

Bioinformatics analysis reveals *SOD1* is a prognostic factor in lung adenocarcinoma

Ling Gao^{1#}^, Wei Wang^{2#}^, Haishan Ma¹, Minghui Yin¹, Xuejiao Yang¹, Ruihui Han¹, Shuta Ohara³, Dohun Kim⁴, Guangyan Wang¹^

¹Clinical Laboratory, Chuxiong Yi Autonomous Prefecture People's Hospital, Chuxiong, China; ²Department of Neurosurgery, Chuxiong Yi Autonomous Prefecture People's Hospital, Chuxiong, China; ³Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Kindai University Faculty of Medicine, Osaka-Sayama, Japan; ⁴Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, College of Medicine, Chungbuk National University and Chungbuk National University Hospital, Cheongju, Korea

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: L Gao; (II) Administrative support: G Wang; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: W Wang; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: H Ma; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: L Gao; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Guangyan Wang, BMed. Clinical Laboratory, Chuxiong Yi Autonomous Prefecture People's Hospital, 318 Lucheng South Road, Chuxiong 675000, China. Email: wgy501@163.com.

Background: Lung cancer is a major cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Unfortunately, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) often lacks clear clinical symptoms and molecular markers for early diagnosis, which can hinder the initiation of timely treatments. In this study, we conducted an extensive bioinformatics analysis of copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD1), a molecule linked to lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) to enhance early detection and treatment approaches for this condition.

Methods: A bioinformatics analysis was conducted using a dataset from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. Several analytical methods, such as a differential expression analysis, a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, a clinicopathological analysis, an enrichment analysis, protein-protein interaction (PPI) network construction using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) database, and an immunoreactivity analysis of *SOD1* expression in LUAD using TIMER were employed. We further validated the expression of *SOD1* in LUAD through *in vitro* experiments using quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) and Western blot.

Results: Our findings indicate that LUAD tissues exhibited significantly higher expression levels of *SOD1* than healthy tissues. The univariate Cox analysis showed that the elevated level was linked to unfavorable overall survival (OS) rates. Further, the Cox regression analysis of multiple variables suggested that elevated *SOD1* expression levels acted as an autonomous prognosticator for unfavorable OS. We also conducted Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses, and a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) and observed differential pathway enrichment among patients with high *SOD1* expression. In addition, a correlation between *SOD1* and immune cell infiltration was found. The *in vitro* experiments confirmed that *SOD1* expression was upregulated in LUAD.

Conclusions: *SOD1* could serve as a reliable prognostic indicator in individuals diagnosed with LUAD. Our findings may prove valuable in the development of therapeutic and prognostic markers for LUAD. The potential clinical utility of *SOD1* in LUAD requires further investigation.

Keywords: Copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD1); lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD); bioinformatics

[^] ORCID: Ling Gao, 0009-0008-2686-249X; Wei Wang, 0000-0001-6665-8612; Guangyan Wang, 0009-0000-3247-003X.

5523

Submitted Aug 10, 2024. Accepted for publication Sep 13, 2024. Published online Oct 14, 2024. doi: 10.21037/tcr-24-1400

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-24-1400

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Of the 1.8 million individuals diagnosed with lung cancer each year, 1.6 million die from the disease (1). With only 10-20% of patients surviving for 5 years or more, lung cancer has a low survival rate (2). The risk factors associated with lung cancer include smoking, exposure to smoke or toxic occupational environments, chronic lung disease, and a family history of the disease (3). Despite being highly prevalent in China, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) often goes untreated in the early stages due to a lack of noticeable clinical symptoms (3-5). Significant progress has been made in treating various forms of cancer, but lung cancer remains difficult to treat due to an absence of effective therapies (6). Consequently, new biomarkers need to be identified to facilitate early diagnosis, assist in prognosis evaluations, and guide treatment development.

Copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD1) is an antioxidant enzyme discovered in 1969 that resides in the cytosol and catalyzes the conversion of superoxide to oxygen and hydrogen peroxide. It has also been shown to activate nuclear gene transcription or act as a RNA-binding protein (7). SOD1 has been implicated in various diseases, including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and cancer. SOD1 plays a crucial role in familial ALS, with genetic mutations and dysfunction contributing to its pathogenesis (8). There is growing evidence that SOD1 also

Highlight box

Key findings

• Our findings indicate that lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) tissues exhibit significantly higher levels of copper-zinc disulfide sulfurase (*SOD1*) expression than healthy tissues.

What is known, and what is new?

- SOD1 is known to be related to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.
- This study showed that SOD1 was also associated with LUAD.

What is the implication, and what should change now?

 SOD1 could serve as a reliable prognostic indicator in individuals diagnosed with LUAD. However, the potential clinical utility of SOD1 in LUAD requires further investigation. plays a significant role in cancer. Notably, *SOD1* has been shown to be overexpressed in many types of cancer, including lung (9) and primary breast cancer (10). However, the role of *SOD1* in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) remains unclear.

The main objective of this study was to examine SOD1 expression in LUAD. Initially, we analyzed the differences in the expression of SOD1 in tumor and normal tissues in LUAD patients and assessed the correlation between SOD1 expression and overall survival (OS). Additionally, using univariate and multivariate Cox regression models, we investigated whether the expression levels of SOD1 were correlated with any clinicopathological parameters. To uncover the biological mechanisms of SOD1, we conducted a Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, a Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis, and a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). We also examined the link between SOD1, immune infiltration, and their effect on the development of LUAD. We present this article in accordance with the REMARK reporting checklist (available at https://tcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-24-1400/rc).

