CASE REPORT



Colitis cystica profunda: A report of two cases

Revised: 24 September 2023

Qingling Jiang^{1,2} | Si Qin¹ | Han Zhang³ | Guangjian Liu¹

¹Department of Medical Ultrasound, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

²Biomedical Innovation Center, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

³Department of Pathology, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Correspondence

Guangjian Liu, Department of Medical Ultrasound, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, No. 26 Yuancunerheng Road, Guangzhou 510655, China. Email: liugj@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Key Clinical Message

Colitis cystica profunda (CCP) is a rare benign disease characterized by mucusfilled cysts in the submucosa. Endoscopic, radiological and histological examinations are not highly specific, which can lead to misdiagnosis, resulting in unnecessary radical surgical resection. This report presents two cases of CCP with their clinical and imaging features.

KEYWORDS

rectum, colitis cystica profunda, endorectal ultrasound

1 **INTRODUCTION**

Submucosal cysts in the colon were first reported by Stark in 1766 during the autopsy of two specimens of patients with chronic dysentery. In 1863, Virchow named it colitis cystica polyposa for multiple polypoid cystic submucosal lesions. In 1957, Goodall and Sinclair renamed colitis cystica polyposa to colitis cystica profunda (CCP), first giving the name and detailed description of the disease.^{1,2} CCP is a rare benign disease occurring in the rectum or colon, most commonly in the anterior wall of the rectum. The main pathological characteristics of CCP are mucuscontaining cysts reaching deep into the submucosa, muscular layer or even the serous layer. The cysts are filled with large amounts of mucus, and floating exfoliated epithelial cells are visible in the mucus, without the characteristics of malignant tumors.³ There are few previously published case reports concerning endorectal ultrasonography of CCP in the literature. We report two cases of CCP

with the purpose of generating better awareness of its clinical and imaging features.

2 CASE HISTORY

2.1 CASE 1

A 34-year-old male with defecation characteristics changed for over 6 years, mainly manifested as mucous defecation, no increase in defecation frequency, urgency, abdominal pain and other discomforts. No special treatment was given, and he presented to the hospital for diagnosis and treatment.

Digital rectal examination (DRE): 5 cm into the finger can reach the tough mass, smooth surface, movable, no blood staining in the receding finger sleeve. Laboratory examinations: Blood routine, liver and kidney function, tumor markers are all in the normal range.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. Clinical Case Reports published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Endorectal ultrasound (ERUS): A cystic mass was observed at 70 mm from the anal verge, at the 12–2 o'clock direction in the lithotomy position, in the submucosal layer and intrinsic muscular layer of the rectum, with a size of 26 mm in diameter, clear boundary, regular morphology, protruding into the lumen, with poor internal transmission and heterogeneous echogenicity, and continuous echogenicity in the mucosal and serous layers of the rectum. Color Doppler flow imaging (CDFI): A few blood flow signals were observed in the periphery, but no obvious blood flow signals were observed in the interior of the lesion (Figure 1).

Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT): A round-like low-density lesion of $3.1 \times 2.4 \times 2.2$ cm was observed in the submucosa of the anterior wall of the middle rectum, with calcification on the edge and no obvious enhancement. The rectal mucosa was smooth, the rectal wall was intact, the surrounding fatty space was clear, and no enlarged lymph nodes were seen (Figure 2).

Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (CEMRI): A round-like signal foci with a size of about $2.3 \times 1.8 \times 1.7$ cm was seen in the submucosa of the anterior wall of the middle rectum, T1 showed low signal, T2 showed high signal, DWI showed high signal, ADC showed no reduction, no obvious enhancement of the foci in contrast-enhanced scanning. The rectal mucosa was smooth, the rectal wall was intact, the surrounding fatty space was clear, and no obvious enlarged lymph nodes were seen in the pelvic cavity (Figure 3).

Finally, the patient underwent transanal endoscopic resection of the rectal mass, and the postoperative pathology was as follows: multiple mucous lakes could be seen in the rectal intestinal wall, locally covered by intestinal epithelium, no atypia, and the laminar propria interstitials could be seen, which was consistent with CCP (Figure 4).

