

# 

**Citation:** Soo JY, Wiese MD, Dyson RM, Gray CL, Clarkson AN, Morrison JL, et al. (2020) Methamphetamine administration increases hepatic CYP1A2 but not CYP3A activity in female guinea pigs. PLoS ONE 15(5): e0233010. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233010

Editor: Partha Mukhopadhyay, National Institutes of Health, UNITED STATES

Received: July 24, 2019

Accepted: April 28, 2020

Published: May 12, 2020

**Copyright:** © 2020 Soo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** JYS was funded by an Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) scholarship. RMD was funded by a University of Otago Health Sciences Career Development Postdoctoral Fellowship. JLM was funded by a NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (APP1066916) and an ARC Future Fellowship (Level 3; FT170100431). The animal component of RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Methamphetamine administration increases hepatic CYP1A2 but not CYP3A activity in female guinea pigs

Jia Yin Soo<sup>1,2©</sup>, Michael D. Wiese<sup>2©</sup>, Rebecca M. Dyson<sup>3</sup>, Clint L. Gray<sup>3</sup>, Andrew N. Clarkson<sup>4</sup>, Janna L. Morrison<sup>1,2©</sup>\*, Mary J. Berry<sup>3©</sup>\*

1 Early Origins of Adult Health Research Group, University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia, 2 Health and Biomedical Innovation, University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia, 3 Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Brain Health Research Centre and Brain Research New Zealand, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand, 4 Department of Anatomy, Brain Health Research Centre and Brain Research New Zealand, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* Janna.Morrison@unisa.edu.au (JLM); max.berry@otago.ac.nz (MJB)

# Abstract

Methamphetamine use has increased over the past decade and the first use of methamphetamine is most often when women are of reproductive age. Methamphetamine accumulates in the liver; however, little is known about the effect of methamphetamine use on hepatic drug metabolism. Methamphetamine was administered on 3 occassions to female Dunkin Hartley guinea pigs of reproductive age, mimicking recreational drug use. Low doses of test drugs caffeine and midazolam were administered after the third dose of methamphetamine to assess the functional activity of cytochrome P450 1A2 and 3A, respectively. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction was used to quantify the mRNA expression of factors involved in glucocorticoid signalling, inflammation, oxidative stress and drug transporters. This study showed that methamphetamine administration decreased hepatic CYP1A2 mRNA expression, but increased CYP1A2 enzyme activity. Methamphetamine had no effect on CYP3A enzyme activity. In addition, we found that methamphetamine may also result in changes in glucocorticoid bioavailability, as we found a decrease in 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1 mRNA expression, which converts inactive cortisone into active cortisol. This study has shown that methamphetamine administration has the potential to alter drug metabolism via the CYP1A2 metabolic pathway in female guinea pigs. This may have clinical implications for drug dosing in female methamphetamine users of reproductive age.

# Introduction

Methamphetamine is a potent central nervous system stimulant. At low to moderate doses, it can induce euphoria, altered mental state, tachycardia, anxiety and reduced appetite [1]. Methamphetamine use is a worldwide problem. In 2012, over 100,000 new methamphetamine users the work was funded by an Otago Medical School Collaborative Grant to ANC and MJB.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

were recorded in the United States alone [2]. Similarly, in Australia in 2013–14 there were more than 250,000 regular methamphetamine users [3] whereas New Zealand reported an increase in the percentage of people that have used methamphetamine from 0.2% to 1.8% between 1996–2006 [4]. Coinciding with an increased detection of illegal methamphetamine laboratories [5], there has been an increase in seizures or violations related to methamphet-amine and precursor chemicals (pseudoephedrine or ephedrine) [5].

Most studies of the effects of methamphetamine are carried out in males; however, the average age for first methamphetamine use is now ~19 years [2], making it a drug that is widely available to women of child bearing age. Furthermore, the rate of pregnant women presenting to hospital with methamphetamine-related problems has tripled from 8% of total methamphetamine-related presentations in 1994 to 24% in 2006 [6]. The ability of methamphetamine to cross the placenta into the fetal circulation has been shown in human [7] and animal [8] studies. Given that 15–50% of pregnancies are unplanned [9–11], *in utero* methamphetamine exposure is a major public health issue, the full impact of which has not, as yet, been determined.

The direct effects of methamphetamine alone are difficult to assess in humans as  $\sim$ 60% of methampetamine users combine methamphetamine abuse with other drugs [12]. Whilst methamphetamine accumulates in the liver [13, 14], little is known about the effect of methamphetamine on hepatic function, and even less is known about the effect of methamphetamine on hepatic drug metabolism.

Hepatic metabolism usually results in inactivation and detoxification (Phase I) or increased hydrophilicity (Phase II) of drugs. CYPs are a superfamily of hemoproteins that are important enzymes involved in the phase I metabolism of numerous endogenous and exogenous chemicals, including a wide range of illicit (e.g. tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), methamphetamine and ecstasy [15, 16]) and therapeutic drugs. CYP3A is probably the most important CYP with regard to drug metabolism, as it metabolises approximately 30% of exogenous chemicals, and CYP1A2 plays a significant role in the metabolism of several medicines, including analgesics and antipyretics (paracetamol, lignocaine), antipsychotics (olanzapine, clozapine) and antidepressants [17]. Hepatic drug transporters such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp) and breast cancer resistant protein (BCRP) also play an important role in the regulation of drug concentrations in the hepatocyte [18]. Methamphetamine increases activity of CYP3A in male rats [19]; however, the effects of methamphetamine on female cytochrome P450 enzyme activity is not known. Drug metabolism profiles are sex-specific [20-23] and thus it is essential to understand the full impact of methamphetamine use in females, particularly those of reproductive age. However, there is a paucity of data examining the impact of methamphetamine and other drugs in females, especially those of reproductive age, limiting the clinicians ability to predict the maternal and/or fetal consequences of drug exposure.

