

Mental Health Outcomes and Sleep Status among Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019

Mahsa Nahidi¹, Naghmeh Mokhber^{2,3}, Farideh Sinichi¹, Zahra Hatefipour³, Parvin Zohorian Sadr⁴, Maryam Emadzadeh⁵, Amir Rezaei Ardani^{1*}

Abstract

Objective: The immediate impacts of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on mental health of affected patients and psychiatric morbidities of these patients has been neglected by researchers. We assessed mental health outcomes and sleep status among inpatients and outpatients with COVID-19 who were initially referred to our COVID-19 clinic in Mashhad, Iran during April-October 2020.

Method: In this ethically approved cross-sectional study, 130 patients with confirmed COVID-19 who were referred to outpatient clinics and wards of a referral hospital in Mashhad, Iran were surveyed during April-October 2020. Demographic data were collected after obtaining informed written consent. Validated Persian versions of insomnia severity index (ISI), 9-item patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9), and 7-item generalized anxiety disorder (GAD-7) and revised impact of event scale (IES-R) were used as main outcome measures (i.e. status of anxiety, depression, insomnia, and event-related distress). Analysis was performed with SPSS using binary logistic regression. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results: Overall, 65 inpatients and 65 outpatients were surveyed. The two groups did not significantly differ in terms of insomnia and depression severity, but the outpatients showed higher levels of anxiety (52.3% vs. 24.6%, P = 0.005) and distress compared to inpatients (80.0% vs. 64.6%, P < 0.001). Male sex (OR = 0.017, 95%CI = 0.000-0.708, P = 0.032) exhibited independent and inverse association with depression in COVID-19 patients. Being married (OR = 0.102, 95% CI = 0.018-0.567, P = 0.009) was independently and inversely associated with anxiety. Insomnia was independently associated with event-related distress (OR = 7.286, 95%CI = 2.017-26.321, P = 0.002). Only depression was independently associated with insomnia (OR = 49.655, 95%CI = 2.870-859.127, P = 0.007).

Conclusion: We found symptoms of psychological distress and anxiety to be more prevalent among outpatients with COVID-19 than inpatients. Insomnia can be a potential risk factor for adverse mental health outcomes in these patients.

Key words: Anxiety; COVID-19; Depression; Insomnia; Mental Health

1. Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
2. Department of Psychiatry, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada.
3. Department of Psychiatry, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
4. Faculty of Medicine, Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.
5. Clinical Research Development Unit, Ghaem Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

*Corresponding Author:

Address: Ibn-e-Sina Hospital, Hor-e-Ameli Boulevard, Mashhad, Iran, Postal Code: 9195983134.
Tel: 98-51 37112723, Fax: 98-51 37112545, Email: rezaeiardania@gmail.com

Article Information:

Received Date: 2021/04/30, Revised Date: 2022/01/05, Accepted Date: 2022/04/18



With over 280 million reported cases and 5 million deaths by end of December 2021, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) continues to affect global health in an unprecedented manner (1). Despite vast efforts to control the pandemic by rapid vaccination against COVID-19 (more than 8.5 billion doses until early 2022) or applying preventive measures such as lockdowns, social distancing, or using face-masks (1, 2), new mutations of the virus, namely Delta and recently Omicron variants (3), still invade human societies (4).

It was expected that the COVID-19 pandemic could affect psychological well-being of many people around the globe (5). Long-term quarantine and lockdown orders, diminished interpersonal connections, facing fear of death or contagion, or losing jobs and subsequent economic consequences could all be considered as leading factors of perceived psychological distress in the general population (6, 7). Therefore, as soon as the COVID-19 pandemic was documented in early 2020, many researchers have focused on the impacts of the pandemic on mental health across the world (8, 9). Infected patients are one of the target populations in these studies.

Studies on previous epidemics of the coronavirus, namely severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS), report psychiatric manifestations and long-term cognitive complications in infected patients (10, 11). Therefore, some researchers have focused on psychological presentations associated with COVID-19 in infected patients (6, 12). Reports have indicated high prevalence of depression, anxiety, post-traumatic distress, panic disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, psychological distress, sleep disturbance, new-onset psychosis, and neurocognitive impairments among COVID-19 patients (6, 10-12).

