

# Effect of different carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) insufflation for laparoscopic colorectal surgery in elderly patients

# A randomized controlled trial

Rongjuan Jiang, MD<sup>a</sup>, Yan Sun, MD<sup>a</sup>, Huaiming Wang, MD<sup>b</sup>, Min Liang, MD<sup>c</sup>, Xianfeng Xie, MD<sup>a,\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Evidence suggests that dry  $CO_2$  insufflation during laparoscopic colorectal surgery results in greater structural injury to the peritoneum and longer hospital stay than the use of warm, humidified  $CO_2$ . We aimed to test the hypothesis that warm, humidified  $CO_2$  insufflation could reduce postoperative pain and improve recovery in laparoscopic colorectal surgery.

**Methods:** One hundred fifty elderly patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery under general anesthesia from May 2017 to October 2018 were randomly divided into 3 groups. The primary outcomes were resting pain, cough pain, and consumption of sufertanil at 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively. Quality of visual image, hemodynamic changes, esophageal temperature, mean skin temperature, mean body temperature, recovery time, days to first flatus and solid food intake, shivering, incidence of postoperative ileus, length of hospital stay, surgical site infections, patients and surgeon satisfaction scores, adverse events, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time were recorded.

**Results:** Group CE patients were associated with significantly higher early postoperative cough pain and sufentanil consumption than the other 2 groups (P<.05). Compared with group CE, patients in both groups WH and CF had significantly reduced intraoperative hypothermia, recovery time of PACU, days to first flatus and solid food intake, and length of hospital stay, while the satisfaction scores of both patients and surgeon were significantly higher (P<.05). Prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time were significantly higher in group CE from 60 minutes after pneumoperitoneum to the end of pneumoperitoneum than the other 2 groups (P<.05). The number of patients with a shivering grade of 0 was significantly lower and grade of 3 was significantly higher in group CE than in the other 2 groups (P<.05).

**Conclusion:** Use of either warm, humidified CO<sub>2</sub> insufflations or 20°C, 0% relative humidity CO<sub>2</sub> combined with forced-air warmer set to 38°C during insufflations can both reduce intraoperative hypothermia, dysfunction of coagulation, early postoperative cough pain, sufentanil consumption, days to first flatus, solid food intake, and length of hospital stay.

**Abbreviations:** APS = acute pain service, ASA = American Society of Anesthesiology, BMI = body mass index,  $CO_2$  = carbon dioxide, CT = computed tomography, ERAS = enhanced recovery after surgery, HR = heart rate, IQRs = inter-quartile ranges, IV = intravenous, MAP = mean arterial pressure, MBT = mean body temperature, OR = operation room, PaCO<sub>2</sub> = partial pressure of carbon dioxide, PACU = postanesthesia care unit, PCA = patient controlled analgesia, PetCO<sub>2</sub> = End-tidal pressure of carbon dioxide, POI = postoperative ileus, SSIs = surgical site infections, VAS = visual analogue scale.

Keywords: carbon dioxide, elderly patients, hypothermia, laparoscopic colorectal surgery, postoperative pain

Editor: Chien-Kun Ting.

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Received: 29 June 2019 / Received in final form: 30 August 2019 / Accepted: 13 September 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000017520

RJ and YS contributed equally to this work and should be considered as the co-first authors.

The authors declare that this research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Chengdu Second People's Hospital, <sup>b</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Sichuan Cancer Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, <sup>c</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Liaocheng People's Hospital, Liaocheng, Shandong, China.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Xianfeng Xie, Chengdu Second People's Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan China (e-mail: rongjuanjiang@163.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Jiang R, Sun Y, Wang H, Liang M, Xie X. Effect of different carbon dioxide (CO2) insufflation for laparoscopic colorectal surgery in elderly patients. Medicine 2019;98:41(e17520).

# 1. Introduction

Gastrointestinal tumors are one of the most common causes of cancer-related death worldwide over the last decade. Surgical resection is the main mode of treatment.<sup>[1,2]</sup> With rapid global increase in the aging population, laparoscopic surgery is a popular option to reduce operative morbidity, for the advantages it offers, such as minimized incision, less postoperative pain and blood loss, shorter hospital stay, and faster recovery than traditional surgical options.<sup>[3]</sup> However, laparoscopic procedures also have some disadvantages, namely prolonged operation time and impact of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) pneumoperitoneum on the respiratory and circulatory system.<sup>[4]</sup>

CO<sub>2</sub> is still the most commonly used gas during laparoscopic surgery because it is noncombustible, cost effective, and easily excretable from the respiratory system, although it has different biophysical properties under different thermal conditions.<sup>[5]</sup> Long-term laparoscopic surgery requires prolonged CO<sub>2</sub> absorption and accumulation, eventually causing hypercapnia, which may lead to hypertension, tachycardia, and other complications.<sup>[6]</sup> Previous studies have also reported that the injury and detrimental effects of CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation during laparoscopic surgery may be due to both physical and chemical factors such as hypothermia and cellular acidification.<sup>[7,8]</sup>

Maintaining normothermia during operation is strongly recommended by the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) guidelines, as even a mild degree of intraoperative hypothermia (34.0-36°C) could dramatically increase surgical complications and morbidity.<sup>[9]</sup> General anesthesia and insufflation of gas at ambient temperatures during laparoscopic abdominal surgery maybe associated with impaired thermoregulation due to prolonged procedure times.<sup>[10]</sup> Despite multiple available strategies being used, inadvertent hypothermia is common in laparoscopic colorectal surgery. As a result, intraoperative warming should be considered as part of the anesthetic management when patients are at risk of hypothermia.<sup>[11]</sup> Forced-air warming devices have been reported to be one of the most effective methods to achieve normothermia during abdominal surgery. A previous study found than even 30 minutes of forced-air warming before the induction of anesthesia could increase peripheral tissue heat content and reduce intraoperative hypothermia.<sup>[12]</sup> Another study confirmed that warm and humidified gas insufflation during laparoscopic surgery is an alternative active method to prevent hypothermia.<sup>[13]</sup> However, the use of a device to heat and humidify the gas may actually add to the cost and complexity of the procedure.<sup>[14]</sup> We designed this trial to determine the effect of warm and humidified gas insufflation compared to cold gas insufflation with forced-air warming devices or electric blankets in maintaining intraoperative normothermia for elderly patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery.

