Open Access Full Text Article

REVIEW

Revascularization as a treatment to improve renal function

Helen V Alderson James P Ritchie Philip A Kalra

The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre, Vascular Research Group, Department of Renal Medicine, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK

Correspondence: Philip A Kalra Department of Renal Medicine, Salford Royal Hospital, Salford, Greater Manchester, M8 8HD, UK Email philip.kalra@srft.nhs.uk **Abstract:** An aging atherosclerosis-prone population has led to an increase in the prevalence of atherosclerotic renovascular disease (ARVD). Medical management of this disease, as with other atherosclerotic conditions, has improved over the past decade. Despite the widespread availability of endovascular revascularization procedures, there is inconsistent evidence of benefit in ARVD and no clear consensus of opinion as to the best way to select suitable patients for revascularization. Several published randomized controlled trials have attempted to provide clearer evidence for best practice in ARVD, but they have done so with varying clarity and success. In this review, we provide an overview of ARVD and its effect on renal function. We present the currently available evidence for best practice in the management of patients with ARVD with a particular focus on revascularization as a treatment to improve renal function. We provide a brief overview of the evidence for revascularization in other causes of renal artery stenosis.

Keywords: renal artery stenosis, revascularization, atherosclerotic renovascular disease, fibromuscular dysplasia

Introduction

In the Western world, over 90% of cases of renal artery stenosis (RAS) are caused by atherosclerotic renovascular disease (ARVD). This is a heterogeneous condition that can present in various ways, from clinically silent disease to flash pulmonary edema or anuric acute kidney injury (AKI). Strong associations exist between the presence of ARVD and other atherosclerotic diseases, hypertension, and chronic kidney disease (CKD). An aging population means that this is an increasing problem in the Western world. Endovascular renal revascularization procedures have been available for over 3 decades. Despite an extensive literature of case reports and randomized controlled trials (RCTs), there is little robust evidence for revascularization, even in clinical scenarios where international guidelines support an interventional approach. However, interpretation of the published literature is confounded by the complex pathogenesis and the range of clinical presentations of ARVD. As such, the debate over merits of intervention continues. Although ARVD is the most common cause of RAS, other conditions are recognized, with fibromuscular disease being the most common of these in the Western world. Here, the clinical consensus regarding revascularization is more positive. This review focuses on revascularization for ARVD with separate, more limited consideration given to other causes of RAS.

International Journal of Nephrology and Renovascular Disease 2014:7 89–99

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJNRD.S35633

Epidemiology of ARVD

Assessing the true population prevalence of ARVD is difficult; it may be a clinically silent condition and there is no screening program in place. Where prevalence studies have been published, confounding due to high rates of co-existent diabetes, hypertension, and vascular comorbidity is often present. The effect of comorbidity can be demonstrated by comparing the prevalence rate of 20% (95% confidence interval [CI] 15.4-25.5) seen in patients with diabetes and hypertension¹ to the figure of 6.8% seen in a Doppler ultrasound screening study of 834 community-based elderly 'free-living' individuals in Forsyth county, NC, USA.2 The incidence of ARVD has appeared to vary over time, most likely due to evolving physician awareness and access to diagnostic tools. The largest data are drawn from interrogation of the US Medicare 5% denominator file. Here, in the period 1999-2001, the incidence of ARVD was 3.7/1,000 patient-years in patients aged over 67 years.³ Rates of diagnosis increased until the mid-2000s,⁴ due perhaps to the increasing enthusiasm for undertaking revascularization procedures before the results of RCTs into the condition were available. Although no subsequent analysis of the Medicare data has been undertaken, United States Renal Data System (USRDS) reports have since shown a reduction in cases of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) secondary to ARVD (reduction from 1.7% to 1.3% between 2004 and 2009).5

Although ARVD is the most common cause of RAS in the West (with post-vasculitic stenosis the most common cause in parts of Asia), there are no robust data to suggest ethnic variation in prevalence. In the population screening study discussed above, equal proportions of White and African American subjects had significant disease,² and in a retrospective analysis of over 300 patients screened for ARVD (40% non-White), Caucasian ethnicity was not identified as an independent predictor for the presence of RAS.⁶ As no study has compared Caucasian and Asian patients, it is impossible to pass precise comment. However, a markedly lower proportion of Japanese patients with other macrovascular disease have abnormal renal vessels.⁷

Prognosis in ARVD Mortality

Mortality rates are high in ARVD, with patients six times more likely to die of a cardiovascular event than progress to ESKD. The earlier Medicare analysis showed that annual mortality rates in patients with ARVD (16.3%) were almost three times greater than general Medicare patients without the condition.³ Improved understanding of how best to manage these patients has likely contributed to reducing this gap, with an average annual mortality rate of 8% in ARVD patients in the most recently published RCT data.⁸ Several series have demonstrated that the presence of ARVD is an adverse prognostic factor independent of the presence of other atherosclerotic diseases. In coronary artery disease, a 1.5-times higher risk for death is associated with the presence of ARVD,⁹ whereas it indicates a 3-times higher risk in patients with peripheral vascular disease.¹⁰ This relationship appears to exist in both directions, with ARVD patients investigated for other macrovascular disease having an increased 4-year mortality rate where a greater degree of extra-renal disease exists.¹¹

Data regarding survival in patients with ARVD who reach dialysis are conflicting. A single-center study of over 680 hemodialysis patients described significantly increased mortality following initiation of dialysis in ARVD patients compared with other primary disease groups.¹² However, another large-scale Medicare and USRDS analysis noted a significantly reduced risk for death following initiation of dialysis in patients with ARVD when adjusted for comorbid conditions.¹³ It remains unclear whether the apparent increase in risk described in the initial study is simply a reflection of comorbid atherosclerotic disease, or if the reduced risk in the latter study is a limitation of the coded data that were available.

Renal function

With current management, the average annual rate of loss of renal function in ARVD in both RCT and 'real-world' data is between 1 and 2 mL/min/1.73m²/year,^{8,14} with approximately 4% of patients progressing to renal replacement therapy each year.^{8,15} Registry data suggest that ARVD is the primary disease in 6.7% of incident UK dialysis patients.¹⁶ However, the pathogenesis of renal parenchymal damage in ARVD is complex; the extent of renal dysfunction is not explained solely by the degree of RAS. Historic observational studies have shown that renal artery lesions commonly progress,¹⁷ although this has been markedly attenuated following the introduction of statins.¹⁸ However, there is no clear relationship between vascular anatomy and rate of change in renal function. Cheung et al¹⁹ studied 142 patients with unilateral renal artery occlusion and demonstrated that there was no relationship between contralateral renal artery anatomy and baseline renal function or rate of change in renal function. Intra-renal ischemia, microvascular disruption,²⁰ and associated renal atrophy²¹ appear to be more important than the degree of stenosis in determining functional outcomes in ARVD.

The identification of patients with 'hibernating parenchyma' may help to select those who are likely to benefit from revascularization. This term was first coined by Tuttle²² and is used to distinguish those kidneys that may improve with revascularization from those that have suffered irreversible parenchymal damage, and that show no improvement.