The broad spectrum of mental illnesses associated with COVID-19 may be better explained if they were categorized based on time of presentation, as this infection may have immediate or long-term consequences on mental health of patients (13). They may immediately be affected by isolation from society, losing emotional support of family members and friends, experiencing threat of death, feeling stigmatized, and even experience direct invasion of the virus into the central nervous system (5, 6, 14). Hospitalized patients may also experience uncertainty about duration of hospitalization and treatment procedures, possible neuropsychiatric side effects of medications such as antiviral agents or glucocorticoids, and different levels of hypoxia which could affect their mental function (7, 14, 15).

Despite the broad spectrum of psychological consequences of COVID-19 among patients, many studies have focused on the general population or healthcare workers (16), and there exists a lack of studies on psychiatric morbidities in patients infected

with this virus. Moreover, limited data reflected on psychological outcomes of COVID-19 in the Iranian population. To address these concerns, we aimed to assess the immediate mental health outcomes of COVID-19 infection in a group of Iranian patients and to compare them between inpatient and outpatient groups. We hypothesized that patients may experience a high prevalence of psychological symptoms immediately after they're diagnosed with COVID-19, which could be even higher among hospitalized patients.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Approval

This study followed the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. This study has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (approval number IR.MUMS.REC.1399.062). All participants gave informed consent before enrollment. Data was collected anonymously and the confidentiality of information was assured. Patients were permitted to leave the study if they desired at any time.

Participants

Based on the reported 30% prevalence of depression among hospitalized patients with COVID-19 (17), keeping an alpha error of 0.05, a $d = 0.078$, and a $p = 0.3$, the target sample size was calculated to be 130 patients, including 65 inpatients and 65 outpatients. Recruitment of patients was continued until we received 65 questionnaire responses in each group.

Inclusion criteria were literacy and education level of at least middle school, confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 based on clinical presentations and RT-PCR, age of 20-75 years, and giving written informed consent to participate in the study. Patients with severely deteriorated general condition who could not respond to the questionnaires were excluded from the study.

Study Design and Settings

This cross-sectional study was conducted on confirmed cases of COVID-19 who were referred to COVID-19 center of Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad, Iran from April 10, 2020 to October 10, 2020. Imam Reza Hospital serves as the main center for referral of patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in Mashhad, Iran. Patients highly suspected to have COVID-19 are referred to the outpatient COVID-19 clinic of Imam Reza Hospital, where they are visited and assessed thoroughly to work out the definitive COVID-19 diagnosis based on the clinical presentations and real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Patients positive for COVID-19 are then managed in the clinic and sent home or admitted to the COVID-19 inpatient wards of the hospital if they have the indications for hospitalization based on the National COVID-19 Guideline (18).

We used the contact information of outpatients who were confirmed to have COVID-19 and reached them through phone calls on the 7th day after their first visit at

the clinic. After obtaining informed consent, we sent them a link to an online platform containing our study checklist and questionnaires and they were requested to respond within three days (7th-10th days after their first visit). For the hospitalized patients, the process was done in-person, by a researcher going to their room at the inpatient ward on the 7th day, obtaining informed consent and providing the questionnaires. The researcher then returned for collecting the response within the next three days.

Data were collected from the patients 7-10 days after their first visit at the COVID-19 clinic, through online checklist and questionnaires. Demographic data were gathered in self-reported checklists including age, sex, height, weight, marital status, education, occupation status, income level, history of psychiatric visit, history of psychiatric drug use, history of medical comorbidities, history of close contact with COVID-19 patients, and loss of loved ones due to COVID-19. Duration of hospital stay in hospitalized patients was recorded as well. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated based on reported height and weight. Participants were also asked to complete four questionnaires to assess their psychiatric symptoms (i.e., main outcomes of the study). We tried to select patients using rigorous criteria (all patients originating from the same general population, included in a prospective design) in an attempt to prevent selection bias. We also used objective sources to collect data whenever possible to prevent recall bias.

Tools

Using the validated Persian versions of the following measurement tools, we assessed symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, and distress in all enrolled patients.

• The 7-item Insomnia Severity Index (ISI)

The ISI is a measurement tool designed to assess perception of respondents in regards to their insomnia and sleep problems. It evaluates problems in sleep initiation and continuation, satisfaction with sleep pattern, interference with daily function, significance of sleep-related difficulties, and the distress caused by sleep disturbance. Items are scored on a 5-point Likert scale between 0 (never) and 4 (very much). Scores for all item are added to give a final score between 0 and 28, with larger values indicating a higher perception of sleeplessness. Severity of insomnia is stratified into none (0-7), subthreshold (8-14), moderate (15-21), and severe (22-28) based on the total score (19).