Methamphetamine has been shown to dysregulate glucocorticoid [24], inflammatory [25] and oxidative stress [26] pathways, and in turn these pathways can modify CYP activity (Fig 1). For example, CYP function can be influenced by a range of transcription factors such as pregnane X receptor (*PXR*), which <u>upregulate</u> CYP3A in the presence of toxic substances and steroids [27, 28].

Functional activity of CYPs *in vivo* can be determined by administering a low dose of a probe drug that is metabolised by a specific CYP to a known metabolite and measuring the concentration of the parent drug and its metabolite over time (Fig 2). Enzyme activity is determined by calculating the exposure of the metabolite produced (as measured by the Area Under the Concentration-time curve, AUC) relative to the exposure to parent drug. For studies in humans where CYP activity is assessed, this is a common approach [29, 30].





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233010.g001

As such, this study aimed to determine the effect of methamphetamine exposure in female guinea pigs of reproductive age on different factors that can regulate CYP450 activity and enzyme activity of CYP1A2 and CYP3A.

#### Methods and materials

#### Materials

Acetonitrile (Honeywell Australia, North Ryde, Australia); caffeine citrate (Biomed Limited, Auckland, New Zealand); internal standard caffeine d3 (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, Canada); Formic acid (Sigma Aldrich, New South Wales, Australia); isoflurane (Attane<sup>TM</sup>, Bayer, Auckland, New Zealand); KiCqStart SYBR Green qPCR ReadyMix Low Rox (Sigma Aldrich, New South Wales, Australia); methamphetamine (BDG Synthesis, Wellington, New Zealand); internal standard methamphetamine d5 (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, Canada); midazolam (Claris, AFT Pharmaceutical Limited, Auckland, New Zealand); internal standard midazolam d6 (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, Canada); internal standard paraxanthine d3 (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, Canada); QIAZOL Lysis Reagent (QIAGEN, Victoria); RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Victoria); sodium pentobarbital (Pentobarb, Provet, Palmerston North, New Zealand).

#### Ethical approvals and animals

All procedures were approved by the University of Otago, Wellington Animal Ethics Committee. Eight sexually mature female virgin Dunkin Hartley guinea pigs (33–49 weeks old; breeding colony in the Biomedical Research Unit at University of Otago, Wellington) were allocated into either saline (Control; n = 4) or methamphetamine (MA; n = 4) treatment groups, randomly matched for age and weight at commencement of study [31]. Guinea pigs were housed individually in a 12:12 hr light cycle. Methamphetamine (5 mg/kg) or an equivalent volume of



saline was administered subcutaneously on three alternate days to mimic recreational drug exposure.

#### Functional analysis of drug metabolism

One week before the first methamphetamine exposure and 2 hours after the third methamphetamine exposure, test drugs containing low dose of midazolam (0.03 mg/kg) and caffeine (5 mg/kg) was administered orally to the MA group. Blood samples (300  $\mu$ l) were collected from the ear vein at 1, 2, 3, and 4 hrs after its administration. Each animal therefore acted as its own control for the effect of methamphetamine on hepatic drug metabolism.

#### Post mortem and tissue collection

Eight hours after the third methamphetamine dose, anaesthesia was induced by chamber inhalation of 4% isoflurane and maintained by mask inhalation of 2–2.5% isoflurane in 100%  $O_2$ (BOC Gas, New Zealand). Once a deep surgical anaesthesia was obtained, the liver was excised, weighed, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until analysis. Blood was collected by cardiac puncture into EDTA vacutainers. Blood samples were spun at 4000g for 10 minutes and plasma was collected and stored at -80°C until analysis. Guinea pigs were then euthanised with an intracardiac overdose of sodium pentobarbital (>100 mg/kg).

#### Methamphetamine assay

Plasma concentrations of methamphetamine were determined via liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) as previously described with slight modifications [32]. Plasma (50  $\mu$ L) was diluted with 50  $\mu$ L MilliQ water, internal standard (methamphetamined5) was added and plasma protein was precipitated via the addition of acetonitrile (100  $\mu$ L) and 0.2M zinc sulfate (100  $\mu$ L). 10  $\mu$ L was injected onto a Titan C18 UHPLC column (1.9  $\mu$ M, 2.1X100 mm, Sigma Aldrich, New South Wales, Australia). Mobile phase A was 0.05% formic acid in water and mobile phase B was acetonitrile. The flow rate was 0.3 mL/min, and Mobile phase B was run at 10% for 1 minute, and then increased to 95% over 4 minutes. This was held for 1 minute, and then returned to 10% for 2 minutes until the next injection. Methamphetamine was detected using a Shimadzu 8060 LC-MS/MS (Shimadzu Kyoto, Japan), system in positive ion mode by determination of the area obtained from the methamphetamine peak to that of the internal standard (d5-methamphetamine), and comparing to a standard curve where known concentrations of methamphetamine (5–500 ng/mL) were added to blank plasma.

