# **BMC Biochemistry**



Research article Open Access

# Blocking binding of Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl Aa to Bombyx mori cadherin receptor results in only a minor reduction of toxicity

Taek H You<sup>2</sup>, Mi K Lee<sup>3</sup>, Jeremy L Jenkins<sup>4</sup>, Oscar Alzate<sup>5</sup> and Donald H Dean\*<sup>1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Departments of Biochemistry, Molecular Genetics and Entomology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Campbell University, Buise Creek, NC, USA, <sup>3</sup>Syngenta, Inc., Research Triangle Park, NC, USA, <sup>4</sup>Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA and <sup>5</sup>Neuroproteomics Facility, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

Email: Taek H You - you@campbell.edu; Mi K Lee - mikyong.lee@syngenta.com; Jeremy L Jenkins - jeremy.jenkins@novartis.com; Oscar Alzate - alzate@neuro.duke.edu; Donald H Dean\* - dean.10@osu.edu

\* Corresponding author

Published: 24 January 2008

BMC Biochemistry 2008, 9:3 doi:10.1186/1471-2091-9-3

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2091/9/3

© 2008 You et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</a>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 14 March 2007

Accepted: 24 January 2008

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl Aa insecticidal protein is the most active known B. thuringiensis toxin against the forest insect pest Lymantria dispar (gypsy moth), unfortunately it is also highly toxic against the non-target insect Bombyx mori (silk worm).

**Results:** Surface exposed hydrophobic residues over domains II and III were targeted for site-directed mutagenesis. Substitution of a phenylalanine residue (F328) by alanine reduced binding to the *Bombyx mori* cadherin by 23-fold, reduced biological activity against *B. mori* by 4-fold, while retaining activity against *Lymantria dispar*.

**Conclusion:** The results identify a novel receptor-binding epitope and demonstrate that virtual elimination of binding to cadherin BR-175 does not completely remove toxicity in the case of *B. mori.* 

# **Background**

In the final chapter of her landmark book, *Silent Spring*, Rachael Carson recommended *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a biological pesticide with less environmental impact than conventional chemical pesticides [1]. For 30 years *B. thuringiensis* formulations have been sprayed as topical pesticides with annual world-wide applications estimated at  $2.3 \times 10^6$  kg [2], providing a massive experiment on its ecological and health impact. The results have demonstrated the general safety of *B. thuringiensis* for the environment and humans. The latest application method is the genetic engineering of plants to systemically express *B. thuringiensis* insecticidal proteins. This step increases spe-

cificity and generally has reduced sprayed pesticide impact on the environment in the United States and Canada.

The report of the sensitivity of monarch butterfly (*Daneaus plexippus*) to pollen of genetically modified corn [3], albeit controversial [4], and unlikely to be a threat to insects in the field [5-8] reemphasizes what has been known for almost 100 years [9], *B. thuringiensis*, despite a generally narrow host range [10], can affect certain nontarget insects [11]; and the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* is a prime example [12,13].

Previous studies have indicated that surface exposed charged and hydrophobic residues in domains II loop

regions of several Cry toxins are involved in receptor binding (irreversible and reversible) [14-18]. This prompted us to investigate the implications that hydrophobic residues in other areas on the surface of domain II may affect toxicity and binding. Cry1Aa was chosen because of its known structure [19]; and its high activity to both *B. mori* and the forest pest *L. dispar*. Phenylalanines at position 313, 328, 333, 335, and 461 were selected and tested for biological activity against *B. mori*, and *L. dispar*. Binding to brush border membrane vesicles (BBMV) and purified receptors of those insects were investigated.

#### **Methods**

#### **Mutant construction**

To construct Cry1Aa mutants, *Bacillus thuringiensis cry1Aa1* gene (NCBI accession M11250) from pOS4101 [20], originally from pES1 [21] was subcloned into plasmid pBluescript SK(-) to generate pBN-1Aa. To accommodate the NdeI fragment containing *cry1Aa* coding region, EcoRV recognition sequence in the multiple cloning site of the vector was modified to NdeI recognition sequence by site-directed mutagenesis.

The Cry1Aa mutant toxins were constructed using single strand DNA of the wild type Cry1Aa as template. Mutagenic oligonucleotide DNA primers were synthesized from GeneMed Synthesis, Inc. (South San Francisco, CA). Single strand DNA template was prepared using Bio-Rad Mutagene mutagenesis kit and site-directed mutagenesis was performed according to manufacturer's instruction.

