</sub> levels of cases (2.23  $\pm$  0.46) were found to be higher than that of controls (2.12  $\pm$  0.47) but this

Table 6 Distribution of TSH, T <sub>3</sub> and T <sub>4</sub> with respect to
parameters of Metabolic Syndrome (Waist
Circumference, SBP, DBP, Triglycerides, Fasting Plasma
Glucose, HDL Cholesterol) in patients with metabolic
syndrome.

	T <sub>3</sub>	3	T	1	TS	TSH		
	"r"	"p"	"r"	"p"	"r"	"р"		
WC	-0.197	0.049	-0.138	0.171	-0.117	0.246		
SBP	-0.286	0.004	-0.230	0.021	-0.151	0.133		
DBP	-0.185	0.065	-0.147	0.145	-0.098	0.332		
FG	-0.237	0.018	-0.007	0.945	0.143	0.157		
TG	-0.008	0.938	0.018	0.859	0.265	0.008		
HDL	0.055	0.585	-0.027	0.790	-0.065	0.521		

difference was statistically non-significant. Serum T<sub>4</sub> levels of subjects in control group were found to be significantly higher (p < 0.001) than that of metabolic syndrome cases. In the present study it was found that serum TSH levels of subjects in cases group  $(3.33 \pm 0.78)$  were significantly higher (p < 0.001)than that of controls (2.30  $\pm$  0.91).

Table 6: It was found that serum  $T_3$  correlates positively with waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and fasting sugar level, T<sub>4</sub> correlates positively with only systolic blood pressure, while TSH correlates positively only with triglyceride levels.

Table 7: It was found that when we compare the distribution of thyroid profile between the male and female, only the TSH was found to be statistical significant.

Table 8: It was found that TSH correlates positively throughout between different age group, while T<sub>4</sub> correlates positively as the age progress.

#### Discussion

Asian Indians are a high risk population with respect to diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, and the numbers are consistently on the rise [6]. Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of

Table 7 Distribution of TSH, $T_3$ and $T_4$ with respect to gender.								
	Gender	Ν	Mean	SD	Statistical significance			
T <sub>3</sub>	М	36	2.23	0.46	t = 0.072; p = 0.942 (NS)			
	F	64	2.23	0.47				
$T_4$	М	36	115.71	23.50	t = 0.520; p = 0.604 (NS)			
	F	64	118.43	26.04				
TSH	М	36	3.61	0.48	t = 2.688; p = 0.008 (S)			
	F	64	3.18	0.87				

different age groups.								
Age group		Group	Ν	Mean	SD	Statistical significance		
$\leq$ 20 Yrs	T <sub>3</sub>	1	2	2.73	0.05	t = 19.696;		
		2	2	1.98	0.02	p = 0.003 (S)		
	$T_4$	1	2	138.00	23.76	t = 0.736;		
		2	2	151.25	9.12	p = 0.538 (NS)		
	TSH	1	2	4.09	0.08	t = 21.909;		
		2	2	2.88	0.00	p = 0.002 (S)		
21-40 Yrs	T <sub>3</sub>	1	56	2.30	0.47	t = 1.333;		
		2	56	2.18	0.49	p = 0.185 (NS)		
	$T_4$	1	56	122.53	24.63	t = 2.852;		
		2	56	134.74	20.45	p = 0.005 (S)		
	TSH	1	56	3.32	0.77	t = 6.452;		
		2	56	2.24	0.98	p < 0.001 (S)		
41-60 Yrs	T <sub>3</sub>	1	42	2.11	0.43	t = 0.607;		
		2	42	2.05	0.46	p = 0.546 (NS)		
	$T_4$	1	42	109.70	23.92	t = 4.537;		
		2	42	133.73	24.61	p < 0.001 (S)		
	TSH	1	42	3.32	0.80	t = 5.511;		
		2	42	2.35	0.82	p < 0.001 (S)		
Group 1: Cases, Group 2: Controls.								

Table 8 Distribution of thyroid

cardiometabolic risk factors like obesity, hypertension, hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL [7]. Many studies have been carried out abroad as well as in India on metabolic syndrome, however limited data is available on correlation of thyroid profile and serum lipids with metabolic syndrome in Indian population.

The gender differences in prevalence of metabolic syndrome have been found in several studies. It might be due to different cut-off points set as criteria for metabolic syndrome like waist circumference and HDL-Cholesterol [8]. The findings of female gender dominance was seen in our data similar to the Arkhangel study done in Russia, Korea and China [9,10], while it is comparable to the prevalence data in Frinks cohort study, [11] and the studies conducted in Pakistan [12]. It is worrisome that the increase in prevalence of the metabolic syndrome is higher in women than in men. This is mainly driven by the constant rise in obesity in women, with presently 2 million more women than men being affected in the united states [8] as well as in the under developing countries including south east Asian countries [12].