#### Measurement of functional activity of CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 enzymes

Plasma (100  $\mu$ L) was precipated using 900  $\mu$ L of acetonitrile and 50 $\mu$ L of internal standard mastermix (10 ng/mL midazolam d6, 500 ng/mL caffeine d3 and 1500ng/mL paraxanthine d3) was added. The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged at 10,000 *g* for 10 minutes at 4°C. The clear supernatant was collected and evaporated to dryness using a Concentrator plus (Eppendorf). The samples were reconstituted using 200  $\mu$ L of 20% acetonitrile in water. Analytes were separated using a reverse phase liquid chromatography (LC) method whereby 30  $\mu$ L of sample was injected onto a Kinetex EVO 2.6  $\mu$ M, 2.1X100 mm, C18 LC column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA). Mobile phase A was 0.05% formic acid in water and mobile phase B was 100% acetonitrile. The flow rate was 0.3 mL/min, and mobile phase B was initially 20%, which was increased linearly to 100% over 4 minutes. Analytes were detected using LC-MS/MS (LCMS8060, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), which was operated in positive ion mode. The area fo

each analyte was compared to the area of the relevant internal standard (midazolam-d6 was used for hydroxymidazolam) and concentration in each sample was calculated using standard curves prepared in blank plasma in the range of 1–200 ng/mL (midazolam and hydroxymida-zolam) and 25–5000 ng/mL (caffeine and paraxanthine).

The area under the curve (AUC) of caffeine, paraxanthine, midazolam and hydroxymidazolam between administration and 6 hours was determined via non-compartmental analysis using PK Solver [33], and the metabolic activity of CYP1A2 and CYP3A were determined as the ratio between the AUC of caffeine:paraxanthine and midazolam:hydroxymidazolam respectively.

#### Quantification of gene expression

**Total RNA extraction.** To ensure all essential steps in the protocol were included, we followed the MIQE guidelines [34]. Total RNA extraction (Control, n = 4; MA, n = 4) was carried out using QIAZOL Lysis Reagent and RNeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions as previously described [35, 36]. Total RNA was quantified by spectrophotometric measurements at 260 and 280 nm using NanoDrop<sup>TM</sup> Lite Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Australia). Protein and DNA contamination in each sample was checked using 260/280 nm ratio results. RNA integrity was assessed by verifying the RNA bands on a 1% agarose gel. cDNA was synthesised as previously described [35]. Genomic DNA and reagent contamination were tested using controls containing either no Superscript III (No Amplification Control (NAC)) or no RNA transcript (No Template Control (NTC)), respectively.

**Primer design and validation.** Primer pairs for breast cancer resistant protein (*BCRP*), cytochrome P450 1A2 (*CYP1A2*), pregnane X receptor (*PXR*), glucocorticoid receptor (*GR*), 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (*11βHSD*) 1, *11βHSD2*, mineralocorticoid receptor (*MR*), *p50*, *p65*, monocyte chemoattractant Protein (*MCP*) 1, Superoxide dismutase (*SOD*) 1 and *SOD2* were designed using Cavia porcellus sequences. Primer concentrations were optimised (<u>S1 Table</u>), validated to generate a single transcript and the product was sequenced by Australian Genome Research Facility Ltd.

**Quantitative real-time PCR.**  $\beta$  *actin, beta-2 microglobulin (B2M)* and *18S* were selected from a panel of reference genes using the geNorm component of the qBase 2.0 relative quantification model (Biogazelle, Belgium) as they were expressed stably across treatment groups (Control vs. MA) [36–38].

The mRNA expression of P-glycoprotein (*P-gp*) [36], *CYP1A2*, *PXR*, *GR*, *11βHSD1*, *11βHSD2*, *MR*, *p50*, *p65*, *MCP1*, *SOD1*, *SOD2* and reference genes in liver samples were measured using KiCqStart SYBR Green qPCR ReadyMix Low Rox on a ViiA7 Fast Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, California, USA) as previously described [35, 36]. The reactions were quantified by setting the threshold within the exponential growth phase of the amplification curve and obtaining corresponding C<sub>t</sub> values. DataAssist Software v3.0 (Applied Biosystems) [38] was used to find the  $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ , which shows the abundance of each transcript relative to the abundance of the three stable reference genes and is expressed as mean normalized expression. DataAssist 3.0 analysis software (Applied Biosystems, California, USA) was used to normalise the abundance of target genes relative to the abundance of reference genes and expressed as mRNA mean normalised expression (MNE) ± SEM.

#### Statistical analysis

Body and liver weight and gene expression data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) and analysed using unpaired Student's t-test. Enzyme function data taken pre

and post methamphetamine administration was analysed using paired t-test. A probability level of 5% (P<0.05) was considered statistically significant.

### Results

#### Physical characteristics of guinea pigs

There was no difference in body weight at the beginning of the protocol (Control:  $872 \pm 57g$ ; MA:  $888 \pm 61g$ ) or at post-mortem (Control:  $867 \pm 55g$ ; MA:  $854 \pm 54g$ ) between Control and MA groups. There was also no difference in whole liver weight (Control:  $27.3 \pm 1.3g$ ; MA:  $26.3 \pm 1.1g$ ) or liver weight when expressed relative to body weight (Control:  $3.2 \pm 0.1g/g$ ; MA:  $3.1 \pm 0.2g/g$ ) between the Control and MA groups. There were no methamphetamine-related deaths during this study.

#### Methamphetamine plasma concentration

The mean maximum plasma concentration of methamphetamine (first blood sample taken 2 hours after administration) was  $15.5 \pm 7.7 \text{ mcg/mL}$ . The plasma concentration of methamphetamine dropped rapidly in the first four hours after administration (Fig 3). The average area under the curve (AUC) was  $27 \pm 6.9 \text{ mcg mL}^{-1}$  hr.



**Fig 3.** Methamphetamine plasma concentration (n = 4) vs time (hours) after third methamphetamine dose. Test drugs caffeine (metabolised by CYP1A2) and midazolam (metabolised by CYP3A) was administered 2 hours after the methamphetamine dose and plasma samples were collected 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8 hours after methamphetamine dose. Error bars represent standard error of mean (SEM).