DNA sequencing reactions were performed in the PTC-150 Minicycler (MJ Research, Inc., MA) using the Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems DNA sequencing kit following manufacturer's instruction. The sequencing results were analyzed by ABI 373A DNA sequencer.

#### Protein purification

The crystal inclusion bodies of the Cry1Aa and its mutant toxins were expressed and purified from E. coli MV1190 containing pBN-1Aa as described [22]. The purified crystal proteins were solubilized in 50 mM Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> containing 10 mM DTT, pH 9.6 at 37 °C with shaking at 220 rpm for 5 h. The solubilized protoxin was digested with 2% (w/w) trypsin (Sigma) at 37°C for 3 h, at a trypsin/protoxin ratio of 1:20 by mass. When obvious incomplete digestion was observed after visualizing on SDS-10 % polyacrylamide gels, the protoxins were further digested with an additional dose of 1 % (w/w) trypsin for 2 h. Toxins were further purified as the monomeric fraction on a Hiload 16/60 Superdex 200 Prep Grade column (GE Healthcare) in either HBS (HEPES-buffered saline, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3.4 mM EDTA) for Biacore analysis, or 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 with an Äkta Explorer FPLC (GE Healthcare). To ensure toxin existed as

a monomer in solution, dynamic light scattering for molecular size detection was employed using a DynaPro 801 (Protein Solutions, Inc.). Concentration of protoxins and toxins was estimated by Coomassie Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce) and the purity was examined on the SDS-10 % PAGE [23].

#### **Toxicity bioassays**

L. dispar eggs were kindly provided by Gary Bernon (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Otis Methods Development Center, Beltsville, MD). Eggs were hatched and reared on artificial diet (Bio-Serv, Frenchtown, NJ). B. mori eggs were kindly provided by Ross Milne (Canadian Forestry Service, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada). Eggs were hatched and reared on mulberry (Morus albus) leaves from trees received from the Canadian Forestry Service, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada) and grown in the College of Biological Sciences Entomology Greenhouse. Insects were reared at ambient temperature and humidity. Activities of toxins were determined with 2–3 day old *L. dispar* and *B.* mori larvae by the surface contamination method as described [24] and [20], respectively, using trypsin activated, column purified monomeric toxin. Toxins were diluted in 50 mM sodium carbonate buffer (pH 9.5), and 50 μg samples were applied per well (2 cm<sup>2</sup>) on artificial diet in 24-well tissue culture plates. Two larvae were placed in each well and the mortality was recorded after 5 days. Bioassays were repeated at least 4 times. The effective dose estimates (LC<sub>50</sub>, 50% lethal concentration of toxin) were calculated using PROBIT analysis [25].

#### **BBMV** binding assays

Mutant toxins were tested for binding to brush border membrane vesicle (BBMV) of B. mori. The BBMVs were prepared from midguts of the last instar larvae of B. mori, by the magnesium precipitation method as described [26]. Twenty µg of toxin was iodinated with 1 mCi of Na<sup>125</sup>I (Amersham) in 50 mM sodium carbonate buffer (pH 9.5) in the presence of one IODO-BEAD (Pierce). Incubation was for 15 min at room temperature. Labeled toxins were separated from the unlabeled free iodine using the Excellulose GF-5 column (Pierce). The specific activity of the labeled Cry1Aa was 0.75 µCi/µg protein. Heterologous competition binding assays were performed as described previously [22]. Ten µg of BBMV (amounts of BBMV refers to BBMV protein throughout) was incubated with 2 nM of <sup>125</sup>I-labeled toxins in 100 µl of 8 mM NaHPO<sub>4</sub>, 2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, containing 0.1 % BSA, for 1 h at room temperature in the presence of increasing amounts of corresponding unlabeled competitors (from 0 to 500 nM). The bound toxins were separated from unbound toxins by centrifugation at 15,500 rpm  $(22,000 \times g)$  for 10 min. The pellet containing the bound toxins was washed twice with binding buffer, and

the radioactivity in the resulting pellet was counted in a gamma counter (Beckman).

