

## NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

Int J Drug Policy. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 October 28.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Int J Drug Policy. 2014 January ; 25(1): 96–104. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2013.06.008.

### Police sexual coercion and its association with risky sex work and substance use behaviors among female sex workers in St. Petersburg and Orenburg, Russia

Veronika Odinokova<sup>1</sup> [head of innovation programs], Maia Rusakova<sup>1,2</sup> [director senior staff researcher], Lianne A Urada<sup>3</sup> [postdoctoral scholar], Jay G Silverman<sup>3,4</sup> [professor senior fellow], and Anita Raj<sup>3,5,4</sup> [professor senior fellow]

<sup>1</sup>NGO Stellit, 197101 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>Deviance and Social control, The Sociological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 198005 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

<sup>3</sup>Division of Global Public Health, School of Medicine, University of California at San Diego, 92093-0507 La Jolla, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Center on Global Justice, University of California at San Diego, 92093-0507 La Jolla, CA, USA

All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at www.icmje.org/coi\_disclosure.pdf and declare: no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years, no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Contributors: VO led conceptualization of this paper, wrote the statistical analysis plan, cleaned and analyzed the data, and drafted and finalized the paper for submission. She is guarantor. MR originated and conceptualized the overall study from which the current paper was developed and provided input into conceptualization of the paper. LAU helped draft and revise the paper. JGS revised the paper critically for important intellectual content. AR co-led conception of the paper with VO, participated in interpretation of findings, worked closely with VO on drafting the paper, and revised the paper critically for important intellectual content. All authors gave final approval of the submitted version of this manuscript.

Informed Consent: Participants gave informed consent before taking part in the study. The copy of ethical approval is available upon request from the organization issued the approval: The Sociological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Deviance and Social control, 7th Krasnoarmeiskaya st., St. Petersburg, 198005 Russian Federation Tel. / Fax: 812 316-05-71. Reference: Special session of Deviance and Social control on 15 May 2007.

The study (development of concept, data collection tools, data collection in St. Petersburg and Orenburg, data input and cleaning, primary analysis) was conducted through the efforts and financial support of NGO Stellit. Dr. Maia Rusakova is the founder and director of NGO Stellit as well as the head of the study, and Dr. Veronika Odinokova is a Research Scientist within NGO Stellit who participated in all aspects of study design and implementation under the direction of Dr. Rusakova.

All authors had full access to all of the data (including statistical reports and tables) in the study and can take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Data sharing: Data from this study can be available upon request from NGO Stellit.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/ and http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/legalcode.

Correspondence to: V Odinokova verony1@yandex.ru.

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, a worldwide license to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v) the inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it may be located; and, vi) license any third party to do any or all of the above.

<sup>5</sup>Boston University, School of Medicine/Boston Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Section of General Internal Medicine, Clinical Addiction Research and Education, 02118 Boston, MA, USA

#### Abstract

**Background**—Extensive research documents that female sex workers (FSWs) in Russia are very vulnerable to abuses from police, including police sexual coercion. However, despite qualitative data suggesting abusive policing practices are more likely for FSWs contending with substance abuse issues and risky sex work contexts, there is a paucity of quantitative study evaluating these associations specifically in terms of police sexual coercion. Such research is needed to guide structural interventions to improve health and safety for FSWs in Russia and globally.

**Objective**—The purpose of this study is to assess the prevalence of police sexual coercion among FSWs from two Russian cities, St. Petersburg and Orenburg, and to determine whether riskier sex work behaviors and contexts and substance use behaviors, including both IDU and risky alcohol use, are associated with increased risk for sexual coercion from police

**Method**—FSWs in St. Petersburg and Orenburg were recruited via time-location and convenience sampling and completed structured surveys on demographics (age, education), sex work risks (e.g., violence during sex work) and substance use. Logistic regression analyses assessed associations of substance use and risky sex work with police sexual coercion, adjusting for demographics.

**Results**—Participants (N=896) were aged 15 and older (94% were 20+ years). Most (69%) reported past year binge alcohol use, and 48% reported IDU the day before. Half (56%) reported 4+ clients per day. Rape during sex work ever was reported by 64%. Police sexual coercion in the past 12 months was reported by 38%. In the multivariate model, both current IDU (AOR=2.09, CI=1.45–3.02) and past year binge alcohol use (AOR=1.46, CI=1.03–2.07) were associated with police sexual coercion, as was selling sex on the street (not in venues) (AOR=7.81, CI=4.53–13.48) and rape during sex work (AOR=2.04, CI=1.43–2.92).

**Conclusion**—Current findings document the substantial role police sexual violence plays in the lives of FSWs in Russia. These findings also highlight heightened vulnerability to such violence among self-managed and substance abusing FSWs in this context. Structural interventions addressing police violence against FSWs may be useful to improve the health and safety of this population.

#### Keywords

Russia; police; sex work; substance use; HIV

#### INTRODUCTION

Sex work in Russia is linked to a number of health and human rights concerns including drug use, organized crime, violence and the spread of HIV/AIDS (Aral & St. Lawrence, 2002; Aral, St. Lawrence, Dyatlov, & Kozlov, 2005; Aral et al., 2003; Crago, Rakhmetova, Karadafov, Islamova, & Maslova, 2008; Decker et al., 2012; Hughes, 2002; Stachowiak et al., 2005). Sex work is not legal in the country, and is subject to administrative fine (up to

approximately 2000 rubles or US\$60) though not imprisonment (" Code of Russian Federation on administrative offenses. Article 6.11.,"). Studies document a highly structured social organization of sex work in Russia, with different venues (e.g., street, hotel, brothel, etc), time FSWs work (hours per day and days per week; intermittent), positions and functions that make up the social organization and control of FSWs (pimps, guards, drivers, police, etc), and level of power and control experienced by FSWs(Aral & St. Lawrence, 2002; Aral, et al., 2005; Aral, et al., 2003; Stachowiak, et al., 2005). These factors intersect and vary from city to city and over time in Russia, affecting FSWs' health and safety in a dynamic fashion(Aral & St. Lawrence, 2002; Aral, et al., 2005; Aral, et al., 2003; Smolskaya, Yakovleva, Kasumov, & Gheorgitsa, 2004; USAID/Stellit, 2007). Nonetheless, across contexts, controlling and abusive policing practices are documented as a major concern for FSWs in the country(Aral & St. Lawrence, 2002; Aral, et al., 2005; Aral, et al., 2003; Crago, et al., 2008; Decker, et al., 2012; Smolskaya, et al., 2004; USAID/Stellit, 2007). This study seeks to examine one important aspect of police abuse of FSWs, police sexual coercion, and its association with risky sex work and substance use.