**Fig 4.** Normalised mRNA expression of hepatic drug metabolising enzyme *CYP1A2* (A) between Control (n = 4) and MA (n = 4) groups. MNE, mean normalised expression; MA, methamphetamine; unpaired t test; \**P*<0.05 from Control.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233010.g004

# Effect of methamphetamine administration on hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes

Methamphetamine administration reduced the mRNA expression of *CYP1A2* compared to controls (MA; 0.0032  $\pm$  0.0002 vs 0.00105  $\pm$  0.0001, P = 0.014, Fig 4). In the 4 animals administered MA, the caffeine:paraxanthine AUC was reduced after MA administration (pre vs post MA 0.205  $\pm$  0.013 vs 0.175  $\pm$  0.009, P = 0.0138, Fig 5A), suggesting increased activity of CYP1A2.

No significant effect of MA administration on the functional activity of the CYP3A enzyme was apparent (pre vs post MA midazolam:hydroxymidazolam AUC 2.22  $\pm$  0.71 vs 3.02  $\pm$  0.945, *P* = 0.095, Fig 5B).





#### Effect of methamphetamine on hepatic drug transporter gene expression

Methamphetamine administration had no effect on hepatic mRNA expression of the drug *P*gp (Control:  $0.019 \pm 0.003$  vs MA:  $0.015 \pm 0.002$ , P = 0.297 Fig 6A) and *BCRP* (Control:  $0.00012 \pm 0.00002$  vs MA:  $0.00011 \pm 0.00001$ , P = 0.673, Fig 6B).

# Effect of methamphetamine on hepatic glucocorticoid bioavailability and regulator of drug transporter and drug metabolising enzyme

Methamphetamine administration had no effect on mRNA expression of *GR*, *MR* or *11* $\beta$ *HSD2*, a glucocorticoid inactivating enzyme (Fig 7A, 7B & 7D).Methamphetamine administration resulted in a decrease in hepatic mRNA expression of *11* $\beta$ *HSD1* (Control: 0.623 ± 0.038 vs MA: 0.497 ± 0.035, P = 0.049, Fig 7C) and *PXR* (Control: 0.039 ± 0.002 vs MA: 0.024 ± 0.001, *P*<0.001, Fig 7E).

#### Effect of methamphetamine on hepatic inflammatory markers

Hepatic gene expression of *p65* was increased in animals who received MA (Control:  $0.008 \pm 0.0004$  vs MA:  $0.0114 \pm 0.001$ , P = 0.02, Fig 8B). However, no significant effect on hepatic gene expression of *p50* or *MCP1* was apparent in those animals who received MA compared to controls (Fig 8).

#### Effect of methamphetamine on hepatic antioxidant enzyme

Methamphetamine administration had no effect on hepatic gene expression of *SOD1* (Control:  $0.185 \pm 0.03$  vs MA:  $0.128 \pm 0.004$ , P = 0.108) or *SOD2* (Control:  $0.107 \pm 0.013$  vs MA:  $0.121 \pm 0.015$ , P = 0.488, Fig 9).

### Discussion

This study shows that methamphetamine exposure can change hepatic drug metabolism in females of reproductive age, a population that is often underrepresented in both human and animal studies [39]. Dose and frequency of methamphetamine use varies widely amongst users and is therefore difficult to estimate. Self-reported doses range from 50–500 mg and may be up to 4 g per day [40], although since illicit methamphetamine in society is unlikely to be pure, it is often difficult to assess the doses commonly used in humans, even if studies have reported the doses used. As guinea pigs do not tolerate high initial doses of methamphetamine (e.g. more than 6 mg/kg [41]), we chose to give a dose of 5 mg/kg in female guinea pigs of



**Fig 6.** Normalised mRNA expression of hepatic drug transporters *P-gp* (A) and *BCRP* (B) between Control (n = 4) and MA (n = 4) groups. MNE, mean normalised expression; MA, methamphetamine; unpaired t test; \**P*<0.05 from Control.



**Fig 7.** Normalised mRNA expression of hepatic regulators of drug transporter and metabolising enzyme regulators *MR* (A), *GR* (B),  $11\beta$ HSD1 (C),  $11\beta$ HSD2 (D) and *PXR* (E) between Control (n = 4) and MA (n = 4) groups. MNE, mean normalised expression; MA, methamphetamine; unpaired t-test; \**P*<0.05 from Control.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233010.g007

reproductive age. In order to ensure that we have ethically conducted this study with minimal mortality, the dose of methamphetamine was selected based on the pilot studies testing dose tolerability in guinea pigs. Higher doses caused negative outcomes and was therefore discontinued. Using a dose of 5mg/kg, we measured an average plasma concentration of at least 15.5 mcg/L (~0.016 mg/L), which is below concentrations found in methamphetamine-related deaths (0.02–15.0 mg/L) [42].

Herein, we examined three different pathways that can be dysregulated by methamphetamine: glucocorticoids, inflammation and oxidative stress. Glucocorticoids can regulate CYP450 through direct binding of glucocorticoid receptor (GR) to glucocorticoid responsive element, complexing with other transcription factors to activate promoter DNA or by regulating other CYP450 regulators such as pregnane X receptor (PXR) [43]. Glucocorticoids increase



**Fig 8.** Normalised mRNA expression of hepatic markers of inflammation *p50* (A), *p65* (B) and *MCP1* (C) between Control (n = 4) and MA (n = 4) groups. MNE, mean normalised expression; MA, methamphetamine; unpaired t test; \**P*<0.05 from Control.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233010.g008

the expression of CYP3A [44]. Methamaphetamine can activate the hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis [45], with chronic methamphetamine administration in male rats increasing the expression of *GR* in the hippocampus [46]. Although we did not find any changes to *GR* in the liver of female guinea pigs, we showed that methamphetamine exposure decreases the mRNA expression of  $11\beta$ HSD1, an enzyme that converts inactive cortisone to active cortisol, suggesting that there may be a decrease in glucocorticoid bioavailability in the liver.