#### Receptor purification

Purified B. mori aminopeptidase N and cadherin-like protein were obtained from B. mori midguts that were dissected from 4th or 5th instar larvae. BBMV were prepared by the general method of Wolfersberger [27] as described [22]. B. mori BBMV (10 mg in 10 ml) was solubilized in 5 mg/ml CHAPS zwitterionic detergent (Roche) overnight at 4°C with gentle rocking. Solubilized BBMV was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min and supernatant was concentrated to 2 ml by Amicon YM30 ultrafiltration. The sample was loaded on a Q Sepharose HR 10/30 anionexchange column with an ÄKTA Explorer (GE Healthcare) after column activation. A salt gradient (0-1 M NaCl was used to elute BBMV proteins. The low salt buffer (buffer A) consisted of 20 mM Tris, 5 mM MgCl, 0.4 mg/ml CHAPS, pH 8.6, and the high salt buffer used was buffer A + 1 M NaCl. All fractions were tested for APN activity by the LpNA assay. Briefly, 390 µl of sample are mixed with 10 μl of 2 mM leucine-p-nitroanalide (containing a leucine-phenylalanine dipeptide). A yellow chromophoric change indicates aminopeptidase N activity, defined as the ability to cleave a neutral amino acid from the N-terminus of a polypeptide. Cry1Aa binding ability was also checked by slot blotting fractions to PVDF membrane and probing with biotinylated Cry1Aa [28]. Biotinylation was done with a Biotin Labeling Kit (Roche). Fractions with Cry1Aa-binding ability and APN activity were concentrated and loaded on a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 Prep Grade column (GE Healthcare) (120 ml bed volume). Fractions eluting around 120 kDa, the MW of APN, were collected, concentrated by Amicon (Millipore) ultrafiltration on YM30 membranes, and protease inhibitor was added before freezing. Several kinds of APNs occur in the midgut of B. mori [29]. Our preparation may contain more than one of these. Anion-exchange fractions with Cry1Aa-binding ability but without APN activity were also size-purifed, and fractions eluting around 175-250 kDa, the MW of BtR175, were collected and stored. Additionally, the purified fractions were loaded on a 6% SDS-PAGE gel, then transferred overnight at 40 V to PVDF. The membrane was probed with biotin-Cry1Aa and biotin-Cry1Aa F328A. Cry1Aa bound to a single band at 120 kDa in the APN fraction and a single band at 201 kDa in the cadherin fraction. Cry1Aa F328A bound only to a single 120 kDa band in the APN fraction but bound no observable bands in the cadherin fraction.

#### Surface plasmon resonance with purified midgut receptors

B. mori APN and B. mori cadherin were immobilized (100–200 ng for each) on three flowcells of a single CM5 sensor chip by the amine-coupling method (Biacore AB). Receptors were diluted into ammonium acetate, pH 4.2

prior to immobilization. An HBS (pH 7.4) buffer (discribed above under Protein Purification) flow rate of 50  $\mu$ l/min was used for all injections. Randomized toxin concentrations varying from 100 nM to 1000 nM were injected (110  $\mu$ l) over the receptor surfaces. Surfaces were regenerated with 6  $\mu$ l pulses of 10 mM NaOH, 250  $\mu$ M ethylene glycol, pH 11.0 at 100  $\mu$ l/min. Flowcell 4 (blank) responses were subtracted from all response curves and data were fitted using BIAevaluation 3.0. The curves were fit to a simple 1:1 Langmuir binding model to obtain apparent rate constants (A + B  $\leftrightarrow$  AB).

### Results and discussion

To investigate the role of surface hydrophobic residues on receptor binding, the mutant proteins, F313A, F328A, and F461A; and, the double mutant protein, F333A-F335A, were expressed at similar levels to that of wild type Cry1Aa (35–50% total cell protein [20]) and produced stable toxin peptides by trypsin activation. Stability refers here to the trypsin resistant state of the 65 kDa toxin. Another alanine mutant toxin, F338A, was expressed at a low level or was degraded during trypsin activation (no further studies were conducted with this mutant). The positions of these residues on the surface of the Cry1Aa toxin structure are shown (Fig. 1).

Toxicity of wild type Cry1Aa and mutant toxins against L. dispar, and B. mori larvae are given in Table 1 as  $LC_{50}$  values of each toxin. Against L. dispar, none of the mutant proteins show significant differences in toxicity. To B. mori, mutant toxin F328A displayed four-fold reduced toxicity, while F313A, the double mutant F333A-F335A, and F461A displayed overlapping confidence limits with the wild type Cry1Aa toxin.

