

ARTICLE

Received 10 Jun 2014 | Accepted 9 Jan 2015 | Published 12 Feb 2015

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7263

OPEN

Selective Sirt2 inhibition by ligand-induced rearrangement of the active site

Tobias Rumpf¹, Matthias Schiedel¹, Berin Karaman², Claudia Roessler³, Brian J. North⁴, Attila Lehotzky⁵, Judit Oláh⁵, Kathrin I. Ladwein¹, Karin Schmidtkunz¹, Markus Gajer¹, Martin Pannek⁶, Clemens Steegborn⁶, David A. Sinclair⁴, Stefan Gerhardt⁷, Judit Ovádi⁵, Mike Schutkowski³, Wolfgang Sippl², Oliver Einsle⁷ & Manfred Jung¹

Sirtuins are a highly conserved class of NAD⁺-dependent lysine deacylases. The human isotype Sirt2 has been implicated in the pathogenesis of cancer, inflammation and neurodegeneration, which makes the modulation of Sirt2 activity a promising strategy for pharmaceutical intervention. A rational basis for the development of optimized Sirt2 inhibitors is lacking so far. Here we present high-resolution structures of human Sirt2 in complex with highly selective drug-like inhibitors that show a unique inhibitory mechanism. Potency and the unprecedented Sirt2 selectivity are based on a ligand-induced structural rearrangement of the active site unveiling a yet-unexploited binding pocket. Application of the most potent Sirtuin-rearranging ligand, termed SirReal2, leads to tubulin hyperacetylation in HeLa cells and induces destabilization of the checkpoint protein BubR1, consistent with Sirt2 inhibition *in vivo*. Our structural insights into this unique mechanism of selective sirtuin inhibition provide the basis for further inhibitor development and selective tools for sirtuin biology.

¹Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg, Albertstraße 25, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany. ²Institute for Pharmacy, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Wolfgang-Langenbeck-Straße 4, 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany. ³Institute for Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Kurt-Mothes-Straße 3, 06120 Halle (Saale) Germany. ⁴Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, 77 Avenue Louis Pasteur, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. ⁵Institute of Enzymology, Research Centre for Natural Sciences, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Magyar Tudósok körútja 2, H-1117 Budapest, Hungary. ⁶Department of Biochemistry, University of Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, 95445 Bayreuth, Germany. ⁷Institute for Biochemistry and BIOS Centre for Biological Signalling Studies, Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg im Breisgau, Albertstraße 21, 79104 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to O.E. (email: einsle@biochemie.uni-freiburg.de) or to M.J. (email: manfred.jung@pharmazie.uni-freiburg.de).

NAD⁺-dependent protein deacylases (sirtuins) constitute a unique class of enzymes that are conserved from bacteria to humans. Initially recognized as protein deacetylases, they were recently shown to catalyse further post-translational modifications such as demyristoylation^{1,2}, desuccinylation³ or ADP-ribosylation⁴. Through a multitude of protein substrates, they are involved in key cellular processes, including metabolic sensing, regulation of mitosis and aging. The human isotype Sirtuin 2 (Sirt2) deacetylates both nuclear and cytoplasmatic proteins and thereby functions as a major cell cycle regulator^{5,6}, a determinant of myelination⁷, a regulator of autophagy⁸ and a suppressor of brain inflammation⁹. Generally deemed as a tumour suppressor^{10,11} in some types of cancer, Sirt2 was also shown to adopt a contrary role by promoting tumorigenesis^{12,13}. In addition, recent reports link Sirt2 to bacteria-induced reprogramming of host cell gene expression¹⁴.

Owing to its multiple regulatory roles, Sirt2 has been implicated as a potential drug target to combat cancer^{12,13}, neurodegeneration^{15,16} and inflammation⁷ but other reports question the suitability of Sirt2 as a drug target¹⁷. The physiological studies of Sirt2 have so far been hampered by the lack of potent and isotype-specific modulators of sirtuin activity.

The biochemistry of sirtuins has been studied extensively in recent years and three-dimensional structures of the catalytic domain of several human isotypes provided insight into different stages of the catalytic cycle^{18–23}. Despite a highly conserved amino-acid sequence and a high structural similarity of the catalytic core between the members of the sirtuin family, recent screening campaigns have identified several isotype-selective inhibitors^{15,24–27}. But for only a few of them structural information is available (Supplementary Fig. 1a) and a strategy for structure-based optimization of isotype-selective inhibitors remains mostly elusive. In particular, a structure-derived rationale for Sirt2-selective inhibition is also lacking so far.

Most recently, the first X-ray structure of Sirt2 in complex with a potent macrocyclic peptidic inhibitor was reported, but this inhibitor lacked the desired isotype selectivity²⁸ and, due to its physicochemical properties, might be of limited use for drug discovery. In this work, we present the first crystal structures of Sirt2 in complex with a potent and Sirt2-selective small-molecule inhibitor with drug-like properties. The basis for the high potency and unprecedented isotype selectivity is a ligand-induced structural rearrangement of the active site, exploiting an adjacent binding pocket. Along with kinetic studies, the structures give insight into a unique and isotype-selective inhibition mechanism. The relevance of the observed biochemical activity is further supported by cellular studies.

Results

Identification and crystallization of SirReal inhibitors. In search for new sirtuin inhibitors, we screened an internal compound library using an *in vitro* assay²⁹ based on a fluorophore-labelled acetyl-lysine derivative for human Sirt1–3. In this screening campaign, a family of aminothiazoles that we have termed Sirtuin-rearranging ligands (SirReals) was discovered as potent, Sirt2-selective inhibitors. Of these, SirReal2 (**1**) showed the most promising inhibitory properties (Fig. 1a,b). AGK2 was used as a reference inhibitor. Under the same assay conditions it is 38-fold less potent with an IC₅₀ of 15.4 ± 0.7 μM. The activity of Sirt1 or Sirt3 was not affected at 50 μM. Additional confirmation of Sirt2-selective *in vitro* inhibition and binding by SirReal2 was obtained by using non-labelled peptidic substrates in a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-based conversion assay (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 1b) and from thermal stability assays, where the presence of SirReal2 led to increased melting temperatures due to ligand-induced stabilization of the protein (Fig. 1d). SirReal2 only inhibits Sirt2 potently with an IC₅₀ value




Figure 1 | SirReal2 selectively inhibits Sirt2 in a dose-dependent manner. (a) Chemical structure of SirReal2 (**1**). (b) Representative dose-response curve for Sirt1–3 and SirReal2 using the substrates ZMAL (Z-Lys(Acetyl)-AMC, Sirt1–2) resp. Fluor-de-Lys (Sirt3). Compared with the peptide-HPLC assay, SirReal2 was slightly less potent using ZMAL with an IC₅₀ value of 0.4 μM. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. (n = 3). (c) *In vitro* inhibition data for SirReal2 (Sirt1–3: 100 μM; Sirt4–6: 200 μM) in an assay using non-labelled acyl-lysine oligopeptide as a substrate (Sirt1–4, acetyl-lysine substrate; Sirt5, succinyl-lysine substrate; Sirt6, myristoyl-lysine substrate). A solution containing DMSO was used as a negative control, a solution with nicotinamide (NCA, 200 μM or 1 mM) was used as a positive control. Only the activity of Sirt2 is substantially reduced in the presence of SirReal2. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. (n = 2) (d) Representative thermal stability plots for Sirt2 in the presence of SirReal2 (25 μM) and either the cofactor NAD⁺ (5 mM) or an acetyl-lysine H3 peptide (5 mM). The presence of NAD⁺ or of an acetyl-lysine peptide substrate enhances the stability of the Sirt2–SirReal2 complex (n = 3). Representative thermal stability plots of Sirt2 in the absence of SirReal2 and in the presence of NAD⁺ or an acetyl-lysine oligopeptide are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1d. Rel., relative.




Figure 2 | SirReal2 functions as a molecular wedge locking Sirt2 in an open conformation. (a) Overlay of Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ (slate blue) and Sirt2-SirReal2-H3 (light grey). Both structures are very similar (r.m.s.d. (C_{α} atoms) = 0.8 Å) and feature an open conformation. The active site is indicated by small grey dots. (b) Superposition of Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ (slate blue) with Sirt2-*apo* (PDB-ID 3ZGO, salmon, residues 34–45 are omitted for better clarity). Both structures feature an open state despite major structural differences in the zinc-binding domain. (c) Superposition of Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ (slate blue) with the Sirt2-ADPR complex (PDB-ID 3ZGV, yellow, residues Tyr139-Gly141 of one hinge loop were not defined in the electron density map). The structures display major conformational differences in the orientation of the zinc-binding domain. While the ADPR complex is in a closed state, Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ adopts an open state. (d) Superposition of Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ with Sirt2 in complex with a macrocyclic peptide inhibitor S2iL5 (PDB-ID 4L3O, green). Similar to the Sirt2-ADPR complex, the Sirt2-S2iL5 complex assumes a closed conformation. While the Rossmann fold domain is very similar in both structures, major structural differences can be seen at the zinc-binding domain and at the Sirt2-specific insertion. (e) Close-up view on the active site using the superposition shown in a. SirReal2 (Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺, light pink sticks; Sirt2-SirReal2-H3, light cyan sticks) occupies the extended C-site of Sirt2. Binding of SirReal2 neither prevents binding of the acetyl-lysine substrate (light blue sticks) nor the cosubstrate NAD⁺ (light orange sticks). The cofactor-binding loop of both structures is omitted for clarity.

of 140 nM and has very little effect on the activities of Sirt3–5. Only the activity of Sirt1 (22% inhibition at 100 μM) and Sirt6 (19% inhibition at 200 μM) are slightly affected at higher SirReal2 concentrations, making SirReal2 one of the most selective sirtuin inhibitors up to date. However, any attempts to identify a putative-binding site and to rationalize initial structure–activity relationships by docking to available X-ray structures of Sirt2 were not successful. We, therefore, proceeded to determine the structures of Sirt2-inhibitor complexes by protein X-ray crystallography.