Treatment for ARVD Medical therapy

There is a limited evidence base for the medical management of patients presenting with ARVD. Treatment with angiotensin blockade^{23,24} and lipid-lowering therapy²⁵ is accepted as a minimum standard of care, and there is consensus that other vascular risk reduction measures should be applied. Given differences in medical therapy within and between published RCT data, direct comparison between studies is not practical. However the Cardiovascular Outcomes in Renal Atherosclerotic Lesions (CORAL) trial included standardized medical therapy of anti-platelet agent and lipidlowering therapy, with angiotensin II blockade as first-line anti-hypertensive therapy.²⁶

Revascularization

Surgical revascularization for ARVD has effectively been consigned to history, at least in cases without complicated anatomy, as percutaneous intervention has been shown to produce comparable angiographic results²⁷ without suffering the 10% mortality rate described with open reconstruction.²⁸ Standard practice is now accepted to be percutaneous angioplasty with bare metal stenting (percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty with stenting [PTRAS]), with stents significantly reducing rate of restenosis compared with angioplasty alone, especially for ostial lesions.²⁹⁻³¹ Although PTRAS is considered relatively safe in expert hands, around 3% of patients experience a serious complication such as major hemorrhage or dissection, and less serious complications such as a transient deterioration in renal function or groin hematoma may occur in around 10% of cases.³² In light of these procedural risks, it is important to determine which patients are most likely to benefit from revascularization procedures and to perform them on this select cohort rather than in all patients with ARVD. Historical registry data indicate that revascularization procedures were performed in around 16% of cases of ARVD,³ although this figure has fallen markedly in light of negative trials into PTRAS.33 What remains unclear is which patients are currently being revascularized. Whilst published trials have considered change in blood pressure and renal function as primary endpoints, with death and cardiovascular events as secondary endpoints, international guidelines consider presentation with flash pulmonary edema, refractory hypertension, and progressive CKD as possible indications for renal artery stenting.³⁴ Whilst there is support for PTRAS in patients presenting with flash pulmonary edema³⁵ and oligo-anuric AKI,³⁶ support for these guidelines is not universal.³⁷ Furthermore, arguments exist to support PTRAS to enable the institution of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors³⁸ or to treat chronic heart failure.³⁹

Revascularization and its effect on renal function Progression of CKD

A number of case series and RCTs have now examined the relationship between progression of CKD and revascularization in ARVD. Although some case series showed a trend towards reduced rates of decline in renal function following endovascular revascularization procedures,^{29,40} other studies have suggested less convincing evidence of benefit.^{41,42} Beutler et al⁴³ were only able to demonstrate benefit in those with declining renal function pre-intervention. The uncertainty and conflicting findings of these series paved the way for a number of RCTs of revascularization in ARVD.

Prior to the landmark Angioplasty and STenting for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study,8 there were five published RCTs comparing percutaneous renal artery revascularization with medical therapy in patients with ARVD.^{31,44-47} Renal function varied from normal to moderate CKD, and hypertension was invariably present. These trials were all small, the largest having only 106 patients, and follow-up periods were short. The earlier trials have looked at angioplasty rather than stent insertion and were inadequately powered to assess major functional outcomes. These trials failed to ascertain whether or not revascularization is superior to medical therapy in the management of RAS and to provide clearer indications for its use. A later meta-analysis of the first three RCTs⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ (n=210) showed that, although there was no benefit in blood pressure at 6 months, there was a greater mean improvement in blood pressure in those who underwent angioplasty.48 The Dutch-led Stent Placement in Patients With Atherosclerotic Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal Function (STAR) study was published just prior to ASTRAL. A high proportion of patients randomized to stenting did not receive the procedure and no differences were observed in terms of blood pressure control or renal function.⁴⁷ Hence, none of these studies has been able to demonstrate a significant difference in progression of renal function in patients receiving medical therapy compared

with those undergoing revascularization procedures. These trials are summarized in Table 1.

The ASTRAL trial was designed to try and determine whether revascularization is of benefit in ARVD. A total of 806 patients with ARVD (average 76% stenosis) were recruited and randomized to either medical therapy alone or medical therapy plus endovascular revascularization. Median follow-up was 33.2 months, with a minimal follow-up of 12 months for all patients. The primary endpoint was change in renal function, with change in blood pressure, cardiovascular events, renal events, and all-cause mortality the main secondary endpoints. The study found no difference in the primary endpoint of change in renal function between the two groups. Similarly, blood pressure fell equally in both groups and there was no difference in cardiovascular events or mortality between the two groups. The ASTRAL trial concluded that endovascular revascularization does not improve renal function, blood pressure control, cardiovascular events, or mortality in patients with ARVD⁸ (Figures 1 and 2).

However, the ASTRAL trial had limitations. Importantly, patients were only eligible for enrollment if their managing clinician was uncertain about whether or not they would benefit from revascularization. Although impossible to quantify, it is probable that patients presenting with ARVD and rapidly deteriorating renal function or hypertension that was difficult to control were not enrolled in this study but rather were referred directly for revascularization by their clinicians outside of the trial.

ASTRAL has been criticized on a number of counts. First, there was no centralized verification of the degree of RAS in enrolled patients (so some lesions may have been overestimated). Moreover, the clinical equipoise of the trial meant that patients with normal renal function and relatively minor disease were included. Critics of the trial felt the inclusion of such low-risk patients may have led to bias in the results, as this group of patients would be unlikely to demonstrate progressive renal decline regardless of whether or not revascularization procedures were undertaken.49,50 However, post hoc analysis of subgroups with severe disease also failed to demonstrate significant improvement with stenting, leading some to assert that benefits previously attributed to endovascular revascularization could be achieved with best medical management.⁵¹ Whatever the criticisms of ASTRAL may be, it showed that there is little clinical benefit to be gained from revascularization in patients presenting with stable renal function and ARVD and certainly little to justify the procedural risks in this group. The optimal management in other groups is still an area for ongoing debate and research.

The publication of the ASTRAL results has led to a major change in practice, with the rate of renal revascularization falling to 30% of the pre-trial results in the UK, with similar reductions in the USA. Despite its shortcomings, ASTRAL demonstrated that, in most patients, stenting for ARVD does not benefit renal function. The continued uncertainty regarding other clinical outcomes, such as major cardiovascular and renal events, was the basis for the design of the recently published CORAL trial.^{26,52}

CORAL opened in 2004 and completed recruitment in 2012. The trial randomized 947 patients with >60% atherosclerotic RAS to standardized medical management or medical management plus endovascular revascularization. The degree of RAS in all patients was centrally verified in a core radiology laboratory. In order to determine benefit in relation to major renal and cardiovascular endpoints, there was a composite of cardiovascular and renal death, cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction [MI], stroke, congestive cardiac failure), increasing serum creatinine, or initiation of renal replacement therapy.²⁶ Over a mean follow-up of 43 months, there was no difference in the rate of the composite endpoints between the stent plus medical therapy and the medical therapy alone group (35.1% and 35.8%, respectively), hazard ratio with stent 0.94 (95% CI 0.76-1.17; P=0.58). In the subgroup of patients with more severe stenosis (>80%), there was also no benefit with stenting in addition to medical therapy. Furthermore, there was no difference in the component endpoints or all-cause mortality between the two groups. The CORAL trial was able to conclude that stenting placement for ARVD does not confer benefit with respect to clinical events when added to medical therapy in those patients with ARVD and hypertension or CKD.52

AKI

There are case reports of rescue from dialysis of patients presenting with anuric AKI secondary to ARVD.³⁶ The general consensus of opinion is in favor of intervention in these individuals, although no further evidence base exists to support this. It is possible that patients presenting in this way fall into two groups. First there are those patients presenting with an acute renal artery occlusion or very high-grade RAS; in these individuals urgent revascularization is needed in order to restore renal blood flow and perfusion. In other patients, there may be a background of chronic ischemia and then an acute insult that results in an episode of AKI. These kidneys are chronically under-perfused and ischemic and therefore may be less likely to respond to an intervention.

Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Redented comprision of percurations 198 55 Peters on one anti- stanty second Primary endonment on the lood pressure The may endormed on the lood pressure The lood pressure table on the lood pressure in all 05% Ke of all seconds on algobiary plat in Alterostic claipon and all seconds on algobiary plat in Alterostic claipon and seconds Significant claim all in BP in algobiary plat in algobiary pressure in algobiary pressure in algobiary pressure in algobiary plat in algobiary group in algobiary group. In algobiary in algobiary group in Altero in Altero in Altero in Altero in algobiary group. In algobiary in Altero in algobiary group. In altero in algobiary group. I	Trial title and author	Year	Number	Study decign	Study and noints	Results
Bandomized comparison of percuaneous 198 55 Patents Primary endonic change Significant cill in BP in algoplasy grit Randomized comparison of percuaneous 198 55 hypertensive medications and inside or excernany endoance serial Finanzy endoance serial Significant cill in BP in algoplasy grit argophasy strends Randomized comparison of percuaneous real No Significant cill in BP in algoplasy grit argop strends Randomized comparison of measure Randomized comparison of measure Significant cill in BP in algoplasy grit arrey strends Read Arrey Stenois: 198 49 Unitatent RAS exocation Anterovaciant Anterovacian			of notionts			
Andomized complexition of percursions 198 55 Patters or and optications and angioptary strends: Endominant of medications and secondary endomizers renal Patternal in bin angioptary granters hypertensive adjoints with afteromation of percursions Initianal SSK ASS Secondary endomizers renal Secondary endomizers renal websizer earl Initianal SSK ASS Randomized Trainal Secondary endomizers renal Secondary endomizers renal Websizer earl Initianary endomic adjoints Initianary endomic adjoints Secondary endomizers renal Secondary endomizers renal Read Arrey Stenosis Initianary endomic adjoints Initianary endomic adjoints Secondary endomizers renation Angiophastry parentially drive spaning Read Arrey Stenosis Madeomized Trai Initianary endomic adjoints Secondary endomics adjoints Secondary endomics adjoints Read Arrey Stenosis Madeomized Trai Magophastry in the secondary endomics adjoints Secondary endomics adjoints Secondary endomics adjoints Read Arrey Stenosis Madoomized Trai Magophastry in the secondary endomics adjoints Secondary endomics adjoints Secondary endomics adjoints Read Arrey Stenosis Madoomized Trai Madoomized Trai <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>or particitus</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			or particitus			
argopters variant media unersy for any pertensive medications and in lood pressure are if the Scondary endpoints. Segnificant contract are affects contained for any endpoints. Segnificant contract and and and condonated to apply services and and and condonated to apply and	Randomized comparison of percutaneous	1 998	55	Patients on two or more anti-	Primary endpoint: change	Significant fall in BP in angioplasty group in
hypertensive patients with afteromatous rend minimal 50% ANS Secondary endpoints. Significant contact and motion and motion conterms secondary endpoints. Significant contact and motion and motion conterms rest (114/0 patients) in intervention medical threapy Rend Artery Stenois: Colaborative Group 198 49 Unitacter IAS > 60% and rest (114/0 patients) in intervention medical threapy Rend Artery Stenois: Colaborative Group 198 49 Unitacter IAS > 60% and rest (114/0 patients) in intervention medical threapy Rend Artery Stenois: Fandomized Trai motion and motion of Angiobasty in processore Stenois: Stenois: Angiophasty group Round matery Stenois: Patients Patients with one complication rate motion patients rest (114/0 patients) in intervention Round matery Stenois: Patients Patients with one complication rate motion patients rest (114/0 patients) in intervention Round matery at follow-up Fandomized frain motion printervention Fandomized frain rest (114/0 patients) in intervention Round matery at follow-up Fandomized frain motion patients Fandomized frain rest (114/0 patients) in intervention Round patient Fandomized frain	angioplasty vs continued medical therapy for			hypertensive medications and	in blood pressure	those with bilateral RAS. No difference in
arery sterois Randomized to argioplasty plus function and major outcomes rate (11/4) patents) in inervention Mebsizer et all "Costish and Nervaste Rand Artery Serois Calaborane Group Argopistry posting Biod Pression Natery Serois Calaborane Group Natery Serois Calaborane Group Argopistry plus Argopistry plus Biod Pression Natery Serois Calaborane Group Natery Serois Calaborane Group Argopistry posting Argopistry posting Biodomized Trai Artery Serois Calaborane Group Natery Serois Seroids Armany success 57% in FTA social Argopistry posting Read Artery Sterois Calaborane Group 199 84 Patents with hypertension Natery Sterois St. Primary success 57% in FTA social secondary and points: treatment Argopistry group Read Artery Sterois Steroid State Calaborane Calaborane Calaborane Calaborane Group 199 84 Printary steroid statency at 6 monts (internotion to the treat statency at 6 monts (internotion to the tread statency the treat statency at 6 monts (internotion	hypertensive patients with atheromatous renal			minimal 50% RAS	Secondary endpoints: renal	secondary endpoints. Significant complication
Websere all for Scottab and Newcate medical therapy w continued (dast) and cardiovascular events) Renal Artery Stensis Collaborative Group Invalient RAS > 60% and in Alterosciencia (Fund) Primary endpoint; 24-hour Argioplasty potentialy drug sparing in Alterosciencia (Fund) Biold Prastine Ourcome of Nagioplasty Biold Prastine Ourcome of Nagioplasty in Alterosciencia (Fand) Primary endpoint; Treatment Argioplasty protentialy drug sparing in Alterosciencia (Fand) Argioplasty protential drug in argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential Argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential Argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential Anadomized trial and ex Ven et all ¹ in Alterosciencia (Fand) Primary patency at 6 months aradomized trial in argioplasty protential in argioplasty protential Primary patency at 6 months in argioplasty group. 27 sparing difference in primary and Ads > 50% To all alterosciencia van base of anglio and difference in primary in Alterosciencia (Fand) Primary patency at 6 months intervery at 6 months interversion Primary patency at 6 months interversion To all alterosciencia vandomized trial in Alterosciencia Drinal dintrecy primary in Alterosciencia Primary	artery stenosis			Randomized to angioplasty plus	function and major outcomes	rate (11/40 patients) in intervention group
Brean Artery Stenosis Calaborative Group modical theapy modical theapy Brean Artery Stenosis 198 49 Uniateral RAS > 60% and hypertanis Primary endpoint; 24hour Argoplasty potential fung sparing hypertanis A Randomized Trail Randomized Trail Randomized trail Randomized trail Argoplasty for example A Randomized Trail Randomized trail Randomized trail Randomized trail Randomized trail A Randomized Trail Randomized trail Randomized trail Randomized trail Randomized trail A Randomized Trail Randomized trail Primary stends Recondary stelling Randomized to any compliancy group A Retail sterring and balloon nagoplasty in 1999 BH Primary stends Routh Stends A retail sterring and balloon nagoplasty in 1999 BH Primary stends Routh Stends A retail sterring and balloon nagoplasty in 1999 BH Primary stends Routh Stends A retail sterring and balloon nagoplasty on Hypertension 1000 106 Primary stency at 6 months Routh Stends A retail sterring and balloon nagoplasty on Hypertension 100	Webster et al ⁴⁶ for Scottish and Newcastle			medical therapy vs continued	(death and cardiovascular events)	
Global Pressure Our Come of Angoplasty plateral RAS > 60% and in Attend Steary Stensis: Paintary endorin: 24-hour in Andonized Trail Angoplasty preemially drug sparing in Attend Steary Stensis: Paintary stensis: Paintary stensis: Angoplasty preemially drug sparing in Attend Steary Stensis: Angoplasty preemially drug sparing in Attendist entertrail Angoplasty preemially drug sparing in Attendist entertrail Angoplasty preemially drug sparing in Andonized (Trail Angoplasty preemially drug sparing in angoplasty preeming Pount et all' and drug sparing Pount et all' and drug sparing Point attend at the space and complication rate in angoplasty in angoplasty in angoplasty plast Pointary stency at 6 monts, Note compli and drug sparing fit and drug sparing Pointary endoring the space and balloon angoplasty on Hypertension and drug sparing fit Primary attency at 6 monts, Note compli and drug sparing fit and drug sparing Pointary endoring the space and balloon angoplasty on Hypertension and drug spare and and point at the sparing fit Primary endoring to Primary endoring the sparing fit an and drug spare Pointary endoring the spare Primary endoring the sparing fit Primary endoring to the sparing fit and drug spare Primary endoring the stand Primary endoring the spare Primary endoring the stand and drug spare Primary endoring the spare Prinary endoring the stand Prinary en	Renal Artery Stenosis Collaborative Group			medical therapy		
in Atherosclerotic Renal Artery Stenois: hypertensive ambulatory BP at follow-up 60% reduction in probability of treat A Radomized Trai Randomized trai in angolasty in probability of treat ambulatory BP at follow-up 60% reduction in probability of treat A Radomized Trai Randomized to rango Becondary emplories score and complication rate in angolasty group A real stering and balloon angoplasty in 1999 84 Patients with ostil RAS of >50% Primary patency at 6 months function to in PTA ve 5% in PTA ve 8% A real stering and balloon angoplasty on Hypertension 109 84 Patients with hypertension in angolasty group. and dev et al ¹¹ Randomized to PTA ve 75% in PTA ve 75% in PTA ve 8% Primary sterrors at 6 months (intention to in PTA ve 75% in PTA v	Blood Pressure Outcome of Angioplasty	1998	49	Unilateral RAS >60% and	Primary endpoint; 24-hour	Angioplasty potentially drug sparing;
A Randomized Trial A Randomized Trial Secondary endpoints; treatment score ≥2 at 6 monts. More complication rate Polon is cat*/io Essal Multicentrique Medicaments medical therapy sine dical score and complication rate in argoplasy group Sex Rigoplastie (FMM) Study Group treaps and balloon angioplasy in 1979 84 therapy sine site Sex Rigoplastie (FMM) Study Group treaps and balloon angioplasty in 1979 84 therapy and balloon angioplasty group therapy and balloon angioplasty group a randomized trial a randomized trial therapy and balloon angioplasty and balloon and balloon angioplasty and balloon ballobanto and balloon and balloon and balloon and	in Atherosclerotic Renal Artery Stenosis:			hypertensive	ambulatory BP at follow-up	60% reduction in probability of treatment
Pounie al ⁴⁴ for Essai Multicentrique Medicaments medical therapy variedical score and complication rate in argioplasty group Arterologiastic field ERMMS, Sudy Group Herapy variedical score and complication rate in argioplasty group Arterologiastic field ERMMS, Sudy Group Primary success 57% in PTA vs 57% in PTA vs 85% Primary success 57% in PTA vs 85% Arterologiastic fraction rate 199 84 Patients with hypertension 200 art advolucied trial 2000 106 Patients with hypertension 200 105 art advolucied trial 2000 106 Patients with hypertension 200 106 Arterosclerotic Renovascular disease: 200 106 Patients with hypertension 200 200 Arterosclerotic Renovascular difference at formits 200 106 Patients with hypertension 200 200 205 200 200 205 value sclear 200 106 Patients with hypertension 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 <	A Randomized Trial			Randomized to angioplasty plus	Secondary endpoints; treatment	score ≥ 2 at 6 months. More complications
vs Argoplastie (FMMA) Sudy Group Arterial stearling and balloon angioplasty in a statial atherosclerotic renovascular disease. Arterial stearling and balloon angioplasty in a de Ven et al ¹¹ Arterial stearling and balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension and eVen et al ¹¹ The Fflect of Balloon Angioplasty group. 22 patients cross and eVen et al ¹¹ The Arteroscherotic Renal Artery Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) The Arteroscherotic Renal Artery Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) The Arteroscherotic Renal Artery Stenosis Intervention Fortier and function. Mild drug-sparing effe and RAS > 50% The Arteroscherotic Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal Function: A Randomized Trial (STAR) Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal Function Function Fortier Related attrial function Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group Renal Arter	Plouin et al ⁴⁴ for Essai Multicentrique Medicaments			medical therapy vs medical	score and complication rate	in angioplasty group
Arterial stenting and balloon angioplasty in ostial atheroscular disease: 199 84 Patients with ostial RAS of >50% Primary patency at 6 months a randomized trial Primary patency at 6 months group, 27% in PTA s group. No cl in PTA vs 75% in PTA group. No cl in PTA vs 75% in	vs Angioplastie (EMMA) Study Group			therapy alone		
