

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. intubation: a Cochrane Systematic Review. Br J Anaesth 2017; 119: 369-83

- 14. Hodzovic I, Latto IP, Wilkes AR, Hall JE, Mapleson WW. Evaluation of Frova, single-use intubation introducer, in a manikin. Comparison with Eschmann multiple-use introducer and Portex single-use introducer. *Anaesthesia* 2004; 59: 811–6
- **15.** Sorbello M, Hodzovic, Cusumano G, Frova G. Tracheal introducers and airway trauma COVID-19. Br J Anaesth 2020; **125**: e168–70
- **16.** Heidegger T, Gerig HJ, Ulrich B, Schnider TW. Structure and process quality illustrated by fibreoptic intubation: analysis of 1612 cases. *Anaesthesia* 2003; **58**: 734–9
- Cook TM, El-Boghdadly K, McGuire B, McNarry AF, Patel A, Higgs A. Consensus guidelines for managing the airway in patients with COVID-19. *Anaesthesia* 2020; 75: 785–99
- 18. Patwa A, Shah A, Garg R, et al. All India Difficult Airway Association (AIDAA) consensus guidelines for airway management in the operating room during the COVID-19 pandemic. Indian J Anaesth 2020; 64: S107–15
- 19. Yao W, Wang T, Jiang B, et al. Emergency tracheal intubation in 202 patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: lessons learnt and international expert recommendations. Br J Anaesth 2020; 125. e28–37

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.06.042

Advance Access Publication Date: 1 July 2020 © 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Low-flow nasal cannula oxygen and potential nosocomial spread of COVID-19

Sara N. Goldhaber-Fiebert^{1,*}, Jeremy A. Greene² and Brian T. Garibaldi²

¹Stanford, CA, USA and ²Baltimore, MD, USA

*Corresponding author. E-mail: saragf@stanford.edu

Keywords: COVID-19; infection control; low-flow; nasal cannula; nosocomial spread; oxygen delivery; SARS-CoV-2

Editor—In the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic airway procedures such as intubation, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation, and high-flow nasal cannula are widely considered as potential risks for nosocomial transmission, and risks of infection are recognised even from asymptomatic patients.^{1—3} Yet to date there has been little published and limited awareness regarding the risks of a far more prevalent practice: low-flow nasal cannula oxygen spread of COVID-19 from unsuspected patients. Even detailed studies of clinician exposures to unsuspected COVID-19 patients frequently do not include low-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy as an exposure category.⁴

High viral loads of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) are present in human nares in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients.⁵ Air dispersion from low-flow nasal cannulae can reach more than 1 meter away, a distance which, although less than that of an uncovered cough, produces a constant rate of dispersal similar to that of noninvasive ventilation masks set at more than double the oxygen flows.⁶ Viral particles survive for multiple days on many surfaces, further facilitating nosocomial transmission.⁷ Even without aerosolisation, surface contamination risks remain. During the first SARS epidemic, supplemental oxygen therapy emerged as a risk factor for nosocomial transmission on open wards, equivalent to patient bed crowding and failure to provide washing stations for providers.⁸ Even with singleoccupancy rooms, healthcare providers could be exposed to or spread SARS-CoV-2 after touching contaminated surfaces

surrounding unsuspected COVID-19 patients presenting for other reasons. In a recent study, researchers sampling air inside COVID-19 negative-pressure patient rooms found the highest concentration of viral RNA in the room of a patient who was on oxygen 1 L min⁻¹ by nasal cannula, with no documented cough,⁹ although in this context clinicians were wearing full protective equipment.

Some institutions have begun covering low-flow nasal cannulae, at least in certain contexts, ^{10,11} although discussions with peers across specialities and institutions suggest that practice is far from uniform and is sometimes limited to known COVID-19 patients. Existing data should give institutions pause to consider the infection risks of oxygen delivery for all patients, especially in cases where oxygen use is informed by habit, rather than evidence of clinical benefit. When low-flow oxygen via nasal cannula is clearly indicated, simple strategies can be used to mitigate the risk of spread. For example before extubation, a nasal cannula can be placed and covered with a surgical mask to limit the potential for environmental contamination.¹¹

By a conservative estimate, if 10% of the occupants of the roughly 1 million hospital beds in the USA are on low-flow nasal cannula oxygen on any given day, that translates into 100,000 patients in US hospitals whose treatment may also be adding to nosocomial spread of SARS-CoV-2. Local conditions and supplies should guide considerations of using surgical masks to cover all low-flow nasal cannulae. If surgical masks are in short supply, other coverings, including cloth masks, might be of use. Where universal patient testing becomes feasible, or there are sufficient masks for all hospitalised patients, specifically covering the nasal cannula becomes less important. More work is necessary to determine the clinical effectiveness of covering nasal cannulae with masks, and which coverings work best.