For that, we used a truncated form of Sirt2_{56–356} lacking the flexible N- and C termini. To validate the suitability of our expression construct, we also crystallized this truncated form of Sirt2 in the presence of ADP ribose (ADPR) and the physiological inhibitor nicotinamide (NCA, Supplementary Fig. 2, structure termed Sirt2-ADPR-NCA). As the binding mode of these ligands corresponded to other published sirtuin structures in complex with NCA³⁰, we concluded that our expression construct was suitable for the investigation of Sirt2–ligand interactions. Further thermal stability experiments indicated an additional stabilization of the Sirt2-SirReal2 complex in the presence of either NAD⁺ or a peptidic acetyl-lysine substrate (Fig. 1d). These findings were

the key to a successful crystallization of Sirt2 in complex with SirReal2 that was only achieved in the presence of either substrate or cosubstrate.

Overall structure of Sirt2-SirReal2 complexes. We solved the structure of Sirt2 in complex with SirReal2 and the cosubstrate NAD⁺ (structure termed Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺) as well as in complex with SirReal2 and an acetyl-lysine peptide derived from histone H3 (residues 11–17, structure termed Sirt2-SirReal2-H3). Both Sirt2-SirReal2 crystals belonged to different monoclinic space groups and contained one monomer per asymmetric unit. They had the two-domain structure typical for sirtuins—a larger domain with a Rossmann fold and a smaller zinc-binding domain that are separated through a large groove that constitutes the active site (Fig. 2a). The structures are highly similar (root mean squared deviation, r.m.s.d. (C_{α} atoms) = 0.8 Å) with the main differences in the cofactor-binding loop and its adjacent residues (r.m.s.d. (C_{α} residues 92–115) = 1.3 Å). In addition, we observed the Sirt2-specific insertion (residues 289–304) that mediates crystal contacts as was reported for the Sirt2-ADPR complex (ADPR, PDB-ID 3ZGV¹⁹) and apo-Sirt2 (PDB-ID 1J8F, refined




Figure 3 | SirReal2 occupies the extended C-site and induces a major rearrangement of Sirt2's active site. (a) Surface representation of the active site of apo-Sirt2, designating the individual subsites referred to in the text. (b) Orientation of SirReal2 in the active site of the Sirt2-SirReal2 complexes. The binding of SirReal2 induces the formation of the yet-unexploited selectivity pocket. (c) Interactions of SirReal2 (light pink) with Sirt2 in complex with the cosubstrate NAD^+ (light orange). Interacting residues are represented as sticks (slate blue). Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed yellow lines. (d) SirReal2 (light pink sticks, overall B -factor of 32.2 \AA^2) occupies the very hydrophobic extended C-site adjacent to the cosubstrate NAD^+ (light orange sticks, overall B -factor of 41.8 \AA^2). The surface of Sirt2-SirReal2- NAD^+ is coloured according to its hydrophobicity (red colour indicating increasing hydrophobicity). The σ -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ electron density map is contoured at 1.0σ . A stereo image of **d** is shown in Supplementary Fig. 4b. σ -weighted $F_o - F_c$ electron density OMIT maps for both ligands are shown in Supplementary Fig. 4d. (e) Comparison of the positions of the interacting residues of Sirt2-*apo* (PDB-ID 3ZGO, salmon), Sirt2-ADPR (PDB-ID 3ZGV, yellow) and Sirt2-SirReal2- NAD^+ (slate blue). Residues are shown as sticks. The binding of SirReal2 results in a reorganization of the side chains of several residues. The most drastic side chain movement was observed for the residues that form the acetyl-lysine substrate channel and the selectivity pocket. The side chain of Tyr139 of Sirt2-ADPR complex was not defined in the electron density map. The position of the interacting residues of Sirt2 in complex with the macrocyclic peptide inhibitor S2iL5 are very similar to the ones of Sirt2-ADPR and are therefore not shown.

3ZGO^{18,19}). The cofactor-binding loop in both Sirt2-SirReal2 complexes adopts a conformation similar to the one observed in Sirt2 in complex with the product analogue ADPR (PDB-ID 3ZGV).

When compared with the available Sirt2 structures (apo-Sirt2: PDB-ID 1J8F, 3ZGO; Sirt2-ADPR: PDB-ID 3ZGV; Sirt2-S2iL5 peptide: PDB-ID 4L3O²⁸) the zinc-binding domains in the Sirt2-SirReal2 structures adopt a conformation similar to the one in apo-Sirt2 (Fig. 2b–d, Supplementary Fig. 3a–c). On binding of the acetyl-lysine peptide substrate, the zinc-binding domain rotates towards the Rossmann fold domain. This has been termed as the ‘closure’ of the two domains and can be observed in several other human and bacterial sirtuin structures in complex with an acetyl-lysine peptide substrate^{20,21,31}. This domain closure induces the formation of the acetyl-lysine-binding tunnel and the β -staple motif that mediates the acetyl-lysine peptide substrate-sirtuin interactions³². Despite the absence of an acetyl-lysine peptide substrate, the Sirt2-ADPR complexes also adopt the closed conformation. This is due to the Sirt2-specific insertion that acts as a pseudo-substrate in the crystal and binds to the acetyl-lysine-binding site of a neighbouring Sirt2 molecule.

Despite the ‘open’ conformation of the Sirt2-SirReal2 structures, SirReal2-inhibited Sirt2 adopts a substantially different structure from the one observed in Sirt2-*apo* (r.m.s.d. (C_α atoms) = 1.4 \AA , Fig. 2b), the complex of Sirt2 and ADPR (r.m.s.d. (C_α atoms) = 1.6 \AA , Fig. 2c) and the complex of Sirt2 and the S2iL5 peptide (r.m.s.d. (C_α atoms) = 1.7 \AA , Fig. 2d). Our structures feature a completely new and unexpected Sirt2 conformation, where SirReal2 functions as a ‘molecular wedge’ that traps Sirt2 in the open conformation even in the presence of an acetyl-lysine peptide substrate. We call this a ‘locked open’ state.

SirReal2 occupies a yet-unexploited binding pocket. SirReal2 binds to the active site of Sirt2 (Figs 2e and 3) at the interface of the Rossmann fold domain and the zinc-binding domain, the site of the deacylation of ϵ -amino groups of lysines. The active site of Sirt2 has previously been divided into different sites (Fig. 3a). The A- and B-pocket, respectively, bind the ADPR moiety, whereas the C-pocket binds the NCA of NAD^+ . NAD^+ is able to adopt different conformations. However, only a kinked conformation where the NCA moiety of NAD^+ occupies the C-pocket is considered productive for catalytic deacylation. The hydrophobic




Figure 4 | SirReal1 selectively inhibits Sirt2 and functions as a molecular wedge to lock Sirt2 in an open conformation. (a) Chemical structure of SirReal1 (**2**). (b) Representative thermal stability plots for Sirt2 in the presence of SirReal1 (50 μ M) and either the cofactor NAD⁺ (5 mM) or an acetyl-lysine H3 peptide (5 mM). The presence of the cosubstrates enhances the stabilization of the Sirt2-SirReal1 complex ($n = 3$). Representative thermal stability plots of Sirt2 in the absence of SirReal2 and the presence of NAD⁺ or an acetyl-lysine oligopeptide are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1d. (c) Overlay of Sirt2-SirReal1-OTC (brown) with Sirt2 structures in complex with SirReal2 (Sirt2-SirReal2-H3, light grey; Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺, slate blue). All Sirt2-SirReal complexes share a high similarity (r.m.s.d. (C_{α} atoms) = 0.44 Å to Sirt2-SirReal2-H3, 0.59 Å to Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺) and represent the locked open conformation. The active site is represented as grey dots. (d,e) SirReal1 (light yellow sticks) occupies the extended C-site in a very similar fashion as observed for SirReal2 (light blue in Sirt2-SirReal2-H3, light pink in Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺). Differences can be observed for the position of the side chains of Phe119, Phe235 and the acetyl-lysine peptides. The acetyl-lysine-binding site as well as the selectivity pocket are also the sites of major conformational changes compared with Sirt2-apo (PDB-ID 3ZGO) and Sirt2-ADPR (PDB-ID 3ZGV, see Fig. 3e). Hydrogen bonds are shown in dashed yellow lines. The cofactor-binding loop of **d** is omitted for clarity. A stereo image of the σ -weighted $2F_o - F_c$ electron density maps for SirReal1 and the Ac-Lys-OTC oligopeptide as well as σ -weighted $F_o - F_c$ electron density OMIT maps of both ligands are shown in Supplementary Fig. 5b,d.

acetyl-lysine-binding tunnel is formed by several phenylalanines and connects the NAD⁺-binding site to the acetyl-lysine-binding site. The pocket adjacent to the C-pocket has been termed extended C-site (EC-site)³³.

