Genetic Association of Peptidoglycan Recognition Protein Variants with Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Fareeha Zulfiqar¹, Iztok Hozo², Sneha Rangarajan³, Roy A. Mariuzza³, Roman Dziarski¹, Dipika Gupta¹*

1 Indiana University School of Medicine–Northwest, Gary, Indiana, United States of America, 2 Department of Mathematics, Indiana University Northwest, Gary, Indiana, United States of America, 3 The Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland, Rockville, Maryland, United States of America

Abstract

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a common disease, includes Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), and is determined by altered gut bacterial populations and aberrant host immune response. Peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGLYRP) are innate immunity bactericidal proteins expressed in the intestine. In mice, PGLYRPs modulate bacterial populations in the gut and sensitivity to experimentally induced UC. The role of PGLYRPs in humans with CD and/or UC has not been previously investigated. Here we tested the hypothesis that genetic variants in *PGLYRP1*, *PGLYRP2*, *PGLYRP3* and *PGLYRP4* genes associate with CD and/or UC and with gender and/or age of onset of disease in the patient population. We sequenced all *PGLYRP* exons in 372 CD patients, 77 UC patients, 265 population controls, 210 familial CD controls, and 24 familial UC controls, identified all polymorphisms in these populations, and analyzed the variants for significant association with CD and UC. We identified 16 polymorphisms in the four *PGLYRP* genes that significantly associated with CD, UC, and/or subgroups of patient populations. Of the 16, 5 significantly associated with both CD and UC, 6 with CD, and 5 with UC. 12 significant variants result in amino acid substitutions and based on structural modeling several of these missense variants may have structural and/or functional consequences for PGLYRP proteins. Our data demonstrate that genetic variants in *PGLYRP* genes associate with CD and UC and may provide a novel insight into the mechanism of pathogenesis of IBD.

Citation: Zulfiqar F, Hozo I, Rangarajan S, Mariuzza RA, Dziarski R, et al. (2013) Genetic Association of Peptidoglycan Recognition Protein Variants with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. PLoS ONE 8(6): e67393. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393

Editor: Laurel L. Lenz, National Jewish Health and University of Colorado School of Medicine, United States of America

Received December 28, 2012; Accepted May 17, 2013; Published June 19, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Zulfiqar et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by USPHS Grants Al028797, Al073290 from National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the IUCR Grant from Indiana University. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: dgupta@iun.edu

Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a common disease characterized by abdominal pain, diarrhea, malabsorption, and intestinal bleeding, and includes Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). IBD is a complex multigenic and multifactorial disorder, and is due to an altered gut microbiome and an aberrant immune response [1-3]. Linkage and genomewide association studies (GWAS) identified 163 susceptibility loci for IBD, out of which 110 are associated with both CD and UC, 30 with CD, and 23 with UC [4-9]. These GWAS studies have substantially increased our understanding of genetic factors that contribute to the susceptibility and pathogenesis of IBD, and they implicate a diverse array of genes that function in microbial recognition [10-12] and host immune responses [4-9,13-16]. However, for the majority of these loci the causative genes and their precise role in the development and pathogenesis of IBD remain unknown. Furthermore, the majority of cases of heritable IBD have no identified genetic variation associated with the disease [17]. Thus, specific genetic and environmental factors that cause IBD still remain mostly unidentified.

Peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs or PGLYRPs) are innate immunity proteins that are conserved from insects to mammals and function in antibacterial immunity [18–20]. Mammals have four PGLYRPs, PGLYRP1, PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3, and PGLYRP4 [21,22]. All PGLYRPs have one or two amidase/PGRP domains, which are homologous to the bacteriophage and bacterial type 2 amidases (Fig. 1) [18,22,23]. The amidase domain has peripheral α -helices and central β -sheets that form a cleft, which binds peptidoglycan, the essential and main component of bacterial cell wall [22–27]. In addition to binding peptidoglycan, PGLYRPs also bind other microbial cell wall components, including lipopolysaccharide, the main component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria [18,23,28–33]. PGLYRP1, PGLYRP3, and PGLYRP4 are bactericidal [28,30] and kill bacteria by a novel mechanism: they over-activate bacterial stress-response two-component systems and induce lethal membrane depolarization and oxidative stress in bacteria [20]. PGLYRP2 is an N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase that hydrolyzes bacterial peptidoglycan and is also bactericidal [34,35].

All mammalian PGLYRPs are secreted proteins [18,19]. PGLYRP1 is highly expressed in neutrophils and eosinophils and to a lower extent in epithelial and other cells [28,29,31,36]. Other PGLYRPs are expressed in epithelial cells in the skin and mucous membranes, including intestinal tract, and PGLYRP2 is also expressed in the liver [22,28,37].

PGLYRPs are required to maintain a normal gut microbiome in mice, which protects mice from experimental ulcerative colitis. $Pglyrp1^{-/-}$, $Pglyrp2^{-/-}$, $Pglyrp3^{-/-}$, and $Pglyrp4^{-/-}$ mice are all more sensitive to dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis compared with wild type mice [37]. DSS-treated Pglyrp-deficient mice show greater loss of body weight, more severe intestinal bleeding, more severe colon pathology, higher production of IFN-





 γ , and increased number of NK cells in the colon compared with wild type mice [37]. In the absence of PGLYRPs the gut flora changes to a more damaging, proinflammatory microbiome and

these changes are responsible for the increased sensitivity to colitis in *Pglyrp*-deficient mice [37].

PGLYRPs also modulate sensitivity to other inflammatory diseases in experimental animals. PGLYRP2 protects mice against

psoriasis-like skin inflammation [38] and is required for the development of experimental arthritis [39], whereas PGLYRP3 and PGLYRP4 protect mice against atopic dermatitis [40]. By contrast, PGLYRP1 has a pro-inflammatory effect in three mouse models of inflammatory skin diseases (psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, and contact dermatitis) [38,40] and in experimentally induced asthma [41], but has anti-inflammatory effect in experimentally induced arthritis [39]. Thus, each PGLYRP has a unique non-redundant effect, and for this reason PGLYRPs do not compensate for each other in mice deficient in a single PGLYRP, probably because of a non-redundant role of each PGLYRP in maintaining normal microbiome.

Peptidoglycan is the ligand for several pattern recognition molecules and is a potent stimulant of innate immunity [18,19]. PGLYRP1 can inhibit some of these immunostimulatory activities by binding to peptidoglycan and preventing its recognition by innate immunity receptors [31,33]. Hydrolysis of peptidoglycan by PGLYRP2 can also eliminate some of its immunostimulatory activities [19,30]. However, these effects of PGLYRPs on peptidoglycan are mostly unrelated to their ability to modulate inflammation *in vivo*, because PGLYRPs modulate inflammatory responses induced not only by peptidoglycan [31,33,39], but also by unrelated allergens and antigens [37–40]. Therefore, modulation of inflammation by PGLYRPs is most likely indirect, through their effects on the microbiome.

Thus, the role of PGLYRPs in animal models of inflammatory diseases is well established, but the role of PGLYRPs in human diseases remains unknown, except for the previously reported association of PGLYRP3 and PGLYRP4 with psoriasis [42,43]. The data from animal models suggest that PGLYRPs may also modulate the sensitivity to IBD and other inflammatory diseases in humans. In this study we hypothesized that genetic variants in PGLYRP genes may associate with IBD in humans. This hypothesis was based on (i) the chromosomal locations of PGLYRPs: PGLYRP2 is located in the IBD susceptibility locus 19p13 [44-46] and PGLYRP3 and PGLYRP4 are located at 151.5 Mb on chromosome 1 near the 151.79 Mb IBD locus [9]; (ii) our data showing a role for PGLYRPs in experimental UC and other inflammatory diseases in mice [37-41]; and (iii) our data showing the effects of PGLYRPs on microbiome [37]. Here we tested our hypothesis by sequencing all exons of PGLYRP1, PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3, and PGLYRP4 genes in CD and UC patients and disease-free controls, identifying all polymorphisms in PGLYRP genes, and analyzing these variants using tests of association. We also tested the hypothesis that different PGLYRP polymorphisms may associate with gender and/or with age of onset of disease. Our justification for doing these additional analyses was that IBD patients present with heterogeneous clinical manifestations and varied characteristics and responses to treatments. There are also gender differences in some clinical manifestations of CD and UC. This complex and varied presentation of the disease is most likely due to different combinations of causative genetic and environmental factors in different individuals. Moreover, the genetic profiles that contribute to IBD may be different for men and women, even if the overall susceptibility is not significantly different between genders. Our results demonstrate that polymorphisms in PGLYRP1, PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3, and PGLYRP4 genes associate with susceptibility to CD and UC, and with gender and/or age of onset in both CD and UC patients. We also predict that several of the missense polymorphisms may have deleterious effects on the structure and/or function of PGLYRP proteins.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

This study was performed on DNA samples provided by the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA) from their DNA data bank (www.ccfadatabank.org), in accordance with CCFA rules and regulations under the CCFA Material Transfer Agreement, approved by CCFA and Indiana University. DNA was isolated from cell lines that originated from anonymous donors de-identified by CCFA. This study did not involve recruitment of any human participants or collection of samples from any human participants and was deemed exempt by the Indiana University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board.

DNA samples

All DNA samples from 372 CD patients, 77 UC patients, 210 familial CD controls, 24 UC familial controls, and 265 population controls (total number of samples 957) were obtained from the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA, www. ccfadatabank.org). Population controls had no IBD, were not related to CD and UC patients, and were matched for age, race, and gender with the probands. All individuals within the above patient and population control groups were unrelated. In addition, 108 of the 372 CD patients had one or two familial unaffected controls (total number of controls 210) and 14 of the 77 UC patients had one or two familial unaffected controls (total number of controls 24). Familial controls include father and/or mother of the probands. To obtain DNA, blood samples were collected from probands and family controls at participating organizations (Massachusetts General Hospital, University of North Carolina, Mount Sinai, University of Chicago, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Children's Hospital in Philadelphia, and University of Pittsburgh) and from population controls at Broad Institute. Immortalized cell lines were produced from blood cells, and DNA was extracted and purified from these cell lines by CCFA. All DNA samples were deidentified by CCFA and all the donors were anonymous.