ostial atherosclerotic renovascular disease: Randomized to PTA vs FTAS in PTAS group. No cl a randomized trial in PTA vs 75% in PTAS group. No cl in PTA group. No cl Patients with hypertension 2000 106 Patients with hypertension to PTA group. 12 required secondary on Primary endpoint. BP at 3 No difference in primary endpoint secondary endpoints retartent and 12 months and 12 months and 12 months and 12 months and 12 months secondary endpoints. treatment angoplasty group. 2009 64 Patients with CrCI <80 mL/min Primary endpoints. treatment angoplasty group. 2009 164 Patients with CrCI <80 mL/min Primary endpoints. treatment angoplasty group. 2009 764 Patients with CrCI <80 mL/min Primary endpoints. treatment angoplasty group. 2009 764 Patients with CrCI <80 mL/min Primary endpoints. treatment angoplasty group. 2008 7009 105 64 Patients with CrCI <80 mL/min Primary endpoints. treatment angoplasty group. 2008 700 months and RAS >50% Excendary endpoints. Treatment angoplasty group. 2008 700 months and RAS >50% Patients with CrCI <80 mL/min Primary endpoints. Treatment and RAS >50% Patients with CrCI <80 mL/min Primary endpoints. Treatment and RAS >50% PAS	Arterial stenting and balloon angioplasty in	6661	84	Patients with ostial RAS of $>$ 50%	Primary patency at 6 months	Primary success 57% in PTA vs 88% in PTAS
a randomized trial van de Ven et al ¹¹ van de Ven et al ¹¹ The Fifect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension The Atherosclerotic Renal-Artery Stenois and RAS >50% and RAS >50% and RAS >50% and RAS >50% and RAS >50% The Atherosclerotic Renal-Artery Stenois Stenois Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) The Atherosclerotic Renal-Artery Stenois Stence, renal function, renal artery madiation result function, renal artery Renal Artery Stenois and Impaired Renal Athery Stenois and Impaired Renal Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Stenois Ather Stenois and Impaired Renal Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Ather Stenois Ather Ather Stenois Ather Ather Stenois Ather Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Stenois Ather Ather Stenois	ostial atherosclerotic renovascular disease:			Randomized to PTA vs PTAS		group. 29% primary patency at 6 months
van de Ven et al ¹¹ van de Ven et al ¹¹ The Effect of Balloon Anjopjasty on Hypertension The Effect of Balloon Anjopjasty on Hypertension The Effect of Balloon Anjopjasty on Hypertension The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) The Administery BP at 3 Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) The Administery Stenosis Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) The Administery and 12 months The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis Stend Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis Stend Artery Stenosis The Administery and Complications The Administery and Complications The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis Stend Artery Stenosis Stend Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis Stend Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis Stend Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis Stend Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Bioline The Affector Renal-Artery Stenosis The Affector Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Stenosis Stendary Affector Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Stenosis Stendary Affector Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Stenosis Stendary Affector Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Renal-Artery Bioline The Affector Renal-Artery Renal-Artery	a randomized trial					in PTA vs 75% in PTAS group. No clinical
The Effect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension 2000 106 Patients with hypertension 2000 105 Patients with hypertension 2000 106 Patients with hypertension 200 204 200 203 204 200 204 200 203 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 200<	van de Ven et al ³¹					difference at 6 months (intention to treat). In
The Effect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension 2000 106 Patients with hypertension Primary endpoint: BP at 3 No difference in primary endpoint o in Atherosclerotic Renal-Artery Stenosis and RAS >50% and 12 months renal function. Mild drug-sparing effe van jaarsveld et al ¹⁶ for Dutch Renal Artery Bandomized to angioplasty plus Secondary endpoints: treatment and 12 months renal function. Mild drug-sparing effe Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) therapy and complications No difference in primary into angioplasty group. 22 patients cross Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) therapy and complications No significant difference in primary Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) 2009 64 Patients with CCI <80 mL/min						PTA group, 12 required secondary stenting
in Atherosclerotic Renal-Artery Stenosis and RAS >50% and 12 months renal function. Mild drug-sparing effe van Jaarsveld et al ⁴⁶ for Dutch Renal Artery Corburch Renal Artery Randomized to angioplasty plus Secondary endpoints: treatment angioplasty group. 22 patients crosse van Jaarsveld et al ⁴⁶ for Dutch Renal Artery Corburch Renal Artery Randomized to angioplasty plus Secondary endpoints: treatment angioplasty group Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) Randomized to angioplasty plus Secondary endpoints: treatment angioplasty group Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) Randomized to angioplasty plus Secondary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Sten A Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal Randomized to stent insertion Primary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Randomized Trial (STAR) Randomized to stent insertion Secondary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Randomized Trial (STAR) Randomized to stent insertion Secondary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Randomized Therapy Randomized to stent insertion Secondary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Randomized Trial (STAR) Randomized to stent insertion Secondary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Revealarization versus Medical Therapy <td>The Effect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension</td> <td>2000</td> <td>106</td> <td>Patients with hypertension</td> <td>Primary endpoint: BP at 3</td> <td>No difference in primary endpoint or</td>	The Effect of Balloon Angioplasty on Hypertension	2000	106	Patients with hypertension	Primary endpoint: BP at 3	No difference in primary endpoint or
van Jaarsveld et al* for Dutch Renal Artery Randomized to angioplasty plus Secondary endpoints: treatment angioplasty group. 22 patients crosses Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) medical therapy va medical score, renal function, renal artery into angioplasty group. 22 patients crosses Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) medical therapy va medical score, renal function, renal artery into angioplasty group. 22 patients crosses Stent Placement in Patients with Atherosclerotic 2009 64 Patients with CrCl <80 mL/min	in Atherosclerotic Renal-Artery Stenosis			and RAS $>$ 50%	and 12 months	renal function. Mild drug-sparing effect in
Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC) medical therapy vs medical score, renal function, renal artery into angioplasty group Stent Placement in Patients with Atherosclerotic 2009 64 Patients with CrCl <80 mL/min	van Jaarsveld et al ⁴⁵ for Dutch Renal Artery			Randomized to angioplasty plus	Secondary endpoints: treatment	angioplasty group. 22 patients crossed over
Stent Placement in Patients with Atherosclerotic 2009 64 Patients with CrCl <80 mL/min Primary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal 2009 64 Patients with CrCl <80 mL/min	Stenosis Intervention Co-operative (DRASTIC)			medical therapy vs medical	score, renal function, renal artery	into angioplasty group
Stent Placement in Patients with Atherosclerotic 2009 64 Patients with CrCl <80 mL/min Primary endpoint of ≥20% No significant difference in primary Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal and RAS >50% reduction in CrCl endpoint (reached in 16% of stent an reduction in CrCl endpoint (reached in 16% of s				therapy alone	patency, and complications	
Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal and RAS >50% reduction in CrCl endpoint (reached in 16% of stent an Function: Function: Endonized Trial (STAR) medication-only group). No differen- A Randomized Trial (STAR) medication-only group). No differen- plus medical therapy vs medical cardiovascular morbidity, and mortality medication-only group). No differen- medication-only group. No differen- secondary endpoints: safety, therapy alone mortality mortality medication-only group). No differen- secondary endpoints: safety, mortality Bax et al ⁴⁷ 2009 806 Patients with significant RAS and clinician unsure of best treatment Primary endpoint: renal function No significant difference between gr any endpoint. Significant complicatio Wheatley et al ⁸ for Angioplasty and STenting for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group Patients with renapt vs events, mortality and renal	Stent Placement in Patients with Atherosclerotic	2009	64	Patients with CrCl <80 mL/min	Primary endpoint of \ge 20%	No significant difference in primary
Function: Randomized Trial (STAR) Randomized Trial (STAR) medication-only group). No different A Randomized Trial (STAR) A Randomized Trial (STAR) plus medical therapy vs medical cardiovascular morbidity, and medication-only group). No different Bax et al ⁴⁷ mortality cardiovascular morbidity, and secondary endpoints except complic Bax et al ⁴⁷ mortality mortality Two stent-related deaths. Underpov Revascularization versus Medical Therapy 2009 806 Patients with significant RAS and Primary endpoint: renal function No significant difference between gr for Renal-Artery Stenosis 2009 806 Patients with significant RAS and Primary endpoint: renal function No significant complicatio Wheatley et al ⁸ for Angioplasty and STenting Randomized to revascularization cardiovascular and renal intervention group Wheatley et al ⁸ for Angioplasty and STenting plus standard medical therapy vs events, mortality intervention group for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group group and rendical therapy vs events, mortality intervention group	Renal Artery Stenosis and Impaired Renal			and RAS $>50\%$	reduction in CrCl	endpoint (reached in 16% of stent and 22%
A Randomized Trial (STAR) plus medical therapy vs medical cardiovascular morbidity, and secondary endpoints except complic Bax et al ⁴⁷ Two stent-related deaths. Underpov Revascularization versus Medical Therapy 2009 806 Patients with significant RAS and Primary endpoint: renal function No significant difference between gr for Renal-Artery Stenosis any STenting Randomized to revascularization cardiovascular and renal intervention group Wheatley et al ⁸ for Angioplasty and STenting provp for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group candord medical therapy vs events, mortality intervention group	Function:			Randomized to stent insertion	Secondary endpoints: safety,	medication-only group). No difference in
Bax et al ⁴⁷ mortality Two stent-related deaths. Underpov Revascularization versus Medical Therapy 2009 806 Patients with significant RAS and Primary endpoint: renal function No significant difference between gr for Renal-Artery Stenosis any endpoint. Significant complicatio Wheatley et al ⁸ for Angioplasty and STenting Randomized to revascularization cardiovascular and renal intervention group for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group candond medical therapy vs events, mortality intervention group	A Randomized Trial (STAR)			plus medical therapy vs medical	cardiovascular morbidity, and	secondary endpoints except complications.
Revascularization versus Medical Therapy 2009 806 Patients with significant RAS and Primary endpoint: renal function No significant difference between gr for Renal-Artery Stenosis any endpoint. Significant complicatio Wheatley et al [®] for Angioplasty and STenting Randomized to revascularization cardiovascular and renal intervention group for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group exanded medical therapy vs events, mortality	Bax et al ⁴⁷			therapy alone	mortality	Two stent-related deaths. Underpowered
for Renal-Artery Stenosis any endpoint. Significant complication Wheatley et al [®] for Angioplasty and STenting Randomized to revascularization cardiovascular and renal intervention group for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group etanderd medical therapy vs events, mortality	Revascularization versus Medical Therapy	2009	806	Patients with significant RAS and	Primary endpoint: renal function	No significant difference between groups in
Wheatley et al ⁸ for Angioplasty and STenting Randomized to revascularization cardiovascular and renal intervention group for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group cranderd medical therapy vs events, mortality	for Renal-Artery Stenosis			clinician unsure of best treatment	Secondary endpoints: BP,	any endpoint. Significant complication rate in
for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group standard medical therapy vs events, mortality	Wheatley et al ⁸ for Angioplasty and STenting			Randomized to revascularization	cardiovascular and renal	intervention group
standard madical therapy	for Renal Artery Lesions (ASTRAL) study group			plus standard medical therapy vs	events, mortality	
זימותמו ה וויבחורמו היוביו <i>מאל</i>				standard medical therapy		