To investigate the mechanism by which mutant protein F328A reduces toxicity to *B. mori*, we examined toxin-binding properties to BBMV. BBMV competition binding assays of wild type Cry1Aa and mutant toxins demonstrated that F328A exhibited little competition with the wild type toxin until the highest amounts of competing toxins. This reduced affinity is consistent with its reduced toxicity. Mutant proteins F313A, F461A, and the double mutant, F333A-F335A, toxins displayed slightly decreased binding affinity compared to the wild type Cry1Aa (Fig. 2).

We further examined binding properties of these mutant proteins to the purified known *B. mori* Cry1Aa binding proteins from the *B. mori* midgut. Previous studies demonstrated the purification of Cry1Aa binding proteins (receptors), aminopeptidase N (APN) and cadherin-like receptor, from *B. mori* [12,13]. We have previously examined binding of Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, Cry1Ac and hybrid domain-substitution mutants involving Cry1Aa and




Figure I
Structure of Cryl Aa insecticidal protein showing surface phenylalanine residues (red) which were changed to alanine. A, ribbon diagram model to illustrate the secondary structure of domains I (alpha helical), II (beta strands) and III (beta sandwich). B, space filling model, to illustrate the surface exposure of the phenylalanine residues (red). Molecular models were generated using QUANTA (Molecular Simulations, Inc.)

Cry1Ac by the surface plasmon resonance with purified *B. mori* binding proteins [30]. We found that Cry1Aa binds to *B. mori* cadherin-like proteins with a Kd of 2.6 nM and to *B. mori* APN with a Kd of 75 nM. Binding of Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac was negligible to either one of these binding proteins. Domain substitutions indicated that domain III from Cry1Ac did not affect binding, indicating that either the binding epitopes of domain III from Cry1Aa and Cry1Ac are the same or that they play little role in binding the cadherin-like proteins.

In this study, we purified both of these binding proteins from *B. mori* midgut tissue and analyzed binding proper-

Table I: Biological activities of CrylAa and mutant toxins to L. dispar, and B. mori. Toxicity is measured as  $LC_{50}$  in ng/ccm.

Toxin	LC <sub>50</sub> against <i>L. dispar</i>	LC <sub>50</sub> against B. mori
Cry I Aa (Wt)	1.59 (0.76–2.64)	10.45 (7.14–14.12)
F3 I 3 A	1.68 (0.86–2.72)	17.34 (11.70–21.74)
F3 2 8 A	1.80 (0.98–2.80)	41.52 (24.69–66.76)
FF3 3 3,335 A A	2.18 (1.30–3.26)	15.47 (8.8–25.5)
F4 6 I A	1.73 (1.18–2.46)	17.1 (12.7–22.7)




Figure 2 Competition with labeled Cryl Aa and mutant toxins to B. moribrush border membrane vesicles (BBMV) (bars represent error of n = 4). Cryl Aa wild type protein, ( - ); F313A mutant protein, (  $\spadesuit - \spadesuit$ ); F333A-F335A, mutant protein (  $\triangledown - \triangledown$ ); F461A mutant protein, (  $\spadesuit - \spadesuit$ ); F328A mutant protein, ( - ). % uncompeted toxin indicates the % of labeled toxin remaining bound to BBMV in the presence of unlabeled cold toxin competitor.

ties of wild type and mutant proteins using surface plasmon resonance on a BIAcore 2000 (Fig. 3). The wild type Cry1Aa binding to cadherin-like receptor was ka = 1.3 ×  $10^4 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \,(+/-6.1 \times 10^3), \,\mathrm{kd} = 3.3 \times 10^{-5} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \,(+/-1 \mathrm{x} 10^{-5}),$  $K_D$ = 2.6 nM; and to *B. mori* APN were 2.1 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (+/  $-1.3 \times 10^{2}$ ), kd =  $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ s<sup>-1</sup> (+/- 1 × 10<sup>-5</sup>), K<sub>D</sub> = 75 nM. Mutant protein F328A showed significantly lower binding to cadherin-like receptor but bound slightly less (2-fold lower) to APN than wild type. Its binding to B. mori APN was  $1.8 \times 10^4 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \left( +/-2.9 \times 10^2 \right)$ , kd =  $2.3 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ , and  $K_D = 132$  mM; while to B. mori cadherin, the F328A mutant toxin yielded apparent rate constant values to cadnerin: ka =  $1.5 \times 10^{3} \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \left( +/-9.0 \times 10^{3} \right)$ , kd =  $9.1 \times 10^{-1}$  $^{5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> (+/- 8 × 10<sup>-9</sup>), K<sub>D</sub> = 60.7 nM, a 23-fold lower binding affinity than wild type Cry1Aa. This receptor binding data suggested that the phenylalanine residue at 328 might play an important role in binding to the cadherin-like receptor, which led to the reduction in toxicity. Other mutant toxins, F313A, F333A-F335A, and F461A did not show any changes in binding to either APN or cadherin-like receptor(data not shown).

