RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Effect on the tensile strength of human acellular dermis (Epiflex[®]) of in-vitro incubation simulating an open abdomen setting

Mario Vitacolonna¹, Michael Mularczyk², Florian Herrle¹, Torsten J Schulze³, Hans Haupt², Matthias Oechsner², Lothar R Pilz⁴, Peter Hohenberger¹ and Eric Dominic Rössner^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The use of human acellular dermis (hAD) to close open abdomen in the treatment process of severe peritonitis might be an alternative to standard care. This paper describes an investigation of the effects of fluids simulating an open abdomen environment on the biomechanical properties of Epiflex[®] a cell-free human dermis transplant.

Methods: hAD was incubated in Ringers solution, blood, urine, upper gastrointestinal (upper GI) secretion and a peritonitis-like bacterial solution *in-vitro* for 3 weeks. At day 0, 7, 14 and 21 breaking strength was measured, tensile strength was calculated and standard fluorescence microscopy was performed.

Results: hAD incubated in all five of the five fluids showed a decrease in mean breaking strength at day 21 when compared to day 0. However, upper GI secretion was the only incubation fluid that significantly reduced the mechanical strength of Epiflex after 21days of incubation when compared to incubation in Ringer's solution.

Conclusion: hAD may be a suitable material for closure of the open abdomen in the absence of upper GI leakage and pancreatic fistulae.

Keywords: Acellular dermis, Open abdomen, Breaking strength, Biologicals

Background

Acellular dermal products and transplants are starting to play a significant role in reconstructive surgery [1-3]. Human acellular dermis (hAD) may offer some advantages over xenogeneic material, such as reduced immunogenicity and increased safety with regard to potential prion infections [4]. Although the hAD Alloderm[®] has been extensively used outside of Europe and in particular in the USA [5-9], it is not approved for use in Germany where tissue transplants are required to meet the stringent safety requirements of the German drug law. Epiflex[®] is currently the only hAD approved for use as a medicinal product in Europe [4].



An open abdomen is defined as an abdominal wall fascial defect persisting after laparotomy. This condition may be induced, or accepted in case of a planned second look, for prevention of abdominal compartment syndrome or during "damage control surgery", or it may simply be impossible to close the abdomen due to loss of domain, extensive abdominal wall resection or insufficient fascial stability in the case of peritonitis [13]. Due to the success of commercial and non-commercial vacuum therapy regimes in open abdomen management, fascial closure rates of up to 100% in young damage control trauma patients can be achieved [13,14]. Closure rates in multi-



© 2014 Vitacolonna et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: eric.roessner@umm.de

¹Division of Surgical Oncology and Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University Medical Centre Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Theodor Kutzer Ufer 1-3, 68167 Mannheim, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

morbid septic abdominal populations are approximately only 30%. In cases where fascial closure fails or vacuum therapy is not available the abdomen is traditionally closed with a synthetic mesh [15]. Since the abdominal compartment is usually contaminated in such patients, a resorbable mesh (e.g. Vicryl) is used. These meshes resorb in the time taken for the abdominal defect to be filled by granulation tissue and a planned ventral hernia is developing. This hernia will then be repaired after 6-12 months, when secondary wound healing finished and an aseptic condition is achieved, with non-resorbable, synthetic meshes and/or component separation techniques [16]. Application of a biological mesh such as hAD in the initial phase could be a novel approach in such patients. To function in this setting, a hAD must be sufficiently structurally resistant to the hostile environment of an open abdomen containing blood, urine or stool from fistulas and typical bacteria found in peritonitis patients. If such a treatment regime could obviate planned ventral hernias, this could reduce morbidity, and the requirement for revisions. Manufactures of these biological meshes are heavily advertising these for the closure of a septic open abdomen, claiming their biostability without a proper proof. The intention was the proof of principle and to identify conditions not suitable for a repair with acellular dermis.

The present study focuses on an *in-vitro* examination of the effect of incubation in Ringer's solution (physiological solution serving as a control group), urine, blood, a bacteria mixture and upper gastrointestinal (upper GI) secretion on the mechanical strength of hAD.

