```
1
     1
    2
 1
        TITLE:
     3
     4
5
 2
        Phosphorylation barcodes direct biased chemokine signaling at CXCR3
     6
 3 7
     8
 49
       AUTHORS:
  10
 5^{11}_{12}
        Dylan S. Eiger<sup>1,†</sup>, Jeffrey S. Smith<sup>2-6,†</sup>, Tujin Shi<sup>7</sup>, Tomasz Maciej Stepniewski<sup>8</sup>, Chia-Feng Tsai<sup>7</sup>, Christopher
 6^{13}_{14}
        Honeycutt<sup>9</sup>, Noelia Boldizsar<sup>9</sup>, Julia Gardner<sup>9</sup>, Carrie D. Nicora<sup>7</sup>, Ahmed M. Moghieb<sup>10</sup>, Kouki Kawakami<sup>11</sup>, Issac
 7_{16}^{15}
        Choi<sup>12</sup>, Kevin Zheng<sup>9</sup>, Anmol Warman<sup>9</sup>, Priya Alagesan<sup>1</sup>, Nicole M. Knape<sup>1</sup>, Ouwen Huang<sup>13</sup>, Justin D.
   17
 818 Silverman<sup>14</sup>, Richard D. Smith<sup>7</sup>, Asuka Inoue<sup>11</sup>, Jana Selent<sup>8</sup>, Jon M. Jacobs<sup>7</sup>, Sudarshan Rajagopal<sup>1,11,*,°</sup>
   19
 920
   21
10_{23}^{22} <sup>+</sup> = these authors contributed equally
11_{25}^{24}
        *= corresponding author
12_{27}^{26} ° = lead contact
   28
   29
   30
   31
   32
   33
   34
   35
   36
   37
   38
   39
   40
   41
   42
   43
   44
   45
   46
   47
   48
   49
   50
   51
   52
   53
   54
   55
   56
   57
   58
   59
   60
   61
   62
   63
   64
   65
```

1		١	ĥ	
	4	,		
1	,	1		
			•	

$13 \frac{1}{3}$	AFFILIATIONS: 2
$14 \frac{4}{5}$	¹ Department of Biochemistry, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA
$15 \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 7 \end{array}$	² Department of Dermatology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, 02114, USA
8 16 9	³ Department of Dermatology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115, USA
$10 \\ 17^{11}_{12}$	⁴ Department of Dermatology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, 02215, USA
18_{14}^{12}	⁵ Dermatology Program, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115, USA
19_{16}^{15}	⁶ Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115, USA
17 2018	⁷ Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, 99354, USA
21 ²⁰ 21	⁸ Research Programme on Biomedical Informatics (GRIB), Department of Experimental and Health Sciences of
22 ²² ₂₃	Pompeu Fabra University (UPF)-Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute (IMIM), Barcelona, 08003,
23_{25}^{24}	Spain
26 2427	⁹ Trinity College, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA
2529 30	¹⁰ R&D Research, GlaxoSmithKline, Collegeville, PA, 19426, USA
26 ³¹ ₃₂	¹¹ Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8577, Japan
27^{33}_{34}	¹² Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, NC 27710 USA
³⁵ 28 ₃₆	¹³ Department of Biomedical Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC, 27710, USA
2938 39	¹⁴ College of Information Sciences and Technology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA,
30^{40}_{41}	16802, USA
31_{43}^{42}	
32 ⁴⁴ 32 ⁴⁵	CORRESPONDENCE
46 3347 48	sudarshan.rajagopal@duke.edu
49 50	
51 52	
53 54	
55 56 57	
58 59	
60 61	
62 63	
64 65	

SUMMARY

G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) biased agonism, the activation of some signaling pathways over others, is thought to largely be due to differential receptor phosphorylation, or "phosphorylation barcodes." At 37 9 chemokine receptors, ligands act as "biased agonists" with complex signaling profiles, which contributes to the limited success in pharmacologically targeting these receptors. Here, mass spectrometry-based global 39_{14}^{13} phosphoproteomics revealed that CXCR3 chemokines generate different phosphorylation barcodes associated 40¹⁵₁₆ with differential transducer activation. Chemokine stimulation resulted in distinct changes throughout the kinome in global phosphoproteomic studies. Mutation of CXCR3 phosphosites altered β-arrestin conformation in cellular assays and was confirmed by molecular dynamics simulations. T cells expressing phosphorylation-deficient 43²²₂₃ CXCR3 mutants resulted in agonist- and receptor-specific chemotactic profiles. Our results demonstrate that 44_{25}^{24} CXCR3 chemokines are non-redundant and act as biased agonists through differential encoding of phosphorylation barcodes and lead to distinct physiological processes.

	bioRxiv prepr (which was not	int doi: https://doi.org/1 certified by peer review	0.1101/20 /) is the a ava	023.03.14.532634; thi uthor/funder, who has ilable under aCC-BY-	s version posted granted bioRxiv NC 4.0 Internatio	March 14, 20 a license to onal license.	023. The copyrig display the prepi	ht holder for this pre int in perpetuity. It i	eprint s made
1 46 ²	KEYWORDS:	beta-arrestin.	Gр	protein-coupled	receptor.	biased	aqonism.	chemokine.	4 CXCR3.
$\frac{10}{3}$ 3 47 $\frac{4}{5}$	phosphoprotec	omics, chemotax	s, MAF	P kinase, mass s	spectrometry	, molecul	ar dynamics	s	0/(0/(0)
6 7									
8 9 10									
11 12									
13 14 15									
16 17									
18 19									
20 21									
22 23 24									
25 26									
27 28									
29 30 31									
32									
34 35									
36 37									
30 39 40									
41 42									
43 44									
45 46 47									
48 49									
50 51									
52 53 54									
55 56									
57 58									
59 60 61									
62 63									
64 65									

5

2 INTRODUCTION 3

1

48

49

4 G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) are the most common transmembrane receptors in the human 5 6 genome and the target of approximately one third of all FDA-approved drugs (Hauser et al., 2017). GPCRs elicit 50 7 8 51 9 cellular responses by coupling to heterotrimeric G proteins, recruiting GPCR kinases (GRKs), and binding to β -10 5211 arrestin adaptor proteins (Smith and Rajagopal, 2016). Certain GPCR ligands can promote or inhibit different 12 53¹³₁₄ GPCR conformational states, leading to distinct G protein or β-arrestin signaling outputs; i.e. display "biased 54¹⁵₁₆ agonism". Efforts are underway to design biased agonists that preferentially activate certain signaling pathways 17 5518 to maximize clinical efficacy and reduce off-target effects (Pupo et al., 2016). However, the molecular 19 determinants of biased signaling and the degree to which different ligands can modulate intracellular signaling 21 cascades remain unclear.

Altering intracellular GPCR amino acid residue phosphorylation patterns can lead to different signaling 26 5927 events and is one mechanism for encoding biased agonism (Busillo et al., 2010; Butcher et al., 2011; Dwivedi-28 Agnihotri et al., 2020; Latorraca et al., 2020; Nobles et al., 2011). For example, preventing phosphorylation of 30 certain residues impairs receptor endocytosis but not β-arrestin recruitment (Oakley et al., 1999). Specific GPCR phosphorylation patterns also differentially alter the affinity of GPCR-β-arrestin interactions (Bouzo-Lorenzo et 35 63₃₆ al., 2016; Jung et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2016; Mayer et al., 2019; Sente et al., 2018), GPCR agonists are thought 37 6438 to regulate β -arrestin function by encoding distinct phosphorylation events through selective interaction with 39 different GRKs (Busillo et al., 2010; Butcher et al., 2011; Inagaki et al., 2015; Komolov and Benovic, 2018; Nobles 41 et al., 2011). This "phosphorylation barcode hypothesis" is supported by mutagenesis studies in both cellular 67_{45}^{44} and animal models (Bradley et al., 2020; Kaya et al., 2020; Kliewer et al., 2019; Mann et al., 2020; Marsango et 46 6847 al., 2022; Scarpa et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2017). Biophysical data also support that different C-terminal 48 phosphorylation patterns induce distinct β-arrestin conformational states (Dwivedi-Agnihotri et al., 2020; Lee et 50 al., 2016; Mayer et al., 2019; Nobles et al., 2011; Nuber et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017), and 71⁵³ 54 may expose β -arrestin binding sites for some downstream effectors but not others (Latorraca et al., 2020). 55 7256 However, it is unclear if different C-terminal residues are phosphorylated or if the same residues are 57 phosphorylated at differing stoichiometric ratios, a distinction critical to understanding how GPCR is 59 74⁶⁰ 61 mechanistically encoded. In addition, few studies have identified distinct phosphopeptides or associated changes

2 in phosphorylation barcodes with changes in physiology (Busillo et al., 2010; Butcher et al., 2011; Nobles et al., 2011). There remains limited evidence that specific phosphopeptide patterns promote changes in receptor 6 signaling with downstream physiological effects, and understanding how ligands generate such signaling profiles 7 8 78 9 is critical to understanding cellular signal transduction.

7911 The physiological relevance of endogenous biased signaling can be difficult to assess as the majority of 12 80-13 GPCR biased agonists are synthetic. However, many endogenous biased agonists have been identified in the 14 15 8116 chemokine system (Corbisier et al., 2015; Rajagopal et al., 2013), which consists of approximately 20 receptors 17 8218 and 50 chemokine ligands (Eiger et al., 2021; Griffith et al., 2014; Kufareva et al., 2015). Unlike other GPCR 19 8320 subfamilies, chemokine receptors are promiscuous and often bind multiple chemokines with high affinity (Allen 21 84²² et al., 2007; Zlotnik and Yoshie, 2012). For example, the chemokine receptor CXCR3, primarily expressed on 23 85²⁴₂₅ activated T cells, binds three endogenous ligands, CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11, and plays an important role 26 in inflammatory diseases and cancer (Chow et al., 2019; Kuo et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2018c). Like most other 8627 28 8729 chemokine receptors, CXCR3 signals through both Gai family G proteins and β -arrestins (Colvin et al., 2006; 30 88³¹ Colvin et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2017). CXCL11 is β -arrestin-biased compared to CXCL9 and CXCL10, with each 32 89³³₃₄ chemokine displaying distinct profiles of G protein signaling, β-arrestin recruitment and receptor internalization 35 9036 (Rajagopal et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2022). Synthetic CXCR3 biased agonists have shown distinct physiological 37 9138 effects in a mouse model of allergic contact dermatitis, with a β-arrestin-biased agonist promoting inflammation 39 92^{40} through increased T cell recruitment (Smith et al., 2018a). CXCR3 is well-suited for studying the mechanisms 41 93⁴²₄₃ underlying biased agonism and its physiological impact.

44 9445 It is unclear how receptors with multiple endogenous ligands encode divergent cellular signaling and 46 9547 function. Here we demonstrate that endogenous chemokines of CXCR3 encode unique phosphorylation barcode 48 96⁴⁹ ensembles (different phosphopeptides at different stoichiometries). These differential phosphorylation 50 97⁵¹ ensembles lead to different patterns of transducer and kinome activation with subsequent distinctive chemotactic 52 53 98₅₄ patterns. Through mutagenic studies, we determined that CXCR3 biased signaling is encoded through the 55 9956 receptor core and differential phosphorylation of the receptor C-terminal tail.

101⁶⁰ RESULTS 61

62 63 64

57 10058 59

1

75

76

77

10

7

² CXCR3 chemokines promote different receptor phosphorylation barcode ensembles

4 103 CXCR3 chemokines (CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11) promote different levels of receptor phosphorylation 5 104 (Colvin et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2017). However, it is not known whether this is due to differences of 7 105 9 phosphorylation levels at the same sites or at different sites on the C-terminus, or both. To determine if the 10 106^{11} endogenous CXCR3 chemokines produce different phosphorylation barcode ensembles (different site patterns 12 107^{13}_{14} and levels of those patterns), we utilized state-of-the-art mass spectrometry with combinatorial phosphopeptide 108¹⁵₁₆ reference libraries with heavy isotope labeled reference standards corresponding to potential CXCR3 serine and 17 10918 threonine phosphorylation patterns as previously described (Tsai et al., 2019). This approach allowed us to not 19 11020 only identify but also quantify the relative abundance of specific phosphopeptides after chemokine stimulation. 21 111²² 23 Wild-type human CXCR3-overexpressing HEK293 cells were stimulated with CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11 or 112_{25}^{24} vehicle control, followed by tryptic digestion and tandem mass tag (TMT) labelling, allowing samples to be pooled 11327 and greatly improving measurement precision as well as eliminating variability from batch effects (Figure 1A 2.8 and 1B). After ion metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) enrichment, TMT-labeled peptides were analyzed using 11429 30 115^{31}_{32} liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) for phosphopeptide identification (Figure 116_{34}^{33} 1B). Phosphosites of interest were further validated by targeted proteomics with the addition of a synthetic library 35 117₃₆ of 128 heavy isotope-labeled C-terminal phosphopeptides prior to IMAC enrichment. This enabled us to 37 11838 confidently differentiate and quantify adjacent phosphosites, providing high-resolution insights into the ensemble 119⁴⁰ of receptor phosphopeptides following chemokine stimulation. 41

 120_{43}^{42} We identified several specific phosphopeptides following chemokine treatment (Figure 1C). We detected 44 12145 that every putative serine or threonine phosphorylation site on the RDSSWSETSEASYSGL tryptic peptide could 46 12247 be phosphorylated, although the levels of these phosphopeptides differed depending on chemokine treatment. 48 12349 For example, the abundance of the singly phosphorylated peptide DSSWSETSEASYpSGL (S366) significantly 50 124⁵¹ 52 increased following treatment with CXCL9 but did not change with CXCL10 or CXCL11, providing direct evidence 125_{54}^{53} that the chemokines encode distinct GPCR phosphorylation ensembles (Figure 1D). We additionally detected a 55 12656 decrease in abundance of singly phosphorylated peptides at S355, S356, and T360 following treatment with all 57 12758 chemokines (Figure S1A-S1C), consistent with a loss of some singly phosphorylated peptides following ligand 59 128.00 treatment, as the ensemble of barcodes shift towards those that were multiply phosphorylated. 61

63 64

62

102

8

130 $\frac{4}{5}$ Phosphorylation barcode ensembles direct G protein activation, β-arrestin recruitment, and receptor 131 $\frac{6}{7}$ internalization

To study the effects of different phosphorylation barcode ensembles on cellular signaling, we screened 133¹¹ a variety of phosphorylation-deficient CXCR3 mutants (**Figure S1D-S1G**), either serine/threonine to alanine 134¹³ mutants or truncation mutants, using G protein and β -arrestin assays. Based on this screen, we selected four 135¹⁵ phosphorylation deficient receptors to interrogate in detail (**Figure 1E**). These receptors maintained cell surface 13618 expression similar to wild-type CXCR3 (CXCR3-WT) (**Figure S1H**).

13720 We first employed the TRUPATH bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) assay to assess 21 138_22 G protein activation (Olsen et al., 2020) (Figure 2A). Most C-terminal mutations did not impact CXCR3 G protein 139_{25}^{24} activation, with similar profiles of CXCL11 and CXCL10 and reduced potency and E_{max} of CXCL9, as previously described (Smith et al., 2017) (Figure 2B-2D). We did observe a significant left shift in the EC_{50} of the truncation 14027 28 14129 mutant CXCR3-L344X at CXCL10 and CXCL11, consistent with increased G protein signaling (Figure 2C and 30 142³¹ 2D). When experiments were repeated in β-arrestin-1/2 CRISPR KO cells, CXCR3-WT potency was also left 32 143_{34}^{33} shifted and indistinguishable from the truncation mutant, consistent with CXCR3-L344X increased G protein 35 144₃₆ signaling being due to a loss of β -arrestin-mediated desensitization (Figure 2G). Notably, we observed an 37 14538 approximately 50% decrease in G protein activation at the phosphorylation deficient mutant CXCR3-39 146⁴⁰ S355A/S356A (Figure 2F), which was not due to increased β-arrestin desensitization (Figure S2A-S2D), and 41 147_{43}^{42} was partially rescued using a phosphomimetic mutant, CXCR3-S355D/S356D (Figure S2E-S2G), consistent 148_{45}^{44} with receptor phosphorylation at specific sites impacting G protein activation.

14947 We next examined β -arrestin recruitment (Figure 2H). Consistent with prior work, CXCL11 was 48 15049 significantly more effective in recruiting β-arrestin to CXCR3-WT compared to CXCL9 and CXCL10 (Figure 2I- 151_{52}^{51} K) (Colvin et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2022). All phosphodeficient mutant receptors treated with 152_{54}^{53} CXCL11 demonstrated significantly less recruitment of β-arrestin when compared to CXCR3-WT (Figure 2K). 55 15356 In contrast, few mutant receptors treated with CXCL9 and CXCL10 demonstrated changes in β-arrestin 57 15458 recruitment relative to wild type (Figure 2I-2J). Differential β -arrestin recruitment was observed between 59 155⁶⁰ chemokines at CXCR3-WT, CXCR3-S355A/S356A, and CXCR3-T360A/S361A (Figure S2H-S2L). In contrast, 61 62

63 64 65

46

1

129 ²₃

8

we observed no difference between chemokines in their ability to recruit β-arrestin to receptor mutants lacking the most putative C-terminal phosphorylation sites (CXCR3-4xA and CXCR3-L344X). This is consistent with CXCR3 C-terminal phosphorylation sites being critical for differences in β-arrestin recruitment between the second secon

16011 We next explored the impact of phosphodeficient CXCR3 receptors on β -arrestin function. β -arrestins 12 161_{14}^{13} are known to regulate GPCR endocytosis by interacting with the clathrin adaptor protein AP-2 (Ferguson et al., 162_{16}^{15} 1996; Kim and Benovic, 2002; Laporte et al., 1999). Therefore, we hypothesized that CXCR3 C-terminal 17 16318 mutations would impair receptor internalization. We used confocal microscopy to monitor CXCR3 and β-arrestin 19 16420 localization following chemokine treatment. CXCL11 promoted the translocation of CXCR3-WT and β-arrestin-2 21 165_{23}^{22} to endosomes (Figure 2L and Figure S3A). CXCL10 also promoted CXCR3-WT:β-arrestin puncta, but not to 166_{25}^{24} the magnitude of CXCL11 (Figure S3A). CXCL9 did not promote either CXCR3-WT: β-arrestin puncta or receptor 16727 internalization (Figure S3A). Consistent with our hypothesis, CXCL10 or CXCL11 treatment of phosphorylation-2.8 16829 deficient CXCR3 mutants impaired internalization (Figure 2L and S3B-S3E).