# 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Patients

We recruited 150 elderly patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery under general anesthesia from May 2017 to October 2018. All patients were managed according to the ERAS guidelines that included preoperative oral carbohydrate drinks; minimization of perioperative intravenous (IV) fluids; bowel preparation; early introduction of postoperative oral diet; early patient mobilization; and omission or early removal of drains, lines, nasogastric tubes, and urinary catheters.<sup>[15]</sup>

All patients provided informed written consent, and ethical approval was obtained from both the Institutional Review Board of Liaocheng People's Hospital and Chengdu Second People's Hospital, China. This trial was also registered at chictr.org (ChiCTR-IOR-17010915). Patients were randomized into the following 3 groups using random numbers based on a random number generator: group WH (received warm [37°C], humidified [98% relative humidity]  $CO_2$  insufflation, n=50; group CE (received 20°C, 0% relative humidity CO2 insufflation, intraoperative warming with electric blankets set to  $38^{\circ}$ C, n = 50; and group CF (received 20°C, 0% relative humidity CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation, intraoperative warming with forced-air warmer set to  $38^{\circ}$ C, n = 50). The criteria for inclusion were age between 65 and 75 years, American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) Grades I to III, confirmed diagnosis of colorectal carcinoma by pathology and no metastases by computed tomography (CT), and scheduled for laparoscopic colorectal resection under general anesthesia. The criteria for exclusion were a history of alcohol or drug abuse, age more than 75 years and less than 65 years, evidence of current infection, thyroid disease, use of cannabinoids or corticosteroids, and body mass index (BMI)  $> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ .

#### 2.2. Operative procedure

The room temperature was maintained at 22 to 24°C and relative humidity at 40% to 60%. On arrival in the operating room, routine anesthetic monitors were attached. After Modified Allen's test was carried out to ensure patency of collateral circulation of the hand, a 20 G catheter was inserted into the radial artery for continuous arterial pressure monitoring and blood sampling. Anesthesia was induced with propofol, fentanyl, and cisatracurium. Next, a transesophageal temperature probe with an accuracy of 0.1°C was inserted via the nasal route and fixed in position at the estimated junction between the middle and lower third of the esophagus according to a previous study.<sup>[16]</sup> End-tidal pressure of carbon dioxide (PetCO<sub>2</sub>) was maintained between 35 and 45 mm H<sub>2</sub>O by adjusting minute ventilation and respiratory frequency for patients undergoing volume controlled ventilation after pneumoperitoneum. Pneumoperitoneum was established with CO2 gas using a Stryker 40L High flow Insufflator (Stryker Endoscopy, San Jose, CA, USA). Intraabdominal pressure was maintained 12 mm Hg, and the upper gas flow limit was 6.5 L/minute. Patients in both groups CE and CF received 20°C, 0% relative humidity CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation; the only difference between the 2 groups was that patients in group CE were warmed with electric blankets set to 38°C, while those in group CF were warmed with forced-air warmer set to 38°C (Bair Hugger; Augustine Medical, Eden Prairie, MN, USA). The CO<sub>2</sub> conditioning device delivered warmed (37°C) and humidified (98%) CO<sub>2</sub> to the patients in group WH via a dual-lumen insulated tubing system. Intravenous fluids were infused intraoperatively using an Animec warmer (Elltec Co. Ltd., Nagoya, Japan) during surgery. All laparoscopic procedures were performed by the same experienced laparoscopic surgery team. When systolic blood pressure exceeded  $\pm 20\%$  of the baseline value, we administered phenylephrine (40 µg), ephedrine (6 mg), or urapidil (10 mg) at 5-minute intervals. Atropine (0.4 mg) was administered at 5-minute intervals when the heart rate fell to 80% below the baseline value or was lower than 50 beats/minute.

After surgery, all patients were managed with IV patientcontrolled analgesia (PCA) using sufentanil with the following settings: 1.6  $\mu$ g per bolus, bolus lock for 5 minutes, and a maximum of  $6.4 \mu g/hour$ . Ketorolac or hydromorphone was given if visual analogue scale (VAS) score of rest pain was  $\geq 4$ . The mean skin temperature (Tskin) was calculated as following: Tskin=0.3 (Tchest+Tarm)+0.2 (Tthigh+Tcalf). The mean body temperature (MBT) was estimated from the core and mean skin temperatures according to a previous study. Briefly, MBT=  $0.64 \times Tcore + 0.36 \times Tskin$ .<sup>[12]</sup> In the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU), infrared tympanic thermometers were used to measure core temperatures, and hypothermic patients received only forced-air warming at the discretion of anesthesiologists. Deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis was performed with low molecular weight heparin (50 IU/kg/d) after surgery.<sup>[17]</sup>