Table I Randomized controlled trials of intervention in atherosclerotic renovascular disease

Dovepress

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

Dovepress



Figure I Mean change in systolic blood pressure (mmHg) over time with revascularization and medical therapy in ASTRAL. Note: Data from the ASTRAL study.⁸

Abbreviations: ASTRAL, Angioplasty and STenting for Renal Artery Lesions; BP, blood pressure.

Rapidly declining renal function

The published guidelines support revascularization in patients with rapidly declining renal function, but the latter has not been consistently defined, and there remains very little evidence of benefit to support such an approach. The ASTRAL trial examined a subgroup of 96 patients with rapidly declining renal function (defined as 20% and/or 100 μ mol/L increase in serum creatinine over 1 year). Although at follow-up those patients that underwent revascularization had a lower serum creatinine than those on standard medical therapy, this difference was not statistically significant.⁸

In a single-center assessment of 127 patients undergoing revascularization outside of the ASTRAL trial in a UK center,



Figure 2 Mean serum creatinine (μmol/L) over time (repeated measures analysis) with revascularization and medical therapy in ASTRAL. Note: Data from the ASTRAL study.⁸ Abbreviations: ASTRAL, Angioplasty and STenting for Renal Artery Lesions; SCr, serum creatinine. Valluri et al⁵³ were only able to demonstrate a suggestion of benefit in those with rapidly deteriorating renal function, and this was not statistically significant. There was no improvement in cardiovascular outcomes or mortality. However, the authors failed to account for use of angiotensin blockade post-intervention, which may have confounded the results.