169³¹ 32 To further evaluate and quantify internalization, we utilized a BRET-based assay to measure receptor 170_{34}^{33} trafficking to early endosomes (Figure 2M). CXCL9 treatment did not induce significant CXCR3-WT endosomal 35 17136 trafficking (Figure 2N). While CXCL10 promoted receptor internalization, none of the phosphorylation-deficient 37 17238 mutants internalized after CXCL10 treatment. In contrast, CXCL11 treatment led to a different endosomal 39 173^{40}_{41} trafficking pattern at mutant receptors, with CXCR3-S355A/S356A, -T360A/S361A, and -4xA internalizing~50% 174_{43}^{42} of the level of CXCR3-WT, while CXCR3-L344X did not internalize at all. We confirmed these findings with an 175_{45}^{44} orthogonal BRET assay to assess CXCR3 trafficking away from the plasma membrane following chemokine 46 17647 treatment (Figure S3F-S3G). These results are consistent with ligand- and receptor-specific effects on 48 17749 internalization: while removing selected phosphosites is sufficient to seemingly eliminate CXCL10-mediated 50 178_{52}^{51} internalization, removal is not sufficient to completely inhibit CXCL11-mediated internalization. In contrast, the 179_{54}^{53} receptor C-terminus is required for receptor internalization with CXCL10 and CXCL11, despite partial β-arrestin 55 18056 recruitment to the CXCR3-L344X mutant.

18158 59

57

10

30

 182_{61}^{60} GRK2 and GRK3 are differentially recruited to CXCR3 following ligand stimulation

63 64

62

2 183 We next investigated the kinases critical to differential CXCR3 phosphorylation barcode ensembles. 3 184 While multiple kinases have been identified that phosphorylate GPCRs, the GRKs are established to be the 185 primary drivers of GPCR phosphorylation (Gurevich and Gurevich, 2019; Komolov and Benovic, 2018; Tobin, 7 8 2008). There are seven identified GRK isoforms, of which GRK2, 3, 5, and 6 are ubiquitously expressed in 186 9 10 187^{11} mammalian tissues (Ribas et al., 2007). Because CXCR3 is primarily expressed on leukocytes, we investigated 12 188_{14}^{13} GRKs 2, 3, 5 and 6 recruitment to CXCR3 following chemokine treatment using a previously validated nanoBiT 189¹⁵ 16 complementation assay (Inoue et al., 2019). We observed that GRK2 and GRK3 were recruited to all CXCR3 17 19018 mutant receptors following chemokine treatment with similar kinetic profiles (Figure 3A-3F). In contrast, we did 19 19120 not observe appreciable recruitment of GRK5 or GRK6 to CXCR3-WT or mutant receptors, and confirmed it was 21 192²² not due to competition between GRK isoforms by demonstrating a lack of GRK5 and 6 recruitment in GRK2/3/5/6 23 193_{25}^{24} knock out (KO) cells (Pandey et al., 2021a) (Figure S4).

26 19427 At CXCR3-WT, CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 demonstrated similar maximal recruitment of GRK2 and 28 19529 GRK3 (Figure S5A and Figure S5L). The effects of CXCR3 C-terminal mutations were variable (Figure S5B-E 30 196^{31}_{32} and S5M-P), with effects that were both chemokine- and receptor-dependent. At CXCR3-L344X, GRK2 and 197_{34}^{33} GRK3 recruitment was largely preserved with CXCL9 stimulation, but significantly reduced with CXCL10 or 35 198₃₆ CXCL11 treatment (Figure 3A-3C). Surprisingly, two phosphodeficient mutants enhanced GRK recruitment to 37 19938 the receptor. To investigate this, we generated the phosphomimetic mutants CXCR3-T360D/S361D and CXCR3-39 200^{40} 4xD and found that they displayed decreased recruitment of GRK2 and GRK3, similar to that of CXCR3-WT 41 201_{43}^{42} (Figure S5F-S5K and S5Q-S5V). These results suggest that basal phosphorylation of specific residues in the 44 202_{45} C-terminus inhibit GRK recruitment. Together, these experiments demonstrate that GRK recruitment depends 46 20347 on both the specific C-terminal residue as well as the ligand used to activate the receptor.

1

$_{52}^{51}$ β-arrestin conformation is dependent on ligand identity and receptor phosphorylation status

We next investigated how chemokines modulate β-arrestin conformation. Previous work has shown that 206_{54}^{55} 36_{57}^{56} β-arrestins adopt multiple conformational states when engaged with the receptor core and C-terminus, and that 208_{57}^{56} these different states are important for β-arrestin-dependent signaling (Dwivedi-Agnihotri *et al.*, 2020; Gurevich and Gurevich, 2004; He et al., 2021; Latorraca *et al.*, 2020; Shukla et al., 2008; Xiao et al., 2004). We used a 62_{57}^{50}

11

210 2 previously validated intramolecular fluorescent arsenical hairpin (FIAsH) BRET assay to assess β-arrestin 211 conformation (Figure 3G and 3H) (Lee et al., 2016) at all five mutant CXCR3 receptors treated with CXCL9. 212 7 CXC10, or CXCL11. Data are presented as radar plots, enabling simultaneous visualization of all FIAsH 8 biosensors at each receptor:ligand combination (Figure 3I-3P, conformation heat maps and bar charts 213 9 10 214^{11} corresponding to FIAsH signals are shown in Figure S6A-S6F, S6G). At CXCR3-WT, we found that CXCL9 did 12 215_{14}^{13} not induce a significant conformational change compared to vehicle, while both CXCL10 and CXCL11 promoted 216_{16}^{15} significant changes in the β-arrestin C-domain (FIAsH 4.5) and C-terminus (FIAsH 6) (Figure S6G). Minimal 17 217_{18} conformational changes were noted in the N-domain of β -arrestin (FIAsH 1.2). 19

21820 Analyzing conformational signatures by chemokine, phosphorylation-deficient mutants had no significant 21 219²² effect on β-arrestin conformational signatures following treatment with CXCL9 (Figure 3I) but had significant 23 220_{25}^{24} effects on the conformations when stimulated with CXCL10 and CXCL11 (Figure 3J and 3K). Analyzing 26 22127 conformational signatures by mutant, CXCR3-S355A/S356A abolished all chemokine-specific β-arrestin 28 22229 conformational signatures (Figure 3M). In contrast, CXCR3-T360A/S361A (Figure 3N) promoted a β-arrestin-2 30 223³¹ conformational signature nearly identical to CXCR3-WT (Figure 3L). CXCR3-4xA had decreased conformational 32 224_{34}^{33} changes in the β-arrestin C-domain (FIAsH 4 and 5) compared to CXCR3-WT, but with preserved conformational ³⁵ 225₃₆ changes at the C-terminus (FIAsH 6) (Figure 30). At CXCR3-L344X, nearly all conformational differences 37 22638 between chemokines were lost, with only small differences observed in the β-arrestin C-terminus between 39 227⁴⁰ chemokines (FIAsH 6) (Figure 3P). These data suggest that, even in the absence of a C-terminus, the 41 228_{43}^{42} chemokines are still able to promote distinct β-arrestin-2 conformational signatures through the receptor core 44 22945 (**Figure S6G**). Phosphorylation sites in the C-terminus play a central role in determining β -arrestin 2 46 23047 conformation, with sites such as S355 and S356 being critical for biased G protein activation, β-arrestin 48 23149 recruitment, and β-arrestin conformation. 50

These data further show that the conformational status of the N-domain (FIAsH 1,2) depends on the identity of the chemokine and receptor, but that these effects are largely independent of each other (**Figure S6A** and **S6B**). However, the totality of conformational data demonstrates that the conformational signature of the Cdomain (FIAsH 4 and 5) and C-terminus (FIAsH 6) of β-arrestin is distinctively dependent on the combination, rather than additive effects of chemokine identity and CXCR3 phosphorylation status (**Figure S6D-S6F**).

12

238 $\frac{1}{5}$ Molecular Dynamic Studies of β-arrestin

237²

17

To better characterize the conformational changes of β -arrestin observed using FIAsH probes, we performed structural modeling and computer simulation. The exact location of probes 1-3 in the N-domain and probes 4-5 in the structured beta-sheets of the C-domain are highlighted in our structural model of β -arrestin-2 times 4-5 in the structured beta-sheets of the C-domain are highlighted in our structural model of β -arrestin-2 fused to RLuc (Figure S7). As probe 6 is located within the distal C-tail, a highly flexible region which currently has not been crystallized, it is absent from our structural model and further analysis.

24418 According to the BRET data, the signal from probes 1-3 (located in the N-domain) was similar in the 19 24520 presence of different chemokines (Figure 3I-3K) or C-tail mutations (Figure 3L-3P). This suggests that the 21 246²²₂₃ relative position of the N-domain and RLuc to each other do not significantly change in those conditions. In 247_{25}^{24} contrast, we observed that probes 4 and 5 located in the C-domain are sensitive to different chemokines and C-2.6 24827 tail mutations. This indicates that structural changes induced in β -arrestin 2 by the receptor and the type of 28 24929 chemokine ligand result in a significant positional change of the C-domain with respect to the RLuc-fused N-30 250³¹ domain. Such observed conformational changes are likely the result of receptor-induced activation of β-arrestin 32 251_{34}^{33} 2. Interestingly, previous studies have highlighted that this activation of arrestin is linked to a twist of the C-³⁵ 252₃₆ terminal domain in respect to the N-domain (Chen et al., 2017; Dwivedi-Agnihotri et al., 2020; Latorraca et al., 37 25338 2018; Shukla et al., 2013). This transition can be guantified using the interdomain rotation angle, with higher 39 254⁴⁰ values of this angle being linked to more active-like conformations of β -arrestin and vice versa (Figure S7). 41

 255_{43}^{42} To investigate whether the interdomain twist correlates with the distance between probes 4 or 5 and the 44 256_{45} RLuc anchor point (Arg8), we monitored both descriptors in β -arrestin simulations starting from an active-like 46 25747 conformation (Figure 4A). As we did not include either the receptor or a C-tail in the system, such a setup allows 48 25849 β-arrestin to spontaneously inactivate (Latorraca et al., 2018) and to sample interdomain rotation angles from 20 50 259^{51}_{52} (active-like state) to 0 degrees (inactive-like state). Importantly, our simulations confirm that there is indeed a 53 correlation between the RLuc-probe 4/5 distances and the interdomain rotation angles (R=0.54 for probe 4 and 260_{54} 55 26156 R=0.65 for probe 5). This suggests that these probes are sensitive to the activation state of β -arrestin 2. In 57 26258 contrast, the distances for probes 1-3 in the N-domain did not show any correlation with the value of the 59 263 interdomain twist. 61

63 64 65

2 264 To further verify this finding, we simulated β -arrestin 2 in complex with each of the studied CXCR3 C-tail 265 variants (Figure 4B) and monitored their interdomain rotation angles (Figure 4C). We found that the WT samples 266 7 primarily conformations with a rotation angle of 14°. A similar ensemble of conformations was also observed for the T360A/S361A mutant (peak 11°). Interestingly, the 4xA mutant showed a reduction in rotation angle whereas 267 9 10 26811 the strongest shift towards low rotation angles was found for the S355A/S356A and L344X mutants (peaks at 6° 12 269^{13}_{14} and 4°). The order of adopted rotation angles is consistent with the magnitude of BRET signal for FIAsH probes 270¹⁵ 16 4 and 5, demonstrating that these probes are useful tools to approximate β -arrestin activation.

27118 B-arrestin structural dynamics provides a potential explanation for the induced conformational differences 19 272²⁰ by specific C-tail mutants. We found that in the WT receptor, two negatively charged residues present in the C-21 273^{22}_{23} tail (phosphorylated S358 and E359) form a bifurcated interaction with the positively charged residue K295 274_{25}^{24} located in the lariat loop of β -arrestin 2 (**Figure 4B**, blue region). We observed that in systems which explore 26 27527 more active-like conformations (e.g., WT and T360A/S361A), there were, on average, more interactions between 28 27629 the lariat loop and the C-tail in comparison to systems that explored more inactive-like states (e.g., 4xA, 30 277³¹ S355A/S356A, L344X) (Figure 4C). Importantly, these findings are consistent with previous studies that have 32 278_{34}^{33} demonstrated that polar interactions of the C-tail with the lariat loop are functionally important (Baidya et al., 35 279_{36}° 2020) and promote active-like conformations of β -arrestin (Dwivedi-Agnihotri et al., 2020).

281_{40}^{39} Global LC-MS proteomic and phosphoproteomic analyses reveal substantial variation in intracellular 282_{42}^{41} signaling between CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXC11

 283_{44}^{43} To further understand the breadth of intracellular signaling promoted by CXCR3 chemokines, we 45 28446 interrogated the global proteome of HEK293 cells treated with CXCL9, CXCL10, or CXCL11 (Figure S8A). We 47 28548 successfully identified over 150,000 total peptides corresponding to approximately 11,000 proteins. Of these 49 286_{51}^{50} peptides, approximately 30,000 were also identified as phosphopeptides corresponding to approximately 5,500 287_{53}^{52} unique phosphoproteins (Figure S8B and S8C). The majority of identified phosphosites were phosphoserines 54 28855 and phosphothreonines, with a high degree of reproducibility across replicates (Figure S8D and S8E). We 56 28957 identified approximately 1,500 phosphopeptides that underwent significant changes in abundance following 58 290⁵⁹ chemokine treatment (Figure 5A). We then performed a clustering analysis of those phosphopeptides to uncover 60 291⁶¹ 62 coregulated signaling pathways (Rigbolt et al., 2011) (Figure S8F). Certain signaling pathway clusters were

63 64 65

17

14

2 292 similar between the three chemokines, while other clusters demonstrated significant differences between 293 treatments (Figure 5B). Gene ontology term enrichment was performed on the significantly divergent 5 294 7 phosphopeptides to assess the biological processes, molecular functions, and cellular compartments regulated 8 295 9 by CXCR3 (Figure 5C-5E). These analyses reveal differential regulation of cellular transcription, post-10 296^{11} translational modifications, cytoskeletal rearrangements, and cellular migration (among others) between 12 297^{13}_{14} chemokines. Additionally, the nucleus, cytoplasm, cytoskeleton, and cell-cell junctions were the most identified 298¹⁵ 298¹⁶ cellular compartments found in our gene ontology analysis. These data also show that CXCR3 chemokines do 17 29918 not signal in a purely redundant manner and display a striking degree of heterogeneity across signaling pathways 19 30020 associated with multiple cellular functions and compartments. 21

302_{25}^{24} Biased CXCR3 phosphorylation serves as a mechanism underlying differential regulation of the kinome

26 30327 We next investigated the kinases responsible for generating chemokine-specific phosphorylation-28 dependent signaling networks. Kinase enrichment analyses (Lachmann and Ma'ayan, 2009) revealed that our 30429 30 305,31 dataset was largely enriched for phosphopeptides substrates targeted by cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs) and 32 306_{34}^{33} mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) (Figure 5F). We next used Modification Motifs, a motif-based 35 30736 sequence analysis tool (Bailey et al., 2006; Cheng et al., 2019), to identify enriched amino acid motifs flanking 37 30838 the phosphoserines and phosphothreonines that were differentially regulated in our dataset. Four major 39 309⁴⁰ consensus sequences were identified: pS/pT-P which is a conserved target sequence of CDKs and MAPKs, R-41 310_{43}^{42} X-X-pS/pT which is targeted by protein kinase B (Akt), pS/pT-L, and pS/pT-X-X-E which is targeted by casein 311⁴⁴ 311₄₅ kinase 2 (Figure 5G). These findings are consistent with previous studies demonstrating that CXCR3 activates 46 31247 the MAPK extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK) and Akt, among others (Bonacchi et al., 2001; Smith et al., 48 31349 2018a), but also reveal unexplored CXCR3 signaling networks.

 314_{52}^{51} Next, we manually identified differentially phosphorylated kinases in our global phosphoproteomic data 53 315₅₄ that are known to be regulated by GPCRs, or that were identified in bioinformatics analyses (Figure 6A-6F). The 55 31656 MAPKs ERK1, RAF1 and JNK, as well as SRC kinase family were phosphorylated in a chemokine-specific 57 31758 pattern, whereas BRAF and CSNK2 demonstrated similar phosphorylation patterns across CXCL9, CXCL10, 59 31860 and CXCL11. To understand if this biased regulation of the kinome is regulated by CXCR3 receptor 61 62

64 65

63

50

301²² 23

2 319 phosphorylation, we studied ERK1/2 phosphorylation following chemokine treatment of cells expressing either 320 CXCR3-WT or a phosphodeficient CXCR3 mutant (Figure 6G-6J). At CXCR3-WT, we saw a significant increase 321 7 in phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pERK), consistent with our mass spectrometry results (Figure S9A). At 5 minutes, 8 322 9 we observed a maximum increase in pERK levels over CXCR3-WT at CXCR3-4xA and CXCR3-L344X when 10 32311 stimulated with CXCL10 and CXCL11, but not CXCL9. At 30 and 60 minutes, pERK levels declined, consistent 12 324¹³ 14 with prior observations at other GPCRs (Luttrell et al., 2018). This differential phosphorylation of ERK1/2 by the 325¹⁵₁₆ three chemokines was observed at all mutant CXCR3 receptors, including CXCR3-L344X (Figure S9). 17

327²⁰ T cell chemotaxis is regulated by biased CXCR3 phosphorylation barcode ensembles 21

328²² 23 We last investigated if the biased chemokine signaling pathways observed in HEK293 cells impact 329²⁴ 25 physiologically relevant cellular functions. Given that CXCR3 plays a central role in T cell function, we 2.6 33027 interrogated the effect of CXCR3 phosphorylation barcodes on T cell chemotaxis. We first used CRISPR/Cas9 28 33129 to knock out the endogenous CXCR3 in Jurkat cells (an immortalized human T lymphocyte cell line), generating 30 332³¹ CXCR3 knockout (CXCR3-KO) Jurkats. We rescued CXCR3 receptors of interest (WT and mutants) with 32 333³³ 34 lentiviral constructs to generate stably expressing CXCR3+ Jurkat cell lines (Figure 7A). We confirmed similar 35 33436 receptor expression levels between WT and mutant CXCR3+ Jurkat lines (Figure 7B).