#### 2.3. Outcome measures

Postoperative analgesia was administered by nursing staff in the acute pain service (APS) who were blinded to this trial. The primary outcome measures were resting pain, cough pain, and consumption of sufentanil at 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively. The quality of visual image (on a Likert scale from 1 to 10 [1=perfect image, 10=very poor quality image])<sup>[18]</sup>; hemodynamic changes (mean arterial pressure [MAP]) and heart rate (HR) were recorded at the following time points: arrival in the operation room (T0), just before the induction of anesthesia (T1), at the beginning of pneumoperitoneum (T2), 10 minutes (T3), 20 minutes (T4), 30 minutes (T5), and 60 minutes (T6) after the pneumoperitoneum, at the end of operation (T7), and 5 minutes (T8), 10 minutes (T9), and 15 minutes (T10) after arriving at the PACU; esophageal temperature; mean skin temperature; mean body temperature; recovery time; days to first flatus and solid food intake; shivering (using a scale validated by Crossley and Mahajan: 0=no shivering, 1 = piloerection or peripheral vasoconstriction but no visible shivering, 2=muscular activity in only 1 muscle group, 3=muscular activity in more than 1 muscle group but not generalized, and 4=shivering involving the whole body)<sup>[19]</sup>; incidence of postoperative ileus (POI, defined as the absence of flatus and/or passage of stool or intolerance of oral intake after postoperative day 3, with radiographic confirmation of small and/or large intestinal dilatation on an abdominal radiograph according to a previous study)<sup>[20]</sup>; discharge time; surgical site infections (SSIs, defined using both objective clinical and microbiological criteria according to guidance from Public Health England, were recorded within 14 postoperative days)<sup>[21]</sup>; patients and surgeon satisfaction scores (on a 5-point scale: 5 = excellent, 4=adequate, 3=cannot say, 2=inadequate, and 1= poor)<sup>[22]</sup>; and adverse events were also recorded. Prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time were measured on the day before surgery, start of pneumoperitoneum, 60 minutes after pneumoperitoneum, and at the end of pneumoperitoneum.

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

The sample size was calculated on the basis of an expected 20% reduction in the consumption of sufentanil at 48 hours postoperatively. For a study power of 80% ( $\alpha$ =.05,  $\beta$ =.2), the required sample size per group was calculated to be 41 (PASS 11.0, NCSS Statistical Software, Kaysville, Utah). Assuming a dropout rate of 20%, the final sample size was determined to be 50 patients for each group.

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to assess the distribution of variables. Homogeneity of variance was determined using Levene test. Quantitative data were expressed as means and standard deviations, or medians and inter-quartile ranges (IQRs). Inter-group comparisons were performed using repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). Bonferroni correction was used for post-hoc multiple comparisons. The non-parametric Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test was used for variables that were non-normally distributed. Categorical data were expressed as frequencies and percentages, and analyzed using Chi-Squared tests or Fisher exact tests, when appropriate. Probability (P) values <.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analysis was performed with SPSS for Windows version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Patient demographic and operative data

Figure 1 depicts the flow chart of patient enrollment. We recruited 288 patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery under general anesthesia from May 2017 to October 2018. In all, 138 patients were excluded for the following reasons: 16 patients had a history of alcohol or drug abuse; 19 patients were older than 75 years; 61 patients were younger than 65 years; 12 patients showed evidence of current infection, thyroid disease, and use of cannabinoids or corticosteroids; 14 patients had BMI  $> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ; and 16 patients' ASA scores were higher than III. Finally, 150 patients were enrolled in this trial.

There were no significant differences among the 3 groups with respect to age, BMI, gender, ASA grade, operation room (OR) temperature, comorbidity, blood loss, time of anesthesia and operation, surgical procedures, conversion to laparotomy, and volume of  $CO_2$  (Table 1).

#### 3.2. Intraoperative variables

Both HR and MAP were not significantly different among the 3 groups at the time of arrival in the operation room (P > .05, Fig. 2A and B). Compared with groups WH and CF, both HR and MAP in group CE were significantly higher from T3 to T6 (P < .05, Fig. 2A and B). There was no significant difference between group WH and CF with respect to both HR and MAP from T0 to T10 (P > .05, Fig. 2A and B).

The prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time in groups WH and CF did not have significant alterations from the day before surgery to the end of pneumoperitoneum (P > .05, Fig. 3A–C). However, compared with groups WH and CF, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time in group CE were significantly higher from 60 minutes after pneumoperitoneum to the end of pneumoperitoneum (P < .05, Fig. 3A–C).

The esophageal temperature in group CE was significantly lower than in groups WH and CF from T4 to T10 (P < .05, Fig. 4A). There was no significant difference between groups WH and CF with respect to esophageal temperatures from T0 to T10 (P > .05, Fig. 4A). However, compared with groups WH and CE, the mean skin temperature in group CF was significantly higher from T2 to T9 (P < .05, Fig. 4B). There was no significant difference between groups WH and CE with respect to mean skin temperature from T0 to T10 (P > .05, Fig. 4B). The mean body temperature in group CE was



significantly lower from T4 to T9 than that in groups WH and CF (P < .05, Fig. 4C). At the same time, mean body temperature in group CF was significantly higher from T2 to T4 than group WH (P < .05, Fig. 4C).

The recovery time of PACU was significantly longer in group CE than in groups WH and CF (P < .05, Table 1); whereas, there was no significant difference between groups WH and CF with respect to the recovery time of PACU (P < .05, Table 1). The satisfaction scores of both patients and surgeon were significantly higher in groups WH and CF than group CE (P < .05, Table 2).

#### 3.3. Postoperative variables

There was no significant difference among the 3 groups with respect to pain at rest (P > .05, Fig. 5A). The VAS scores with cough at 2, 4, 6, and 12 hours after surgery were significantly higher in group CE than in groups WH and CF (P < .05, Fig. 5B). However, there was not significantly different between group WH and CF with the respect to pain with cough (P > 0.05, Fig. 5B). The total dosage and dosage per body weight of sufentanil were significantly higher in groups WH and CF than in group CE at 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours after surgery (P < .05, Fig. 6A and B).