The aminothiazole SirReal2 occupies this EC-site adjacent to the C-pocket, which is the physiological site for product inhibition by the feedback inhibitor NCA³⁰ (Supplementary Fig. 2). It binds at this highly hydrophobic site in vicinity to the zinc-binding domain, where it does not interfere with the binding of NCA or the NCA moiety of NAD⁺ (Fig. 3b–d). The naphthyl moiety of SirReal2 protrudes into the substrate channel and the dimethylmercaptopyrimidine substituent (DMP) induces the formation of a binding pocket beyond the EC-site. This region is formed by two loops (residues 136–144, residues 188–191) of the hinge region that connect the Rossmann fold domain with the zinc-binding domain. We refer to this binding pocket in the following as the ‘selectivity pocket’. The position of SirReal2 in the EC-site of Sirt2 is very similar in both structures with either NAD⁺ or the acetyl-lysine peptide substrate (r.m.s.d. of 0.47 Å), and we will primarily describe the binding of SirReal2 in the presence of NAD⁺, as this structure likely represents the

SirReal2-inhibited form of the enzyme. Structural comparison of the available Sirt2 structures with the Sirt2-SirReal2-H3 complex can be found in Supplementary Fig. 3.

Binding of SirReal2 to the EC-site is mainly driven by hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 3c,d). The naphthyl moiety of SirReal2 that protrudes into the acetyl-lysine-binding site is in van-der-Waals contacts with the NCA moiety of NAD⁺, Phe131, Leu134, Ile169, Ile232, Val233 and Phe234. In the selectivity pocket, the DMP moiety forms π - π -stacking interactions with Tyr139 and Phe190 in the selectivity pocket that is shaped by Ile93, Ala135, Leu138, Pro140, Phe143, Leu206 and Ile213. In addition, Pro94 hydrogen bonds via a structural water molecule (W40) to the carbonyl-O of SirReal2. Besides its interactions with the Sirt2 protein, the SirReal2 inhibitor also forms an internal hydrogen bond between the amide N-H and one of the pyrimidine nitrogens. This results in a rigid conformation with ideal complementarity to the active site of Sirt2.

Binding of SirReal2 to Sirt2 rearranges the active site. The presence of SirReal2 results in a rearrangement of Sirt2’s active




Figure 5 | SirReal inhibitors suppress Sirt2 activity via a unique mechanism. (a) Superposition of Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ with ternary sirtuin complexes (Sir2Tm: PDB-ID 2H4F, aquamarine; Sir2S3: PDB-ID 4FVT, raspberry) shows that NAD⁺ in Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ adopts a very similar kinked conformation to the one observed in ternary sirtuin complexes. The NCA ribose moiety of NAD⁺ in Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ shares more resemblance to the position of NCA ribose of NAD⁺ in 2H4F than to the one in 4FVT. (b) Overlay of Sirt2-SirReal2-H3, Sirt2-SirReal1-OTC with 2H4F of Fig. 4a. The bulky naphthyl moiety of SirReal2 forces the acetyl-lysine out of its physiological position, which can be seen in the ternary sirtuin complex of Sir2Tm, by ~5 Å. In this new position, the N_ε-group of the acetyl-lysine of Sirt2-SirReal2-H3 cannot hydrogen bond to the backbone carbonyl-O of the conserved Val232. The less bulky benzyl moiety of SirReal1 allows a similar acetyl-lysine binding to Sirt2 as observed in the ternary complex of Sir2Tm. However, the presence of SirReal1 slightly enlarges the distance between the backbone carbonyl-O of Val233 to the N_ε of the acetyl-lysine, thus disabling hydrogen bond formation. (c, d, f, g) Competition analyses of SirReal1/2-mediated inhibition. SirReal2 is a partial non-competitive inhibitor towards NAD⁺, while SirReal1 functions as a NAD⁺-competitive inhibitor. SirReal1 and SirReal2 are both competitive to the acetyl-lysine peptide. Data are presented as mean ± s.d. (n = 2). (e) Structure-activity relationships (SAR) for SirReal inhibitors. Only the combination of the bulky naphthyl moiety with the DMP and the non-methylated amide results in submicromolar Sirt2 inhibition. Inhibition data were determined using the substrate ZMAL (n = 3).

site. It is more pronounced in comparison with the Sirt2 structure in complex with ADPR (PDB-ID 3ZGV, Fig. 3e) than with the structure of Sirt2-apo (PDB-ID 3ZGO, Fig. 3e).

A site of major rearrangement is the selectivity pocket of the hinge region, where the DMP ring of SirReal2 is bound (Fig. 3e). Here the loop region from Lys136–Phe143 is substantially shifted upwards with respect to the Sirt2-apo structure and forms a lid above the DMP moiety. These residues seem to be more flexible, indicated by high B-factors, in structures of the closed conformation such as the Sirt2-ADPR or Sirt2-ADPR-NCA complexes (Supplementary Fig. 2) than in structures of the open conformation.

Another site of SirReal2-induced rearrangement is observed in the acetyl-lysine-binding site. Here the side chains of the residues forming the highly hydrophobic acetyl-lysine tunnel, Tyr104, Phe119, Phe131, Phe234 and Phe235 are shifted (Fig. 3e). In particular, the side chains of Phe235 and Tyr104 that usually cap the acetyl-lysine are rotated towards the surface of Sirt2. Surprisingly, this rearrangement does not prevent Sirt2 from

binding its acetyl-lysine peptide substrate, but deacetylation and the domain closure is blocked effectively.

Kinetic analyses of SirReal-mediated inhibition. In the course of the investigation SirReal-mediated inhibition, we also determined the crystal structure of another aminothiazole, termed SirReal1 (2, Fig. 4a), in complex with Sirt2 and a different acetyl-lysine peptide substrate. The latter is derived from ornithine transcarbamoylase (OTC, structure termed Sirt2-SirReal1-OTC). SirReal1 has a benzyl instead of a naphthyl-methyl substituent on the aminothiazole and is 26-fold less potent than SirReal2 in the same *in vitro* assay, but it retains high Sirt2 selectivity and shows similar behaviour in thermal stability assays (Supplementary Fig. 1b, c, Fig. 4b). Despite the presence of a different acetyl-lysine peptide, the structure of Sirt2-SirReal1-OTC bears a high resemblance to the Sirt2-SirReal2 complexes (r.m.s.d. (C_α atoms) = 0.44 Å to Sirt2-SirReal2-H3, 0.59 Å to Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺, Fig. 4c). SirReal1 also locks Sirt2 in the




Figure 7 | SirReal2 inhibits Sirt2 *in vivo*. (a) Acetylation level of the microtubule network (red) in the presence or absence of SirReal2 at a concentration of 20 μM . Treatment with SirReal2 leads to hyperacetylation of the microtubule network in a similar manner as observed for the Sirt2 inhibitor AGK2. Treatment with SirReal6 on the other hand results in no substantial change of acetylation level. The scale bar represents 5 μm ($n = 4$). (b) Abundance of BubR1 after incubation with SirReal2 ($n = 3$). (c) SirReal2 does not alter p53-Lys382-acetylation after ultraviolet damage ($n = 3$). Raw immunofluorescent images and uncropped blots are shown in the Supplementary Figs 8–10.

towards His187, weakening the formation of the hydrogen bond between the $\text{N}_\epsilon\text{-H}$ of the acetyl-lysine and the backbone carbonyl-O of the conserved valine (distance between carbonyl-O of valine and $\text{N}_\epsilon\text{-KAc}$: 3.2 \AA in Sirt2–SirReal1–OTC, 2.5–2.7 \AA in ternary complexes).

To investigate the SirReal-mediated inhibition kinetics, we performed competition analyses for SirReal1 and SirReal2 (Fig. 5c,d,f and g). SirReal2 is partially non-competitive and SirReal1 is competitive towards NAD^+ (Fig. 5c,f). Both SirReal inhibitors also exhibit acetyl-lysine competition with inhibition constants of 0.22 μM for SirReal2 and 3.33 μM for SirReal1 (Fig. 5d,g). This is in line with the protrusion into the acetyl-lysine-binding site that was seen in the crystal structures. However, despite competition towards acetyl-lysine substrates or the cosubstrate NAD^+ , the presence of SirReal1/2 inhibitors does not disable Sirt2 to bind its substrates.

For further exploration of the SirReal-mediated Sirt2 inhibition, we synthesized several SirReal derivatives (SirReal3–6, Supplementary Fig. 5, Supplementary Notes) and determined their inhibitory potencies (Fig. 5e). Only the combined presence of the naphthyl substituent with the DMP moiety and the non-methylated amide leads to a submicromolar Sirt2 inhibition. The substitution of the DMP moiety with a dimethylmercaptophenyl substituent or the methylation of the amide-nitrogen results in a significant loss of inhibition (>100-fold). This suggests that the formation of the intramolecular hydrogen bond and the resulting structural rigidity of Sirt2-bound SirReal2 are indispensable for potent Sirt2 inhibition.