Methods

All of our research was carried out in compliance to the Helsinki declaration. The blood donation was approved by the local ethics committee (Ethic Approval 87/04 of the Ethik Kommission II der Medizinischen Fakultät Mannheim).

Human acellular dermis

Epiflex[®] (German Institute for Cell and Tissue Replacement, Berlin, Germany) was used as hAD. The transplant material used in the study originated from five screened and consenting human cadaveric donors. The mechanical processing, decellularization, sterilization and preservation methods [4] and collagen content [10] are described in detail elsewhere. All samples were derived from same body region. Each donor was randomized into one of the five groups (control, blood, urine, upper GI secretion and bacterial solution).

Incubation fluids and culture conditions

The hAD were incubated in Ringer's solution, in 1 of 3 different human body fluids; whole blood, duodenal

secretion, urine or in a bacteria mixture consisting of enterococcus faecalis (gram+; streptococci), staphylococcus aureus (gram+; staphylococci), e.coli (gram-; enterobacteriaceae) and pseudomonas aeruginosa (gram-; nonfermenter). The bacterial strains were provided by the Institute for Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, (University Medical Center Mannheim) and added at a concentration of 1x10⁵ml⁻¹ in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) with high glucose (4.5 g/l) and no additives (PAA, Germany), aliquoted and frozen at -80°C (mixed 1:1 with glycerol) for later use. Upper gastro intestinal secretion was collected from consenting patients during upper gastrointestinal endoscopy procedures. In all cases it was necessary to evacuate the upper GI in order to examine the intestinal mucosa. Upper GI pathology could be ruled out in all patients and none had been taking anti-acid medication. The secretions were pooled into volumes of 5 litres, aliquoted into 15 ml tubes and stored at -80°C. Urine was collected daily from healthy voluntary donors.

Blood was retrieved from the Institute of Transfusion Medicine and Immunology, German Red Cross Blood Service. Centrifugation of whole blood separates the samples into erythrocyte concentrate, plasma and a thrombocyte and leucocyte rich buffy coat. The latter are further used to create pooled thrombocyte concentrates. Due to use of mainly - antihypertensive - medication, the buffy coats and plasma were not permitted for use in patients. To simulate cellular blood composition, especially of leucocytes that are mainly regarded responsible for digestion of foreign bodies, the blood used in these experiments was composed of both buffy coat and plasma of volunteer blood donors.

Culture conditions

The transplant samples were rehydrated in Ringer's solution for 30 minutes at room temperature and then incubated at 37°C in 10 ml of one of the test fluids. The test fluid completely covered the hAD sample. Nine samples were incubated in each of the fluids. The incubation fluids were replaced on a daily basis. With the exception of the bacteria mixture, the dishes were supplemented with a 1:100 dilution of a Penicillin/ Streptomycin mixture (PAA, Germany) to give a final concentration of 100 U/ml Penicillin and 0,1 mg/ml Strepavidin. Samples were incubated for 0 (briefly rinsed), 7, 14 and 21 days.

Measurement of mechanical properties

Samples for mechanical testing were punched out of the transplants with a die cutter (Figure 1). The cut samples were submersed in Ringer's solution for about 30 minutes prior to testing. The thickness of the mechanical test samples was measured at 5 points using a digital micrometer



and the mean was calculated (JD200, Kaefer, Germany). The samples were evaluated in a tensile testing apparatus (H10KM, Richard Hess MBV GmbH, Sonsbeck, Germany) for ultimate load-at-failure according to EN ISO 527 (Figure 2). The test was carried out with a 100 N load cell at a constant strain rate of 50 mm/min.

Histology

At the end of the respective incubation period, transplant samples were fixed in 10% formalin for 24 hours, sliced into 3 equal parts, embedded in paraffin and sectioned (7 μ m) with a microtome (Microm, Germany) for a complete edge-to-edge cross- sectional view. Samples were mounted onto glass slides, dried overnight at 37°C, de- paraffinized with 3 washes of 5 minutes in a xylene bath followed by 3 washes of 2 minutes each through a dilution series of 100%, 96% and 80% ethanol. The autofluorescence of the samples was observed with a 488 nm excitation filter and a 514 nm emission filter in a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Germany) and stitched with ICE (Image Composite Editor, V1.4.4, Microsoft, USA).