• The 22-item Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R)

The IES-R includes 22 items and has been designed to evaluate the subjective response to a specific traumatic event and related distress. IES-R contains three subscales (intrusion, avoidance, and hyperarousal), besides a total subjective stress score, together measuring the mental disability of respondents in facing specific traumatic events. Each item is scored on a 5-point scale from 0 (no symptom) to 4 (an extremely high level of symptoms) according to the severity of

symptoms in the past seven days. The total score falls in the 0-88 range, where scores 0-23 mean no distress, 24-32 mean partial distress, 33-38 indicate definitive distress, and ≥ 39 indicate severe distress (20).

• The 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)

The PHQ-9 comprises nine items, each of which can be scored based on a Likert 4-point scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The total score for this questionnaire ranges between 0 and 27, with larger values indicating higher severity of depression in the respondent. Based on the PHQ-9 scores, the severity of depression can be classified into none (≤ 4), mild (5-9), moderate (10-14), moderately severe (15-19), and severe (≥ 20) (21).

• The 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7)

The GAD-7 is a 7-item tool that quantifies the extent of generalized anxiety and its impact on function of the respondent. Each item in GAD-7 can be scored 0 (not at all), 1 (several days), 2 (more than half the days), and 3 (almost every day). The total score varies between 0 and 21, larger total scores indicating greater impairment. Intensity of anxiety in this scale is stratified as none to mild (0-9), moderate (10-14), and severe (15-21) (22).

Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed by SPSS 24 (IBM Statistics, Chicago, IL). Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of the data. Data conforming to a normal distribution were reported in mean \pm standard deviation (SD), while non-normal data were reported using median and interquartile range (IQR). Independent sample t-test, Mann-Whitney U test, and Chi-square test were used to perform inter-group comparison for variables.

We performed multivariate binary logistic regression models to evaluate the potential associated factors with symptoms of anxiety, depression, insomnia, and event-related distress in COVID-19 patients, by removing the effects of potential confounding factors. Outcomes of the regression analyses are reported as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI). $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant in all analyses.

Results

Overall, 130 patients with COVID-19, including 65 inpatients (50.0%) and 65 outpatients (50.0%), were surveyed in this study. Of the 130 patients, 60 (46.1%) were female and the remaining 70 (53.9%) were male. The mean age of the patients was 43.90 ± 11.84 years (45.96 ± 11.99 years in the inpatients and 41.81 ± 11.41 years in the outpatients). Among the inpatient group, the mean hospitalization time (at the time of responding to the questionnaires) was 9.30 ± 6.58 days.

Personal and demographic variables for the outpatients and inpatients were compared in Table 1. As seen in the table, females composed 53.8% of the outpatients and 38.5% of the inpatients (35 vs. 25, $P = 0.079$). The median (IQR) age of the outpatients was 40.0 (32.5-48.0), while it was 45.0 (37.5-52.5) in the inpatient group ($P = 0.019$). Inpatients had a significantly higher

BMI compared with the outpatients (27.72 ± 6.24 vs. 25.74 ± 2.83 , $P = 0.038$). The frequency of higher education levels and contact with COVID-19 patients were significantly higher among the outpatients (76.9% vs. 46.2%, $P < 0.001$ and 38.5% vs. 15.4%, $P = 0.003$, respectively), while medical comorbidities were significantly more prevalent among inpatients (53.8% vs. 30.8%, $P = 0.008$). However, the two groups did not have any significant difference regarding occupation, income, psychiatric history, and loss of loved ones due to COVID-19 (Table 1).

Primary study outcomes are shown and compared between the inpatient and outpatient participants in Table 2. Among all participants, 72 (55.4%) had experienced moderate to severe depression, 50 (38.5%) had reported moderate to severe anxiety, 69 (53.1%) had reported definitive to severe levels of event-related distress, and 51 (39.2%) had experienced moderate to severe insomnia. As shown in Table 2, although the two groups did not significantly differ in terms of insomnia

and depression severity, the outpatient group showed significantly higher levels of anxiety (52.3% vs. 24.6%, $P = 0.005$) and event-related distress compared to the inpatients (80.0% vs. 64.6%, $P < 0.001$).