Structural aspects of isotype-selective inhibition. One striking feature of the SirReal2-mediated inhibition is its isotype selectivity. SirReal2 inhibits Sirt2 >1,000-fold more potently than Sirt1, Sirt3, Sirt4, Sirt5 and Sirt6 and it is therefore one of the most selective sirtuin inhibitors known to date.

To analyse the basis of this high isotype selectivity, we created a structural sequence alignment of the deacylase domain of Sirt1–6

and compared the crystal structure of the Sirt2–SirReal2 complex with available crystal structures of sirtuins in their open conformation (Fig. 6a, Supplementary Fig. 7a,b)³⁵. Assuming that SirReal2 binds to the other sirtuin isotypes in a similar fashion as observed for Sirt2, Sirt4–6 exhibit major differences in their amino acid sequence. The structural differences are also very pronounced (Supplementary Fig. 7a) rationalizing the observed lacking *in vitro* inhibition of Sirt4–6 by SirReal2. Sirt1 and Sirt3, on the other hand, are phylogenetically more closely related to Sirt2 and show only minor sequence variations³⁶. Their conformation is more similar to the Sirt2–SirReal2– NAD^+ complex than to the conformation of the isotypes Sirt5/6 (Supplementary Fig. 7b). But they still show major structural differences (r.m.s.d. (C_α atoms) = 1.6 \AA). As it was not possible to dock SirReal2 in any of the available Sirt1 and Sirt3 X-ray crystal structures (Supplementary Methods), we wanted to probe whether Sirt1 and Sirt3 were able to adopt a similar conformation as observed in the Sirt2–SirReal2 structures that would allow binding of SirReal2. This would enable us to see whether the minor sequence variations within the deacylase domain of Sirt1–3 would have an influence on SirReal2 binding. Therefore, we generated homology models of Sirt1 (Sirt1-HM) and Sirt3 (Sirt3-HM) based on our Sirt2–SirReal2 structures (Supplementary Methods). Stereochemical analyses as well as molecular dynamics simulations indicated high-quality model structures, and it was indeed possible to dock SirReal2 into these homology models (Supplementary Fig. 7c–h). However, the docking poses of SirReal2 in Sirt1-HM and Sirt3-HM gave less favourable docking scores compared with the requisite scores for the docking poses of SirReal2 in Sirt2–SirReal2 structures. Here the position and the conformation of SirReal2 were correctly predicted (Fig. 6b). In case of Sirt1, residues Leu103, Ile118, Leu134, Leu138 and Leu206 of Sirt2 are substituted with Ile279, Met296, Phe312, Ile316 and Cys380 (Fig. 6c). Cys380 gives the hypothetical selectivity pocket of SirReal2 in Sirt1-HM a very different shape and changes its surface characteristics. The bulky

Table 1 | Data collection and refinement statistics.

	Sirt2-SirReal1-OTC*	Sirt2-SirReal2-H3*	Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD ⁺ *
Data collection			
Space group	$P2_1$	$P2_1$	$I2$
Cell dimensions (Å)			
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	36.21, 73.75, 55.86	35.99, 73.30, 55.29	83.7, 54.51, 96.69
α , β , γ (°)	90, 94.71, 90	90, 95.23, 90	90, 114.8, 90
Resolution (Å) [†]	44.43-1.45 (1.48-1.45)	44.02-1.42 (1.44-1.42)	48.28-1.88 (1.92-1.88)
R_{merge}	0.126 (1.009)	0.060 (0.922)	0.068 (1.351)
R_{pim}	0.054 (0.471)	0.035 (0.546)	0.029 (0.583)
$I/\sigma I$	9.2 (1.7)	11.9 (1.5)	19.3 (1.5)
Completeness (%)	99.9 (99.9)	99.6 (99.6)	100 (100)
CC1/2	0.995 (0.549)	0.999 (0.534)	0.999 (0.585)
Redundancy	6.5 (6.2)	3.7 (3.8)	6.6 (6.4)
Refinement			
Resolution (Å)	44.43-1.45	44.02-1.42	48.28-1.88
No. of reflections	334,389 (16,809)	199,366 (10,043)	213,173 (12,962)
$R_{\text{work}}/R_{\text{free}}$ (%)	26.0/28.2	18.1/18.8	20.2/24.7
No. of atoms			
Protein	2,251	2,406	2,350
SirReal inhibitor	25	29	29
Ac-Lys peptide/NAD ⁺	32	12	44
Zn ²⁺	1	1	1
Water	156	242	99
B-factors (Å ²)			
Protein	17.3	21.1	38.4
SirReal inhibitor	27.0	25.1	32.2
Ac-Lys peptide/NAD ⁺	29.8	48.3	41.8
Zn ²⁺	11.7	14.8	30.7
Water	20.5	27.0	36.0
r.m.s. deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.012	0.008	0.014
Bond angles (°)	1.58	1.33	1.65
<small>Ac-Lys, acetyl-lysine; r.m.s., root mean squared. *Each data set was obtained from one single crystal. Sirt2-SirReal1-OTC and Sirt2-SirReal2-H3 were collected at 1.0 Å at the Swiss Light Source (Villigen, Switzerland), Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ was collected with an in-house X-ray source at 1.5418 Å. [†]Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.</small>			

Phe312 and Ile316 as well as Met296 and Ile279 also tighten the EC-site, resulting in an unfavourable orientation of the aminothiazole and naphthyl moieties in possible docking poses. In the case of Sirt3, the differences are mainly located at the selectivity pocket. Here Phe143, Thr171, Leu206 and Ile213 of Sirt2 are substituted by Tyr204, Gly232, Gly265 and Val272 (Fig. 6d). The less bulky Gly232, Gly265, Val272 of Sirt3 form a much wider and also more solvent-accessible selectivity pocket as compared with the Sirt2-SirReal2 structures. In contrast to the SirReal2-binding pockets of the homology models of Sirt1 and Sirt3, SirReal2 bound to Sirt2 can adopt a conformation that is in almost perfect complementarity with the protein, which is stabilized by the intramolecular hydrogen bond between the DMP substituent and the amide. This is not possible in Sirt1 and Sirt3 and also rationalizes the observed isotype selectivity.

In vivo inhibition of Sirt2. To validate that SirReal2 could be used as a tool to investigate the effects of Sirt2 inhibition in a cellular setting, we incubated HeLa cells with SirReal2 at various concentrations and determined the level of α -tubulin acetylation (Supplementary Fig. 8a,b). Incubation with SirReal2, but not with SirReal6, resulted in a significant increase of α -tubulin acetylation consistent with an *in vivo* inhibition of Sirt2 as shown previously³⁷. These changes are not as pronounced when compared with the changes induced by the inhibition of the other main tubulin deacetylase KDAC6 (refs 38,39). KDAC6 activity is not affected *in vitro* in the presence of SirReal2 (Supplementary

Fig. 8c). To verify the observations from the western blot data, we also visualized the acetylation level by means of immunofluorescence microscopy. Again, the incubation with SirReal2 resulted in a partial increase of the acetylation of the microtubule network similar to the effects observed after treatment with the Sirt2-inhibitor AGK2 (Fig. 7a, Supplementary Fig. 9)^{39,40}. SirReal6, on the other hand, does not alter microtubule acetylation. In addition, we analysed another Sirt2 target. Recently, we reported that the stability of spindle assembly checkpoint protein BubR1 is under control of Sirt2 (ref. 41). A decline in BubR1 over time has been linked to mammalian aging⁴². Deacetylation of Lys668 of BubR1 by Sirt2 inhibits the ubiquitination of BubR1 and its designation to the proteasome. Therefore, the abundance of BubR1 can be used as a functional measure for *in vivo* Sirt2 inhibition. Incubation with SirReal2 indeed significantly resulted in a dose-dependent depletion of BubR1, whereas SirReal5/6 did not influence BubR1 concentrations (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Fig. 8d,e). We also found that SirReal2 treatment did not alter cell cycle distribution, ruling out that the effect on BubR1 was indirect through induction of cell cycle changes (Supplementary Fig. 8f). To determine if SirReal2 selectively inhibits Sirt2 *in vivo*, we assessed p53 acetylation following genotoxic stress (Fig. 7c, Supplementary Fig. 10a). Acetylation of p53 occurs in response to ultraviolet exposure to cells⁴³ and this acetylation is regulated, in part, by the isotype Sirt1 (refs 44,45). On exposure to ultraviolet light, we detected an increase in acetylation of p53, which was further increased on treatment with the pan-sirtuin inhibitor

NCA. However, we did not observe an increase in acetylation of p53 following treatment with SirReal2/5/6. Similarly, we also tested if SirReal2 could inhibit Sirt3 in cells by assessing mitochondrial protein acetylation. Sirt3 has previously been demonstrated to regulate global mitochondrial protein acetylation⁴⁶. Following treatment with SirReal2 or NCA as a positive control, we purified mitochondria and assessed protein acetylation by western blotting. We found that treatment with NCA leads to an increase in the acetylation of mitochondrial proteins, whereas treatment with SirReal2 did not, suggesting that SirReal2 is unable to regulate Sirt3 activity in cells (Supplementary Fig. 10b). These results confirm the *in vitro* observations and indicate that SirReal2 has a strong specificity towards Sirt2 *in vivo* when compared with the other members of Class-I sirtuins Sirt1 and Sirt3.