Methamphetamine exposure increases nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF- $\kappa$ B) binding to DNA and this binding resulted in reduced superoxide dismutase (SOD) 1 in transgenic mice [47]. NF- $\kappa$ B, comprising of p65 and p50, is a key regulator of immunity and inflammation [48]. Unlike the present study, methamphetamine exposure in male mice increases plasma MCP1 concentrations [49], which may be due to significantly longer methamphetamine exposure in those studies compared to the current study. However, we found that methamphetamine exposure increased p65 mRNA expression, a subunit of the NF- $\kappa$ B, in the liver of female guinea pigs, suggesting that even short duration methamphetamine exposure may increase local hepatic inflammation in females.

In this study, we found no changes in *SOD 1* or 2 mRNA expression; members of a family of enzymes that play an important role in protecting against reactive oxygen species and superoxide anion radicals. This is in line with previous studies that found short duration methamphetamine exposure, similar to that used in the present study, did not affect hepatic SOD expression in male mice. However, chronic methamphetamine exposure for one month in male mice increased cardiac *SOD2* mRNA expression, suggesting an increase in oxidative stress [50]. In SOD knockout/CYP3A, overexpression hepatocytes, treatment with known hepatotoxic drugs causes an increase in superoxide production and reduces cell viability [51]. In addition, male SOD2 knock-out mice exposed to paracetamol have an an increased risk of hepatotoxicity [52], suggesting that SOD2 may be important in protecting the liver from oxidative stress during drug metabolism.

Cytochrome P450 enzyme activity can be measured through *ex vivo* methods, such as microsomes and isolated perfused liver, and *in vivo* methods, such as the Geneva cocktail [53]. In this study, we chose to use an *in vivo* method of measuring CYP1A2 and CYP3A activity using a "cocktail" method [53]. This *in vivo* approach accounts for variability in both gene



**Fig 9.** Normalised mRNA expression of hepatic *SOD1* (A) and *SOD2* (B) between Control (n = 4) and MA (n = 4) groups. MNE, mean normalised expression; MA, methamphetamine; unpaired t test; \**P*<0.05 from Control.

expression and enzyme activity, which can be influenced by underlying differences in enzyme function due to genetic polymorphisms, and post-translational effects such as enzyme inhibition due to drugs, hormones or microRNA.

We found methamphetamine exposure increases CYP1A2 enzyme activity despite a decrease in CYP1A2 mRNA expression. CYP1A2 is responsible for the metabolism of a variety of drugs that are used in women of childbearing age or pregnant women such as analgesics (paracetamol), antidepressants (fluoxetine and duloxetine), antiemetics (ondansetron) and cardiovascular regulators (propranolol) [54]. The expression of the CYP1A family of enzymes can be regulated by glucocorticoids, although multiple mechanisms are thought to be involved, many of which remain to be elucidated [55]. For example, hepatic CYP1A2 mRNA expression can be induced by dexamethasone, a synthetic glucocorticoid, although in the same study CYP1A2 activity was reduced [55]. In the present study, methamphetamine administration decreased hepatic mRNA expression of  $11\beta$  HSD1, which may have reduced the conversion of cortisone to active cortisol, subsequently decreasing CYP1A2 mRNA expression. We found an increase in CYP1A2 activity in MA-exposed animals and this may be mediated by the suggested reduction in active hepatic glucocorticoid bioavailability in the methamphetamine exposed female guinea pig [55]. However, we have not fully elucidated the paradoxical reduction in CYP1A2 mRNA expression and increase in enzyme activity. In addition, we found a decrease in *PXR* expression in the methamphetamine exposed animals. The regulation of *PXR* expression is not fully understood. However, there is evidence that glucocorticoids can induce the expression of PXR in human hepatocytes [56]. The reduction in PXR expression may also be due to less cortisol in the methamphetamine exposed compared to the control guinea pigs. Hence, despite the small sample size, this study provides preliminary evidence that methamphetamine exposure in females of childbearing age may reduce the effectiveness of drugs metabolised by CYP1A2 due to increased hepatic metabolism. such as ondansetron and paracetamol [54].

Pre-treatment with methamphetamine increased the metabolism of midazolam in male rats [19]. However, in the present study CYP3A activity was unaffected in female guinea pigs exposed to methamphetamine. This may be due to several factors, including species variation and sex. Sex differences in drug metabolism are well documented, especially the expression and activity of CYP3A [21, 22]. Unfortunately, we were not able to determine CYP3A expression in guinea pigs, as there are potentially 3 different CYP3A enzymes (CYP3A14, 3A15, and 3A17) in guinea pigs, and primers were not available for these enzymes. Females have higher protein expression and activity of CYP3A may reflect the difference in regulation of CYP3A in males and females, and thus explain the different result in this study. In addition, although male methamphetamine treated mice, methamphetamine treatment resulted in a decrease in striatal dopamine in both males and females, which may indicate that the effects of methamphetamine manifests differently in males and females [57].

Although sex differences in drug response and metabolism are well documented, females continue to remain underrepresented in clinical trials [20] and overall, in both animal and human studies, females are underrepresented [39], especially females of childbearing age. In addition, as many as 50% of all pregnancies worldwide are unplanned; thus, the potential effect of illicit and prescribed drug exposures on the health of the offspring remains to be understood. There is therefore an urgent unmet need to understand how drugs affect females, especially those of reproductive age as there are profound implications for health and wellbeing that may extend into the next generation.