Figure 2 Sample clamped in the tensile testing machine.

Statistics

For data collection and handling Excel 2010 was used (Microsoft, Redmond). Data was analyzed for normal distribution. A two-sided multiple Wilcoxon rank test with a correction according to Bonferroni- Holm for multiple testing was used. Tests were considered significant when $\alpha \leq 0.05$. For all statistics SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Carry, NC, USA) and StatXact 9 (Statcon, Witzenhausen, Germany) software was used. For the Koziol test the statistic system ADAM version 2.54 (DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany) was used. Statistical analyses were conducted by a statistician of the Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Germany.

Results

Results are show in Figure 3. The breaking strength of hAD in Ringer's Solution decreases over time. At day 21 the hAD has lost approximately 30% of its breaking strength. Although there is a continuous decrease of the mean breaking strength the differences between day 0 $(35.28 \pm 3.12 \text{ N/mm}^2)$ and day 7 $(34.19 \pm 3.50 \text{ N/mm}^2)$ and between day 14 (29.32 \pm 2.67 N/mm²) and day 21 $(24.69 \pm 3.27 \text{ N/mm}^2)$ were not significant. In blood the breaking strength declines as well over time about 32%, although only the comparison between day 0 (34.14 \pm 5.46 N/mm²) and 21 (23.38 ± 2.97 N/mm²) and between day 7 $(30.0 \pm 3.3 \text{ N/mm}^2)$ and day 21 were significant. A 40% decrease in breaking strength was measured for hAD incubated in urine for 21 days. The decrease was not significant between day 0 $(34.3 \pm 4.48 \text{ N/mm}^2)$ and day 7 (32.2 ± 4.215 N/mm²) and between day 7 and day 14 (27.29 \pm 3.49 N/mm²). Incubation in a bacterial solution decreased the hAD breaking strength by 51% over a period of 21 days. Although the decrease from day 0 (32.42 \pm 1.99 N/mm²) to 21 (16.06 \pm 6.87 N/mm²) was significant the intervals from day 0 to 7 (29.01 \pm 4.77 N/mm²), from day 7 to 14 (21.33 \pm 2.54 N/mm²) and from day 14 to 21 were not significant. Breaking strength of hAD incubated in upper GI secretion showed the most distinct decrease of 78% over 21 days. All intervals showed a significant decrease in breaking strength. Mean breaking strength at day 0 was 33.42 \pm 4.79 N/mm², at day 7: 25.19 \pm 2.94 N/ mm², at day 14: 12.14 ± 2.045 N/mm² and at day 21: $7.157 \pm 2.84 \text{ N/mm}^2$ (Table 1).

Comparing the breaking strengths of hAD in the different mediums at day 0 there were no significant differences. At day 7 the only significantly different treatment incubation in upper GI secretion. At day 14 both incubation in upper GI secretion and incubation in the bacteria solution resulted in mechanical strength being lower at the same time point than in the other 3 treatment groups. At this time point, hAD incubated in upper GI was significantly weaker than that incubated in the bacteria solution. At day 21 material incubated in upper GI secretion was again



mechanically weaker than that in all other treatments. However, there were no longer any significantly differences amongst the samples incubated in Ringer's solution, blood, urine and bacteria solution (Table 2).

In the non-parametric analysis of the curves over time according to Koziol et al. the curve of the hAD incubated upper GI secretion is significantly (p = 0.0001) lower than the other curves.

The microscopy analysis of the hAD specimens showed disaggregation of the collagen fibers in all groups over time. In the bacterial and upper GI secretion group, disaggregation seems to occur more rapidly and more distinct when compared over time (Figure 4).