The cadherin-like protein has been reported to be a functional Cry1Ab receptor [31-34], while APN was reported as a functional receptor for Cry1Ac [35-37] and Cry1C [38]. More recently an additional receptor, BR-270, has been reported as an anionic brush border membrane glycoconjugate that show tight binding to a number of Crytoxins [39]. The role of this receptor in the overall mechanism of action of Crytoxins is unknown and its response to mutant toxins is unreported. It is possible that only one of these is a functional receptor, leading to lethality, while the others serve as non-functional toxin-binding mole-




Figure 3
Binding of Cry I Aa and the mutant toxin F328A to the APN and cadherin-like protein purified from B. mori measured by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) using a Biacore 1000. Representative BIAcore response curves for toxin injections at 200 (lower curve), 300 (second curve from bottom), 500 (third curve from bottom), and 1000 nM (top curve). Experimental curves (grey) are shown overlaid with fitted curves (black) obtained with the I:I Langmuir binding model. The same APN-bound chip is used in figures A and B, and the cadherin-bound chip is used in figures C and D. (A) (Cry I Aa wt binding to B. mori APN. (B) F328A binding to B. mori APN. (C) Cry I Aa wt binding to B. mori cadherin-like protein.

cules. Or, perhaps a more complicated, multifaceted mechanism is involved, as proposed recently [40].

One unexpected finding in these experiments was that F461A, F313A and F333A-F335A mutant toxins did not change the binding properties to either APN or cadherin-like proteins (not shown), although these mutant proteins did affect overall binding to BBMV. This may be due to the possibility that these mutations might affect binding to a binding protein other than APN and the cadherin-like protein. Taking into account the fact that F313 is located on a different face from F333 and F335 as indicated in the Fig 1, it is suggested that these phenylalanine residues might be involved in binding to different receptors or different binding steps.

After the work on this paper was completed we became aware of a paper [41] reporting a *B. thuringiensis* strain, AF101, which exhibited lower activity toward *B. mori*. The authors reported that an altered Cry1Ab toxin, responsible for the lower activity, differed in three residues and the carboxy-terminal portion from wild type Cry1Ab. The three residues were S261A (a partially buried residue), S560N and F328L, the critical residue found in our work with the different Bt toxin, Cry1Aa. Since Cry1Ab does not bind significantly to APN or cadherin [30], one might speculate that F328 may also interact with other receptors.

Our observation that mutation in F328 to A affected binding to *B. mori* cadherin but not to *L. dispar* cadherin indicates that different toxin-receptor interactions take place in different insects. This principle was noted earlier in comparing the action of mutants of Cry1Ab and their action on *Manduca sexta* and *Heliothis virescens* [24].

Our understanding of certain aspects of the function of the *B. thuringiensis* insecticidal proteins, especially in the area of receptor binding has also been enhanced by protein engineering techniques [10,42-44]. Briefly, the previously described receptor-binding epitopes, best understood in Cry1Ac, are in the loops at the bottom of domain II, and N-acetylgalactosamine binding pocket in domain III [43-45].

Protein engineering techniques have allowed improvements of proteins as well as understanding of their function [46]. Improvements in toxicity against target pests, as much as 36-fold, have been reported for *B. thuringiensis* toxins [47-49]. In the present paper we demonstrate the proof of principle that site-specific mutagenesis can render these biopesticides more specific by reducing activity to a non-target insect.

#### Conclusion

In the present paper, we have observed a new receptorbinding epitope around residue 328 in domain II, between the previously observed epitopes. Mutations in this region specifically reduced toxicity 4-fold to *B. mori* by blocking binding to *B. mori* cadherin, BTR175, whereas binding to *B. mori* APN was unaffected. The results demonstrate that virtual elimination of binding to cadherin BR-175 does not completely remove toxicity in the case of *B. mori*.