A relative limitation of the study is the small sample size. However, it should be noted that with this sample size there was a decrease in CYP1A2 gene expression and functional activty after exposure to methamphetamine. We also showed that methamphetamine changed the gene epxression of molecules known to regulate CYP expression and function. It is possible that with a larger sample size observed trends in functional activity of CYP3A following methamphetamine exposure would have become more pronounced; however, as power analysis suggests that this would have required at least doubling the sample size we choose to use the minimum number of animals to establish the proof of concept described above. Finally, humans self-administer methamphetamine but may have medical conditions requiring other therapeutic medications. Greater understanding of the impact of methamphetamine on the metabolism of other medications is required to support better health outcomes for those with methamphetamione addiction and medical co-morbodities.

### Conclusion

This study has shown that three doses of methamphetamine over a relatively short period may be sufficient to increase drug metabolism by CYP1A2 in females of reproductive age. This is of concern as the change in drug metabolism due to methamphetamine exposure may affect the clearence or bioactivation of other drugs that are consumed simultaneously with methamphetamine or drugs that are used to treat mother or baby, and ultimately affect either efficacy and/ or toxicity of drugs that are metabolised through the CYP1A2 pathway.

# **Supporting information**

**S1** Table. Primer sequence, concentration and accession number. (DOCX)

**S1 Data.** (XLSX)

# Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support of Maureen Prowse, Taylor Wilson and Heather Barnes of the University of Otago (Wellington) Biomedical Research Unit for assistance with animal care. We acknowledge Stacey Holman for assistance in qRT-PCR.

# **Author Contributions**

**Conceptualization:** Jia Yin Soo, Michael D. Wiese, Rebecca M. Dyson, Clint L. Gray, Andrew N. Clarkson, Janna L. Morrison, Mary J. Berry.

- **Data curation:** Jia Yin Soo, Rebecca M. Dyson, Clint L. Gray, Andrew N. Clarkson, Mary J. Berry.
- Formal analysis: Jia Yin Soo, Michael D. Wiese, Rebecca M. Dyson, Janna L. Morrison, Mary J. Berry.

Funding acquisition: Andrew N. Clarkson, Mary J. Berry.

Investigation: Jia Yin Soo, Rebecca M. Dyson, Clint L. Gray.

Methodology: Jia Yin Soo, Michael D. Wiese, Rebecca M. Dyson, Clint L. Gray, Andrew N. Clarkson, Janna L. Morrison, Mary J. Berry.

Supervision: Janna L. Morrison, Mary J. Berry.

Validation: Michael D. Wiese.

Writing - original draft: Jia Yin Soo.

Writing – review & editing: Jia Yin Soo, Michael D. Wiese, Rebecca M. Dyson, Clint L. Gray, Andrew N. Clarkson, Janna L. Morrison, Mary J. Berry.

#### References

- 1. Cruickshank CC, Dyer KR. A review of the clinical pharmacology of methamphetamine. Addiction. 2009; 104(7):1085–99. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02564.x PMID: 19426289
- 2. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; 2013.
- Degenhardt L, Larney S, Chan G, Dobbins T, Weier M, Roxburgh A, et al. Estimating the number of regular and dependent methamphetamine users in Australia, 2002–2014. Medical Journal of Australia. 2016; 204(4).
- Wilkins C, Sweetsur P. Trends in population drug use in New Zealand: findings from national household surveying of drug use in 1998, 2001, 2003, and 2006. The New Zealand Medical Journal (Online). 2008; 121(1274).
- Degenhardt L, Degenhardt L, Roxburgh A, Degenhardt L, Roxburgh A, Black E, et al. The epidemiology of methamphetamine use and harm in Australia. Drug and alcohol review. 2008; 27(3):243–52. https:// doi.org/10.1080/09595230801950572 PMID: 18368605
- 6. Terplan M, Smith EJ, Kozloski MJ, Pollack HA. Methamphetamine use among pregnant women. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2009; 113(6):1285–91.
- Garcia-Bournissen F, Rokach B, Karaskov T, Koren G. Methamphetamine detection in maternal and neonatal hair: implications for fetal safety. Archives of Disease in Childhood-Fetal and Neonatal Edition. 2007; 92(5):351–5.
- Burchfield DJ, Lucas VW, Abrams RM, Miller RL, DeVane CL. Disposition and pharmacodynamics of methamphetamine in pregnant sheep. Jama. 1991; 265(15):1968–73. PMID: 2008026
- Hohmann-Marriott BE. Unplanned pregnancies in New Zealand. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2018; 58(2):247–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ajo.12732</u> PMID: 29094755
- Wellings K, Jones KG, Mercer CH, Tanton C, Clifton S, Datta J, et al. The prevalence of unplanned pregnancy and associated factors in Britain: findings from the third National Survey of Sexual Attitudes and Lifestyles (Natsal-3). The Lancet. 2013; 382(9907):1807–16.
- Cheney K, Sim KA, D'souza M, Pelosi M, Black KI. Unintended pregnancy amongst an early pregnancy clinic cohort: Identifying opportunities for preventative health interventions. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2016; 56(4):426–31. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ajo.12466</u> PMID: 27135463
- Grov C, Kelly BC, Parsons JT. Polydrug use among club-going young adults recruited through timespace sampling. Substance use & misuse. 2009; 44(6):848–64.
- McIntyre I, Hamm C, Bader E. Postmortem methamphetamine distribution. J Forensic Res. 2011; 2 (122):2.
- Volkow ND, Fowler JS, Wang G-J, Shumay E, Telang F, Thanos PK, et al. Distribution and pharmacokinetics of methamphetamine in the human body: clinical implications. PloS one. 2010; 5(12):e15269. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0015269 PMID: 21151866
- De la Torre R, Farré M, Roset PN, Pizarro N, Abanades S, Segura M, et al. Human pharmacology of MDMA: pharmacokinetics, metabolism, and disposition. Therapeutic drug monitoring. 2004; 26(2):137– 44. https://doi.org/10.1097/00007691-200404000-00009 PMID: 15228154
- Watanabe K, Yamaori S, Funahashi T, Kimura T, Yamamoto I. Cytochrome P450 enzymes involved in the metabolism of tetrahydrocannabinols and cannabinol by human hepatic microsomes. Life sciences. 2007; 80(15):1415–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2006.12.032 PMID: 17303175
- Zanger UM, Schwab M. Cytochrome P450 enzymes in drug metabolism: regulation of gene expression, enzyme activities, and impact of genetic variation. Pharmacol Ther. 2013; 138(1):103–41. Epub 2013/ 01/22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2012.12.007 PMID: 23333322.
- Li R, Barton HA, Varma MV. Prediction of pharmacokinetics and drug–drug interactions when hepatic transporters are involved. Clinical pharmacokinetics. 2014; 53(8):659–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> s40262-014-0156-z PMID: 25056496