Methods

Clinical data

Messenger RNA (mRNA) expression data from 598 samples were acquired from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) using the HTSeq-FPKM workflow type. The dataset also included clinical the information of patients diagnosed with LUAD. In total, 598 patients with LUAD and appropriate clinical features were included in this research. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

SOD1 expression in individuals diagnosed with LUAD

The ggplot2 R package (version 3.3.3; https://cran.r-project. org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html) was used to explore the level of *SOD1* expression in patients with LUAD and compare it in both tumor and normal tissues, and tumor and paracancerous tissues.

Association between SOD1 and survival

To analyze the association between OS and various clinicopathological parameters, we used the survminer R package (version 0.4.9) in combination with the survival R package (version 3.2-10) to conduct the Cox regression analyses. The parameters included age (>65 vs. \leq 65 years), gender (male vs. female), smoking status (yes vs. no), smoking age (\geq 40 vs. <40 years), race (White vs. Asian/Black or African American), pathological stage (stage I vs. II vs. III vs. IV), primary treatment outcome [partial response (PR) vs. complete response (CR) vs. progressive disease (11) vs. stable disease (SD)], residual tumor (R0 vs. R1 vs. R2), T stage (T1 vs. T2 vs. T3 vs. T4), N stage (N0 vs. N1 vs. N2 vs. N3), and M stage (M1 vs. M0).

Functional enrichment analysis

In our study, we used the ggplot2 R package (version 3.3.3) and clusterProfiler R package (version 3.14.3) to identify genes that were strongly correlated with SOD1 expression levels in LUAD. KEGG and GO enrichment analyses were then performed to predict the functions of SOD1 and its co-expressed genes in the TCGA-LUAD dataset. The identification of shared protein functions provides valuable insights into molecular mechanisms underlying cellular processes. To this end, we used a search tool to effectively investigate the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network, which was built using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) database (https:// string-db.org). By examining the central nodes in the PPI network, we identified potential genes that might exert crucial functions in physiological regulation or act as central proteins.

GSEA

Expression patterns were compared among groups with high and low levels of *SOD1* expression to identify potential genes that showed differential expression using the DESeq2 R package (version 1.26.0). A GSEA (http:// www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp) was conducted on a set of approximately 56,493 differentially expressed genes (DEGs). The present investigation used the Molecular Signatures Database Collection from the clusterProfiler R package (version 3.14.3) to perform the GSEA. The study sought to identify pathway differences in high *SOD1* expression groups in LUAD, with *SOD1* expression level serving as the phenotype label.

Analysis of immune cell infiltration

The study employed the TIMER (http://cistrome.dfci. harvard.edu/TIMER/) correlation module to evaluate possible associations between SOD1 expression and the infiltration of immune cells in tumors. All clinical data and baseline information in the TIMER database are derived from the TCGA database (Table 1). The analysis included 28 types of immune cells, such as macrophages, neutrophils, T follicular helper (Tfh) cells, eosinophils, natural killer (NK) cells, NK cluster of differentiation (CD)56 bright cells, NK CD56 dim cells, T helper (Th)17 cells, gamma delta T (Tgd) cells, and Th2 cells. The research calculated Spearman's rank correlation coefficients to determine the magnitude of the relationship between the expression of SOD1 and the level of infiltration by immune cells in the tumors. To gain a more comprehensive understanding of the influence of SOD1 on the immune microenvironment, we assessed 539 tumor samples and divided the samples into two groups. Through the application of a significance level threshold of <0.05, we determined the particular categories of lymphocytes influenced by SOD1 expression.

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RTqPCR) of cell lines

In this study, total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent, and mRNA was reverse transcribed with Oligo-dT primers. RT-qPCR was performed using the Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA), with glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as the internal reference. The following RT-qPCR primers were used in this study: *SOD1* forward primer, AAAGATGGTGTGGCCGATGT; *SOD1* reverse primer, CAAGCCAAACGACTTCCAGC; GAPDH forward primer, CTGGGCTACACTGAGCACCC; and GAPDH reverse primer, AAGTGGTCGTTGAGGGCAATG.

Western blot assay

We used BEAS-2B human bronchial epithelial cells, NCI-H1395 human LUAD cells, NCI-H157 human NSCLC carcinoma cells, and Calu-3 human LUAD cells, all sourced from iCell (Shanghai, China). Anti-SOD1 was