Amplification of exons and purification of amplified products

Primers to amplify all exons in PGLYRP1 (3 exons), PGLYRP2 (5 exons), PGLYRP3 (7 exons), and PGLYRP4 (9 exons) genes (Fig. 1) were designed using Primer3 software based on DNA sequence deposited in Ensembl. The Ensembl versions for genomic and mRNA that were used as reference sequences were: PGLYRP1, ENSG0000008438.3, ENST0000008938; PGLYRP2, ENSG00000161031.7, ENST00000340880; PGLYRP3, ENSG00000159527.3, ENST00000290722; and PGLYRP4, ENSG00000163218.10, ENST00000359650 respectively. All primers were purchased from InVitrogen. Primer sequences and the size of the amplicons are shown in Table S1. Some of the larger exons were amplified in more than one amplicon. Amplifications were performed in 10 µL reactions containing 10 ng genomic DNA, 200 nM each primer, 250 µM dNTPs, 2.5 mM magnesium chloride and 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase in the standard PCR buffer (Promega). The PCR cycles included a denaturation step at 95°C for 6 minutes, followed by 40 cycles, each consisting of 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 56°C (or primer set-specific annealing temperature) for 30 seconds and 72° C for 30 seconds followed by extension for 7 minutes at 72° C. The PCR products were analyzed on 1.2% agarose gel and purified with 10 units Exonuclease I and 2 units FastAPTM Thermosensitive Alkaline Phosphatase (Fermentas) at 37°C for 15 minutes followed by incubation at 80° C for 15 minutes.

Sequencing of amplified products

Primers used for amplification were also used for bidirectional sequencing of the amplified products. All sequencing was performed at the University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center DNA sequencing and Genotyping Facility (Chicago) using the Sanger method on Applied Biosystems 3730XL 96-capillary and 3130 16-capillary automated DNA sequencers. Sequences were analyzed and polymorphisms were identified by Blast analysis against reference sequences deposited in NCBI. All sequencing chromatograms were also analyzed to definitively identify polymorphisms.

We selected the Sanger sequencing method instead of newer techniques such as single-stranded confirmation polymorphism, high performance liquid chromatography or massive parallel sequencing. The reasons for selecting the Sanger method are: it is highly reliable, reproducible, and specific, and provides detailed sequence information in a single step approach [47]. Furthermore, with the Sanger method a two-fold coverage is sufficient for molecular diagnostics, whereas massive parallel sequencing technologies typically require 20-fold coverage to confirm polymorphisms [47]. Often Sanger sequencing is used to confirm polymorphisms identified by other technologies [47].

In the first round of amplification, we sequenced and analyzed all exons in all four *PGLTRP* genes from 96 of the 372 CD samples and 96 of the 265 population control samples, and we identified 39 polymorphic markers. Subsequently, only those markers that were significant or approached significance in this initial study were tested in the remaining CD and population control samples and in the CD family controls. All 39 markers were tested in all UC and UC family controls samples. 35 of the 39 polymorphic markers were annotated in dbSNP and 4 were new. We submitted the 4 new SNPs to dbSNP (NCBI) and each SNP is assigned an identification number (SS#), which are: 647514526, 647514528, 647514529, and 647514530 (also listed in Tables S2 and S3).

Statistical analyses

Each genetic marker was tested for Hardy Weinberg equilibrium in patient and control populations and markers that were not in equilibrium were excluded at P < 0.05. The association of the specific markers between cases (CD or UC) and controls were tested using the Chi-square (χ^2) test of independence and the Cochran Armitage Trend test for trend. The association of specific markers between case (CD or UC) and family controls was tested using the transmission disequilibrium test. These calculations were done in Excel using statistical formulas. Logistic regression analysis for combinations of polymorphisms was also performed using PLINK [48]. We analyzed the data by comparing the following groups: (a) all patients (CD or UC) with all controls, (b) all female patients (CD or UC) with control females, (c) all male patients (CD or UC) with control males, (d) CD female patients with CD male patients, and (e) UC female patients with UC male patients. CD and UC samples were subdivided into 3 groups based on age at diagnosis of the disease (in years): 5–20, 21–30, and \geq 31, and the following groups were compared for CD and UC: (a) 5-20 compared with 21–30, (b) 5–20 compared with \geq 31, and (c) 21– 30 compared with \geq 31. We performed additional analyses between males and females in these three age groups. Genetic polymorphisms that were significantly different in any of these comparisons ($P \le 0.05$) were tested for strength of association by calculating the odds-ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Structure analysis

The effect of missense polymorphisms on the structure and function of PGLYRPs were predicted using the SWISS-MODEL workspace [49]. The crystal structure of human PGLYRP3 (PGRP-Ialpha C-terminal; PDB, 2APH) was utilized as the template to generate the theoretical PGLYRP structures. Amino acid changes within the amidase/PGRP domain were analyzed by this method, however amino acid changes that are not in this domain could not be modeled because the crystal structure for these regions is not available. SWISS-MODEL repository is a database of annotated 3D protein structure models generated by the SWISS-MODEL homology-modeling pipeline. On the basis of a sequence alignment between the target protein and the template structure, three-dimensional models for the target protein were generated along with detailed template selection log, targettemplate alignment, summary of the model building and model quality assessment. The SWISS-MODEL database uses multiple model quality assessment tools to estimate the reliability of the resulting models [49-52].

Results

Overview of sequence variations in PGLYRPs

To identify variations in the *PGLYRP1*, *PGLYRP2*, *PGLYRP3* and *PGLYRP4* genes that associate with CD and UC, we sequenced and analyzed all PGLYRP exons in a total of 957 DNA samples including 372 CD samples, 77 UC samples, 210 familial CD controls, 24 UC familial controls, and 265 population controls. CD patients were 91% of white European descent and 9% have African American, American Indian, Asian, or native Hawaiian descent. UC patients were 96% of white European descent and 4% have African American, American Indian, Asian, or native Hawaiian descent. Population controls were 90% of white European descent and 10% of African American, American Indian, Asian, or native Hawaiian descent. Information on gender and age of onset of disease is shown in Table 1.

Our analysis identified a total 39 polymorphisms in the exons of *PGLYRP1*, *PGLYRP2*, *PGLYRP3* and *PGLYRP4* genes (Tables S2 and S3). All these variations were single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) with one exception, a 3 bp insertion in *PGLYRP4* (rs66641591). Amongst all SNPs there were more transitions (28/38, 74%) than transversions (10/38, 26%), a result consistent with other studies on genetic variations. 4 of the 39 polymorphisms identified in these populations are novel and were deposited in the SNP database (dbSNP, NCBI). Based on an allele frequency in any of the populations, polymorphisms were considered common (allele frequency >3) or rare (allele frequency \leq 3). 7 of the total variations were unique to CD samples and were not present in the UC samples. All markers that were unique to either patient population were rare variants.

There were 21 missense polymorphisms (resulting in an amino acid change), 9 synonymous polymorphisms (no amino acid change), and 5 polymorphisms in the untranslated regions (UTR) (Tables S2 and S3). Thus, the total number of missense polymorphisms was more than double the number of synonymous polymorphisms and higher than typically observed. Furthermore, the ratio of missense to synonymous polymorphisms was substantially higher in *PGLYRP3* (8:1, 89%) and *PGLYRP4* (7:2, 78%), than typically observed.

We tested the hypothesis that genetic variations in *PGLYRP1*, *PGLYRP2*, *PGLYRP3*, and *PGLYRP4* genes associate with CD and/or UC. We also tested the hypothesis that different polymorphisms in these genes differentially associate between

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the populations in this IBD study.

Patient subpopulation	Crohn's disease	Ulcerative colitis	Population controls
	N= 372	N=77	N=265
Gender	%	%	%
Male	45.4	59.7	52.1
Female	54.6	40.3	47.9
Age at diagnosis (yrs)	%	%	
5 to 20	41.9	26	
21 to 30	33.6	28.5	
≥31	24.5	45.5	
Males and age of diagnosis (yrs)	%	%	
5 to 20	48.5	21.7	
21 to 30	30.7	34.8	
≥31	20.8	43.5	
Females and age of diagnosis (yrs)	%	%	
5 to 20	38.7	32.3	
21 to 30	37.7	19.3	
≥31	23.6	48.4	
Families (<i>N</i>)	108	14	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t001

males and female, between patients with early, mid, or late age of onset of disease, and between male and female patients with early, mid, or late age of onset of disease. We also analyzed all 39 markers for familial association in CD and UC families using the transmission disequilibrium test. There were no significant differences identified with the transmission disequilibrium test, which indicated that these genetic changes in *PGLYRP* genes were not associated with familial transmission of CD or UC (data not shown). We performed tests of association for genotypes and alleles for all variants, calculated *P* (χ^2) and odds ratio (OR), and performed haplotype analyses for all variants in each gene with *P*≤0.05 considered significant.

Analyses of these groups revealed that 16 out of the 39 polymorphisms in PGLYRP1, PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3, and PGLYRP4 genes had significantly different distribution between disease and control groups and an additional 2 variants approached significance (Fig. 1, Table 2). 12 out of these 18 variants were missense (resulting in an amino acid change), 2 were synonymous polymorphisms (no amino acid change), and 4 were in UTR. The positions of all significant polymorphisms are indicated in Fig. 1. Of the 16 significant variants, 2 were significant in the entire UC population, 1 was significant with the entire CD population, and all 16 were significant in subgroups of patient populations divided by age of onset and/or gender. All variants significant in the entire UC or CD populations are described below, followed by descriptions of variants that are significant in patient populations divided into subgroups based on gender and/ or age of onset of disease.

PGLYRP1 exon 1, c.-19A>C, UTR and PGLYRP3 exon 2 c.201G>A Leu67 associate with UC, and *PGLYRP4* exon 9, c.1113C>T, p.Phe367 associates with CD

PGLYRP1 c.-19A>C (SNP rs2072562) is present in the 5' UTR of *PGLYRP1* gene (Fig. 1) and was significantly different between UC and controls ($P_{AA} = 0.006$, OR = 2.06) with a recessive trend (Tables 3, S3, and S4) and may be protective for all UC patients.