2.2 | CASE 2

A 27-year-old female patient had a change in stool habits and traits for more than 2 years, which showed that the frequency of defecation increased to five to six times a day. The stool became slightly thinner, accompanied by a feeling of urgency and incompleteness of the stool, and mucus on the surface of the stool. Colonoscopy at a local hospital showed a mucosal eminence of about 2×2.5 cm in the rectum 2–4 cm from the anus. Biopsy under colonoscopy showed chronic inflammation of the mucosa with erosion. No further treatment was performed, and no follow-up examination was conducted. The stool surface was recently mixed with blood, so he came to the hospital for diagnosis and treatment.

DRE: A tough mass about 2–3 cm in diameter could be detected on the anterior wall of the rectum about 6 cm from the anus, with moderate mobility, slight tenderness, and no blood staining after exiting the finger sleeve. Laboratory examination: Blood routine, liver and kidney function, tumor markers were all in the normal range.

ERUS: A cystic mass was seen in the submucosal layer of the rectum at 10–12 o'clock in the lithotomy position about 56 mm from the anal verge, with a size of 26 mm in diameter, clear borders, regular morphology, intact mucosal layer, intact and continuous intrinsic muscular layer and serous layer. CDFI: A small amount of blood flow signal was seen in the periphery, and no significant blood flow signal was seen inside (Figure 5).

CECT: The anterior wall of the lower part of the rectum, at about 50 mm from the anal margin, presented a local protuberant change, with a local thickness of about 11 mm, and the mucosal surface was smooth. The enhancement of the local submucosal area was not obvious, and a patchy, dense shadow was still visible. The perienteric fatty space was clear (Figure 6).



FIGURE 1 Endorectal ultrasound. (A) Gray scale ultrasound shows a cystic mass in the submucosa, with clear boundary, poor internal transmission, and continuous echogenicity in the mucosal layer (yellow arrow). (B) Color Doppler ultrasound shows a small amount of blood flow signal around the cystic mass, no obvious blood flow signal inside, and continuous echogenicity in the serous layer of the intestinal wall (yellow arrow).



FIGURE 2 Contrast-enhanced CT. (A) A quasi-circular low-density foci on the plain scan, with smooth rectal mucosa and intact intestinal wall. (B, C) No enhancement is seen in the arterial and venous phases of the lesion.

FIGURE 3 Contrast-enhanced MRI. (A) T2WI shows high signal. (B) Slight enhancement in the periphery of the lesion and no significant enhancement in the interior. (C) DWI shows high signal. (D) ADC shows high signal.





FIGURE 4 Pathology. Multifocal mucus paste (red arrows) visible in the intestinal wall, locally covered with intestinal epithelium without heterogeneous hyperplasia (blue arrows).

CEMRI: An oval abnormal signal foci was seen in the submucosa of the anterior wall of the lower segment of the rectum about 46 mm away from the anal verge, with a size of $17 \text{ mm} \times 18 \text{ mm} \times 20 \text{ mm}$. T2WI showed high signal

and multiple low signal separations within it. No obvious limited diffusion was observed on DWI and ADC images. Separation enhancement was observed on enhanced scan, and no clear enhancement was observed in the remaining lesions. The boundary of the lesion was clear, and the outer wall of the lesion was smooth (Figure 7).

Colonoscopy: A protuberant mass of size $20 \text{ mm} \times 18 \text{ mm}$ was seen on the anterior wall about 4 cm from the anal verge, with a superficial ulcer on the surface. Endoscopic resection of the rectal submucosal mass was performed, and the submucosal incision showed a gelatinous appearance (Figure 8). Postoperative pathology: CCP of the rectum with calcification (Figure 9).