# Table 1

#### Patients' characteristics and intraoperative data.

	Group WH (n $=$ 50)	Group CF (n $=$ 50)	Group CE (n=50)	P values
Age (years)	$69.06 \pm 3.65$	68.52±3.11	$68.37 \pm 4.05$	.607
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.18±2.88	$23.06 \pm 3.16$	22.84 ± 3.81	.873
Gender (M/F)	38/12	35/15	32/18	.424
ASA I/II/ III (n)	15/26/9	17/23/10	18/23/9	.963
OR temperature (°C)	22.51 ± 1.21	$22.80 \pm 1.03$	$23.03 \pm 1.34$	.098
Comorbidity, n (%)				.946
Hypertension	23 (46.00%)	17 (34.00%)	19 (38.00%)	
Diabetes mellitus	12 (24.00%)	10 (20.00%)	13 (26.00%)	
Coronary heart disease	11 (22.00%)	13 (26.00%)	10 (20.00%)	
Cerebral infarction	2 (4.00%)	3 (6.00%)	2 (4.00%)	
Blood loss (ml)	220 (105–315)	180 (85–275)	200 (100-325)	.216
Duration of surgery (min)	$180.54 \pm 35.43$	$188.08 \pm 39.69$	$174.93 \pm 32.21$	.188
Duration of anesthesia (minute)	$226.73 \pm 41.23$	$237.23 \pm 39.32$	$240.29 \pm 32.78$	.176
Surgical procedures, n (%)	—	_	_	.948
Right colon cancer	12 (24.00%)	11 (22.00%)	9 (18.00%)	
Left colon cancer	6 (12.00%)	7 (14.00%)	7 (14.00%)	
Sigmoid colon cancer	7 (14.00%)	10 (20.00%)	11 (22.00%)	
Rectal cancer	25 (50.00%)	22 (44.00%)	23 (46.00%)	
Conversion to laparotomy, n (%)	3 (6.00%)	4 (8.00%)	2 (4.00%)	.909
Volume CO <sub>2</sub> used (L)	$213.22 \pm 45.12$	$198.07 \pm 38.32$	$218.45 \pm 58.43$	.092
Recovery time of PACU (minute)	$20.23 \pm 6.41$	$21.18 \pm 5.06$	$28.65 \pm 7.34^{*,\#}$	.001

The variables are presented as mean ± SD, median (interquartile range) or number of patients, n (%).

\*P < .05 vs Group WH.

 $^{\#}P$  < .05 vs Group CF.

ASA=American Society of Anesthesiologists, BMI=body mass index, OR=operating room.



**Figure 2.** Hemodynamic data (HR and MAP) for the 3 groups of patients at the following timepoints: arrival in the operation room (T0), just before the induction of anesthesia (T1), at the beginning of pneumoperitoneum (T2), 10 minutes (T3), 20 minutes (T4), 30 minutes (T5), 60 minutes (T6) after the pneumoperitoneum, at the end of operation (T7), and 5 minutes (T8), 10 minutes (T9), and 15 minutes (T10) after arriving at the PACU. \**P* < .05 vs Group WH, \**P* < .05 vs Group CF.

There was no significant difference among the 3 groups with respect to the quality of visual image, occurrence of vomiting, POI, and SSI (P > .05, Table 3). However, the discharge time, time to first flatus, and solid food intake were significantly higher in group CE than groups WH and CF (P < .05, Table 3).

There was no significant difference among the 3 groups with respect to adverse events such as arrhythmia, hypertension, and hypotension except delirium. Although the number of patients with a shivering grade of 0 was significantly lower and a grade of 3 was significantly higher in group CE than in the other 2 groups, there was no significant difference among the 3 groups with respect to shivering (P > .05, Table 4).

#### 4. Discussion

Our study revealed that patients with warm, humidified  $CO_2$  or 20°C, 0% relative humidity  $CO_2$  combined with forced-air warmer set to 38°C during insufflations could significantly reduce intraoperative hypothermia, coagulation dysfunction, recovery time of PACU, days to first flatus and solid food intake, early postoperative cough pain, sufentanil consumption, and length of postoperative hospital stay than patients with 20°C, 0% relative humidity  $CO_2$  combined with electric blankets set to 38°C during insufflation.

The concept of enhanced recovery, which provides patients with optimal ways to minimize the deleterious effects of surgery, has been widely used in gastrointestinal surgery.<sup>[23]</sup> According to guidelines in French, the fast-track programs of colorectal surgery usually include the following aspects: surgical stress, fluid and electrolyte imbalances, postoperative ileus, decreased postoperative mobility, sleep disorders, and postoperative complications.<sup>[24]</sup> A previous study also found that the complication rate reduced to 20% and associated mortality was 3.4% after laparoscopic colorectal surgery.<sup>[25]</sup> H, all patients



Figure 3. Prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time for the 3 groups of patients at the following timepoints: at the day before surgery, start of pneumoperitoneum, 60 minutes after pneumoperitoneum, and the end of pneumoperitoneum. \*P<.05 vs Group WH, #P<.05 vs Group CF.

undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery had been managed as per the ERAS guidelines in our hospital since 2015.