- Dostalek M, Hadasova E, Hanesova M, Pistovcakova J, Sulcova A, Jurica J, et al. Effect of methamphetamine on the pharmacokinetics of dextromethorphan and midazolam in rats. European journal of drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics. 2005; 30(3):195–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03190620 PMID: 16250257
- Kim AM, Tingen CM, Woodruff TK. Sex bias in trials and treatment must end. Nature. 2010; 465 (7299):688. https://doi.org/10.1038/465688a PMID: 20535184
- Soldin OP, Chung SH, Mattison DR. Sex differences in drug disposition. BioMed Research International. 2011; 2011.
- Waxman DJ, Holloway MG. Sex differences in the expression of hepatic drug metabolizing enzymes. Molecular pharmacology. 2009; 76(2):215–28. https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.109.056705 PMID: 19483103
- Wolbold R, Klein K, Burk O, Nüssler AK, Neuhaus P, Eichelbaum M, et al. Sex is a major determinant of CYP3A4 expression in human liver. Hepatology. 2003; 38(4):978–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/jhep.</u> 2003.50393 PMID: 14512885
- Acevedo SF, Pfankuch T, van Meer P, Raber J. Role of histamine in short- and long-term effects of methamphetamine on the developing mouse brain. J Neurochem. 2008; 107(4):976–86. Epub 2008/09/ 13. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05673.x PMID: <u>18786166</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3172696.
- Robson MJ, Turner RC, Naser ZJ, McCurdy CR, Huber JD, Matsumoto RR. SN79, a sigma receptor ligand, blocks methamphetamine-induced microglial activation and cytokine upregulation. Exp Neurol. 2013; 247:134–42. Epub 2013/05/02. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2013.04.009 PMID: 23631864; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3742718.
- Krasnova IN, Cadet JL. Methamphetamine toxicity and messengers of death. Brain Res Rev. 2009; 60 (2):379–407. Epub 2009/03/31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2009.03.002 PMID: 19328213; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2731235.
- Kliewer SA, Goodwin B, Willson TM. The nuclear pregnane X receptor: a key regulator of xenobiotic metabolism. Endocr Rev. 2002; 23(5):687–702. Epub 2002/10/10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2001-0038</u> PMID: 12372848.
- Sachar M, Kelly EJ, Unadkat JD. Mechanisms of CYP3A Induction During Pregnancy: Studies in HepaRG Cells. Aaps j. 2019; 21(3):45. Epub 2019/03/29. https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-019-0316-z PMID: 30919109.
- leiri I, Tsunemitsu S, Maeda K, Ando Y, Izumi N, Kimura M, et al. Mechanisms of pharmacokinetic enhancement between ritonavir and saquinavir; micro/small dosing tests using midazolam (CYP3A4), fexofenadine (p-glycoprotein), and pravastatin (OATP1B1) as probe drugs. J Clin Pharmacol. 2013; 53 (6):654–61. Epub 2013/02/06. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcph.62 PMID: 23381882.
- Bosilkovska M, Samer CF, Deglon J, Rebsamen M, Staub C, Dayer P, et al. Geneva cocktail for cytochrome p450 and P-glycoprotein activity assessment using dried blood spots. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2014; 96(3):349–59. Epub 2014/04/12. https://doi.org/10.1038/clpt.2014.83 PMID: 24722393; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4151019.
- Altman DG, Bland JM. Treatment allocation by minimisation. Bmj. 2005; 330(7495):843. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.330.7495.843</u> PMID: 15817555
- Bade R, White JM, Gerber C. Qualitative and quantitative temporal analysis of licit and illicit drugs in wastewater in Australia using liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry. 2018; 410(2):529–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0747-2 PMID: 29214532
- Zhang Y, Huo M, Zhou J, Xie S. PKSolver: An add-in program for pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data analysis in Microsoft Excel. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine. 2010; 99 (3):306–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2010.01.007 PMID: 20176408
- Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, et al. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clinical chemistry. 2009; 55 (4):611–22. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797 PMID: 19246619
- McGillick EV, Orgeig S, McMillen IC, Morrison JL. The fetal sheep lung does not respond to cortisol infusion during the late canalicular phase of development. Physiological reports. 2013; 1(6):e00130. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/phy2.130">https://doi.org/10.1002/phy2.130</a> PMID: 24400136
- Soo PS, Hiscock J, Botting KJ, Roberts CT, Davey AK, Morrison JL. Maternal undernutrition reduces Pglycoprotein in guinea pig placenta and developing brain in late gestation. Reproductive Toxicology. 2012; 33(3):374–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2012.01.013 PMID: 22326852
- 37. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, et al. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes.