3 | DISCUSSION

Cystica profunda is a rare benign non-neoplastic disease that can occur throughout the gastrointestinal tract, mostly in the rectum, followed by the colon, and more rarely in the stomach and small intestine. It is also known as gastritis cystica profunda (GCP), enteritis cystica profunda (ECP), and colitis cystica profunda (CCP).⁴ CCP is a



FIGURE 5 Endorectal ultrasound. (A) Gray scale ultrasound shows a cystic mass in the submucosal layer with clear borders, poor internal transmission, and continuous echogenicity in the mucosal layer (yellow arrow) and serous layer (white arrow). (B) CDFI shows a small amount of blood flow signal around the cystic mass but no obvious blood flow signal inside.



FIGURE 6 Contrast-enhanced CT. (A) Localized thickening and eminence of the anterior wall of the lower rectum in the plain scan, with visible calcification. (B, C) No significant enhancement is seen in the arterial and venous phases.



FIGURE 7 Contrast-enhanced MRI. (A) T2WI shows high signal intensity and multiple low signal separations. (B) Separate enhancement can be observed in the lesion. (C) DWI shows high signal (the low signal area is calcification). (D) ADC shows high signal without any decrease.

rare benign disease occurring in the rectum or colon, most commonly in the anterior wall of the rectum. The pathogenesis of CCP is unknown and is thought to be due to congenital or acquired mucosal muscle weakness caused by inflammation, infection, trauma, or ischemia resulting in the embedding of the mucosal epithelium in the submucosa.⁵ The main histological features are the presence of multiple cysts of varying size and morphology in the submucosa lined with a single layer of flattened or columnar epithelial cells, which are filled with mucus, and the disappearance of some of the covered epithelium of the cyst wall, forming a mucus lake.⁶

CCP can occur at any age, most commonly in young and middle-aged people aged 30–40 and more commonly in men. The clinical manifestations of CCP are diverse and nonspecific, mainly including abdominal pain, diarrhea,

5 of 7

FIGURE 8 Colonoscopy. (A) A protuberant mass showing the formation of superficial ulcers. (B) Gelatinous mucus can be seen by incision of the submucosa.





FIGURE 9 Pathology. Local erosion of intestinal mucosa, partly with ulcerative repair changes (red arrow), and a focal cystic cavity containing a large amount of mucus in the submucosa (blue arrow).

constipation, blood in stool, mucus stool, urgency, rectal pain, change in stool habit, internal rotation, abdominal mass, and intestinal obstruction.⁷⁻⁹ According to the extent of invasion, there are diffuse and local types.¹⁰ The diffuse type involves the entire colon with villous or tipped polypoid lesions or ulcers, mostly due to intestinal inflammation and ulceration. It is associated with Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, infectious colitis, and radiation enteritis.^{11,12} The local type is mostly seen in the anterior wall of the rectal 5-12 cm from the anal verge, presenting as nodules or polyps, which is associated with rectal prolapse and isolated rectal ulcer syndrome. The local type is the most common, while the diffuse type accounting for less than 15% of cases reported in the literature.^{1,3,13,14} Both cases in this paper were young and middle-aged patients with clinical characteristics of mucous excretion. In both cases, the location was the anterior rectal wall of 5-12 cm from the anal verge, which is consistent with the location reported in the literature. Both cases showed nodularity and belonged to the local type.

The imaging findings of CCP are characteristic. Xrays are normal or nonspecific in the early stage or show luminal narrowing and irregularity due to multiple submucosal cystic structures. Barium enema shows single or multiple filling defects or shows thickened folds. CT images show a noninfiltrating submucosal mass with well-defined borders and an unenhanced cystic lumen of variable size. The perirectal adipose tissue was absent, and the levator anus muscle was thickened.^{2,15} MRI showed a homogeneous low signal on T1WI and a submucosal high signal nodule on T2WI, with no significant enhancement on contrast-enhanced images, high signal on DWI, no diffusion restriction on ADC, and significant high signal on T2WI, suggesting the presence of mucin in the lesion.^{4,16} In both cases in this paper, CT showed nonenhancing hypodense lesions with clear borders, calcifications at the margins, smooth mucosa, intact rectal wall, clear surrounding fatty spaces, and no enlarged lymph nodes. MRI presentations were also consistent with the above imaging manifestations. However, the thickening of the levator anus muscle described in the literature was absent. In addition, calcification of the cyst wall was described in our case, which suggests a chronic benign disease not associated with rectal cancer where calcification is usually found inside the tumor.¹⁷

Colonoscopy in CCP shows tipped or villous polypoid lesions covered by normal, edematous or ulcerated mucosa or polypoid or nodular mucosal thickening with or without ulceration, which can be easily confused with colorectal cancer.⁸ In contrast, colonoscopy allows biopsy and, therefore, has the dual advantage of obtaining both imaging and pathology simultaneously. However, insufficient biopsy depth and limited sampling make it easy to miss diagnoses and misdiagnose. In Case 2, the results of the first external colonoscopy biopsy only showed chronic inflammation of the mucosa with erosion, which may be related to the shallow and limited sampling.

ERUS showed multiple hypoechoic or anechoic cysts in the submucosal layer of the rectum with a small amount of internal echogenicity, no involvement of the mucosal layer, no lymphadenopathy, and no penetration or invasion of the muscular layer beyond the submucosal layer.^{4,9} In this paper, ERUS showed cystic lesions in the submucosal layer in both cases, with the mucosal and serous layers intact. The difference between the two cases is that the lesion was located in the submucosal layer and the intrinsic muscular layer, with the invasion of the muscular layer in Case 1. In Case 2, the lesion was located only in the submucosa, and the intrinsic muscle layer was not invaded outside the submucosa. ERUS can clearly localize the different layers of the intestinal wall and can assess whether the mucosal layer, intrinsic muscular layer, and serous layer of the intestinal wall are intact. Although ERUS cannot confirm the diagnosis, it is important to exclude malignant tumors in the deeper layers of the intestinal wall. Moreover, ERUS is safe, noninvasive, nonradioactive, easy to perform, well tolerated, and can be repeated as a follow-up examination.

CCP is similar to benign and malignant colorectal tumors and inflammatory bowel disease, and the diagnosis of CCP also requires the identification of any colon or rectal polypoid or intramural benign or malignant masses (adenomatous polyps, polypoid inflammatory granulomas, leiomyomas, lipomas, adenocarcinomas, mucinous carcinomas, sarcomas), inflammatory lesions (ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, and ischemic colitis or proctitis), and endometriosis.¹⁰

The goal of treatment for CCP is to prevent or reduce symptoms. Depending on symptom severity, treatment can be either conservative, medical, or surgical. Patient education and behavior modification are the primary treatments for patients with CCP. Conservative treatment includes bowel habits, postural correction, avoidance of stress and strain, and increased dietary fiber, which can alleviate symptoms in most patients. Conservative treatment is ineffective, and surgical resection is required when symptoms are persistent or severe, such as bowel obstruction, bleeding, or rectal prolapse.^{18,19} In recent years, endoscopic submucosal dissection, with the advantages of rapid recovery, minimal trauma, and preservation of colonic integrity, has been widely used in treating CCP.²⁰

In conclusion, CCP is a rare disease that is difficult to diagnose and easily misdiagnosed as other occupying lesions of the intestine because of its low incidence, lack of specificity in clinical and endoscopic manifestations, and lack of awareness among physicians in various disciplines. Once misdiagnosed, it brings unnecessary painful surgical resection to patients and poses risks to physicians. In contrast, imaging study plays a crucial role in disease detection and differentiation from malignant diseases, helping to initially determine the benignity and malignancy of the lesion and avoiding erroneous radical resection surgery.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Qingling Jiang: Conceptualization; writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **Si Qin:** Writing – original draft; writing – review and editing. **Han Zhang:** Resources; writing – original draft. **Guangjian Liu:** Project administration; supervision; writing – review and editing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the program of Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Digestive Diseases (2020B1111170004).

FUNDING INFORMATION

Supported by the program of Guangdong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Digestive Diseases (2020B1111170004).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no pertinent conflict of interest to report for this manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

CONSENT

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient to publish this report in accordance with the journal's patient consent policy.

ORCID

Qingling Jiang b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2866-2682 Guangjian Liu b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5224-008X

REFERENCES

- 1. Dan Z, Lingkang M, Yong Z. Colitis cystica profunda with partial low-grade intraepithelial neoplasia of the glandular epithelium: report of a case. *Chin J Colorec Dis.* 2021;10:663-666.
- 2. Papalampros A, Vailas MG, Sotiropoulou M, et al. Report of a case combining solitary Peutz-Jeghers polyp, colitis cystica profunda, and high-grade dysplasia of the epithelium of the colon. *World J Surg Oncol.* 2017;15:188.
- 3. Kornprat P, Langner C, Pfeifer J, Mischinger HJ. Colitis cystica profunda associated with rectal prolapse: report of a case. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2007;22:1555-1556.
- Inan N, Arslan AS, Akansel G, Anik Y, Gürbüz Y, Tugay M. Colitis cystica profunda: MRI appearance. *Abdom Imaging*. 2007;32:239-242.
- Hernandez-Prera JC, Polydorides AD. Colitis cystica profunda indefinite for dysplasia in Crohn disease: a potential diagnostic pitfall. *Pathol Res Pract*. 2014;210:1075-1078.
- 6. Rumi N, Cilla S, De Ninno M, et al. Colitis cystica profunda of the rectum with adenomatous dysplastic features: radiologic pathologic correlation. *Radiol Case Rep.* 2019;14:740-745.

Clinical Case Reports

- Wenjie Z, Xuantong W, Yanjie L, et al. Endoscopic submucosal dissection in the treatment of colitis cystic profunda: a case report. *Chin J Gastrol*. 2021;8:136-139.
- Chen G, Jiang W, Yue M. Colitis cystica profunda of the rectum diagnosed by endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2023;115:91-92.
- 9. Sarzo G, Finco C, Parise P, et al. Colitis cystica profunda of the rectum: report of a case and review of the literature. *Chir Ital.* 2005;57:789-798.
- Zaki TA, Mbah M, Mir M, Sims RD, Amin A, Shah SL. Colitis cystica profunda: a rare mimicker of colorectal neoplasia. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2022;67:2693-2695.
- Jeruc J, Drobne D, Zidar N. Diffuse colitis cystica profunda in Crohn's disease: a potential diagnostic pitfall. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2019;13:1362.
- 12. Toll AD, Palazzo JP. Diffuse colitis cystica profunda in a patient with ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* 2009;15:1454-1555.
- Spicakova K, Pueyo BA, de la Piscina PR, et al. Colitis cystica profunda: a report of 2 cases with a 15-year follow-up. *Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017;40:406-408.
- Lord A, Hompes R, Arnold S, Venkatasubramaniam A. Ultralow anterior resection with coloanal anastomosis for recurrent rectal prolapse in a young woman with colitis cystica profunda. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2015;97:e32-e33.
- 15. Wang LB, He C, Tang TY, Xu H. Multi-colitis cystica profunda: a case report. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2015;128:3254-3255.

- Masood L, Sayeed S, Asghar M. MRI appearances of colitis cystica profunda: a rare benign mimicker of colorectal malignancy. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak.* 2018;28:S162-S163.
- Ko EY, Ha HK, Kim AY, et al. CT differentiation of mucinous and nonmucinous colorectal carcinoma. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2007;188:785-791.
- Suter KJL, Skinner SA. Rare presentation of colitis cystica profunda in a single rectal ulcer. *ANZ J Surg.* 2023;93: 1058-1059.
- 19. Zhang Y, Tian F, Li H. Solitary rectal ulcer syndrome coexisting with colitis cystica profunda-is mucosal resection radical or not? *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2022;10:izac232-izac1009.
- Abe T, Hamamoto M, Nagai T, et al. Colitis cystica profunda mimicking mucinous adenocarcinoma of the rectum diagnosed by endoscopic submucosal dissection. *Endoscopy*. 2021;53:E157-E159.

How to cite this article: Jiang Q, Qin S, Zhang H, Liu G. Colitis cystica profunda: A report of two cases. *Clin Case Rep.* 2023;11:e8042. doi:10.1002/ ccr3.8042