PGLTRP3 c.201G>A (SNP rs79540951) is synonymous for Leu67 (Fig. 1). The genotype and alleles were significantly different between UC and control populations in recessive model

Table 2.	Summary of PGL	_YRP variants that	t significantly
associate	with Crohn's dise	ease and ulcerativ	ve colitis.

		Crohn's	s disease	Ulcera	tive colitis
Gene	Change	<i>Ρ</i> (χ²)	Odds Ratio	<i>Ρ</i> (χ²)	Odds Ratio
PGLYRP1	5' UTR	0.026	2.34	0.001	3.95
PGLYRP2	Thr46Ala	0.006	0.28	0.026	0.23
	Arg99Gln	0.023	0.36	0.026	0.23
	Ala208Thr			0.043	nc
	Met270Lys	0.013	0.65	0.026	0.23
	Arg394Gln	0.005	2.39		
PGLYRP3	5' UTR	0.038	1.36	0.022	0.23 8 nc 14.4 nc
	Pro33Ser			0.009	nc
	Leu67Leu			0.002	14.4
	Glu138Gly			0.033	nc
	Arg235Trp			0.043	nc
	3'UTR	0.029	2.48		
PGLYRP4	Pro3Leu	0.039	1.85		
	lle13Leu	0.060	0.16		
	Gln88Arg	0.043	2.68		
	Gly188Val	0.054	1.69		
	Phe367Phe	0.0005	6.60		
	3'UTR	0.059	0.16		

Complete data are shown in Tables 3 to 14 and S2 to S16. *P* values showing trend towards significance are shown in italics; nc, not calculated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t002

 $(P_{\rm GG} = 0.002, \text{ OR} = 14.4)$ (Tables 3, S3, and S10). *PGLYRP4* c.1113C>T (SNP rs41310915) is a synonymous polymorphism in exon 9 of *PGLYRP4* gene (Fig. 1) that was significantly different between CD patients and population control individuals in recessive and additive models ($P_{\rm GC} = 0.0005$) and associated strongly with the disease (OR = 6.60, Tables 4, S2 and S14). These results indicate that variants in PGLYRP1 and PGLYRP3 associate with UC and one variant in PGLYRP4 associates with CD.

c.-19A>C is in the 5' UTR of *PGLYRP1* and may affect the rate of translation of the protein, whereas c.1113C>T is in 3' UTR of *PGLYRP4* and may regulate stability of mRNA. The c.201G>A polymorphism in PGLYRP3 is synonymous for Leu67 and thus should not affect the structure and function of PGLYRP3, but may affect the stability or splicing of the mRNA. These three variants were also significant in our secondary analyses with subgroups of patient populations and are described below.

PGLYRP1 exon 1, c.-19A>C, UTR also associates with subgroups of UC and CD

PGLYRP1 c.-19A>C rs2072562 was also significantly different between (i) CD females with early (5–20 yrs) and late (\geq 31 yrs) age of onset of disease in recessive model ($P_{AA} = 0.026$, OR = 2.34) and in UC females compared with control males in recessive and additive models ($P_{AA} = 0.001$, OR = 3.95) (Tables 5, S2, S3, and S4). Our data indicate that c.-19A>C in the UTR of *PGLYRP1* is differentially distributed between UC and controls, between UC females and control females, and between CD females and control females, and that this polymorphism appears to be protective for all UC patients and UC females and for CD females in the 5–20 years group for age of diagnosis.

PGLYRP2 variants associate with gender and/or age of onset in CD and UC patients

Pglyrp2 c.136A>G (SNP rs3813135) is located in exon 2 and the frequency for this variant was significantly different in CD patients and CD female patients with early (5–20 yrs) and mid (21–30 yrs) age of onset of the disease in recessive and additive models ($P_A = 0.026$, OR = 2.17 and P = 0.006, OR = 3.57 respectively). The A>G variant was also significantly different in UC patients comparing age groups 5–20 vs. 21–30 (P = 0.026, OR = 0.23) (Tables 6, S2, S3, and S5). SNP rs3813135 is a missense variant that results in threonine to alanine substitution at position 46 in PGLYRP2 protein. Thr46 is located in exon 2 and is in the N-terminal region of PGLYRP2 (Fig. 1). The 3-D structure of this

Table 3. PGLYRP1 and PGLYRP3 variants associate with UC patients.

	UC versus contro	ls	
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*
PGLYR	<i>P1</i> exon1, rs2072562	, c19A>C, UTR	
AA	0.006	2.06 [1.27-3.46]	0.006*
A	0.007	1.75 [1.16–2.64]	
PGLYR	P3 exon 2, rs795409	51, c.201G>A, p.Leu67	
GA	0.002	14.4 [1.58–130]	0.002*
G	0.002	14.0 [1.55–126]	

Complete data are shown in Tables S2, S3, S4, and S10.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t003

Table 4.	PGI YRP-4	variant	associates	with CD	natients
$\mathbf{I} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{T}$	I ULINI -+	variant	associates		patients

	CD versus co	ontrols	
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*
Exor	n 9, rs41310915, d	c.1113C>T, Phe367	
СТ	0.0005	6.60 [1.98-22.1]	0.0005*
-		6 40 14 02 04 01	

Complete data are shown in Tables S2 and S14. *CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model. doi:10.1371/iournal.pone.0067393.t004

region of PGLYRP2 is not known and there is no homology model, thus the effect of the Thr46Ala was not predicted. Furthermore, because alanine is common in mammals (Fig. 2A), this substitution may be tolerated. Threonine has a hydroxyl group, which can serve as a site of attachment for oligosaccharide chains or for modification by phosphorylation. The loss of the hydroxyl group by an alanine substitution at this site may result in changes in posttranslational modifications. Our results indicate that c.136A>G variant in *PGLYRP2* is differentially distributed between early and mid age of onset of disease groups in all CD and UC patients and CD female patients and that the A>G polymorphism may increase the risk of developing CD or UC in the 21-30 age group.

c.296G>A (SNP rs733731) is located in exon 2 of PGLYRP2 (Fig. 1). The G>A variant was significantly different between the groups for early (5-20 yrs) and mid (21-30 yrs) age of onset of the disease for all CD patients in a recessive model (P=0.044, OR = 1.99), for CD females in recessive and additive models (P=0.023, OR=2.78) and for all UC patients (P=0.026, P=0.026)OR = 0.231) (Tables 6, S2, S3, and S6). SNP rs733731 is a nonconservative missense variant that results in the substitution of arginine by glutamine at position 99 in PGLYRP2 protein (Fig. 1). Arginine is highly conserved in mammals (Fig. 2A) and the loss of a positively charged amino acid may potentially modify folding of the protein. However, the second most common amino acid at this position is glutamine (Fig. 2A), which may suggest that the arginine to glutamine substitution may be tolerated. Our results indicate that c.296G>A in PGLYRP2 gene associates with CD, UC, and CD female patients in the mid age group for onset of the disease, and that the G>A variant may increase the risk for both CD and CD females in the 21-30 age group.

c.809T>A (SNP rs892145) is a common variant in PGLYRP2 gene that was identified in all populations studied. The distribution of alleles was significantly different between CD females compared with CD males in a recessive model (P=0.029, OR=1.41) and compared with control females in recessive and additive models (P=0.013, OR=1.53) (Tables 7, S2, and S7). The T>A polymorphism was also significantly different in CD females (Tables S2, S3, and S7) and UC patients comparing the 5-20 and 21-30 age groups (Tables 7, S3, and S7). SNP rs892145 is a missense polymorphism, which results in a change from methionine to lysine at position 270 of PGLYRP2 protein (Fig. 1). Met270 is located in a region of the protein that is highly conserved in mammals and no mammalian PGLYRP2 has lysine at this position (Fig. 2A). Methionine has a nonpolar side chain, whereas lysine has a positively charged side chain, and based on SIFT analysis this variant is predicted to have a deleterious effect on PGLYRP2 (score 0.08). However, according to PolyPhen the variant is tolerated. Our results indicate that c.809T>A variant in PGLYRP2 significantly associates with CD female patients and **Table 5.** *PGLYRP1* exon 1, SNP rs2072562, c.-19A>C, UTR, associates with gender and age of onset in CD and with gender in UC patients.

	CD females 5	5–20 versus CD females ≥31		UC females	versus control females	
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> (CA)
AA	0.026	2.34 [1.10-4.97]	0.026*	0.001	3.95 [1.64–9.53]	0.001*
A	0.118	1.53 [0.89–2.63]		0.006	2.62 [1.30-5.30]	

Complete data are shown in Tables S2, S3, and S4.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t005

contributes to the risk factors for CD and UC in this subpopulation.

c.1181G>A (SNP rs4440547) in PGLYRP2 gene is a common missense polymorphism that results in a non-conservative amino acid change, Arg394Gln. rs4440547 was significantly more frequent in CD females compared with CD males in a recessive model (P=0.030, OR=1.62) and in CD females compared with control females in recessive and additive models (P=0.005, OR = 2.39) (Tables 8, S2, and S8). Arg394 is predicted to be located in a solvent exposed loop in the PGRP domain of PGLYRP2 (Figs. 2A and 3A) and is directly connected to a helix that forms a wall of the ligand binding cleft in PGLYRP2 (Fig. 3A). This region is highly conserved in mammals (Fig. 2A) and substitution of the positively charged arginine with the neutral residue glutamine could potentially affect protein folding and/or modify the affinity for peptidoglycan. Our data indicate that c.1181G>A in PGLYRP2 significantly associates with CD females and may contribute to risk factors for CD in females.