A recent single-center retrospective analysis looked at different clinical presentations of ARVD. A total of 467 cases of patients with >50% unilateral RAS were reviewed, inclusive of those enrolled in clinical trials. High-risk clinical presentations were defined as flash pulmonary edema, refractory hypertension, and rapidly declining renal function. The 237 patients with high-risk presentations were compared with the 230 patients with none of these features. The authors demonstrated a mortality benefit in those patients who presented with flash pulmonary edema and underwent revascularization compared with those with the same clinical presentation who had no radiological intervention (hazard ratio 0.4, 95% CI 0.2-0.9; P=0.01). When considered in combination, there was a benefit in terms of mortality and cardiovascular events in those with both rapidly declining renal function and refractory hypertension undergoing revascularization compared with those with no intervention, but such positive results were not seen for either clinical presentation occurring alone. There was no benefit in terms of rate of decline in renal function in any group.¹⁴ Although there are limitations to this retrospective data, the results help to ascertain the benefits of revascularization in terms of mortality and cardiovascular events in patients with high-risk presentations. It also questions the utility of change in renal function as a clinical endpoint in ARVD. Perhaps further studies will shed more light on the utility of revascularization as a treatment to improve renal function or maybe it is now time to consider different clinical endpoints and to focus on a better description of the population likely to benefit from revascularization in ARVD.

In order to determine whether the subgroup of patients with rapidly deteriorating renal function are likely to benefit from revascularization, a patient-level meta-analysis of ASTRAL, CORAL, and STAR will most probably be needed.

Developments in revascularization

There appears to be no universal benefit of revascularization in ARVD, and there are significant risks associated with interventional procedures. This has led to a number of studies focusing on ways to predict or enhance individual response to intervention. A meta-analysis of studies in ARVD found no clinical characteristics that were able to reliably predict outcomes following revascularization.⁵⁴ However, a number of studies have looked at other ways of predicting response to revascularization in ARVD, either through imaging techniques or biomarker measurement.

Cheung et al⁵⁵ looked at parenchymal volume assessed via magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scanning and isotopic single kidney glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in 50 patients with >50% RAS who underwent revascularization plus medical therapy or medical therapy alone. In their study, a disproportionately high ratio of parenchymal volume to single-kidney GFR (PV:SKGFR – a possible reflection of 'hibernating parenchyma') was predictive of improvement in GFR post-revascularization. Although clinicians may take note of renal size in deciding the potential benefit of revascularization for an individual, there is no routine assessment of renal reserve.

Other imaging techniques have shown variable promise in determining which individuals may benefit from revascularization. It has been suggested that determination of renal resistive index with duplex Doppler ultrasound scanning may indicate response to revascularization.^{56,57}

Blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) magnetic resonance scanning has been developed as a noninvasive investigation that may help predict response to revascularization. It is a sensitive technique to detect changes in tissue deoxyhemoglobin. Animal studies have shown that BOLD imaging reliably detects changes in intra-renal oxygenation with varying degrees of reduction in renal blood flow.⁵⁸ A clinical study of 28 patients demonstrated that high BOLD signal to single kidney isotopic GFR was predictive of improvement in renal function following revascularization,⁵⁹ thereby suggesting that BOLD imaging may provide a way of again detecting so-called 'hibernating parenchyma.'

Various other studies have looked for factors that may help predict response to revascularization in individuals. A small study (n=27) by Silva et al⁶⁰ measured pre- and post-intervention brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels and blood pressure response to endovascular revascularization. Pre-intervention BNP levels of >80 pg/mL were predictive of a response to revascularization (P=0.001). However, the small study numbers and specified endpoint of the analysis should caution extrapolation of these results pending further research.

One explanation for why renal function does not consistently improve following renal revascularization is that cholesterol micro-embolization may accompany the procedure. In an RCT of 100 patients undergoing percutaneous revascularization procedures for RAS, there was a post-procedure fall in estimated GFR (eGFR) in all patients, regardless of whether or not an embolic protection device was deployed or the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor abciximab was administered. However, with combination therapy, no post-procedure fall in eGFR was observed,⁶¹ suggesting a potential benefit for such therapies in ameliorating cholesterol embolization post-intervention.

In the context of ischemia, neovascularization within the microvasculature occurs to sustain tissue perfusion.⁶² The microvasculature maintains plasticity and is able to regulate angiogenesis in response to changes in local metabolic requirements.⁶³ Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is secreted in response to acute hypoxia and is essential for the preservation of this microvasculature.⁶⁴ However, in the context of prolonged hypoxia, VEGF secretion is diminished,⁶⁵ presumably because injured cells are no longer able to secrete this cytokine. This leads to a cascade of poor microvascular repair and increasing renal injury. In animal studies, administration of VEGF prior to revascularization improved postprocedure blood pressure and renal function. This was felt to be due to improvements in the renal microcirculation.²⁰

Revascularization for other causes of RAS

ARVD is the leading cause of RAS in the Western world, followed by fibromuscular dysplasia. In contrast, vasculitic disease (invariably Takayasu's arteritis) is the most common cause in South-East Asia. Other diseases, such as middle aortic syndrome, embolic phenomenon, and arterial dissection are important, albeit less common, causes of RAS. Hypertension is generally a more significant clinical problem than renal dysfunction in these conditions. In fibromuscular dysplasia and Takayasu's disease, evidence for benefit from revascularization is more consistent than in ARVD, but this is due, at least in part, to the fact that control of hypertension is the main treatment goal, and robust trials are still lacking.

Fibromuscular dysplasia

Fibromuscular dysplasia is a non-atherosclerotic noninflammatory condition that most commonly affects women aged 15–60 years. The most usual presentation is with renovascular hypertension, although stroke and cranial nerve palsies are well recognized and it can affect any arterial bed in the body. There are no RCT data to guide management in this condition, and the prospective studies that do exist report widely varying success in terms of successful control of hypertension and recurrence of stenotic lesion.⁶⁶ A meta-analysis and systematic review of 70 studies reported a 46% (95% CI 40–52) cure rate with angioplasty, with the greatest success observed amongst young patients with a short duration of hypertension and where there was successful obliteration of the pressure gradient with treatment.⁶⁷ It is possible that co-existence of essential hypertension in older patients reduced the success of revascularization procedures in these studies. Revascularization is recommended as first-line therapy for young patients⁶⁸ and those with severe disease, refractory hypertension, or deteriorating renal function.⁶⁹ In contrast to ARVD, the main focus is management of hypertension rather than preventing the decline of renal function, and revascularization is usually by balloon angioplasty without the need for stent insertion.

Vasculitis

Immunosuppressive therapy is indicated in the treatment of the acute phase of Takayasu's vasculitis, and there is no role for revascularization at this stage. As the disease moves into the chronic stenotic phase, patients are often hypertensive and may have impaired renal function or heart failure as a consequence of arterial stenosis. A retrospective review of 66 patients treated with revascularization reported a cure of hypertension in around 25% of patients and large reductions in blood pressure (45/22 mmHg) and need for anti-hypertensive medications in the remainder, with around a 60%-90% technical success rate.⁷⁰ A further prospective study of 27 patients with Takayasu's-induced RAS reported an improvement in mean eGFR from 76 mL/min to 88 mL/min (P<0.005) following revascularization, and two patients were able to discontinue hemodialysis due to recovery of renal function. There were improvements in blood pressure in all patients, and of congestive cardiac failure symptoms in the latter two affected patients.⁷¹ Although this remains a rare disease, and study numbers are very small, there is a suggestion that revascularization procedures are more likely to lead to an improvement in renal function than in ARVD. The complex etiology of ARVD, with oxidative stress, inflammation, and microvascular disruption all contributing to renal decline, may help to explain this disparity.