In our study population, among the cases, central obesity was present in 100% patients of metabolic syndrome. Among other parameters for metabolic syndrome, raised blood pressure was present in 84% of the cases. The third most common parameter was raised triglycerides levels (83%) followed by decreased HDL Cholesterol (76%) and raised fasting plasma glucose (47%). The common components of metabolic syndrome included central obesity and dyslipidemia in our study [Table 2]. This finding is not surprising as it has been seen that central obesity plays a central role in the development of the metabolic syndrome and appears to precede the appearance of the other metabolic syndrome components [8]. The raised blood pressure, raised Triglycerides and decreased HDL cholesterol levels can be attributed to our dietary habits, especially high carbohydrate, high cholesterol and excess salt diet and sedentary life-style.

Different studies have been undertaken to characterize the major components of the metabolic syndrome in women and men in different populations. Investigations performed in the French Monica cohorts to separate the contributions of different factors to the metabolic syndrome showed a significantly elevated body weight, waist girth, and low HDL cholesterol in women than in men [13]. Similar findings were seen in our study i.e. low HDL were present more in women as compared to men while triglycerides levels were same in both the gender but raised blood pressure was found in higher proportion of men than women.

Central fat accumulation and the presence of insulin resistance have both been associated with a cluster of dyslipidemic features, i.e., elevated plasma triglyceride level, an increase in very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and intermediate-density lipoprotein (IDL), the presence of small dense LDL particles, and a decrease in HDL-cholesterol. These abnormalities of lipoprotein metabolism are more likely to occur together than separately and constitute the key component traits of the metabolic syndrome. In the present study it was found that serum HDL was significantly lower (p < 0.001) in cases (41.28  $\pm$  8.81) as compared to controls (54.00  $\pm$  6.31). It was also found that serum LDL, VLDL,

Triglyceride levels and total cholesterol (TC) were found to be significantly higher (p < 0.001) in cases than controls [Table 4]. Dyslipidemia is another well-known risk factor for cardiovascular disease, as well as a component of metabolic syndrome, and the role of HDL, triglycerides (TG) and lowdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) are already established as predictors of cardiovascular disease [14]. On the other hand, a characteristic dyslipidemia is also associated with insulin resistance [15]. The LDL cholesterol or total cholesterol level has been widely used to assess lipid atherogenesis, but its utility for Insulin resistance is not well known, in contrast to TG or HDL cholesterol. In the present study, we found a significant higher proportion of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels among cases of metabolic syndrome which is in accordance with the study done by Anthonia O Ogbera [16]. Recent evidence suggests that a fundamental defect is an overproduction of large very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) particles, which initiates a sequence of lipoprotein changes, resulting in higher levels of remnant particles, smaller LDL, and lower levels of high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol [17].

In the present study it was found that serum TSH levels of subjects in cases group  $(3.33 \pm 0.78)$  were significantly higher (p < 0.001) than that of controls  $(2.30 \pm 0.91)$  which is in accordance with the different studies done by Lai et al. and Chugh et al. [18,19]. Bastemir et al. and Kundsen et al. [2,20] found in different studies that obesity per se causes increased TSH with no effect on T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> [3,20] while studies done by Stichel et al., Reinehr et al. and Kiortsis et al. concluded that obesity causes increase in TSH and T<sub>3</sub> with no effect on T<sub>4</sub> [23]. In a study done by KVS Hari Kumar et al. [21] T<sub>3</sub> showed positive correlation with triglycerides, LDLcholesterol (LDL), total cholesterol, insulin, HOMA-IR (insulin resistance) and negatively with body fat. TSH correlated positively with BMI, insulin, HOMA-IR, LDL and negatively with HDL-cholesterol (p < 0.05). FT<sub>3</sub> correlated positively with waist circumference and T4 did not show correlation with metabolic syndrome parameters [22]. Many different studies shows the positive correlation of thyroid profile with metabolic syndrome [24], similarly in the present study significant association found between the thyroid profile and different component of metabolic syndrome.

In our study, it was found that serum  $T_3$  correlates positively with waist circumference, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and fasting sugar level,  $T_4$  correlates positively with only systolic blood pressure, while TSH correlates positively only with triglyceride levels. It may be because of early adjustment and short half life of  $T_3$  compare to  $T_4$  and TSH in patients with metabolic syndrome.

There was limitation in the present study in determination of exact correlation between thyroid functions and metabolic syndrome as we chose only those subjects in whom thyroid profile was normal and all other subjects with deranged thyroid profile were excluded in order to negate the confounding effect of thyroid function in the pathogenesis of metabolic syndrome.

From the above study, it is clear those thyroid dysfunctions are independent risk factor for the metabolic syndrome.