33538 We then performed chemotaxis assays with these cell lines. Due to the promiscuous nature of the 39 336⁴⁰ chemokine system, we first confirmed that CXCR3-KO Jurkats exhibit no measurable chemotaxis compared to 41 337_{43}^{42} vehicle treatment (Figure 7C), demonstrating that the observed chemotactic response is mediated by CXCR3 44 33845 and not by other chemokine receptors. CXCR3+ Jurkat cells migrated with different chemotactic indices to 46 33947 CXCL9, CXCL10, or CXCL11, consistent with a biased response across chemokines. Statistically, there were 48 34049 effects induced both by ligand and by receptor (Figure S10A-S10F). We observed a slight but significant 50 341⁵¹ 52 decrease in chemotactic function at CXCR3-S355A/S356A and CXCR3-T360A/S361A with CXCL11, but not 53 342_{54} with CXCL9 nor CXCL10. Conversely, we observed a significant increase in chemotaxis with CXCL11 at CXCR3-55 34356 4xA and CXCR3-L344X, although with different patterns. While chemotaxis at CXCR3-4xA displayed the same 34458 pattern by chemokine as CXCR3-WT (CXCL11 > CXCL9 > CXCL10), chemotaxis at CXCR3-L344X displayed 59

60 61

32618 19

- 62 63
- 64 65

 2_3 only minor differences between all chemokines, although all displayed significantly more chemotaxis than at 4_5 CXCR3-WT.

6

7

345

346

348 9 Associating T cell chemotaxis with transducer efficacy

34911 The biased pattern of chemotaxis at all receptors except L344X was different than that observed at 12 350^{13}_{14} proximal GPCR signaling assays, i.e., G protein activation and β-arrestin recruitment. To ascertain if G protein 351¹⁵ 351 or β-arrestin signaling was directly related to chemotactic function, we performed univariate linear regressions 17 on these data and found no significant linear relationship between G protein or β-arrestin signaling efficacy and 35218 19 35320 chemotactic function (Figure S10G-S10H). We then performed a principal component analysis of G protein 21 354²²₂₃ signaling and β-arrestin signaling versus chemotactic function and similarly found no obvious clustering of data 355_{25}^{24} by ligand or receptor (Figure 7D). A univariate linear regression of MAPK activation versus chemotactic function 2.6 35627 did demonstrate a significant positive linear relationship (Figure S10I). We then performed a second principal 28 35729 component analysis of all major assays conducted in this study and were able to demonstrate clustering of the 30 358³¹ 32 chemokines at CXCR3-L344X (Figure 7E). These analyses demonstrated that G protein and β-arrestin signaling 359_{34}^{33} alone or together do not comprehensively describe the observed variance in our functional assays. Further 35 36036 addition of other signaling data (GRK recruitment, FIAsH conformational assays) moderately enhanced our ability 37 36138 to describe the variance in cellular chemotaxis, however, only at CXCR3-L344X. For receptors with a C-terminal 362^{40}_{41} tail, their chemotactic profiles did not cluster after PCA analysis, consistent with the C-terminus contributing to a 363_{43}^{42} biased response even when differences in transducer coupling are accounted for. Together, these data support 364₄₅ a working model in which biased chemokines promote bias through the receptor core and through different 46 36547 CXCR3 phosphorylation barcode ensembles that regulate both proximal and distal aspects of GPCR signaling 48 36649 that impact T cell chemotaxis in a complex fashion (Figure 7F). 50

368⁵³₅₄ DISCUSSION

Here we report how different chemokines for the same receptor direct distinct signaling pathways. We conclusively demonstrate that the endogenous chemokines of CXCR3 have biased patterns of signaling and are nonredundant in their activation of different intracellular kinase cascades and chemotactic profiles. Signal

63

 367_{52}^{51}

2 372 initiation through G proteins and β-arrestins, well-conserved effectors across the GPCR superfamily, is directed 4 373 by CXCR3 C-terminus phosphorylation, whereby the different chemokines encode distinct phosphorylation 374 7 ensembles. Disrupting discrete CXCR3 phosphorylation patterns interfered with signaling downstream of certain 8 375 9 CXCR3 chemokines, but not others, depending on the phosphorylation site. Disrupting certain phosphosites also 10 37611 altered T cell function as assessed by chemotaxis, and this complex physiological output could not be entirely 12 377^{13}_{14} defined by the activity of proximal G protein or β -arrestin transducers alone.

378¹⁵ 16 Using multiple high-resolution mass spectrometry approaches, we found that different chemokines 17 37918 promoted different CXCR3 phosphorylation barcode ensembles. Limitations of mass spectrometry-based 19 38020 approaches in studying the phosphorylation of transmembrane receptors include their relative low abundance, 21 381²² 23 difficulty in isolation, and sample handling demands. To overcome these challenges, we incorporated and 382_{25}^{24} validated a combinatorial phosphopeptide library with heavy isotope-labeled reference standards (Tsai et al., 38327 2019), allowing us to simultaneously analyze wild-type, untagged CXCR3 under different chemokine treatment 2.8 38429 conditions. We found that perturbations in specific phosphorylation patterns impact proximal and distal aspects 30 385³¹ of GPCR signaling, as well as chemotaxis. At GPCRs more broadly, there is limited work investigating the 32 386_{34}^{33} phosphorylation patterns generated by endogenous ligands (Busillo et al., 2010), as most studies have relied on 35 38736 synthetic ligands (Butcher et al., 2011; Miess et al., 2018; Nobles et al., 2011). In addition, there is a desire to 37 38838 develop biased therapeutics which preferentially activate signaling pathways to increase therapeutic efficacy 39 389⁴⁰ while simultaneously decreasing side effects, and our findings could provide an initial methodology to screen 41 390_{43}^{42} ligands for a desired physiological output. Our results demonstrate that the GPCR C-terminus is critically 391⁴⁴ 391₄₅ important in the regulation of proximal signaling effectors, and that the final cellular phenotype is dependent on 46 39247 the integration of multiple signaling pathways downstream of these interactions.

393⁴⁹ We found that both the receptor core and distinct phosphorylation patterns in the tail contribute to the 50 394⁵¹ 52 allosteric regulation of β-arrestin conformation. β-arrestins can engage GPCRs through independent interactions 395⁵³ 54 with the receptor core and C-terminus (Cahill et al., 2017; Eichel et al., 2018; Kahsai et al., 2018; Latorraca et 55 39656 al., 2018). We found that all chemokine agonists similarly recruited β -arrestin to the receptor core in the absence 57 39758 of a C-terminus but maintained the ability to promote different β -arrestin conformations. Additionally, although β -59 398⁶⁰ arrestin-2 could still recruit to CXCR3-L344X, the receptor did not internalize, highlighting the importance of the 61 62

63 64

48

 399_{3}^{2} β-arrestin-2 interaction with the receptor C-terminus in promoting receptor internalization as previously described 400_{5}^{4} (Cahill et al., 2017). Our findings agree with recent studies demonstrating that not all phosphorylation sites on a 401_{7}^{6} GPCR C-terminus impact β-arrestin recruitment and function (Dwivedi-Agnihotri et al., 2020; Latorraca et al., 402_{9}^{8} 2020). PCA analysis of signaling and chemotaxis data support a model in which chemokines promote bias 403_{12}^{11} through both the receptor core and CXCR3 phosphorylation barcode ensembles that regulate both proximal and 404_{14}^{13} distal aspects of GPCR signaling.

405¹⁵₁₆ While previous studies demonstrated that certain C-terminal phosphorylation sites are involved in β-17 40618 arrestin conformation, many of these studies have been limited to *in vitro* and *in silico* methods (Sente et al., 19 407^{20} 2018; Zhou et al., 2017). Here, we demonstrate in a cellular context that the β -arrestin conformation formed at a 21 408²²₂₃ GPCR is dependent on the specific combination of both the ligand and the receptor phosphorylation pattern. 409_{25}^{24} Importantly, the conformational diversity seen in the C-domain and C-terminus of β-arrestin cannot be explained 26 410_{27} simply through the additive effects of ligand and receptor identity. Rather, the unique interaction between the 28 41129 ligand and receptor phosphorylation pattern ultimately promotes β-arrestin to adopt a specific ensemble of 30 412³¹ conformations, highlighting the complex structural diversity a single GPCR can impose upon proximal effector 32 413³³₃₄ proteins like β-arrestin. Modeling and molecular dynamics simulations suggest that β-arrestin conformations vary 35 414_{36} in the degree of interdomain rotation between the N- and C-domains. This motion has been previously described 37 415³⁸ to be a crucial step in β -arrestin activation (Latorraca *et al.*, 2018). Our results show that certain chemokines and 39 416⁴⁰ C-tail mutants shift the conformational equilibrium of β-arrestin towards active-like conformations. Furthermore, 41 417_{43}^{42} a more detailed analysis suggests that a specific pattern of interaction of the receptor C-tail with the lariat loop 44 41845 region of β -arrestin contributes towards this transition.

41947 This work also provides a comprehensive assessment of the roles biased agonists and receptor 48 42049 phosphorylation serve in directing downstream signaling. Not only did we observed that chemokines induce 50 421^{51}_{52} distinct phosphoproteomic signaling profiles through a single receptor, but we also demonstrate how specific 53 422_{54}^{33} changes in CXCR3 phosphorylation barcodes impact the biased regulation of the phosphoproteome and MAPK 55 42356 signaling. We identified a relationship between MAPK activation and chemotactic function, even though these 57 42458 assays were performed in different cell types, consistent with previous studies (Shahabuddin et al., 2006; Sun 59 425⁶⁰ et al., 2002). Our data suggests that a systems-level approach integrating upstream and downstream signaling 61

63 64 65

62

19

2 426 effectors will be critical to the development of novel therapeutics with a desired phenotype, rather than an 427 approach that relies solely on specific proximal transducers. Because protein-protein interfaces are frequent 5 428 7 pharmacologic targets and commonly regulated via phosphorylation, this investigative framework extends to 8 429 9 many other domains of pharmacology and cellular signaling (Stevers et al., 2018; Watanabe and Osada, 2012). 10 43011 Therefore, this study has important implications in understanding the pluridimensional efficacy of the chemokine 12 431_{14}^{13} system, the GPCR superfamily, and all receptors more broadly.

432¹⁵ 432¹⁶ Our findings prompt many avenues for future study. Importantly, there are technical limitations that must 17 43318 be overcome to better determine the abundance of highly phosphorylated C-terminal peptides. Accurate 19 43420 determination of the stoichiometry of physiologically relevant phosphorylation barcodes is critical to 21 435^{22}_{23} understanding how these ensembles direct GPCR effector function under native conditions. Additionally, further 436_{25}^{24} work is needed to elucidate the detailed mechanism underlying the generation of these barcode ensembles -26 43727 while we provide evidence demonstrating biased interactions of GRKs with CXCR3, it remains unclear how these 28 43829 ligands target the GRKs and other kinases to specific locations within the C-terminus and receptor core. Notably, 30 439³¹ there is heterogenous expression of the GRKs and other kinases throughout the human body; therefore, it is 32 440_{34}^{33} pertinent to understand how receptor phosphorylation may change depending on the effectors present to interact 35 441_{36} with a GPCR (Sato et al., 2015). Also, while there is evidence of specific signaling cascades directly dependent 37 442³⁸ on G protein or β-arrestin activation, more complex cellular phenotypes are likely dependent on the combination 39 443⁴⁰ of these and other GPCR signaling partners. For example, there is burgeoning evidence of GPCR signaling 41 444_{43}^{42} pathways that extend beyond that canonical G protein versus β-arrestin paradigm, specifically, those that 44 44545 integrate these pathways together (Smith et al., 2021). Using systems-level approaches to characterize these 46 44647 processes will be critical to understanding the coordination of signaling through different GPCR transducers. 48

447⁴⁹ While it was a long-held belief that signaling in the chemokine system was redundant (Mantovani, 1999). 50 448⁵¹ 52 we conclusively demonstrate that signaling through the three endogenous chemokine agonists of CXCR3, 53 449₅₄ CXCL9, CXC10, and CXCL11 is not redundant. These three chemokines (1) encode distinct receptor 55 45056 phosphorylation patterns, (2) promote strikingly divergent signaling profiles as assessed by ~30,000 57 45158 phosphopeptides corresponding to ~5,500 unique phosphoproteins, and (3) promote distinct phosphosite-59 452⁶⁰ dependent physiological effects as assessed by chemotaxis. We have previously shown in a mouse model of 61

63 64 65

1

453 ² 3	contact hypersensitivity that a β -arrestin-biased CXCR3 agonist can increase inflammation whereas a G protein-
454 ⁴ ₅	biased CXCR3 agonist did not (Smith et al., 2018a), further supporting the physiological relevance of biased
455 7	signaling at CXCR3. Additionally, T cells derived from β -arrestin-2 KO mice demonstrate impaired chemotactic
456 9	response in the presence of either a β -arrestin-biased or G protein biased CXCR3 agonist (Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2018a).
457^{11}_{12}	Taken as a whole, our findings suggest that cellular functions such chemotaxis are not merely encoded by the
458_{14}^{13}	amount of β -arrestin recruited to the receptor, rather, it is influenced by specific β -arrestin conformations induced
459 ¹⁵	by a receptor (Ge et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2015). The non-redundant nature of chemokine
46018	signaling at CXCR3 likely applies to the remainder of the chemokine system, although further work is necessary
461 ²⁰ 21	to confirm this hypothesis.
22 23	
24	
25	
27	
29 30	
31	
32 33	
34 35	
36	
37 38	
39 40	
40	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
47 48	
49	
50 51	
52 53	
54	
55 56	
57 58	
59	
60 61	
62 62	
64	
65	

21

2 462 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

463

We thank R.J. Lefkowitz (Duke University, USA) for guidance, mentorship, and thoughtful feedback throughout 5 this work; N. Nazo for laboratory assistance; R. Shammas for assistance with FIAsH experiments. Funding: This 464 7 465 9 work was supported by T32GM007171 (D.S.E.), the Duke Medical Scientist Training Program (D.S.E.), AHA 10 466^{11} 20PRE35120592 (D.S.E.), 1R01GM122798 (S.R.), K08HL114643 (S.R.), Burroughs Wellcome Career Award 12 467^{13}_{14} for Medical Scientists (S.R.), National Center of Science, Poland - 2017/27/N/NZ2/02571 (T.M.S), 468_{16}^{15} P41GM103493 (R.D.S.), 1R01GM139858 (T.S.). A.I. was funded by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science 17 46918 (JSPS) KAKENHI grants 21H04791, 21H05113, JPJSBP120213501 and JPJSBP120218801; FOREST 19 470^{20} Program JPMJFR215T and JST Moonshot Research and Development Program JPMJMS2023 from Japan 21 471^{22}_{23} Science and Technology Agency (JST); The Uehara Memorial Foundation; and Daiichi Sankyo Foundation of 472_{25}^{24} Life Science. Work was performed in the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, a U. S. Department of 2.6 47327 Energy Office of Biological and Environmental Research national scientific user facility located at Pacific 28 47429 Northwest National Laboratory in Richland, Washington. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated by 30 475³¹ Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-76RLO 1830. 32

33 476₃₄

37

50

55 48656 57

477₃₆ AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

47838 Conceptualization, D.S.E., J.S.S., and S.R; Methodology, D.S.E., J.S.S., J.M.J, R.D.S., S.R., T.M.S., and J.D.S.; 39 479⁴⁰ Investigation, D.S.E., J.S.S., C.H., N.B., J.G., T.S., C.T., N.K., C.D.N., A.M.M., T.M.S, K.K., I.C., K.Z., A.W., 41 480_{43}^{42} P.A., N.M.K., O.H.; Resources, K.K., A.I.; Writing - Original Draft, D.S.E., J.S.S., and S.R.; Writing – Reviewing 44 48145 & Editing: D.S.E., J.S.S., and S.R, Visualization: D.S.E., J.S.S., and S.R; Supervision and Funding Acquisition, 46 48247 S.R. 48 48349

484_{52}^{51} **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

53 48554 The authors declare no competing interests.

48758 INCLUSION AND DIVERSITY

	available under aCC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.
$488 \stackrel{1}{\stackrel{2}{\scriptstyle 2}}$	22 One or more of the authors of this paper self-identifies as an underrepresented ethnic minority in science. While
489 ⁴ ₅	citing references scientifically relevant for this work, we also actively worked to promote gender balance in our
6 490 7	references list.
8 9	
10 11	
12	
14 15	
16 17	
18 19	
20 21 22	
22 23 24	
24 25 26	
27 28	
29 30	
31 32	
33 34	
35 36	
37 38	
39 40	
41 42	
43 44	
45 46	
47	
49 50 51	
52 53	
54 55	
56 57	
58 59	
60 61	
62 63	
64 65	

23

$491 \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3 \end{array}$ MAIN FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

 492^{+}_{-5}

8

28 50329 30

$493 \begin{array}{c} 6\\ 7 \end{array}$ Figure 1: Detection of CXCR3 C-terminal phosphopeptides using mass spectrometry

494 9 (A) Snake diagram of CXCR3 highlighting green putative C-terminal phosphorylation sites (S, T, and Y). (B) 10 49511 Schematic of experimental design of receptor phosphoproteomics experiment. (C) Singly, doubly, and triply 12 496_{14}^{13} phosphorylated CXCR3 C-terminal peptides identified through mass spectrometry. Identified phosphopeptides 497¹⁵ 16 are noted in red. (D) Abundance of singly phosphorylated DSSWSETSEASYpSGL peptide measured in HEK293 17 49818 cells following stimulation with vehicle control or CXCL9, CXCL10, or CXCL11 at 100 nM for 5 minutes. Mean \pm 19 49920 SEM, n=2 technical replicates of 6 pooled biological replicates. (E) Diagram of designed CXCR3 21 50022 phosphorylation-deficient receptor mutants of interest. *P<.05, by one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post hoc analysis. 23 501²⁴₂₅ See S1 for additional mass spectrometry data and signaling and expression data of CXCR3 phosphorylation 26 502₂₇ deficient mutants.