Discussion

Since open abdomen is a therapeutic option in the treatment of traumatized abdomen or severe peritonitis there is a need for minimizing sequelae [17]. The well-established method of closure with a synthetic resorbable mesh prevents evisceration and chronic foreign body infection, although complication such as massive adhesions and

Table 1 Comparison of measured strength between paired time points within treatment groups ((+) significant difference) ((-) no significant difference)

	Day 0 vs. 7	Day 7 vs. 14	Day 14 vs. 21	Day 0 vs. 21	
Ringer's solution	-	+	-	+	
Blood	-	-	-	+	
Urine	-	-	+	+	
Bacteria	-	-	-	+	
Upper GI secretion	+	+	+	+	

small bowel fistulae are well known [18,19]. The need for major surgery to reconstruct the abdominal wall and for programmed ventral hernia raises the possibility of further morbidity, increased costs and mortality [20]. With introduction of intra-abdominal negative pressure dressings, delayed primary closure became an option in a high percentage of young trauma patients [21]. Delayed primary closure might be the best option in such patients, but in a majority of older patients with severe peritonitis due to septic focuses this is not feasible [22]. Closure with a tissue transplant could be helpful if adequate mechanical stability could be retained in an open abdomen environment.

Hollinsky and co-workers [23] measured the tensile strength of healthy human abdominal wall using specimens excised from fresh cadaver tissue. They were able to show that the linea alba fails in longitudinal and transversal direction at 39 N. This was calculated to be equivalent to a tensile strength of 10 N/mm² and this may be regarded as the maximum strength required in a healthy human under extreme loads. The mean tensile strength of the transplants in the control group was 35 N/mm² at day 0. Even 25 N/mm², which was the mean strength after 21

Table 2 Comparison of measured strength at individual time points between treatment groups ((+) significant difference) ((-) no significant difference)

	-		
Day 0	Day 7	Day 14	Day 21
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-
-	-	+	-
-	+	+	+
	Day 0 - - -	Day 0 Day 7 - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Day 0 Day 7 Day 14 - - - - - - - - - - - + - + +



days of incubation should be sufficient for Epiflex[®] to be able to withstand the maximum anticipated force, more so since the *in-vitro* test disregards adhesion and integration phenomena.

The breaking strength of hAD samples incubated in blood was not significantly different to that of transplants incubated in Ringer's solution. Epiflex[®] should therefore be strong enough to reconstruct ventral hernias in an uninfected situation.

Epiflex[®] incubated in urine had similar properties. It should therefore be suitable for ventral reconstruction in the presence of an urinoma or urinary tract leakage.

Incubation in a bacteria solution resulted in no significant loss of strength at day 0 and 7 when compared to incubation in Ringer's solution, but there was a significant difference at day 14. At day 21 there was no longer a significant difference. Typical intestinal flora may be capable of reducing the mechanical strength of Epiflex^{*} within the time frame under investigation to a greater extent than blood or urine, although the residual mechanical strength of 16 N/mm² still exceeds the calculated requirement.

The study has limitations with regard to the composition of the bacteria solution. Although the solution represents a common mixture of bacteria found in peritonitic patients, different bacteria mixtures could well have significantly different effects on hAD, since different bacteria strains and species excrete different concentrations of active agents such as collagenase.

The samples were incubated in high concentrations of bacteria that would only arise in an uncontrolled septic focus in the open abdomen. In such cases, an attempt at a primary closure would not normally be indicated. The combined effect of bacterial secretions and the inflammatory host response on the mechanical strength of candidate materials for abdominal wall closure cannot be simulated *in-vitro*.

Upper GI tract secretion had a powerful effect on the mechanical strength of Epiflex[®]. Loss of mechanical strength was continuous and when compared to the effects of the

other incubation fluids, significantly increased at day 7, 14 and 21. The presence of an upper GI leakage, the closure of an open abdomen with Epiflex[®] might be compromised. At this stage of an open abdomen therapy, a definite closure is rarely indicated. It is unclear whether a pure pancreatic secretion from a pancreas fistula would have the same impact on the mechanical strength of Epiflex[®].

