



The Role of *De Novo* **Variants in Patients with Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia**

Charlotte Bendixen ^{1,*} and Heiko Reutter ^{2,3}

- ¹ Unit of Paediatric Surgery, Department of General, Visceral, Vascular and Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital Bonn, 53127 Bonn, Germany
- ² Institute of Human Genetics, University Hospital of Bonn, 53127 Bonn, Germany; reutter@uni-bonn.de
- ³ Division of Neonatology and Paediatric Intensive Care, Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University Hospital Erlangen, 91054 Erlangen, Germany
- Correspondence: charlotte.bendixen@ukbonn.de

Abstract: The genetic etiology of congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH), a common and severe birth defect, is still incompletely understood. Chromosomal aneuploidies, copy number variations (CNVs), and variants in a large panel of CDH-associated genes, both *de novo* and inherited, have been described. Due to impaired reproductive fitness, especially of syndromic CDH patients, and still significant mortality rates, the contribution of *de novo* variants to the genetic background of CDH is assumed to be high. This assumption is supported by the relatively low recurrence rate among siblings. Advantages in high-throughput genome-wide genotyping and sequencing methods have recently facilitated the detection of *de novo* variants in CDH. This review gives an overview of the known *de novo* disease-causing variants in CDH patients.

Keywords: congenital diaphragmatic hernia; de novo variants; impaired reproductive fitness; mortality

1. Introduction

Congenital diaphragmatic hernia (CDH) is a relatively common birth defect reported to affect 2–3 per 10,000 live births [1]. Due to a high early neonatal and prenatal mortality, the hidden prevalence might be even higher [2]. The term CDH comprises a variety of defects in the diaphragm, ranging from diaphragmatic eventration to localized defects of variable size and locations to diaphragmatic agenesis. The most common type is the so-called "Bochdalek hernia" (dorsolateral) on the left side. CDH leads to herniation of abdominal viscera into the thorax during early embryonic development. Newborn patients typically present with respiratory distress which is, in short, due to hypoplasia of the lungs accompanied by abnormal structure of pulmonary vessels and alveolar septa, and pulmonary hypertension. Advancements in the prenatal diagnosis and postnatal management of CDH have led to reduced but still high mortality rates of 20–30% [3,4]. Surviving patients often exhibit significant long-term morbidity [5].

The etiology of CDH is incompletely understood. It is suggested that both genetic and environmental factors contribute to CDH, and although associations with different environmental factors have been described, no finding could be replicated to date [6]. From a medical genetics point of view, about 40% of CDH patients present syndromic. These patients present with additional anomalies of other organ systems ("non-isolated"), mostly cardiac defects, malformations of the central nervous system, urinary tract, and gastrointestinal system [7]. In these cases, a genetic diagnosis can be established more likely than in cases of isolated or non-syndromic CDH. Overall, in about 30% of CDH patients disease-causing genetic aberrations can be identified by chromosomal analysis, molecular karyotyping, and exome/or genome sequencing. Here, it has been shown that about 6% of CDH patients present with chromosomal imbalances detectable by routine chromosomal analysis or molecular karyotyping [8]. Earlier reports describe detection rates of up to



Citation: Bendixen, C.; Reutter, H. The Role of *De Novo* Variants in Patients with Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia. *Genes* **2021**, *12*, 1405. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes12091405

Academic Editor: Diego Centonze

Received: 31 July 2021 Accepted: 8 September 2021 Published: 11 September 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). 10% [9]. Using a customized array comparative genomic hybridization assay, Zhu et al. reported likely causative CNVs in 13% of a mixed CDH cohort [10]. An additional 3–10% of patients present with known monogenic syndromes. More recent sequencing studies have identified *de novo* damaging variants in known and novel CDH-associated genes in 10–30% of CDH patients [11–16]. Furthermore, is has been shown that the presence of a likely damaging *de novo* variant in a patient is associated with higher mortality and overall worse clinical outcome [17].

To establish a genetic diagnosis is increasingly important for affected families to provide proper counseling, especially as more CDH survivors reach reproductive age. This review focuses on the role of *de novo* events in CDH patients.

2. Known Genetic Factors

2.1. Associated Microscopic and Submicroscopic Anomalies

Except for the theoretical possibility of a trisomy 21 due to parental balanced translocation of chromosome 21 (not reported/investigated by most papers), all aneuploidies associated with CDH to date have been described to occur *de novo*. Aneuploidies (rarely) associated with CDH include trisomy 13, 18, 21, and triple X [17]. Furthermore it has been described in females with 45,X karyotype [18]. More frequently CDH has been described in patients with mosaic tetrasomy 12p (Pallister-Killian syndrome) [19], which always occurs *de novo*.

Other frequently detected CNVs include 15q26 deletion [20], comprising the CDHassociated gene *NR2F2* [21]; 8p23.1 deletion [22], comprising the CDH-associated gene *ZFPM2* [23]; 11q23 duplication typically resulting from parental balanced translocations [24], and 1q41–42 deletion [25], which includes the CDH-associated genes *HLX* and *DISP1* [26,27].

Less frequently described in association with CDH 4p16 deletions (Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome) [28,29], comprising the CDH-associated gene *FGFRL1* [30]; 22q11.2 deletion [31]; deletion and duplication of 17q12 [32,33], and 1q12 duplication [34]. Very rare CNVs in CDH patients have been described and comprehensively been reviewed by Wynn et al. [18].

Among the CNVs found in CDH patients are, as expected, many *de novo* events. Other CNVs are caused by unbalanced translocations from a parental balanced translocation. Few CNVs are reported to be inherited [32,35]. The genome-wide *de novo* CNV rate in general is estimated to be 0.5–3% [36,37], about 2–12 times lower than the rate of *de novo* CNVs in CDH patients. CNVs are more likely to be detected in non-isolated cases of CDH than in isolated cases [8] and in general, more deletions (with a pathomechanism of haploinsufficency for CDH-associated genes) have been reported. Overall, *de novo* CNVs have been shown to be a major contributor to the formation of CDH.