504_{32}^{31} Figure 2: G protein dissociation, β-arrestin-2 recruitment, and receptor internalization of CXCR3 and 505_{34}^{33} receptor mutants

35 50636 (A) Schematic of TRUPATH assay to detect G protein dissociation following receptor stimulation using BRET 37 50738 (Olsen et al., 2020). (B, C, and D) G protein dissociation of receptors treated with listed chemokine in HEK293 39 50840 cells. (E and F) G protein dissociation of CXCR3-WT and CXCR3-S355A/S356A in HEK293 cells. (G) G protein 41 509^{42}_{43} dissociation of CXCR3-WT and CXCR3-L344X in wild-type HEK293 cells (WT HEK293) and β-arrestin-1/2 knock 44 510_{45} out cells (β arr 1/2 KO). (H) Schematic of BRET assay to detect β -arrestin-2 recruitment to the receptor. (I, J, and 46 51147 K) β-arrestin-2 recruitment of receptors treated with listed chemokine in HEK293 cells. (L) Representative 48 51249 confocal microscopy images of HEK293 cells transfected with receptor-GFP and β-arrestin-2-RFP following 50 513_{52}^{51} treatment with vehicle control or the listed chemokine for 45 minutes. Images are representative of three 53 514_{54} biological replicates. (M) Schematic of BRET assay to detect receptor internalization to endosomes. (N) BRET 55 51556 data of receptor internalization following stimulation with the listed chemokine. Data are the average of BRET 57 51658 values from 20-30 minutes following ligand stimulation. For (A-G) TRUPATH assays, data shown are the mean 59 517⁶⁰ ± SEM of BRET values 5 to 10 minutes following ligand stimulation, n=3. * denotes statistically significant 61 62

- 63
- 64 65
- 65

24

2 differences between E_{max} of specified receptor and CXCR3-WT. # denotes statistically significant differences 518 between EC₅₀ of specified receptor and CXCR3-WT. For β-arrestin-2 recruitment, data shown are the mean ± 519 520 7 SEM, n=3. *denotes statistically significant differences between E_{Max} of CXCR3-WT and all other receptors at CXCL11, and of CXCR3-WT and CXCR3-4xA at CXCL9. # denotes statistically significant differences between 521 9 10 52211 EC_{50} of CXCR3-WT and CXCR3-S355A/S356A at CXCL10. For internalization BRET assays (N), data are the 12 523¹³₁₄ mean ± SEM, n=4. *P<.05 by two-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc testing between CXCR3-WT and all other 524¹⁵₁₆ receptor mutants. See S2 and S3 for further data assessing G protein dissociation, β-arrestin-2 recruitment, and 17 52518 receptor internalization.

 $526^{20}_{21}\\527^{22}_{23}$

536⁴²₄₃

46

19

Figure 3: GRK Recruitment and β-arrestin-2 conformational dynamics

528²⁴₂₅ Agonist dose-dependent data and kinetic data of maximum treatment dose of (A-C) GRK2 and (D-F) GRK3 26 52927 recruitment to receptor as measured by a split nanoluciferase assay. Data are grouped by treatment condition. 28 53029 Mean \pm SEM, n=3-4. (G) Schematic of FIAsH assay to detect β -arrestin-2 conformational dynamics following 30 531³¹ receptor stimulation using intramolecular BRET (Lee et al., 2016). (H) Location of N-terminal RLuc and CCPGCC 32 532_{34}^{33} FIAsH-EDT₂ binding motifs on β-arrestin-2. (I-K) Radar plots of FIAsH 1-6 grouped by treatment. (L-P) Radar 35 533_{36}^{3} plots of FIAsH 1-6 grouped by receptor. Mean, n=5. For FIAsH BRET (I-P), data is the average of five consecutive 37 53438 reads taken approximately 10 minutes after the addition of ligand. See S4-S5 for additional GRK recruitment 39 535⁴⁰ data and S6 for raw FIAsH data. 41

 537_{45}^{44} Figure 4: Impact of the phosphorylation pattern on β -arrestin-2 β -arrestin-2 conformational dynamics

(A) Structural model of the construct used in the FIAsH BRET conformational assay. The positions of Probes 1-53847 48 539⁴⁹ 5 are depicted as red spheres. Shown are the correlations between the distance of studied FIAsH probes to the 50 540⁵¹ 52 RLuc domain and the interdomain rotation angle of β-arrestin 2. As the RLuc domain is absent in the simulated 53 541_{54} system, distance from the studied probes was approximated to a residue in the beginning of the N-terminal 55 54256 domain (the attachment point of the RLuc), depicted as a green sphere. **B**) The β -arrestin 2/WT-CXCR3 C-tail 57 54358 complex. Negatively charged residues (Asp, Glu or phosphorylated Ser and Thr) on the C-tail are depicted in 59 544⁶⁰ licorice and their Cα atoms are highlighted with red spheres. Positions mutated within this study are labeled. The 61

63 64 65

25

insert provides a detailed depiction of the lariat loop region of β-arrestin 2 (blue) and interactions with negatively charged residues of the C-tail. Bar charts demonstrate the stability of polar interactions between K294 of the lariat loop and S358 and E359 of the C-tail. Values for the WT and T360AS361A systems are colored in red. (**C**) Density plots depicting interdomain rotation angles assumed by β-arrestin-2 during MD simulations with C-tail mutants.

551¹⁵₁₆ Figure 5: Characterization of the global phosphoproteome in HEK293 cells treated with endogenous

55320 (A) HEK293 cells expressing CXCR3-WT were stimulated with vehicle control or 100 nM chemokine for five 21 554²²₂₃ minutes. Heat map of statistically significant phosphopeptides normalized to vehicle control are shown. n=2 555_{25}^{24} technical replicates of six pooled biological replicates. (B) Cluster analysis of significant phosphopeptides using 26 55627 GProX (Rigbolt et al., 2011). Cluster 0 is not shown for clarity due to low membership count. (C-E) Gene Ontology 28 55729 analysis of significant phosphopeptides as grouped by biological process, molecular function or cellular 30 55831 compartment, respectively. Percentiles demonstrate number of individual phosphopeptides present in each 32 559_{34}^{33} Gene Ontology Term. (F) Manually curated, literature-based kinase enrichment analysis to predict kinase activity 35 56036 based on significant phosphopeptides using Kinase Enrichment Analysis 2 (Lachmann and Ma'avan, 2009). (G) 37 56138 Consensus sequences of significant phosphopeptides in the dataset as generated using MoMo from MeMe suite 39 56240 and identified kinases with listed consensus motif based on manual literature review (Keshava Prasad et al., 41 563_{43}^{42} 2009). See S7 for additional global phosphoproteomic data.

⁴⁶ 56547 Figure 6: Differential regulation of kinases by biased ligands and phosphodeficient receptors

56649 Biased phosphorylation of various kinases identified from the global phosphoproteomics data including (A) 50 567^{51}_{52} ERK1, (B) RAF1, (C) BRAF, (D) Casein kinase 2 (CSNK2A3/CSNK2A1), (E) Src family of protein tyrosine 53 kinases (FYN/YES1/LCK/SRC), and (F) JNKs (JNK1/JNK3). Data is normalized to vehicle treatment and n=2 56854 55 56956 technical replicates of six pooled biological replicates. Mean ± SEM. *P<.05 by one-way ANOVA, Tukey's post 57 57058 hoc testing. (G) Representative western blot of phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pERK 1/2) and total ERK1/2 (tERK 1/2) 59 571⁶⁰ following stimulation with vehicle control or 100 nM of CXCL11 for five minutes. (H-J) Quantification of western 61 62

63

564₄₅

48

 550^{13}_{14}

19

572 $\frac{2}{3}$ blots of pERK1/2 levels at 5, 30, and 60 minutes. Mean ± SEM, n=4. *P<.05 by two-way ANOVA, Dunnet's post 573 $\frac{4}{5}$ hoc testing denotes comparisons between a specific ligand/receptor combination to the same ligand at CXCR3-574 $\frac{6}{7}$ WT. See S8 for quantification of western blots grouped by receptor.

¹ Figure 7: Jurkat chemotaxis and model of the phosphorylation barcode

(A) Schematic of lentiviral production carrying cDNA for CXCR3-WT or one of the four receptor mutants, generation of CXCR3-KO Jurkats using CRISPR/Cas9, and creation of stably expressing CXCR3 Jurkats. (B) 17 57918 Surface expression of CXCR3-KO Jurkats or five various Jurkat cell lines transduced with lentivirus carrying the 19 580^{20} listed receptor cDNA as measured with flow cytometry. Dotted line denotes a fluorescence intensity of 10^2 . For 21 transduced cells, cells with a fluorescence intensity greater than 10² were sorted for chemotaxis experiments. (C) Jurkat chemotaxis for each receptor/ligand combination. Mean ± SEM, n=4. *P<.05 by two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post hoc testing denotes comparisons between a specific ligand/receptor combination to the same ligand 2.8 at CXCR3-WT. (D) Principal Component Analysis of G Protein activation and β-arrestin-2 recruitment versus 30 chemotaxis. (E) Principal Component Analysis of G Protein activation, β-arrestin-2 recruitment, GRK2 and GRK3 recruitment, and FIAsH versus chemotaxis. See S9 for chemotaxis data grouped by receptor and univariate ³⁵ 587₃₆ analyses. (F) Working model for biased ligand generation of unique barcode ensembles which differentially 37 regulate G protein signaling, β-arrestin recruitment and conformation, receptor endocytosis, kinase activity, the 39 global phosphoproteome, and cellular functions such as chemotaxis.

1 590 ² 591 ³	STAR Methods	7
592 ⁵ ₆	RESOURCE AVAILABILITY	
593 ⁷ 8		
9 59410 11	Lead Contact	
595_{13}^{12}	Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the	
596 ¹⁴ 15	lead contact, Sudarshan Rajagopal (Sudarshan.rajagopal@duke.edu).	
16 597 ₁₇		
59819 20	Materials Availability	
599 ²¹ 22	All plasmids generated in this study will be distributed upon request.	
600 ²³ 24		
601 ₂₆	Data and Code Availability	
60228 29	The RAW MS data and the identified results from Maxquant have been deposited in Japan ProteOme STandar	b
603 ³⁰ 31	Repository (jPOST: https://repository.jpostdb.org/) (Watanabe et al., 2021). The accession codes: JPST00159	Э
604 ³² 33	for jPOST and PXD034033 for ProteomeXchange. The access link i	3
605_{35}^{34}	https://repository.jpostdb.org/preview/1101419412628c1a4318aa7 and access key is 6844. Molecular dynamic	S
60637 38	simulations have been deposited in GPCRmd (<u>https://submission.gpcrmd.org/dynadb/publications/1485/</u>) with	า
60739 40	the ID 1485.	
608^{41}_{42}		
609_{44}^{43}	EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS	
61046 47		
61148 49	Bacterial strains	
612 ⁵⁰ 51	XL-10 Gold ultracompetent E. coli (Agilent) were used to express all constructs used in this manuscript.	
613 ₅₃ 54		
614 ₅₅ 56	Cell Lines	
61557 58	Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK293, β-arrestin 1/2 knockout) cells were grown in minimum essential media	a
616 ⁵⁹ 60	(MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO2	
617 ⁶¹ 63	β-arrestin ½ KO HEK293 cells and GRK 2/3/5/6 KO HEK293 cells were provided by Asuka Inoue and validate	t
64 65		

 $618 \frac{2}{3}$ as previously described (Alvarez-Curto et al., 2016; Pandey *et al.*, 2021a). Jurkat cells were cultured in RPMI $619 \frac{4}{5}$ 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO2.

621 9 METHOD DETAILS

620 ⁶7

 622^{11}_{12}

623¹³₁₄ Cell culture and transfection

 624_{16}^{15} Human embryonic kidney cells (HEK293, GRK 2/3/5/6 knockout, β-arrestin 1/2 knockout) were maintained at 17 37°C and 5% CO₂, in minimum essential medium supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 10% fetal 62518 19 62620 bovine serum (FBS). For BRET and luminescence studies, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected via an 21 627^{22}_{23} optimized calcium-phosphate protocol as previously described (Pack et al., 2018). In the calcium phosphate 628_{25}^{24} transfection method, cell culture media was replaced 30 minutes prior to transfection. Plasmids were suspended 62927 in water, and calcium chloride was added to the plasmid constructs to a final concentration of 250 µM. An equal 28 63029 volume of 2x HEPES-buffered saline solution (10 mM D-Glucose, 40 mM HEPES, 10 mM potassium chloride, 30 631³¹ 32 270 mM sodium chloride, 1.5 mM disodium hydrogen phosphate dihydrate) was added to the solution, allowed 632_{34}^{33} to incubate for two minutes, and subsequently added to the cells. For mass spectrometry studies and confocal 35 633₃₆ microcopy, constructs were overexpressed in HEK293 cells using FuGENE 6 according to the manufacturer's 37 63438 instructions (Promega, Madison, WI). For TGF- α shedding assay cells, were transiently transfected using 39 635⁴⁰ Lipofectamine 2000 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 41

637⁴⁴₄₅ Generation of constructs

Cloning of constructs was performed using conventional techniques such as restriction enzyme and ligation methods. CXCR3 C-terminal phosphomutant constructs were generated using a QuikChange Lightening Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Linkers between the fluorescent proteins or luciferases and the cDNAs for receptors, transducers, kinases, or other proteins were flexible and ranged between 2 and 17 amino 642⁵⁵/₅₆ acids.

⁶⁰₆₁ Cell lysis and protein extractions

 636_{43}^{42}

46

29

2 For protein extraction, cell pellets were resuspended in cell lysis buffer (100 mM NH₄HCO₃, pH 8.0, 8 M urea, 645 75 mM sodium chloride (NaCl), 10 mM sodium fluoride (NaF), 1% phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 2 (Sigma P 646 647 5726), 1% phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 3 (Sigma P 0044), pH 8.0) and sonicated in an ice-bath for 3 mins followed by homogenization using a hand-held SpiralPestle™ and MicroTube Homogenizer (BioSpec products, 648 9 10 649^{11} Bartlesville, OK) on ice until complete visual homogenization was achieved. Cell lysates were centrifuged, and 12 650^{13}_{14} the protein concentrations were measured with a Pierce BCA protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins 651¹⁵ 16 were reduced with 5 mM dithiothreitol for one hour at 37°C and subsequently alkylated with 20 mM 17 iodoacetamide for one hour at 25°C in the dark. Samples were diluted 1:8 with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and digested 65218 19 65320 with sequencing-grade modified trypsin (Promega, V5117) at a 1:50 enzyme-to-substrate ratio. After three hours 21 654²² 23 of digestion at 37°C, the digested samples were acidified with 100% formic acid (FA) to 1% of the final 655_{25}^{24} concentration of FA and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 1,500 ×g at 4°C before transferring samples into new tubes 65627 leaving the resulting pellet behind. Digested samples were desalted using a 4-probe positive pressure Gilson 28 65729 GX-274 ASPEC[™] system (Gilson Inc., Middleton, WI) with Discovery C18 100 mg/1 mL solid phase extraction 30 658^{31}_{32} tubes (Supelco, St.Louis, MO), using the following protocol: 3 mL of methanol was added for conditioning 659_{34}^{33} followed by 2 mL of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in H2O. The samples were then loaded onto each column 66036 followed by 4mL of 95:5: H2O:acetonitrile (ACN), 0.1% TFA. Samples were eluted with 1mL 80:20 ACN:H2O, 37 66138 0.1% TFA. The samples were completely dried using a SpeedVac vacuum concentrator. 39

663_{43}^{42} TMT-10 labeling of peptides

 664_{45}^{44} The dried tryptic peptides were dissolved with 500 mM HEPES (pH 8.5) and then labeled with 10-plex Tandem 46 Mass Tag[™] (TMT) reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 100% ACN. A ratio of TMT to peptide amount of 10:1 66547 48 666⁴⁹ (w/w) was used (i.e., 500 µg of peptides labeled by 5 mg of TMT reagent). After incubation for one hour at room 50 667^{51}_{52} temperature, the reaction was terminated by adding 5% hydroxylamine for 15 minutes at room temperature. The 668_{54}^{53} TMT-labeled peptides were then acidified with 0.5% FA. Peptides labeled by different TMT reagents were then 55 66956 mixed, dried using a SpeedVac vacuum concentrator, reconstituted with 3% ACN, 0.1% FA and desalted again 57 67058 with C18 SPE.

59 67160

 662^{40}_{41}

671⁶⁰ 61 62

2 Peptide fractionation and enrichment 672

673 The peptides were further fractionated using a reversed-phase Waters XBridge C18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm 5 674 column packed with 3.5-µm particles) on an Agilent 1200 HPLC System (solvent A: 5 mM ammonium formate. 7 8 675 9 pH 10, 2% ACN; solvent B: 5 mM ammonium formate, pH 10, 90% ACN) operating at a flow rate of 1 mL/min 10 676¹¹ [Anal. Chem. 2019, 91, 9, 5794–5801]. Peptides were separated by a gradient mixture from 0% B to 16% B in 12 677^{13}_{14} six minutes, 40% B in 60 minutes, 44% B in 4 min and ramped to 60% B in five minutes. The 60% B mixture was 678_{16}^{15} kept for 14 min. Fractions were collected into a 96 well plate during the fractionation run with a total of 96 fractions 17 67918 at the 1-minute time interval. The 96 fractions were subsequently concatenated into 24 fractions by combining 4 19 68020 fractions that are 24 fractions apart (i.e., combining fractions #1, #25, #49, and #73; #2, #26, #50, and #74; and 21 681²² 23 so on). For proteome analysis, 5% of each concatenated fraction was dried down and re-suspended in 2% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid to a peptide concentration of 0.1 mg/mL for LC-MS/MS analysis. The rest of the 26 fractions (95%) were further concatenated into 12 fractions (i.e., by combining fractions #1 and #13; #3 and #15; 28 and so on), dried down, and phosphopeptides enriched using immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). 30

Phosphopeptide enrichment using IMAC

The procedure for IMAC phosphopeptide enrichment has previously been reported here (Mertins et al., 2018). 37 68838 Briefly, Fe³⁺-NTA-agarose beads were freshly prepared using the Ni-NTA Superflow agarose beads (QIAGEN, 39 689⁴⁰ #30410) for phosphopeptide enrichment. For each of the 12 fractions, peptides were reconstituted in 500 µL 41 690_{43}^{42} IMAC binding/wash buffer (80% ACN, 0.1% TFA) and incubated with 20 µL of the 50% bead suspension for 30 691⁴⁴ 691 minutes at RT. After incubation, the beads were sequentially washed with 50 µL of the wash buffer (1X), 50 µL 46 69247 of 50% ACN, 0.1% TFA (1X), 50 µL of the wash buffer (1X), and 50 µL of 1% FA (1X) on the stage tip packed 48 693⁴⁹ with 2 discs of Empore C18 material (Empore Octadecyl C18, 47 mm; Supleco, 66883-U). Phosphopeptides 50 694⁵¹ 52 were eluted from the beads onto the C18 disc using 70 µL of the elution buffer (500 mM K₂HPO₄, pH 7.0). Sixty 695_{54}^{53} microliters of 50% ACN, 0.1% FA was used for the elution of phosphopeptides from the C18 stage tips after two 55 69656 washes with 100 µL of 1% FA. Samples were dried using a Speed-Vac and later reconstituted with 12 µL of 3% 57 69758 ACN, 0.1% FA for LC-MS/MS analysis. 59

699 ² LC-MS/MS Analysis

Lyophilized global and phosphorylated peptides were reconstituted in 12 µL of 0.1% FA with 2% ACN and 5 µL 700 of the resulting sample was analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Q-Exactive HF Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass 701 7 Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) connected to a nanoACQUITY UPLC system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA) 702 9 10 70311 (buffer A: 0.1% FA with 3% ACN and buffer B: 0.1% FA in 90% ACN) as previously described (Tsai et al., 2020). 12 704_{14}^{13} Peptides were separated by a gradient mixture with an analytical column (75 µm i.d. × 25 cm) packed using 1.9-705¹⁵₁₆ µm ReproSil C18 and with a column heater set at 50 °C. The analytical column was equilibrated to 98% buffer 17 70618 A and 2% buffer B and maintained at a constant column flow of 200 nL/min. Data were acquired in a data 19 70720 dependent mode with a full MS scan (350-1800 m/z) at a resolution of 60K with AGC setting set to 4×10^5 . The 21 708^{22}_{23} isolation window (quadrupole) for MS/MS was set at 0.7 m/z and optimal HCD fragmentation was performed at 709_{25}^{24} a normalized collision energy of 30% with AGC set as 1×10⁵ and a maximum ion injection time of 105 ms. The 710_{27} MS/MS spectra were acquired at a resolution of 50K. The dynamic exclusion time was set at 45 s.