Discussion

There are many indications that sirtuins play an important role in neurodegeneration, cancer, bacterial infections and inflammation and that a modulation of Sirt2 activity could be a new strategy for pharmaceutical intervention. However, the physiological functions of Sirt2 are far from being completely understood and conclusive evidence for the suitability of Sirt2 as a pharmaceutical target is, at least in some cases, missing. To further explore Sirt2 function in a cellular environment, there is a definite need for selective and potent Sirt2 modulators.

So far, most sirtuin modulators lack either potency, selectivity or drug-like physicochemical properties. Recent screening campaigns have identified several potent and/or selective inhibitors^{15,24–26}; however, with the exception of the macrocyclic peptide S2iL5, it is not clear how these inhibitors bind to Sirt2. And although several X-ray structures of sirtuins in complex with inhibitors have been reported lately^{28,33,47–51}, these structures do little to reveal a rationale for a Sirt2-selective inhibition.

With the identification of the SirReal inhibitors, we establish the structural basis for Sirt2-selective inhibition and report a new potent Sirt2-selective inhibitor scaffold. As noted above, the intramolecular hydrogen bond between the amide of the aminothiazole and a nitrogen atom of the DMP moiety gives the inhibitor a rigid form that can act as a molecular wedge locking the enzyme conformation with subsequent Sirt2 inhibition. Not only essential for the potency, the intramolecular bond also seems to play an important role for the compound's Sirt2 selectivity, as it can only be formed when bound in perfect complementarity to the active site of Sirt2. This seems not to be possible if bound to Sirt1 or Sirt3.

Another important aspect alongside the internal hydrogen bond of SirReal-mediated Sirt2 inhibition is the exploitation of the selectivity pocket by SirReal inhibitors. The only other isotope-selective sirtuin inhibitors with known structures, CHIC-35 (Sirt1-selective)⁴⁸, EX-527 (Sirt1-selective)³³ and SRT1720 (Sirt3-selective)⁴⁹ either bind to the EC-site and/or to the acetyl-lysine-binding site but neither the indole inhibitors nor SRT1720 or any of the inhibitors whose binding modes have been elucidated by means of X-ray crystallography occupy the selectivity pocket (Fig. 6). This pocket is formed by two loops that connect the Rossmann fold domain with the smaller zinc-binding domain. The residues that form this pocket significantly differ within the sirtuin family and targeting this pocket may present a new strategy for selective sirtuin inhibitor design. These particular findings would not have been discovered with the use of computational methods and the available Sirt2 structures.

In conclusion, with the identification of SirReal2, we provide an isotope-selective drug-like inhibitor with optimized potency

and physicochemical properties in comparison with previously published Sirt2 inhibitors (Supplementary Table 1). We established valuable structural insights into selective Sirt2 inhibition and show that SirReal2 inhibits Sirt2 *in vivo* without affecting the activity of the other Class-I sirtuins Sirt1 and Sirt3. The observed selectivity towards Sirt3 may, in part, stem from a lack of penetration into the mitochondria but the cellular net result is as desired. SirReal2 may therefore be used for further cellular studies to probe Sirt2 biology. Our findings may constitute the basis for further selective sirtuin inhibitor development and provide a new tool for sirtuin biology.

Methods

Cloning. The gene sequences coding for human Sirt2_{56–356} (Uniprot: Q8IXJ6) or human Sirt3_{118–395} (Uniprot: Q9NTG) were cloned in a modified pET15b vector that contained His₁₀-Tag instead of a His₆-Tag and a cleavage site for TEV protease instead of one for thrombin.

Protein expression and purification. Human Sirt1_{133–747} (Uniprot: Q96EB6), human Sirt2_{25–389}, human Sirt3_{101–399}, human Sirt5_{34–302} (Uniprot: Q9NXA8) and human Sirt6_{13–308} (Uniprot: Q8N6T7) were purified as described before^{47,52,53}. Human Sirt4_{25–314} (Uniprot: Q9Y6E7) was expressed and purified as described before⁵⁴ with the exception that autoinduction with 0.2% (w/v) lactose in TB media was used for expression.

Sirt2_{56–356} and Sirt3_{118–395} were expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3)Codonplus RIPL cells overnight at 18 °C. Overexpression was induced with isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactoside (0.1 mM) at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6. Cells were harvested, resuspended in lysis buffer (Sirt2_{56–356}: 50 mM Tris/HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mM β -mercaptoethanol, pH 8.0; Sirt3_{118–395}: 50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mM β -mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5) and lysed using a microfluidizer (Microfluidics). After the removal of cell debris, the supernatant was applied to a HisTrapFF 5 ml column (GE Healthcare), washed intensively before TEV protease (excess) was applied directly on the column. After an overnight digestion at 4 °C, the digested protein was eluted with lysis buffer, concentrated and further purified using a Superdex S75 26/60 gel filtration column (Sirt2_{56–356}: 25 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0; Sirt3_{118–395}: 25 mM HEPES, 200 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mM β -mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5). Sirtuin-containing fractions were collected and concentrated to 20 mg ml⁻¹. All purification steps were monitored using SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Protein concentration was determined by the Bradford assay (Roth).

In vitro sirtuin assay. Initial screens were conducted with a high-throughput fluorescence-based assay using the substrate ZMAL (Z-Lys(Acetyl)-AMC) that was synthesized according to published procedures²⁹. For this human Sirt1_{133–747}, human Sirt2_{25–389}, human Sirt3_{101–399} or Sirt3_{118–395} were mixed with assay buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, pH 8.0), β -NAD⁺ (final assay concentration 500 μ M), the substrate ZMAL (final assay concentration 10.5 μ M from a 12.6 mM stock solution in DMSO) and the respective inhibitor in DMSO at various concentrations or DMSO as a control (final DMSO concentration 5–20% (v/v)). The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 4 h, with agitation at 150 r.p.m. Deacetylation was then stopped by the addition of a solution containing NCA and trypsin (50 mM Tris/HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 6.7% (v/v) DMSO, trypsin 5.5 U μ l⁻¹, 8 mM NCA, pH 8.0, 60 μ l) and the mixture was then incubated for tryptic digestion of the deacetylated product to release the fluorophore (20 min, 37 °C, 150 r.p.m.). Then the fluorescence intensity was measured in a microplate reader (BMG Polarstar, λ_{ex} 390 nm, λ_{em} 460 nm). The amount of inhibition was determined with respect to the mixture with only DMSO. IC₅₀ values were determined with Graphpad Prism software using a non-linear regression to fit the dose–response curve. SirReal1 and SirReal2 were also tested for Sirt1–3 inhibition with a non-labelled acetyl-lysine peptide substrate (based on α -tubulin with two additional tryptophans (residues 36–44, H-PSDK(Acetyl)TIGGWW-NH₂, 10 μ M, Supplementary Methods). SirReal2 was also tested for Sirt5–6 inhibition with non-labelled acyl-lysine peptide substrates (Sirt5: Benzoyl-GVLK(Succinyl)EYGV-NH₂, 10 μ M; Sirt6: Ac-EALPKK(Myristoyl)TGG-NH₂, 10 μ M). The substrate was incubated (10 min, \sim 0.5 μ M Sirt1/2/3/5/6, 500 μ M β -NAD⁺, 5–20% (v/v) DMSO, 50 mM Tris/HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, pH 8.0), stopped by the addition of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 10% (v/v), final concentration 1% (v/v)). The components of the stopped reaction mixture were separated by HPLC (Agilent 1100, Phenomenex reversed phase column Kinetex RP18 2.7 μ m, 50 \times 3 mm) using a linear gradient of acetonitrile (20–60% (v/v) acetonitrile, 0.1% (v/v) TFA, 0.6 ml min⁻¹). Peaks of acetylated and deacetylated substrate were quantified by absorption at 280 nm. Sirt4-dependent deacetylation reactions were performed with an acetylated Nnt397-peptide (H-NITKLLK(Acetyl)AISPKK-NH₂, 250 μ M, GL Biochem., in 50 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5). Samples were taken between 0 and 45 min and reactions were stopped by mixing 1:1 with 0.5% (v/v) TFA. The samples were then diluted to a peptide concentration of 5 μ M with 0.1% (v/v) formic acid and analysed on an EASY-nLCII connected to a LTQ mass

spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides were separated by a linear gradient of acetonitrile (0–100% (v/v), 0.1% (v/v) TFA, 300 nl min⁻¹) on a reposit C18 reversed phase column. Peak areas of acetylated and deacetylated peptides were extracted using Skyline⁵⁵. A solution with DMSO was used as a negative control while a solution with the physiological inhibitor NCA served as a positive control (Fig. 1c). Owing to the lack of a suitable screening assay for human Sirt7, we focused our studies on isotypes Sirt1–6. Fluor-de-Lys assays (Enzo Life Sciences) were conducted according to the manual using the HDAC8 Fluor-de-Lys deacetylase substrate (BML-K1178-0005).