Table 3 elaborates significant associations between psychiatric symptoms and different studied variables. Multivariate logistic regression showed that male sex (OR = 0.017, 95%CI = 0.000-0.708, $P = 0.032$) and insomnia (OR = 175.935, 95%CI = 6.173-5014.155, $P = 0.002$) were the only independent factors associated with depression in COVID-19 patients. Being married (OR = 0.102, 95%CI = 0.018-0.567, $P = 0.009$) and insomnia (OR = 10.679, 95%CI = 1.445-78.901, $P = 0.020$) were independently associated with anxiety. However, only insomnia was found to be independently associated with event-related distress (OR = 7.286, 95%CI = 2.017-26.321, $P = 0.002$). In a binary logistic regression model, only depression was independently associated with insomnia (OR = 49.655, 95%CI = 2.870-859.127, $P = 0.007$).

Table 1. Personal and Demographic Characteristics of Patients with COVID-19

| Variable | Outpatients (N = 65) | Inpatients (N = 65) | P |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Age (years) | 40.00 (32.50 - 48.00) | 45.00 (37.50-52.50) | 0.019* |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 25.74 ± 2.83 | 27.72 ± 6.24 | 0.038** |
| Sex (female) | 35 (53.8%) | 25 (38.5%) | 0.079*** |
| Marital status (single) | 11 (16.9%) | 11 (16.9%) | |
| Education (primary) | 15 (23.1%) | 35 (53.8%) | < 0.001*** |
| Occupation (unemployed) | 19 (29.2%) | 25 (38.5%) | 0.266*** |
| Income (low) | 14 (21.5%) | 19 (29.2%) | 0.083*** |
| History of psychiatric visits | 8 (12.3%) | 13 (20.0%) | 0.233*** |
| Psychiatric drug use | 3 (4.6%) | 8 (12.3%) | 0.115*** |
| Medical comorbidity | 20 (30.8%) | 35 (53.8%) | 0.008*** |
| Contact with COVID-19 patients | 25 (38.5%) | 10 (15.4%) | 0.003*** |
| Loss due to COVID-19 | 3 (4.6%) | 4 (6.2%) | > 0.99*** |

BMI: body mass index; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019

*Mann-Whitney test

**Independent samples t-test

***Chi-square test

Table 2. Mental Health and Sleep Status in Patients with COVID-19

| Variable | Outpatients (N = 65) | Inpatients (N = 65) | P |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Depression | | | |
| None | 8 (12.3%) | 10 (15.4%) | 0.219 |
| Mild | 16 (24.6%) | 24 (36.9%) | |
| Moderate | 18 (27.7%) | 16 (24.6%) | |
| Moderately severe | 13 (20.0%) | 12 (18.5%) | |
| Severe | 10 (15.4%) | 3 (4.6%) | |
| Anxiety | | | |
| None to mild | 31 (47.7%) | 49 (75.4%) | 0.005 |
| Moderate | 12 (18.5%) | 6 (9.2%) | |
| Severe | 22 (33.8%) | 10 (15.4%) | |
| Distress | | | |
| None | 13 (20.0%) | 23 (35.4%) | < 0.001 |
| Partial | 5 (7.7%) | 20 (30.8%) | |

| | | | |
|-----------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Definitive | 7 (10.8%) | 4 (6.2%) | |
| Severe | 40 (61.5%) | 18 (27.7%) | |
| Insomnia | | | |
| None | 19 (29.2%) | 23 (35.4%) | |
| Subthreshold | 20 (30.8%) | 17 (26.2%) | 0.486 |
| Moderate | 21 (32.3%) | 16 (24.6%) | |
| Severe | 5 (7.7%) | 9 (13.8%) | |

Chi-square test

Table 3. Regression Analysis Summary for Factors Affecting Psychiatric Symptoms in Patients with COVID-19

| Symptom Covariate | Odds Ratio | 95%CI | | P |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| | | Lower bound | Upper bound | |
| Depression | | | | |
| Male sex | 0.017 | 0.000 | 0.708 | 0.032 |
| Insomnia | 175.935 | 6.173 | 5014.155 | 0.002 |
| Anxiety | | | | |
| Married | 0.102 | 0.018 | 0.567 | 0.009 |
| Insomnia | 10.679 | 1.445 | 78.901 | 0.020 |
| Distress | | | | |
| Insomnia | 7.286 | 2.017 | 26.321 | 0.002 |
| Insomnia | | | | |
| Depression | 49.655 | 2.870 | 859.127 | 0.007 |