#### Conclusion

Waist circumference, mean systolic pressure, diastolic pressure, fasting blood sugar, total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, and thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) were significantly higher, and high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol were significantly lower in the metabolic syndrome patients compared to the control group concluding that there is significant association between TSH and metabolic syndrome, and it highlights the importance of thyroid function tests in patients with metabolic syndrome. It is still not clear whether alterations in thyroid hormones are a cause or an effect of obesity (metabolic syndrome) suggesting need for further evaluation on a large scale with inclusion of various hormones elaborated by adipose tissue.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

#### REFERENCES

- Michalaki MA, Vagenakis AG, Leonardou AS, Argentou MN, Habeos IG, Makri MG, et al. Thyroid function in humans with morbid obesity. Thyroid 2006;16:73–8.
- [2] Bastemir M, Akin F, Alkis E, Kaptanoglu B. Obesity is associated with increased serum TSH level, independent of thyroid function. Swiss Med Wkly 2007;137:431–4.
- [3] Stichel H, I'Allemand D, Gruter A. Thyroid function and obesity in children and adolescents. Horm Res 2000;54:14–9.
- [4] Bhowmick SK, Dasari G, Levens KL, Rettig KR. The prevalence of elevated serum thyroid-stimulating hormone in childhood/adolescent obesity and of autoimmune thyroid diseases in a subgroup. J Natl Med Assoc 2007;99:773–6.
- [5] The IDF. Consensus worldwide definition of the metabolic syndrome. Int Diabetes Fed IDF 2006:10–1.
- [6] Misra A, Khurana L. Obesity and the metabolic syndrome in developing countries. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008:S9–30.
- [7] Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsén B, Lahti K, Nissén M, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. Diabetes Care 2001;24:683–9.
- [8] Regitz-Zagrosek V, Lehmkuhl E, Weickert MO. Review Gender differences in the metabolic syndrome and their role for cardiovascular disease. Clin Res Cardiol 2006;95:136–47.
- [9] He Y, Jiang B, Wang J, Feng K, Chang Q, Fan L, et al. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its relation to cardiovascular disease in an elderly Chinese population. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1588–94.
- [10] Sidorenkov O, Nilssen O, Brenn T, Martiushov S, Arkhipovsky VL, Grjibovski AM. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its components in Northwest Russia: the Arkhangelsk study. BMC Public Health 2010;10:23.
- [11] Ilanne-Parikka P, Eriksson JG, Lindstrom J, Hämäläinen H, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, et al. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its components: findings from a Finnish general population sample and the Diabetes Prevention Study cohort. Diabetes Care 2004;27:2135–40.

- [12] Jahan F, Qureshi R, Borhany T, Hamza HB. Metabolic syndrome: frequency and gender differences at an out – patient clinic. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2007;17:32–5.
- [13] Dallongeville J, Cottel D, Arveiler D, Tauber JP, Bingham A, Wagner A, et al. The association of metabolic disorders with the metabolic syndrome is different in men and women. Ann Nutr Metab 2004;48:43–50.
- [14] Executive summary of the third report of the national cholesterol education program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult treatment Panel III). JAMA 2001;285:2486–97.
- [15] Reaven GM. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. Diabetes 1988;37:1595-607.
- [16] Ogbera AO. Prevalence and gender distribution of the metabolic syndrome. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2010;2:1.
- [17] Adiels M, Olofsson SO, Taskinen MR, Borén J. Overproduction of very low-density lipoproteins is the hallmark of the dyslipidemia in the metabolic syndrome. Arter Biol 2008;28:1225–36.
- [18] Lai Y, Wang J, Jiang F, Wang B, Chen Y, Li M, et al. The relationship between serum thyrotropin and components of metabolic syndrome. Endocr J 2011;58:23–30.

- [19] Chugh K, Goyal S, Shankar V, Chugh SN. Thyroid function tests in metabolic syndrome. Indian J Endocr Metab 2012;16:958–61.
- [20] Kundsen N, Lamberg P, Rasmussen LB, Bülow I, Perrild H, Ovesen L, et al. Small differences in thyroid function may be important for body mass index and the occurrence of obesity in the population. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90:4019–24.
- [21] Kumar HK, Yadav RK, Prajapati J, Reddy CVK, Raghunath M, Modi KD. Association between thyroid hormones, insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome. Saudi Med J 2009;30:908–11.
- [22] Reinehr T, de Sousa G, Toschke AM, Andler W. Comparison of metabolic syndrome prevalence using eight different definitions: a critical approach. Arch Dis Child 2007;92:1067–72.
- [23] Kiortsis D, Mavridis A, Vasakos S, Nikas S, Drosos A. Effects of infliximab treatment on insulin resistance in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis 2005;64:765–6.
- [24] Agarwal P, Singh MM, Gutch M. Thyroid function and metabolic syndrome. Thyroid Res Pract 2015;12:85–6.