2.2. De Novo Variants in Monogenic CDH Syndromes

More than 20 syndromes with known genetic causes have been associated with the occurrence of CDH. Among these are dominant, recessive, and X-linked inherited syndromes. *de novo* events commonly play a role in autosomal dominant or X-linked syndromes. The rare occurrence of *de novo* events leading to a recessive CDH-associated syndrome is described for Cutis laxa Type 1C [38]. Some well-known monogenic syndromes caused by *de novo* events and featuring CDH are Cornelia de Lange syndrome (*NIPBL*) [39,40]; Craniofrontonasal syndrome (*EFNB1*) [41]; Focal dermal hypoplasia (*PORCN*) [42]; and Kabuki syndrome (*KMT2D*; *MLL2*) [14,43,44]. A full list of monogenic syndromes in which *de novo* events are reported is provided in Table 1. It has to be noted that for many described variants in other CDH-related autosomal dominant inherited syndromes, the inheritance pattern is not investigated or reported, but appears to be likely dominant *de novo*.

Syndrome	OMIM	Gene	Chromosomal Location	Genomic Coordinates (GRCh38/hg38)	Additional Malformations	References
PDAC syndrome	#615524	RARB	3p24.3	chr3: 25,428,263– 25,597,932	Micro-/Anophtalmia, pulmonary hypoplasia, cardiac abnormalities	[45]
Cornelia de Lange syndrome	#122470	NIPBL	5p13.2	chr5: 36,876,769– 37,066,413	Hypertelorism, synophrys, low anterior hairline, upper limb malformations	[40,46,47]
Coffin-Siris syndrome	#135900, #614609	ARID1B, SMARCA4	6q25.3	chr6: 156,776,020– 157,210,779 chr19: 10,961,001– 11,062,256	Growth retardation, long eyelashes, frequent respiratory tract infections, hypotonia, developmental delay	[14,48]
Congenital heart defects and skeletal malformations syndrome (CHDSKM)	#617602	ABL1	9q34.12	chr9: 130,713,016– 130,885,683	Dysmorphic facial features, congenital heart disease, skeletal abnormalities, joint laxity, failure to thrive, gastrointestinal problems, male genital anomalies	[14,49]
Apert syndrome	#101200	FGFR2	10q26.13	chr10: 121,479,857– 121,598,403	Acrocephaly, micrognathia, limb malformations	[50]
Denys-Drash syndrome, Meacham syndrome	#194080, #608978	WT1	11p13	chr11: 32,389,058– 32,435,360	Male pseudohermaphroditism, cardiac abnormalities	[51,52]
Kabuki syndrome	#147920	KMT2D	12q13.12	chr12: 49,018,978– 49,060,794	Mental retardation, short stature, eversion of eyelids, finger pads	[14,43,44,53]
Marfan syndrome Type 1	#154700	FBN1	15q21.1	chr15: 48,408,313– 48,645,709	Congenital contractures, arachnodactyly, aortic dilatation, cardiac valve insufficiency	[14,54]
Geleophysic dysplasia 2	#614185	FBN1	15q21.1	chr15: 48,408,313– 48,645,709	Short stature, cardiac valvular thickening, skin thickening, joint problems	[17]
Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome 2	#613684	EP300	22q13.2	chr22: 41,092,592– 41,180,077	Failure to thrive, cardiovascular abnormalities, motor and speech delays, dysmorphic facial features	[14,55]
Focal dermal hypoplasia	#305600	PORCN	Xp11.23	chrX: 48,508,992– 48,520,808	Sparse hair, anophtalmia, limb malformations, Pentalogy of Cantrell	[42]
Craniofrontonasal syndrome	#304110	EFNB1	Xq13.1	chrX: 68,829,021– 68,842,160	Coronal craniosynostosis, duplex thumb, partial agenesis of corpus callosum	[41]

Table 1. Monogenic syndrom	mes with associated	CDH caused by	de novo events.
----------------------------	---------------------	---------------	-----------------

2.3. De Novo Variants in Non-Isolated CDH

Several genes harboring *de novo* variants in non-isolated CDH patients have been identified, most of them by whole exome (WES)/whole genome (WGS) sequencing techniques. Among these are some well-known CDH-associated genes. *de novo* variants in *GATA4* have been described in non-isolated [17,22,56] and isolated CDH [57]. *GATA4* is known to be associated with congenital heart defects in humans and is further supported by a mouse model [58]. It encodes a transcription factor that is part of the retinoic acid signaling pathway, which has been implicated in diaphragm development [59].

Repeatedly, non-isolated CDH patients were found to carry *de novo* variants in *NR2F2* [16,17,21,57], an interaction partner of *ZFPM2*, a gene commonly affected by the

deletion of 8p23.1 observed in CDH patients. The role of *NR2F2* in diaphragm development is further supported by its expression pattern and a mouse model [60]. More recently, *de novo* variants in *MYRF*, a membrane associated transcription factor, have been described in non-isolated CDH patients, also showing cardiac and genitourinary malformations [12,17,61–63].

Other genes with described *de novo* variants in non-isolated CDH patients are listed in Table 2. Clinical features of patients are available in Table S1. In very few genes, variants in more than one patient could be detected. This illustrates the heterogeneity of the genetic background of CDH. The largest WES/WGS study on family trios could identify *de novo* likely gene-disrupting (LGD) or deleterious missense (D-mis) variants in 21% of non-isolated CDH cases [12]. Another family trio study also showed an increased burden of *de novo* D-mis and LGD variants in a mixed cohort of isolated and non-isolated CDH [13]. Recently a WES study established a genetic diagnosis in 28/76 (37%) non-isolated CDH patients, of which 15/76 (20%) were attributable to *de novo* variants [14]. These findings further strongly support a major role of *de novo* variants in CDH.

Table 2. Genes with *de novo* variants in non-isolated CDH patients.