Discussion

In this survey, we assessed immediate mental health outcomes in 130 patients infected with COVID-19. Half of the participants were inpatients and half were outpatients with confirmed COVID-19 infection. We found moderate to severe levels of depression, anxiety, event-related distress, and insomnia among 55.4%, 38.5%, 53.1%, and 39.2% of the participants, respectively. Although the two groups did not significantly differ in terms of insomnia and depression severity, the outpatients showed higher levels of anxiety and event-related distress compared to the inpatients.

The inpatient participants were significantly older, with higher BMI levels, and more medical comorbidities compared to the outpatients. Many other studies reported old age, obesity, and underlying medical conditions to be associated with longer hospitalization (23, 24). On the other hand, education level and history of close contact with COVID-19 patients were significantly higher among the outpatients. The association between higher educational attainment and lower severity of COVID-19 is shown in other populations (25). However, we did not find any reported association between positive history of close contact with COVID-19 and severity or prognosis of COVID-19 in the infected patients. We hypothesized that as our study took place in the early months of the pandemic in Iran, the positive history of close contact could serve as a screening tool that makes people more aware of the threat of this infection. So, they may seek medical services sooner and in less severe stages of disease (26).

Mental health issues are very common in patients suffering from COVID-19. Studies report depression in almost 31-45% of patients (27-29), anxiety in 31-47%

(27-29), event-related distress (post-traumatic stress symptoms) in 28-96% (28, 30), and sleep problems in 34-43% (29, 31). These findings are partly in line with high rates of depression, anxiety, distress, and sleep problems we found in our patients. The observed discrepancies in the reported rates could be explained by the differences in the study population (inpatients/outpatients/both), measurement tools, and the intervals between COVID-19 diagnosis and time of the study which correlated with the disease phase (27).

Comparing the rate and severity of mental health outcomes between inpatients and outpatients, our hypothesis regarding higher prevalence of psychological side-effects among the inpatient population was not supported by the findings in this study. None of the mental health outcomes were more prevalent or more severe in the hospitalized patients. In this study, similar to the findings of Mazza *et al.*, patients who were managed at home experienced significantly higher rates of anxiety (28). Our outpatient participants reported significantly higher rates of event-related distress. Patients managed at home may fear transmitting the disease to their family members, worry about the nature of their symptoms, or be concerned about disruption of medical care if they need intensive medical services (32, 33). While patients with severely deteriorated medical conditions were excluded from this study due to their inability to respond to the questionnaires, other hospitalized patients with less severe symptoms and better course of infection had the chance to share their concerns with the hospital staff and not be worried about availability of medical care. This may in turn lead to reduced levels of anxiety among them.

Considering the results of multivariate regression analysis, all mental health outcomes had direct or indirect (via insomnia or depression) correlation with each other. This finding is in line with many other reports as there is great overlap between dimensions of negative affect, symptoms, and treatment of these conditions (34). In general, people with depression, anxiety, or distress usually experience sleep problems, vice versa and sleep problems on the other hand can lead to these psychiatric disorders (35, 36). We also found inverse associations between male gender and experiencing depression, and between being married and experiencing anxiety. These findings are frequently reported in several previous studies. Studies have indicated that men are generally less likely to develop symptoms of depression compared to women (37, 38). In addition, being single can be a risk factor for anxiety disorders, which is often attributed to the ability of married people to share concerns and relieve the anxiety (39).

Findings from this study particularly showed that mental health issues in COVID-19 patients initiated early in the acute phase of the infection and during the first two weeks of the disease course. Therefore, an immediate psychological assessment could properly address the possible psychological problems of the patients, even in the first few weeks after the diagnosis. Applying internet-based psychological interventions, such as tele-psychiatry, when patients are in isolation can improve mental health of COVID-19 patients (40).