We did not find any significant differences in pain at rest during the first 48 hours after surgery among the 3 groups. However, both pain with cough during 12 hours after surgery and postoperative sufentanil consumption during 48 hours after surgery were higher in group CE than the other 2 groups. Many factors can influence the postoperative pain after laparoscopic colorectal resection, such as abdominal incisions, pneumoperitoneum, operative dissection, and secondary peritonitis and ileus.<sup>[26,27]</sup> Previous studies have found that cold-dry CO2 insufflation could desiccate the peritoneum, damage the peritoneal surface, induce inflammatory response, and then release various hyperalgesic substances such as kinins and prostaglandins.<sup>[28,29]</sup> Other studies found that prolonged colddry CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation during laparoscopic colorectal surgery could also result in serious peritoneal injury, which may increase the risk of peritoneal metastasis and port site metastasis.<sup>[29-31]</sup> Patients are prone to sputum retention, atelectasis, and pneumonia if postoperative pain is poorly controlled although a previous study did not find any difference with respect to the incidence of postoperative respiratory complication because of the smaller sample size.<sup>[32]</sup> Whether insufflating warmed,

humidified  $CO_2$  is clinically advantageous for patients undergoing laparoscopic colorectal surgery remains to be elucidated. Besides, it is generally accepted that the pressure of  $CO_2$  used for the pneumoperitoneum may also contribute to peritoneal injury.<sup>[33]</sup> As a result, we adopted the lower pressure pneumoperitoneum than previous studies in this trial.

In our trial, patients were considered to be at higher risk of perioperative hypothermia, because they had the following characteristics: preoperative mean body temperature below 36.0°C, undergoing major surgery, and elderly patients with risk of cardiovascular complications. Although previous studies have found that intraoperative mild hypothermia can reduce the metabolic rate and oxygen consumption and increase tolerance of tissues and organs to ischemia and hypoxia, they have also reported that long-term perioperative hypothermia can result in peripheral vasoconstriction, impairment of coagulation capability and myocardial contractility, increased perioperative oxygen consumption, and SSIs.<sup>[34–36]</sup> A previous study also reported that intraoperative normothermia could reduce the rate of SSI by a factor of 3 (6% vs 19%), enhance the return of intestinal motility (5.6 vs 6.5 d), and reduce hospital stay by 20%.<sup>[37]</sup> However, the SSIs were similar among the 3 groups in our trial. The reason may be attributed to the ERAS guidelines adopted in our hospital.



Figure 4. Esophageal temperature, mean skin temperature, and mean body temperature for the 3 groups of patients at the following timepoints: arrival in the operation room (T0), just before the induction of anesthesia (T1), at the beginning of pneumoperitoneum (T2), 10 minutes (T3), 20 minutes (T4), 30 minutes (T5), 60 minutes (T6) after the pneumoperitoneum, at the end of operation (T7), and 5 minutes (T8), 10 minutes (T9), and 15 minutes (T10) after arriving at the PACU. \*P < .05 vs Group WH, \*P < .05 vs Group CF, \*P < .05 Group CF vs Group WH.

Mild hypothermia can also affect drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics and prolong recovery time, which is consistent with our conclusions.<sup>[38]</sup> Though a previous study stated that laparoscopic surgery could reduce the radiation heat loss by avoiding abdominal incision, the peritoneal surface is exposed to a large volume of CO<sub>2</sub> gas during pneumoperitoneum.<sup>[39]</sup> Previous multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that anesthesia time and volume of CO<sub>2</sub> were the only independent risk factors for perioperative hypothermia during laparoscopic colorectal surgery.<sup>[40]</sup> However, both these factors are similar in our trial. Considering the cost-effectiveness analysis of perioperative warming, current guidance highlights the need to carry out prophylactic measures to avoid unintentional perioperative hypothermia during laparoscopic colorectal surgery.<sup>[24]</sup> The higher additional costs of the humidification system cannot be weighed against its benefits; as a result, forced-air warming devices can be used as a routine application to maintain normothermia during abdominal surgery. In 2002, the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery recommended the use of warmed irrigation and external warming devices instead of heated insufflation systems to maintain perioperative normo-thermia for both economic reasons and previous experimental conclusions that stated no clinical benefits of warmed humidified insufflation gas.<sup>[41]</sup> However, the majority of participants in that study were 30 to 60-year-old women. There are no studies that included participants aged greater than 65 years. Therefore, we only recruited elderly patients between 65 and 75 years in this trial.

Table 2

Satisfaction scores	es of patients and surgeons.	
---------------------	------------------------------	--

	Group WH (n=50)	Group CF (n=50)	Group CE (n=50)	P values
Patients satisfaction score	4.25 (3.25–4.75)	4.25 (3.00-4.75)	3.75 (2.75–4.75) <sup>*,#</sup>	.012
Surgeon satisfaction score	4.75 (3.75–5.00)	4.50 (3.25–5.00)	4.00 (2.75–4.25) <sup>*,#</sup>	.045

Variables presented as median (interquartile range).

 $^{*}P$ <.05 vs Group WH.

 $^{\#}P$  < .05 vs Group CF.





Figure 5. Pain scores (both at rest and with cough) at 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively for the 3 groups of patients. \*P < .05 vs Group WH, #P<.05 vs Group CF.

Although there is thus far no definite conclusion about whether PetCO2 is reliable in predicting partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO<sub>2</sub>) in elderly patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery with CO<sub>2</sub> inflation, a previous study has confirmed the reliability of PetCO<sub>2</sub> monitoring in predicting PaCO<sub>2</sub> in ASA grades 1 and 2 participants.<sup>[42]</sup> We adopted mainstream end-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> measurement in our study because of the more accurate estimation of arterial CO2 compared with sidestream measurement. Pneumoperitoneum could increase both mean blood pressure and heart rate because of catecholamine release and resistance in the

Figure 6. Consumption of sufentanil (both total dosage and dosage per body weight) at 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively for the 3 groups of patients. \*P < .05 vs Group WH, \*P < .05 vs Group CF.

systemic vessels, However, intraoperative normothermia can alleviate this reaction.<sup>[43]</sup> Consistent with this previous study, we also found that both mean blood pressure and heart rate were significantly increased in group CE in our trial.