Gene	Chromosomal Location	Genomic Coordinates (GRCh38/hg38)	Number of Patients with <i>de</i> <i>novo</i> Variants	References	Design/Method of Studies
PRKACB	1p31.1	chr1: 84,078,062– 84,238,498	1	[14]	trio WES
SLC5A9	1p33	chr1: 48,222,716– 48,248,638	1	[14]	trio WES
ZNF362	1p35.1	chr1: 33,256,492– 33,300,719	1	[17]	trio WES/WGS
HSPG2	1p36.12	chr1: 21,822,244– 21,937,310	1 °	[17]	trio WES
UBAP2L	1q21.3	chr1: 154,220,955– 154,270,847	1	[17]	trio WGS
POGZ	1q21.3	chr1: 151,402,724– 151,459,494	1	[12]	clinical WES
DISP1	1q41	chr1: 222,815,039– 223,005,995	1	[27]	targeted sanger sequencing
INHBB	2q14.2	chr2: 120,346,136– 120,351,803	1	[14]	trio WES
TTC21B	2q24.3	chr2: 165,873,362– 165,953,776	1	[17]	trio WGS
ROBO1	3p12.3	chr3: 78,598,688– 79,019,015	1	[17]	targeted panel sequencing
FOXP1	3p13	chr3: 70,954,708– 71,583,978	1	[15]	clinical WES
RAF1	3p25.2	chr3: 12,583,601– 12,664,117	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
FAT4	4q28.1	chr4: 125,314,955– 125,492,932	1	[17]	trio WGS
CDO1	5q22.3	chr5: 115,804,733– 115,816,659	1	[14]	trio WES
FOXP4	6p21.1	chr6: 41,546,426– 41,602,384	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
PTPN12	7q11.23	chr7: 77,537,295– 77,640,069	1	[14]	trio WES

Gene	Chromosomal Location	Genomic Coordinates (GRCh38/hg38)	Number of Patients with <i>de</i> <i>novo</i> Variants	References	Design/Method of Studies
BRAF	7q34	chr7: 140,719,327– 140,924,810	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
GATA4	8p23.1	chr8: 11,704,202– 11,760,002	3	[17,22,56]	targeted sanger sequencing, trio WGS
EYA1	8q13.3	chr8: 71,197,511– 71,548,061	1	[11,57]	WES, targeted panel sequencing
TLN1	9p13.3	chr9: 35,696,948– 35,732,195	1 °	[17]	trio WES
PLPP6	9p24.1	chr9: 4,662,294–4,665,258	1	[14]	trio WES
NOTCH1	9q34.3	chr9: 136,494,433– 136,546,048	1	[17]	trio WGS
CTR9	11p15.3	chr11: 10,751,246– 10,779,746	1 *	[16]	trio WES
MYRF	11q12.2	chr11: 61,752,636– 61,788,518	11	[12,17,61–63]	trio WES/WGS, clinical WES, trio WGS
PTPN11	12q24.13	chr12: 112,419,112– 112,504,764	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
HNRNPC	14q11.2	chr14: 21,210,613– 21,269,421	1	[17]	trio WGS
BMP4	14q22.2	chr14: 53,949,736– 53,956,825	1	[64]	targeted sanger sequencing
DLST	14q24.3	chr14: 74,881,916– 74,903,743	1	[14]	trio WES
TCF12	15q21.3	chr15: 56,918,644– 57,289,853	1	[15]	clinical WES
SIN3A	15q24.2	chr15: 75,370,933– 75,455,783	1	[14]	trio WES
NR2F2	15q26.2	chr15: 96,330,700– 96,340,258	4	[16,17,21,57,65]	clinical WES, targeted panel sequencing, trio WES, trio WGS
TRAF7	16p13.3	chr16: 2,155,782–2,178,129	1	[15]	clinical WES
ANKRD11	16q24.3	chr16: 89,285,175– 89,490,318	1	[17]	trio WGS
MYH10	17p13.1	chr17: 8,474,207–8,630,761	1	[66]	clinical WES
TP53	17p13.1	chr17: 7,668,421–7,687,490	1 *	[16]	trio WES
NLK	17q11.2	chr17: 28,042,677– 28,196,381	1	[17]	trio WGS
FZD2	17q21.31	chr17: 44,557,484– 44,561,262	1	[32]	aCGH
ATXN7L3	17q21.31	chr17: 44,191,805– 44,198,070	1	[17]	trio WGS
ALYREF	17q25.3	chr17: 81,887,835– 81,891,586	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS

Table 2. Cont.

Gene	Chromosomal Location	Genomic Coordinates (GRCh38/hg38)	Number of Patients with <i>de</i> <i>novo</i> Variants	References	Design/Method of Studies
GATA6	18q11.2	chr18: 22,169,589– 22,202,528	1	[67]	trio WES
NACC1	19p13.13	chr19: 13,118,264– 13,141,147	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
LONP1	19p13.3	chr19: 5,691,835–5,720,572	1	[14]	trio WES
LTBP4	19q13.2	chr19: 40,601,369– 40,629,818	1	[38]	targeted sanger sequencing
ZC3H4	19q13.32	chr19: 47,064,187– 47,113,776	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
PCNA	20p12.3	chr20: 5,114,953–5,126,626	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
EPB41L1	20q11.23	chr20: 36,092,712– 36,230,343	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
ARFGEF2	20q13.13	chr20: 48,921,711– 49,036,693	1	[14]	trio WES
ADNP	20q13.13	chr20: 50,888,918– 50,931,437	1	[17]	trio WGS
SCAF4	21q22.11	chr21: 31,671,000– 31,732,118	1	[17]	trio WGS
DDX3X	Xp11.4	chrX: 41,333,348– 41,350,287	1	[15]	clinical WES
USP9X	Xp11.4	chrX: 41,085,445– 41,236,579	1 °	[17]	trio WES/WGS
CLCN4	Xp22.2	chrX: 10,156,975– 10,237,660	1	[14]	trio WES
HCCS	Xp22.2	chrX: 11,111,301– 11,123,078	1	[15]	clinical WES
STAG2	Xq25	chrX: 123,961,314– 124,102,656	1	[14]	trio WES

Table 2. Cont.