While police abuses are widespread and well documented in Russia, FSWs are particularly vulnerable to such abuse, particularly in the form of sexual coercion (Aral & St. Lawrence, 2002; Aral, et al., 2005; Aral, et al., 2003; Crago, et al., 2008; Decker, et al., 2012; Smolskaya, et al., 2004; USAID/Stellit, 2007). Police in the country exploit the illegal nature of sex work, demanding sex for free from FSWs in lieu of paying a fine upon being arrested or in exchange for leniency towards FSWs and their pimps (Aral, et al., 2003; Decker, et al., 2012; Stachowiak, et al., 2005). Such demand for free sex is sometimes viewed as an expected sexual service for militia or police, and in the FSW context is known as "subbotnik" (Aral, et al., 2003; Stachowiak, et al., 2005). In the USSR the word "subbotnik" meant a free labor performed at leisure time for the benefit of society; however, by late Soviet times, it was regarded as an inevitable and unpleasant duty. In a qualitative study of 32 street-based FSWs in Moscow (Stachowiak, et al., 2005), women who reported experiencing subbotnik describe being treated well by the police, such as being provided dinner or time to rest and using condoms. However, other research indicates that subbotnik is generally required of, and sometimes used to define, the FSWs who are of lowest status (Aral, et al., 2003).

Such mistreatment of FSWs by police is a characteristic of the nature of police treatment of FSWs and other groups engaging in illicit behavior, such as people who inject drugs (PWID), in the context of Russia and other former Soviet states (Crago, et al., 2008; Kon, 1997; Rhodes, Simi , Baroš, Platt, & Žiki , 2008; Rhodes et al., 2012). Recent quantitative research with a small sample of FSWs in Moscow found subbotnik to be common, reported by 37%, and associated with current STI/HIV prevalence (Decker, et al., 2012). Further research is needed to document how such sexual coercion from police affects STI/HIV risk. Likely, heightened vulnerability to both police sexual coercion and HIV/STI exposure occurs for those sex workers contending with substance use and riskier sex work, but there is lack of data exploring this issue. This study hypothesizes greater vulnerability to police sexual coercion among those FSWs engaging in risky substance use, including heavy drinking and injection drug use, as well as those exposed to riskier sex work, as indicated by more frequent sex work, more sex work clients, and greater abuse from clients.

To consider police sexual coercion and how it is affected by FSWs' risky substance use and sex work, two Russian cities with distinctly different sex work profiles were included for study- St. Petersburg and Orenburg. St. Petersburg is a center of culture and education in Russia, a major tourist city with a population size of 5 million residents. The sex work industry in St. Petersburg is large, with about 10,000 FSWs operating in the city (Aral, et al., 2005). FSWs in St. Petersburg are mostly (approximately half) available on the street and transportation routes connecting the city center with suburbs, these street sex workers largely come to sex work due to injection drug use and work on their own often on an as needed basis for drugs or money(Aral, et al., 2005). Other sex workers are venue-based, in brothels, massage parlors, saunas and hotels; these FSWs can be "ordered" at venue sites or via catalogues, newspapers or internet sites (Aral, et al., 2005) Many of these venue-based sex workers are managed by others, though a small proportion manage themselves (Aral, et al., 2005). In contrast, Orenburg is a city of approximately 600,000, bordering Kazakhstan and is an industrial area where gas and oil are mined and processed. Sex work is an economic means of survival in this area where job opportunities for women are limited. Venue-based sex work is more common in Orenburg, with FSWs mostly working in the three city hotels, at "leisure agencies", and on call. Advertisements of sex work in this smaller setting occur through print advertising on columns, stops and fences along large roads, as well as via co-operation with the managers of bath-houses, saunas and taxi drivers. Self-management of FSWs is less common in Orenburg, even among street-based FSWs who, in Orenburg, often work with pimps; injection drug use among FSWs is also less common in Orenburg (USAID/Stellit, 2007). Use of these distinctly different cities provides important insight into how police sexual coercion operates in different contexts of sex work. However, both cities have an annual HIV incidence that exceeds the national average(Pokrovsky, 2012), contributing to Russia being one of the few nations in the world where the HIV epidemic is growing (Pokrovsky, 2012; UNAIDS, 2011). Understanding the role of police sexual coercion of FSWs in these two cities can guide development of interventions for FSWs to reduce risk for HIV as well as other concerns.

#### **METHOD**

This study involved cross-sectional quantitative data collected from FSWs (N=896) recruited from the Russian cities of St. Petersburg and Orenburg. Survey data were collected from June 2007 to March 2008. This study was reviewed and approved by the ethical review board of the Sociological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Deviance and Social Control Department.

#### Sampling Procedures

Sampling procedures were developed for each city with the goal of reflecting structural features of sex work, including location and type of prostitution. In St. Petersburg, sites for street sex worker recruitment were determined using key informant and observational input to create a time-location sampling procedure, i.e., a listing of locations street FSWs work by time of their work. A sample of 73 time-location clusters (different times/days across 15 locations) was selected for inclusion into the study with representation based on the above described location characteristics and with a probability proportional to the total number of

Page 5

FSWs for each location. For venue-based FSWs in St. Petersburg, Stellit's relationships with managers at six brothels and two hotels facilitated recruitment. In Orenburg, key informant and observational input was also used to create a time-location sampling procedure. Twenty-five locations of street sex work were identified where an average of 5 women presented at each site throughout the day. All street FSW sites and all three hotels in Orenburg were included in the study. Brothel-based FSWs were not approached in this city because of absence of any outreach work with brothels and thus absence of established contacts and trust with the managers. In both cities, each selected cluster was visited 2–3 times, and all available FSWs at each visit were invited into this study. FSWs available solely via calls (e.g., call girls, escorts) were not able to be included in the study due to lack of connection to location.