Conclusion

There is reasonable evidence to support revascularization as a treatment to improve renal function and hypertension in fibromuscular dysplasia and in RAS following inflammatory arteritis. The situation is certainly much less clear in ARVD. Here, medical therapy remains the cornerstone of treatment and vascular risk factors should be aggressively targeted.

Although questions remain, there seems to be little benefit from revascularization in ARVD, and this should be balanced against the procedural risks in each individual patient.

ARVD is not a disease limited to the renal arteries, but reflects a diffuse process of atherosclerosis and aging. The degree of RAS is a smaller determinant of renal function than the parenchymal injury resulting from atherosclerotic processes of oxidative stress, inflammation, and fibrosis. Established parenchymal damage is often irreversible, so the goal of treatment in ARVD is to limit further renal injury. In this regard, renal function may not be the best endpoint for intervention in ARVD. It is hoped that further analyses will provide clearer guidance as to which patients are most likely to benefit from revascularization procedures, but certainly treatment should be individualized and it should be accepted that there is no single treatment suitable for all in ARVD.

Disclosure

Philip A Kalra was a co-author of the ASTRAL trial. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- de Mast Q, Beutler JJ. The prevalence of atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis in risk groups: a systematic literature review. *J Hypertens*. 2009;27(7):1333–1340.
- Hansen KJ, Edwards MS, Craven TE, et al. Prevalence of renovascular disease in the elderly: a population-based study. *J Vasc Surg.* 2002;36(3):443–451.
- Kalra PA, Guo H, Kausz AT, et al. Atherosclerotic renovascular disease in United States patients aged 67 years or older: risk factors, revascularization, and prognosis. *Kidney Int.* 2005;68(1):293–301.
- 4. Kalra PA, Guo H, Gilbertson DT, et al. Atherosclerotic renovascular disease in the United States. *Kidney Int*. 2010;77(1):37–43.
- Collins AJ, Foley RN, Herzog C, et al. Excerpts From the US Renal Data System 2009 Annual Data Report. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2010; 55(1 Suppl 1):S1–S420, A6–A7.
- Jazrawi A, Darda S, Burke P, et al. Is race a risk factor for the development of renal artery stenosis? *Cardiol Res Pract*. 2009;2009:817987.
- Kawarada O, Yokoi Y, Morioka N, Takemoto K. Renal artery stenosis in cardio- and cerebrovascular disease: renal duplex ultrasonography as an initial screening examination. *Circ J.* 2007;71(12):1942–1947.
- ASTRAL Investigators, Wheatley K, Ives N, et al. Revascularization versus medical therapy for renal-artery stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 361(20):1953–1962.
- Conlon PJ, Little MA, Pieper K, Mark DB. Severity of renal vascular disease predicts mortality in patients undergoing coronary angiography. *Kidney Int.* 2001;60(4):1490–1497.
- Amighi J, Schlager O, Haumer M, et al. Renal artery stenosis predicts adverse cardiovascular and renal outcome in patients with peripheral artery disease. *Eur J Clin Invest*. 2009;39(9):784–792.
- Shurrab AE, MacDowall P, Wright J, Mamtora H, Kalra PA. The importance of associated extra-renal vascular disease on the outcome of patients with atherosclerotic renovascular disease. *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2003;93(2):C51–C57.
- Mailloux LU, Napolitano B, Bellucci AG, Vernace M, Wilkes BM, Mossey RT. Renal vascular disease causing end-stage renal disease, incidence, clinical correlates, and outcomes: a 20-year clinical experience. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 1994;24(4):622–629.

- Guo H, Kalra PA, Gilbertson DT, et al. Atherosclerotic renovascular disease in older US patients starting dialysis, 1996 to 2001. *Circulation*. 2007;115(1):50–58.
- Ritchie J, Green D, Chrysochou C, Chalmers N, Foley RN, Kalra PA. High-risk clinical presentations in atherosclerotic renovascular disease: prognosis and response to renal artery revascularization. *Am J Kidney Dis.* Epub September 26, 2013.
- Chrysochou C, Kalra PA. Epidemiology and natural history of atherosclerotic renovascular disease. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis.* 2009;52(3): 184–195.
- Farrington K, Udayaraj U, Gilg J, Feehally J. UK Renal Registry 11th Annual Report (December 2008): Chapter 3 ESRD incident rates in 2007 in the UK: National and centre-specific analyses. *Nephron Clin Pract*. 2009;111 Suppl 1:c13–c41.
- Schreiber MJ, Pohl MA, Novick AC. The natural history of atherosclerotic and fibrous renal artery disease. *Urol Clin North Am.* 1984;11(3): 383–392.
- Crowley JJ, Santos RM, Peter RH, et al. Progression of renal artery stenosis in patients undergoing cardiac catheterization. *Am Heart J.* 1998;136(5):913–918.
- Cheung CM, Wright JR, Shurrab AE, et al. Epidemiology of renal dysfunction and patient outcome in atherosclerotic renal artery occlusion. JAm Soc Nephrol. 2002;13(1):149–157.
- Chade AR, Kelsen S. Renal microvascular disease determines the responses to revascularization in experimental renovascular disease. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2010;3(4):376–383.
- 21. Caps MT, Zierler RE, Polissar NL, et al. Risk of atrophy in kidneys with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. *Kidney Int*. 1998;53(3): 735–742.
- Tuttle K. Renal parenchymal injury as a determinant of clinical consequences in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2002;39(6):1321–1322.
- Chrysochou C, Foley RN, Young JF, Khavandi K, Cheung CM, Kalra PA. Dispelling the myth: the use of renin-angiotensin blockade in atheromatous renovascular disease. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2012;27(4):1403–1409.
- Hackam DG, Duong-Hua ML, Mamdani M, et al. Angiotensin inhibition in renovascular disease: a population-based cohort study. *Am Heart J*. 2008;156(3):549–555.
- Cheung CM, Patel A, Shaheen N, et al. The Effects of Statins on the Progression of Atherosclerotic Renovascular Disease. *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2007;107(2):c35–c42.
- Cooper CJ, Murphy TP, Matsumoto A, et al. Stent revascularization for the prevention of cardiovascular and renal events among patients with renal artery stenosis and systolic hypertension: rationale and design of the CORAL trial. *Am Heart J.* 2006;152(1):59–66.
- Weibull H, Bergqvist D, Bergentz SE, Jonsson K, Hulthén L, Manhem P. Percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty versus surgical reconstruction of atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis: a prospective randomized study. *J Vasc Surg.* 1993;18(5):841–852.
- Modrall JG, Rosero EB, Smith ST, et al. Operative mortality for renal artery bypass in the United States: results from the National Inpatient Sample. *J Vasc Surg.* 2008;48(2):317–322.
- Harden PN, MacLeod MJ, Rodger RS, et al. Effect of renal-artery stenting on progression of renovascular renal failure. *Lancet*. 1997; 349(9059):1133–1136.
- Zeller T, Frank U, Müller C, et al. Predictors of improved renal function after percutaneous stent-supported angioplasty of severe atherosclerotic ostial renal artery stenosis. *Circulation*. 2003;108(18):2244–2249.
- van de Ven PJ, Kaatee R, Beutler JJ, et al. Arterial stenting and balloon angioplasty in ostial atherosclerotic renovascular disease: a randomised trial. *Lancet.* 1999;353(9149):282–286.
- Leertouwer TC, Gussenhoven EJ, Bosch JL, et al. Stent placement for renal arterial stenosis: where do we stand? A meta-analysis. *Radiology*. 2000;216(1):78–85.
- NHS IC. United Kingdom Hospital Episode Statistics. Available from: http://www.hscic.gov.uk/hes. Accessed September 22, 2013.