PGLYRP3 variants associate with gender and/or age of onset in CD and UC patients

c.-38T>C (SNP rs3006473) is present in the UTR of *PGLYRP3* gene (Fig. 1). The frequency of the T and C alleles was significantly different between CD females and CD males in a recessive model (P=0.038, OR = 1.36). rs3006473 was also significant in UC females comparing the 5–20 with \geq 31 age groups in a dominant model (P=0.022, OR = 8) (Tables 9, S2, S3, and S9). Our data indicate that c.-38T>C polymorphism in *PGLYRP3* significantly associates with CD females and with UC females in the 5–20 age group.

c.201G>A (SNP rs79540951) in *PGLYRP3* is synonymous for Leu67. The GG and GA genotypes were significantly different between UC and control populations (Table 3) and were also significantly different between UC females and control females in recessive and additive models (P_{GG} and P_{GA} = 0.0004) (Tables 10, S3, and S10). The A allele was not present in control females, thus the OR was not calculated. Our results indicate that c.201G>A variant in *PGLYRP3* significantly associates with UC and UC females. This polymorphism is synonymous for Leu67 and thus should not affect the structure and function of PGLYRP3, but may affect the stability or splicing of the mRNA.

c*70G>C (SNP rs2771110) is a common polymorphism in exon 7 of *PGLTRP3* (Fig. 1) and was present in all populations studied. The combined GG and GC genotypes were significantly different between CD patients with early (5–20) and late (≥31) age of onset of CD in a recessive trend ($P_{GG+GC} = 0.016$), and were associated with higher risk of disease in patients with an early onset of disease (OR = 1.91) (Tables 11, S2, and S11). The combined GC and CC genotype frequency for rs2771110 was also significantly higher in CD males with an early (5–20) onset of

the disease compared with CD males with a late (\geq 31) age of onset of the disease in a recessive model (P=0.029, OR = 2.48). Our results indicate that the c*70G>C variant associates with CD and specifically with CD males with an early onset of the disease (diagnosis in the age range of 5–20). SNP rs2771110 is located 70 bases downstream of the stop codon in the UTR of *PGLTRP3* (Fig. 1) and may modulate stability and half-life of *PGLTRP3* mRNA.

PGLYRP4 variants associate with gender and/or age of onset in CD patients

PGLYRP4 exon 2, c.8C>T (SNP rs12096209) was significantly different between CD females and CD males in recessive and additive models (P=0.039, OR = 1.85) (Tables 12, S2, and S12). rs12096209 results in the amino acid substitution Pro3Leu. Pro3 is located in the signal peptide in PGLYRP4 (Fig. 1) and is highly conserved in mammalian PGLYRP4 (Fig. 2C). A change to leucine at this location may result in improper targeting of PGLYRP4. Our results indicate that c.8C>T polymorphism associates with UC males and may contribute to increased susceptibility to CD in males.

c.275A>G (SNP rs3006453) is a missense polymorphism in exon 4 of PGLYRP4 gene (Fig. 1) and its distribution was significantly different in CD patients with an early onset of the disease (5-20 yrs) compared with CD patients with a late onset of the disease (\geq 31 yrs) in recessive and additive models (P=0.043, OR = 2.68) (Tables 13, S2, and S13). rs3006453 was also significantly more frequent in CD males with early onset of disease (5-20 yrs) compared with CD males with a late onset of disease, (\geq 31 yrs, P=0.018). The A>G polymorphism results in an amino acid substitution Gln88Arg. Gln88 is located on the α helix that forms a wall of the predicted ligand binding cleft in PGLYRP4 (Fig. 3D) and is highly conserved in mammals (Fig. 2C). Introduction of a positive charge in place of this neutral amino acid may potentially affect the folding and/or stability of the protein. Our results indicate that PGLYRP4 c.275A>G variant associates with all CD and CD males with early onset of the disease and that Gln88Arg in PGLYRP4 may contribute to the increased susceptibility to CD.

c.1113C>T (SNP rs41310915) is a synonymous polymorphism in exon 9 of *PGLYRP4* gene (Fig. 1) that was significantly different between CD patients and population control individuals (Table 4). The distribution of this polymorphism was also significantly different in CD females compared with control females (P=0.0035) and the CT genotype strongly associated with the disease in females (OR = 11.3) (Tables 14, S2, and S14). These results indicate that SNP rs41310915 significantly associates with all CD patients and with CD female patients.

Α	PGLYRP2	T46A	R99Q	A208T	M270K	R394Q
	Human	VPAAK <mark>T</mark> RHTAS	TKEVA <mark>R</mark> HDVRE	LPDAK <mark>A</mark> KSPPT	ASLLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPKL
	Bushbaby	APATN <mark>T</mark> SYVIS	TKEVAQHAVRG	LSNAKTKSP-T	ASVLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAEPY <mark>R</mark> GSPTP
	Chimpanzee	VPAAKARHTAS	TKEVA <mark>R</mark> HDVRE	lpdak <mark>a</mark> ksppt	ASLLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPKL
	Gibbon	APAAKARRTVS	TKEVA <mark>R</mark> HDVRE	lpdak <mark>a</mark> ksppt	ASLLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GААРҮ <mark>R</mark> GНРКР
	Gorilla	VPAAKARHTAS	TKEVA <mark>R</mark> HDVRE	lpdak <mark>a</mark> ksppt	ASLLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPKL
	Macaque	VPAANASHTAS	IKEVAQHDVRE	LPDAK <mark>A</mark> KTPPT	ASLLT <mark>T</mark> AFLNG	GAAPYQGRPTP
	Marmoset	APAAKASHKAS	TKEVA <mark>R</mark> HGVRD	LPAAK <mark>A</mark> KSPPT	TSLLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPTP
	Mouse lemur	APATERSLSAP	AEAVAQYGAWD	SPGAG <mark>A</mark> GPPAT	APLLSVAFLNG	EAAPY <mark>R</mark> GTPKP
	Orangutan	APAAKVRHTAS	TKEVA <mark>R</mark> HDVRE	LPDAK <mark>A</mark> KSPPT	ASLLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPKP
	Tarsier	APATEASRAAS	MEEVAQHGVRG	LPDAK-KSP-T	xxxxxxxxxxxx	XXXXXXXXXXXX
	Cat	IPATKARHTAS	TKDVVQHGVRA	SPGTEVKSP-T	ASPVT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GLPTP
	Cow	APATEAGHSAA	MGEVA <mark>R</mark> HSVQD	-NDTK <mark>A</mark> KLP-T	ASPLTTAFLNG	GAAPYQGRPTL
	Dog	VPATKASHVN-	TKDMAQHGIRD	LPGAK <mark>A</mark> RSP-T	TSPVT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GSPRS
	Dolphin	APATEAGHTAS	TMEVA <mark>R</mark> HGVWD	SADTK <mark>A</mark> KFP-T	ASTLTTAFLNG	VAAPYQGRPKP
	Elephant		TEKVAQHDVRD	SPDTK <mark>A</mark> MFP-T	ASRLTTAFLNG	
	Ferret	VPATIASHTAS	IKDVAQHGIRG	SPSAK <mark>A</mark> KSP-T	ASPIT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GSPRP
	Guinea pig	LPGTQVNESAS	AEEVA <mark>R</mark> HEIQA	MPEPPTQIL-S	ASGLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	EAAPY <mark>R</mark> GNPAP
	Hedgehog	ALATEATPRAF	LERVTQHGVQD	XXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXX	GAEPYQGQPTP
	Hyrax	APDTKARPMAS	VEKVAQHTVRG	DVETNFTSP-S	ASRLTTAFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GQPTP
	Kangaroo rat				TTPLT <mark>M</mark> -FLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPTP
	Megabat	APVTEAIPIAS	TKEVA <mark>R</mark> HSVQG	SPDAK <mark>A</mark> KAPTT	ASPLTTAFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GHPTP
	Microbat	VPVTEANHTVS	MEEVA <mark>R</mark> HAVRG	SPNAK <mark>A</mark> KSTTT	ASPVTTAFLNG	SAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPTP
	Mouse	VPVTEASITAS	ISEVAQHDVQN	LPNDK <mark>A</mark> KTPTT	ASRLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GHPTP
	Panda	VPATNASHTAS	TKDVAQHGIRG	––TAK <mark>A</mark> RSPTT	ASPVT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GSPRP
	Pig	SPATEAGHIAS	IEEVA <mark>R</mark> HGVQD	SSDTKSQSPTT	ASPLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GSPKP
	Pika	VRNTEAGHAAS	IKQVT <mark>R</mark> HDIQD	ASGDN <mark>A</mark> ESPST	AS-TL <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	XXXXXXXXXXXX
	Rabbit	APATEARHTAP	VEEVT <mark>R</mark> HDVRD	FPADQ <mark>A</mark> ESPNT	PSALT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	GААРҮ <mark>R</mark> GНРКР
	Shrew	NAEPGAGAP	ISELAQHSVRG	SPNASVKSPTT	NSPLTLVFLNG	XXXXXXXXXXXX
	Squirrel	APVTEVSLTAS	MKMVAQHEIRG	LPNDK <mark>A</mark> KSP-T	ASMLTVAFLNG	GAAPY <mark>R</mark> GRPKP
	Tree shrew	VPMSEASLTAS	AEEVTHHSVRD	SPDAQVKSP-T	SSPLT <mark>M</mark> AFLNG	EAIPY <mark>R</mark> GVPTP
				•		Solvent-exposed loop