Table 1 Clinical	characteristics	of the	LUAD	patients

Characteristics	Low expression of SOD1 (n=269)	High expression of SOD1 (n=270)	P value
Gender (n=539), n (%)			0.63
Female	147 (27.3)	142 (26.3)	
Male	122 (22.6)	128 (23.7)	
Age (n=520), n (%)			0.66
≤65 years	127 (24.4)	130 (25.0)	
>65 years	135 (26.0)	128 (24.6)	
Race (n=472), n (%)			0.10
Asian	1 (0.2)	7 (1.5)	
Black or African American	29 (6.1)	26 (5.5)	
White	205 (43.4)	204 (43.2)	
Number of packs smoked per year (n=3	369), n (%)		0.57
<40	98 (26.6)	90 (24.4)	
≥40	89 (24.1)	92 (24.9)	
Residual tumor (n=374), n (%)			0.02
R0	177 (47.3)	180 (48.1)	
R1	3 (0.8)	10 (2.7)	
R2	0 (0.0)	4 (1.1)	
Pathologic M stage (n=390), n (%)			<0.001
M0	184 (47.2)	181 (46.4)	
M1	4 (1.0)	21 (5.4)	
Pathologic N stage (n=523), n (%)			0.13
NO	186 (35.6)	164 (31.4)	
N1	41 (7.8)	56 (10.7)	
N2	31 (5.9)	43 (8.2)	
N3	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	
Pathologic T stage (n=536), n (%)			0.50
T1	93 (17.4)	83 (15.5)	
T2	146 (27.2)	146 (27.2)	
ТЗ	22 (4.1)	27 (5.0)	
Τ4	7 (1.3)	12 (2.2)	

LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; SOD1, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase.

purchased from AFFINITY (Nanjing, China; catalog No. AF5198, 1:1,500), and anti-ACTIN was purchased from Proteintech (Wuhan, China; catalog No. 20536-1-AP, 1:5,000). The cell or tissue samples were lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer containing protease

inhibitor, and the insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 4 °C at 12,000 g for 30 minutes to obtain the supernatant as the total protein sample. The protein concentration in the lysate was determined using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay, and the protein samples



Figure 1 Levels of *SOD1* expression in normal and tumor tissues. ***, P<0.001. *SOD1*, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase; TPM, transcript per million.

were adjusted and stored at -80 °C. Polyacrylamide gels for sodium dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis were prepared to separate the protein samples. Following electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred from the gel to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes using a wet transfer system. The membranes were then blocked with bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution to minimize nonspecific binding for 1.5 hours. Primary antibodies (SOD1 and ACTIN) were diluted in BSA solution and added to the samples, and then incubated overnight at 4 °C. After washing the membranes with Tris-buffered saline with Tween (TBST) to remove unbound antibodies, they were then incubated with a horseradish peroxidase- or alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody matching the host species of the primary antibody (secondary antibody) for 2 hours. Following another round of washing with TBST, chemiluminescent imaging was performed using the AI600 chemiluminescent imaging system, and a band intensity analysis was conducted using ImageJ software.

Statistical analysis

The expression of SOD1 in LUAD was analyzed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Functional enrichment analysis related to LUAD and SOD1 was conducted using multivariate Cox regression analysis, while differential analysis was carried out using analysis of variance (ANOVA). All statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.2.1) and SPSS software (version 26.0). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of patients

We obtained data from a cohort of 539 individuals with LUAD that were generated by TCGA research network. Patient characteristics, such as gender, age, race, smoking status (including the number of packs smoked per year), residual tumor, primary therapy outcome, and pathologic tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage were recorded (*Table 1*).

Expression status of SOD1 in LUAD

To assess the statistical significance of the two distributions, the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used. The results showed that the SOD1 expression levels were markedly more elevated in the tumor tissues than the normal tissues (P<0.001) (*Figure 1*).

Association analysis between SOD1 expression and clinicopathological characteristics

Subsequently, an exploration was conducted to examine the correlation between *SOD1* expression in tumors and clinicopathologic variables in LUAD cancer (*Table 2*).

Association between SOD1 and survival

Figure 2 illustrates the results of the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, which revealed a notable relationship between elevated *SOD1* expression and an unfavorable prognosis (P<0.001). Univariate and multivariate Cox regression models were used to examine the prognostic factors of LUAD. The univariate Cox regression analysis indicated a statistically significant relationship between elevated levels of *SOD1* expression and unfavorable OS outcomes (P≤0.001). As *Table 3* and *Figure 3* show, the univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the *SOD1* gene expression was an autonomous predictive factor for OS among LUAD patients (P<0.001).

SOD1-related functional enrichment analysis

GO term and KEGG pathway analyses were performed to investigate the possible biological functions of *SOD1*. The GO annotation analysis identified the following nine categories that exhibited a significant positive association with elevated levels of *SOD1* expression: the quinone metabolic process, the cellular ketone metabolic process,

Table 2 Logistic regression analysis of the relationship between SOD1 expression and clinical characteristics

8	- F		
Characteristics	Total, n	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age (>65 <i>vs.</i> ≤65 years)	520	0.926 (0.657–1.306)	0.66
Gender (male vs. female)	539	1.086 (0.774–1.524)	0.63
Smoker (no vs. yes)	525	1.222 (0.752–1.985)	0.42
Number packs smoked per year (≥40 vs. <40)	369	1.126 (0.748–1.693)	0.57
Race (White & Black or African American vs. Asian)	472	0.140 (0.017–1.150)	0.07
Residual tumor (R1 & R2 vs. R0)	374	4.589 (1.297–16.241)	0.01
Pathologic T stage (T2 & T3 & T4 vs. T1)	536	1.185 (0.826–1.699)	0.36
Pathologic N stage (N1 & N2 & N3 vs. N0)	523	1.554 (1.076–2.244)	0.01
Pathologic M stage (M1 vs. M0)	390	5.337 (1.797–15.853)	0.003
Pathologic stage (stage II & stage III & stage IV vs. stage I)	531	1.502 (1.065–2.120)	0.02

SOD1, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.



Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier survival curve for LUAD patients. The OS of all LUAD patients analyzed for *SOD1* expression. *SOD1*, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; OS, overall survival.

the polyketide metabolic process, the olefinic compound metabolic process, alcohol dehydrogenase (NADP⁺) activity, aldo-keto reductase (NADP) activity, alditol (NADP⁺) 1-oxidoreductase activity, and monocarboxylic acid binding. The KEGG pathway analysis identified the following four pathways that exhibited the strongest positive correlation with the expression of *SOD1*: folate biosynthesis, arachidonic acid metabolism, steroid hormone biosynthesis, and the metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450 (*Figure 4*). *Figure 5* shows the correlation analysis results between SOD1 and all the other variables. Further, the study used the STRING database to create a network of the interactions between the proteins of the DEGs in LUAD. A STRING database analysis was also conducted to establish the PPI network (*Figure 6*).

GSEA investigation of SOD1

By conducting a GSEA of all the DEGs, numerous signaling pathways that were significantly enriched were identified, including the metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450, transsulfuration, one-carbon metabolism, and related pathways, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) modulation of host translation machinery, ribosomal RNA (rRNA) modification in the nucleus and cytosol, and glutathione metabolism (*Figure 7*).

Relationship between SOD1 expression and the immune cells that have infiltrated the tumor

TIMER was employed to examine the correlation between the immune cells that have infiltrated the tumor and *SOD1* expression (*Figure 8*). A positive correlation was observed between the levels of CD4⁺ T cells (partial.cor =-0.195, P=1.56×10⁻⁵), macrophages (partial.cor =-0.182, P=5.67×10⁻⁵), neutrophils (partial.cor =-0.234, P=1.93×10⁻⁷), and dendritic cells (partial.cor =-0.155, P=5.88×10⁻⁴), and *SOD1* expression. We attempted to ascertain whether the

Characteristics		Univariate analy	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis	
	lotal, n	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value	
SOD1	530					
Low	264	Reference		Reference		
High	266	1.677 (1.249–2.252)	<0.001*	1.589 (1.171–2.156)	0.003*	
Gender	530					
Female	283	Reference				
Male	247	1.087 (0.816–1.448)	0.60			
Age	520					
≤65 years	257	Reference				
>65 years	263	1.216 (0.910–1.625)	0.20			
Race	472					
Asian	8	Reference				
Black or African American	55	1.911 (0.254–14.382)	0.53			
White	409	2.714 (0.380–19.403)	0.32			
Number pack years smoked	363					
<40	183	Reference				
≥40	180	1.073 (0.753–1.528)	0.70			
Pathologic stage	522					
Stage I	292	Reference		Reference		
Stage II	123	2.341 (1.638–3.346)	<0.001*	2.077 (1.417–3.043)	<0.001*	
Stage III	81	3.576 (2.459–5.200)	<0.001*	3.337 (2.198–5.067)	<0.001*	
Stage IV	26	3.819 (2.211–6.599)	<0.001*	2.943 (1.614–5.367)	<0.001*	
Pathologic T stage	527					
T1	176	Reference		Reference		
T2	285	1.507 (1.059–2.146)	0.02*	1.247 (0.868–1.793)	0.23	
Т3	47	2.964 (1.762–4.986)	<0.001*	1.545 (0.877–2.722)	0.13	
T4	19	3.357 (1.767–6.376)	<0.001*	1.287 (0.638–2.597)	0.50	

*, P<0.05. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; SOD1, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase.

immune microenvironment of the tumor differed between the LUAD patients who displayed high and low levels of *SOD1* expression. The 539 tumor samples were categorized into the high expression group (comprising 270 samples) and the low expression group (comprising 269 samples) based on the level of expression of *SOD1*. We assessed the levels of 24 subtypes of immune cells to assess the variation in their expression levels between the two groups with distinct expressions (*Figure 9*). NK cells, neutrophils, eosinophils, macrophages, NK CD56 bright cells, NK CD56 dim cells, Tgd cells, Tfh cells, Th2 cells, and Th17 cells were affected by *SOD1* expression.

The expression of SOD1 in lung cancer cells was validated using qPCR and Western blot techniques

In this study, we validated the expression of SOD1 in the normal bronchial epithelial cell line BEAS-2B and the