Through a combination of learning more about the mechanism of action of these biopesticides, rational application of protein engineering techniques and serendipity, better insecticidal proteins can be developed that have improved activity against target pests and reduced activity against certain non-target insects. The overall aim in this effort is to protect agricultural crops and reduce non-specific pesticides to achieve increased food production while protecting the environment.

#### **Authors' contributions**

THY contributed to the conception of the study, conducted most of the molecular genetic studies, MKL contributed to the conception of the study and helped with the molecular genetic studies. JLJ conducted the surface plasmon resonance binding studies. OA repeated all of the bioassays helped revise the manuscript. DHD conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, and corrected, refined and polished the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes for Health R01 Al 29092 to D.H.D. We thank Ross Milne for *B. mori* eggs. We gratefully acknowledge the technical help of April Curtiss with bioassays.

#### References

- Carson R: Silent Spring. Cambridge, MA, The Riverside Press; 1962:368.
- Rowe GE, Margaritis A, Dulmage HT: Bioprocess developments in the production of bioinsecticides by Bacillus thuringiensis. CRC Crit Rev Biotechnol 1987. 6:87-127.
- Losey JE, Rayor LS, Carter ME: Transgenic pollen harms monarch larvae. Nature 1999, 399:214.
- Hodgson J: Monarch Bt-corn paper questioned. Nature Biotechnology 1999, 17:627.
- Oberhauser KS, Prysby MD, Mittila HR, Stanley-Horn DE, Sears MK, Dively G, Olson E, Pleasants JM, Lam WKF, Hellmich RL: Temporal and spatial overlap between monarch larvae and corn pollen. Proc Nat'l Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:11913-11918.
- Pleasants JM, Hellmich RL, Dively GP, Sears MK, Stanley-Horn DE, Mattila HR, Foster JE, Clark P, Jones GD: Corn pollen depositon on milkweeds in and near cornfields. Proc Nat'l Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:11919-11924.
- Sears MK, Hellmich RL, Stanley-Horn DE, Oberhauser KS, Pleasants JM, Mattila HR, Siegfried BD, Dively GP: Impact of Bt corn pollen on monarch butterfly populations: A risk assessment. Proc Nat'l Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:11937-11942.
- 8. Stanley-Horn DE, Dively GP, Hellmich RL, Mattila HR, Sears MK, Rose R, Jesse LCH, Losey JE, Obrycki JJ, Lewis L: **Assessing the**

- impact of CrylAb-expressing corn pollen on monarch butterfly larvae in field studies. Proc Nat'l Acad Sci USA 2001, 98:1|93|-1|936.
- Ishiwata S: On a kind of severe flacherie (sotto disease) (I.). Daihihon Sanshi Keiko 1901, 9:1-5.
- Schnepf E, Crickmore N, VanRie J, Lereclus D, Baum J, Feitelson J, Zeigler DR, Dean DH: Bacillus thuringiensis and its pesticidal crystal proteins. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1998, 62:775-806.
- Miller JC: Field assessment of the effects of a microbial pest control agent on nontarget Lepidoptera. American Entomologist 1990, 36(2):135-139.
- Yaoi K, Kadotani T, Kuwana H, Shinkawa A, Takahashi T, H. I, Isato R: Aminopeptidase N from Bombyx mori as a candidate for the receptor of Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl Aa toxin. Eur J Biochem 1997, 246:652-657.
- Nagamatsu Y, Toda S, Koike T, Miyoshi Y, Shigematsu S, Kogure M: Cloning, sequencing, and expression of the Bombyx mori receptor for Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal CrylA(a) toxin. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 1998, 62(4):727-734.
- 14. Rajamohan F, Alcantara E, Lee MK, Chen XJ, Curtiss A, Dean DH: Single amino acid changes in domain II of Bacillus thuringiensis CrylAb d-endotoxin affect irreversible binding to Manduca sexta midgut membrane vesicles. J Bacteriol 1995, 177:2276-2282.
- Wu SJ, Dean DH: Functional significance of loops in the receptor binding domain of Bacillus thuringiensis CrylllA d-endotoxin. J Mol Biol 1996, 255:628-640.
- Rajamohan F, Dean DH: Molecular biology of bacteria on biological control of insects. In Molecular Biology of the Biological Control of Pests and Diseases of Plants Edited by: Gunasekaran M, Weber DJ. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press; 1996:105-122.
- Wu SJ, Koller CN, Miller DL, Bauer LS, Dean DH: Enhanced toxicity of Bacillus thuringiensis Cry3A d-endotoxin in coleopterans by mutagenesis in a receptor binding loop. FEBS Letts 2000, 473:227-232.
- Lee MK, Jenkins JL, You TH, Curtiss A, Son JJ, Adang MJ, Dean DH: Mutations at the arginine residues in a8 loop of Bacillus thuringiensis d-endotoxin Cryl Ac affect toxicity and binding to Manduca sexta and Lymantria dispar aminopeptidase N. FEBS Letts 2001, 497:108-112.
- Grochulski P, Masson L, Borisova S, Pusztai-Carey M, Schwartz JL, Brousseau R, Cygler M: Bacillus thuringiensis CrylA(a) insecticidal toxin: crystal structure and channel formation. J Mol Biol 1995, 254:447-464.
- 20. Ge AZ, Shivarova NI, Dean DH: Location of the Bombyx mori specificity domain on a Bacillus thuringiensis d-endotoxin protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989 86:4037-4041
- protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989, 86:4037-4041.