The decrease in mechanical strength in the different liquids might be caused by various factors. Upper GI secretion is a mixture of gastric fluid, bile and pancreatic fluid and contains a heterogeneous mixture of digestive enzymes including proteases, lipases and amylases [24,25]. The upper GI secretion was frozen shortly after collection at -80°C to retard reduction of enzyme activity. Since the extracellular matrix consists of various proteins, glycoproteins and polysaccharides, enzymatic hydrolysis would seem to be a likely contributor to loss of tensile strength [26,27]. Bacteria also secrete hydrolytic enzymes such as collagenases, whereby the extent and the composition depends on species and strain. Furthermore, microbial organisms can modify the pH of the environment [28-30]. This may influence the degradation of bioresorbable materials [31-33]. It is known that superoxide ions from leukocytes and macrophages accelerate the degradation of absorbable materials [34]. The mechanism leading to loss of mechanical strength in Ringer's solution after 21 days is unclear. Temperature may affect biodegradation [35,36], however the incubation temperature in our study (37°C) seems unlikely to have exacerbated hydrolysis. Numerous studies demonstrated a significant loss in strength of biodegradable materials in aqueous solutions, presumably by cumulative low-level irreversible hydrolysis [33,36,37].

Limitations of the studyy

In the clinical situation wound healing processes such adhesions to the transplant and integration, remodeling, vascularization, inflammation and scaring will have an effect on the mechanical strength of the transplant and the forming abdominal wall. This limits our findings especially after 21 days of incubation. Some of these effects are likely to be positive, although it cannot be ruled out that the remodeling process in itself results periods during healing during which mechanical strength is reduced, if resorption processes advance more rapidly than synthetic processes. The uniaxial tensile stresses applied to the transplants in our study do not ideally represent the stresses that occur in vivo. The latter are dynamic and multidirectional and can best be analyzed in a clinical setting.

Conclusion

Epiflex® exhibits reduced mechanical strength after 3 weeks of incubation in Ringer's solution, in body fluids (blood, urine, upper GI secretion) and in a bacteria solution. It seems unlikely that the loss of mechanical strength arising from incubation in Ringer's solution, blood and urine would be clinically significant in the setting of a primary closure of an open abdomen. The loss of mechanical strength arising from incubation in a bacteria solution suggests that this might be clinically significant in an infected open abdomen situation, depending on the concentration, species and strain of the contaminating organisms. Incubation of Epiflex® in upper GI secretion caused a more pronounced loss of mechanical strength. Use of hAD for open abdomen closure in the presence of upper GI leakage or of a pancreatic fistula may be inappropriate. The authors intend to proceed with a phase I clinical study. The superiority of hAD in regards to the development of ventral hernia must be shown in a phase III study.

Abbreviations

hAD: Human acellular dermis; Upper Gl secretion (UGI): Upper gastro intestinal secretion; DMEM: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium; SEM: Standard error of the mean.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The study was conceived and designed by MV, ER and PH. The experiments were conducted and the results analyzed by MV, MM, FH, TJS, HH, MO and LRP. The manuscript was written by MV and ER. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Mark D. Smith and Dr. Jan C. Brune from the German Institute for Cell and Tissue Replacement (DIZG) for advice relating to use of Epiflex® and for assistance with data analysis and editing of the final manuscript. We acknowledge financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg within the funding programme Open Access Publishing.

We acknowledge financial support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg within the funding programme Open Access Publishing.

Author details

¹Division of Surgical Oncology and Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, University Medical Centre Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Theodor Kutzer Ufer 1-3, 68167 Mannheim, Germany. ²Center for structural Materials, State Material Testing Institute Darmstadt (MPA), Chair and Institute for Material Science (IfW), Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany. ³German Red Cross Blood Service, Baden Württemberg-Hessen, Medical Faculty of Mannheim, Institute of Transfusion Medicine and Immunology, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany. ⁴Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany.