A previous study stated that POI is an important factor to prolong the length of hospital stay even in the context of ERASguidelines compliant laparoscopic colorectal surgery.<sup>[44]</sup> We did not find any difference among the 3 groups with respect to POI. The reason for this inconsistency may be due to the relatively small intraoperative temperature change in our trial. Besides,

#### Table 3

l'ula al managementana ann ann dha O anna an

	Group WH (n=50)	Group CF (n=50)	Group CE (n=50)	P values
Quality of visual image	3.25 (1.50-4.75)	3.50 (1.75–5.25)	3.75 (1.75–5.25)	.219
Time to first flatus (h)	6.15 (5.23-6.91)	6.34 (5.45-7.02)	7.23 (6.35–7.88) <sup>*,#</sup>	.031
Time to first solid food intake (h)	17.72 (12.05-24.55)	18.30 (13.65-30.12)	24.28 (18.56–32.67) <sup>*,#</sup>	.029
The occurrence of vomiting, n (%)	9 (18.00%)	12 (24.00%)	18 (36.00%)	.113
The occurrence of POI, n (%)	2 (4.00%)	3 (6.00%)	5 (10.00%)	.606
The occurrence of SSI, n (%)	2 (4.00%)	2 (4.00%)	4 (8.00%)	.731
The discharge time (d)	8.7 (6.3–12.5)	9.5 (7.1–11.9)	12.2 (7.5–13.2) <sup>*,#</sup>	.048

Variables presented as median (interquartile range).

P < .05 vs Group WH.

# P < .05 vs Group CF.

POI = postoperative ileus, SSI = surgical site infections.

1.0			
	•]	(=	<b>14 3</b>

Comparison of the adverse events among the 3 groups.

	Group WH (n $=$ 50)	Group CF (n=50)	Group CE (n=50)	P values
Arrhythmia	5 (10.00%)	6 (12.00%)	10 (20.00%)	.313
Hypertension	6 (12.00%)	5 (10.00%)	12 (24.00%)	.110
Hypotension	3 (6.00%)	4 (8.00%)	8 (16.00%)	.211
Delirium	3 (6.00%)	3 (6.00%)	10 (20.00%) <sup>*,#</sup>	.032
Shivering				.053
Grade 0	25 (50.00%)	20 (40.00%)	10 (20.00%) <sup>*,#</sup>	
Grade 1	15 (30.00%)	17 (34.00%)	15 (30.00%)	
Grade 2	5 (10.00%)	7 (14.00%)	12 (24.00%)	
Grade 3	3 (6.00%)	4 (8.00%)	10 (20.00%)*	
Grade 4	2 (4.00%)	2 (4.00%)	3 (6.00%)	

The variables are presented as number of patients, n (%).

\*P < .05 vs Group WH.

 $^{\#}P < .05$  vs Group CF.

meta analyses have reported continuous IV lidocaine infusion could reduce the interval to return of bowel function and duration of hospital stay. The mechanism is varied, including anti-inflammatory effect, specific inhibition of intestinal sympathetic plexuses, reduction of sympathetic activity, and morphinesparing effect.<sup>[45–47]</sup> SSIs represent up to 20% of all health careassociated infections and have been recognized as an important factor of prolonging the hospitalization time of patients especially for colorectal cancer surgery. Several mechanisms have been involved such as subcutaneous vasoconstriction, detrimental effect on the host's ability to mount an immune response, and reduction of the extent of peritoneal dessication.<sup>[48,49]</sup> Although the discharge time was longer in group CE, we did not record any difference among the 3 groups with respect to SSIs.

We recorded a significant increase of prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and thrombin time in group CE in this trial, likely because of hypothermia. However, we did not find any differences between groups WH and CF with respect to coagulation function though both mean skin temperature and body temperature were higher in group CF from the beginning of pneumoperitoneum to 20 minutes after the pneumoperitoneum. The reason may be because coagulation dysfunction is solely concerned with core hypothermia. Previous studies found that hypothermia can affect the function of platelet membrane receptors and reduce the number of circulating blood platelets and the expression of platelet surface membrane glycoprotein, and then inhibit platelet adhesion and aggregation.<sup>[50]</sup> Hypothermia may also decrease the concentrations of various coagulation factors and fibrinogen, inhibit the coagulation cascade, and ultimately lead to coagulation dysfunction.[51,52]

There are some limitations to our study. First, all patients went through preoxygenation with 100% oxygen before induction of anesthesia. However, preoxygenation with 100% oxygen would result in atelectasis. Although we expanded the lungs after induction to airway pressure of 3.92 kPa according to a published literature, it cannot completely eliminate the atelectasis which may have influenced the accuracy of PetCO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[53]</sup> Second, though previous studies found that men showed significantly less variability in temperature change than women, we found no sex-related differences in our study.<sup>[54]</sup> Third, our study included patients undergoing several types of surgery, thereby necessitating different positions. Finally, this trial only investigated the short-term clinical effects of warm, humidified CO<sub>2</sub> insufflation. Its long-term benefits of peritoneal fibrinolysis and adhesion formation merits further study.

In conclusion, use of either warm, humidified insufflations of  $CO_2$  or 20°C, 0% relative humidity  $CO_2$  combined with forcedair warmer set to 38°C during insufflations can both reduce intraoperative hypothermia, coagulation dysfunction, early postoperative cough pain, sufentanil consumption, days to first flatus and solid food intake, and length of hospital stay.

# **Author contributions**

Conceptualization: Rongjuan Jiang, Yan Sun, Huaiming Wang, Min Liang, Xianfeng Xie.

Data curation: Rongjuan Jiang, Huaiming Wang, Min Liang.

Formal analysis: Yan Sun.

Methodology: Yan Sun.

Visualization: Rongjuan Jiang.

- Writing original draft: Yan Sun, Huaiming Wang, Min Liang, Xianfeng Xie.
- Writing review & editing: Xianfeng Xie.