Protein crystallization. All crystallization trials were performed in 96-well plates (Intelli-Plate 96-3 Low Profile, Art Robbins Instruments) using an Oryx nano pipetting robot (Douglas Instruments). Reservoir solutions were precooled to 4 °C and screens were then pipetted at 20 °C.

For co-crystallization experiments with SirReal1 and SirReal2, a solution of the truncated Sirt_{256–356} (20 mg ml⁻¹ final concentration) was preincubated with a saturated aminothiazole solution (100 mM stock solution in DMSO, 1–2% (v/v) DMSO final concentration) in the presence of H3 peptide (100 mM stock solution in 25 mM HEPES, 200 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, pH 7.5, 2 mM final concentration, derived from acetylated Histone H3 (residues 11–17), Peptide Specialty Laboratories), acetylated OTC oligopeptide (100 mM stock solution in DMSO, 2 mM final concentration, derived from ornithine transcarbamoylase (residues 83–92), Peptide Specialty Laboratories) or β-NAD⁺ (Sigma-Aldrich, 100 mM stock solution in 25 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM final concentration) for 60 min at 4 °C, centrifuged (4 °C, 10 min, 16,100g) and crystallized. Sirt2–SirReal1–OTC–peptide complex crystallized in 25% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3,350 and 0.1 M Bis–Tris buffer at pH of 6.5 and 4 °C, Sirt2–SirReal2–H3–peptide complex crystallized in 2.8 M (NH₄)₂SO₄ and 0.1 M Tris/HCl buffer at pH 9.0 and 4 °C. For both conditions, a protein solution to reservoir solution ratio of 1:3 was used. The Sirt2–SirReal2–NAD⁺ complex crystallized in 0.1 M KSCN, 30% (w/v) PEG MME 2,000 at 4 °C with a protein solution to reservoir solution ratio of 3:1. The crystals were mounted in nylon loops and if required, cryoprotected by the addition of 20% (v/v) of glycerol before flash cooling in liquid nitrogen.

Crystals of Sirt2 in complex with ADPR (13 mg ml⁻¹ final concentration, 20 mM ADPR, 1 M stock solution in 1 M Tris/HCl buffer at pH 9.0) were obtained using 18% (w/v) PEG 10,000 and 0.1 M Bis–Tris buffer at pH 5.75 and 20 °C. The crystals formed after 1 day and were then soaked in a buffer containing 18% (w/v) PEG 10,000, 0.1 M Bis–Tris buffer at pH 5.75, 200 mM NCA for 30 min. They were then mounted in nylon loops after addition of glycerol to a final concentration of 20% (v/v) before flash cooling in liquid nitrogen.

Data collection and processing. Data were collected at beamline X06SA (Sirt2–SirReal2–H3 complex) or X06DA (Sirt2–SirReal1–OTC complex, Sirt2–ADPR–NCA complex) with Pilatus detectors (Dectris) at the Swiss Light Source (Villigen, Switzerland). All experiments were carried out at 100 K with oscillations of 0.25 or 0.5° at an X-ray wavelength of 1.0 Å. The Sirt2–SirReal2–NAD⁺ complex was determined from data collected on a MicroMax 007HF rotating anode X-ray generator (Rigaku) at an X-ray wavelength of 1.5418 Å, equipped with a mar345 image plate detector (Mar Research). All data sets were processed with Imosflm⁵⁶ or XDS⁵⁷ and scaled based on the CC⁺ criterion⁵⁸ using Aimless⁵⁶.

Structure solution and refinement. All structures were solved by molecular replacement using either MOLREP⁵⁹ or PHASER⁶⁰ with a monomer of Sirt2–ADPR (PDB-ID 3ZGV)¹⁹ or the initial Sirt2–aminothiazole–structure (Sirt2–SirReal2–H3) as a search model. Model building was carried out using Coot⁶¹ and the structure was refined with REFMAC5 (ref. 62). Ligands were generated using the Grade Web Server (Global Phasing Ltd., Cambridge). All structures were validated using the Molprobit server⁶³.

Sirt2–SirReal1–OTC was refined to a final R_{work} of 26.0% and R_{free} of 28.2% with 98% of all amino acids of the refined model found in the most favored regions of the Ramachandran plot. Sirt2–SirReal2–H3 was refined to a final R_{work} of 18.1% and R_{free} of 18.8% with 98% of all residues falling into the most-favoured region of the Ramachandran plot. The Sirt2–SirReal2–NAD⁺ complex was refined to a final R_{work} of 20.2% and R_{free} of 24.7% with 97% of all residues in the most-favoured Ramachandran plot regions. Finally, the Sirt2–ADPR–NCA complex was refined to a final R_{work} of 21.1% and R_{free} of 23.9% with 98% of all residues in the most favored Ramachandran plot regions. All structures do not have Ramachandran outliers. Further data collection and refinement statistics are found in Table 1 (Sirt2–SirReal1–OTC, Sirt2–SirReal2–H3, Sirt2–SirReal2–NAD⁺) and in Supplementary Table 2 (Sirt2–ADPR–NCA).

Most of the residues of all new structures are well defined in the electron density maps except for some parts of the flexible cofactor-binding loop (residues 96–120, Sirt2–SirReal2–NAD⁺, Sirt2–SirReal2–H3, Sirt2–SirReal1–OTC) and parts of the Sirt2-specific insertion loop (residues 295–305, Sirt2–SirReal2–NAD⁺, Sirt2–SirReal2–H3, Sirt2–SirReal1–OTC). The weak density for NAD⁺ in the Sirt2–SirReal2–NAD⁺ complex may also be due to partial hydrolysis of NAD⁺ and the formation of different conformers. The visible amino-terminal histidine and methionine visible in the Sirt2–ADPR–NCA complex and Sirt2–SirReal2–

NAD⁺ complex structures originate from the *NdeI* restriction site of the modified pET15b vector. σ -weighted $F_o - F_c$ electron density OMIT maps were generated with the Phenix suite⁶⁴. Images were prepared with Pymol (The Pymol Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.6, Schrödinger, LLC), r.m.s.d. values were calculated with SUPERPOSE⁶⁵ and the surface of the binding pockets was generated using HOLLOW⁶⁶. The two-dimensional representation of the interactions of NCA with Sirt2 were generated with LigPlot +⁶⁷.

Determination of kinetic parameters for SirReal1/2. For SirReal-inhibition kinetics, a mixture of α -tubulin peptide substrate (residues 36–44, H-PSDK (Acetyl)TIGGGWW-NH₂, 2.5–75 μ M, for details about the α -tubulin peptide synthesis see Supplementary Methods), β -NAD⁺ (5–1,500 μ M), SirReal1/2 (various concentrations in 50 mM Tris/HCl, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5% (v/v) DMSO, pH 8.0) was incubated (37 °C). The reaction was started by the addition of human Sirt_{256–356} (2 μ M), stopped after 1–50 min with TFA (10% (v/v), final concentration 1% (v/v)) and analysed by HPLC as described above. The peak areas were integrated and converted to initial velocities calculated from the peak areas as the fraction of deacetylated peptide from total peptide. From this, reaction rates in μ M min⁻¹ were obtained by linear regression, while K_m and k_{cat} were obtained directly from Michaelis–Menten plots using Graphpad Prism software. β -NAD⁺ (500 μ M) was used for the determination of the kinetic parameters for the peptide substrate, 100 μ M of peptide substrate was used for the determination of the kinetic parameters for β -NAD⁺.

Thermal shift assays. Human Sirt_{256–356} (0.2 mg ml⁻¹ final concentration) was mixed with or without ligand containing buffer (25 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) DMSO, 1:4,000 Sypro Orange, pH 8.0) in absence or presence of β -NAD⁺ (100 mM stock solution in 25 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, final assay concentration 5 mM) or acetylated H3-peptide (100 mM stock solution in 25 mM HEPES, 200 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, pH 7.5, final assay concentration 5 mM). Fluorescence was monitored during a temperature ramp from 25–95 °C (1 °C min⁻¹) using a Bio-Rad iCycler iQ5 (4titude, FrameStar 96-well plates, 4ti-0771, 4titude qPCR Seal, 4ti-0560). Melting temperatures were determined according to published procedures⁶⁸ using Graphpad Prism software.

Cell cultivation. HeLa cells (DSMZ accession no. 057) and U2OS cells (ATCC accession no. HTB-96) were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (PAA) containing 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS, PAA), 1% (v/v) penicillin (PAA), 1% (v/v) streptomycin (PAA), 1% (v/v), L-glutamine (PAA) at 37 °C in a 5% (v/v) CO₂ atmosphere.