Received: 9 June 2013 Accepted: 21 January 2014 Published: 27 January 2014

References

- Losee JE, Smith DM: Acellular dermal matrix in palatoplasty. Aesthet Surg J 2011, 31(7 Suppl):108S–115S.
- Bengtson BP, Baxter RA: Emerging applications for acellular dermal matrices in mastopexy. Clin Plast Surg 2012, 39(2):159–166.
- Taner T, Cima RR, Larson DW, Dozois EJ, Pemberton JH, Wolff BG: The use of human acellular dermal matrix for parastomal hernia repair in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a novel technique to repair fascial defects. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2009, 52(2):349–354.
- Rossner E, Smith MD, Petschke B, Schmidt K, Vitacolonna M, Syring C, von Versen R, Hohenberger P: Epiflex((R)) a new decellularised human skin tissue transplant: manufacture and properties. *Cell Tissue Bank* 2011, 12(3):209–217.
- Scott BG, Welsh FJ, Pham HQ, Carrick MM, Liscum KR, Granchi TS, Wall MJ Jr, Mattox KL, Hirshberg A: Early aggressive closure of the open abdomen. J Trauma 2006, 60(1):17–22.
- Adetayo OA, Salcedo SE, Bahjri K, Gupta SC: A meta-analysis of outcomes using acellular dermal matrix in breast and abdominal wall reconstructions: event rates and risk factors predictive of complications. *Ann Plast Sur* 2011.
- Singh MK, Rocca JP, Rochon C, Facciuto ME, Sheiner PA, Rodriguez-Davalos MI: Open abdomen management with human acellular dermal matrix in liver transplant recipients. *Transplant Proceed* 2008, 40(10):3541–3544.
- de Moya MA, Dunham M, Inaba K, Bahouth H, Alam HB, Sultan B, Namias N: Long-term outcome of acellular dermal matrix when used for large traumatic open abdomen. J Trauma 2008, 65(2):349–353.
- Diaz JJ Jr, Conquest AM, Ferzoco SJ, Vargo D, Miller P, Wu YC, Donahue R: Multiinstitutional experience using human acellular dermal matrix for ventral hernia repair in a compromised surgical field. Archiv Surgery 2009, 144(3):209–215.
- Roessner ED, Vitacolonna M, Hohenberger P: Confocal laser scanning microscopy evaluation of an acellular dermis tissue transplant (Epiflex (R)). PloS One 2012, 7(10):e45991.
- 11. Ateshian GA, Wang H: Rolling resistance of articular cartilage due to interstitial fluid flow. *Proc Inst Mech Eng H* 1997, **211**(5):419–424.
- Buehler MJ: Nanomechanics of collagen fibrils under varying cross-link densities: atomistic and continuum studies. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 2008, 1(1):59–67.
- Vargo D, Richardson JD, Campbell A, Chang M, Fabian T, Franz M, Kaplan M, Moore F, Reed RL, Scott B, et al: Management of the open abdomen: from initial operation to definitive closure. Am Surg 2009, 75(11):S1–S22.
- Van Hensbroek Boele P, Wind J, Dijkgraaf MG, Busch OR, Carel Goslings J: Temporary closure of the open abdomen: a systematic review on delayed primary fascial closure in patients with an open abdomen. World J Surg 2009, 33(2):199–207.
- 15. Regner JL, Kobayashi L, Coimbra R: Surgical strategies for management of the open abdomen. *World J Surg* 2012, **36**(3):497–510.
- Jernigan TW, Fabian TC, Croce MA, Moore N, Pritchard FE, Minard G, Bee TK: Staged management of giant abdominal wall defects: acute and longterm results. Annal Surg 2003, 238(3):349–355. discussion 355–347.
- 17. Demetriades D: Total management of the open abdomen. Inter Wound J 2012, 9(Suppl 1):17–24.
- Bee TK, Croce MA, Magnotti LJ, Zarzaur BL, Maish GO 3rd, Minard G, Schroeppel TJ, Fabian TC: Temporary abdominal closure techniques: a prospective randomized trial comparing polyglactin 910 mesh and vacuum-assisted closure. J Trauma 2008, 65(2):337–342. discussion 342–334.
- Prichayudh S, Sriussadaporn S, Samorn P, Pak-Art R, Kritayakirana K, Capin A: Management of open abdomen with an absorbable mesh closure. Surgery Today 2011, 41(1):72–78.
- DeMaria EJ, Moss JM, Sugerman HJ: Laparoscopic intraperitoneal polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) prosthetic patch repair of ventral hernia. Prospective comparison to open prefascial polypropylene mesh repair. Surg Endos 2000, 14(4):326–329.