#### References

- Acharya A, Markar SR, Matar M, et al. Use of tumor markers in gastrointestinal cancers: surgeon perceptions and cost-benefit trade-off analysis. Ann Surg Oncol 2017;24:1165–73.
- [2] Ma X, Gao Y, Li J, et al. The association between body mass index and the risk of different gastrointestinal cancers: A protocol for an overview of systematic reviews. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e13181.
- [3] Spanjersberg WR, van Sambeeck JDP, Bremers A, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis for laparoscopic versus open colon surgery with or without an ERAS programme. Surg Endosc 2015;29:3443–53.
- [4] Biondi A, Grosso G, Mistretta A, et al. Laparoscopic vs. open approach for colorectal cancer: evolution over time of minimal invasive surgery. BMC Surg 2013;13(Suppl 2):S12.
- [5] Sammour T, Kahokehr A, Hayes J, et al. Warming and humidification of insufflation carbon dioxide in laparoscopic colonic surgery: a doubleblinded randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2010;251:1024–33.
- [6] Chen Y, Xu H, Li Y, et al. The outcome of laparoscopic radical hysterectomy and lymphadenectomy for cervical cancer: a prospective analysis of 295 patients. Ann Surg Oncol 2008;15:2847–55.
- [7] Pu Y, Cen G, Sun J, et al. Warming with an underbody warming system reduces intraoperative hypothermia in patients undergoing laparoscopic gastrointestinal surgery: a randomized controlled study. Int J Nurs Stud 2014;51:181–9.
- [8] West MA, Hackam DJ, Baker J, et al. Mechanism of decreased in vitro murine macrophage cytokine release after exposure to carbondioxide: relevance to laparoscopic surgery. Ann Surg 1997;226:179–90.
- [9] Mortensen K, Nilsson M, Slim K, et al. Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS<sup>®</sup>) Group. Consensus guidelines for enhanced recovery after gastrectomy: enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS<sup>®</sup>) society recommendations. Br J Surg 2014;101:1209–29.

- [10] Su SF, Nieh HC. Efficacy of forced-air warming for preventing Perioperative hypothermia and related complications in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Nurs Pract 2018;24:e12660.
- [11] Birch DW, Dang JT, Switzer NJ, et al. Heated insufflation with or without humidification for laparoscopic abdominal surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;10:CD007821.
- [12] De Witte JL, Demeyer C, Vandemaele E. Resistive-heating or forced-air warming for the prevention of redistribution hypothermia. Anesth Analg 2010;110:829–33.
- [13] Balayssac D, Pereira B, Bazin JE, et al. Warmed and humidified carbon dioxide for abdominal laparoscopic surgery: meta-analysisof the current literature. Surg Endosc 2017;31:1–2.
- [14] Jenks M, Taylor M, Shore J. Cost-effectiveness of local insufflation of warm humidified CO<sub>2</sub> during open and laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Value Health 2015;18:A368.
- [15] Muñoz JL, Alvarez MO, Cuquerella V, et al. Procalcitonin and Creactive protein as early markers of anastomotic leak after laparoscopiccolorectal surgery within an enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program. Surg Endosc 2018;32:4003–10.
- [16] Luck AJ, Moyes D, Maddern GJ, et al. Core temperature changes during open and laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Surg Endosc 1999;13:480–3.
- [17] Vedovati MC, Becattini C, Rondelli F, et al. A randomized study on 1week versus 4-week prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism after laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. Ann Surg 2014;259:665–9.
- [18] Mason SE, Kinross JM, Hendricks J, et al. Postoperative hypothermia and surgical site infection following peritoneal insufflation with warm, humidified carbon dioxide during laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a cohort study with cost-effectiveness analysis. Surg Endosc 2017;31:1923–9.
- [19] Petskul S, Kitsiripant C, Rujirojindakul P, et al. Prophylactic low-dose ketamine to prevent post anesthetic shivering in orthopedic surgery: a randomized-controlled study. J Med Assoc Thai 2016;99:400–5.
- [20] Vather R, O'Grady G, Bissett IP, et al. Postoperative ileus: mechanisms and future directions for research. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2014;41:358–70.
- [21] Poon JT, Law WL, Wong IW, et al. Impact of laparoscopic colorectal resection on surgical site infection. Ann Surg 2009;249:77–81.
- [22] Zhang R, Chen X, Xiao Y. The effects of a forced-air warming system plus electric blanket for elderly patients undergoingtransurethral resection of the prostate: a randomized controlled trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e13119.
- [23] Pędziwiatr M, Mavrikis J, Witowski J, et al. Current status of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) protocol in gastrointestinal surgery. Med Oncol 2018;35:95.
- [24] Alfonsi P, Slim K, Chauvin M, et al. Fletcher D; Working Group of Société française d'anesthésie et réanimation (SFAR); Société française de chirurgie digestive (SFCD). French guidelines for enhanced recovery after elective colorectal surgery. J Visc Surg 2014;151:65–79.
- [25] Alves A, Panis Y, Mathieu P, et al. Association Française de Chirurgie. Postoperative mortality and morbidity in French patients undergoing colorectal surgery: results of a prospective multicenter study. Arch Surg 2005;140:278–83.
- [26] Oh TK, Lee SJ, Do SH1, et al. Transversus abdominis plane block using a short-acting local anesthetic for postoperative pain after laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Surg Endosc 2018;32:545–52.
- [27] Joris JL, Georges MJ, Medjahed K, et al. Prevalence, characteristics and risk factors of chronic postsurgical pain after laparoscopiccolorectal surgery: retrospective analysis. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2015;32:712–7.
- [28] Amberg BJ, Hodges RJ, Kashyap AJ, et al. Physiological effects of partial amniotic carbon dioxide insufflation with cold, dry vs heated, humidified gas in a sheep model. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2019;53:340–7.
- [29] Carpinteri S, Sampurno S, Bernardi MP, et al. Peritoneal tumorigenesis and inflammation are ameliorated by humidified warm carbon dioxide insufflation in the mouse. Ann Surg Oncol 2015;22(Suppl 3):S1540–7.
- [30] Cheong JY, Keshava A, Witting P, et al. Effects of intraoperative insufflation with warmed, humidified CO<sub>2</sub> during abdominal surgery: a review. Ann Coloproctol 2018;34:125–37.
- [31] Kim MH, Lee KY, Lee KY, et al. Maintaining optimal surgical conditions with low insufflation pressures is possible with deep neuromuscular blockade during laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group clinical trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e2920.
- [32] Sakatoku Y, Fukaya M, Miyata K, et al. Clinical value of a prophylactic minitracheostomy after esophagectomy: analysis in