Tubulin acetylation. HeLa cells were plated in petri dishes (5 cm, PAA), incubated overnight to a confluency of 30–40% and then treated with SirReal2 dissolved in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with fresh 20% (v/v) FCS (PAA), 1% (v/v) penicillin (PAA), 1% (v/v) streptomycin (PAA), 1% (v/v), L-glutamine (PAA), 1% (v/v) DMSO for 5 h at various concentrations. Cells were then washed with prewarmed PBS (2 ml), lysed in SDS–PAGE sample buffer (70 μ l, 50 mM Tris/HCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 × Complete Protease Inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich), 2% (v/v) IGEPAL (Sigma-Aldrich), 2% (w/v) SDS, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM NCA (Sigma-Aldrich), 3.3 μ M trichostatin A (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 mM DTT, 0.01% (w/v) bromophenol blue, pH 6.8) and sonicated (5 min). Cell samples were then separated using SDS–PAGE (12.5% (w/v) polyacrylamide), transferred to an activated nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad), blocked with non-fat dry milk (Roth, 5% (w/v), TBS, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) and probed with an anti-acetyl- α -tubulin antibody (1:1,000, Sigma-Aldrich, T6793) and an anti-GAPDH antibody (1:2,000–1:5,000, Sigma-Aldrich, G9545) as a loading control (Fusion SL, peqlab). An uncropped blot is shown in Supplementary Fig. 8.

Abundance of BubR1. HeLa cells plated in six-well plates were treated with SirReal2 dissolved in FCS (1% (v/v) DMSO, 16 h) at various concentrations. Cells were washed with PBS, lysed in IPLS (50 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5% (v/v) NP-40, pH 7.5, supplemented with Complete protease inhibitors (Roche)). Samples were pelleted and resuspended in 1 × SDS–PAGE sample buffer and heated (95 °C, 5 min). Cell samples were separated using SDS–PAGE (10% (w/v) polyacrylamide), transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad), blocked with non-fat dry milk (Roth, 5% (w/v), TBS, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) and probed with the anti-BubR1 (1:5,000, BD Biosciences, 612502) and anti-tubulin (1:5,000, Sigma-Aldrich, T5168) as a loading control. Uncropped blots are shown in the Supplementary Fig. 8.

Immunocytochemistry. HeLa cells that were incubated with SirReal2 (20 and 50 μ M), SirReal6 (50 μ M), AGK2 (Sigma-Aldrich, 20 μ M) or DMSO as a control in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% FCS, antibiotics and DMSO (1% (v/v)) for 4 h, were fixed with ice-cold methanol (10 min), washed with PBS and blocked with PBS supplemented with 0.1% (v/v) Triton-X-100 and 5% (v/v) FCS (30 min). Cells were then stained with an anti-acetyl- α -tubulin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, T6793) and then probed with a secondary Alexa 546 conjugated anti-mouse-antibody (Invitrogen). Nuclei were counterstained with

DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). Coverslips were mounted with Fluoromount (Sigma-Aldrich) and sealed with DPX Mountant (Sigma-Aldrich). Images of the mounted samples were acquired on a Leica DM500 microscope equipped with a Leica DFC 395 FX camera and HBO 100 W lamp⁴⁰. The microscope was run with the Leica Application Suite 4.4.0 software. Chroma UV filter set (No. C40888) and Leica N2.1 filter set (No. 513832) were used for DAPI and Alexa 546 signal acquisition, respectively, with a HXC FL Fluotar 40x/0.75 (dry) objective. Further details about the equipment and the settings that were used to acquire the images are found in the Supplementary Methods section. Unprocessed images are found in Supplementary Fig. 9.

p53 Acetylation. U2OS cells (ATCC accession no. HTB-96) were seeded and cultured until they reached 90% confluency. Cells were then pretreated for 1 h with NCA (Sigma-Aldrich), SirReal2, SirReal5 or SirReal6 at the indicated concentrations, and then subsequently exposed to 20 J cm⁻² ultraviolet light. Cells were incubated for an additional 6 h in the presence of the inhibitor and then lysed in IPLS (50 mM Tris/HCl, 150 mM NaCl; 0.5 mM EDTA; 0.5% (v/v) NP-40; 1 × Complete Protease Inhibitors (Roche), pH 8.0) and resuspended in 1 × Laemmli Buffer. Samples were then separated on SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad), blocked with non-fat dry milk (Roth, 5% (w/v), TBS, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) and probed with anti-acetyl-p53 K382 (Cell Signaling, #2522), anti-p53 DO.1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-126) and anti-vinculin (Cell Signaling, #4650) as a loading control. Uncropped blots are shown in the Supplementary Fig. 10.

References

- Feldman, J. L., Baeza, J. & Denu, J. M. Activation of the protein deacetylase SIRT6 by long-chain fatty acids and widespread deacetylation by mammalian sirtuins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 31350–31356 (2013).
- Jiang, H. *et al.* SIRT6 regulates TNF- α secretion through hydrolysis of long-chain fatty acyl lysine. *Nature* **496**, 110–113 (2013).
- Du, J. *et al.* Sirt5 Is a NAD-dependent protein lysine demalonylase and desuccinylase. *Science* **334**, 806–809 (2011).
- Du, J., Jiang, H. & Lin, H. Investigating the ADP-ribosyltransferase activity of sirtuins with NAD analogues and 32P-NAD. *Biochemistry* **48**, 2878–2890 (2009).
- Vaquero, A., Sternglanz, R. & Reinberg, D. NAD⁺-dependent deacetylation of H4 lysine 16 by class III HDACs. *Oncogene* **26**, 5505–5520 (2007).
- North, B. J., Marshall, B. L., Borra, M. T., Denu, J. M. & Verdin, E. The human Sir2 ortholog, SIRT2, is an NAD⁺-dependent tubulin deacetylase. *Mol. Cell* **11**, 437–444 (2003).
- Beirowski, B. *et al.* Sir-two-homolog 2 (Sirt2) modulates peripheral myelination through polarity protein Par-3/atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) signaling. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **108**, E952–E961 (2011).
- de Oliveira, R. M., Sarkander, J., Kazantsev, A. G. & Outeiro, T. F. SIRT2 as a therapeutic target for age-related disorders. *Front. Pharmacol.* **3**, 82 (2012).
- Pais, T. F. *et al.* The NAD-dependent deacetylase sirtuin 2 is a suppressor of microglial activation and brain inflammation. *EMBO J.* **32**, 2603–2616 (2013).
- Kim, H.-S. *et al.* SIRT2 maintains genome integrity and suppresses tumorigenesis through regulating APC/C activity. *Cancer Cell* **20**, 487–499 (2011).
- Serrano, L. *et al.* The tumor suppressor SirT2 regulates cell cycle progression and genome stability by modulating the mitotic deposition of H4K20 methylation. *Genes Dev.* **27**, 639–653 (2013).
- Yang, M. H. *et al.* HDAC6 and SIRT2 regulate the acetylation state and oncogenic activity of mutant K-RAS. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **11**, 1072–1077 (2013).
- Liu, P. Y. *et al.* The histone deacetylase SIRT2 stabilizes Myc oncoproteins. *Cell Death Differ.* **20**, 503–514 (2013).
- Eskandarian, H. A. *et al.* A role for SIRT2-dependent histone H3K18 deacetylation in bacterial infection. *Science* **341**, 1238858–1238858 (2013).
- Outeiro, T. F. *et al.* Sirtuin 2 inhibitors rescue α -synuclein-mediated toxicity in models of Parkinson's disease. *Science* **317**, 516–519 (2007).
- Chopra, V. *et al.* The sirtuin 2 inhibitor AK-7 is neuroprotective in Huntington's disease mouse models. *Cell Rep.* **2**, 1492–1497 (2012).
- Bobrowska, A., Donmez, G., Weiss, A., Guarente, L. & Bates, G. SIRT2 ablation has no effect on tubulin acetylation in brain, cholesterol biosynthesis or the progression of Huntington's disease phenotypes *in vivo*. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e34805 (2012).
- Finnin, M. S., Donigian, J. R. & Pavletich, N. P. Structure of the histone deacetylase SIRT2. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **8**, 621–625 (2001).
- Moniot, S., Schutkowski, M. & Steegborn, C. Crystal structure analysis of human Sirt2 and its ADP-ribose complex. *J. Struct. Biol.* **182**, 136–143 (2013).
- Jin, L. *et al.* Crystal structures of human SIRT3 displaying substrate-induced conformational changes. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 24394–24405 (2009).
- Davenport, A. M., Huber, F. M. & Hoelzl, A. Structural and Functional Analysis of Human SIRT1. *J. Mol. Biol.* **426**, 526–541 (2014).
- Pan, P. W. *et al.* Structure and biochemical functions of SIRT6. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 14575–14587 (2011).
- Szczepankiewicz, B. G. *et al.* Synthesis of carba-NAD and the structures of its ternary complexes with SIRT3 and SIRT5. *J. Org. Chem.* **77**, 7319–7329 (2012).
- Suzuki, T. *et al.* Design, synthesis, and biological activity of a novel series of human sirtuin-2-selective inhibitors. *J. Med. Chem.* **55**, 5760–5773 (2012).
- Napper, A. D. *et al.* Discovery of indoles as potent and selective inhibitors of the deacetylase SIRT1. *J. Med. Chem.* **48**, 8045–8054 (2005).
- Hoffmann, G., Breitenbücher, F., Schuler, M. & Ehrenhofer-Murray, A. E. A novel sirtuin 2 (SIRT2) inhibitor with p53-dependent pro-apoptotic activity in non-small cell lung cancer. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 5208–5216 (2014).
- Cui, H. *et al.* Discovery of potent and selective sirtuin 2 (SIRT2) inhibitors using a fragment-based approach. *J. Med. Chem.* **57**, 8340–8357 (2014).
- Yamagata, K. *et al.* Structural basis for potent inhibition of SIRT2 deacetylase by a macrocyclic peptide inducing dynamic structural change. *Structure* **22**, 345–352 (2014).
- Heltweg, B., Trapp, J. & Jung, M. *In vitro* assays for the determination of histone deacetylase activity. *Methods* **36**, 332–337 (2005).
- Avalos, J. L., Bever, K. M. & Wolberger, C. Mechanism of sirtuin inhibition by nicotinamide: altering the NAD⁺ cosubstrate specificity of a Sir2 enzyme. *Mol. Cell* **17**, 855–868 (2005).
- Avalos, J. L. *et al.* Structure of a Sir2 enzyme bound to an acetylated p53 peptide. *Mol. Cell* **10**, 523–535 (2002).
- Cosgrove, M. S. *et al.* The structural basis of sirtuin substrate affinity. *Biochemistry* **45**, 7511–7521 (2006).
- Gertz, M. *et al.* Ex-527 inhibits Sirtuins by exploiting their unique NAD⁺-dependent deacetylation mechanism. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, E2772–E2781 (2013).
- Hoff, K. G., Avalos, J. L., Sens, K. & Wolberger, C. Insights into the sirtuin mechanism from ternary complexes containing NAD⁺ and acetylated peptide. *Structure* **14**, 1231–1240 (2006).
- Di Tommaso, P. *et al.* T-Coffee: a web server for the multiple sequence alignment of protein and RNA sequences using structural information and homology extension. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, W13–W17 (2011).
- Frye, R. A. Phylogenetic classification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic Sir2-like proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **273**, 793–798 (2000).
- Trapp, J. *et al.* Adenosine mimetics as inhibitors of NAD⁺-dependent histone deacetylases, from kinase to sirtuin inhibition. *J. Med. Chem.* **49**, 7307–7316 (2006).
- Hubbert, C. *et al.* HDAC6 is a microtubule-associated deacetylase. *Nature* **417**, 455–458 (2002).
- Mangas-Sanjuan, V. *et al.* Tubulin acetylation promoting potency and absorption efficacy of deacetylase inhibitors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **172**, 829–840 (2014).
- Tokési, N. *et al.* PPPP/p25 promotes tubulin acetylation by inhibiting histone deacetylase 6. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 17896–17906 (2010).
- North, B. J. *et al.* SIRT2 induces the checkpoint kinase BubR1 to increase lifespan. *EMBO J.* **33**, 1438–1453 (2014).
- Baker, D. J. *et al.* Increased expression of BubR1 protects against aneuploidy and cancer and extends healthy lifespan. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **15**, 96–102 (2013).
- Sakaguchi, K. *et al.* DNA damage activates p53 through a phosphorylation-acetylation cascade. *Genes Dev.* **12**, 2831–2841 (1998).
- Vaziri, H. *et al.* hSIRT2(SIRT1) functions as an NAD-dependent p53 deacetylase. *Cell* **107**, 149–159 (2001).
- Luo, J. *et al.* Negative control of p53 by Sir2 α promotes cell survival under stress. *Cell* **107**, 137–148 (2001).
- Lombard, D. B. *et al.* Mammalian Sir2 homolog SIRT3 regulates global mitochondrial lysine acetylation. *Mol. Cell Biol.* **27**, 8807–8814 (2007).
- Schuetz, A. *et al.* Structural basis of inhibition of the human NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase SIRT5 by suramin. *Structure* **15**, 377–389 (2007).
- Zhao, X. *et al.* The 2.5 Å crystal structure of the SIRT1 catalytic domain bound to nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺) and an indole (EX527 analogue) reveals a novel mechanism of histone deacetylase inhibition. *J. Med. Chem.* **56**, 963–969 (2013).
- Nguyen, G. T. T., Schaefer, S., Gertz, M., Weyand, M. & Steegborn, C. Structures of human sirtuin 3 complexes with ADP-ribose and with carba-NAD⁺ and SRT1720: binding details and inhibition mechanism. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **69**, 1423–1432 (2013).
- Nguyen, G. T. T., Gertz, M. & Steegborn, C. Crystal structures of Sirt3 complexes with 4'-bromo-resveratrol reveal binding sites and inhibition mechanism. *Chem. Biol.* **20**, 1375–1385 (2013).
- Disch, J. S. *et al.* Discovery of thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine-6-carboxamides as potent inhibitors of SIRT1, SIRT2, and SIRT3. *J. Med. Chem.* **56**, 3666–3679 (2013).
- Maurer, B., Rumpf, T., Scharfe, M. & Stofa, D. A. Inhibitors of the NAD⁺-dependent protein desuccinylase and demalonylase Sirt5. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 1050–1053 (2012).
- Schlicker, C., Boanca, G., Lakshminarasimhan, M. & Steegborn, C. Structure-based development of novel sirtuin inhibitors. *Aging* **3**, 852–872 (2011).