Limitation

One of the limitations of this study was that since this was a real-world study, we could not match the demographic characteristics of the inpatient and outpatient groups. Another limitation was that we did not follow-up these patients and their long-term prognosis needs to be assessed in future studies. Moreover, we measured the outcomes using quick assessment tools that are appropriate for the pandemic conditions; prevalence of definitive psychiatric diagnoses in COVID-19 patients warrants further studies. Finally, while the prevalence and severity of psychological disorders might be higher in this population, we did not include critically ill patients if they could not fill the questionnaires due to their adverse general condition. This can affect our results by underreporting the prevalence and severity of mental health outcomes in COVID-19 patients. All of the above-mentioned issues could have limited the generalizability of our results and thus further large-scale studies are needed to tackle these issues.

Conclusion

In summary, we found the symptoms of psychological event-related distress and anxiety to be more prevalent among outpatients with COVID-19 than the inpatients.

Insomnia can be a potential risk factor for adverse mental health outcomes in these patients.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank the Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences and Clinical Research Development Unit of Ghaem Hospital for their help in performing this study.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. World Health Organization. WHO COVID-19 Dashboard. Geneva: World Health Organization [cited 2021 December 22]. Available from: <https://covid19.who.int>.
2. Günl F, Mecate-Zambrano A, Rehländer S, Hinse S, Ludwig S, Brunotte L. Shooting at a Moving Target-Effectiveness and Emerging Challenges for SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Development. *Vaccines* (Basel). 2021;9(10): 1052.
3. World Health Organization. Tracking SARS-CoV-2 variants. Geneva: World Health Organization [cited 2021 December 22] 2022. Available from: <https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/>.
4. Lippi G, Mattiuzzi C, Henry BM. Updated picture of SARS-CoV-2 variants and mutations. *Diagnosis* (Berl). 2021;9(1):11-7.
5. Obschonka M, Gewirtz AH, Zhu L. Psychological implications of the COVID-19 pandemic around the world: Introduction to the special issue. *Int J Psychol*. 2021;56(4):493-7.
6. Zhang J, Lu H, Zeng H, Zhang S, Du Q, Jiang T, et al. The differential psychological distress of populations affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;87:49-50.
7. Li W, Yang Y, Liu ZH, Zhao YJ, Zhang Q, Zhang L, et al. Progression of Mental Health Services during the COVID-19 Outbreak in China. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2020;16(10):1732-8.
8. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020;288:112954.
9. Holingue C, Badillo-Goicoechea E, Riehm KE, Veldhuis CB, Thrul J, Johnson RM, et al. Mental distress during the COVID-19 pandemic among US adults without a pre-existing mental health condition: Findings from American trend panel survey. *Prev Med*. 2020;139:106231.
10. Rogers JP, Chesney E, Oliver D, Pollak TA, McGuire P, Fusar-Poli P, et al. Psychiatric and neuropsychiatric presentations associated with