Genome biology. 2002; 3(7):research0034. 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034 PMID: 12184808

- Hellemans J, Mortier G, De Paepe A, Speleman F, Vandesompele J. qBase relative quantification framework and software for management and automated analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data. Genome biology. 2007; 8(2):R19. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r19 PMID: 17291332
- 39. Check Hayden E. Sex bias blights drug studies. Nature Publishing Group; 2010.
- McKetin R, Kelly E, McLaren J. The relationship between crystalline methamphetamine use and methamphetamine dependence. Drug & Alcohol Dependence. 2006; 85(3):198–204.
- Wagner GC, Seiden LS, Schuster CR. Methamphetamine-induced changes in brain catecholamines in rats and guinea pigs. Drug and alcohol dependence. 1979; 4(5):435–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0376-8716(79)90076-0 PMID: 510182</u>
- Kaye S, Darke S, Duflou J, McKetin R. Methamphetamine-related fatalities in Australia: Demographics, circumstances, toxicology and major organ pathology. Addiction. 2008; 103(8):1353–60. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2008.02231.x PMID: 18855825
- Dvorak Z, Pavek P. Regulation of drug-metabolizing cytochrome P450 enzymes by glucocorticoids. Drug metabolism reviews. 2010; 42(4):621–35. https://doi.org/10.3109/03602532.2010.484462 PMID: 20482443
- El-Sankary W, Plant NJ, Gibson GG, Moore DJ. Regulation of the CYP3A4 gene by hydrocortisone and xenobiotics: role of the glucocorticoid and pregnane X receptors. Drug Metabolism and Disposition. 2000; 28(5):493–6. PMID: 10772626
- Zuloaga DG, Jacobskind J, Raber J. Methamphetamine and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. Frontiers in neuroscience. 2015; 9:178. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00178 PMID: 26074755
- 46. Kabbaj M, Yoshida S, Numachi Y, Matsuoka H, Devine D, Sato M. Methamphetamine differentially regulates hippocampal glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptor mRNAs in Fischer and Lewis rats. Molecular brain research. 2003; 117(1):8–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-328x(03)00257-2 PMID: 14499476
- Asanuma M, Cadet JL. Methamphetamine-induced increase in striatal NF-kB DNA-binding activity is attenuated in superoxide dismutase transgenic mice. Molecular brain research. 1998; 60(2):305–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-328x(98)00188-0 PMID: 9757070
- Li Q, Verma IM. NF-κB regulation in the immune system. Nature Reviews Immunology. 2002; 2 (10):725. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri910 PMID: 12360211
- Loftis JM, Choi D, Hoffman W, Huckans MS. Methamphetamine causes persistent immune dysregulation: a cross-species, translational report. Neurotoxicity research. 2011; 20(1):59–68. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12640-010-9223-x PMID: 20953917</u>
- Tomita M, Okuyama T, Katsuyama H, Watanabe Y, Shinone K, Nata M, et al. Cardiotoxicity of methamphetamine under stress conditions: Comparison of single dose and long-term use. Molecular medicine reports. 2013; 7(6):1786–90. https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2013.1408 PMID: 23563276
- Yoshikawa Y, Hosomi H, Fukami T, Nakajima M, Yokoi T. Establishment of knockdown of superoxide dismutase 2 and expression of CYP3A4 cell system to evaluate drug-induced cytotoxicity. Toxicology in Vitro. 2009; 23(6):1179–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2009.05.024 PMID: 19501153
- Yoshikawa Y, Morita M, Hosomi H, Tsuneyama K, Fukami T, Nakajima M, et al. Knockdown of superoxide dismutase 2 enhances acetaminophen-induced hepatotoxicity in rat. Toxicology. 2009; 264(1– 2):89–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2009.07.017 PMID: 19647030
- Bosilkovska M, Samer CF, Deglon J, Rebsamen M, Staub C, Dayer P, et al. Geneva Cocktail for Cytochrome P450 and P-Glycoprotein Activity Assessment Using Dried Blood Spots. ClInICAI PhArMACologY & TherAPeuTICS. 2014; 96(3):349–59.
- Australian Medicines Handbook Pty Ltd. AMH: Australian medicines handbook. Adelaide, South Australia: Australian Medicines Handbook; 2018.
- 55. Vrzal R, Stejskalova L, Monostory K, Maurel P, Bachleda P, Pavek P, et al. Dexamethasone controls aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)-mediated CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 expression and activity in primary cultures of human hepatocytes. Chemico-biological interactions. 2009; 179(2–3):288–96. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cbi.2008.10.035 PMID: 19022236
- 56. Pascussi J-M, Drocourt L, Fabre J-M, Maurel P, Vilarem M-J. Dexamethasone induces pregnane X receptor and retinoid X receptor-α expression in human hepatocytes: synergistic increase of CYP3A4 induction by pregnane X receptor activators. Molecular Pharmacology. 2000; 58(2):361–72. https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.58.2.361 PMID: 10908304
- Dluzen DE, Tweed C, Anderson LI, Laping NJ. Gender differences in methamphetamine-induced mRNA associated with neurodegeneration in the mouse nigrostriatal dopaminergic system. Neuroendocrinology. 2003; 77(4):232–8. https://doi.org/10.1159/000070278 PMID: 12766323