D

D				C			
PGLYRP3	P33S	E138G	R235W	PGLYRP4	P3L I13L	Q88R	G188V
Human	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LACRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Human	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALG <mark>I</mark> QAWGD	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> TVCSQ	VQPLL <mark>G</mark> KGENC
Bushbaby	AQGPRLFTCST	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISC	FHMDTWGFCDI	Bushbaby	GV	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> TGCSQ	IQPLLVKSENC
Chimpanzee	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LACRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Chimpanzee	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALGLQAWGD	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> TVCSQ	VQPLLVKGENC
Gorilla	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LACRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Gibbon	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALGLQAWGD	LECHDRRVCSQ	VQPLLVKGENC
Macaque	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LACRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Gorilla	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALGLQAWGD	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> TVCSQ	VQPLLVKGENC
Marmoset	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LGCSA	AFSAA <mark>E</mark> GLVSY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Macaque	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALGLQAWDD	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> RVCSQ	VQPLLVKGENC
Mouse lemur	AWGAG <mark>P</mark> LTCGA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDTWDFCDI	Marmoset	MM <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALGLQAWGD	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> RVCSQ	VQPLLVKGENC
Orangutan	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LSCRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> SLISY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Mouse lemur	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSSLGLQVCFT	XXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX
Tarsier	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LTCSA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> SLISY	YHMDTQDFCDI	Orangutan	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALGLQAWGD	LECHDWRVCSQ	VQPLLVKGENC
Cow	EWGARSLTCRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> DLIFY	YHIDAKDFCDI	Tarsier			VQPLFVKGENC
Dolphin	EWGLRFLTRRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> DLIFY	YHMDTQNFCDI	Armadillo			EQPLLVKGENC
Elephant	EWGSRMFTCSA	ALSAAGGLISY	FHIDDKNFCDI	Cat	MLLWLPVLLGLGSWSA	LECHNRTPCSQ	VQPLLVKGENC
Ferret	ELGAETVGCSI	AQSAMKGLIS		Elephant	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSVLGLRAWGD	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> IVCSQ	SQPLLVKEENC
Gibbon	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LACRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> DFCDI	Ferret	LLRCLDTFPV	LECHN <mark>Q</mark> TTCSQ	
Guinea Pig	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LTCSA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLIVY	FHMDKQDFCDI	Guinea pig	MLLWCLVFPALSVQVLDS	LECQD <mark>Q</mark> TTCSR	TQPLFVEGENC
Hedgehog	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> QTCSP	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> DLIFY	YQIDSQNFCDI	Hedgehog		XXXXXXXXXXX	SQPVLVKGENC
Horse	EWSARSLTCRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Horse	MLLWLRVFSVLGLGVWGD	LECHN <mark>Q</mark> TVCSQ	VQPLLVKGEDC
Hyrax	VWGSKLLTCRA	ALSAA <mark>G</mark> GLISY		Hyrax	ML <mark>P</mark> WLHVSPVLGVSVG-A	LECHD <mark>Q</mark> IICSQ	FQPLLVK-ENC
Kangaroo rat	EWEAR <mark>P</mark> LTCTA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLVFY	FHMDKQGFCDI	Kangaroo rat	ML <mark>P</mark> WLFVFSVLAFPAWSV	LECHN <mark>Q</mark> AVCSQ	IQPLLVKGENC
Lesser hedgehog) EWGARTLTCKA	ALSAA <mark>G</mark> GLIAY	XXXXXXXXXXX	Mouse	MLSWLLVFSILVLLAQGV	LECHNKTVCSQ	IQPLLAKSEDC
Microbat	EWGARSQTCWA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> DLIFY	YHMD <mark>R</mark> LDFCDI	Pig	MLQWLLVFPALGLGVWGN	LECHN <mark>Q</mark> TGCSQ	VQPLLVKGESC
Mouse	EWGASSLTCRV	ALSAT <mark>E</mark> DLIFF	FHIDNQDFCDI	Rat	MLSWLLVFHTLVLPALGV	LECHN <mark>Q</mark> TVCSQ	IQPLLVKSEDC
Pig	EWGARSLTCKA	ALAAA <mark>E</mark> DLIFY	YHMDT <mark>R</mark> NFCDI	Shrew	MLLWFPVFLVPALSAWGA	LECDT <mark>Q</mark> ASCSQ	XXXXXXXXXXX
Rat	VWGASSLTCRV	ALSAT <mark>E</mark> DLIFF	FHMDKQDFCDI	Sloth	ML <mark>P</mark> WLLVFSALGLGAWGN	XXXXXXXXXXX	AQPLLVKGENC
Shrew		ALSAA <mark>E</mark> NLILH	YLMDSENTCDI	Tree shrew			AQPLLVKGESC
Sloth	EWEAR <mark>P</mark> LTCTA	XXXXXXXXXXX	YHMDTQNFCDI		25		
Squirrel	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LTCRA	ALSAA <mark>E</mark> GLIFY	FHMDTLDFCDI		Disorder	Helix	Between 6-strand
Tree shrew	EWGAR <mark>P</mark> LTCRA	AMSAA <mark>E</mark> GLISY	FHMDKWNFCDI		signal peptide		& loop
	Solvent-exposed loop	M			gpopudo		
		Helix	Helix				

~

Figure 2. Amino acid sequence homology in mammalian PGLYRPs in the regions of non-synonymous polymorphisms significantly associated with CD and/or UC. Amino acids identical to human Ensembl reference sequences are shaded gray, conservation of the reference polymorphism is highlighted in yellow, and the predicted structure of the region is shown underneath the sequence. No sequence indicates truncated sequence, "-" indicates a gap in alignment, and "X" indicates incomplete sequence. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.g002

Table 6. PGLYRP2 exon 2 variants associate with gender and age of onset in CD and with age of onset in UC patients.

	CD 5-20 versus CD 21-30			CD females 5-20 versus 21-30			UC 5-20 versus UC 21-30			
	<i>P</i> (χ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*	<i>Ρ</i> (χ²)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> (CA)	<i>Ρ</i> (χ²)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> (CA)	
rs3813135, c.136	5A>G, p.T	hr46Ala								
AA or AG	0.170	2.01 [0.74–5.40]	0.032*	0.010	5.81 [1.44-23.3]	0.005*	0.026	0.23 [0.06-0.86]	0.288*	
A	0.026	2.17 [1.10-4.30]		0.006	3.57 [1.42-8.97]		0.280	1.66 [0.66-4.17]		
rs733731, c.2960	G>A, p.Ar	g99Gln								
GG or GA	0.075	2.33 [0.91–5.98]	0.046*	0.049	3.88 [0.97–15.6]	0.017*	0.026	0.23 [0.06-0.87]	0.288*	
G	0.044	1.99 [1.01–3.89]		0.023	2.78 [1.14-6.78]		0.280	1.66 [0.66-4.17]		

Complete data are shown in Tables S2, S3, S5, and S6.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the additive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t006

PGLYRP2 p.Ala208Thr; *PGLYRP3* p.Pro33Ser, p.Glu138Gly, and p.Arg235Trp are rare or infrequent variants that associate with UC or with gender in UC patients

PGLYRP2 exon 2, c.616G>A, p.Ala208Thr (Fig. 1) is a novel missense variant that was identified in one UC female (total N=31) and was significantly different between UC and control groups and showed recessive and additive trends (P=0.043, Table S15). Ala208 is highly conserved in primates (Fig. 2A) and the substitution of a nonpolar amino acid by a polar residue may disrupt interactions with other amino acids within PGLYRP2 or with other proteins.

PGLYRP3 exon 2, c.97C>T SNP (rs150883037), p.Pro33Ser (Fig. 1) was significantly different between UC and control groups in recessive and additive models (P=0.009, Table S15). Pro33 is highly conserved in mammals (Fig. 2B) and is located in a solvent exposed loop in the amidase/PGRP domain of PGLYRP3 (Figs. 2B and 3A). Proline residues provide rigidity to proteins due to the fixed torsion angel of the amino acid, which confers a physical limitation to the confirmation of the loop (Fig. 2). This loop is directly connected to a helix that forms a wall of the ligand binding cleft in PGLYRP3 (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, a second variant identified in this study, Arg394Gln, is located on a similar loop of PGLYRP2 and superimposition of the structures of PGLYRP 2 and PGLYRP3 demonstrates that Arg394Gln and Pro33Ser are spatially very close (Fig. 3A). A change in the flexibility of this loop could potentially affect protein-protein interactions, such as the proposed interaction of PGLYRP3 with proteins of bacterial two-component systems, which is thought to be essential for the bactericidal activity of PGLYRPs [19,20].

PGLTRP3 exon 4, c.413A>G (SNP rs41264632), p.Glu138Gly (Fig. 1) was significantly different between UC females and control females in recessive and additive models (P=0.033, Table S15). c.413A>G results in the substitution of glutamate, a charged

amino acid, with glycine, a nonpolar residue. Glu138 is highly conserved in mammals (Fig. 2B) and is located on an α -helix in the amidase/PGRP domain (Fig. 3B). Based on our *in silico* studies, a change from a bulky residue like glutamine to a small residue like glycine is predicted to result in a loss of salt bridges and hydrogen bonds that help stabilize the protein. Our results indicate that Glu138Gly associates with UC females and may result in destabilizing PGLYRP3 protein.

PGLYRP3 exon 5, c.703C>T (SNP rs149128791), p.Arg235Trp (Fig. 1) was detected in one UC patient and was significantly different between UC females and control females in recessive and additive models (P=0.043, Table S15). c.703C>T results in the amino acid change Arg235Trp in the amidase/PGRP domain (Fig. 1). Arg235 is highly conserved in primates (Fig. 2B) and is located in the ligand-binding cleft of PGLYRP3 and along with Tyr266 interacts with the ligand (Fig. 3C). Based on our *in silico* studies, a change from a hydrophilic residue to a bulky, hydrophobic residue, as in Arg235Trp is predicted to destroy these interactions and thus adversely affect the function of PGLYRP3.

PGLYRP4 exon 2, c.37A>C lle13Leu, exon 6 c.575G>T Gly188Val, and exon 9, c.*416A>C, UTR variants may associate with CD or with gender in CD patients

PGLYRP4 exon 2, c.37A>C, Ile13Leu (SNP rs30006458), was differentially distributed in CD females compared with CD males and the AA genotype was approaching significance (P=0.06, Table S16). The predominant amino acid in the population is Leu13 (Table S5), which is highly conserved in mammals (Fig. 2C). Ile13 is located in the signal peptide of PGLYRP4 (Fig. 1) and may affect targeting of the protein.

PGLYRP4 exon 6, c.575G>T, Gly188Val (SNP rs3006448) is a common polymorphism that was identified in all populations

Table 7. PGLYRP2 exon 2, SNP rs892145, c.809T>A, p.Met270Lys associates with gender and age of onset in CD patients.

	CD fen	nales versus CD males		CD fem	ales versus control fen	nales	UC 5-20 versus UC 21-30		
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> (CA)	<i>Ρ</i> (χ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)
TT or TA	0.024	0.62 [0.41-0.94]	0.024*	0.043	0.62 [0.40-0.98]	0.015**	0.026	0.23 [0.06-0.87]	0.067*
A	0.029	1.41 [1.04–1.91]		0.013	1.53 [1.10–2.11]		0.506	1.13 [0.79–1.60]	

Complete data are shown in Tables S2, S3, and S7.