Age (years) 520 <= 65 257 Reference > 65 263 1.216 (0.910 - 1.625) 0.19 Gender 530 Female 283 Reference Male 247 1.087 (0.816 - 1.448) 0.60 Race 472 Asian 8 Reference Asian 8 Reference 0.53 White 409 2.714 (0.380 - 19.403) 0.53 Residual tumor 365 8 0.001 Residual tumor 365 7 0.001 Pathologic T stage 527 527 118T2 461 Reference T1&T2 461 Reference <0.001 Pathologic N stage 514 <0.001 N0&N1 441 Reference <0.001 N0&N1 441 Reference <0.001 Pathologic N stage 381 <0.001 M0 356 Reference <0.001 M0 356 Reference <0.001	Characteristics	Total(N)	HR(95% CI) Univariate analysis		P value
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age (years)	520		i.	
> 65 263 1.216 (0.910 - 1.625) → 0.19 Gender 530 - 0.19 Female 283 Reference 0.19 Male 247 1.087 (0.816 - 1.448) 0.60 Race 472 - - Asian 8 Reference - Black or African American 55 1.911 (0.254 - 14.382) - - Residual tumor 365 - - - 0.53 White 409 2.714 (0.380 - 19.403) - - 0.32 Residual tumor 365 - - - 0.001 Pathologic T stage 527 - - - 0.001 Pathologic N stage 514 - - - 0.001 Pathologic N stage 514 - - - 0.001 Pathologic M stage 381 - - 0.005 - - 0.005 Pathologic M stage 381 - - 0.005 - 0.005 Pathologic stag	<= 65	257	Reference	1	
Gender 530 Female 283 Reference Male 247 1.087 (0.816 - 1.448) 0.60 Race 472 472 6 Asian 8 Reference 0.53 Black or African American 55 1.911 (0.254 - 14.382) 0.53 White 409 2.714 (0.380 - 19.403) 0.32 Residual tumor 365 0.32 0.53 R0 349 Reference 0.001 R1&R2 16 3.913 (2.188 - 6.997)	> 65	263	1.216 (0.910 - 1.625)	40 +	0.19
Female 283 Reference Male 247 1.087 (0.816 - 1.448) 0.60 Race 472 .081 (0.816 - 1.448) 0.60 Asian 8 Reference 0.53 Black or African American 55 1.911 (0.254 - 14.382) 0.53 White 409 2.714 (0.380 - 19.403) 0.32 Residual tumor 365	Gender	530		-	
Male 247 1.087 (0.816 - 1.448) 0.60 Race 472	Female	283	Reference		
Race 472 Asian 8 Reference Black or African American 55 1.911 (0.254 - 14.382) 0.53 White 409 2.714 (0.380 - 19.403) 0.32 Residual tumor 365 Reference 0.31 Residual tumor 365 R0 3.913 (2.188 - 6.997)	Male	247	1.087 (0.816 - 1.448)		0.60
Asian 8 Reference Black or African American 55 1.911 (0.254 - 14.382) → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	Race	472		1	
Black or African American 55 1.911 (0.254 - 14.382) ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	Asian	8	Reference	1	
White 409 2.714 (0.380 - 19.403) 0.32 Residual tumor 365 0.32 0.32 R0 349 Reference 0.32 R1&R2 16 3.913 (2.188 - 6.997) - - 0.001 Pathologic T stage 527 - - - 0.001 Pathologic N stage 514 Reference - <0.001	Black or African American	55	1.911 (0.254 - 14.382)	, 	- 0.53
Residual tumor 365 R0 349 Reference R1&R2 16 3.913 (2.188 - 6.997) Pathologic T stage 527 T1&T2 461 Reference T3&T4 66 2.352 (1.614 - 3.426) Pathologic N stage 514 N0&N1 441 Reference N2&N3 73 2.360 (1.659 - 3.358) Pathologic M stage 381 M0 356 Reference M1 25 2.176 (1.272 - 3.722) Pathologic stage 522 Stage II& 292 Reference Stage II&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	White	409	2.714 (0.380 - 19.403)	· · · ·	- 0.32
R0 349 Reference R1&R2 16 3.913 (2.188 - 6.997) Pathologic T stage 527 T1&T2 461 Reference 73&T4 06 2.352 (1.614 - 3.426) Pathologic N stage 514 N0&N1 441 Reference 2.360 (1.659 - 3.358) Pathologic M stage 381 M0 356 Reference 0.005 Pathologic stage 522 Stage I 292 Reference 0.001 Stage II&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	Residual tumor	365		i	
R1&R2 16 3.913 (2.188 - 6.997) →→→ < 0.001	R0	349	Reference	1	
Pathologic T stage 527 T1&T2 461 Reference T3&T4 66 2.352 (1.614 - 3.426) Pathologic N stage 514 N0&N1 441 Reference N2&N3 73 2.360 (1.659 - 3.358) Pathologic M stage 381 M0 356 Reference M1 25 2.176 (1.272 - 3.722) Pathologic stage 522 Stage II&Stage III&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	R1&R2	16	3.913 (2.188 - 6.997)	· ·	→ < 0.001
T 1 &T2 461 Reference T 3 &T4 66 2.352 (1.614 - 3.426) Pathologic N stage 514 N0&N1 441 Reference N2&N3 73 2.360 (1.659 - 3.358) Pathologic M stage 381 M0 356 Reference M1 25 2.176 (1.272 - 3.722) Pathologic stage 522 Stage I 292 Reference Stage II&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	Pathologic T stage	527		1	
T3&T4 66 2.352 (1.614 - 3.426) → < 0.001	T1&T2	461	Reference		
Pathologic N stage 514 N0&N1 441 Reference N2&N3 73 2.360 (1.659 - 3.358) Pathologic M stage 381 M0 356 Reference M1 25 2.176 (1.272 - 3.722) Pathologic stage 522 Stage II 292 Reference Stage II&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	T3&T4	66	2.352 (1.614 - 3.426)		< 0.001
N0&N1 441 Reference N2&N3 73 2.360 (1.659 - 3.358) - < 0.001	Pathologic N stage	514		1	
N2&N3 73 2.360 (1.659 - 3.358) → < 0.001 Pathologic M stage 381 - - 0.005 M0 356 Reference - 0.005 Pathologic stage 522 - 0.005 Stage II& 292 Reference - Stage II& 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897) -	N0&N1	441	Reference	1	
Pathologic M stage 381 M0 356 Reference M1 25 2.176 (1.272 - 3.722) 0.005 Pathologic stage 522 Stage I 292 Reference Stage II& 292 Reference <0.001	N2&N3	73	2.360 (1.659 - 3.358)		< 0.001
M0 356 Reference M1 25 2.176 (1.272 - 3.722) Pathologic stage 522 Stage I 292 Reference 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897) Output	Pathologic M stage	381			
M1 25 2.176 (1.272 - 3.722) I→→ 0.005 Pathologic stage 522	MO	356	Reference	i i	
Pathologic stage 522 Stage I 292 Reference Stage II&Stage III&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	M1	25	2.176 (1.272 - 3.722)		0.005
Stage I 292 Reference Stage II&Stage III&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	Pathologic stage	522		1	
Stage II&Stage III&Stage IV 230 2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	Stage I	292	Reference	1	
	Stage II&Stage III&Stage IV	230	2.889 (2.141 - 3.897)	<u> </u>	< 0.001
					6