  21. Schnepf HE, Whiteley HR: Cloning and expression of the Bacillus thuringiensis crystal protein gene in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1981, 78:2893-2897.
- Lee MK, Milne RE, Ge AZ, Dean DH: Location of a Bombyx mori receptor binding region on a Bacillus thuringiensis d-endotoxin. J Biol Chem 1992, 267:3115-3121.
- Laemmli UK: Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 1970, 227:680-685.
- Rajamohan F, Cotrill JA, Gould F, Dean DH: Role of domain II, loop 2 residues of Bacillus thuringiensis CrylAb d-endotoxin in reversible and irreversible binding to Manduca sexta and Heliothis virescens. 1 Biol Chem 1996, 271:2390-2397.
- Heliothis virescens. J Biol Chem 1996, 271:2390-2397.
  25. Softwear LO: POLO-PC: a user's guide to probit or logit analysis. Berkeley, California, LeOra Softwear; 1987.
- Wolfersberger M, Lüthy P, Maurer A, Parenti P, Sacchi FV, Giordana B, Hanozet GM: Preparation and partial characterization of amino acid transporting brush border membrane vesicles from the larval midgut of the cabbage butterfly (Pieris brassicae). Comp Biochem Physiol 1987, 86A:301-308.
- Wolfersberger MG: Preparation and partial characterization of amino acid transporting brush border membrane vesicles from the larval midgut of the gypsy moth (Lymantria dispar). Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 1993, 24:139-147.
- Lee MK, Young BA, Dean DH: Domain III exchanges of Bacillus thuringiensis CrylA toxins affect binding to different gypsy moth midgut receptors. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1995, 216:306-312.