712_{32}^{31} MS Data Analysis

28 71129 30

 713_{34}^{33} The raw MS/MS data were processed with MaxQuant (Cox and Mann, 2008; Tyanova et al., 2016a). The MS/MS 35 71436 spectra were searched against a human UniProt database (fasta file dated April 12, 2017 with 20,198 37 71538 sequences). The search type was set to "Reporter ion MS2" for isobaric label measurements. A peptide search 39 716^{40}_{41} was performed with full tryptic digestion (Trypsin) and allowed a maximum of two missed cleavages. 717_{43}^{42} Carbamidomethyl (C) was set as a fixed modification; acetylation (protein N-term) and oxidation (M) were set as 44 71845 variable modifications for global proteome analysis. Acetylation (protein N-term), oxidation (M) and Phospho 46 71947 (STY) were set as variable modifications for phosphoproteome analysis. The false discovery rate (FDR) was set 48 72049 to 1% at the level of proteins, peptides, and modifications; no additional filtering was performed. The intensities 50 721⁵¹ 52 of all ten TMT reporter ions were extracted from MaxQuant outputs and the abundances of TMT were firstly log2 722_{54}^{53} transformed. The phosphoproteome data were further processed by the Ascore algorithm (Beausoleil et al., 55 72356 2006) for phosphorylation site localization, and the top-scoring sequences were reported. The Perseus (Tyanova 57 72458 et al., 2016b) was used for statistical analyses. 59

32

² Flow cytometry and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)

727 Flow cytometry was utilized to assess wild-type CXCR3 and CXCR3 mutant receptor cell surface expression in 728 HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells seeded in six-well plates were transfected with wild-type CXCR3 or the indicated 7 8 729 9 CXCR3 mutant using the calcium phosphate method. Forty-eight hours later, the cells were collected, washed 10 73011 with ice cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and subsequently centrifuged at 600 g for 4 minutes at 4 ºC. 12 731_{14}^{13} Supernatant was aspirated and cells were resuspended in ice cold PBS and counted. 1E6 cells were transferred 732¹⁵ 16 to a new tube and resuspended in 100 µL of blocking buffer (PBS + 3% FBS + 10mM EDTA + 5% Normal Human 17 Serum) on ice for 5 to 10 minutes. PE conjugated anti-Human CD183 (CXCR3) antibody (R&D Systems, 73318 19 73420 Minneapolis, MN) was added per the manufacturers guidelines and cells were incubated for 20 to 30 minutes at 21 735²² 23 room temperature in the dark. Cells were centrifuged once more, supernatant aspirated, and fixed in 300 µL of 736_{25}^{24} 0.4% paraformaldehyde and were assessed using a BD LSRII flow cytometer. Flow cytometry was performed in 2.6 73727 the Duke Human Vaccine Institute Research Flow Cytometry Facility (Durham, NC). FACS was utilized to select 28 73829 Jurkat cells expressing wild-type CXCR3 or the indicated CXCR3 mutant. Following lentiviral transduction and 30 739³¹ subsequent puromycin selection, Jurkat cells were collected and washed in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution 32 740_{34}^{33} (HBSS) (Gibco) with 2.5% FBS and 1.5 µM EDTA. Cells were then labelled with APC conjugated anti-Human 35 74136 CD183 (CXCR3) antibody (Biolegend, San Diego, CA) for 25 minutes on ice in the dark. Cells were then washed 37 74238 with HBSS with 2.5% FBS and 1.5 µM EDTA and resuspended with DNase. Cells were then strained through a 39 743⁴⁰ sterile 30 µm filter and sorted on an Astrios (Beckman Coulter) sorter. Analyses were conducted with FlowJo 41 744_{43}^{42} version 10 software.

74647 TGF-α shedding assay

747⁴⁹ G protein activity of various CXCR3 phosphorylation deficient mutants was assessed by the TGF-a shedding 50 748⁵¹ 52 assay as previously described (Inoue et al., 2012). HEK293 cells were transiently transfected using 749_{54}^{53} Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with wild-type CXCR3 or the indicated CXCR3 mutant receptor, 55 75056 modified TGF- α -containing alkaline phosphatase (AP-TGF- α), and the Gai1 or Gai3 subunit or the negative 57 75158 control Gq Δc , 24 hours later, cells were detached and reseeded in HBSS with 5 mM HEPES in a clear-bottomed. 59 752⁶⁰ white-walled, Costar 96-well plate (Corning Inc., Corning, NY). One hour later, cells were stimulated with the 61 62

63 64

745₄₅ 46

48

726

2 753 indicated concentration of CXCL11 for one hour. Conditioned medium (CM) containing the shed AP-TGF-α was 754 transferred to a new 96-well plate. Both the cells and CM were treated with para-nitrophenylphosphate (p-NPP, 5 755 7 100 mM; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) substrate for one hour. The conversion of p-NPP to para-nitrophenol (p-8 756 9 NP) was measured at an optical density at 405 nm (OD₄₀₅) in a BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader plate reader 10 75711 immediately after p-NPP addition and then after a 1-hour incubation. Gα activity was calculated by determining 12 758_{14}^{13} p-NP amounts by absorbance using the following equation:

$$100 * (\frac{\Delta OD_{405,CM}}{\Delta OD_{405,CM} + \Delta OD_{405,CM}})$$

where $\Delta OD_{405} = OD_{405 \text{ at 1hr}} - OD_{405 \text{ at 0 hours}}$ and $\Delta OD_{405, \text{ cell}}$ and $\Delta OD_{405, \text{ CM}}$ represent the changes in absorbance 761²¹₂₂ after one hour in the cell and CM plates, respectively. Data were normalized to the negative control GαΔc. 762²³₂₄

76326 Split luciferase and BRET assays

764²⁸ HEK293 cells seeded in six-well plates (~750000 cells/well) were transfected with the appropriate constructs 29 765_{31}^{30} using the calcium-phosphate protocol. TRUPATH assays to assess G protein dissociation utilized wild-type 32 76633 CXCR3 or the indicated CXCR3 mutant, Gai1-RLuc8, Gy9-GFP2, and Gß3 at equal amounts (Olsen et al., 34 76735 2020). β-arrestin-2 recruitment was assessed using wild-type CXCR3 or the indicated CXCR3 mutant tagged 36 76837 with a C-terminal RLuc2 and a β-arrestin-2-mKO. Receptor internalization was assessed using wild-type CXCR3 38 769^{39}_{40} or the indicated CXCR3 mutant tagged with a C-terminal RLuc2 and either a Myrpalm tagged mVenus to assess 770_{42}^{41} proximity to the cellular membrane, or a 2x-Fyve tagged mVenus to assess proximity to the early endosome. 43 77144 GRK recruitment was assessed using a split luciferase assay where wild-type CXCR3 or the indicated CXCR3 45 77246 mutant was tagged with a SmBiT and GRK2, GRK3, GRK5, or GRK6 was tagged with a LgBiT.

 773^{48}_{49} Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were washed with PBS, collected with trypsin, and plated onto clear-774⁵⁰ bottomed, white-walled, Costar 96-well plates at 50000 to 100000 cells/well in BRET medium (clear minimum 52 77553 essential medium (Gibco) supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum, 10 mM HEPES, 1x GlutaMax (Gibco), and 54 77655 1x Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco)). The following day, media was removed, and cells were incubated at 37°C with 56 777<u>5</u>7 80 µL of HBSS supplemented with 20 mM HEPES and 3µM coelenterazine-400a (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, 58 778⁵⁹ 60 MI) for TRUPATH or 3 µM coelenterazine h for all other BRET or split luciferase assays (Cayman Chemical, Ann 61 779₆₂ Arbor, MI) for 10 to 15 minutes. For TRUPATH, plates were read with a BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader set 63

64 65

47

25

2 780 at 37°C with a standard 400 nm emission filter and 510 nm long pass filter. For all other BRET assays, a standard 781 480 nm RLuc emission filter and 530 nm (for experiment using mVenus) or custom 542 nm (for experiments using mKO) long pass filter was utilized (Chroma Technology Co., Bellows Falls, VT). Cells were stimulated with 782 7 8 783 9 either vehicle control (HBSS with 20 mM HEPES) or the indicated concentration of chemokine. All readings were 10 78411 performed using a kinetic protocol. For split luciferase experiments, plates were read before and after ligand 12 785_{14}^{13} treatment to calculate a change in luminescence after ligand stimulation and subsequently normalized to vehicle 786_{16}^{15} treatment. For BRET experiments, the BRET ratio was calculated by dividing the acceptor signal by the luciferase 17 78718 signal, and a net BRET ratio was calculated by normalizing to vehicle treatment. 19

789_{23}^{22} Intramolecular Fluorescent Arsenical Hairpin (FIAsH) BRET of β -arrestin-2

24 790_{25}^{-} FIAsH BRET experiments were carried out using a modified protocol as previously described (Lee et al., 2016; 26 79127 Strungs et al., 2019). FIAsH 3 serves as a negative control as insertion of the CCPGCC motif at this location 28 792²⁹ significantly impacts β-arrestin recruitment to the receptor and does not demonstrate significant changes in 30 793³¹ 32 BRET efficiency following ligand stimulation. HEK293 cells seeded in six-well plates were transfected with wild-³³ 794₃₄ type CXCR3 or the indicated CXCR3 mutant and FIAsH 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6 using the calcium-phosphate protocol. 35 79536 Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were washed with PBS, collected with trypsin, and plated onto clear-37 79638 bottomed, rat-tail collagen coated, white-walled, Costar 96-well plates at 50000 to 100000 cells/well in 39 797^{40}_{41} supplemented MEM. The following day, cells were washed with 50 µL of HBSS and incubated in biarsenical 798_{43}^{42} labelling reagent FIAsH-EDT2 at a final concentration of 2.5 µM for 45 minutes at room temperature in the dark. 44 79945 Cells were then washed once with a 250 µM BAL wash buffer (2,3-dimercaptopropanol) and incubated with 46 80047 HBSS with 20 mM HEPES. Cells were stimulated by either vehicle control (HBSS with 20 mM HEPES) or 48 801_9 chemokine for eight minutes. Immediately before reading the plate, cells were treated with coelenterazine h and 50 802_{52}^{51} read on a BioTek Synergy Neo2 plate reader set at 37°C using standard 480 nm and 530 nm emission filters. 80354 Net BRET values were calculated as described by averaging six consecutive BRET values and normalizing to 55 80456 vehicle control. Two-way ANOVA was performed at each FIAsH construct to determine if there was a significant 57 805⁵⁸ ligand, receptor, or interaction term. If a significant interaction term was detected, Tukey's post hoc testing was 59 806⁶⁰₆₁ performed for multiple comparisons between receptor:ligand combinations at the specified FIAsH construct.

63 64 65

62

35

808 **Molecular Dynamics**

1

2 807 3

The model of the CXCR3 C-tail/β-arrestin 2 complex was based on the structure of β-arrestin 1 in complex with 809 7 8 810 9 the V2R C-tail (Shukla et al., 2013). The sequence of β -arrestin 2 was modified to match the isoform used in the 10 81111 FIAsH in vitro experiments [P29067]. The complexes were solvated (TIP3P water) and neutralized using a 0.15 12 812_{14}^{13} M concentration of NaCl ions. Parameters for simulations were obtained from the Charmm36M forcefield (Huang 813¹⁵ 813¹⁶ et al., 2017). Simulations were run using the ACEMD3 engine (Harvey et al., 2009). All systems underwent a 17 40ns equilibration in conditions of constant pressure (NPT ensemble, pressure maintained with Berendsen 81418 19 81520 barostat, 1.01325 bar), using a timestep of 2fs. During this stage mobility restraints were applied to the backbone. 21 816_{23}^{22} This was followed with 3 x 1.5µs of simulation for each system in conditions of constant volume (NVT ensemble) 817_{25}^{24} using a timestep of 4fs. For every simulation we used a temperature of 310K, maintained using the Langevin thermostat. Hydrogen bonds were restrained using the RATTLE algorithm. Non-bonded interactions were cut-81827 28 81929 off at a distance of 9Å, with a smooth switching function applied at 7.5Å. The interdomain rotation angle of β -30 820^{31}_{32} arrestin 2 was analyzed using a script kindly provided by Naomi Latoracca (Latorraca et al., 2018). The angle 821³³₃₄ was measured by comparing the displacement of the C-domain relative to the N-domain between the inactive 35 822₃₆ (PDB code: 1G4R) and active ßarr1 crystal structures (PDB code: 4JQI). Each simulation frame was aligned to 37 82338 the reference structures using the C α atoms of the β -strands present within the N-domain, while the same atoms 39 824⁴⁰ present in the C-domain were used to calculate the rotation angle. For each of the variants of the C-tail, we have 41 825_{43}^{42} phosphorylated all Ser and Thr residues present within the sequence. To study correlation of the interdomain 826_{45}^{44} rotation angle, and the distance between the studied probes and Arg8 (RLuc anchor point), we have utilized 46 82747 simulations of the L344X system (which in our setup meant that a C-tail was not included at all). Simulation data 48 82849 are shared on the open online resource GPCRmd (Rodriguez-Espigares et al., 2020) with the ID 1485. 50 829⁵¹

830_{54}^{53} Immunoblotting

83156 Experiments were conducted as previously described (Smith et al., 2018b). Briefly, HEK293 cells were 57 83258 transiently transfected via the calcium-phosphate method with either wild-type CXCR3 or the indicated CXCR3 59 833⁶⁰ mutant. 48 hours after transfection, the cells were serum starved in minimum essential medium with 1% 61 62

63 64 65

52

36

2 penicillin/streptomycin, 0.05% bovine serum albumin, and 5 mM HEPES for at least four hours, stimulated to a 834 835 final concentration with 100 nM chemokine or vehicle control for 5, 30 or 60 minutes, subsequently washed once 836 with ice-cold PBS, lysed in ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 7 8 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 25 mM Tris pH 7.4) containing the phosphatase 837 9 10 83811 inhibitor PhosSTOP (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and protease inhibitor cOmplete EDTA free (Sigma-Aldrich, St. 12 839_{14}^{13} Louis, MO). Samples were then rotated for approximately 45 minutes at 4 °C and cleared of insoluble debris by 840¹⁵₁₆ centrifugation at 17000 g at 4 °C for 15 minutes, after which the supernatant was collected. Protein was resolved 17 84118 on SDS-10% polyacrylamide gels, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and immunoblotted with the 19 84220 indicated primary antibody overnight at 4 °C. phospho-ERK (Cell Signaling Technology) and total ERK (Millipore) 21 843²² 23 were used to assess ERK activation. Peroxidase-conjugated polyclonal donkey anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (IgG) 844²⁴₂₅ or polyclonal sheep anti-mouse IgG were used as secondary antibodies. Immune complexes on nitrocellulose 26 84527 membrane were imaged by SuperSignal enhanced chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher) using a 28 84629 ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad). For guantification, phospho-ERK signal was normalized to total ERK 30 847³¹ signal using ImageLab (Bio-Rad) within the same immunoblot. 32

848³³ 34

37

849₃₆³⁵ Confocal microscopy

85038 HEK293 cells plated in rat-tail-collagen-coated 35 mm glass bottomed dishes (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, 39 85140 MA) were transiently transfected using FuGENE 6 with either wild-type CXCR3-GFP or the indicated CXCR3-41 852_{43}^{42} GFP mutant and β-arrestin-2-RFP. 48 hours after transfection, the cells were serum starved for one hour prior 853₄₅⁴⁴ to treatment with the indicated chemokine at 100 nM for 45 minutes at 37°C. The samples were then washed 46 85447 once with HBSS and fixed in a 6% formaldehyde solution for 30 minutes in the dark at room temperature. Cells 48 85549 were then washed four times with PBS and subsequently imaged with a Zeiss CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal 50 856_{52}^{51} microscope using the corresponding lasers to excite GFP (480 nm) and RFP (561 nm). Confocal images were 857₅₄ arranged and analyzed using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

85958 Generation of stably expressing CXCR3 Jurkats and Jurkat Chemotaxis

- 61 62

55 85856 57

- 62
- 63
- 64 65

37

2 CXCR3 knock out (CXCR3-KO) Jurkat cells were generated using CRISPR-Cas9, CXCR3 guide RNA was 860 45 861 using GAGTGACCACCAAGTGCTAAATGACG and GATGAAGTCTGGGAGGGCGAAA developed 862 and inserted into a Cas9 containing plasmid backbone (PX459). Jurkat cells were transfected using 7 Lipofectamine 2000 with the designed PX459 plasmid and CXCR3-KO Jurkats were selected using Puromycin 863 9 10 86411 and single clones were selected via limited dilution. CXCR3-KO was confirmed via flow cytometry. Stably 12 865_{14}^{13} expressing CXCR3 Jurkats were generated using lentiviral transduction. The wild-type or mutant CXCR3 were 866₁₆¹⁵ cloned into a pLenti plasmid backbone consisting of the receptor underneath a CMV promoter. HEK293 cells 17 86718 were transfected using calcium-phosphate with the pLenti receptor containing plasmid, envelope 19 86820 vector (pMD2.G), and packaging vector (psPAX2). 16 hours post-transfection, the HEK293 cell media was 21 869²² 23 changed. 64 hours post transfection, the viral containing media was harvested, and virus was concentrated using 870_{25}^{24} the Lenti-X concentrator (Takara Bio, Japan) and viral titer was determined using qPCR per the manufacturer 87127 guidelines (ABM, Canada). CXCR3-KO Jurkats were transduced with virus via centrifugation at 1000 g for 90 28 87229 minutes at a multiplicity of infection of 80-100 in the presence of polybrene at 8µg/mL. Cells expressing CXCR3 30 873³¹ 32 were sorted via FACS to obtain cells that express receptor to a similar degree. Chemotaxis assays were run in 874³³ 34 a 96 well format using the 5 µm ChemoTx chemotaxis system (Neuro Probe, Gaithersburg, MD). 750000 Jurkats ³⁵ 875₃₆ were serum starved for at least four hours and placed in the chemotaxis system and allowed to migrate towards 37 87638 vehicle control or chemokine. Chemotaxis was measured using a previously described MTT labeling assay 39 877⁴⁰ 41 where the number of migrated cells is quantified by the reduction of MTT (Shi et al., 1993). Following chemotaxis, 878_{43}^{42} cells were labelled with a 0.5 mg/mL solution of MTT for four hours at 37 °C, subsequently lysed in 2 mM 879_{45}^{44} hydrochloric acid in isopropanol, and absorbance was read at an optical density of 570 nm. Chemotactic index 46 88047 was determined by measuring the absorbance of cells treated with chemokine to those treated with vehicle and 48 88149 normalized to the cell type with maximum chemotactic response. 50 882⁵¹ 52

883⁵³₅₄ Chemokines

Recombinant Human CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 (PeproTech) were diluted according to the manufacturer's
 specifications, and aliquots were stored at -80 °C until needed for use.