* Variants reported in the same patient, additionally *de novo* CNV deletion 8p23. ° Variants reported in the same patient.

2.4. De Novo Variants in Isolated CDH

In patients with isolated CDH a genetic cause is less likely to be established by current genotyping or sequencing techniques. The above-mentioned study on case-parent-trios could identify *de novo* likely gene-disrupting or deleterious missense variants in only 12% of isolated CDH cases [12]. Among the described *de novo* variants in isolated CDH are variants in the already mentioned genes *ZFPM2* [12,23,68], *GATA4* [57], and *PTPN11* [12,16,17]. As in non-isolated CDH, variants in very few genes could be implicated in more than one patient. A list of genes with *de novo* variants in isolated CDH is provided in Table 3. Notably, some genes are reported to carry *de novo* variants in non-isolated and isolated CDH patients.

Gene	Chromosomal Location	Genomic Coordinates (GRCh38/hg38)	Number of Patients with <i>de novo</i> Variants	References	Design/Method of Studies
HSPG2	1p36.12	chr1: 21,822,244–21,937,310	2	[13,14]	trio WES
ATAD3A	1p36.33	chr1: 1,512,175–1,534,685	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
POGZ	1q21.3	chr1: 151,402,724–151,459,494	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
KDM5B	1q32.1	chr1: 202,724,495-202,808,421	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
ZBTB18	1q44	chr1: 244,051,283-244,057,476	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
MYT1L	2p25.3	chr2: 1,789,124–2,331,348	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
FOXP1	3p13	chr3: 70,954,708–71,583,978	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
SRGAP3	3p25.3	chr3: 8,980,594–9,249,213	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
KPNA1	3q21.1	chr3: 122,421,902–122,514,939	1	[17]	trio WGS
NAA15	4q31.1	chr4: 139,301,505–139,391,384	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
SMO	7q32.1	chr7: 129,188,633-129,213,545	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
GATA4	8p23.1	chr8: 11,704,202–11,760,002	1	[57]	targeted panel sequencing
ZFPM2	8q23.1	chr8: 105,318,438-105,804,539	3	[12,23,68]	WES, trio WES/WGS, targeted sanger sequencing
EMX2	10q26.11	chr10: 117,542,746–117,549,546	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
WT1	11p13	chr11: 32,389,058-32,435,360	3	[12,16]	trio WES/WGS
PTPN11	12q24.13	chr12: 112,419,112–112,504,764	3	[12,16,17]	trio WES/WGS
MEIS2	15q14	chr15: 36,889,204–37,100,549	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
TBX6	16p11.2	chr16: 30,085,793-30,091,924	1	[11]	WES
CTCF	16q22.1	chr16: 67,562,467–67,639,176	1	[17]	trio WGS
AP1G1	16q22.2	chr16: 71,729,000-71,808,834	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
MYH10	17p13.1	chr17: 8,474,207-8,630,761	1	[17]	targeted panel sequencxing
SRSF1	17q22	chr17: 58,000,919–58,007,246	1	[17]	trio WGS
LONP1	19p13.3	chr19: 5,691,835–5,720,572	2	[17]	trio WGS
CIC	19q13.2	chr19: 42,268,537–42,295,796	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
LAMA5	20q13.33	chr20: 62,309,065–62,367,312	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
DIDO1	20q13.33	chr20: 62,877,738–62,937,952	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
HSD17B10	Xp11.22	chrX: 53,431,261–53,434,370	1	[12]	trio WES/WGS
FLNA	Xq28	chrX: 154,348,529–154,371,283	1	[17]	trio WGS

Table 3. Genes with *de novo* variants in isolated CDH patients.

3. Discussion

Based on the current knowledge, we have to assume that *de novo* events play a major role in CDH etiology. In up to 30% of CDH cases a genetic cause can be established, more often in non-isolated than in isolated CDH. For the estimation of the fraction of causal CNVs/variants being *de novo*, large family trio studies are needed. However, in these, often only *de novo* events are reported. By looking at subsets of two large CNV studies [8,10] the fraction of causal CNVs being *de novo* can be estimated up to 80%. Similarly, the fraction of causal variants being *de novo* could be estimated around 50% [15]. However, these estimations are based on small sample sizes only. Most likely, the fraction of *de novo* events is currently underestimated due to restricted genetic testing for newborns with (especially sporadic isolated) CDH in clinical practice.

The contribution of *de novo* variants to a disease depends on several factors. (i) It is higher in sporadic than in familial diseases; (ii) it is higher when the impact on fitness of the disease is higher; (iii) it is higher in monogenic than in complex diseases [69]. On the other hand, the incidence of a disease caused by *de novo* events increases with (i) mutational

target size; (ii) target mutability and (iii) paternal age at conception [69]. When conferring this to CDH, CDH is a mostly sporadic disease with high impact on fitness with not fully understood genetics, but monogenic forms being reported. The mutational target size is most likely large due to the heterogeneity of CDH. Paternal age at conception has not been reported to be a risk factor for CDH.

A well-studied example of a condition with reduced reproductive fitness is developmental delay/intellectual disability (DD/ID). Here it could be shown that *de novo* variants account for ~50% of the genetic background of DD/ID [70]. For CDH, a similar or even higher proportion can be hypothesized. Larger whole genome/whole exome sequencing studies on case-parent-trios will most likely reveal additional *de novo* variants. The pathogenicity of the many rare *de novo* variants reported in CDH patients could also be further supported by larger resequencing studies which would identify additional patients harboring the same variant.

Genetic counseling for affected families with the sporadic occurrence of non-syndromic CDH should however, imply the recurrence risk of about 1% in future pregnancies. This, however, changes accordingly, when a genetic diagnosis has been established. Regardless of the establishment of a genetic diagnosis, affected families should be referred to a prenatal medicine center during the first and second trimester of subsequent pregnancies.