- severe coronavirus infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis with comparison to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(7):611-27.
11. Troyer EA, Kohn JN, Hong S. Are we facing a crashing wave of neuropsychiatric sequelae of COVID-19? Neuropsychiatric symptoms and potential immunologic mechanisms. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;87:34-9.
 12. Pfefferbaum B, North CS. Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(6):510-2.
 13. Taquet M, Luciano S, Geddes JR, Harrison PJ. Bidirectional associations between COVID-19 and psychiatric disorder: retrospective cohort studies of 62 354 COVID-19 cases in the USA. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2021;8(2):130-40.
 14. Sultana S, Ananthapur V. COVID-19 and its impact on neurological manifestations and mental health: the present scenario. *Neurol Sci*. 2020;41(11):3015-20.
 15. Rehman S, Lela U. Pharmacological treatment during CoVID-19 and mental health issues. *Riv Psichiatr*. 2021;56(1):53-5.
 16. Dragioti E, Li H, Tsitsas G, Lee KH, Choi J, Kim J, et al. A large-scale meta-analytic atlas of mental health problems prevalence during the COVID-19 early pandemic. *J Med Virol*. 2022;94(5):1935-49.
 17. Kong X, Zheng K, Tang M, Kong F, Zhou J, Diao L, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with depression and anxiety of hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *medRxiv*. 2020.
 18. Ministry of Health. COVID-19 Diagnosis and Treatment Flowchart at Outpatient and Inpatient Service Levels. Tehran: Ministry of Health. [cited 2020]. Available from: <http://treatment.sbmu.ac.ir/index.jsp?pageid=63989&p=1>.
 19. Dastani M, Jomheri F, Fathi-Ashtiani A, Sadeghniaat K, Someloo S. Effectiveness of drug therapy and behavioral therapy on chronic insomnia. *Int J Behav Sci*. 2011;5(1):27-32.
 20. Moradi AR, Herlihy J, Yasseri G, Shahraray M, Turner S, Dalgleish T. Specificity of episodic and semantic aspects of autobiographical memory in relation to symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). *Acta Psychol (Amst)*. 2008;127(3):645-53.
 21. Majdara E, Talepasand S, Rahimian Boogar I, J Gregory R. The Efficacy of Dynamic Deconstructive Psychotherapy in Treatment of Borderline Personality Disorder: Introducing an Evidence-Based Therapeutic Model. *Stud Med Sci*. 2018;29(6):1-19.
 22. Naeinian M, Shairi M, Sharifi M, Hadian M. To study reliability and validity for a brief measure for assessing Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7). *Clin Psychol Pers*. 2011;3(4):41-50.
 23. Vahey GM, McDonald E, Marshall K, Martin SW, Chun H, Herlihy R, et al. Risk factors for hospitalization among persons with COVID-19-Colorado. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(9):e0256917.
 24. Ko JY, Danielson ML, Town M, Derado G, Greenlund KJ, Kirley PD, et al. Risk Factors for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)-Associated Hospitalization: COVID-19-Associated Hospitalization Surveillance Network and Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021;72(11):e695-e703.
 25. Yoshikawa M, Asaba K. Educational Attainment Decreases the Risk of COVID-19 Severity in the European Population: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:673451.
 26. Song C-Y, Xu J, He J-Q, Lu Y-Q. COVID-19 early warning score: a multi-parameter screening tool to identify highly suspected patients. *MedRxiv*. 2020.
 27. Klaser K, Thompson EJ, Nguyen LH, Sudre CH, Antonelli M, Murray B, et al. Anxiety and depression symptoms after COVID-19 infection: results from the COVID Symptom Study app. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2021;92(12):1254-8.
 28. Mazza MG, De Lorenzo R, Conte C, Poletti S, Vai B, Bollettini I, et al. Anxiety and depression in COVID-19 survivors: Role of inflammatory and clinical predictors. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;89:594-600.
 29. Deng J, Zhou F, Hou W, Silver Z, Wong CY, Chang O, et al. The prevalence of depression, anxiety, and sleep disturbances in COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2021;1486(1):90-111.
 30. Bo HX, Li W, Yang Y, Wang Y, Zhang Q, Cheung T, et al. Posttraumatic stress symptoms and attitude toward crisis mental health services among clinically stable patients with COVID-19 in China. *Psychol Med*. 2021;51(6):1052-3.
 31. Wang Y, Zhu LY, Ma YF, Bo HX, Deng HB, Cao J, et al. Association of insomnia disorder with sociodemographic factors and poor mental health in COVID-19 inpatients in China. *Sleep Med*. 2020;75:282-6.
 32. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10227):912-20.
 33. Khawam E, Khouli H, Pozuelo L. Treating acute anxiety in patients with COVID-19. *Cleve Clin J Med*. 2020.
 34. Goodwin GM. The overlap between anxiety, depression, and obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2015;17(3):249-60.
 35. Fang H, Tu S, Sheng J, Shao A. Depression in sleep disturbance: A review on a bidirectional relationship, mechanisms and treatment. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2019;23(4):2324-32.
 36. Nollet M, Wisden W, Franks NP. Sleep deprivation and stress: a reciprocal relationship. *Interface Focus*. 2020;10(3):20190092.
 37. Tang B, Liu X, Liu Y, Xue C, Zhang L. A meta-analysis of risk factors for depression in adults and children after natural disasters. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:623.
 38. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate Psychological Responses and Associated Factors during the Initial Stage of

- the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Epidemic among the General Population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(5):1729.
39. Taniot CY, Abou-Saleh MT, Karam AN, Salamoun MM, Mneimneh ZN, Karam EG. The epidemiology of anxiety disorders in the Arab world: a review. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2009;23(4):409-19.
40. Wei N, Huang BC, Lu SJ, Hu JB, Zhou XY, Hu CC, et al. Efficacy of internet-based integrated intervention on depression and anxiety symptoms in patients with COVID-19. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B*. 2020;21(5):400-4.