* or **CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the *recessive model or **additive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t007



Figure 3. Location of missense polymorphisms in PGLYRPs that are predicted to impact the structure or function of amidase/PGRP domains. A. Superimposition of PGLYRP2 (green) and PGLYRP3 (magenta) structures shows similar locations of Arg394 (R394) in PGLYRP2 and Pro33 (P33) in PGLYRP3, highlighted in stick representation. Arg394 and Pro33 are located in a solvent-exposed loop of PGLYRP2 and PGLYRP3, respectively. The substitution Arg394GIn may alter folding of the protein or its interaction with the ligand. Proline adds structural rigidity in loops (due to it fixed torsion angle) and its mutation may increase flexibility of the loop and change the conformation of the protein. B. Mutation from Glu138 (E138) to glycine in PGLYRP3 may lead to loss of salt bridges and other interactions formed by glutamate in the α -helix and may affect protein stability. C. A comparison of the binding of peptidoglycan fragments, muramyl tripeptide (MTP, stick model in magenta, left image based on 1TWQ) with muramyl pentapeptide (MPP, stick model in magenta, right image based on 2APH) to the peptidoglycan-binding cleft in PGLYRP3. In the MTP-bound complex Tyr266 (Y266) faces away from MTP (left image), while the same residue turns in towards the peptide in the MPP-bound complex and functions to lock the peptide in the binding cleft (right image). Arg235 (R235) in the binding cleft interacts with several residues in the peptide through hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions along with Y266. A mutation of hydrophilic R235 to bulky hydrophobic Trp is predicted to alter these interactions and potentially affect binding of peptidoglycan. **D.** Gln88 (Q88) is located in the α -helix that forms a wall of the peptidoglycan-binding cleft in PGLYRP4. E. Location of Gly188 (yellow) and its mutation to valine in gray (G188V) in PGLYRP4 may lead to steric clashes with other residues, whereas substitution of valine with glycine would be tolerated. Location of the peptidoglycan fragment, MPP (stick model in magenta), in the binding cleft of PGRP domain is also shown in **D** and **E**. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.g003

studied. The frequency of the GT genotype was significantly different in CD males compared with control males (P=0.05), and approached significance in all CD patients (Table S16). For both comparisons, however, the OR 95% CI overlapped 1, indicating high variability. G is a minor allele at this site and T (coding for valine) is highly conserved in mammals (Fig. 2C). Gly188 is located in the amidase/PGRP domain (Fig. 1) in a highly conserved region (Figs. 2C and 3E), however as the predominant residue at position 188 in mammals is valine, the substitution to glycine may not be deleterious.

PGLYRP4 exon 9, c.*416A>C (SNP rs2570440) was differentially distributed in CD females compared with males, and the AA genotype approached significance ($P_A = 0.059$, Table S16). Both

variants showed a dominant trend. c.*416A>C is located in the 3' UTR of PGLYRP4 mRNA (Fig. 1) and may affect the stability of the transcript.

Variants in *PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3 and PGLYRP4* significantly associate with CD in haplotype groups

We next tested the association of multiple variants with CD and UC, based on haplotype analysis using a logistic regression model. All markers within a gene were grouped in all possible combinations and analyzed for association with CD and UC patients. Twelve different combinations of polymorphisms in *PGLYRP2* were significant in at least one comparison of CD patients with controls or within subgroups of CD patients. All

Tab	le 8	• PGLYRP2	? exon 3, 1	SNP rs444	0547, c.	.1181G>	>A, p	o.Arg394Gln	associates v	with g	gender	in CD	patients
-----	------	-----------	-------------	-----------	----------	---------	-------	-------------	--------------	--------	--------	-------	----------

	CD females versus CD males			CD females versus control females		
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*	<i>Ρ</i> (χ²)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> (CA)
GG+GA	0.030	1.62 [1.05–2.51]	0.030*	0.005	2.39 [1.44–3.98]	0.004*
A	0.014	1.60 [1.10-2.31]		0.004	1.84 [1.20–2.83]	

Complete data are shown in Tables S2 and S8.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t008

I

CD females versus CD males			UC females 5-20 versus UC females ≥31		
<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> (CA)
0.744	1.07 [0.71–1.61]	0.041*	0.022	8.00 [1.21-52.7]	0.022**
0.038	1.36 [1.02-1.82]		0.248	1.96 [0.62–6.19]	

Table 9. *PGLYRP3* exon 1, SNP rs3006473, c.-38T>C, UTR associates with gender in CD and with gender and age of onset in UC patients.

Complete data are shown in Tables S2, S3, and S9.

* or **CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the *recessive mode or **dominant model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t009

variants in these combination groups are located in exon 2 of PGLTRP2. These twelve groups of markers were assigned numbers 1 through 12. The groups, markers and haplotypes that were significant are shown in Table S17. Three different combinations of polymorphisms in PGLTRP3 and four different combinations of polymorphisms in PGLTRP4 were significant in at least one comparison of CD patients with controls or within subgroups of CD patients. The groups, markers and haplotypes that were significant in PGLTRP3 and PGLTRP4 are shown in Table S18. Similar haplotype analyses were performed with UC patients, however no significant haplotypes were identified. These data further confirm our results demonstrating the association of genetic variants in PGLTRP4 genes with CD.

Discussion

Our comprehensive analysis of the association of genetic polymorphisms in the exons of four PGLYRP genes demonstrates that PGLYRP1, PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3, and PGLYRP4 are novel susceptibility genes for both CD and UC. We identified 16 polymorphisms that significantly associate with CD, UC, and/or subgroups of patients. Of the significant variants, 2 associate with the entire UC population, 1 with the entire CD population, and all 16 associate with gender and/or age of onset in the patient populations. We identified markers that uniquely associate with female or male populations or with patients with early, mid, or late onset of the disease. Of the 16 polymorphisms, 5 are common for CD and UC, 6 are unique for CD, and 5 are unique for UC (Table 2). An additional 2 polymorphisms approach significance and are associated with CD. Our haplotype analysis demonstrates combinations of variants in PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3 and PGLYRP4 genes that significantly associate with CD. 12 of these 16 variants result in an amino acid substitution, and 6 of these substitutions are in the amidase/PGRP domain and based on structural modeling we predict that some of these variants may change the structure and/or function of PGLYRPs.

Table 10. PGLYRP3 exon 2, SNP rs79540951, c.201G>A,p.Leu67 associates with gender in UC.

	UC females versus control females				
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*		
GG	0.0004	not calculated	0.0004*		
G	0.0005	not calculated			

Complete data are shown in Tables S3 and S10.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t010

PGLYRP1 c.-19A>C, UTR and *PGLYRP3* c.201G>A Leu67-Leu associate with the entire UC population and *PGLYRP4* c.1113C>T Phe367Phe associates with the entire CD population. The variant in *PGLYRP1* UTR may contribute to the susceptibility to UC by changes in protein expression for PGLYRP1. The synonymous variants may contribute to the disease by modulating stability of *PGLYRP3* or *PGLYRP4* mRNA. These three variants are also significant in our secondary analyses with subgroups of patient populations, which are discussed below.

The five polymorphisms that significantly associate with both CD and UC are: (i) *PGLYRP1*: c.-19A>C, UTR, (ii) *PGLYRP2*: Thr46Ala, Arg99Gln and Met270Lys and (iii) *PGLYRP3*: c.-38T>C, UTR The variant in *PGLYRP1* strongly associates with all UC patients and with UC females and moderately with CD females in the 5–20 age of diagnosis group. Thr46Ala and Arg99Gln variants in *PGLYRP2* associate with all CD, CD females, and UC female patients in the mid age group (21–30 yrs age of diagnosis). Met270Lys in PGLYRP2 associates with all CD females, and CD and UC females in the 5–20 age group. *PGLYRP3* c.-38T>C significantly associates with CD females and with UC females in the 5–20 age group. All three polymorphisms in *PGLYRP2* are in exon 2 and haplotypes including the three markers significantly associate with CD subgroups.

The six significant polymorphisms unique for CD patients are: (i) *PGLYRP2*: Arg394Gln; (ii) *PGLYRP3*: c*70G>C, UTR; and (iii) PGLYRP4: Pro3Leu, Gln88Arg, Gly188Val, and Phe367Phe. Variant Phe367Phe strongly associates with all CD and with CD females. SNP Pro3Leu associates with CD males. Three of these variants may further associate with CD females in the mid age range (21–30 yrs) for onset of disease. In contrast, c*70G>C in the 3' UTR of PGLYRP3 and Gln88Arg in PGLYRP4 associate with CD patients with early onset of disease (5–20 yrs age of diagnosis).

The five significant polymorphisms unique for UC patients are: (i) *PGL1RP2*: Ala208Thr and (ii) *PGL1RP3*: Pro33Ser, Leu67Leu, Glu138Gly, and Arg23Trp. Thus, there are four missense variants in *PGL1RP3* that associate with UC and interestingly our previous data demonstrate that $Pglyrp3^{-/-}$ mice are highly sensitive to an experimental model of UC [37]. Variants Pro33Ser and Leu67Leu significantly associate with all UC samples, Ala208Thr, Leu67Leu, Glu138Gly, and Arg23Trp with UC females, and Pro33Ser with UC males. Ala208Thr and Arg235Trp are rare variants, each marker is present in one UC sample and analysis of a larger number of samples in the future will be necessary to validate these results. However, the association of rare variants with disease has recently been demonstrated for IBD [53] and for other diseases [54].

Four markers in *PGLYRP* genes significantly associate with all CD females and these are: (i) *PGLYRP2*: Met270Lys and Arg394Gln and (ii) *PGLYRP3*: c*70G>C, UTR and Phe367Phe. All markers are predicted to significantly increase the susceptibility

	CD 5-20 vers	us CD≥31		CD males	5–20 versus CD males ≥31	
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> (CA)
GG+CC	0.016	1.91 [1.12-3.24]	0.016*	0.029	2.48 [1.09-5.69]	0.029*
С	0.058	1.47 [0.99–2.18]		0.085	1.71 [0.93–3.15]	

Table 11. *PGLYRP3* exon 7, SNP rs2771110, c*70G>C, UTR associates with age of onset and gender in CD patients.