Figure 3 Forest plot for univariate Cox regression analysis of LUAD and *SOD1*-related functional enrichment analysis. HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; *SOD1*, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase.



Figure 4 Enrichment of *SOD1* function in LUAD. BP, biological progress; MF, molecular function; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; *SOD1*, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.

human lung cancer cell lines NCI-H1395, NCI-H157, H1650, and Calu-3 using qPCR and Western blot techniques. The results showed that both the mRNA levels and protein expression levels of SOD1 were higher in the lung cancer cell lines than the normal bronchial epithelial cell line (*Figures 10,11*).

Discussion

LUAD is a heterogeneous disease at the molecular level. Histologically, biologically, and genetically, it is widely recognized that LUAD develops through multiple genetic and epigenetic changes, leading to diverse molecular profiles 5530

Gao et al. Bioinformatics analysis of SOD1 in LUAD



Figure 5 SOD1 correlation lollipop chart. SOD1, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase.



Figure 7 Enrichment plots from the GSEA. KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis.



Figure 6 Analysis of the PPI network using STRING. PPI, protein-protein interaction; STRING, Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins.



Figure 8 The correlations between levels of *SOD1* expression and immune infiltration. *SOD1*, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase; TPM, transcript per million; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.



Figure 9 Proportions of immune cell subtypes in tumor samples with high and low expressions of *SOD1*. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ns, no significance. *SOD1*, copper-zinc disulfide sulfurase; Th, T helper; Tgd, gamma delta T; aDC, activated dendritic cell; DC, dendritic cell; iDC, immature dendritic cell; NK, natural killer; TReg, regulatory T cell; Tcm, central memory T cell; TFH, T follicular helper; CD, cluster of differentiation; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cell; Tem, effector memory T cell.

and clinical outcomes (12). Due to the absence of early clinical symptoms and effective screening methods, many patients already have metastases at the time of diagnosis (13). Therefore, novel mechanisms and therapeutic targets need to be discovered to address this concern.

The intermembrane space (IMS) is composed of the matrix, inner, and outer membranes of mitochondria, and the space between the inner and outer membranes (14). SOD1 is localized in the IMS, and the antioxidant mechanism of the IMS relies on the activity of SOD1. It has been suggested that the IMS portion of *SOD1* may also play a crucial role in



Figure 10 SOD1 expression in LUAD cells. **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. SOD1, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase; mRNA, messenger RNA; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma.



Figure 11 Detection of intracellular SOD1 protein expression levels by Western blot. SOD1, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase.

the survival of cancer cells, as the overexpression of *SOD1* can promote the growth of cancer cells. A study has shown that SOD1 is overexpressed in a breast cancer cells (10), and the concentration of serum *SOD1* is positively correlated with the mortality rate of lung cancer.

In our investigation, we examined the potential of *SOD1* as a prognostic biomarker for LUAD. By conducting a bioinformatics analysis of TCGA database, we examined the expression profiles of the *SOD1* gene in matched normal and lung tissues from LUAD patients. Subsequently, we