4. Conclusions

Among rare and severe birth defects, CDH is one of the more common ones. The current knowledge on the genetics of CDH suggests that a substantial fraction of CDH is due to underlying genetic *de novo* events. However, it is conceivable that several common variants form a "risk haplotype" that predisposes to non-syndromic CDH.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/genes12091405/s1, Table S1: Additional clinical features of non-isolated CDH patients from Table 2.

Author Contributions: Writing—original draft preparation, C.B.; writing—review and editing, H.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: C.B. is supported by BONFOR stipend O-112.0062.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- McGivern, M.R.; Best, K.E.; Rankin, J.; Wellesley, D.; Greenlees, R.; Addor, M.C.; Arriola, L.; de Walle, H.; Barisic, I.; Beres, J.; et al. Epidemiology of congenital diaphragmatic hernia in Europe: A register-based study. *Arch. Dis. Child. Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2015, 100, F137-44. [CrossRef]
- 2. Burgos, C.M.; Frenckner, B. Addressing the hidden mortality in CDH: A population-based study. J. Pediatr. Surg. 2017, 52, 522–525. [CrossRef]
- van den Hout, L.; Reiss, I.; Felix, J.F.; Hop, W.C.; Lally, P.A.; Lally, K.P.; Tibboel, D. Congenital Diaphragmatic Hernia Study Group. Risk factors for chronic lung disease and mortality in newborns with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Neonatology* 2010, 98, 370–380. [CrossRef]
- 4. van den Hout, L.; Schaible, T.; Cohen-Overbeek, T.E.; Hop, W.; Siemer, J.; van de Ven, K.; Wessel, L.; Tibboel, D.; Reiss, I. Actual outcome in infants with congenital diaphragmatic hernia: The role of a standardized postnatal treatment protocol. *Fetal Diagn. Ther.* **2011**, *29*, 55–63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chiu, P.P.; Ijsselstijn, H. Morbidity and long-term follow-up in CDH patients. *Eur. J. Pediatr. Surg.* 2012, 22, 384–392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schulz, F.; Jenetzky, E.; Zwink, N.; Bendixen, C.; Kipfmueller, F.; Rafat, N.; Heydweiller, A.; Wessel, L.; Reutter, H.; Mueller, A.; et al. Parental risk factors for congenital diaphragmatic hernia—A large German case-control study. *BMC Pediatr.* 2021, 21, 278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 7. Pober, B.R. Overview of epidemiology, genetics, birth defects, and chromosome abnormalities associated with CDH. *Am. J. Med. Genet. C Semin. Med. Genet.* **2007**, 145C, 158–171. [CrossRef]
- Yu, L.; Wynn, J.; Ma, L.; Guha, S.; Mychaliska, G.B.; Crombleholme, T.M.; Azarow, K.S.; Lim, F.Y.; Chung, D.H.; Potoka, D.; et al. *de novo* copy number variants are associated with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *J. Med. Genet.* 2012, 49, 650–659. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Garne, E.; Haeusler, M.; Barisic, I.; Gjergja, R.; Stoll, C.; Clementi, M. Euroscan Study Group. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia: Evaluation of prenatal diagnosis in 20 European regions. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* **2002**, *19*, 329–333. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhu, Q.; High, F.A.; Zhang, C.; Cerveira, E.; Russell, M.K.; Longoni, M.; Joy, M.P.; Ryan, M.; Mil-Homens, A.; Bellfy, L.; et al. Systematic analysis of copy number variation associated with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2018, 115, 5247–5252. [CrossRef]
- Longoni, M.; High, F.A.; Russell, M.K.; Kashani, A.; Tracy, A.A.; Coletti, C.M.; Hila, R.; Shamia, A.; Wells, J.; Ackerman, K.G.; et al. Molecular pathogenesis of congenital diaphragmatic hernia revealed by exome sequencing, developmental data, and bioinformatics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 12450–12455. [CrossRef]
- 12. Qi, H.; Yu, L.; Zhou, X.; Wynn, J.; Zhao, H.; Guo, Y.; Zhu, N.; Kitaygorodsky, A.; Hernan, R.; Aspelund, G.; et al. *de novo* variants in congenital diaphragmatic hernia identify MYRF as a new syndrome and reveal genetic overlaps with other developmental disorders. *PLoS Genet.* **2018**, *14*, e1007822. [CrossRef]
- Longoni, M.; High, F.A.; Qi, H.; Joy, M.P.; Hila, R.; Coletti, C.M.; Wynn, J.; Loscertales, M.; Shan, L.; Bult, C.J.; et al. Genome-wide enrichment of damaging *de novo* variants in patients with isolated and complex congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Hum. Genet.* 2017, 136, 679–691. [CrossRef]
- Yu, L.; Sawle, A.D.; Wynn, J.; Aspelund, G.; Stolar, C.J.; Arkovitz, M.S.; Potoka, D.; Azarow, K.S.; Mychaliska, G.B.; Shen, Y.; et al. Increased burden of *de novo* predicted deleterious variants in complex congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2015, 24, 4764–4773. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Scott, T.M.; Campbell, I.M.; Hernandez-Garcia, A.; Lalani, S.R.; Liu, P.; Shaw, C.A.; Rosenfeld, J.A.; Scott, D.A. Clinical exome sequencing data reveal high diagnostic yields for congenital diaphragmatic hernia plus (CDH+) and new phenotypic expansions involving CDH. *J. Med. Genet.* 2020. [CrossRef]