With many governments currently encouraging everyone to wear cloth masks in public to decrease spread, our healthcare systems should likewise consider the potential risks from the constant blowing of uncovered, loose-fitting, low-flow nasal cannula oxygen.

Declarations of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Odor PM, Neun M, Bampoe S, et al. Anaesthesia and COVID-19: infection control. Br J Anaesth 2020 Apr 8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.03.025
- 2. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, et al. Presumed asymptomatic carrier transmission of COVID-19. JAMA 2020; **323**: 1406–7
- Klompas M. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): protecting hospitals from the invisible. Ann Intern Med 2020. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-0751
- 4. Heinzerling A, Stuckey MJ, Scheuer T, et al. Transmission of COVID-19 to health care personnel during exposures to

a hospitalized patient—Solano County, California, February 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020; **69**: 472–6

- Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in upper respiratory specimens of infected patients. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 1177–9
- 6. Hui DS. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): lessons learnt in Hong Kong. J Thorac Dis 2013; 5: S122–6
- van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, et al. Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. N Engl J Med 2020. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMc2004973
- 8. Yu IT, Xie ZH, Tsoi KK, et al. Why did outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome occur in some hospital wards but not in others? *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; **44**: 1017–25
- 9. Santarpia JL, Rivera DN, Herrera V, et al. Transmission potential of SARS-CoV-2 in viral shedding observed at the University of Nebraska Medical Center. *medRxiv* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.23.20039446
- Chen X, Liu Y, Gong Y, et al. Perioperative management of patients infected with the novel coronavirus: recommendation from the joint task force of the Chinese society of anesthesiology and the Chinese association of anesthesiologists. Anesthesiology 2020 Jun; 132: 1307–16. https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.00000000003301
- Kristensen MS, Thomsen JLD. Minimising droplet and virus spread during and after tracheal extubation. Br J Anaesth 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bja.2020.04.070. S0007-0912(20)30292-0

doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2020.05.011 Advance Access Publication Date: 18 May 2020 © 2020 British Journal of Anaesthesia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

An alternative continuous positive airway pressure system for COVID-19 patients

John Pfitzner^{*}, Guy J. Maddern and Jessica Reid

Adelaide, Australia

*Corresponding author. E-mail: pfitznerwines@ozemail.com.au

Keywords: acute respiratory distress syndrome; continuous positive airway pressure; coronavirus; noninvasive ventilation; oxygenation; ventilation–perfusion imbalance; work of breathing

Editor—Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) is an 'aerosol-generating procedure', and its use in the management of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) remains controversial.^{1,2} Some believe CPAP 'should never be used outside of appropriate airborne/droplet isolation'.² CPAP may also increase the risk of delayed recognition of a worrying clinical deterioration, such that tracheal intubation is performed as a 'high staff-infection-risk' emergency event.^{2,3} There seems to have been little attention paid to alternative CPAP systems that are not 'aerosol-generators'. Our search of the medical literature has revealed only two. The first is the pressure breathing system used by fighter pilots. Developed eight decades ago in the early stages of World War II, it gave a tactical altitude advantage over pilots in similarly un-pressurised aircraft.⁴ Oxygen is inspired from a 'demand' pressure source and expired via a pressurecontrolled expiratory valve, with both the inlet valve and separate expiratory valve incorporated into the pilot's face mask.⁵ The expiratory gas flow could be 'scavenged to safe'.

The second was designed five decades ago for a study on the cardiorespiratory physiological effects of CPAP (Fig 1). The patient inspires from a weighted bellows pressure-source via standard anaesthesia tubing and a specially designed CPAP-