Complete data are shown in Tables S2 and S11.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t011

to CD in females. Additional 3 markers became significant when the CD female samples are analyzed in subgroups based on the age of diagnosis and these are: (i) *PGLYRP1*: c.-19A>C UTR and (ii) *PGLYRP2*: Thr46Ala and Arg99Gln. The polymorphism in *PGLYRP1* is predicted to be protective for CD females in the early age of diagnosis group (5–20 yrs). In contrast, both missense mutations in *PGLYRP2* are predicted to increase susceptibility to CD in females in mid range of age of diagnosis (21–30 yrs). One marker significantly associates with CD males, Pro3Leu in *PGLYRP4*, and is predicted to increase susceptibility to CD in this sub-group. A different marker in the 3' UTR of *PGLYRP3*, c*70G>C, is significant for CD males in the mid range (5–20) for age of diagnosis and is predicted to increase susceptibility to CD for this age group.

Twelve of the 16 significant polymorphisms result in an amino acid substitution and six of these variants are located in the amidase/PGRP domain. We predicted the effect of six variants on the protein structure and functions based on our previous crystal structures of the C-terminal PGRP domains of PGLYRP3 and PGLYRP4 [24-27]. All six residues are in highly conserved regions of PGLYRPs and non-conservative amino acid substitutions may have deleterious effects. Arg394 in PGLYRP2 and Pro33 in PGLYRP3 are both located in a solvent exposed loop of the proteins (Fig. 3A). The loss of a protein charge in the substitution Arg394Gln could affect protein folding and the affinity for the ligand. Pro33Ser substitution may increase the local flexibility of the loop and potentially alter interactions between PGLYRP3 and other proteins, such as members of the bacterial two-component systems, which are thought to be essential for the bactericidal activity of PGLYRPs [19,20]. The substitution Glu138Gly in PGLYRP3 is predicted to result in the loss of salt bridges and thus loss of protein stability (Fig. 3B). Arg235 in PGLYRP3 is located in the peptidoglycan-binding cleft and interacts with the ligand through hydrogen bonds and van der Waals forces (Fig. 3C). A substitution of the hydrophilic arginine with the bulky hydrophobic tryptophan is predicted to weaken the interactions

Table 12. PGLYRP4 exon 2, SNP rs12096209, c.8C>T,p.Pro3Leu associates with gender in CD patients.

	CD females v	versus CD males	
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*
сс	0.039	1.85 [1.03-3.34]	0.039*
С	0.047	1.77 [1.01-3.11]	

Complete data are shown in Tables S2 and S12.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t012

between PGLYRP and its ligands and adversely affect the function of PGLYRP3. Gln88 in PGLYRP4 is part of the alpha helix that forms a wall of the peptidoglycan binding cleft (Fig. 3D), and the Gln88Arg substitution introduces a positively charged residue in place of a neutral residue and this change may affect the folding and stability to the protein.

Genetic variants in other proteins involved in microbe recognition and innate immune responses to bacteria are also associated with IBD. The NOD (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain) proteins, NOD1 and NOD2, are similar to PGLYRPs in that they recognize bacteria through peptidoglycan fragments [55-57] and are required for maintaining homeostasis of the intestinal microbiome [58]. Though unlike PGLYRPs, NOD1 and NOD2 are cytosolic and binding of ligand initiates cell signaling and the release of inflammatory molecules [55-57]. Mutations in NOD2 are associated with Crohn's disease [10–12] and one of its major risk alleles increases risk for CD in heterozygous individuals by 2.4 fold and in homozygous or compound heterozygous individuals by 17.1 fold [12]. The mechanism of pathogenicity of NOD2 mutations for CD is thought to be an altered gut bacterial population, which results in chronic inflammation [59,60]. By contrast to Nods, mammalian PGLYRPs are not signaling molecules, but rather secreted bactericidal proteins [18-20,28,30]. However, deletions of PGLYRPs also result in significant changes in microbiome, which are responsible for the increased susceptibility to experimental UC in mice [37]. Thus, we propose a similar mechanism for PGLYRPs: missense mutations in PGLYRPs could result in changes in bactericidal activity of the proteins, which could result in an altered gut microbiome followed by inflammation and increased susceptibility to IBD.

In conclusion, first, we have demonstrated that *PGLYRP1*, *PGLYRP2*, *PGLYRP3*, and *PGLYRP4* genes coding for bactericidal proteins associate with CD and UC, and with gender and/or age of onset in patient populations. Second, we have identified genetic markers in *PGLYRP* genes that associate with both CD and UC or

Table 13. *PGLYRP4* exon 4, SNP rs3006453, c.275A>G, p.Gln88Arg associates with age of onset and gender in CD patients.

	CD 5-20 versus CD≥31			CD males 5–20 versus CD males ≥31		
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI) <i>P</i> (CA)	
AA	0.050	0.37 [0.14–1.03]	0.05	0.015	not calculated 0.015	
G	0.043	2.68 [1.00-7.21]	0.018	not calculated	

Complete data are shown in Tables S2 and S13.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t013

Table '	4. PGLYRP-4 exo	n 9, SNP	rs41310915,	c.1113C>T,
Phe367	associates with g	gender in	CD.	

	CD females versus control females				
	<i>Ρ</i> (χ ²)	OR (95% CI)	P (CA)*		
СТ	0.0035	11.3 [1.48-86.0]	0.0035		
т	0.0040	10.8 [1.43-82.0]			

Complete data are shown in Tables S2 and S14.

*CA, Cochran Armitage Trend test data for the recessive model.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067393.t014

are unique for CD or UC. Third, our study identifies potential causative variants that may alter the structure or function of PGLYRPs, thus, altering sensitivity to IBD. Identifying the mechanism by which PGLYRPs modulate sensitivity to IBD will require detailed studies focusing on the *in vitro* and *in vivo* effects of the variants identified in this study. Our results also support the polygenic nature of susceptibility of CD and UC, in which multiple genes contribute to the risk factors for acquiring the disease.

Supporting Information

Table S1PGLYRP primers used in this study.(XLS)

Table S2Summary of PGLYRP1, PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3,and PGLYRP4 variants in CD and case control cohorts.(XLS)

Table S3Summary of PGLYRP1, PGLYRP2, PGLYRP3,and PGLYRP4 variants in UC and case control cohorts.(XLS)

Table S4 *PGLYRP1* exon 1, SNP rs2072562, c.-19A>C, UTR, associates with UC and CD and with gender and age of onset in patients.

(XLS)

Table S5 *PGLYRP2* exon 2, SNP rs3813135, c.136A>G, p.Thr46Ala associates with gender and/or age of onset in CD and UC. (XLS)

Table S6 *PGLYRP2* exon 2, SNP rs733731, c.296G>A, p.Arg99Gln associates with gender and/or age of onset in CD and UC. (XLS)

Table S7 *PGLYRP2* exon 2, SNP rs892145, c.809T>A, p.Met270Lys associates with gender and/or age of onset in CD and UC. (XLS)

References

- Xavier RJ, Podolsky DK (2007) Unravelling the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature 448: 427–434.
- Kaser A, Zeissig S, Blumberg RS (2010) Inflammatory bowel disease. Annu Rev Immunol 28: 573–621.
- Abraham C, Medzhitov R (2011) Interactions between the host innate immune system and microbes in inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterol 140: 1729– 1737.
- Barrett JC, Hansoul S, Nicolae DL, Cho JH, Duerr RH, et al. (2008) Genomewide association defines more than 30 distinct susceptibility loci for Crohn's disease. Nature Genet 40: 955–962.

Table S8 PGLYRP2 exon 3, SNP rs4440547, c.1181G>A, p.Arg394Gln associates with gender and age of onset in CD.

(XLS)

Table S9 *PGLYRP3* exon 1, SNP rs3006473, c.-38T>C, UTR associates with gender and/or age of onset in CD and UC.

(XLS)

Table S10 *PGLYRP3* exon 2, SNP rs79540951, c.201G>A, p.Leu67 associates with UC and with gender in UC.

(XLS)

Table S11 PGLYRP3 exon 7, SNP rs2771110, c*70G>C, UTR associates with gender and/or age of onset in CD. (XLS)

Table S12 PGLYRP4 exon 2, SNP rs12096209, c.8C>T, p.Pro3Leu associates with gender in CD. (XLS)

Table S13 PGLYRP4 exon 4, SNP rs3006453, c.275A>G, p.Gln88Arg associates with gender and/or age of onset in CD.

(XLS)

Table S14 PGLYRP-4 exon 9, SNP rs41310915, c.1113C>T, Phe367 associates with CD and gender in CD.

(XLS)

 Table \$15
 Association of rare or infrequent variants

 with UC or with gender in UC.

(XLS)

Table S16PGLYRP4 polymorphisms approaching significance for association with gender in CD.(XLS)

Table S17Significant haplotypes in PGLYRP2 associatewith CD in the following patients.(XLS)

Table S18 Significant haplotypes in PGLYRP3 andPGLYRP4 associate with CD in the following patients.(XLS)

Acknowledgments

All DNA samples used in this study were provided by the Crohn's & Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA). The CCFA did not collaborate on the preparation of this paper and it does not necessarily reflect the opinions of the CCFA.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: FZ IH RM RD DG. Performed the experiments: FZ DG. Analyzed the data: FZ SR RD DG. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: IH SR RD. Wrote the paper: RD DG.

- Franke A, McGovern DP, Barrett JC, Wang K, Radford-Smith GL, et al. (2010) Genome-wide meta-analysis increases to 71 the number of confirmed Crohn's disease susceptibility loci. Nature Genet 42: 1118–1125.
- Franke A, Balschun T, Sina C, Ellinghaus D, Häsler R, et al. (2010) Genomewide association study for ulcerative colitis identifies risk loci at 7q22 and 22q13 (IL17REL). Nature Genet 42: 292–294.
- McGovern DP, Gardet A, Törkvist L, Goyette P, Essers J, et al. (2010) Genomewide association identifies multiple ulcerative colitis susceptibility loci. Nature Genet 42: 332–337.