- 16. Schwab, M.E.; Dong, S.; Lianoglou, B.R.; Aguilar Lucero, A.F.; Schwartz, G.B.; Norton, M.E.; MacKenzie, T.C.; Sanders, S.J. Exome sequencing of fetuses with congenital diaphragmatic hernia supports a causal role for NR2F2, PTPN11, and WT1 variants. *Am. J. Surg.* **2021**, *20*. [CrossRef]
- 17. Qiao, L.; Wynn, J.; Yu, L.; Hernan, R.; Zhou, X.; Duron, V.; Aspelund, G.; Farkouh-Karoleski, C.; Zygumunt, A.; Krishnan, U.S.; et al. Likely damaging *de novo* variants in congenital diaphragmatic hernia patients are associated with worse clinical outcomes. *Genet. Med.* **2020**, *22*, 2020–2028. [CrossRef]
- Wynn, J.; Yu, L.; Chung, W.K. Genetic causes of congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Semin. Fetal Neonatal. Med.* 2014, 19, 324–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Salzano, E.; Raible, S.E.; Kaur, M.; Wilkens, A.; Sperti, G.; Tilton, R.K.; Bettini, L.R.; Rocca, A.; Cocchi, G.; Selicorni, A.; et al. Prenatal profile of Pallister-Killian syndrome: Retrospective analysis of 114 pregnancies, literature review and approach to prenatal diagnosis. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2018, 176, 2575–2586. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mosca, A.L.; Pinson, L.; Andrieux, J.; Copin, H.; Bigi, N.; Puechberty, J.; Sarda, P.; Receveur, A.; Sevestre, H.; Pigeonnat, S.; et al. Refining the critical region for congenital diaphragmatic hernia on chromosome 15q26 from the study of four fetuses. *Prenat Diagn.* 2011, *31*, 912–914. [CrossRef]
- 21. High, F.A.; Bhayani, P.; Wilson, J.M.; Bult, C.J.; Donahoe, P.K.; Longoni, M. *de novo* frameshift mutation in COUP-TFII (NR2F2) in human congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2016, 170, 2457–2461. [CrossRef]
- 22. Longoni, M.; Lage, K.; Russell, M.K.; Loscertales, M.; Abdul-Rahman, O.A.; Baynam, G.; Bleyl, S.B.; Brady, P.D.; Breckpot, J.; Chen, C.P.; et al. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia interval on chromosome 8p23.1 characterized by genetics and protein interaction networks. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **2012**, *158A*, 3148–3158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Longoni, M.; Russell, M.K.; High, F.A.; Darvishi, K.; Maalouf, F.I.; Kashani, A.; Tracy, A.A.; Coletti, C.M.; Loscertales, M.; Lage, K.; et al. Prevalence and penetrance of ZFPM2 mutations and deletions causing congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Clin. Genet.* 2015, 87, 362–367. [CrossRef]
- Klaassens, M.; Scott, D.A.; van Dooren, M.; Hochstenbach, R.; Eussen, H.J.; Cai, W.W.; Galjaard, R.J.; Wouters, C.; Poot, M.; Laudy, J.; et al. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia associated with duplication of 11q23-qter. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2006, 140, 1580–1586. [CrossRef]
- Rosenfeld, J.A.; Lacassie, Y.; El-Khechen, D.; Escobar, L.F.; Reggin, J.; Heuer, C.; Chen, E.; Jenkins, L.S.; Collins, A.T.; Zinner, S.; et al. New cases and refinement of the critical region in the 1q41q42 microdeletion syndrome. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.* 2011, 54, 42–49. [CrossRef]
- Slavotinek, A.M.; Moshrefi, A.; Lopez Jiminez, N.; Chao, R.; Mendell, A.; Shaw, G.M.; Pennacchio, L.A.; Bates, M.D. Sequence variants in the HLX gene at chromosome 1q41-1q42 in patients with diaphragmatic hernia. *Clin. Genet.* 2009, 75, 429–439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Kantarci, S.; Ackerman, K.G.; Russell, M.K.; Longoni, M.; Sougnez, C.; Noonan, K.M.; Hatchwell, E.; Zhang, X.; Pieretti Vanmarcke, R.; Anyane-Yeboa, K.; et al. Characterization of the chromosome 1q41q42.12 region, and the candidate gene DISP1, in patients with CDH. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **2010**, *152A*, 2493–2504. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 28. Casaccia, G.; Mobili, L.; Braguglia, A.; Santoro, F.; Bagolan, P. Distal 4p microdeletion in a case of Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Birth. Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* **2006**, *76*, 210–213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gofin, Y.; Mackay, L.P.; Machol, K.; Keswani, S.; Potocki, L.; Di Gregorio, E.; Naretto, V.G.; Brusco, A.; Hernandez-Garcia, A.; Scott, D.A. Evidence that FGFRL1 contributes to congenital diaphragmatic hernia development in humans. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2021, 185, 836–840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tautz, J.; Veenma, D.; Eussen, B.; Joosen, L.; Poddighe, P.; Tibboel, D.; de Klein, A.; Schaible, T. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia and a complex heart defect in association with Wolf-Hirschhorn syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2010, 152A, 2891–2894. [CrossRef]
- Unolt, M.; DiCairano, L.; Schlechtweg, K.; Barry, J.; Howell, L.; Kasperski, S.; Nance, M.; Adzick, N.S.; Zackai, E.H.; McDonald-McGinn, D.M. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2017, *173*, 135–142. [CrossRef]
- Wat, M.J.; Veenma, D.; Hogue, J.; Holder, A.M.; Yu, Z.; Wat, J.J.; Hanchard, N.; Shchelochkov, O.A.; Fernandes, C.J.; Johnson, A.; et al. Genomic alterations that contribute to the development of isolated and non-isolated congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *J. Med. Genet.* 2011, 48, 299–307. [CrossRef]