54. Laurent, G. *et al.* SIRT4 coordinates the balance between lipid synthesis and catabolism by repressing malonyl CoA decarboxylase. *Mol. Cell* **50**, 686–698 (2013).
55. MacLean, B. *et al.* Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 966–968 (2010).
56. Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **67**, 235–242 (2011).
57. Kabsch, W. XDS. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 125–132 (2010).
58. Karplus, P. A. & Diederichs, K. Linking crystallographic model and data quality. *Science* **336**, 1030–1033 (2012).
59. Vagin, A. & Teplyakov, A. Molecular replacement with MOLREP. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 22–25 (2010).
60. McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **40**, 658–674 (2007).
61. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 486–501 (2010).
62. Murshudov, G. N. *et al.* REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **67**, 355–367 (2011).
63. Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 12–21 (2010).
64. Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 213–221 (2010).
65. Krissinel, E. Enhanced fold recognition using efficient short fragment clustering. *J. Mol. Biochem.* **1**, 76–85 (2012).
66. Ho, B. K. & Gruswitz, F. HOLLOW: generating accurate representations of channel and interior surfaces in molecular structures. *BMC Struct. Biol.* **8**, 49 (2008).
67. Laskowski, R. A. & Swindells, M. B. LigPlot + : multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **51**, 2778–2786 (2011).
68. Niesen, F. H., Berglund, H. & Vedadi, M. The use of differential scanning fluorimetry to detect ligand interactions that promote protein stability. *Nat. Protoc.* **2**, 2212–2221 (2007).

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Kambach (University of Bayreuth, Department of Biochemistry, Universitätsstraße 30, 95445 Bayreuth, Germany) for providing Sirt6. The studies have been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Inhibitors: Ju295/8-1, Si868/6-1 structural work: SFB992 Medical Epigenetics, Project Z02) and the EU (cellular studies: SEtREND, Nr. 241865, FP7 Health). M. Schutkowski was supported by grants from the BMBF (ProNet T3). D.A.S. was supported by grants from NIH/NIA (R01 AG028730 and

R01 AG019719), the Glenn Foundation for Medical Research, the United Mitochondrial Disease Foundation, The Juvenile Diabetes foundation and a gift from the Schulak family. B.J.N. was supported by BIDMC/Harvard Translational Research in Aging Training Program (T32 AG023480). C.S. and M.P. thank Oberfrankenstiftung for support. J. Ovádi was supported by the Hungarian National Scientific Research Fund Grants OTKA T-101039 and Richter Gedeon Nyrt (4700147899). J. Ovádi, W.S. and M.J. thank the COST Action TD0905 'Epigenetics—from bench to bedside' for support.

Author contributions

T.R., W.S., O.E. and M.J. designed the study and wrote the paper. T.R. performed the crystallization experiments, collected the data and analysed all the data. S.G. analysed the structural data. M.Schiedel synthesized SirReal inhibitors. C.R. performed the kinetic analysis. T.R., C.R., M.P., M.Schiedel and M.G. performed the inhibition tests. T.R., C.R., M. Schiedel and M. Schutkowski analysed *in vitro* data. B.J.N., T.R., K.S., K.I.L., A.L., J. Oláh, J. Ovádi and K.I.L. performed the cellular biology, T.R., B.J.N., A.L., J. Ovádi and D.A.S. analysed the cellular data. B.K. and W.S. performed computational analysis. All authors discussed and commented on the manuscript.

Additional information

Accession codes: Coordinates and structure factors of the Sirt2-SirReal2-NAD⁺ complex (4RMG), Sirt2-SirReal2-H3 complex (4RMH), Sirt2-SirReal1-OTC complex (4RMI) and the Sirt2-ADPR-NCA (4RMJ) complex have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the above-mentioned accession codes.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications>

Competing financial interests: D.A.S. is a consultant to and inventor on patents licensed to GlaxoSmithKline, OvaScience, MetroBiotech, companies working on NAD⁺ and sirtuin modulation.

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>

How to cite this article: Rumpf, T. *et al.* Selective Sirt2 inhibition by ligand-induced rearrangement of the active site. *Nat. Commun.* **6**:6263 doi: 10.1038/ncomms7263 (2015).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>