63 64 65

886⁶⁰ 61 62

² QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

888 ⁴₅ Statistical analyses

889 7 Data were analyzed in Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) and graphed in Prism 9.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). 890 9 Dose-response curves were fitted to a log agonist versus stimulus with three parameters (span, baseline, and EC50) with the minimum baseline corrected to zero. For comparing ligands or receptors in concentration- 892_{14}^{13} response assays, a two-way ANOVA of ligand and concentration was conducted. Unless otherwise noted, 893¹⁵ 893 statistical tests were two-sided and Tukey's post hoc testing was performed for multiple comparisons or Dunnet's testing was performed when comparisons were made to a reference condition. Statistical significance was shown on figures typically for the E_{max} of dose-response curves. In some cases, when applicable, statistical significance 896²² 23 was shown on figures for EC₅₀. Unless otherwise state, post hoc comparisons were made between CXCR3-WT 897²⁴ 25 and the denoted phosphorylation deficient receptor. Further details of statistical analysis and replicates are included in the figure captions. Experiments were not randomized, and investigators were not blinded to treatment conditions. Critical plate-based experiments were independently replicated by at least two different investigators when feasible.

902 ¹₂₃ **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

4	REAGENT OR RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
6	Antibodies		
7 8	Donkey polyclonal anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase conjugated	Rockland	Cat#611-7302; RRID:AB_219747
9 10 11	Sheep polyclonal anti-mouse IgG peroxidase conjugated	Rockland	Cat#610-603-002; RRID:AB_219694
12 13 14	Mouse monoclonal anti-phospho- p44/42 MAPK 1/2 (ERK1/2)	Cell Signaling Technologies	Cat#9106; RRID:AB_331768
L5 L6 L7	Rabbit polyclonal anti-MAPK 1/2 (ERK1/2)	Millipore Sigma	Cat#06-182; RRID:AB_310068
L8 L9	Mouse monoclonal anti-human CD183 (CXCR3) PE conjugated	R&D Systems	Cat#FAB160P; RRID:AB_2086755
20 21 22	Mouse monoclonal anti-human CD183 (CXCR3) APC conjugated	BioLegend	Cat#353707; RRID:AB_10962949
23	Bacterial Strains		
24	XL10-Gold Ultracompetent E. Coli	Agilent	Cat#200315
25	Chemicals, peptides, and recom	binant proteins	
26	Recombinant Human CXCL9	Peprotech	Cat#300-26
27	Recombinant Human CXCL10	Peprotech	Cat#300-12
20	Recombinant Human CXCL11	Peprotech	Cat#300-46
30	GlutaMax	Gibco	Cat#35050061
31	Antibiotic-Antimycotic	Gibco	Cat#15240062
32	Fugene 6	Promega	Cat#E2691
33	Lipofectamine 2000	Invitrogen	Cat#11668019
34	para-Nitrophenyl Phosphate	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#4876
35 26	2,3-dimercapto-1-propanol	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#64046
30	FIAsH-EDT2	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat#sc-363644
38	Coelenterazine h	Cayman Chemical	Cat#16894
39	Coelenterazine h	NanoLight Technology	Cat#301
10	Coelenterazine 400a	Cayman Chemical	Cat#16157
41	PhosSTOP	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#4906845001
42 43 47	cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#11697498001
45 46	SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate	Thermo Fischer Scientific	Cat#34580
17	Lenti-X Concentrator	Takara Bio	Cat#631232
18	Polybrene	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#TR-1003
49 - 0	Critical commercial assays	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
50 51 52	qPCR Lentivirus Titration Kit	Applied Biological Materials (ABM)	Cat#LV900
53 54	QuikChange Lightning Site- Directed Mutagenesis Kit	Agilent	Cat#210518
55 56	ChemoTx 5 µm Chemotaxis System	Neuroprobe	Cat#116-5
57	Mass Spectrometry Resources		1
58 59	Ni-NTA Superflow Agarose Beads	Qiagen	Cat#30410
50	BCA Protein Assav Kit	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#A53225
52	TMT-11 reagent kit	ThermoFisher Scientific	Cat#A34808

		40
Trypsin	Promega	Cat#V5117
Empore Octadecyl C18, 47 mm	Supleco	Cat#66883-U
Waters tC18 SepPak	Waters	Cat#WAT054925
Deposited Data		
Mass Spectrometry Proteomics	JPOST	JPST001599 (Accession Key 6844)
Molecular Dynamics Simulations	GPCRmd	1485
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
Human: 293	ATCC	Cat#CRI -1573: RRID:CVCI_0045
Human: 293	ATCC	Cat#CRI -3216: RRID:CVCL_0063
Human: 203 B-arrestin 1/2 Knock	Asuka Inque	(A varez-Curto et al. 2016)
Out		
Human: 293 GRK 2, 3, 5, 6 Knock Out	Asuka Inoue	(Pandey et al., 2021b)
Human: Jurkat, Clone E6-1	ATCC	Cat#TIB-152; RRID:CVCL_0367
Recombinant DNA		
CXCR3	Rajagopal Lab	N/A
CXCR3-S355A/S356A	This work	N/A
CXCR3-T360A/S361A/	This work	N/A
CXCR3-	Rajagopal Lab (Smith <i>et al.</i> ,	N/A
CXCR3-L344X	Rajagopal Lab (Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	N/A
Gai1-RLuc8	Bryan Roth Lab (Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	N/A
Gγ9-GFP2	Bryan Roth Lab (Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	N/A
G β3	Bryan Roth Lab (Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	N/A
CXCR3-RlucII	This work	N/A
CXCR3- S355A/S356A -Rlucll	This work	N/A
CXCR3-T360A/S361A/-Rlucll	This work	N/A
CXCR3- T360A/S361A/S364A/S366A- Rlucll	This work	N/A
CXCR3-L344X-RlucII	This work	N/A
βarr2-mKO	Rajagopal Lab (Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	N/A
Myrpalm-mVenus	Rajagopal Lab (Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	N/A
2x-Fyve-mvenus	Rajagopal Lab (Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	N/A
CXCR3-GFP	Rajagopal Lab (Smith <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	N/A
CXCR3- S355A/S356A -GFP	This work	N/A
CXCR3-T360A/S361A/-GFP	This work	N/A
CXCR3- T360A/S361A/S364A/S366A- GFP	This work	N/A
CXCR3-L344X-GFP	This work	N/A
βarr2-RFP	Marc Caron Lab	N/A
βarr2-FIAsH biosensors 1-6	Louis Luttrell Lab (Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	N/A

		41
CXCR3-SmBiT	This work	N/A
CXCR3- S355A/S356A -SmBiT	This work	N/A
CXCR3-T360A/S361A/-SmBiT	This work	N/A
CXCR3-		N/A
T360A/S361A/S364A/S366A-	This work	
SmBiT		
CXCR3-L344X-SmBiT	This work	N/A
GRK2-LgBiT	Asuka Inoue Lab	N/A
GRK3-LgBiT	Asuka Inoue Lab	N/A
GRK5-LgBiT	Asuka Inoue Lab	N/A
GRK6-LgBiT	Asuka Inoue Lab	N/A
pLenti-CXCR3	This work	N/A
pLenti-CXCR3-S355A/S356A	This work	N/A
pLenti-CXCR3-T360A/S361A	This work	N/A
pLenti-CXCR3-	This work	N/A
T360A/S361A/S364A/S366A		
pLenti-CXCR3- L344X	This work	N/A
pMD2.G	Addgene	Cat#12259; RRID:Addgene_12259
psPAX2	Addgene	Cat#12260; RRID:Addgene_12260
PX459	Addgene	Cat#62988; RRID:Addgene_62988
Software and algorithms	-	
GraphPad Prism	GraphPad Software	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-
		software/prism/
ImageJ	(Schneider et al., 2012)	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Adobe Illustrator	Adobe	https://www.adobe.com/
Excel	Microsoft	https://www.microsoft.com/en-
		us/microsoft-365/excel
Database for Annotation,		
Visualization, and Integrated	(Huang da et al., 2009a; b)	https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp
Discovery (DAVID)		
Kinase Enrichment Analysis	(Lachmann and Ma'ayan, 2009)	https://www.maayanlab.net/KEA2/
Modification Motifs	(Bailey et al., 2006; Cheng et al.,	https://meme-
	2019)	suite.org/meme/tools/momo
GProx	(Rigbolt <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	http://gprox.sourceforge.net/
MaxQuant	(Tyanova <i>et al.</i> , 2016a)	https://www.maxquant.org/
FlowJo	Becton, Dickinson & Company	https://www.flowjo.com/
ImageLab	Bio-Rad	mitps://www.bio-rad.com/en-
PieDender	PiePender	bttps://bioronder.com/
	/Koojetro et al. 2021)	https://piorender.com/
Grukad	(NOOISTA et al., 2021)	nups.//gpcrdb.org/

42

906 $\frac{6}{7}$ Supplemental Figure 1: Quantitation of CXCR3 C-terminal phosphopeptides and G protein activation, β-907 $\frac{9}{10}$ arrestin-2 recruitment, and surface expression of various CXCR3 phosphodeficient mutants. Related to 908¹¹ Figure 1.

 909^{13}_{14} Abundance of singly phosphorylated (A) RDpSSWSETSEASYSGL, (B) RDSpSWSETSEASYSGL, and (C) 910¹⁵ 910¹⁶ RDSSWSEpTSEASYSGL peptide following stimulation with vehicle control or 100 nM of chemokine for 5 17 minutes. Mean ± SEM, n=2 technical replicates of 6 pooled biological replicates. P<.05, by one-way ANOVA, 91118 19 912²⁰ Tukey's post hoc analysis. (**D-E**) Agonist dose-dependent TGF- α shedding assay of CXCR3-WT and various 21 913²² phosphorylation deficient mutants to assess Gαi1 and Gαi3 protein activation. Mean ± SEM, n=3 (F-G) β-arrestin-23 914_{25}^{24} 2 recruitment of receptors treated with CXCL11. Mean ± SEM, n=3. (H) Surface expression of HEK293 cells 26 91527 transiently transfected with pcDNA 3.1 empty vector, CXCR3-WT, or denoted receptor as measured by flow 2.8 91629 cytometry. Mean ± SEM, n=3-5. *P<.05 by one-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc testing denotes comparisons 30 917³¹ to CXCR3-WT. 32

918³³ 34

39

904²

12

905 ⁻5

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

 919_{36}^{35} Supplemental Figure 2: G protein dissociation in β-arrestin-1/2 knockout cells and phosphomimetic $_{37}^{37}$ mutants, β-arrestin-2 recruitment grouped by receptor. Related to Figure 2.

921⁴⁰ (A-C) G protein dissociation of receptors treated with chemokine in β-arrestin-1/2 knockout cells. (D) G protein 41 922_{43}^{42} dissociation of CXCR3-S355A/S356A in β-arrestin-1/2 knockout cells. (E-G) G protein dissociation of CXCR3-44 923_{45}^{11} WT, CXCR3-S355A/S356A, or phosphomimetic mutant CXCR3-S355D/S356D treated with chemokine. (H-L) β-46 92447 arrestin-2 recruitment of receptors treated with chemokine as grouped by receptor. For (A-G) TRUPATH and (H-48 925⁴⁹ L) β -arrestin-2 recruitment assays, data shown are the mean ± SEM, n=3-4. * denotes statistically significant 50 926⁵¹ 52 differences between E_{Max} of specified receptor and CXCR3-WT unless otherwise noted. Agonist dose-dependent 53 927₅₄ data presented are the average of BRET values 5 to 10 minutes following ligand stimulation. 55 92856

 929^{58}_{59} Supplemental Figure 3: Single color confocal microscopy, and orthogonal BRET based receptor 930^{60}_{61} internalization assay. Related to Figure 2.

- 63 64
- 65

62

43

2 (A-E) Confocal microscopy images of HEK293 cells transfected with Receptor-GFP and β-arrestin-2-RFP 931 932 following treatment with vehicle control or 100 nM of the listed chemokine for 45 minutes. Images are 5 933 7 representative of three biological replicates. (F) Schematic of BRET assay to detect receptor internalization away 8 from the plasma membrane. (G) BRET data of receptor internalization using the acceptor Myrpalm-mVenus 934 9 10 93511 following stimulation with 100 nM of the listed chemokine in HEK293 cells. For internalization BRET (G) assays, 12 936_{14}^{13} data shown are the mean ± SEM, n=4. *P<.05 by two-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post hoc testing between CXCR3-937¹⁵ 16 WT and all other receptor mutants at a specific ligand. Data presented are the average of BRET values from 20-17 93818 30 minutes following ligand stimulation. 19 93920

940 $^{22}_{23}$ Supplemental Figure 4: GRK5 and GRK6 Recruitment Data in wild-type HEK293 cells and GRK 2/3/5/6 941 $^{24}_{25}$ knockout cells. Related to Figure 3.

Agonist dose-dependent data and kinetic data of maximum treatment dose of (**A-C**) GRK5 and (**G-I**) GRK6 recruitment as measured by a split nanoluciferase assay in HEK293 cells. Data are grouped by ligand treatment. Agonist dose-dependent (**D-F**) GRK5 recruitment and (**J-L**) GRK6 recruitment as measured in GRK 2/3/5/6 state of the second secon

35 946₃₆

37

21

26

947³⁸ Supplemental Figure 5: GRK2 and GRK3 Recruitment Data as grouped by receptor and with $^{39}_{41}$ 948⁴⁰ phosphomimetic receptors. Related to Figure 3.

 949_{43}^{42} Agonist dose-dependent data and kinetic data of maximum treatment dose of (A-E) GRK2 recruitment and (L- 950_{45}^{44} P) GRK3 recruitment to listed receptor as measured by a split nanoluciferase assay. Data are grouped by 46 95147 receptor. (F-K) GRK2 and (Q-V) GRK3 recruitment to phosphomimetic receptors (F-H, Q-S) CXCR3-48 952⁴⁹ T360D/S361D, and (I-K, T-V) CXCR3-4xD. Mean ± SEM, n=3-4. * denotes statistically significant differences 50 953⁵¹ 52 between E_{Max} of specified receptor and CXCR3-WT. # denotes statistically significant differences between EC₅₀ 53 954₅₄ of specified receptor and CXCR3-WT. 55

95658 Supplemental Figure 6: Source FIAsH Data. Related to Figure 3.

- 63 64
- 65

 $\frac{2}{3}$ (**A-F**) FIAsH data as grouped by FIAsH probes 1-6 shown as heat maps. Intensity of color corresponds with $\frac{4}{5}$ change in net BRET. (**G**) Source data for all FIAsH construct, ligand, receptor, combinations. Mean ± SEM, n=5. $\frac{6}{7}$ *P<.05 by two-way ANOVA for each FIAsH construct are shown in the heat maps to demonstrate statistical $\frac{9}{10}$ significance of a ligand effect, receptor effect, and or interaction. If a significant interaction term was identified, $\frac{11}{12}$ Tukey's post hoc testing was performed, and comparisons are shown in panel (**G**).

963¹⁵₁₆ Supplemental Figure 7: Structural model of the construct used in the molecular dynamics simulations. 964¹⁸₁₉ 965²⁰₂₁ the N-terminal RLuc (highlighted in green). We demonstrate the transition between an inactivate state with a low 966²²₂₃ interdomain rotation angle, and an activate state, with a high interdomain rotation angle.

96827 Supplemental Figure 8: Approach and source data for mass spectrometry to assess the global 28 96929 phosphoproteome. Related to Figure 5.

970³¹ (A) Schematic of experimental design of global phosphoproteomics experiments. (B) Venn diagram showing 32 971_{34}^{33} number of proteins and phosphoproteins identified. (C) Source data describing the proteome and 35 97236 phosphoproteome where Class I phosphorylation sites are defined as those with a localization probability of at 37 97338 least 0.75 (Olsen et al., 2006). (D) TMT labelling intensity across samples and pooled data demonstrating high 39 974⁴⁰ degrees of replicability. (E) Heatmap and dendrogram of individual pooled technical replicates of HEK293 cells 41 975_{43}^{42} treated with vehicle control, CXCL9, CXCL10, or CXCL11 demonstrating technical replicates of specific 44 97645 treatments cluster together. (F) Visual representation of GProX clustering.