- 33. Machado, I.N.; Heinrich, J.K.; Barini, R.; Peralta, C.F. Copy number imbalances detected with a BAC-based array comparative genomic hybridization platform in congenital diaphragmatic hernia fetuses. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2011, *10*, 261–267. [CrossRef]
- Otake, K.; Uchida, K.; Inoue, M.; Koike, Y.; Matsushita, K.; Miki, C.; Sugiyama, T.; Kusunoki, M. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia with a pure duplication of chromosome 1q: Report of the first surviving case. *Pediatr. Surg. Int.* 2009, 25, 827–831. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Bermudez-Wagner, K.; Jeng, L.J.; Slavotinek, A.M.; Sanford, E.F. 2p16.3 microdeletion with partial deletion of the neurexin-1 gene in a female with developmental delays, short stature, and a congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Clin. Dysmorphol.* **2013**, *22*, 22–24. [CrossRef]
- Itsara, A.; Wu, H.; Smith, J.D.; Nickerson, D.A.; Romieu, I.; London, S.J.; Eichler, E.E. *de novo* rates and selection of large copy number variation. *Genome Res.* 2010, 20, 1469–1481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Conrad, D.F.; Pinto, D.; Redon, R.; Feuk, L.; Gokcumen, O.; Zhang, Y.; Aerts, J.; Andrews, T.D.; Barnes, C.; Campbell, P.; et al. Origins and functional impact of copy number variation in the human genome. *Nature* **2010**, *464*, 704–712. [CrossRef]
- 38. Urban, Z.; Hucthagowder, V.; Schürmann, N.; Todorovic, V.; Zilberberg, L.; Choi, J.; Sens, C.; Brown, C.W.; Clark, R.D.; Holland, K.E.; et al. Mutations in LTBP4 cause a syndrome of impaired pulmonary, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, musculoskele-tal, and dermal development. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2009**, *85*, 593–605. [CrossRef]
- 39. Hosokawa, S.; Takahashi, N.; Kitajima, H.; Nakayama, M.; Kosaki, K.; Okamoto, N. Brachmann-de Lange syndrome with congenital diaphragmatic hernia and NIPBL gene mutation. *Congenit. Anom.* **2010**, *50*, 129–132. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hague, J.; Twiss, P.; Mead, Z.; Park, S.M. Clinical Diagnosis of Classical Cornelia de Lange Syndrome Made from Postmortem Examination of Second Trimester Fetus with Novel NIPBL Pathogenic Variant. Pediatr. Dev. Pathol. 2019, 22, 475–479. [CrossRef]
- Twigg, S.R.; Kan, R.; Babbs, C.; Bochukova, E.G.; Robertson, S.P.; Wall, S.A.; Morriss-Kay, G.M.; Wilkie, A.O. Mutations of ephrin-B1 (EFNB1), a marker of tissue boundary formation, cause craniofrontonasal syndrome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2004, 101, 8652–8657. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Smigiel, R.; Jakubiak, A.; Lombardi, M.P.; Jaworski, W.; Slezak, R.; Patkowski, D.; Hennekam, R.C. Co-occurrence of severe Goltz-Gorlin syndrome and pentalogy of Cantrell—Case report and review of the literature. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2011, 155A, 1102–1105. [CrossRef]
- 43. Li, Y.; Bögershausen, N.; Alanay, Y.; Simsek Kiper, P.O.; Plume, N.; Keupp, K.; Pohl, E.; Pawlik, B.; Rachwalski, M.; Milz, E.; et al. A mutation screen in patients with Kabuki syndrome. *Hum. Genet.* **2011**, *130*, 715–724. [CrossRef]
- 44. Zarate, Y.A.; Zhan, H.; Jones, J.R. Infrequent Manifestations of Kabuki Syndrome in a Patient with Novel MLL2 Mutation. *Mol. Syndromol.* **2012**, *3*, 180–184. [CrossRef]
- 45. Srour, M.; Chitayat, D.; Caron, V.; Chassaing, N.; Bitoun, P.; Patry, L.; Cordier, M.P.; Capo-Chichi, J.M.; Francannet, C.; Calvas, P.; et al. Recessive and dominant mutations in retinoic acid receptor beta in cases with microphthalmia and diaphragmatic hernia. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2013**, *93*, 765–772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Wilmink, F.A.; Papatsonis, D.N.; Grijseels, E.W.; Wessels, M.W. Cornelia de lange syndrome: A recognizable fetal phenotype. *Fetal Diagn. Ther.* **2009**, *26*, 50–53. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Banait, N.; Fenton, A.; Splitt, M. Cornelia de Lange syndrome due to mosaic NIPBL mutation: Antenatal presentation with sacrococcygeal teratoma. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2015, 2015, bcr2015211006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Sweeney, N.M.; Nahas, S.A.; Chowdhury, S.; Campo, M.D.; Jones, M.C.; Dimmock, D.P.; Kingsmore, S.F.; RCIGM Investigators. The case for early use of rapid whole-genome sequencing in management of critically ill infants: Late diagnosis of Coffin-Siris syndrome in an infant with left congenital diaphragmatic hernia, congenital heart disease, and recurrent infections. *Cold Spring Harb. Mol. Case Stud.* **2018**, *4*, a002469. [CrossRef]
- 49. Wang, X.; Charng, W.L.; Chen, C.A.; Rosenfeld, J.A.; Al Shamsi, A.; Al-Gazali, L.; McGuire, M.; Mew, N.A.; Arnold, G.L.; Qu, C.; et al. Germline mutations in ABL1 cause an autosomal dominant syndrome characterized by congenital heart defects and skeletal malformations. *Nat. Genet.* **2017**, 613–617. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Bulfamante, G.; Gana, S.; Avagliano, L.; Fabietti, I.; Gentilin, B.; Lalatta, F. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia as prenatal presentation of Apert syndrome. *Prenat. Diagn.* **2011**, *31*, 910–911. [CrossRef]

- 51. Suri, M.; Kelehan, P.; O'neill, D.; Vadeyar, S.; Grant, J.; Ahmed, S.F.; Tolmie, J.; McCann, E.; Lam, W.; Smith, S.; et al. WT1 mutations in Meacham syndrome suggest a coelomic mesothelial origin of the cardiac and diaphragmatic malformations. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2007, 143A, 2312–2320. [CrossRef]
- 52. Cho, H.Y.; Lee, B.S.; Kang, C.H.; Kim, W.H.; Ha, I.S.; Cheong, H.I.; Choi, Y. Hydrothorax in a patient with Denys-Drash syndrome associated with a diaphragmatic defect. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* **2006**, *21*, 1909–1912. [CrossRef]
- 53. McVeigh, T.P.; Banka, S.; Reardon, W. Kabuki syndrome: Expanding the phenotype to include microphthalmia and anophthalmia. *Clin. Dysmorphol.* **2015**, *24*, 135–139. [CrossRef]
- 54. Revencu, N.; Quenum, G.; Detaille, T.; Verellen, G.; De Paepe, A.; Verellen-Dumoulin, C. Congenital diaphragmatic eventration and bilateral uretero-hydronephrosis in a patient with neonatal Marfan syndrome caused by a mutation in exon 25 of the FBN1 gene and review of the literature. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* **2004**, *163*, 33–37. [CrossRef]
- Benjamin, D.R.; Juul, S.; Siebert, J.R. Congenital posterolateral diaphragmatic hernia: Associated malformations. J. Pediatr. Surg. 1988, 23, 899–903. [CrossRef]
- Yu, L.; Wynn, J.; Cheung, Y.H.; Shen, Y.; Mychaliska, G.B.; Crombleholme, T.M.; Azarow, K.S.; Lim, F.Y.; Chung, D.H.; Potoka, D.; et al. Variants in GATA4 are a rare cause of familial and sporadic congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *Hum. Genet.* 2013, 132, 285–292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kammoun, M.; Souche, E.; Brady, P.; Ding, J.; Cosemans, N.; Gratacos, E.; Devriendt, K.; Eixarch, E.; Deprest, J.; Vermeesch, J.R. Genetic profile of isolated congenital diaphragmatic hernia revealed by targeted next-generation sequencing. *Prenat. Diagn.* 2018, 38, 654–663. [CrossRef]
- 58. Jay, P.Y.; Bielinska, M.; Erlich, J.M.; Mannisto, S.; Pu, W.T.; Heikinheimo, M.; Wilson, D.B. Impaired mesenchymal cell function in Gata4 mutant mice leads to diaphragmatic hernias and primary lung defects. *Dev. Biol.* 2007, 301, 602–614. [CrossRef]
- Goumy, C.; Gouas, L.; Marceau, G.; Coste, K.; Veronese, L.; Gallot, D.; Sapin, V.; Vago, P.; Tchirkov, A. Retinoid pathway and congenital diaphragmatic hernia: Hypothesis from the analysis of chromosomal abnormalities. *Fetal Diagn. Ther.* 2010, 28, 129–139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. You, L.R.; Lin, F.J.; Lee, C.T.; DeMayo, F.J.; Tsai, M.J.; Tsai, S.Y. Suppression of Notch signalling by the COUP-TFII transcription factor regulates vein identity. *Nature* 2005, 435, 98–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rossetti, L.Z.; Glinton, K.; Yuan, B.; Liu, P.; Pillai, N.; Mizerik, E.; Magoulas, P.; Rosenfeld, J.A.; Karaviti, L.; Sutton, V.R.; et al. Review of the phenotypic spectrum associated with haploinsufficiency of MYRF. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 2019, 179, 1376–1382. [CrossRef]
- 62. Pinz, H.; Pyle, L.C.; Li, D.; Izumi, K.; Skraban, C.; Tarpinian, J.; Braddock, S.R.; Telegrafi, A.; Monaghan, K.G.; Zackai, E.; et al. *de novo* variants in Myelin regulatory factor (MYRF) as candidates of a new syndrome of cardiac and urogenital anomalies. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **2018**, 176, 969–972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jin, S.C.; Homsy, J.; Zaidi, S.; Lu, Q.; Morton, S.; DePalma, S.R.; Zeng, X.; Qi, H.; Chang, W.; Sierant, M.C.; et al. Contribution of rare inherited and *de novo* variants in 2871 congenital heart disease probands. *Nat. Genet.* 2017, 49, 1593–1601. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reis, L.M.; Tyler, R.C.; Schilter, K.F.; Abdul-Rahman, O.; Innis, J.W.; Kozel, B.A.; Schneider, A.S.; Bardakjian, T.M.; Lose, E.J.; Martin, D.M.; et al. BMP4 loss-of-function mutations in developmental eye disorders including SHORT syndrome. *Hum. Genet.* 2011, 130, 495–504. [CrossRef]
- 65. Bashamboo, A.; Eozenou, C.; Jorgensen, A.; Bignon-Topalovic, J.; Siffroi, J.P.; Hyon, C.; Tar, A.; Nagy, P.; Sólyom, J.; Halász, Z.; et al. Loss of Function of the Nuclear Receptor NR2F2, Encoding COUP-TF2, Causes Testis Development and Cardiac Defects in 46,XX Children. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2018**, *102*, 487–493. [CrossRef]
- 66. Tuzovic, L.; Yu, L.; Zeng, W.; Li, X.; Lu, H.; Lu, H.M.; Gonzalez, K.D.; Chung, W.K. A human *de novo* mutation in MYH10 phenocopies the loss of function mutation in mice. *Rare. Dis.* **2013**, *1*, e26144. [CrossRef]
- 67. Yu, L.; Bennett, J.T.; Wynn, J.; Carvill, G.L.; Cheung, Y.H.; Shen, Y.; Mychaliska, G.B.; Azarow, K.S.; Crombleholme, T.M.; Chung, D.H.; et al. Whole exome sequencing identifies *de novo* mutations in GATA6 associated with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. *J. Med. Genet.* **2014**, *51*, 197–202. [CrossRef]
- 68. Ackerman, K.G.; Herron, B.J.; Vargas, S.O.; Huang, H.; Tevosian, S.G.; Kochilas, L.; Rao, C.; Pober, B.R.; Babiuk, R.P.; Epstein, J.A.; et al. Fog2 is required for normal diaphragm and lung development in mice and humans. *PLoS Genet.* 2005, 1, e10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. de Ligt, J.; Veltman, J.A.; Vissers, L.E. Point mutations as a source of *de novo* genetic disease. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **2013**, *23*, 257–263. [CrossRef]
- 70. Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study. Prevalence and architecture of *de novo* mutations in developmental disorders. *Nature* **2017**, *542*, 433–438. [CrossRef]