- Wondberg D, Larusson HJ, Metzger U, Platz A, Zingg U: Treatment of the open abdomen with the commercially available vacuum-assisted closure system in patients with abdominal sepsis: low primary closure rate. *World J Surg* 2008, 32(12):2724–2729.
- Quyn AJ, Johnston C, Hall D, Chambers A, Arapova N, Ogston S, Amin Al: The open abdomen and temporary abdominal closure systems - historical evolution and systematic review. Col Dis Offic J Ass Coloproctol Gr Br Irel 2012, 14(8):e429–e438.
- Hollinsky C, Sandberg S: Measurement of the tensile strength of the ventral abdominal wall in comparison with scar tissue. *Clin Biomech* (*Bristol, Avon*) 2007, 22(1):88–92.
- Muftuoglu MA, Ozkan E, Saglam A: Effect of human pancreatic juice and bile on the tensile strength of suture materials. *Am J Surg* 2004, 188(2):200–203.
- Kalantzi L, Goumas K, Kalioras V, Abrahamsson B, Dressman JB, Reppas C: Characterization of the human upper gastrointestinal contents under conditions simulating bioavailability/bioequivalence studies. *Pharmaceut Res* 2006, 23(1):165–176.
- Tian F, Appert HE, Howard JM: The disintegration of absorbable suture materials on exposure to human digestive juices: an update. *Am Surg* 1994, 60(4):287–291.
- Sugimachi K, Sufian S, Weiss MJ, Pavlides CA, Matsumoto T: Evaluation of absorbable suture materials in biliary tract surgery. *Inter Surg* 1978, 63(3):135–139.
- 28. Mailman ML: The efficacy of bacterial collagenase for the digestion of gingival tissue collagen. J Den Res 1979, 58(4):1424.
- Maclennan JD, Mandl I, Howes EL: Bacterial digestion of collagen. J Clin Invest 1953, 32(12):1317–1322.
- Chung E, McPherson N, Grant A: Tensile strength of absorbable suture materials: in vitro analysis of the effects of pH and bacteria. J Surg Edu 2009, 66(4):208–211.
- Chu CC, Moncrief G: An in vitro evaluation of the stability of mechanical properties of surgical suture materials in various pH conditions. *Annal Surg* 1983, 198(2):223–228.
- Chu CC: A comparison of the effect of pH on the biodegradation of two synthetic absorbable sutures. Annal Surg 1982, 195(1):55–59.
- Chu CC: The in-vitro degradation of poly(glycolic acid) sutures-effect of pH. J Biomed Mat Res 1981, 15(6):795–804.
- Lee KH, Chu CC: The role of superoxide ions in the degradation of synthetic absorbable sutures. J Biomed Mat Res 2000, 49(1):25–35.
- Tomihata K, Suzuki M, Ikada Y: The pH dependence of monofilament sutures on hydrolytic degradation. J Biomed Mat Res 2001, 58(5):511–518.
- Freudenberg S, Rewerk S, Kaess M, Weiss C, Dorn-Beinecke A, Post S: Biodegradation of absorbable sutures in body fluids and pH buffers. Europ Res Euro Chirurg Fors Rech Chirurg Europ 2004, 36(6):376–385.
- Cam D, Hyon SH, Ikada Y: Degradation of high molecular weight poly(L-lactide) in alkaline medium. *Biomaterials* 1995, 16(11):833–843.

doi:10.1186/1471-2482-14-7

Cite this article as: Vitacolonna *et al.*: **Effect on the tensile strength of** human acellular dermis (Epiflex[®]) of in-vitro incubation simulating an open abdomen setting. *BMC Surgery* 2014 14:7.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar

) BioMed Central

• Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit