

Citation: Abongwa M, Buxton SK, Robertson AP, Martin RJ (2016) Curiouser and Curiouser: The Macrocyclic Lactone, Abamectin, Is also a Potent Inhibitor of Pyrantel/Tribendimidine Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors of Gastro-Intestinal Worms. PLoS ONE 11(1): e0146854. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0146854

Editor: Raffi V. Aroian, UMASS Medical School, UNITED STATES

Received: August 4, 2015

Accepted: December 21, 2015

Published: January 11, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Abongwa et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01Al047194 to RJM, R21Al092185-01A1 to APR and the Schlumberger Foundation to MA. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Curiouser and Curiouser: The Macrocyclic Lactone, Abamectin, Is also a Potent Inhibitor of Pyrantel/Tribendimidine Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors of Gastro-Intestinal Worms

Melanie Abongwa, Samuel K. Buxton, Alan P. Robertson, Richard J. Martin*

Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, United States of America

* rjmartin@iastate.edu

Abstract

Nematode parasites may be controlled with drugs, but their regular application has given rise to concerns about the development of resistance. Drug combinations may be more effective than single drugs and delay the onset of resistance. A combination of the nicotinic antagonist, derquantel, and the macrocyclic lactone, abamectin, has been found to have synergistic anthelmintic effects against gastro-intestinal nematode parasites. We have observed in previous contraction and electrophysiological experiments that derguantel is a potent selective antagonist of nematode parasite muscle nicotinic receptors; and that abamectin is an inhibitor of the same nicotinic receptors. To explore these inhibitory effects further, we expressed muscle nicotinic receptors of the nodular worm, Oesophagostomum dentatum (Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38), in Xenopus oocytes under voltageclamp and tested effects of abamectin on pyrantel and acetylcholine responses. The receptors were antagonized by 0.03 μ M abamectin in a non-competitive manner (reduced R_{max}) no change in EC_{50}). This antagonism increased when abamectin was increased to 0.1 μ M. However, when we increased the concentration of abamectin further to 0.3 μ M, 1 μ M or 10 μ M, we found that the antagonism decreased and was less than with 0.1 μ M abamectin. The bi-phasic effects of abamectin suggest that abamectin acts at two allosteric sites: one high affinity negative allosteric (NAM) site causing antagonism, and another lower affinity positive allosteric (PAM) site causing a reduction in antagonism. We also tested the effects of 0.1 µM derguantel alone and in combination with 0.3 µM abamectin. We found that derquantel on these receptors, like abamectin, acted as a non-competitive antagonist, and that the combination of derguantel and abamectin produced greater inhibition. These observations confirm the antagonistic effects of abamectin on nematode nicotinic receptors in addition to GluCl effects, and illustrate more complex effects of macrocyclic lactones that may be exploited in combinations with other anthelmintics.



Competing Interests: The authors have the following interests: They are grateful to Dr. Debra J. Woods, Zoetis Animal Health, Kalamazoo, MI for the generous supply of derquantel and abamectin. The content is the sole responsibility of the authors and does not represent views of Zoetis. The authors declare no patents, products in development or marketed products. This does not alter the authors' adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors.

Introduction

Gastro-intestinal nematode parasite infections of both humans and animals are a global public health problem. These infections cause livestock production losses, morbidity, and if left uncontrolled, may result in death [1]. In the absence of effective sanitation and vaccines, control of nematode parasite infections is achieved by the use of anthelmintic drugs, many of which act on ligand-gated ion channels (LGICs) [2-4]. The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) is a prototypic pentameric LGIC. Different subunit combinations or different stoichiometry of the same nAChR subunits can give rise to multiple receptor subtypes with different pharmacological properties [5, 6]. There are a limited number of anthelmintics which are currently approved for use [2]. Those that act on nAChRs include: imidazothiazoles (levamisole) [7], tetrahydropyrimidine derivatives (pyrantel, oxantel, morantel) [8], spiroindoles (derquantel) [9], and tribendimidine [10]. The macrocyclic lactones (ivermectin, abamectin, moxidectin) act on glutamate-gated chloride channels (GluCls) [11]; the benzimidazoles (albendazole, mebendazole) act on β -tubulin [12]; and piperazine acts on GABA receptors [13]. Other more recent drugs include the amino-acetonitrile derivatives (monepantel) which act on LGICs receptors comprised of DEG-3/DES-2 nAChR subunits [14]; and the cyclooctadepsipeptide (emodepside) whose mode of action is understood to be on SLO-1 potassium channels [15, 16] and latrophilin receptors [17].

The increased use of anthelmintics has led to the development of resistance in both animal and human nematode parasites [18]. Resistance to anthelmintics presents a serious drawback in the control of nematode parasite infections and is an increasing medical concern [19]. Combination therapy involving anthelmintic drugs of different classes is an important approach that may slow the development of anthelmintic drug resistance. The simultaneous development of resistance to two drugs is less likely than the development of resistance to one drug alone; hence combinations are predicted to slow the development of resistance [20]. Startect[®] (derquantel and abamectin combination, Fig 1) is a more recently introduced anthelmintic combination that is marketed for use in sheep, but it has been shown to have effects on nematode parasites of other host species such as Ascaris suum of pigs [21]. In this study, we investigated the effects of derquantel alone, abamectin alone, and a combination of derquantel and abamectin on a nAChR subtype from the nematode parasite Oesophagostomum dentatum. This subtype is comprised of Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38 (29-63-38) nAChR subunits. Other pharmacologically characterized nAChR subtypes of O. dentatum are Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63 (pyrantel-sensitive nAChR), Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-ACR-8 (acetylcholine-sensitive nAChR), and Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38:Ode-ACR-8 (levamisole-sensitive nAChR) [5]. We chose O. dentatum parasite for further investigation because the worm is easily maintained and passaged, and it is a Clade V nematode, just like the model free-living nematode, Caenorhabditis elegans [22]. O. dentatum is a common nodule worm in pigs, very similar to other Oesophagostomum species which infect humans, most notably in northern Togo and Ghana [23]. The O. dentatum nAChR subtype, Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38 used in this study is preferentially activated by pyrantel and tribendimidine, and it is antagonized in a non-competitive manner by derquantel [5]. We show here that abamectin is a potent antagonist of these nAChRs, and that a combination of derquantel and abamectin has greater effects than derquantel or abamectin used alone. These additive effects support the continued use of the derquantel and abamectin combination for the control of nematode parasites.

Materials and Methods

Experiments described in this study have been conducted according to US national and international welfare guidelines. The Office for Responsible Research at Iowa State University,



Fig 1. Structures of derquantel and abamectin. A: Structure of derquantel. **B**: Structure of abamectin. Abamectin is a mixture of avermectin B1a and avermectin B1b. Avermectin B1a differs from avermectin B1b by a functional group at the 'R' position, and makes up more than 80% of abamectin, while avermectin B1b makes up less than 20% of abamectin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146854.g001

IACUC Log #3-2-5134-s specifically approved this study and granted permission for the culture of the *Oesophagostomum* parasites. There was no animal suffering or surgery required.

Cloning of nAChR subunits from O. *dentatum* and ancillary factors from *Haemonchus contortus*

O. dentatum nAChR subunits and *H. contortus* ancillary factors used in this research study have been previously cloned and reported [5].

Expression of Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38 in Xenopus laevis oocytes

Defolliculated *X. laevis* oocytes were purchased from Ecocyte Bioscience (Austin, TX, USA). Oocyte microinjection was done using a Drummond nanoject II microinjector (Drummond Scientific, PA, and USA). 1.8 ng of each subunit cRNA (*Ode-unc-29, Ode-unc-63* and *Ode-unc-38*) and ancillary factor cRNA (*Hco-ric-3, Hco-unc-50* and *Hco-unc-74*) in a total volume of 36 nL in RNAse-free water were microinjected into the animal pole of the oocytes. Once micro-injected, the oocytes were transferred to a sterile 96-well culture plate (one oocyte/well) containing 200 µL incubation solution (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂.2H₂0, 1 mM MgCl₂.6H₂0, and 5 mM HEPES, 2.5 mM Na pyruvate, 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin, pH 7.5) in each well. The oocytes were incubated at 19°C for 2–5 days, with a daily change of incubation solution.

Two-microelectrode voltage-clamp (TEVC) electrophysiology

We followed methods we have described in detail before [5]. Briefly, 100 μ M BAPTA-AM was added to the oocytes in incubation media approximately 3 hours prior to electrophysiological recordings from the oocytes. TEVC recordings were carried out by impaling the oocytes with two microelectrodes; a voltage sensing electrode, Vm, and a current injecting electrode, Im, were used to inject the current required to hold the membrane at the set voltage. The micro-electrodes were pulled with a Flaming/Brown horizontal electrode puller (Model P-97, Sutter Instruments), filled with 3 M potassium chloride and the microelectrode tips carefully broken with a piece of tissue paper to achieve a low resistance of 2–5 M Ω in recording solution (100 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂.2H₂O and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.3). Current/voltage signals were amplified by an AxoClamp 2B amplifier (Molecular Devices, CA, USA). The amplified signals were converted from analog to digital format by a Digidata 1322A digitizer (Molecular Devices, CA, USA) and finally acquired on a desktop computer with the Clampex 9.2 data acquisition software (Molecular Devices, CA, USA).

Drugs

Acetylcholine (ach) and pyrantel (pyr) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA), while derquantel (der) and abamectin (aba) were gifts from Zoetis (Kalamazoo, MI). Acetylcholine and pyrantel were dissolved in recording solution. Derquantel and abamectin were dissolved in DMSO and added to recording solution at a final concentration of $\leq 0.01\%$ DMSO.

Drug applications

Acetylcholine which is a natural agonist of many nAChRs served for normalization and as a control in our experiments. Each agonist concentration was applied for 10 s, with sufficient time allowed for wash off between drug applications. For every oocyte recording, 100 μ M acetylcholine was applied initially and this current response was measured, subsequent responses were measured and normalized to this acetylcholine current. To generate control agonist concentration-response plots, acetylcholine was applied at different concentrations between 0.1–100 μ M or pyrantel was applied at different concentrations between 0.1–100 μ M or pyrantel were not tested because pyrantel acts as an open channel blocker at high concentrations [24]. For the antagonist experiments, a control 100 μ M acetylcholine was also first applied for 10s, and then followed by a 2 min or 10 min challenge with the antagonist (derquantel and/or abamectin), and a final application of the agonist (pyrantel) in the continued presence of the antagonist.

Data analysis

We used Clampfit 9.2 (Molecular Devices, CA, and USA) to measure peak current responses and normalized them to the first 100 μ M acetylcholine responses. GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., USA) was used to analyze the data, and results were expressed as mean \pm S.E.M. Concentration-response data points were fitted with the Hill equation as previously described: where R_{max} is the maximum response % relative to the control 100 μ M ach response; the EC_{50} is the concentration producing the half-maximum response and n_H is the slope factor or Hill coefficient [25]. We used the unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test to test for statistical significance and a p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

The Bliss additive effect dose-response relationship for pyrantel current responses was calculated as previously described [26] to predict the linear additive effects of derquantel and abamectin on the *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38 nAChR. To do so, we determined: the normalized mean current responses to each control pyrantel concentration (CR[pyr]); the mean current responses to each concentration of pyrantel in the presence of derquantel (derquantel effect) and; the mean current responses to each concentration of pyrantel in the presence of abamectin (abamectin effect). We then used the fractional inhibition (mean reduction in response/CR[pyr]) produced by derquantel alone, *Fd*, and abamectin alone, *Fa*, at each pyrantel concentration to determine the additive effect. We used Fa(1-Fd) to denote the fractional inhibition produced by abamectin when derquantel is already present, and Fd+Fa(1-Fd) to denote the fractional inhibition produced by the combination of derquantel and abamectin. Lastly, the normalized additive response was calculated as:

$$CR[pyr] - CR[pyr]{Fd + Fa(1 - Fd)}$$

The difference between the observed and calculated additive effects for the combination of derquantel and abamectin were tested for statistical significance using the paired two-tailed Student's t-test, taking p < 0.05 as significant.

Results

Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38 forms a pyrantel-sensitive nAChR

Representative traces (inward currents from oocytes expressing *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63: *Ode*-UNC-38) produced in response to different concentrations of acetylcholine and pyrantel are shown in Fig 2A and 2B respectively. Fig 2C shows acetylcholine and pyrantel concentration-response relationships for *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38. The *EC*₅₀ and maximum response (R_{max}) values for acetylcholine were 13.0 ± 1.6 µM and 114.3 ± 4.3%, n = 4. The *EC*₅₀ and R_{max} values for pyrantel were 0.4 ± 0.0 µM and 135.5 ± 7.9%, n = 6 (S1 Table). Our results showed the *EC*₅₀ for pyrantel to be significantly smaller (p < 0.001) than the *EC*₅₀ for acetylcholine and pyrantel is 32.5 times more potent than acetylcholine on the *Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-6

Effects of abamectin on Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38

We investigated pyrantel concentration-response relationships on *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63: *Ode*-UNC-38 in the presence of 0.03 μ M and 0.1 μ M abamectin. A representative trace is shown in Fig 3A. The concentration-response plots, Fig 3B, show abamectin to be an antagonist of *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38. The *EC*₅₀ and *R_{max}* values were: 0.4 ± 0.0 μ M and 135.5 ± 7.9%, n = 6 for pyrantel in the absence of abamectin; 0.4 ± 0.0 μ M and 107.3 ± 4.7%, n = 5 for pyrantel in the presence of 0.03 μ M abamectin; and 0.3 ± 0.0 μ M and 80.6 ± 8.4%, n = 6 for pyrantel in the presence of 0.1 μ M abamectin. There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the *EC*_{50s} between pyrantel in the absence and presence of 0.03 μ M or 0.1 μ M abamectin. But, there was a significant difference (p < 0.05) in *R_{max}* for pyrantel in the absence and presence of 0.03 μ M abamectin, and this difference was greater (p < 0.001) in the presence of 0.1 μ M abamectin. Hence, abamectin did not cause a significant change in *EC*₅₀,





Fig 2. Acetylcholine and pyrantel concentration-response relationships for the Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38 receptor. A: Representative trace (inward currents, holding potential -60mV, from oocytes expressing Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38) following 10 seconds applications of different acetylcholine concentrations, from 0.1 μ M to 100 μ M. B: Representative trace (inward currents, holding potential -60mV, from oocytes expressing Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38) of the 10 seconds application of different pyrantel concentrations, from 0.03 μ M to 10 μ M. An initial 10 seconds application of 100 μ M acetylcholine served as the control. C: Concentration-response plots for acetylcholine (n = 4, black) and pyrantel (n = 6, red). Results were normalized to 100 μ M acetylcholine current responses and expressed as mean ± S.E.M.

but did cause a significant reduction in R_{max} , showing that abamectin acts as a non-competitive antagonist of the *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38 nAChR.

When we increased the concentration of abamectin from 0.1 μ M to 0.3 μ M, Fig 4A, we noticed that the *EC*₅₀ for pyrantel in the presence of 0.3 μ M abamectin remained unchanged: 0.3 \pm 0.1 μ M, n = 5 (p > 0.05). Although not statistically significant (p > 0.05), the mean value for the *R*_{max} for pyrantel in the presence of 0.1 μ M abamectin (80.6 \pm 8.4, n = 6) appeared





Fig 3. Pyrantel concentration-response relationships in the presence of 0.03 μ M and 0.1 μ M abamectin. A: Representative trace (inward currents, holding potential -60mV, from oocytes expressing *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38) of the 10 seconds application of the control 100 μ M acetylcholine, followed by a 10 minutes application of abamectin (0.03 μ M) and finally, a 10 seconds application of different pyrantel concentrations in the continued presence of abamectin. B: Concentration-response plots for pyrantel in the absence (n = 6, red) and presence of 0.03 μ M abamectin (n = 5, orange) and 0.1 μ M abamectin (n = 6, dark blue). Results were normalized to 100 μ M acetylcholine current responses and expressed as mean \pm S. E.M. Notice 0.03 μ M abamectin caused an inhibition of current responses to pyrantel, and this inhibition was greater with 0.1 μ M abamectin.

smaller than R_{max} in the presence of 0.3 µM abamectin (98.4 ± 6.5, n = 5). This suggested that the inhibitory effects of lower concentrations of abamectin can be greater than those of higher concentrations. This reversed inhibitory effect was tested and confirmed when we increased the concentration of abamectin from 0.3 µM to 1 µM and 10 µM. While the EC_{50} values did not change (0.3 ± 0.1 µM, n = 6 in the presence of 1 µM abamectin, and 0.4 ± 0.1 µM, n = 5 in the presence of 10 µM abamectin), the R_{max} values increased to 108.0 ± 3.5%, n = 6 in the presence of 1 µM abamectin, and 106.0 ± 9.4%, n = 5 in the presence of 10 µM abamectin. We point out that the effects of abamectin were reversible on washing, although the current responses did not return to control responses even after a 10 mins wash. S2 Table summarizes the EC_{50} , R_{max} , n_H and n numbers for these experiments. Interestingly, when the EC_{50s} for pyrantel alone are compared with that for pyrantel in the presence of 0.1 µM, 0.3 µM, 1 µM or



Fig 4. Effects of increasing concentrations of abamectin (above 0.1 µM) on the pyrantel concentration-response plots. A: Concentration-response plots for pyrantel in the absence (n = 6, red) and presence of 0.1 μ M abamectin (n = 6, dark blue); 0.3 μ M abamectin (n = 5, dark green); 1 μ M abamectin (n = 6, pink); 10 μ M abamectin (n = 5, light blue). Results were normalized to 100 μ M acetylcholine current responses and expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Increasing the concentration of abamectin from 0.1 µM to 0.3, 1 and 10 µM rather caused a reduction in the inhibition instead of a potentiation. B: Bar chart showing the mean \pm S.E.M of the maximum current responses (R_{max}) for pyrantel and the different abamectin concentrations. *R_{max}* for 0.1 µM abamectin (n = 6, dark blue), 0.3 µM abamectin (n = 5, dark green), 1 µM abamectin (n = 6, pink) and 10 μ M abamectin (n = 5, light blue) were significantly lower than R_{max} for pyrantel alone (n = 6, red). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 and *** p < 0.001, unpaired two-tailed student t-test. C: Model of ligand sites of action. Pyrantel binds to the orthosteric sites opening the channel. Low concentrations of abamectin (0.03 and 0.1 µM) bind to a negative allosteric site (NAM) in the lipid phase of the channel, inhibiting opening. Higher concentrations of abamectin (0.3, 1 and 10 µM) bind to a positive allosteric site (PAM) increasing opening. D: Abamectin R_{max} inhibition (blue curve) and inhibition reduction (red curve) dose response plots. The data points for inhibition used data from 0.03 µM and 0.1 µM abamectin from Fig 3. The data points for inhibition reduction used data from Fig 4B.

10 μ M abamectin, there were no significant differences in the EC_{50} values. In contrast however, the R_{max} values for pyrantel in the presence of 0.1 μ M, 0.3 μ M, 1 μ M and 10 μ M abamectin were significantly less than the R_{max} for pyrantel alone, Fig 4B. We emphasize again that the R_{max} for pyrantel in the presence of abamectin concentrations \geq 0.3 μ M were greater than the R_{max} for pyrantel in the presence of 0.1 μ M abamectin, with the difference being statistically significant (p < 0.05) for 1 μ M. These observations show that abamectin has a bi-phasic effect, suggesting two allosteric sites of action: a high affinity site causing antagonism, and a lower affinity site causing a reduction in the antagonism, Fig 4C and 4D.

Effects of derquantel and abamectin combination on Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38

For this set of experiments, we tested the combination of 0.3 μ M abamectin and 0.1 μ M derquantel. We selected 0.3 μ M abamectin because it is the reported concentration in *H. contortus* following the administration of abamectin at its therapeutic dose of 0.2 μ M at 12 hours [27]. $0.1 \,\mu\text{M}$ derquantel was selected because the reported concentration is 13 μM at 12 hours in the intestine [28] with an uncertain but lower concentration being present within the worm. Fig 5A and 5B show representative traces for Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38 responses to pyrantel in the presence of 0.3 μ M abamectin alone, and the 0.1 μ M derquantel plus 0.3 μ M abamectin combination respectively. Concentration-response plots for pyrantel in the absence and presence of 0.1 µM derquantel alone, 0.3 µM abamectin alone, and the 0.1 µM derquantel and $0.3 \,\mu$ M abamectin combination are shown in Fig 5C. The calculated additive effect of the combination of derquantel and abamectin is also shown in Fig 5C. The observed effect was not greater than the calculated additive effect (p > 0.05) so this combination does not show synergism. <u>S3</u> <u>Table</u> shows the EC_{50} and R_{max} values for these experiments. There was no significant difference (p > 0.05) between EC_{50} values for pyrantel in the absence and presence of derquantel alone, abamectin alone or the derguantel and abamectin combination. However, as before the R_{max} values for pyrantel in the presence of 0.1 μ M derquantel alone, 0.3 μ M abamectin alone, and $0.1 \,\mu\text{M}$ derquantel and $0.3 \,\mu\text{M}$ abamectin combination were significantly smaller (p < 0.001) than the R_{max} for pyrantel in the absence of derquantel and/or abamectin. Thus both derquantel and abamectin show non-competitive antagonism on the Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38 receptor. Fig 5D also shows that R_{max} values for pyrantel in the presence of 0.1 μ M derquantel alone and 0.3 μ M abamectin alone were significantly greater (p < 0.05 and p < 0.001) than R_{max} in the presence of 0.1 µM derquantel and 0.3 µM abamectin combination. Thus the combination of abamectin and derquantel together increases the antagonism but their combination was not significantly greater than the calculated additive effect.

We used pyrantel as the preferred agonist to study the effects of abamectin and derquantel on the *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38 receptor because it is more selective than acetylcholine for this receptor [5]. However, we also tested the effects of abamectin and derquantel with acetylcholine as the agonist instead of pyrantel. We found, as with pyrantel, that a lower concentration of 0.1 μ M abamectin was a more potent non-competitive acetylcholine antagonist than 0.3 μ M or 1 μ M abamectin, <u>S1 Fig</u>. We also found that 0.3 μ M derquantel acts as a non-competitive antagonist of acetylcholine and that the combination of derquantel and abamectin shows additive inhibitory effects without demonstrating synergism, <u>S2 Fig</u>.

Discussion

Abamectin as a non-competitive antagonist of Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38

Abamectin, a mixture of avermectins B1a and B1b (Fig_1), belongs to the macrocyclic lactone class of anthelmintics which have been shown to act on GluCls [11]. In addition to the effect of abamectin on GluCls, Puttachary et al [21] showed, using muscle contraction and current-clamp electrophysiological techniques, that abamectin acts as a non-competitive antagonist of *Ascaris suum* (Clade III nematode) somatic muscle nAChRs. In this paper we were interested to see if abamectin had similar effects on the expressed *O. dentatum* (Clade V nematode) receptor, *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38. Interestingly, we found that abamectin was also a potent non-competitive antagonist of this receptor (Fig 3B).

Bi-phasic effects of abamectin on Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38

The inverse dose-dependent bi-phasic effects of abamectin on *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63: *Ode*-UNC-38 suggest that abamectin has two allosteric sites of action on this receptor: one, a



Fig 5. Effects of 0.1 µM derquantel alone, 0.3 µM abamectin alone, and derquantel and abamectin combination (0.1 µM derguantel + 0.3 µM abamectin) on the pyrantel concentration-response plots. A: Representative trace (inward currents from oocytes expressing Ode-UNC-29:Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38) of the 10 seconds application of the control 100 µM acetylcholine, followed by a 10 minutes application of 0.3 µM abamectin and finally, a 10 seconds application of different pyrantel concentrations in the continued presence of abamectin. B: Representative trace (inward currents from oocytes expressing Ode-UNC-29: Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38) of the 10 seconds application of the control 100 µM acetylcholine, followed by a 10 minutes application of derguantel and abamectin combination and finally, a 10 seconds application of different pyrantel concentrations in the continued presence of the derguantel and abamectin combination. C: Concentration-response plots for pyrantel in the absence (n = 6, red) and presence of 0.1 μ M derguantel (n = 4, light purple); 0.3 µM abamectin (n = 5, dark green); 0.1 µM derquantel + 0.3 µM abamectin combination (n = 6, olive green). Results were normalized to 100 µM acetylcholine current responses and expressed as mean ± S.E.M. Inhibition with 0.1 µM derguantel + 0.3 µM abamectin combination was greater than that with 0.1 µM derguantel alone and 0.3 µM abamectin alone. The calculated additive effect for the combination of derquantel and abamectin (broken black) was not statistically different (p > 0.05, paired twotailed student t-test) from the observed additive effect for the combination of derquantel and abamectin (olive green). **D**: Bar chart showing the mean \pm S.E.M of the maximum current responses (R_{max}) for 0.1 μ M derquantel (n = 4, light purple); 0.3 µM abamectin (n = 5, dark green); 0.1 µM derquantel + 0.3 µM abamectin combination (n = 6, olive green). R_{max} for the combination of 0.1 μ M derquantel + 0.3 μ M abamectin was significantly smaller than R_{max} for 0.1 µM derguantel alone and for 0.3 µM abamectin alone. * p < 0.05 and *** p < 0.001, unpaired two-tailed student t-test.

higher affinity negative allosteric modulator (NAM) site causing antagonism; and the other, with lower affinity, a positive allosteric modulator (PAM) site, causing a reduction in the antagonism (Fig 4D). The NAM and PAM sites of action of abamectin on the receptors may be in the outer lipid phase of the membrane [29] between the TM1 transmembrane domain of one receptor subunit and the TM3 domain of the adjacent receptor subunit [30]. Since the receptor channel is composed of heterogeneous subunits, binding between one pair of subunits may stabilize closing (negative allosteric modulator) while binding between another pair of subunits may stabilize opening (positive allosteric modulator).

Derquantel and abamectin combination produces greater effects than either derquantel or abamectin used alone

The effects of a combination of derquantel and abamectin on a mixture of *in situ A. suum* somatic nAChR subtypes have been described by Puttachary at al [21]: when derquantel was used alone, *A. suum* somatic nAChRs were antagonized in a competitive manner (right-shift in EC_{50} , but no change in *Rmax*); when abamectin was used alone, *A. suum* somatic nAChRs were antagonized in a non-competitive manner (reduction in *Rmax*, but no change in EC_{50}); when derquantel and abamectin were used in combination, abamectin potentiated the antagonism of derquantel. In our experiments with *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38, derquantel showed non-competitive (Fig 5C) rather than competitive antagonism. With the combination of derquantel and abamectin together, the R_{max} was significantly smaller than for derquantel alone and abamectin alone (Fig 5D). Additionally, the effects of the combination were greater than those of 0.1 μ M derquantel at pyrantel concentrations $\geq 0.3 \,\mu$ M suggesting that the use of abamectin and derquantel in combination is likely to be more effective than either one of the two drugs administered alone.

Conclusion

Our observations reveal that abamectin, in addition to its recognized effects on GluCl channels also has effects on an UNC-29: UNC-63: UNC-38 subtype of nematode nAChR and that the antagonism is non-competitive with a bi-phasic inverse dose-dependent effect characteristic of two sites of action. The higher affinity site, a negative allosteric site, produces more closing of the nAChR in contrast to the other lower affinity site, a positive allosteric site that produces more opening. The significant observation is that lower concentrations of abamectin produced greater inhibition of the nAChR than higher concentrations. The combination of derquartel and abamectin as Startect^R can produce inhibition of nematode worm movement and feeding. Derquantel and abamectin inhibits the nAChRs; and in addition, abamectin inhibits movement and feeding by activating GluCls. The double action of the combination of derquantel and abamectin has the potential to slow resistance development in nematode parasites by acting at a number of different sites of action. The emergence of resistance in numerous nematode parasite species makes the control of helminth infections with the currently available anthelminitics more difficult but the recent introduction and careful use of appropriate anthelminitic combinations may be used to reduce the rate of development of anthelmintic resistance. It should also be noted that effects of abamectin does not involve a simple mode of action and that there are effects on more than one LGIC in addition to the GluCls.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Concentration-response plots for acetylcholine in the absence (n = 4, black) and presence of 0.1 μ M abamectin (n = 4, dark blue), 0.3 μ M abamectin (n = 4, dark green) and 1 μ M abamectin (n = 3, pink). Results were normalized to 100 μ M acetylcholine current responses and expressed as mean ± S.E.M. The standard errors are smaller than the symbols and some are not visible. Notice 0.1 μ M abamectin caused an inhibition of current responses to acetylcholine, and this inhibition was reduced with 0.3 and 1 μ M abamectin. (TIFF)

S2 Fig. Concentration-response plots for acetylcholine in the absence (n = 4, black) and presence of 0.3 μ M derquantel (n = 4, light purple); 0.1 μ M abamectin (n = 4, dark blue); 0.3 μ M derquantel + 0.1 μ M abamectin combination (n = 4, olive green). Results were normalized to 100 μ M acetylcholine current responses and were expressed as mean ± S.E.M. The standard errors are smaller than the symbols and some are not visible. Inhibition with 0.3 μ M derquantel + 0.1 μ M abamectin combination was greater than that with 0.3 μ M derquantel alone and 0.1 μ M abamectin alone. The calculated additive effect (*) is also plotted. (TIFF)

S1 Table. EC_{50} , R_{max} , n_H and n numbers for acetylcholine and pyrantel on Ode-UNC-29: Ode-UNC-63:Ode-UNC-38. (DOC)

S2 Table. EC_{50} , R_{max} , n_H and n numbers for pyrantel in the absence and presence of abamectin on *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38. (DOC)

S3 Table. EC_{50} , R_{max} , n_H and n numbers for pyrantel in the absence and presence of derquantel, abamectin, and a combination of derquantel and abamectin on *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38.

(DOC)

S4 Table. EC_{50} , R_{max} , n_H and n numbers for acetylcholine in the absence and presence of 0.1 μ M, 0.3 μ M and 10 μ M abamectin on *Ode*-UNC-29:*Ode*-UNC-63:*Ode*-UNC-38. (DOC)

S5 Table. EC_{50} , R_{max} , n_H and n numbers for acetylcholine in the absence and presence of derquantel, abamectin, and a combination of derquantel and abamectin on *Ode*-UNC-29: *Ode*-UNC-63: *Ode*-UNC-38.

(DOC)

Acknowledgments

We will like to thank Debra Woods of Zoetis (Kalamazoo, MI) for providing us with derquantel and abamectin.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MA APR RJM. Performed the experiments: MA SKB. Analyzed the data: MA APR RJM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MA SKB APR RJM. Wrote the paper: MA APR RJM.

References

- Pullan RL, Smith JL, Jasrasaria R, Brooker SJ. Global numbers of infection and disease burden of soil transmitted helminth infections in 2010. Parasit Vectors. 2014; 7:37. Epub 2014/01/23. 1756-3305-7-37 [pii] doi: <u>10.1186/1756-3305-7-37</u> PMID: <u>24447578</u>.
- Wolstenholme AJ. Ion channels and receptor as targets for the control of parasitic nematodes. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2011; 1(1):2–13. Epub 2011/12/01. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijpddr.2011.09.003</u> S2211-3207(11)00005-4 [pii]. PMID: <u>24533259</u>.
- Beech RN, Neveu C. The evolution of pentameric ligand-gated ion-channels and the changing family of anthelmintic drug targets. Parasitology. 2014:1–15. Epub 2014/10/31. S003118201400170X [pii] doi: 10.1017/S003118201400170X PMID: 25354656.
- Greenberg RM. Ion channels and drug transporters as targets for anthelmintics. Curr Clin Microbiol Rep. 2014; 1(3–4):51–60. Epub 2015/01/03. doi: <u>10.1007/s40588-014-0007-6</u> PMID: <u>25554739</u>.
- Buxton SK, Charvet CL, Neveu C, Cabaret J, Cortet J, Peineau N, et al. Investigation of acetylcholine receptor diversity in a nematode parasite leads to characterization of tribendimidine- and derquantelsensitive nAChRs. PLoS Pathog. 2014; 10(1):e1003870. Epub 2014/02/06. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.ppat.</u> <u>1003870</u> PPATHOGENS-D-13-01610 [pii]. PMID: <u>24497826</u>.

- Williamson SM, Robertson AP, Brown L, Williams T, Woods DJ, Martin RJ, et al. The nicotinic acetylcholine receptors of the parasitic nematode Ascaris suum: formation of two distinct drug targets by varying the relative expression levels of two subunits. PLoS Pathog. 2009; 5(7):e1000517. Epub 2009/07/ 18. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000517 PMID: 19609360.
- Martin RJ, Robertson AP. Mode of action of levamisole and pyrantel, anthelmintic resistance, E153 and Q57. Parasitology. 2007; 134(Pt 8):1093–104. Epub 2007/07/05. S0031182007000029 [pii] doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1017/S0031182007000029</u> PMID: <u>17608969</u>.
- Rayes D, De Rosa MJ, Spitzmaul G, Bouzat C. The anthelmintic pyrantel acts as a low efficacious agonist and an open-channel blocker of mammalian acetylcholine receptors. Neuropharmacology. 2001; 41(2):238–45. Epub 2001/08/08. S0028390801000570 [pii]. PMID: <u>11489460</u>.
- Lee BH, Clothier MF, Dutton FE, Nelson SJ, Johnson SS, Thompson DP, et al. Marcfortine and paraherquamide class of anthelmintics: discovery of PNU-141962. Curr Top Med Chem. 2002; 2(7):779– 93. Epub 2002/06/08. PMID: 12052190.
- Robertson AP, Puttachary S, Buxton SK, Martin RJ. Tribendimidine: Mode of Action and nAChR Subtype Selectivity in Ascaris and Oesophagostomum. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9(2):e0003495. Epub 2015/02/14. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pntd.0003495</u> PNTD-D-14-01845 [pii]. PMID: <u>25679515</u>.
- Wolstenholme AJ, Rogers AT. Glutamate-gated chloride channels and the mode of action of the avermectin/milbemycin anthelmintics. Parasitology. 2005; 131 Suppl:S85–95. Epub 2006/03/30. S0031182005008218 [pii] doi: <u>10.1017/S0031182005008218</u> PMID: <u>16569295</u>.
- Lacey E. Mode of action of benzimidazoles. Parasitol Today. 1990; 6(4):112–5. Epub 1990/04/01. 0169-4758(90)90227-U [pii]. PMID: <u>15463312</u>.
- **13.** Martin RJ. Modes of action of anthelmintic drugs. Vet J. 1997; 154(1):11–34. Epub 1997/07/01. PMID: <u>9265850</u>.
- Rufener L, Baur R, Kaminsky R, Maser P, Sigel E. Monepantel allosterically activates DEG-3/DES-2 channels of the gastrointestinal nematode Haemonchus contortus. Mol Pharmacol. 2010; 78(5):895– 902. Epub 2010/08/04. mol.110.066498 [pii] doi: 10.1124/mol.110.066498 PMID: 20679419.
- Guest M, Bull K, Walker RJ, Amliwala K, O'Connor V, Harder A, et al. The calcium-activated potassium channel, SLO-1, is required for the action of the novel cyclo-octadepsipeptide anthelmintic, emodepside, in Caenorhabditis elegans. Int J Parasitol. 2007; 37(14):1577–88. Epub 2007/06/23. S0020-7519 (07)00153-1 [pii] doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.05.006 PMID: 17583712.
- Martin RJ, Buxton SK, Neveu C, Charvet CL, Robertson AP. Emodepside and SL0-1 potassium channels: a review. Exp Parasitol. 2012; 132(1):40–6. Epub 2011/09/14. S0014-4894(11)00263-3 [pii] doi: 10.1016/j.exppara.2011.08.012 PMID: 21910990.
- Saeger B, Schmitt-Wrede HP, Dehnhardt M, Benten WP, Krucken J, Harder A, et al. Latrophilin-like receptor from the parasitic nematode Haemonchus contortus as target for the anthelmintic depsipeptide PF1022A. FASEB J. 2001; 15(7):1332–4. Epub 2001/05/10. PMID: 11344131.
- Wolstenholme AJ, Martin RJ. Anthelmintics—from discovery to resistance. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2014; 4(3):218–9. Epub 2014/12/18. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijpddr.2014.10.001</u> S2211-3207(14)00031-1 [pii]. PMID: <u>25516831</u>.
- Kotze AC, Hunt PW, Skuce P, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G, Martin RJ, Sager H, et al. Recent advances in candidate-gene and whole-genome approaches to the discovery of anthelmintic resistance markers and the description of drug/receptor interactions. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2014; 4(3):164–84. Epub 2014/12/18. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijpddr.2014.07.007</u> S2211-3207(14)00020-7 [pii]. PMID: <u>25516826</u>.
- Leathwick DM. Modelling the benefits of a new class of anthelmintic in combination. Vet Parasitol. 2012; 186(1–2):93–100. Epub 2011/12/16. S0304-4017(11)00782-5 [pii] doi: <u>10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.</u> <u>11.050</u> PMID: <u>22169403</u>.
- Puttachary S, Trailovic SM, Robertson AP, Thompson DP, Woods DJ, Martin RJ. Derquantel and abamectin: effects and interactions on isolated tissues of Ascaris suum. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2013; 188 (2):79–86. Epub 2013/03/26. S0166-6851(13)00029-7 [pii] doi: <u>10.1016/j.molbiopara.2013.02.004</u> PMID: <u>23523993</u>.
- Blaxter ML, De Ley P, Garey JR, Liu LX, Scheldeman P, Vierstraete A, et al. A molecular evolutionary framework for the phylum Nematoda. Nature. 1998; 392(6671):71–5. Epub 1998/03/24. doi: <u>10.1038/</u> <u>32160</u> PMID: <u>9510248</u>.
- Polderman AM, Krepel HP, Baeta S, Blotkamp J, Gigase P. Oesophagostomiasis, a common infection of man in northern Togo and Ghana. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1991; 44(3):336–44. Epub 1991/03/01. PMID: 2035755.
- Robertson SJ, Pennington AJ, Evans AM, Martin RJ. The action of pyrantel as an agonist and an open channel blocker at acetylcholine receptors in isolated Ascaris suum muscle vesicles. Eur J Pharmacol. 1994; 271(2–3):273–82. Epub 1994/12/27. PMID: <u>7535704</u>.

- Boulin T, Gielen M, Richmond JE, Williams DC, Paoletti P, Bessereau JL. Eight genes are required for functional reconstitution of the Caenorhabditis elegans levamisole-sensitive acetylcholine receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105(47):18590–5. Epub 2008/11/21. 0806933105 [pii] doi: <u>10.1073/</u> pnas.0806933105 PMID: <u>19020092</u>.
- Greco WR, Faessel H, Levasseur L. The search for cytotoxic synergy between anticancer agents: a case of Dorothy and the ruby slippers? J Natl Cancer Inst. 1996; 88(11):699–700. Epub 1996/06/05. PMID: <u>8637018</u>.
- Lloberas M, Alvarez L, Entrocasso C, Virkel G, Ballent M, Mate L, et al. Comparative tissue pharmacokinetics and efficacy of moxidectin, abamectin and ivermectin in lambs infected with resistant nematodes: Impact of drug treatments on parasite P-glycoprotein expression. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2013; 3:20–7. Epub 2014/02/18. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ijpddr.2012.11.001</u> S2211-3207(12)00033-4 [pii]. PMID: 24533290.
- Jin T. Near-infrared fluorescence detection of acetylcholine in aqueous solution using a complex of rhodamine 800 and p-sulfonatocalix[8]arene. Sensors (Basel). 2010; 10(3):2438–49. Epub 2010/01/01. doi: <u>10.3390/s100302438</u> sensors-10-02438 [pii]. PMID: <u>22294934</u>.
- Martin RJ, Kusel JR. On the distribution of a fluorescent ivermectin probe (4" 5, 7 dimethyl-bodipy proprionylivermectin) in Ascaris membranes. Parasitology. 1992; 104 (Pt 3):549–55. Epub 1992/06/01. PMID: 1641253.
- Hibbs RE, Gouaux E. Principles of activation and permeation in an anion-selective Cys-loop receptor. Nature. 2011; 474(7349):54–60. Epub 2011/05/17. nature10139 [pii] doi: <u>10.1038/nature10139</u> PMID: <u>21572436</u>.