- 34. Hirsch AT, Haskal ZJ, Hertzer NR, et al. ACC/AHA Guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease (lower extremity, renal, mesenteric, and abdominal aortic): a collaborative report from the American Associations for Vascular Surgery/Society for Vascular Surgery, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society for Vascular Medicine and Biology, Society of Interventional Radiology, and the ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines (writing committee to develop guidelines for the management of patients with peripheral arterial disease): summary of recommendations. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2006;17(9):1383–1397; quiz 1398.
- Messina LM, Zelenock GB, Yao KA, Stanley JC. Renal revascularization for recurrent pulmonary edema in patients with poorly controlled hypertension and renal insufficiency: a distinct subgroup of patients with arteriosclerotic renal artery occlusive disease. *J Vasc Surg.* 1992;15(1): 73–82.
- Chrysochou C, Sinha S, Chalmers N, Kalra PR, Kalra PA. Anuric acute renal failure and pulmonary oedema: a case for urgent action. *Int J Cardiol*. 2009;132(1):e31–e33.
- Dear JW, Padfield PL, Webb DJ. New guidelines for drive-by renal arteriography may lead to an unjustifiable increase in percutaneous intervention. *Heart.* 2007;93(12):1528–1532.
- Goldsmith DJ, Reidy J, Scoble J. Renal arterial intervention and angiotensin blockade in atherosclerotic nephropathy. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2000;36(4):837–843.
- Kane GC, Xu N, Mistrik E, Roubicek T, Stanson AW, Garovic VD. Renal artery revascularization improves heart failure control in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2010; 25(3):813–820.
- Watson PS, Hadjipetrou P, Cox SV, Piemonte TC, Eisenhauer AC. Effect of renal artery stenting on renal function and size in patients with atherosclerotic renovascular disease. *Circulation*. 2000;102(14): 1671–1677.
- Isles CG, Robertson S, Hill D. Management of renovascular disease: a review of renal artery stenting in ten studies. *QJM*. 1999;92(3): 159–167.
- Dejani H, Eisen TD, Finkelstein FO. Revascularization of renal artery stenosis in patients with renal insufficiency. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2000;36(4):752–758.
- Beutler JJ, Van Ampting JM, Van De Ven PJ, et al. Long-term effects of arterial stenting on kidney function for patients with ostial atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis and renal insufficiency. *JAm Soc Nephrol.* 2001;12(7):1475–1481.
- Plouin PF, Chatellier G, Darné B, Raynaud A. Blood pressure outcome of angioplasty in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis: a randomized trial. Essai Multicentrique Medicaments vs Angioplastie (EMMA) Study Group. *Hypertension*. 1998;31(3):823–829.
- 45. van Jaarsveld BC, Krijnen P, Pieterman H, et al. The effect of balloon angioplasty on hypertension in atherosclerotic renal-artery stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2000;342(14):1007–1014.
- 46. Webster J, Marshall F, Abdalla M, et al. Randomised comparison of percutaneous angioplasty vs continued medical therapy for hypertensive patients with atheromatous renal artery stenosis. Scottish and Newcastle Renal Artery Stenosis Collaborative Group. *J Hum Hypertens*. 1998; 12(5):329–335.
- Bax L, Woittiez AJ, Kouwenberg HJ. Stent placement in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis and impaired renal function: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2009;150(12):840–848.
- Ives NJ, Wheatley K, Stowe RL, et al. Continuing uncertainty about the value of percutaneous revascularization in atherosclerotic renovascular disease: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2003;18(2):298–304.
- George JC, White CJ. Renal artery stenting: lessons from ASTRAL (Angioplasty and Stenting for Renal Artery Lesions). *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010;3(7):786–787.
- 50. White CJ. Kiss my astral: one seriously flawed study of renal stenting after another. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv*. 2010;75(2):305–307.

- Chalmers N, Moss J, Nicholson AA. "Re: renal artery stenting is no longer indicated after ASTRAL: pros and cons." *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2011;34(2):252–253.
- 52. Cooper CJ, Murphy TP, Cutlip DE, et al. Stenting and medical therapy for atherosclerotic renal-artery stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* Epub November 18, 2013.
- Valluri A, Severn A, Chakraverty S. Do patients undergoing renal revascularization outside of the ASTRAL trial show any benefit? Results of a single-centre observational study. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2012;27(2):734–738.
- Ronden RA, Houben AJ, Kessels AG, Stehouwer CD, de Leeuw PW, Kroon AA. Predictors of clinical outcome after stent placement in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Hypertens*. 2010;28(12):2370–2377.
- 55. Cheung CM, Chrysochou C, Shurrab AE, Buckley DL, Cowie A, Kalra PA. Effects of renal volume and single-kidney glomerular filtration rate on renal functional outcome in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2010;25(4):1133–1140.
- Radermacher J, Chavan A, Bleck J, et al. Use of Doppler ultrasonography to predict the outcome of therapy for renal-artery stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344(6):410–417.
- Zeller T, Frank U, Späth M, Roskamm H. [Color duplex ultrasound imaging of renal arteries and detection of haemodynamically relevant renal artery stenosis]. *Ultraschall Med.* 2001;22(3):116–121. German.
- Juillard L, Lerman LO, Kruger DG, et al. Blood oxygen leveldependent measurement of acute intra-renal ischemia. *Kidney Int*. 2004;65(3):944–950.
- Chrysochou C, Mendichovszky IA, Buckley DL, Cheung CM, Jackson A, Kalra PA. BOLD imaging: a potential predictive biomarker of renal functional outcome following revascularization in atheromatous renovascular disease. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2012;27(3):1013–1019.
- 60. Silva JA, Chan AW, White CJ, et al. Elevated brain natriuretic peptide predicts blood pressure response after stent revascularization in patients with renal artery stenosis. *Circulation*. 2005;111(3):328–333.
- Cooper CJ, Haller ST, Colyer W, et al. Embolic protection and platelet inhibition during renal artery stenting. *Circulation*. 2008;117(21):2752–2760.
- Chade AR, Krier JD, Galili O, Lerman A, Lerman LO. Role of renal cortical neovascularization in experimental hypercholesterolemia. *Hypertension*. 2007;50(4):729–736.
- Lévy BI. Microvascular plasticity and experimental heart failure. *Hypertension*. 2006;47(5):827–829.
- Nakagawa T, Lan HY, Zhu HJ, Kang DH, Schreiner GF, Johnson RJ. Differential regulation of VEGF by TGF-beta and hypoxia in rat proximal tubular cells. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2004;287(4):F685–F664.
- Olszewska-Pazdrak B, Hein TW, Olszewska P, Carney DH. Chronic hypoxia attenuates VEGF signaling and angiogenic responses by downregulation of KDR in human endothelial cells. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* 2009;296(5):C1162–C1170.
- Slovut DP, Olin JW. Fibromuscular dysplasia. N Engl J Med. 2004; 350(18):1862–1871.
- Trinquart L, Mounier-Vehier C, Sapoval M, Gagnon N, Plouin PF. Efficacy of revascularization for renal artery stenosis caused by fibromuscular dysplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hypertension*. 2010;56(3):525–532.
- Olin JW, Sealove BA. Diagnosis, management, and future developments of fibromuscular dysplasia. *J Vasc Surg.* 2011;53(3):826–836. e1.
- Plouin PF, Perdu J, La Batide-Alanore A, Boutouyrie P, Gimenez-Roqueplo A-P, Jeunemaitre X. Fibromuscular dysplasia. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2007;2:28.
- Sharma S, Gupta H, Saxena A, et al. Results of renal angioplasty in nonspecific aortoarteritis (Takayasu disease). *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. 1998;9(3):429–435.
- Weaver FA, Kumar SR, Yellin AE, et al. Renal revascularization in Takayasu arteritis-induced renal artery stenosis. *J Vasc Surg*. 2004;39(4):749–757.

International Journal of Nephrology and Renovascular Disease

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nephrology and Renovascular Disease is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal focusing on the pathophysiology of the kidney and vascular supply. Epidemiology, screening, diagnosis, and treatment interventions are covered as well as basic science, biochemical and immunological studies. The journal welcomes original research, clinical studies, reviews & evaluations, expert opinion and commentary, case reports and extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peerreview system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nephrology-and-renovascular-disease-journal