patients at high risk for postoperative pulmonary complications. BMC Surg 2017;17:120.

- [33] Papp A, Vereczkei , Lantos J, et al. The effect of different levels of peritoneal CO<sub>2</sub> pressure on bleeding time of spleen capsule injury. Surg Endosc 2003;17:1125–8.
- [34] Zhou T, Liang L, Liang Y, et al. Mild hypothermia protects hippocampal neurons against oxygen-glucosedeprivation/reperfusion-induced injury by improving lysosomal function and autophagic flux. Exp Cell Res 2017;358:147–60.
- [35] Oda J, Kuwagata Y, Nakamori Y, et al. Mild hypothermia alters the oxygen consumption/delivery relationship by decreasing the slope of the supply-dependent line. Crit Care Med 2002;30:1535–40.
- [36] Mason SE, Kinross JM, Hendricks J, et al. Postoperative hypothermia and surgical site infection following peritoneal insufflation with warm, humidified carbon dioxide during laparoscopic colorectal surgery: a cohort study with cost-effectiveness analysis. Surg Endosc 2017;31: 1923–9.
- [37] Kurz A, Sessler DI, Lenhardt R. Perioperative normothermia to reduce the incidence of surgical-wound infection and shortenhospitalization. Study of wound infection and temperature group. N Engl J Med 1996;334:1209–15.
- [38] Hassani V, Chaichian S, Rahimizadeh A, et al. Comparative study of the effect of warming at various temperatures on biochemical, hematologic, and hemodynamic parameters during spinal fusion surgery under intravenous anesthesia. Anesth Pain Med 2018;8:e79814.
- [39] Wang J, Yao D, Zhang S, et al. Laparoscopic surgery for radiation enteritis. J Surg Res 2015;194:415–9.
- [40] Choi JW, Kim DK, Kim JK, et al. A retrospective analysis on the relationship between intraoperative hypothermia and postoperative ileus after laparoscopic colorectal surgery. PLoS One 2018;13:e0190711.
- [41] Neudecker J, Sauerland S, Neugebauer E, et al. The European Association for Endoscopic Surgery clinical practice guideline on the pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic surgery. Surg Endosc 2002;16: 1121–43.
- [42] Yadav M, Reddy EP, Sharma A, et al. The effect of position on PaCO<sub>2</sub> and PETCO<sub>2</sub> in patients undergoing cervical spine surgery in supine and prone position. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol 2017;29:298–303.
- [43] Jee D, Lee D, Yun S, et al. Magnesium sulphate attenuates arterial pressure increase during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Br J Anaesth 2009;103:484–9.
- [44] Lee YF, Albright J, Akram WM, et al. Unplanned robotic-assisted conversion-to-open colorectal surgery is associated with adverse outcomes. J Gastrointest Surg 2018;22:1059–67.
- [45] Marret E, Rolin M, Beaussier M, et al. Meta-analysis of intravenous lidocaine and postoperative recovery after abdominal surgery. Br J Surg 2008;95:1331–8.
- [46] Sun Y, Li T, Wang N, et al. Perioperative systemic lidocaine for postoperative analgesia and recovery after abdominal surgery: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Dis Colon Rectum 2012;55:1183–94.
- [47] Kuo CP, Jao SW, Chen KM, et al. Comparison of the effects of thoracic epidural analgesia and i.v. infusion with lidocaine on cytokine response, postoperative pain and bowel function in patients undergoing colonic surgery. Br J Anaesth 2006;97:640–6.
- [48] Nobuhara H, Yanamoto S, Funahara M, et al. Effect of perioperative oral management on the prevention of surgical site infection after colorectal cancer surgery: A multicenter retrospective analysis of 698 patients via analysis of covariance using propensity score. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e12545.
- [49] Asa Z, Greenberg R, Ghinea R, et al. Grading of complications and risk factor evaluation in laparoscopic colorectal surgery. Surg Endosc 2013;27:3748–53.
- [50] Xavier RG, White AE, Fox SC, et al. Enhanced platelet aggregation and activation under conditions of hypothermia. Thromb Haemost 2007;98:1266–75.
- [51] Shah M, Parikh K, Patel B, et al. Use of therapeutic hypothermia among patients with coagulation disorders - a nationwide analysis. Resuscitation 2018;124:35–42.
- [52] Martini WZ. The effects of hypothermia on fibrinogen metabolism and coagulation function in swine. Metabolism 2007;56:214–21.
- [53] Rothen HU, Neumann P, Berglund JE, et al. Dynamics of re-expansion of atelectasis during general anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 1999;82:551–6.
- [54] Costa CMA, Moreira DG, Sillero-Quintana M, et al. Daily rhythm of skin temperature of women evaluated by infrared thermal imaging. J Therm Biol 2018;72:1–9.

10