e-Cardiology/Digital Health - Remote Patient Monitoring and Telehealth

## Remote app-based management of atrial fibrillation during the COVID-19: The centre characteristics and experiences of the European TeleCheck-AF project

Linz D.1; Pluymaekers N.1; Hermans A.1; Van Der Velden R.1; Verhaert D.1; Gupta D.2; Steven D.3; Duncker D.4; Manninger M.5; Svennberg E.6; Heidbuchel H.7; Crijns H.1; Sahaib A.8; Tomlinson D.9; Hendriks J.10

<sup>1</sup>Maastricht University Medical Centre (MUMC), Maastricht, Netherlands (The)
<sup>2</sup>Liverpool Heart and Chest Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
<sup>3</sup>Cologne University Hospital - Heart Center, Cologne, Germany
<sup>4</sup>Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
<sup>5</sup>Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria
<sup>6</sup>Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
<sup>7</sup>University Hospital Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
<sup>8</sup>Barts Heart Centre, London, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
<sup>9</sup>Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Plymouth, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
<sup>10</sup>Flinders Medical Centre and Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia

Funding Acknowledgements: Type of funding sources: None.

OnBehalf: TeleCheck-AF Investigators

Aims: Herein we describe the characteristics, inclusion rates and experiences from participating centres in the European TeleCheck-AF project. TeleCheck-AF is a multicentre international project initiated to maintain care delivery for patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) during COVID-19 through teleconsultations supported by an on-demand photoplethysmography-based heart rate and rhythm monitoring app (Fibri-Check®).

Methods: Two surveys exploring centre characteristics (n = 25) and centre experiences (n = 23) were completed.

Results: Most centres were academic (64%) and specialized public cardiology/district hospitals (36%). Majority of centres had AF outpatient clinics (64%) and only 36% had AF ablation clinics. The time required to start patient inclusion and total number of included patients in the project was comparable for centres experienced (56%) or inexperienced in mHealth use. Within 28 weeks, 1930 AF patients were recruited, mainly for remote AF control (31% of patients) and AF ablation follow-up (42%). Average inclusion rate was highest during the lockdown restrictions and reached a steady state at a lower level after easing the restrictions (188 vs 52 weekly recruited patients). Majority (>80%) of the centres reported no problems during the implementation of the TeleCheck-AF approach. Centres agreed that the on-boarding process of their center in the TeleCheck-AF project was simple and access to the patients measurements via stand-alone cloud infrastructure was trouble-free and possible from the first day on. They also agreed that remote heart rate and rhythm assessment by the FibriCheck® app around teleconsulatation supported their medical decision making; that their patients responded positively to use FibriCheck® for seven days; and that they felt comfortable to interpret PPG recordings.

**Conclusions:** Despite different health care settings and mHealth experiences, the TeleCheck-AF approach could be set up within an extremely short time and easily used in different European centres during COVID-19.

## Abstract Figure.