#### **Recruitment and Participation**

Recruitment involved trained research staff from Stellit approaching women directly at street sites and with support from managers at venue sites. Women were informed about the purpose of the study, which was a sociological examination of sex work and social and health needs of FSWs in Russia. Of 680 FSWs in St. Petersburg asked to participate in this study, 665 consented to participate in the study (97.8% response rate). In Orenburg, of 235 FSWs approached, 231 consented to participate (98.3% response rate). Thus, a final sample size of 896 participants was achieved across the two cities. Within St. Petersburg, 66.2% of FSWs were from the street (n=440), 24.1% from brothels (n=160), 5.3% from railway stations (n=35), and 4.6% from hotels (n=30). Within Orenburg, 76.6% of FSW were from the street (n=177) and 23.7% (n=54) were from hotels.

#### **Study Procedure**

Subsequent to recruitment and acquisition of oral informed consent, all participants completed a structured face-to face survey interview specifically developed for this study. Surveys were approximately 60–90 minutes in length and assessed sociodemographic profiles (e.g., age, education), substance use and sexual behaviors, sex work experiences including police involvement, and other social and health issues relevant to FSWs in Russia, such as child abuse and sexual history. Surveys were conducted in private locations. In street sex worker settings, a van was often used to provide a private location for data collection. Surveys were administered during working hours for the sex workers, in between clients. If a woman received notification of a client during the interview, the interview stopped and was continued when the participant was next available, on the same day. Participants were given a small gift of cosmetics subsequent to completion of the survey and were thanked for their time. The survey was combined with the recruitment of FSWs into an HIV prevention program for sex workers, which included HIV counseling and education as well as condom provision, facilitating participant motivation and likely affecting the high response rate for this study.

#### Measures

Although many items included in this study were used in previous research with FSWs(Smolskaya, et al., 2004; USAID/Stellit, 2007), prior to study implementation, the

survey was pilot tested with 8 FSWs in St. Petersburg to ensure its clarity and utility with this vulnerable population.

Demographic items assessed participant age, marital history and status, number of children, and education. Criminal violations were assessed by a single item on the number of convictions the participant had; this variable was dichotomized as any versus none.

Substance use measures included both alcohol and drug use items. In terms of alcohol use, participants were asked whether they had ever used alcohol ("Can we call you a total abstainer, i.e. a person who has never used any alcohol, even light drinks, during your whole life?") and their age at first alcohol use. Any alcohol use and young age at first use (dichotomized as alcohol use prior to age 14 years versus at age 14 or older or abstainer) were constructed from single items. The subsample of alcohol users were then asked the whether they used any alcohol (listed as wine, beer, strong drinks (liquor, vodka) and premixed alcoholic cocktails (alcopops) during the last 12 months, number of days they used each type of alcohol in the past 12 months ("How frequently do you use the types of alcohol named by you above?"), to assess daily drinking. Following this, they were asked the number of drinks (standard definitions of types and volume of alcohol for wine, beer, strong drinks, and premixed cocktails) drank in a typical drinking day. These data were converted into standard equivalents of 100% ethanol and then the number of standard drinks of ethanol to define number of drinks per day. Those reporting three or more drinks per session at least once a month (equivalent of ~60 g of ethanol) were defined as binge drinkers. Similar cutoff points were previously used in many studies on different populations, including Russia (Bobak, McKee, Rose, & Marmot, 1999; Bobrova, West, Malyutina, Malyutina, & Bobak, 2010). In terms of drug use, participants were asked whether they had ever used drugs ("Have you ever used narcotic drugs and/or other similar inebriating substances not prescribed by the doctor, including non-recurrent consumption and very small quantities?") and their age at first drug use. Any drug use and young age at first use (dichotomized as drug use prior to age 20 years versus at age 20 or older or abstainer, based on a median split) were constructed from these questions. Those reporting drug use were then asked types of drugs used, past 30 day drug use ("Did you use narcotic drugs and/or other similar inebriating substances not prescribed by the doctor, including non-recurrent consumption and very small quantities, yesterday?"), and injection drug use the day before study enrollment ("Was the drug you used yesterday an injecting one?").

Sex work history was assessed by asking location of sex work (e.g., railway, street, brothel, sauna, hotel), duration of time having worked in sex work (categorized in years, see Table 1), number of clients served in typical working day (dichotomized as <4 or 4+, based on a median split), number of hours worked per working day in the past 12 months (< 6 hours or 6+ hours), and number of days per week engaged in sex work in the past 12 months. Measures of abuse/control in sex work were developed for this study and included questions on whether sex work was managed by self or others, history of rape during sex work, history of sexual coercion during sex work, physical violence during sex work, restricted mobility in sex work ("Have you been prohibited from going out of a house or room and into the street during sex work?"), and restricted medical care access ("Have you been prohibited from

obtaining necessary medical help during sex work?") during sex work. History of STI in sex work based on reported diagnosis was also assessed.

Our primary outcome, police sexual coercion, was constructed by asking first whether the police were in contact with the participant in the context of sex work in the past 12 months and, if so, whether the participant was coerced to render sexual services by the police in the context of this contact.

#### **Data Analyses**

Descriptive analysis of all variables was conducted for the total sample and stratified for study site and for those who did and did not report police sexual coercion in the past 12 months. Chi-square analyses were conducted to assess associations between all variables and police sexual coercion; differences for all variables by city were also assessed. Adjusted logistic regression models were used to determine associations between risky sex work and substance use variables with the outcome of police sexual coercion, for the total sample and stratified by site. As a first step toward model creation, we assessed for multicollinearity; a tolerance of less than 0.20 or 0.10 and/or a VIF of 5 or 10 was defined as indicative of a multicollinearity problem (O'Brien, 2007). Rape, sexual coercion and physical violence during sex work were collinear, so only rape was included for consideration in the final model. Venue-based sex work, street/highway/railway-based sex work, and managed by others were collinear, so only street/highway/railway-based sex work was included for consideration in the final model. Past 30 day drug use and injection drug use the day prior to study enrollment were also collinear, so only injection drug use the day prior to study enrollment was included for consideration in the final model. Once variables were selected for consideration in the final model, a regression analysis was conducted. Odds ratios were used to determine effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals were used to detect significance.

#### RESULTS

#### **Sample Characteristics**

Participants were aged 15 to 45, with most (74.1%) aged 20–29 years. Few were currently married (9.6%), but 31.4% had minor aged children. [See Table 1.]