- Anderson CA, Boucher G, Lees CW, Franke A, D'Amato M, et al. (2011) Metaanalysis identifies 29 additional ulcerative colitis risk loci, increasing the number of confirmed associations to 47. Nat Genet 43: 246–252.
- Jostins L, Ripke S, Weersma RK, Duerr RH, McGovern DP, et al. (2012) Hostmicrobe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. Nature 491: 119–124.
- Ogura Y, Bonen DK, Inohara N, Nicolae DL, Chen FF, et al. (2001) A frameshift mutation in NOD2 associated with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. Nature 411: 603–606.
- Hugot JP, Chamaillard M, Zouali H, Lesage S, Cézard JP, et al. (2001) Association of NOD2 leucine-rich repeat variants with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. Nature 411: 599–603.
- Economou M, Trikalinos TA, Loizou KT, Tsianos EV, Ioannidis JP (2004) Differential effects of NOD2 variants on Crohn's disease risk and phenotype in diverse populations: a metaanalysis. Am J Gastroenterol 99: 2393–2404.
- Duerr RH, Taylor KD, Brant SR, Rioux JD, Silverberg MS, et al. (2006). A genome-wide association study identifies IL23R as an inflammatory bowel disease gene. Science 314: 1461–1463.
- 14. Neurath MF (2007) IL-23: a master regulator in Crohn disease. Nat Med 13: 26–8.
- Abraham C, Cho J (2009) Interleukin-23/Th17 pathways and inflammatory bowel disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 15: 1090–1100.
- Brand S (2009) Crohn's disease: Th1, Th17 or both? The change of a paradigm: new immunological and genetic insights implicate Th17 cells in the pathogenesis of Crohn's disease. Gut 58: 1152–1167.
- Cho JH, Brant SR (2011) Recent insights into the genetics of inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterol 140: 1704–1712.
- Royet J, Dziarski R (2007) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins: pleiotropic sensors and effectors of antimicrobial defences. Nat Rev Microbiol 5: 264–277.
- Royet J, Gupta D, Dziarski R (2011) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins: modulators of the microbiome and inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol 11: 837– 851.
- Kashyap DR, Wang M, Liu LH, Boons GJ, Gupta D, et al. (2011) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins kill bacteria by activating protein-sensing two-component systems. Nat Med 17: 676–683.
- Kang D, Liu G, Lundstrom A, Gelius E, Steiner H (1998) A peptidoglycan recognition protein in innate immunity conserved from insects to humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 10078–10082.
- Liu C, Xu Z, Gupta D, Dziarski R (2001) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins: a novel family of four human innate immunity pattern recognition molecules. J Biol Chem 276: 4686–34694.
- Dziarski R, Gupta D (2006) Protein Family Review: The peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs). Genome Biology 7: 1–13.
- Guan R, Roychowdhury A, Ember B, Kumar S, Boons GJ, et al. (2004) Structural basis for peptidoglycan binding by peptidoglycan recognition proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 17168–17173.
- Kumar S, Roychowdhury A, Ember B, Wang Q, Guan R, et al. (2005) Selective recognition of synthetic lysine and meso-diaminopimelic acid-type peptidoglycan fragments by human peptidoglycan recognition proteins Iα and S. J Biol Chem 280: 37005–37012.
- Swaminathan CP, Brown PH, Roychowdhury A, Wang Q, Guan R, et al. (2006) Dual strategies for peptidoglycan discrimination by peptidoglycan recognition proteins (PGRPs). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 684–689.
- Cho S, Wang Q, Swaminathan CP, Hesek D, Lee M, et al. (2007) Structural insights into the bactericidal mechanism of human peptidoglycan recognition proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 8761–8766.
- Lu X, Wang M, Qi J, Wang H, Li X, et al. (2006) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins are a new class of human bactericidal proteins. J Biol Chem 281: 5895– 5907.
- Tydell CC, Yuan J, Tran P, Selsted ME (2006) Bovine peptidoglycan recognition protein-S: antimicrobial activity, localization, secretion, and binding properties. J Immunol 176: 1154–1162.
- Wang M, Liu LH, Wang S, Li X, Lu X, et al. (2007) Human peptidoglycan recognition proteins require zinc to kill both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and are synergistic with antibacterial peptides. J Immunol 178: 3116– 3125.
- Liu C, Gelius E, Liu G, Steiner H, Dziarski R (2000) Mammalian peptidoglycan recognition protein binds peptidoglycan with high affinity, is expressed in neutrophils, and inhibits bacterial growth. J Biol Chem 275: 24490–24499.
- Sorbara MT, Philpott DJ (2011) Peptidoglycan: a critical activator of the mammalian immune system during infection and homeostasis. Immunol Rev 243: 40–60.
- Sharma P, Dube D, Singh A, Mishra B, Singh N, et al. (2011) Structural basis of recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns and inhibition of proinflammatory cytokines by camel peptidoglycan recognition protein. J Biol Chem 286: 16208–16217.
- Gelius E, Persson C, Karlsson J, Steiner H (2003) A mammalian peptidoglycan recognition protein with N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase activity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 306: 988–994.

- Wang Z-M, Li X, Cocklin RR, Wang M, Wang M, et al. (2003) Human peptidoglycan recognition protein-L is an N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase. J Biol Chem 278: 49044–49052.
- Dziarski R, Platt KA, Gelius E, Steiner H, Gupta D (2003) Defect in neutrophil killing and increased susceptibility to infection with non-pathogenic Grampositive bacteria in peptidoglycan recognition protein-S (PGRP-S)-deficient mice. Blood 102: 689–697.
- Saha S, Jing X, Park SY, Wang S, Li X, et al. (2010) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins protect mice from experimental colitis by promoting normal gut flora and preventing induction of interferon-γ. Cell Host Microbe 8: 147–162.
- Park SY, Gupta D, Hurwich R, Kim CH, Dziarski R (2011) Peptidoglycan recognition protein Pglyrp2 protects mice from psoriasis-like skin inflammation by promoting regulatory T cells and limiting Th17 responses. J Immunol 187: 5813–5823.
- Saha S, Qi J, Wang S, Wang M, Li X, et al. (2009) PGLYRP-2 and Nod2 are both required for peptidoglycan-induced arthritis and local inflammation. Cell Host Microbe 5: 137–150.
- Park SY, Gupta D, Kim CH, Dziarski R (2011) Differential effects of peptidoglycan recognition proteins on experimental atopic and contact dermatitis mediated by Treg and Th17 cells. PLoS One 6: e24961. 1–16.
- Park SY, Jing X, Gupta D, Dziarski R (2013) Peptidoglycan recognition protein 1 enhances experimental asthma by promoting Th2 and Th17 and limiting regulatory T cell and plasmacytoid dendritic cell responses. J Immunol 190: 3480–3492.
- 42. Sun C, Mathur P, Dupuis J, Tizard R, Ticho B, et al. (2006) Peptidoglycan recognition proteins Pglyrp3 and Pglyrp4 are encoded from the epidermal differentiation complex and are candidate genes for the Psors4 locus on chromosome 1q21. Hum Genet 119: 113–125.
- 43. Kainu K, Kivinen K, Zucchelli M, Suomela S, Kere J, et al. (2009) Association of psoriasis to PGLYRP and SPRR genes at PSORS4 locus on 1q shows heterogeneity between Finnish, Swedish and Irish families. Exp Dermatol 18: 109–115.
- Budarf ML, Labbé C, David G, Rioux JD (2009) GWA studies: rewriting the story of IBD. Trends Genet 25: 137–46.
- 45. Lakatos PL, Fischer S, Lakatos L, Gal I, Papp J (2006) Current concept on the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease-crosstalk between genetic and microbial factors: pathogenic bacteria and altered bacterial sensing or changes in mucosal integrity take "toll"? World J Gastroenterol 12: 1829–41.
- 46. Rioux JD, Silverberg MS, Daly MJ, Steinhart AH, McLeod RS, et al. (2000) Genome wide search in Canadian families with inflammatory bowel disease reveals two novel susceptibility loci. Am J Hum Genet 66: 1863–1870.
- De Leeneer K, De Schrijver J, Clement L, Baetens M, Lefever S, et al. (2011) Practical tools to implement massive parallel pyrosequencing of PCR products in next generation molecular diagnostics. PLoS One 6:e25531.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, et al. (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 81: 559–575.
- Kopp J, Schwede T (2004) The SWISS-MODEL Repository of annotated threedimensional protein structure homology models. Nucleic Acids Res 32: D230– D234.
- Melo F, Feytmans E (1998) Assessing protein structures with a non-local atomic interaction energy. J Mol Biol 277: 1141–1152.
- Benkert P, Tosatto SC, Schomburg D (2008) QMEAN: A comprehensive scoring function for model quality assessment. Proteins 71: 261–277.
- van Gunsteren WF, Billeter SR, Éising AA, Hünenberger PH, Krüger P, et al. (1996) Biomolecular Simulation: The GROMOS96 Manual and User Guide. Verlag der Fachvereine 1–1024.
- Rivas MA, Beaudoin M, Gardet A, Stevens C, Sharma Y, et al. (2011) Deep resequencing of GWAS loci identifies independent rare variants associated with inflammatory bowel disease. Nat Genet. 43: 1066–1073.
- Ruark E, Snape K, Humburg P, Loveday C, Bajrami I, et al. (2013) Mosaic PPM1D mutations are associated with predisposition to breast and ovarian cancer. Nature 493: 406–410.
- Chamaillard M, Hashimoto M, Horie Y, Masumoto J, Qiu S, et al. (2003) An essential role for NOD1 in host recognition of bacterial peptidoglycan containing diaminopimelic acid. Nat Immunol 4: 702–707.
- Girardin SE, Travassos LH, Hervé M, Blanot D, Boneca IG, et al. (2003) Peptidoglycan molecular requirements allowing detection by Nod1 and Nod2. J Biol Chem 278: 41702–41708.
- Inohara N, Ogura Y, Fontalba A, Gutierrez O, Pons F, et al. (2003) Host recognition of bacterial muramyl dipeptide mediated through NOD2. Implications for Crohn's disease. J Biol Chem 278: 5509–5512.
- Petnicki-Ocwieja T, Hrncir T, Liu YJ, Biswas A, Hudcovic T, et al. (2009) Nod2 is required for the regulation of commensal microbiota in the intestine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 15813–15818.
- Kanneganti TD, Lamkanfi M, Núñez G (2007) Intracellular NOD-like receptors in host defense and disease. Immunity 27: 549–555.
- Strober W, Watanabe T (2011) NOD2, an intracellular innate immune sensor involved in host defense and Crohn's disease. Mucosal Immunol 4: 484–495.