

Comparative Evaluation of Fundus Image Interpretation Accuracy in Glaucoma Screening Among Different Physician Groups [Response to Letter]

Chiharu Wada-Koike^{1,*}, Ryo Terauchi^{1,*}, Kota Fukai², Kei Sano¹, Euido Nishijima¹, Koji Komatsu¹, Kyoko Ito³, Tomohiro Kato³, Masayuki Tatemichi², Yoshiaki Kabata⁴, Tadashi Nakano¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; ²Department of Preventive Medicine, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Japan; ³Centre for Preventive Medicine, The Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan; ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Jikei University School of Medicine, Daisan Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Yoshiaki Kabata, Department of Ophthalmology, Jikei University School of Medicine, Daisan Hospital, 4-1-1 Izumihontyou, Komae-si, Tokyo, 125-8506, Japan, Tel +81 3 3480 1151, Fax +81 3 3480 5700, Email kabata22122@gmail.com

Dear editor

Thank you for your constructive feedback on our study. Your comments touched upon several important points that will be crucial in determining the direction of our future research.

We do not anticipate significant differences in the fundus photograph interpretation skills among ophthalmologists across facilities. However, we hypothesize that the skills of non-ophthalmologists affiliated with health checkup facilities may vary considerably between institutions. Therefore, additional verification across multiple health checkup facilities is deemed important. This verification could reveal efficient methods for non-ophthalmologists to learn fundus image interpretation.

Further additional verification is required to illuminate the challenges inherent in glaucoma screening. Beyond identifying these challenges, we remain dedicated to improving glaucoma diagnostic accuracy.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S467970>