The majority of FSWs were city residents from birth. Ten percent (10.5%) had a history of being convicted of a crime connected mainly with theft and illegal drug market. Early age at first alcohol use (<14 years) was reported by 26.8%. Daily alcohol use was reported by 30.4% of women; and 69.3% reported binge drinking in the past 12 months. Most (71.3%) had a history of drug use, with half (47.5%) reporting injection drug the day prior to study enrollment. In terms of sex work involvement, most women (86.1%) had been involved in sex work for more than a year; 56.5% for 3 or more years. The majority of FSW worked 6–7 days a week (54.2%), 6 or more hours per day (61.1%), and had four or more clients per work day (56.1%) in the past 12 months. Most experienced abuse during sex work, including rape (63.6%), sexual coercion (71.7%), and physical violence (53.9%). More than one-third of women (38.2%) reported sexual coercion from police in the past 12 months.

Chi-square analyses to assess difference between groups by site revealed may significant differences. [See Table 1.] Participants from Orenburg, relative to St. Petersburg, were more likely to be younger and migrants from within Russia or immigrants from outside of Russia. They were less likely to be married and educated beyond secondary school, to have been convicted of a crime, and to report daily alcohol use, binge alcohol use, and drug use (ever, recently, injection). Those from Orenburg were also newer to sex work (18% vs 7% in sex work <1 year), less likely to work on the streets, had less volume in sex work (fewer clients per day, fewer working days per week, fewer working hours per day), were less likely to be self-managed, and were less likely to have been abused in sex work (though this still meant that 53% had been raped during sex work and 45% had been physically assaulted during sex work). They were additionally less likely to report police sexual coercion.

#### Factors Associated with Police Sexual Coercion

Chi-square analyses document increased risk for police sexual coercion among those who were city residents since birth, were residing in St. Petersburg, without college or university education, with riskier alcohol and drug use profiles, with high volume sex work (more workdays per week, more work hours per day, more clients per day) and with violence in sex work, among those reporting street-based sex work, and residence in St. Petersburg.

Notably, those whose sex work was managed by others were less likely to report a history of police sexual coercion, and conviction history was not associated with police sexual coercion.

Adjusted regression analysis revealed street sex work (AOR=8.03, 95% CI=4.58–14.07), rape during sex work (AOR=2.09, 95% CI=1.46–2.99), and recent drug injection 1.94 [1.15–3.26] as significant predictors of police sexual coercion.

For St. Petersburg, street sex work (AOR=20.70, 95% CI=8.07–53.08) and rape during sex work (AOR=2.19, 95% CI=1.44–3.33) were significantly associated with police sexual coercion. Notably, injection drug use was significantly associated with police sexual coercion until street sex work was placed in the model; injection drug use and street sex work were highly correlated though multicollinearity was not detected. For Orenburg, street sex work (AOR=4.44 [2.18, 9.06) and binge alcohol use (AOR=2.98 [1.20, 7.40) were significant predictors of police sexual coercion.

#### DISCUSSION

The prevalence of police sexual coercion among FSWs in this sample from two Russian cities appears to be quite widespread, with more than one in three (38%) reporting such victimization, corroborating previous smaller scale quantitative and qualitative studies that show sexual violence against sex workers from police is highly pervasive in Russia (Aral, et al., 2003; Crago, et al., 2008; Decker, et al., 2012; Rhodes, et al., 2008; Stachowiak, et al., 2005). Such violence was substantially more likely to appear in the context of St. Petersburg relative to Orenburg, with 83% of FSW having experienced such violence were St. Petersburg residents. Such higher rates of sexual violence from St. Petersburg may be attributable to this city having more street sex work occurring; street sex work was found to

place FSWs at greater risk for police sexual coercion in the current study. As street sex work in St. Petersburg is more likely to be self-managed and involve injection drug use (Smolskaya, et al., 2004; USAID/Stellit, 2007), these sex workers are likely more vulnerable to police violence. Notably, rape in sex work is also linked to increased risk for sexual violence from police; this higher risk for rape in sex work may again be attached to heightened risk for self-managed sex workers due to their greater visibility, as has been seen in research in other settings (Decker et al., 2010; Harcourt & Donovan, 2005; Maher et al., 2011; Rhodes, et al., 2008; Shannon & Csete, 2010; Shannon et al., 2009; Shannon et al., 2009). The current finding that having someone manage your sex work decreased risk for police sexual coercion is notable as previous research has consistently described lack of autonomy among FSWs as linked to increased sexual risk for HIV(Church, Henderson, Barnard, & Hart, 2001; Decker, et al., 2010; May, Harocopos, & Hough, 2000; Prabhakar Parimi, Mishra, Tucker, & Saggurti, 2012; Silverman, 2011; Silverman et al., 2011). However, the current findings suggest that even when FSWs self-manage themselves, they remain vulnerable to external control by police. While pimps and madams are often thought of as traffickers and generally as perpetrators who take advantages of FSWs, they have also been known to offer protection, support, and improve access to health services (Aral, et al., 2005).

Beyond these structural factors of location and self-management as risks for police sexual coercion, substance use involvement also significantly increased the likelihood of sexual coercion from police. In Orenburg, where injection drug use was less common, binge alcohol use was associated with police sexual coercion; this association was not seen in St. Petersburg. In St. Petersburg, where the vast majority of street based sex workers are injection drug users, the street sex work factor eliminates the effect of injecting drugs upon the likelihood of sexual coercion from police. These findings are consistent with prior research documenting police sexual violence among substance using FSWs, both in India (Erausquin, Reed, & Blankenship, 2011) where alcohol risk was reported, and in Mexico where drug injection was reported (Beletsky et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2008; Silverman, et al., 2011).

This study offers the first quantitative analysis documenting the significant association between substance use and police sexual coercion in Russia, and highlights how type of substance associated with police sexual coercion may differ by city. Nonetheless, further research is needed to guide understanding of how police sexual violence may intersect with other forms of police violence documented against IDUs in Russia, including confiscation of needles (Beletsky, et al., 2012; Lunze et al., 2012; Rhodes et al., 2006; Sarang, Rhodes, Sheon, & Page, 2010; Wolfe, Carrieri, & Shepard, 2010). Such studies likely need to include examination of dehumanizing attitudes toward FSWs and drug users and appropriateness of police control of this population; such attitudes have been demonstrated as pervasive among police in Russia (Rhodes, et al., 2012; Sarang, et al., 2010). Such work can guide structural health intervention approaches for FSWs, an approach which has been demonstrated to work in other national settings, such as India, Dominican Republic, and the China (Kerrigan et al., 2006; Morisky & Urada, 2011; P. Parimi, Mishra, Tucker, & N., 2012).

While this study offers important findings and implications for future interventions, results should be considered in the context of a number of study limitations. This study may not be representative of the overall experiences of violence and coercion among FSW in Russia, but only of experiences among street-based FSWs in these sites. The most vulnerable sex workers, such as victims of trafficking and underage girls, are usually the most hidden in other national contexts (Brennan, 2005; Goldenberg et al., 2012; Gozdziak & Collett, 2005; Silverman, 2011) and may be less represented in this study, as well. There is no data on the context or circumstances of the police sexual violence; thus, we cannot know whether these cases involve the level and nature of the force (e.g., in exchange for a fine or with the threat of physical violence). There are also no data to guide understanding of whether and how these indications of force may be linked to other forms of police violence and control, such as extortion and harassment of IDUs (e.g., confiscation of needles), behaviors demonstrated in previous research from Russia (Rhodes, et al., 2006; Sarang, et al., 2010; Wolfe, et al., 2010). How police sexual violence impacts HIV prevention, including the police practice of using condoms as evidence to arrest FSWs (Borges, Medina-Mora, Breslau, & Aguilar-Gaxiola, 2007; Rhodes, et al., 2008; Shannon et al., 2008; Shannon, et al., 2009) further needs investigation. These limitations support the need for further research to clarify current study findings.

#### CONCLUSION

Current findings document the substantial role police sexual violence plays in the lives of FSW in Russia. These findings also highlight heightened vulnerability to such violence among self-managed and substance abusing FSW in this context. Structural interventions addressing police violence against FSW may be useful to improve the health and safety of this population. However, more research on this issue is needed to help clarify current study findings, investigate the relationship between police sexual coercion and HIV/STI, and support future intervention efforts in this area.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr Iossif Gurvich (Sociological Institute of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia) for his significant input into study concept and design of data collection tool and to our community collaborators Dr Elwina Vinogradova (Center Indicator, Orenburg, Russia) and Zinaida Bodanovskaya (NGO Stellit, St. Petersburg, Russia) for management and monitoring of data collection. We thank all study participants for sharing their personal stories.

#### REFERENCES

- Aral SO, St. Lawrence JS. The Ecology of Sex Work and Drug Use in Saratov Oblast, Russia. Sexually Transmitted Diseases. 2002; 29(12):798–805. [PubMed: 12466723]
- Aral SO, St. Lawrence JS, Dyatlov R, Kozlov A. Commercial sex work, drug use, sexually transmitted infections in St. Petersburg, Russia. Social Science & amp; Medicine. 2005; 60(10):2181–2190. [PubMed: 15748667]
- Aral SO, St. Lawrence JS, Tikhonova L, Safarova E, Parker KA, Shakarishvili A, et al. The Social Organization of Commercial Sex Work in Moscow, Russia. Sexually Transmitted Diseases. 2003; 30(1):39–45. [PubMed: 12514441]
- Beletsky L, Martinez G, Gaines T, Nguyen L, Lozada R, Rangel G, et al. Mexico's northern border conflict: Collateral damage to health and human rights of vulnerable groups. American Journal of Public Health. 2012 (in press).

- Bobak M, McKee M, Rose R, Marmot M. Alcohol consumption in a national sample of the Russian population. Addiction. 1999; 94(6):857–866. [PubMed: 10665075]
- Bobrova N, West R, Malyutina D, Malyutina S, Bobak M. Gender Differences in Drinking Practices in Middle Aged and Older Russians. Alcohol and Alcoholism. 2010; 45(6):573–580. [PubMed: 21075855]
- Borges G, Medina-Mora ME, Breslau J, Aguilar-Gaxiola S. The effect of migration to the United States on substance use disorders among returned Mexican migrants and families of migrants. American Journal of Public Health. 2007; 97(10):1847. [PubMed: 17761563]
- Brennan D. Methodological Challenges in Research with Trafficked Persons: Tales from the Field. International Migration. 2005; 43(1–2):35–54.
- Church S, Henderson M, Barnard M, Hart G. Violence by clients towards female prostitutes in different work settings: questionnaire survey. BMJ. 2001; 322(7285):524–525. [PubMed: 11230067]
- Code of Russian Federation on administrative offenses. Article 6.11.
- Crago AL, Rakhmetova A, Karadafov M, Islamova S, Maslova I. Central & Eastern Europe and Central Asia: police raids and violence put sex workers at risk of HIV. Revue VIH/SIDA, droit et politiques. 2008; 13(2–3):71–72.
- Decker MR, McCauley HL, Phuengsamran D, Janyam S, Seage GR III, Silverman JG. Violence Victimization, Sexual Risk and STI Symptoms Among a National Sample of FSWs in Thailand. Sexually Transmitted Infections. 2010; 86(3):236. [PubMed: 20444745]
- Decker MR, Wirtz AL, Baral SD, Peryshkina A, Mogilnyi V, Weber RA, et al. Injection drug use, sexual risk, violence and STI/HIV among Moscow female sex workers. Sexually Transmitted Infections. 2012
- Erausquin JT, Reed E, Blankenship KM. Police-Related Experiences and HIV Risk Among Female Sex Workers in Andhra Pradesh, India. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2011; 204(suppl 5):S1223– S1228. [PubMed: 22043036]
- Goldenberg S, Rangel G, Vera A, Patterson T, Abramovitz D, Silverman J, et al. Exploring the Impact of Underage Sex Work Among Female Sex Workers in Two Mexico–US Border Cities. AIDS and Behavior. 2012; 16(4):969–981. [PubMed: 22012147]
- Gozdziak EM, Collett EA. Research on Human Trafficking in North America: A Review of Literature. International Migration. 2005; 43(1–2):99–128.
- Harcourt C, Donovan B. The many faces of sex work. Sexually Transmitted Infections. 2005; 81(3): 201–206. [PubMed: 15923285]
- Hughes, DM. Trafficking for sexual exploitation: The case of the Russian Federation. IOM; 2002.
- Kerrigan D, Moreno L, Rosario S, Gomez B, Jerez H, Barrington C, et al. Environmental–Structural Interventions to Reduce HIV/STI Risk Among Female Sex Workers in the Dominican Republic. American Journal of Public Health. 2006; 96(1):120–125. [PubMed: 16317215]
- Kon, I. Seksual'naya kul'tura v Rossii: Klubnichka na berezke [Sexual culture in Russia: strawberry on birch]. Moscow: OGI; 1997.
- Lunze K, Cheng DM, Quinn E, Krupitsky E, Raj A, Walley AY, et al. Nondisclosure of HIV Infection to Sex Partners and Alcohol's Role: A Russian Experience. AIDS Behav. 2012
- Maher L, Mooney-Somers J, Phlong P, Couture M-C, Stein E, Evans J, et al. Selling sex in unsafe spaces: sex work risk environments in Phnom Penh, Cambodia. Harm Reduction Journal. 2011; 8(1):30. [PubMed: 22099449]
- May, T.; Harocopos, A.; Hough, M. Police Research Series Paper. Vol. 134. London: Home Office; 2000. For Love or Money: Pimps and the management of sex work.
- Miller CL, Firestone M, Ramos R, Burris S, Ramos ME, Case P, et al. Injecting drug users' experiences of policing practices in two Mexican–U.S. border cities: Public health perspectives. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2008; 19(4):324–331. [PubMed: 17997089]
- Morisky DE, Urada LA. Organizational policy recommendations for control of STI/HIV among female sex workers in China: regular examination of workers in social hygiene clinics. AIDS Care. 2011; 23(sup1):83–95. [PubMed: 21660754]
- O'Brien RM. A caution regarding rules of thumb for variance inflation factors. Quality & Quantity. 2007; 41(5):673–690.

- Parimi P, Mishra R, Tucker SN, N S. Mobilising community collectivization among female sex workers to promote STI service utilization from the government healthcare system in Andhra Pradesh, India. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 2012
- Parimi P, Mishra RM, Tucker S, Saggurti N. Mobilising community collectivisation among female sex workers to promote STI service utilisation from the government healthcare system in Andhra Pradesh, India. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health. 2012
- Pokrovsky V. HIV in Russian Federation. HIV in Russian Federation. 2012 from http:// www.hivrussia.ru/.
- Rhodes T, Platt L, Sarang A, Vlasov A, Mikhailova L, Monaghan G. Street Policing, Injecting Drug Use and Harm Reduction in a Russian City: A Qualitative Study of Police Perspectives. Journal of Urban Health. 2006; 83(5):911–925. [PubMed: 16855880]
- Rhodes T, Simi M, Baroš S, Platt L, Žiki B. Police violence and sexual risk among female and transvestite sex workers in Serbia: qualitative study. BMJ. 2008; 337
- Rhodes, T.; Wagner, K.; Strathdee, SA.; Shannon, K.; Davidson, P.; Bourgois, P. Structural Violence and Structural Vulnerability Within the Risk Environment: Theoretical and Methodological Perspectives for a Social Epidemiology of HIV Risk Among Injection Drug Users and Sex Workers Rethinking Social Epidemiology. In: O'Campo, P.; Dunn, JR., editors. Springer Netherlands: 2012. p. 205-230.
- Sarang A, Rhodes T, Sheon N, Page K. Policing Drug Users in Russia: Risk, Fear, and Structural Violence. Substance Use & Misuse. 2010; 45(6):813–864. [PubMed: 20397872]
- Shannon K, Csete J. Violence, Condom Negotiation, and HIV/STI Risk Among Sex Workers. JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association. 2010; 304(5):573–574.
- Shannon K, Kerr T, Strathdee SA, Shoveller J, Montaner JS, Tyndall MW. Prevalence and structural correlates of gender based violence among a prospective cohort of female sex workers. BMJ. 2009; 339
- Shannon K, Rusch M, Shoveller J, Alexson D, Gibson K, Tyndall MW. Mapping violence and policing as an environmental-structural barrier to health service and syringe availability among substance-using women in street-level sex work. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2008; 19(2): 140–147. [PubMed: 18207725]
- Shannon K, Strathdee SA, Shoveller J, Rusch M, Kerr T, Tyndall MW. Structural and environmental barriers to condom use negotiation with clients among female sex workers: implications for HIVprevention strategies and policy. Am J Public Health. 2009; 99(4):659–665. [PubMed: 19197086]
- Silverman JG. Adolescent female sex workers: invisibility, violence and HIV. Arch Dis Child. 2011; 96(5):478–481. [PubMed: 21357241]
- Silverman JG, Raj A, Cheng DM, Decker MR, Coleman S, Bridden C, et al. Sex Trafficking and Initiation-Related Violence, Alcohol Use, HIV Risk Among HIV-Infected Female Sex Workers in Mumbai, India. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2011; 204(suppl 5):S1229–S1234. [PubMed: 22043037]
- Smolskaya, TT.; Yakovleva, AA.; Kasumov, VK.; Gheorgitsa, SI. HIV-related sentinel surveillance among risky populations in the Republic of Azerbaijan, Republic of Moldova and the Russian Federation. World Health Organization; 2004.
- Stachowiak JA, Sherman S, Konakova A, Krushkova I, Peryshkina A, Strathdee S. Health risks and power among female sex workers in Moscow. SIECUS Report. 2005; 33:18–25.
- UNAIDS. Global HIV/AIDS Response: Epidemic update and health sector progress towards Universal Access. Progress Report 2011. UNAIDS; 2011.
- USAID/Stellit. Behavioral Monitoring Survey: Russia 2005. Arlington: Family Health International; 2007.
- Wolfe D, Carrieri MP, Shepard D. Treatment and care for injecting drug users with HIV infection: a review of barriers and ways forward. The Lancet. 2010; 376(9738):355–366.

#### Table 1

Demographic, substance use, and sex work characteristics of FSW, Russia (N=896); chi-square analyses to assess differences in these characteristics for FSW from St. Petersburg and Orenburg.

	Total Sample N=896 n (%)	St. Petersburg N=665 n (%)	Orenburg N=231 n (%)	p- values
DEMOGRAPHICS				
Age				
15 – 19	50(5.6)	19(2.9)	31(13.4)	
20-24	301(33.6)	203(30.5)	98(42.4)	
25 - 29	363(40.5)	287(43.2)	76(32.9)	
30 +	182(20.3)	156(23.5)	26(11.3)	0.001
City resident since birth				
Yes	662(73.9)	518(77.9)	144(62.3)	
No	234(26.1)	147(22.1)	87(37.7)	0.001
Migrants from other parts of Russia				
Yes	166(18.5)	107(16.1)	59(25.5)	
No	730(81.5)	558(83.9)	172(74.5)	0.001
Immigrants from outside of Russia				
Yes	67(7.5)	39(5.9)	28(12.1)	
No	829(92.5)	626(94.1)	203(87.9)	0.01
Education				
Secondary/Vocational school	668 (74.6)	471(70.8)	197(85.3)	
College/ University	228 (25.4)	194(29.2)	34(14.7)	0.001
Currently married				
Yes	86(9.6)	74(11.1)	12(5.2)	
No	807(90.4)	590(88.9)	217(94.8)	0.01
Ever married				
Yes	270(30.2)	214(32.2)	56(24.5)	
No	623(69.8)	450(67.8)	173 (75.5)	0.05
Any minor aged children				
Yes	280(31.3)	220 (33.1)	60 (26.0)	
No	616(68.8)	445 (66.9)	171(74.0)	0.05
Convictions ever				
Yes	94(10.5)	93(14.0)	1(0.4)	
No	802(89.5)	572(86.0)	230(99.6)	0.001
Reason(s) for past conviction				

	Total Sample N=896 n (%)	St. Petersburg N=665 n (%)	Orenburg N=231 n (%)	p- value
Article 158. Theft	31(33.0)	31(33.7)	0(0)	
Article 159. Fraud	2(2.1)	2(2.2)	0(0)	
Article 161. Robbery	8(8.5)	8(8.7)	0(0)	
Article 161. Robbery with violence	1(1.1)	1(1.1)	0(0)	
Article 224. Negligent storage of firearms	3(3.2)	3(3.3)	0(0)	
Article 228. The illegal acquisition, storage, transportation, manufacturing, processing of narcotic drugs	67(71.3)	67(72.8)	0(0)	
Article 234. Illicit trafficking of strong or poisonous substances in purpose of sale	2(2.1)	2(2.2)	0(0)	
No answer	1(1.1)	0(0)	1(0.4)	n/a
ALCOHOL USE				
Alcohol Use Prior to Age 14 Years				
Yes	240(26.8)	187(28.1)	53(22.9)	
No	656(73.2)	478(71.9)	178(77.1)	n/s
Daily Alcohol Use, past 12 months				
Yes	272(30.4)	224(33.7)	48(20.8)	
No	624(69.6)	441(66.3)	183(79.2)	0.001
Binge Alcohol Use, past 12 months				
Yes	621(69.3)	442(66.5)	179(77.5)	
No	275(30.7)	223(33.5)	52(22.5)	0.001
DRUG USE				
Ever Drug use				
Yes	639(71.3)	572(86.0)	67(29.0)	
No	257(28.7)	93(14.0)	164(71.0)	0.001
First Drug Use Prior to Age 20 Years				l
Yes	443(49.4)	386(58.0)	174(75.3)	
No	453(50.6)	279(42.0)	57(24.7)	0.001
Any Drug Use past 30 days				
Yes	513(57.3)	490(73.7)	23(10.0)	
No	383(42.7)	175(26.3)	208(90.0)	0.001
Injection Drug Use the day prior to study enrollment				
Yes	426(47.5)	422(63.5)	4(1.7)	
No	470(52.5)	243(36.5)	227(98.3)	0.001
SEX WORK HISTORY				
Currently Venue-Based Sex Work				
Yes	569(63.5)	418(69.2)	151(65.4)	

	Total Sample N=896 n (%)	St. Petersburg N=665 n (%)	Orenburg N=231 n (%)	p- values
No	327(36.5)	247(37.1)	80(34.6)	n/s
Currently Street/Highway/Railway-Based Sex Work				
Yes	597(66.6)	473(71.1)	124(53.7)	
No	299(33.4)	192(28.9)	107(46.3)	0.001
Time in Sex Work				
<1 year	125(14)	80(12.0)	45(19.5)	
1–3 years	265(29.6)	182(27.4)	83(35.9)	
3–5 years	284(31.7)	224(33.7)	60(26.0)	
5+ years	222(24.8)	179(26.9)	43(18.6)	0.001
Number of clients served in typical working day, past 12 months				
1-3 clients per day	389(43.9)	260(39.3)	129(57.1)	
4+ clients per day	498(56.1)	401(60.7)	97(42.9)	0.001
Number of hours worked per working day, past 12 months				
<under 6="" hours<="" td=""><td>410(45.9)</td><td>281(42.4)</td><td>129(56.1)</td><td></td></under>	410(45.9)	281(42.4)	129(56.1)	
6 + hours	483(54.1)	382(57.6)	101(43.9)	0.001
Number of days per week doing sex work, past 12 months				
1–3 days	88(9.9)	69(10.4)	19(8.4)	
4–5 days	319(35.9)	236(35.6)	83(36.7)	
6–7 days	481(54.2)	357(53.9)	124(54.9)	n/s
ABUSE/CONTROL IN SEX WORK				
Police sexual coercion, past 12 months				
Yes	342(38.2)	283(42.6)	59(25.5)	
No	554(61.8)	382(57.4)	172(74.5)	0.001
Managed by others in sex work				
Yes	362(40.4)	197(29.6)	66(28.6)	
No	534(59.6)	468(70.4)	165(71.4)	0.001
Raped During Sex Work, Ever				
Yes	570(63.6)	423(63.6)	147(63.6)	
No	326(36.4)	242(36.4)	84(36.4)	n/s
Coerced Sexually During Sex Work, Ever				
Yes	642(71.7)	489(73.5)	153(66.2)	
No	254(28.3)	176(26.5)	78(33.8)	0.05
Physical Violence During Sex Work, Ever				
Yes	483(53.9)	360(54.1)	123(53.2)	

	Total Sample N=896 n (%)	St. Petersburg N=665 n (%)	Orenburg N=231 n (%)	p- values
No	413(46.1)	305(45.9)	108(46.8)	n/s
Restricted mobility in sex work, Ever				
Yes	300(33.5)	196(29.5)	104(45.0)	
No	596(66.5)	469(70.5)	127(55.0)	0.001
Restricted medical care in sex work, Ever				
Yes	133(14.8)	82(12.3)	51(22.1)	
No	763(85.2)	583(87.7)	180(77.9)	0.001
STI During Sex Work, Ever				
Yes	353(39.4)	227(34.1)	127(55.0)	
No	543 (60.6)	438 (65.9)	104(45.0)	0.001

#### Table 2

Demographic, substance use, and sex work characteristics of FSW from St. Petersburg and Orenburg, Russia (N=896); chi-square analyses to assess differences in these characteristics for FSW reporting and not reporting police sexual coercion in the past 12 months.

	Police Sexual Coercion N=342 n (%)	No Police Sexual Coercion N=554 n (%)	p- values
DEMOGRAPHICS			
Age			
15 – 19	16(4.7)	34(6.1)	Ns
20 - 24	109(31.9)	192(34.7)	
25 – 29	145(42.4)	218(39.4)	
30 +	72(21.1)	110(19.9)	
City			
St.Petersburg	283(82.7)	382(69.0)	
Orenburg	59(17.3)	172(31.0)	0.001
City resident since birth			
Yes	286(83.6)	376(67.9)	
No	56(16.4)	178(32.1)	0.001
Migrants from other parts of Russia			
Yes	39(11.4)	127(22.9)	
No	303(88.6)	427(77.1)	0.001
Immigrants from outside of Russia			
Yes	16(4.7)	51(9.2)	
No	326(95.3)	503(90.8)	0.01
Education			
Secondary/Vocational school	281 (82.2)	387 (69.9)	
College/ University	61 (17.8)	167 (30.1)	0.001
Currently married			
Yes	30(8.8)	56(10.1)	
No	311(91.2)	496(89.9)	Ns
Ever married			
Yes	99(29.0)	171(31)	
No	242(71.0)	381(69)	Ns
Any minor aged children			
Yes	107(31.3)	173(31.2)	
No	235(68.7)	381(68.8)	Ns

	Police Sexual Coercion N=342 n (%)	No Police Sexual Coercion N=554 n (%)	p- value
Convictions ever			
Yes	43(12.6)	51(9.2)	
No	299(87.4)	503(90.8)	Ns
ALCOHOL USE			
Alcohol Use Prior to Age 14 Years			
Yes	120(35.1)	120(21.7)	
No	222(64.9)	434(78.3)	0.001
Daily Alcohol Use, past 12 months			
Yes	147(43.0)	125(22.6)	
No	195(57.0)	429(77.4)	0.001
Binge Alcohol Use, past 12 months			
Yes	251(73.4)	370(66.8)	
No	91(26.6)	184(33.2)	0.05
DRUG USE			
Ever Drug use			
Yes	294(86.0)	345(62.3)	
No	48(14.0)	209(37.7)	0.001
First Drug Use Prior to Age 20 Years			
Yes	220(64.3)	223(40.3)	
No	122(35.7)	331(59.7)	0.001
Any Drug Use past 30 days			
Yes	279(81.6)	234(42.2)	
No	63(18.4)	320(57.8)	0.001
Injection Drug Use the day prior to study enrollment			
Yes	252(73.7)	174(31.4)	
No	90(26.3)	380(68.6)	0.001
SEX WORK HISTORY			
Currently Venue-Based Sex Work			
Yes	177(51.8)	392(70.8)	
No	165(48.2)	162(29.2)	0.001
Currently Street/Highway/Railway-Based Sex Work			
Yes	322(94.2)	275(49.6)	
No	20(5.8)	279(50.4)	0.001

	Police Sexual Coercion N=342 n (%)	No Police Sexual Coercion N=554 n (%)	p- value
Time in Sex Work			
<1 year	25(7.3)	100(18.1)	
1–3 years	90(26.3)	175(31.6)	
3–5 years	123(36)	161(29.1)	
5+ years	104(30.4)	118(21.3)	0.001
Number of clients served in typical working day, past 12 months			
1-3 clients per day	110(32.2)	279(51.2)	
4+ clients per day	232(67.8)	266(48.8)	0.001
Number of hours worked per working day, past 12 months			
<under 6="" hours<="" td=""><td>133(38.9)</td><td>277(50.3)</td><td></td></under>	133(38.9)	277(50.3)	
6 + hours	209(61.1)	274(49.7)	0.001
Number of days per week doing sex work, past 12 months			
1-3 days	16(4.7)	72(13.2)	
4–5 days	78(22.9)	241(44.1)	
6–7 days	247(72.4)	234(42.8)	0.001
ABUSE/CONTROL IN SEX WORK			
Managed by others in sex work			
Yes	67(19.6)	295(53.2)	
No	275(80.4)	259(46.8)	0.001
Raped During Sex Work, Ever			
Yes	274(80.1)	296(53.4)	
No	68(19.9)	258(46.6)	0.001
Coerced Sexually During Sex Work, Ever			
Yes	288(84.2)	354(63.9)	
No	54(15.8)	200(36.1)	0.001
Physical Violence During Sex Work, Ever			
Yes	235(68.7)	248(44.8)	
No	107(31.3)	306(55.2)	0.001
Restricted mobility in sex work, Ever			
Yes	118(34.5)	182(32.9)	
No	224(65.5)	372(67.1)	NS
Restricted medical care in sex work, Ever			
Yes	63(18.4)	70(12.6)	
No	279(81.6)	484(87.4)	0.05

	Police Sexual Coercion N=342 n (%)	No Police Sexual Coercion N=554 n (%)	p- values
STI During Sex Work, Ever			
Yes	140(40.9)	213(38.4)	
No	202(59.1)	341 (61.6)	NS

# Table 3

Regression analyses to assess associations between substance use and police sexual coercion among FSW in St. Petersburg and Orenburg, Russia. (N=896); Method=enter.

	Both cities		St. Petersburg		Orenburg	
	AOR [95.0% C.I.]	p- value	AOR [95.0% C.I.]	p- value	AOR [95.0% C.I.]	p- value
Education (College, University)	.663 [.448–.982]	.040	.820 [.669–1.005]	.055	1.048 [.713–1.542]	s/u
Binge Alcohol Use, past 12 months	1.414 [.996–2.009]	.053	1.151 [.774–1.710]	s/u	2.978 [1.199–7.399]	0.019
Injection Drug Use the day prior to study enrollment 1.936 [1.150–3.260]	1.936 [1.150–3.260]	.013	1.351 [.748–2.441]	s/u	1.226 [.093–16.159]	s/u
Sold sex on the street/highway/railway station	8.030 [4.583–14.068]	000.	20.696 [8.069–53.081] .000	000	4.444 [2.179–9.061]	000.
Rape during sex work ever	2.089 [1.457–2.993]	.000	2.187 [1.436–3.331]	.000	1.872 [.919–3.812]	s/u
City resident since birth	1.222 [.811–1.841]	s/u	.987 [.571–1.708]	s/u	1.289 [.660–2.518]	s/u
City	.918 [.533-1.583]	s/u			-	-