46 97747

48

 962^{13}_{14}

 967_{25}^{24}

30

978⁴⁹ Supplemental Figure 9: Quantification of ERK1/2 phosphorylation at 5 minutes as grouped by receptor. 979⁵¹₅₂ Related to Figure 6.

 980_{54}^{53} (**A-E**) Quantification of western blots of phosphorylated ERK1/2 in HEK293 cells expressing the indicated 981_{56}^{55} receptor following stimulation with vehicle control or 100 nM of chemokine at five minutes. Mean ± SEM, n=4. 982_{59}^{58} *P<.05 by two-way ANOVA. Tukey's post hoc testing denotes comparisons between ligands. Chemokine 983_{61}^{60} treatments were significantly different from vehicle at all receptors.

63 64 65

45

1 984 ² 3	45
985 ⁴ 5	Supplemental Figure 10: Jurkat chemotaxis as grouped by receptor and univariate analyses of
986 ⁶ 7	chemotaxis data. Related to Figure 7.
8 9 87 9 10	(A-F) Normalized Jurkat chemotaxis data grouped by CXCR3-KO or receptor. Mean \pm SEM, n=4. *P<.05 by
988^{11}_{12}	two-way ANOVA, Tukey's post hoc testing. (G-I) Univariate linear regression of G Protein activation, β -arrestin
989^{13}_{14}	2 recruitment, and MAPK activation versus chemotaxis. Shown are the best fit lines and 95% confidence
990_{16}^{15}	intervals for each regression analysis. *P<.05 by F-test to determine if the slope of the best fit line is non-zero.
18 19	
20 21	
22 23 24	
24 25 26	
27 28	
29 30 31	
32 33	
34 35	
36 37 38	
39 40	
41 42	
43 44 45	
46 47	
48 49	
50 51 52	
53 54	
55 56	
57 58 59	
60 61	
62 63	
64 65	

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.14.532634; this version posted March 14, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC 4.0 International license. 1 46 2 REFERENCES 3 4 Allen, S.J., Crown, S.E., and Handel, T.M. (2007). Chemokine: receptor structure, interactions, and 5 antagonism. Annu Rev Immunol 25, 787-820. 10.1146/annurev.immunol.24.021605.090529. 6 994 7 Alvarez-Curto, E., Inoue, A., Jenkins, L., Raihan, S.Z., Prihandoko, R., Tobin, A.B., and Milligan, G. (2016). 995 8 Targeted Elimination of G Proteins and Arrestins Defines Their Specific Contributions to Both Intensity and 996 9 Duration of G Protein-coupled Receptor Signaling. J Biol Chem 291, 27147-27159. 10.1074/jbc.M116.754887. 99710 Baidya, M., Kumari, P., Dwivedi-Agnihotri, H., Pandey, S., Chaturvedi, M., Stepniewski, T.M., Kawakami, K., 99811 Cao, Y., Laporte, S.A., Selent, J., et al. (2020). Key phosphorylation sites in GPCRs orchestrate the 999^{12} contribution of beta-Arrestin 1 in ERK1/2 activation. EMBO Rep 21, e49886. 10.15252/embr.201949886. 00013 Bailey, T.L., Williams, N., Misleh, C., and Li, W.W. (2006). MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and protein 14 00115 sequence motifs. Nucleic Acids Res 34, W369-373. 10.1093/nar/gkl198. Beausoleil, S.A., Villen, J., Gerber, S.A., Rush, J., and Gygi, S.P. (2006). A probability-based approach for 002_{16} high-throughput protein phosphorylation analysis and site localization. Nat Biotechnol 24, 1285-1292. 00317 00418 10.1038/nbt1240. 00519 Bonacchi, A., Romagnani, P., Romanelli, R.G., Efsen, E., Annunziato, F., Lasagni, L., Francalanci, M., Serio, 006²⁰ M., Laffi, G., Pinzani, M., et al. (2001). Signal transduction by the chemokine receptor CXCR3: activation of 007^{21} Ras/ERK. Src. and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt controls cell migration and proliferation in human vascular 008^{22} pericytes. J Biol Chem 276, 9945-9954. 10.1074/jbc.M010303200. $008_{23}_{009_{24}_{24}}$ Bouzo-Lorenzo, M., Santo-Zas, I., Lodeiro, M., Nogueiras, R., Casanueva, F.F., Castro, M., Pazos, Y., Tobin, 010_{25} A.B., Butcher, A.J., and Camina, J.P. (2016). Distinct phosphorylation sites on the ghrelin receptor, GHSR1a, 011_{26} establish a code that determines the functions of ss-arrestins. Sci Rep 6, 22495. 10.1038/srep22495. 01227 Bradley, S.J., Molloy, C., Valuskova, P., Dwomoh, L., Scarpa, M., Rossi, M., Finlayson, L., Svensson, K.A., 01328 Chernet, E., Barth, V.N., et al. (2020). Biased M1-muscarinic-receptor-mutant mice inform the design of next-01429 generation drugs. Nat Chem Biol 16, 240-249. 10.1038/s41589-019-0453-9. 01530 Busillo, J.M., Armando, S., Sengupta, R., Meucci, O., Bouvier, M., and Benovic, J.L. (2010). Site-specific 016³¹ phosphorylation of CXCR4 is dynamically regulated by multiple kinases and results in differential modulation of 017^{32}_{33} CXCR4 signaling. J Biol Chem 285, 7805-7817. 10.1074/jbc.M109.091173. 01833 Butcher, A.J., Prihandoko, R., Kong, K.C., McWilliams, P., Edwards, J.M., Bottrill, A., Mistry, S., and Tobin, 01935 A.B. (2011). Differential G-protein-coupled receptor phosphorylation provides evidence for a signaling bar 020₃₆ code. J Biol Chem 286, 11506-11518. 10.1074/jbc.M110.154526. 02137 Cahill, T.J., 3rd, Thomsen, A.R., Tarrasch, J.T., Plouffe, B., Nguyen, A.H., Yang, F., Huang, L.Y., Kahsai, 02238 A.W., Bassoni, D.L., Gavino, B.J., et al. (2017). Distinct conformations of GPCR-beta-arrestin complexes 02339 mediate desensitization, signaling, and endocytosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114, 2562-2567. 024⁴⁰ 10.1073/pnas.1701529114. 025^{41} Chen, Q., Perry, N.A., Vishnivetskiy, S.A., Berndt, S., Gilbert, N.C., Zhuo, Y., Singh, P.K., Tholen, J., Ohi, $025_{42}\\026_{43}^{42}$ M.D., Gurevich, E.V., et al. (2017). Structural basis of arrestin-3 activation and signaling. Nat Commun 8, 1427. 027_{44}^{-1} 10.1038/s41467-017-01218-8. Cheng, A., Grant, C.E., Noble, W.S., and Bailey, T.L. (2019). MoMo: discovery of statistically significant post- 028_{45} 02946 translational modification motifs. Bioinformatics 35, 2774-2782. 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty1058. 03047 Chow, M.T., Ozga, A.J., Servis, R.L., Frederick, D.T., Lo, J.A., Fisher, D.E., Freeman, G.J., Boland, G.M., and 03148 Luster, A.D. (2019). Intratumoral Activity of the CXCR3 Chemokine System Is Required for the Efficacy of Anti-03249 PD-1 Therapy. Immunity 50, 1498-1512 e1495. 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.04.010. 033_50 Colvin, R.A., Campanella, G.S., Manice, L.A., and Luster, A.D. (2006). CXCR3 requires tyrosine sulfation for 034_{52}^{51} 035_{53}^{52} ligand binding and a second extracellular loop arginine residue for ligand-induced chemotaxis. Mol Cell Biol 26, 5838-5849. 10.1128/MCB.00556-06.

036₅₄ Colvin, R.A., Campanella, G.S., Sun, J., and Luster, A.D. (2004). Intracellular domains of CXCR3 that mediate 03755 CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 function. J Biol Chem 279, 30219-30227. 10.1074/jbc.M403595200.

 038_{56} Corbisier, J., Gales, C., Huszagh, A., Parmentier, M., and Springael, J.Y. (2015). Biased signaling at 03957 chemokine receptors. J Biol Chem 290, 9542-9554. 10.1074/jbc.M114.596098.

04058 Cox, J., and Mann, M. (2008). MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range 04159 mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein guantification. Nat Biotechnol 26, 1367-1372. 10.1038/nbt.1511. 042⁶⁰ Dwivedi-Agnihotri, H., Chaturvedi, M., Baidya, M., Stepniewski, T.M., Pandey, S., Maharana, J., Srivastava, A., 043_{62}^{61} Caengprasath, N., Hanyaloglu, A.C., Selent, J., and Shukla, A.K. (2020). Distinct phosphorylation sites in a

63 64

991

992

993

- 044 2 prototypical GPCR differently orchestrate beta-arrestin interaction, trafficking, and signaling, Sci Adv 6. 3 045 10.1126/sciadv.abb8368. 046 5 Eichel, K., Jullie, D., Barsi-Rhyne, B., Latorraca, N.R., Masureel, M., Sibarita, J.B., Dror, R.O., and von 047_{6}^{-} Zastrow, M. (2018). Catalytic activation of beta-arrestin by GPCRs. Nature 557, 381-386. 10.1038/s41586-018-048 7 0079-1. 049 🛽8 Eiger, D.S., Boldizsar, N., Honeycutt, C.C., Gardner, J., and Rajagopal, S. (2021). Biased agonism at 050 9 chemokine receptors. Cell Signal 78, 109862. 10.1016/j.cellsig.2020.109862. 05110 Ferguson, S.S., Downey, W.E., 3rd, Colapietro, A.M., Barak, L.S., Menard, L., and Caron, M.G. (1996). Role of 05211 beta-arrestin in mediating agonist-promoted G protein-coupled receptor internalization. Science 271, 363-366. 05312 10.1126/science.271.5247.363. 053_{13}^{13} 054_{14}^{13} 055_{15}^{14} Ge, L., Ly, Y., Hollenberg, M., and DeFea, K. (2003). A beta-arrestin-dependent scaffold is associated with prolonged MAPK activation in pseudopodia during protease-activated receptor-2-induced chemotaxis. J Biol Chem 278, 34418-34426. 10.1074/jbc.M300573200. 056_{16} Griffith, J.W., Sokol, C.L., and Luster, A.D. (2014). Chemokines and chemokine receptors: positioning cells for 05717 05818 host defense and immunity. Annu Rev Immunol 32, 659-702. 10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120145. 05919 Gurevich, V.V., and Gurevich, E.V. (2004). The molecular acrobatics of arrestin activation. Trends Pharmacol 060²⁰ Sci 25, 105-111. 10.1016/j.tips.2003.12.008. 061²¹ Gurevich, V.V., and Gurevich, E.V. (2019), GPCR Signaling Regulation: The Role of GRKs and Arrestins. $062^{22}_{23}_{063^{23}_{24}}$ Front Pharmacol 10, 125. 10.3389/fphar.2019.00125. Harvey, M.J., Giupponi, G., and Fabritiis, G.D. (2009). ACEMD: Accelerating Biomolecular Dynamics in the 064_{25}^{-} Microsecond Time Scale. J Chem Theory Comput 5, 1632-1639. 10.1021/ct9000685. 06526 Hauser, A.S., Attwood, M.M., Rask-Andersen, M., Schioth, H.B., and Gloriam, D.E. (2017). Trends in GPCR 06627 drug discovery: new agents, targets and indications. Nat Rev Drug Discov 16, 829-842. 10.1038/nrd.2017.178. 06728 He, Q.T., Xiao, P., Huang, S.M., Jia, Y.L., Zhu, Z.L., Lin, J.Y., Yang, F., Tao, X.N., Zhao, R.J., Gao, F.Y., et al. 06829 (2021). Structural studies of phosphorylation-dependent interactions between the V2R receptor and arrestin-2. 069³⁰ Nat Commun 12, 2396. 10.1038/s41467-021-22731-x. 070³¹ Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009a). Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the 071^{32}_{33} comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res 37, 1-13. 10.1093/nar/gkn923. 07234 Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009b). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene 073₃₅ lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 4, 44-57. 10.1038/nprot.2008.211. 07436 Huang, J., Rauscher, S., Nawrocki, G., Ran, T., Feig, M., de Groot, B.L., Grubmuller, H., and MacKerell, A.D., 07537 Jr. (2017). CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. Nat Methods 07638 14, 71-73. 10.1038/nmeth.4067. 07739 Inagaki, S., Ghirlando, R., Vishnivetskiy, S.A., Homan, K.T., White, J.F., Tesmer, J.J., Gurevich, V.V., and 078⁴⁰ Grisshammer, R. (2015). G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 2 (GRK2) and 5 (GRK5) Exhibit Selective 079^{41} Phosphorylation of the Neurotensin Receptor in Vitro. Biochemistry 54, 4320-4329. 080^{42}_{43} 10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00285. 08144 Inoue, A., Ishiguro, J., Kitamura, H., Arima, N., Okutani, M., Shuto, A., Higashiyama, S., Ohwada, T., Arai, H., Makide, K., and Aoki, J. (2012). TGFalpha shedding assay: an accurate and versatile method for detecting 082_{45} 08346 GPCR activation. Nat Methods 9, 1021-1029. 10.1038/nmeth.2172. 08447 Inoue, A., Raimondi, F., Kadji, F.M.N., Singh, G., Kishi, T., Uwamizu, A., Ono, Y., Shinjo, Y., Ishida, S., Arang, 08548 N., et al. (2019). Illuminating G-Protein-Coupling Selectivity of GPCRs. Cell 177, 1933-1947 e1925. 08649 10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.044. 087⁵⁰ Jung, S.R., Kushmerick, C., Seo, J.B., Koh, D.S., and Hille, B. (2017). Muscarinic receptor regulates extracellular signal regulated kinase by two modes of arrestin binding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114, E5579-E5588. 10.1073/pnas.1700331114. 09054 Kahsai, A.W., Pani, B., and Lefkowitz, R.J. (2018). GPCR signaling: conformational activation of arrestins. Cell 09155 Res 28, 783-784. 10.1038/s41422-018-0067-x. 09256 Kaya, A.I., Perry, N.A., Gurevich, V.V., and Iverson, T.M. (2020). Phosphorylation barcode-dependent signal 09357 bias of the dopamine D1 receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117, 14139-14149. 10.1073/pnas.1918736117. 09458 Keshava Prasad, T.S., Goel, R., Kandasamy, K., Keerthikumar, S., Kumar, S., Mathivanan, S., Telikicherla, D., 095⁵⁹ Raju, R., Shafreen, B., Venugopal, A., et al. (2009). Human Protein Reference Database--2009 update. 096⁶⁰ 61 Nucleic Acids Res 37, D767-772. 10.1093/nar/gkn892. 62 63 64
 - 65

- 1 097 2 Kim, Y.M., and Benovic, J.L. (2002). Differential roles of arrestin-2 interaction with clathrin and adaptor protein 3 2 in G protein-coupled receptor trafficking. J Biol Chem 277, 30760-30768. 10.1074/jbc.M204528200. 098 099 Kliewer, A., Schmiedel, F., Sianati, S., Bailey, A., Bateman, J.T., Levitt, E.S., Williams, J.T., Christie, M.J., and 5 Schulz, S. (2019). Phosphorylation-deficient G-protein-biased mu-opioid receptors improve analgesia and 100 6 101 7 diminish tolerance but worsen opioid side effects. Nat Commun 10, 367. 10.1038/s41467-018-08162-1. 102 8 Komolov, K.E., and Benovic, J.L. (2018). G protein-coupled receptor kinases: Past, present and future. Cell 103 9 Signal 41, 17-24. 10.1016/j.cellsig.2017.07.004. 10410 Kooistra, A.J., Mordalski, S., Pandy-Szekeres, G., Esquerra, M., Mamyrbekov, A., Munk, C., Keseru, G.M., and 105^{11} Gloriam, D.E. (2021). GPCRdb in 2021: integrating GPCR sequence, structure and function. Nucleic Acids Res 106¹² 49, D335-D343. 10.1093/nar/gkaa1080. 107,13 Kufareva, I., Salanga, C.L., and Handel, T.M. (2015). Chemokine and chemokine receptor structure and $107_{14} \\ 108_{15} \\ 100_{15}$ interactions: implications for therapeutic strategies. Immunol Cell Biol 93, 372-383. 10.1038/icb.2015.15. Kuo, P.T., Zeng, Z., Salim, N., Mattarollo, S., Wells, J.W., and Leggatt, G.R. (2018). The Role of CXCR3 and 10916 Its Chemokine Ligands in Skin Disease and Cancer. Front Med (Lausanne) 5, 271. 10.3389/fmed.2018.00271. 110_{17} 11118 Lachmann, A., and Ma'ayan, A. (2009). KEA: kinase enrichment analysis. Bioinformatics 25, 684-686. 11219 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp026. 113²⁰ Laporte, S.A., Oakley, R.H., Zhang, J., Holt, J.A., Ferguson, S.S., Caron, M.G., and Barak, L.S. (1999). The 114^{21} beta2-adrenergic receptor/betaarrestin complex recruits the clathrin adaptor AP-2 during endocytosis. Proc 11522 Natl Acad Sci U S A 96, 3712-3717. 10.1073/pnas.96.7.3712. 115_{23} 116_{24}^{23} Latorraca, N.R., Masureel, M., Hollingsworth, S.A., Heydenreich, F.M., Suomivuori, C.M., Brinton, C., 117_{25}^{-} Townshend, R.J.L., Bouvier, M., Kobilka, B.K., and Dror, R.O. (2020). How GPCR Phosphorylation Patterns 11826 Orchestrate Arrestin-Mediated Signaling. Cell 183, 1813-1825 e1818. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.014. 11927 Latorraca, N.R., Wang, J.K., Bauer, B., Townshend, R.J.L., Hollingsworth, S.A., Olivieri, J.E., Xu, H.E., 12028 Sommer, M.E., and Dror, R.O. (2018). Molecular mechanism of GPCR-mediated arrestin activation. Nature 12129 557, 452-456. 10.1038/s41586-018-0077-3. 122³⁰ Lee, M.H., Appleton, K.M., Strungs, E.G., Kwon, J.Y., Morinelli, T.A., Peterson, Y.K., Laporte, S.A., and 123³¹ Luttrell, L.M. (2016). The conformational signature of beta-arrestin2 predicts its trafficking and signalling 124^{32}_{33} 125^{33}_{33} functions. Nature 531, 665-668. 10.1038/nature17154. 12534 Lin, R., Choi, Y.H., Zidar, D.A., and Walker, J.K.L. (2018). beta-Arrestin-2-Dependent Signaling Promotes CCR4-mediated Chemotaxis of Murine T-Helper Type 2 Cells. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 58, 745-755. 12635 127₃₆ 10.1165/rcmb.2017-0240OC. 12837 Luttrell, L.M., Wang, J., Plouffe, B., Smith, J.S., Yamani, L., Kaur, S., Jean-Charles, P.Y., Gauthier, C., Lee, 12938 M.H., Pani, B., et al. (2018). Manifold roles of beta-arrestins in GPCR signaling elucidated with siRNA and 13039 CRISPR/Cas9. Sci Signal 11. 10.1126/scisignal.aat7650. 13140 Mann, A., Liebetrau, S., Klima, M., Dasgupta, P., Massotte, D., and Schulz, S. (2020). Agonist-induced 13241 phosphorylation bar code and differential post-activation signaling of the delta opioid receptor revealed by 132_{42} 133_{43}^{42} phosphosite-specific antibodies. Sci Rep 10, 8585. 10.1038/s41598-020-65589-7. 13444 Mantovani, A. (1999). The chemokine system: redundancy for robust outputs. Immunol Today 20, 254-257. 10.1016/s0167-5699(99)01469-3. 13545 13646 Marsango, S., Ward, R.J., Jenkins, L., Butcher, A.J., Al Mahmud, Z., Dwomoh, L., Nagel, F., Schulz, S., 13747 Tikhonova, I.G., Tobin, A.B., and Milligan, G. (2022). Selective phosphorylation of threonine residues defines 13848 GPR84-arrestin interactions of biased ligands. J Biol Chem 298, 101932. 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101932. 13949 Mayer, D., Damberger, F.F., Samarasimhareddy, M., Feldmueller, M., Vuckovic, Z., Flock, T., Bauer, B., Mutt, 140^{50} E., Zosel, F., Allain, F.H.T., et al. (2019). Distinct G protein-coupled receptor phosphorylation motifs modulate 141_{52}^{51} 142_{53}^{52} arrestin affinity and activation and global conformation. Nat Commun 10, 1261. 10.1038/s41467-019-09204-y. Mertins, P., Tang, L.C., Krug, K., Clark, D.J., Gritsenko, M.A., Chen, L., Clauser, K.R., Clauss, T.R., Shah, P., 143₅₄ Gillette, M.A., et al. (2018). Reproducible workflow for multiplexed deep-scale proteome and phosphoproteome 14455 analysis of tumor tissues by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Nat Protoc 13, 1632-1661. 14556 10.1038/s41596-018-0006-9. Miess, E., Gondin, A.B., Yousuf, A., Steinborn, R., Mosslein, N., Yang, Y., Goldner, M., Ruland, J.G., 14657 14758 Bunemann, M., Krasel, C., et al. (2018). Multisite phosphorylation is required for sustained interaction with 148⁵⁹ GRKs and arrestins during rapid mu-opioid receptor desensitization. Sci Signal 11. 10.1126/scisignal.aas9609. 60 61 62