- Nakanishi K, Yaoi K, Nagino Y, Hara H, Kitami M, Atsumi S, Miura N, Sato R: Aminopeptidase N isoforms from the midgut of Bombyx mori and Plutella xylostella -- their classification and the factors that determine their binding specificity to Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl A toxin. FEBS Lett 2002, 519(1-3):215-220.
- Jenkins JL, Dean DH: Binding specificity of Bacillus thuringiensis Cry I Aa for purified, native Bombyx mori aminopeptidase N and cadherin-like receptors. BMC Biochem 2001, 2(12):1-7.
- Vadlamudi RK, Weber E, Ji I, Ji TH, Bulla LA Jr.: Cloning and expression of a receptor for an insecticidal toxin of Bacillus thuringiensis. J Biol Chem 1995, 270:5490-5494.
- Francis BR, Bulla LA Jr.: Further characterization of BT-RI, the cadherin-like receptor for CryIAb toxin in tobacco hornworm (Manduca sexta) midguts. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 1997, 27:541-550.
- Keeton TP, Bulla LA Jr.: Ligand specificity and affinity of BT-RI, the Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl A toxin receptor from Manduca sexta, expressed in mammalian and insect cell cultures. Appl Environ Microbiol 1997:3419-3425.
- Keeton TP, Francis BR, Maaty WSA, Bulla LA Jr.: Effects of midgut-protein-preparative and ligand binding procedures on the toxin binding characteristics of BT-R1, a common high-affinity receptor in Manduca sexta for Cry1 A Bacillus thuringiensis toxins. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998, 64(6):2158-2165.
   Knight PJK, Crickmore N, Ellar DJ: The receptor for Bacillus
- Knight PJK, Crickmore N, Ellar DJ: The receptor for Bacillus thuringiensis CrylA(c) delta-endotoxin in the brush border membrane of the lepidopteran Manduca sexta is aminopeptidase N. Mol Microbiol 1994, 11(3):429-436.
- 36. Sangadala S, Walters FS, English LH, Adang MJ: A mixture of Manduca sexta aminopeptidase and phosphatase enhances Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal CrylA(c) toxin binding and 86Rb+-K+ efflux in vitro. J Biol Chem 1994, 269:10088-10092.
- Lee MK, You TH, Young BA, Valaitis AP, Dean DH: Aminopeptidase N purified from gypsy moth BBMV is a specific receptor for Bacillus thuringiensis CrylAc toxin. Appl Environ Microbiol 1996, 62:2845-2849.
- Luo K, Lu YJ, Adang MJ: A 106 kDa form of aminopeptidase is a receptor for Bacillus thuringiensis CrylC delta-endotoxin in the brush border membrane of Manduca sexta. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 1996, 26:783-791.
- Valaitis AP, Jenkins JL, Lee MK, Dean DH, Garner KJ: Isolation and Partial Characterization of Gypsy Moth BTR-270, an Anionic Brush Border Membrane Glycoconjugate that Binds Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl A Toxins with High Affinity. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology 2001, 46:186-200.
- Bravo A, Gomez I, Conde J, Munoz-Garay C, Sanchez J, Miranda R, Zhuang M, Gill SS, Suboron M: Oligomerization triggers binding of a Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl Ab pore-forming toxin to aminopeptidase N receptor leading to insertion into membrane microdomains. Biochem Biophys Acta 2004, 1667:38-46.
- 41. Kim YS, Kanda K, Yamaguchi K, Kato F, Murata A: Genetic analysis of the CrylAb gene in Bacillus thuringiensis strain AF101. Appl Entomol Zool 1998, 33:441-447.
- Rajamohan F, Lee MK, Dean DH: Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal proteins: molecular mode of action. In Progress in Nucleic Acids Research and Molecular Biology Volume 60. Academic Press; 1998:1-27.
- 43. Jenkins JL, Lee MK, Valaitis AP, Curtiss A, Dean DH: Bivalent sequential binding model of a Bacillus thuringiensis toxin to gypsy moth aminopeptidase N receptor. J Biol Chem 2000, 275:14423-14431.
- Jenkins JL, Dean DH: Exploring the mechanism of action of insecticidal proteins by genetic engineering methods. In Genetic Engineering: Principles and Methods Volume 22. Edited by: Setlow JK. New York, Plenum Press; 2000:33-54.
- 45. Burton SL, Ellar DJ, Li J, Derbyshire DJ: N-acetylgalactosamine on the putative insect receptor aminopeptidase N is recognised by a site on the domain III lectin-like fold of a Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal toxin. | Mol Biol 1999, 287:1011-1022.
- Cleland JL, Craik CS: Protein Éngineering Principles and Practice. New York, Wiley-Liss, Inc; 1996:518.
- 47. Lee MK, You TH, Curtiss A, Dean DH: Involvement of two amino acid residues in the loop region of Bacillus thuringiensis Cryl Ab toxin in toxicity and binding to Lymantria dispar. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1996, 229:139-146.

- Rajamohan F, Alzate O, Cotrill JA, Curtiss A, Dean DH: Protein engineering of Bacillus thuringiensis d-endotoxin: mutations at domain II of Cry I Ab enhance receptor affinity and toxicity towards gypsy moth larvae. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996, 93:14338-14343.
- de Maagd RA, Kwa MSG, van der Klei H, Yamamoto T, Schipper B, Vlak JM, Stiekema WJ, Bosch D: Domain III substitution in Bacillus thuringiensis CrylA(b) results in superior toxicity for Spodoptera exigua and altered membrane protein recognition. Appl Environ Microbiol 1996, 62:1537-1543.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- $\bullet$  yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\_adv.asp