conducted a Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and found that high SOD1 expression was correlated with a poor prognosis in LUAD patients. Further, our univariate Cox analysis indicated a significant association between high SOD1 expression and poor OS, while our multivariate Cox analysis further validated that the expression of the SOD1 gene was an independent risk factor for OS among patients with LUAD. The GO term and KEGG pathway analyses performed in this study revealed that SOD1 is related to the quinone metabolic process, cellular ketone metabolic process, polyketide metabolic process, olefinic compound metabolic process, alcohol dehydrogenase (NADP⁺) activity, aldo-keto reductase (NADP) activity, alditol (NADP⁺) 1-oxidoreductase activity, monocarboxylic acid binding, folate biosynthesis, arachidonic acid metabolism, steroid hormone biosynthesis, and the metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450. The synthesis of SOD1 is associated with folate, which is involved in various metabolic processes such as nucleotide synthesis, DNA methylation, and cellular redox regulation. The inhibition of the folate pathway remains one of the most effective methods for treating multiple tumors. For instance, the commonly used folateinhibiting drug, methotrexate is used to treat leukemia (15). Our study suggests that SOD1 may provide a valid basis for the post-treatment of LAUD. Further, a study has indicated a possible association between high levels of folate and an increased risk of breast cancer in females (16). Additionally, other research has also shown that folate is involved in the pathology of epithelial ovarian cancer (17). In the metabolism of arachidonic acid, high concentrations of arachidonic acid in the ovarian cancer microenvironment are associated with poor clinical outcomes (18). In a study, a high level of arachidonic acid metabolism may serve as a favorable prognostic biomarker for breast cancer (19). Human cytochrome P450 enzymes play a crucial role in carcinogenesis by activating carcinogens and carcinogenic hormones. Our findings further support the association between SOD1 and tumorigenesis. Among them, the upregulation of P450 1B1 promotes cancer cell proliferation and metastasis (20). The entry of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and other substances into the lungs can lead to tumor formation by inducing DNA mutations through pathways involving cytochrome P450 enzymes (21). Among the superfamily of enzymes, such as cytochrome P450, CYP2U1 is closely associated with a poor prognosis and the pathological features of breast cancer, which suggests that SOD1 may contribute to LUAD through a similar mechanism (22). A study has demonstrated that inhibition

of SOD1 expression can induce cell cycle arrest at the G1 phase in NSCLC cells, including LUAD (23). This inhibition has also been shown to promote apoptosis in tumor cells. Previous research has identified other proteins with opposing functions. For instance, P16, acting as a tumor suppressor gene, interacts with cyclin-dependent kinases to induce cell cycle arrest at the G1 phase (24).

We conducted a further investigation into the relationship between SOD1 and the level of immune infiltration. Our findings revealed a positive correlation between the expression levels of SOD1 and CD4⁺ T cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells. In previous studies, it has been shown that the goal of immunotherapy is to activate T lymphocytes for immune surveillance against cancer. Among these, CD4 T cells are associated with cancer immunity due to their auxiliary functions. CD4⁺ T cells can kill tumors in a major histocompatibility complex (MHC) II-dependent manner (25). Similarly, CD4 T cells can eliminate established melanomas in vivo (26) and exhibit expanded CD4 cytotoxic T lymphocytes in various tumors such as lung cancer and colon cancer (27). Tumor-associated macrophages have the ability to promote tumor growth by producing a large amount of soluble mediators that support tumor cell proliferation, while also inhibiting anti-tumor immune responses (28). Conventionally, dendritic cells are considered a critical component of anti-tumor immunity, but their functional deficiencies may lead to immune suppression in cancer (29). A study has demonstrated that Reg3A can serve as a tumorderived factor to promote dendritic cell growth, thereby contributing to the development of pancreatic cancer (30). Therefore, the effect of SOD1 expression on tumors in the immune microenvironment remains unclear and requires further investigation.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the study showed that *SOD1* is significantly upregulated in LUAD and acts as an independent risk factor for OS in LUAD patients. The key pathways regulated by *SOD1* in LUAD include folate biosynthesis, arachidonic acid metabolism, the metabolism of xenobiotics by cytochrome P450, and other pathways that can affect cancer pathways. Moreover, the effect of *SOD1* on tumorinfiltrating immune cells suggests that it plays a crucial role in the development of LUAD. In our experiments, we were able to verify that the expression levels of *SOD1* were higher in tumor cells than normal cells in LUAD. Therefore,

SOD1 has the potential to act as both a diagnostic and prognostic marker for LUAD. However, this study still has certain limitations. We are currently collecting relevant pathological samples, and in future research, we will investigate the relationship between SOD1 and pathological samples from tumor patients.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the Chuxiong Prefecture People's Hospital Scientific Research Fund (No. 2021J21 to L.G.).

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the REMARK reporting checklist. Available at https://tcr. amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-24-1400/rc

Data Sharing Statement: Available at https://tcr.amegroups. com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-24-1400/dss

Peer Review File: Available at https://tcr.amegroups.com/ article/view/10.21037/tcr-24-1400/prf

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://tcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-24-1400/coif). D.K. serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Translational Cancer Research* from August 2023 to July 2025. L.G. reports funding from the Chuxiong Prefecture People's Hospital Scientific Research Fund (No. 2021J21). The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the

formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Yang B, Wang T, Li N, et al. The High Expression of RRM2 Can Predict the Malignant Transformation of Endometriosis. Adv Ther 2021;38:5178-90.
- Li H, Chen Z, Chen N, et al. Applications of lung cancer organoids in precision medicine: from bench to bedside. Cell Commun Signal 2023;21:350.
- Hong QY, Wu GM, Qian GS, et al. Prevention and management of lung cancer in China. Cancer 2015;121 Suppl 17:3080-8.
- 4. Nooreldeen R, Bach H. Current and Future Development in Lung Cancer Diagnosis. Int J Mol Sci 2021;22:8661.
- 5. Chen P, Liu Y, Wen Y, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer in China. Cancer Commun (Lond) 2022;42:937-70.
- Chen D, Wang R, Yu C, et al. FOX-A1 contributes to acquisition of chemoresistance in human lung adenocarcinoma via transactivation of SOX5. EBioMedicine 2019;44:150-61.
- Banks CJ, Andersen JL. Mechanisms of SOD1 regulation by post-translational modifications. Redox Biol 2019;26:101270.
- Huang M, Liu YU, Yao X, et al. Variability in SOD1associated amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: geographic patterns, clinical heterogeneity, molecular alterations, and therapeutic implications. Transl Neurodegener 2024;13:28.
- Somwar R, Erdjument-Bromage H, Larsson E, et al. Superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1) is a target for a small molecule identified in a screen for inhibitors of the growth of lung adenocarcinoma cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108:16375-80.
- 10. Papa L, Hahn M, Marsh EL, et al. SOD2 to SOD1 switch in breast cancer. J Biol Chem 2014;289:5412-6.
- Zimmerman JR, Smucker BW, Dain RP, et al. Tridentate N(2)S ligand from 2,2'-dithiodibenzaldehyde and N,Ndimethylethylenediamine: Synthesis, structure, and characterization of a Ni(II) complex with relevance to Ni Superoxide Dismutase. Inorganica Chim Acta 2011;373:54-61.
- 12. Roviello G. The distinctive nature of adenocarcinoma of the lung. Onco Targets Ther 2015;8:2399-406.
- Kocher F, Hilbe W, Seeber A, et al. Longitudinal analysis of 2293 NSCLC patients: a comprehensive study from the TYROL registry. Lung Cancer 2015;87:193-200.
- 14. Larkin LM, Davis CS, Sims-Robinson C, et al.

Gao et al. Bioinformatics analysis of SOD1 in LUAD

Skeletal muscle weakness due to deficiency of CuZnsuperoxide dismutase is associated with loss of functional innervation. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 2011;301:R1400-7.

- Zarou MM, Vazquez A, Vignir Helgason G. Folate metabolism: a re-emerging therapeutic target in haematological cancers. Leukemia 2021;35:1539-51.
- Kim SJ, Zuchniak A, Sohn KJ, et al. Plasma folate, vitamin B-6, and vitamin B-12 and breast cancer risk in BRCA1and BRCA2-mutation carriers: a prospective study. Am J Clin Nutr 2016;104:671-7.
- Rizzo A, Napoli A, Roggiani F, et al. One-Carbon Metabolism: Biological Players in Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19:2092.
- Dietze R, Hammoud MK, Gómez-Serrano M, et al. Phosphoproteomics identify arachidonic-acid-regulated signal transduction pathways modulating macrophage functions with implications for ovarian cancer. Theranostics 2021;11:1377-95.
- Li W, Guo X, Chen C, et al. The prognostic value of arachidonic acid metabolism in breast cancer by integrated bioinformatics. Lipids Health Dis 2022;21:103.
- Chun YJ, Kim D. Cancer Activation and Polymorphisms of Human Cytochrome P450 1B1. Toxicol Res 2016;32:89-93.
- Moorthy B, Chu C, Carlin DJ. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: from metabolism to lung cancer. Toxicol Sci 2015;145:5-15.
- 22. Luo B, Chen C, Wu X, et al. Cytochrome P450 2U1 Is

Cite this article as: Gao L, Wang W, Ma H, Yin M, Yang X, Han R, Ohara S, Kim D, Wang G. Bioinformatics analysis reveals *SOD1* is a prognostic factor in lung adenocarcinoma. Transl Cancer Res 2024;13(10):5522-5534. doi: 10.21037/tcr-24-1400 a Novel Independent Prognostic Biomarker in Breast Cancer Patients. Front Oncol 2020;10:1379.

- Liu S, Li B, Xu J, et al. SOD1 Promotes Cell Proliferation and Metastasis in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer via an miR-409-3p/SOD1/SETDB1 Epigenetic Regulatory Feedforward Loop. Front Cell Dev Biol 2020;8:213.
- 24. Pezzuto A, D'Ascanio M, Ricci A, et al. Expression and role of p16 and GLUT1 in malignant diseases and lung cancer: A review. Thorac Cancer 2020;11:3060-70.
- Oh DY, Kwek SS, Raju SS, et al. Intratumoral CD4(+) T Cells Mediate Anti-tumor Cytotoxicity in Human Bladder Cancer. Cell 2020;181:1612-1625.e13.
- Xie Y, Akpinarli A, Maris C, et al. Naive tumor-specific CD4(+) T cells differentiated in vivo eradicate established melanoma. J Exp Med 2010;207:651-67.
- Guo X, Zhang Y, Zheng L, et al. Global characterization of T cells in non-small-cell lung cancer by single-cell sequencing. Nat Med 2018;24:978-85.
- 28. Allavena P, Digifico E, Belgiovine C. Macrophages and cancer stem cells: a malevolent alliance. Mol Med 2021;27:121.
- 29. Tang F, Li J, Qi L, et al. A pan-cancer singlecell panorama of human natural killer cells. Cell 2023;186:4235-4251.e20.
- Guo J, Liao M, Hu X, et al. Tumour-Derived Reg3A Educates Dendritic Cells to Promote Pancreatic Cancer Progression. Mol Cells 2021;44:647-57.

(English Language Editor: L. Huleatt)

5534