 - 63
 - 64
 - 65

- 1 149 ² Nobles, K.N., Xiao, K., Ahn, S., Shukla, A.K., Lam, C.M., Rajagopal, S., Strachan, R.T., Huang, T.Y., Bressler, 150³. E.A., Hara, M.R., et al. (2011). Distinct phosphorylation sites on the beta(2)-adrenergic receptor establish a 151 barcode that encodes differential functions of beta-arrestin. Sci Signal 4, ra51. 10.1126/scisignal.2001707. 5 Nuber, S., Zabel, U., Lorenz, K., Nuber, A., Milligan, G., Tobin, A.B., Lohse, M.J., and Hoffmann, C. (2016). 152 6 153 7 beta-Arrestin biosensors reveal a rapid, receptor-dependent activation/deactivation cycle. Nature 531, 661-154 8 664. 10.1038/nature17198. Oakley, R.H., Laporte, S.A., Holt, J.A., Barak, L.S., and Caron, M.G. (1999). Association of beta-arrestin with G 155 9 15610 protein-coupled receptors during clathrin-mediated endocytosis dictates the profile of receptor resensitization. J 15711 Biol Chem 274, 32248-32257. 10.1074/jbc.274.45.32248. 15812 Olsen, J.V., Blagoev, B., Gnad, F., Macek, B., Kumar, C., Mortensen, P., and Mann, M. (2006). Global, in vivo, 15913 and site-specific phosphorylation dynamics in signaling networks. Cell 127, 635-648. 160^{14}_{15} 10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.026. 161₁₆ Olsen, R.H.J., DiBerto, J.F., English, J.G., Glaudin, A.M., Krumm, B.E., Slocum, S.T., Che, T., Gavin, A.C., McCorvy, J.D., Roth, B.L., and Strachan, R.T. (2020). TRUPATH, an open-source biosensor platform for 16217 16318 interrogating the GPCR transducerome. Nat Chem Biol 16, 841-849. 10.1038/s41589-020-0535-8. 16419 Pack, T.F., Orlen, M.I., Ray, C., Peterson, S.M., and Caron, M.G. (2018). The dopamine D2 receptor can 16520 directly recruit and activate GRK2 without G protein activation. J Biol Chem 293, 6161-6171. 166²¹ 10.1074/jbc.RA117.001300. $167^{22}_{23}_{168^{23}_{24}}$ Pandey, S., Kumari, P., Baidya, M., Kise, R., Cao, Y., Dwivedi-Agnihotri, H., Banerjee, R., Li, X.X., Cui, C.S., Lee, J.D., et al. (2021a). Intrinsic bias at non-canonical, beta-arrestin-coupled seven transmembrane receptors. Mol Cell 81, 4605-4621 e4611. 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.09.007. 17026 Pandey, S., Kumari, P., Baidya, M., Kise, R., Cao, Y., Dwivedi-Agnihotri, H., Banerjee, R., Li, X.X., Cui, C.S., 17127 Lee, J.D., et al. (2021b). Intrinsic bias at non-canonical, beta-arrestin-coupled seven transmembrane 17228 receptors. Mol Cell. 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.09.007. 17329 Pupo, A.S., Duarte, D.A., Lima, V., Teixeira, L.B., Parreiras, E.S.L.T., and Costa-Neto, C.M. (2016). Recent 17430 updates on GPCR biased agonism. Pharmacol Res 112, 49-57. 10.1016/j.phrs.2016.01.031. 175³¹ Rajagopal, S., Bassoni, D.L., Campbell, J.J., Gerard, N.P., Gerard, C., and Wehrman, T.S. (2013). Biased 176_{33}^{32} 176_{33}^{33} 177_{34}^{33} agonism as a mechanism for differential signaling by chemokine receptors. The Journal of biological chemistry 288, 35039-35048. 10.1074/jbc.M113.479113. Ribas, C., Penela, P., Murga, C., Salcedo, A., Garcia-Hoz, C., Jurado-Pueyo, M., Aymerich, I., and Mayor, F., 17835 179₃₆ Jr. (2007). The G protein-coupled receptor kinase (GRK) interactome: role of GRKs in GPCR regulation and signaling. Biochim Biophys Acta 1768, 913-922. 10.1016/j.bbamem.2006.09.019. 18037 18138 Rigbolt, K.T., Vanselow, J.T., and Blagoev, B. (2011). GProX, a user-friendly platform for bioinformatics 18239 analysis and visualization of quantitative proteomics data. Mol Cell Proteomics 10, O110 007450. 183⁴⁰ 10.1074/mcp.O110.007450. $184^{41}_{...}$ Rodriguez-Espigares, I., Torrens-Fontanals, M., Tiemann, J.K.S., Aranda-Garcia, D., Ramirez-Anguita, J.M., 184_{42} 185_{43}^{42} Stepniewski, T.M., Worp, N., Varela-Rial, A., Morales-Pastor, A., Medel-Lacruz, B., et al. (2020). GPCRmd 18644 uncovers the dynamics of the 3D-GPCRome. Nat Methods 17, 777-787. 10.1038/s41592-020-0884-y. Sato, P.Y., Chuprun, J.K., Schwartz, M., and Koch, W.J. (2015). The evolving impact of g protein-coupled 18745 18846 receptor kinases in cardiac health and disease. Physiol Rev 95, 377-404. 10.1152/physrev.00015.2014. 18947 Scarpa, M., Molloy, C., Jenkins, L., Strellis, B., Budgett, R.F., Hesse, S., Dwomoh, L., Marsango, S., Tejeda, 19048 G.S., Rossi, M., et al. (2021). Biased M1 muscarinic receptor mutant mice show accelerated progression of 19149 prion neurodegenerative disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118. 10.1073/pnas.2107389118. 192⁵⁰ Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. 193_{52}^{51} 193_{52}^{52} 194_{53}^{53} Nat Methods 9, 671-675. 10.1038/nmeth.2089. Sente, A., Peer, R., Srivastava, A., Baidya, M., Lesk, A.M., Balaji, S., Shukla, A.K., Babu, M.M., and Flock, T. 195₅₄ (2018). Molecular mechanism of modulating arrestin conformation by GPCR phosphorylation. Nat Struct Mol 19655 Biol 25, 538-545. 10.1038/s41594-018-0071-3. 19756 Shahabuddin, S., Ji, R., Wang, P., Brailoiu, E., Dun, N., Yang, Y., Aksoy, M.O., and Kelsen, S.G. (2006). 19857 CXCR3 chemokine receptor-induced chemotaxis in human airway epithelial cells: role of p38 MAPK and PI3K 19958 signaling pathways. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 291, C34-39. 10.1152/ajpcell.00441.2005. 20059 Shi, Y., Kornovski, B.S., Savani, R., and Turley, E.A. (1993). A rapid, multiwell colorimetric assay for 201⁶⁰ 61 chemotaxis. J Immunol Methods 164, 149-154. 10.1016/0022-1759(93)90307-s. 62 63 64
 - 65

- 202 2 Shukla, A.K., Manglik, A., Kruse, A.C., Xiao, K., Reis, R.I., Tseng, W.C., Staus, D.P., Hilger, D., Uysal, S., 3 203 Huang, L.Y., et al. (2013). Structure of active beta-arrestin-1 bound to a G-protein-coupled receptor phosphopeptide. Nature 497, 137-141. 10.1038/nature12120. 204 5 205 Shukla, A.K., Violin, J.D., Whalen, E.J., Gesty-Palmer, D., Shenoy, S.K., and Lefkowitz, R.J. (2008). Distinct 6 conformational changes in beta-arrestin report biased agonism at seven-transmembrane receptors. Proc Natl 206 7 207 8 Acad Sci U S A 105, 9988-9993. 10.1073/pnas.0804246105. Smith, J.S., Alagesan, P., Desai, N.K., Pack, T.F., Wu, J.H., Inoue, A., Freedman, N.J., and Rajagopal, S. 208 9 20910 (2017), C-X-C Motif Chemokine Receptor 3 Splice Variants Differentially Activate Beta-Arrestins to Regulate 21011 Downstream Signaling Pathways. Molecular pharmacology 92, 136-150. 10.1124/mol.117.108522. 21112 Smith, J.S., Nicholson, L.T., Suwanpradid, J., Glenn, R.A., Knape, N.M., Alagesan, P., Gundry, J.N., $212^{13}_{14}_{213^{14}_{15}}$ Wehrman, T.S., Atwater, A.R., Gunn, M.D., et al. (2018a). Biased agonists of the chemokine receptor CXCR3 differentially control chemotaxis and inflammation. Science Signaling 11, eaaq1075. 214₁₆ 10.1126/scisignal.aag1075. Smith, J.S., Nicholson, L.T., Suwanpradid, J., Glenn, R.A., Knape, N.M., Alagesan, P., Gundry, J.N., 21517 21618 Wehrman, T.S., Atwater, A.R., Gunn, M.D., et al. (2018b). Biased agonists of the chemokine receptor CXCR3 21719 differentially control chemotaxis and inflammation. Sci Signal 11. 10.1126/scisignal.aag1075. 21820 Smith, J.S., Pack, T.F., Inoue, A., Lee, C., Zheng, K., Choi, I., Eiger, D.S., Warman, A., Xiong, X., Ma, Z., et al. 219²¹ (2021), Noncanonical scaffolding of Galphai and beta-arrestin by G protein-coupled receptors, Science 371, $220^{22}_{23}_{221}^{23}_{24}$ 10.1126/science.aav1833. Smith, J.S., and Rajagopal, S. (2016). The beta-Arrestins: Multifunctional Regulators of G Protein-coupled 222_{25}^{-1} Receptors. J Biol Chem 291, 8969-8977. 10.1074/jbc.R115.713313. 22326 Smith, J.S., Rajagopal, S., and Atwater, A.R. (2018c). Chemokine Signaling in Allergic Contact Dermatitis: 224₂₇ Toward Targeted Therapies. Dermatitis 29, 179-186. 10.1097/DER.0000000000000391. 22528 Stevers, L.M., Sijbesma, E., Botta, M., MacKintosh, C., Obsil, T., Landrieu, I., Cau, Y., Wilson, A.J., 22629 Karawajczyk, A., Eickhoff, J., et al. (2018). Modulators of 14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interactions. J Med Chem 61, 227³⁰ 3755-3778. 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00574. 228^{31} Strungs, E.G., Luttrell, L.M., and Lee, M.H. (2019). Probing Arrestin Function Using Intramolecular FIAsH- $229^{32}_{33}_{33}_{230^{34}_{34}}$ BRET Biosensors. Methods Mol Biol 1957, 309-322. 10.1007/978-1-4939-9158-7 19. Sun, Y., Cheng, Z., Ma, L., and Pei, G. (2002). Beta-arrestin2 is critically involved in CXCR4-mediated 231₃₅ chemotaxis, and this is mediated by its enhancement of p38 MAPK activation. J Biol Chem 277, 49212-49219. 232₃₆ 10.1074/jbc.M207294200. Tobin, A.B. (2008). G-protein-coupled receptor phosphorylation: where, when and by whom. Br J Pharmacol 23337 23438 153 Suppl 1, S167-176. 10.1038/sj.bjp.0707662. 23539 Tsai, C.F., Smith, J.S., Krajewski, K., Zhao, R., Moghieb, A.M., Nicora, C.D., Xiong, X., Moore, R.J., Liu, T., 236⁴⁰ Smith, R.D., et al. (2019). Tandem Mass Tag Labeling Facilitates Reversed-Phase Liquid Chromatography-23741 Mass Spectrometry Analysis of Hydrophilic Phosphopeptides. Anal Chem 91, 11606-11613. 238_{43}^{42} 10.1021/acs.analchem.9b01814. 239_{44}^{13} Tsai, C.F., Zhao, R., Williams, S.M., Moore, R.J., Schultz, K., Chrisler, W.B., Pasa-Tolic, L., Rodland, K.D., 24045 Smith, R.D., Shi, T., et al. (2020). An Improved Boosting to Amplify Signal with Isobaric Labeling (iBASIL) 241₄₆ Strategy for Precise Quantitative Single-cell Proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics *19*, 828-838. 24247 10.1074/mcp.RA119.001857. 24348 Tyanova, S., Temu, T., and Cox, J. (2016a). The MaxQuant computational platform for mass spectrometry-244⁴⁹ based shotgun proteomics. Nat Protoc 11, 2301-2319. 10.1038/nprot.2016.136. 245^{50}_{-} Tvanova, S., Temu, T., Sinitcyn, P., Carlson, A., Hein, M.Y., Geiger, T., Mann, M., and Cox, J. (2016b). The 243 246^{51}_{52} 247^{52}_{53} Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. Nat Methods 13, 731-740. 10.1038/nmeth.3901. 24854 Watanabe, N., and Osada, H. (2012). Phosphorylation-dependent protein-protein interaction modules as 24955 potential molecular targets for cancer therapy. Curr Drug Targets 13, 1654-1658. 250₅₆ 10.2174/138945012803530035. 25157 Watanabe, Y., Yoshizawa, A.C., Ishihama, Y., and Okuda, S. (2021). The jPOST Repository as a Public Data 25258 Repository for Shotgun Proteomics. Methods Mol Biol 2259, 309-322. 10.1007/978-1-0716-1178-4 20. 253⁵⁹ Xiao, K., Shenoy, S.K., Nobles, K., and Lefkowitz, R.J. (2004). Activation-dependent conformational changes 253⁶⁰ 254⁶⁰₆₁ in {beta}-arrestin 2. J Biol Chem 279, 55744-55753. 10.1074/jbc.M409785200. 62 63 64
 - 65

- ² Yang, F., Yu, X., Liu, C., Qu, C.X., Gong, Z., Liu, H.D., Li, F.H., Wang, H.M., He, D.F., Yi, F., et al. (2015).
 ³ Phospho-selective mechanisms of arrestin conformations and functions revealed by unnatural amino acid
 ⁴ incorporation and (19)F-NMR. Nat Commun *6*, 8202. 10.1038/ncomms9202.
- 258 ⁵₆ Yang, Z., Yang, F., Zhang, D., Liu, Z., Lin, A., Liu, C., Xiao, P., Yu, X., and Sun, J.P. (2017). Phosphorylation
- 259 7 of G Protein-Coupled Receptors: From the Barcode Hypothesis to the Flute Model. Mol Pharmacol 92, 201-260 8 210. 10.1124/mol.116.107839.
- 261 9 Zheng, K., Smith, J.S., Eiger, D.S., Warman, A., Choi, I., Honeycutt, C.C., Boldizsar, N., Gundry, J.N., Pack,
- 262¹⁰ T.F., Inoue, A., et al. (2022). Biased agonists of the chemokine receptor CXCR3 differentially signal through 262¹¹ Colobait bate arrestic complexes. Sci Signal 15, cobg5202, 10, 1126/coloiginal obg5202
- 263¹¹ Galphai:beta-arrestin complexes. Sci Signal *15*, eabg5203. 10.1126/scisignal.abg5203.
- Zhou, X.E., He, Y., de Waal, P.W., Gao, X., Kang, Y., Van Eps, N., Yin, Y., Pal, K., Goswami, D., White, T.A.,
 et al. (2017). Identification of Phosphorylation Codes for Arrestin Recruitment by G Protein-Coupled Receptors.
 Cell 170, 457-469 e413. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.002.
- 267_{16}^{17} Zlotnik, A., and Yoshie, O. (2012). The chemokine superfamily revisited. Immunity 36, 705-716. 268₁₇ 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.05.008.













