



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## International Journal of Surgery Case Reports

journal homepage: [www.casereports.com](http://www.casereports.com)

## Huge focal nodular hyperplasia presenting in a 6-year-old child: A case presentation



Lin Zhuang<sup>a,1</sup>, Chuangye Ni<sup>b,1</sup>, Wenbing Din<sup>b</sup>, Feng Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Yi Zhuang<sup>c</sup>, Yawei Sun<sup>a</sup>, Dong Xi<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of General Surgery, Wujin Affiliated Hospital of Jiangsu University, Changzhou, 213161, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Liver Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, 210029, China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Emergency, Wujin Affiliated Hospital of Jiangsu University, Changzhou, 213161, China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 21 September 2016

Received in revised form 23 October 2016

Accepted 23 October 2016

Available online 27 October 2016

#### Keywords:

Focal nodular hyperplasia

Child

Benign liver tumors

Computed tomography

### ABSTRACT

**INTRODUCTION:** Focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH) is a benign lesion of the liver which is usually found in healthy adults, however, FNH is rare in children, and comprises only 2% of all pediatric liver tumors. Herein, we report the case of a 6-year-old child (male) with a huge FNH which size is more than 10 cm. This could be the biggest FNH among all children's FNH cases ever reported.

**CASE PRESENTATION:** A 6-year-old boy was found a hepatic space-occupying lesion two years ago. As the time went by, the lesion became bigger gradually. The last CT examination showed the size of the tumor to be 10.5 × 9.9 cm in the right hepatic lobe. This child underwent surgical resection of the tumor which was confirmed as FNH (11 × 8 × 7 cm) by pathology.

**CONCLUSION:** FNH is a benign lesion of the liver, and it is characterized by hepatocyte hyperplasia and a central stellate scar. It is uncommon for FNH to be diagnosed in children. Such huge FNH (about 11 cm) is extremely rare. Surgical operation may be the effective method to cure huge FNH.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Primary tumors of the liver, including malignant and benign tumors, constitute 1%–2% of all pediatric tumors [1]. Focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH) is a benign lesion of the liver which is usually found in healthy adults [2]; however, FNH is rare in children, and comprises only 2% of all pediatric liver tumors [3]. FNH is a well-circumscribed and lobulated tumor, which is often asymptomatic and incidentally discovered [4]. On gross examination, the typical architecture of FNH includes bile ducts and a central stellate scar, which also contains blood vessels that supply the hyperplastic process. Microscopically, the proliferating cells are almost identical to the surrounding hepatocytes [5]. Chen et al. [6] showed children with an indefinite diagnosis should undergo surgical treatment. Herein, we managed a 6-year-old boy with a huge FNH. The diagnosis could not be established based on imaging studies and needle biopsy, thus the boy underwent a surgical procedure.

**Abbreviations:** FNH, focal nodular hyperplasia; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CT, computed tomography.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [xi-dong76@hotmail.com](mailto:xi-dong76@hotmail.com) (D. Xi).

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

## 2. Case report

A healthy 6-year-old boy was referred to us for evaluation of a hepatic space-occupying lesion, which was first detected approximately 2 years ago. Imaging studies, including abdominal ultrasound and computed tomography (CT), were performed 2 years ago. An abdominal ultrasound scan revealed a 6.1 × 5.2 cm lesion with inhomogeneous and a well-demarcated low echo in the right lobe of the liver. On CT image of the upper abdomen, a plain scan showed a 6.5 × 5.4 cm circular shadow with a slightly low density in the right hepatic lobe, which displayed a central star-like scar in the low-density area. On the contrast CT scan, the shadow was more prominent in the arterial phase and decreased slightly in the venous phase. In the delayed phase, the density was close to the liver parenchyma and the lower-density area disappeared in the right hepatic lobe. The tentative diagnoses were FNH or hepatoblastoma based on imaging. The patient was referred to our hospital for further therapy. He had no symptoms of nausea or vomiting. The physical examination showed mild hepatomegaly. Laboratory testing in our hospital revealed the following: alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were 23.2 U/L (normal, 15–40 U/L) and 37.0 U/L (normal, 9–50 U/L), respectively; viral serologic tests for hepatitis B and C were negative; and the alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level was 0.7 ng/ml.

During hospitalization, the re-examination showed that the lesion had enlarged slightly. The patient had CT in our hospital,

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2016.10.053>

2210-2612/© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).



**Fig. 1.** (A) Plain scan showed the lesion was low density with a lower density scar showed in the center. (B) In the arterial phase, the lesion was significantly enhanced without central scar tissues and showed a clear capsule. (C) The lesion was decreased in the venous phase.



**Fig. 2.** Gross pathology of the resected tumor shows an 11-cm tumor with a round-like appearance, compatible with the imaging features.

which showed the size of the shadow to be  $10.5 \times 9.9$  cm in the right hepatic lobe and the spleen was slightly larger. A dynamic CT scan of the liver disclosed a lobulated tumor with several expanded feeding vessels in the right hepatic lobe. On arterial and portal venous phase imaging, the tumor continued to exhibit a brightly enhanced early phase and early washout (Fig. 1). Next, we invited a pediatrician to perform the needle biopsy in our hospital; however, the diagnosis was still indeterminate after the histologic examination. Thus, we decided to intervene surgically to obtain a definite pathologic diagnosis. During surgery, a well-defined mass was palpated in the right posterior lobe of the liver. The texture of the mass was hard, and the diameter was approximately 7 cm. Combined with the pre-operative imaging examination, the intra-operative diagnosis was FNH. Thus, we decided to perform a partial hepatectomy.

On the gross pathologic examination of the surgical specimen, the tumor was shown to be enlarged in the right posterior liver, well-defined, yellow-to-tan in color, and  $11 \times 8 \times 7$  cm in size, with numerous small nodes on the surface of the liver tumor (Fig. 2). Microscopically, we observed a huge non-encapsulated tumor ( $10.5 \times 8 \times 6.5$  cm), which consisted of a proliferation of bile ducts and a central stellate scar containing tortuous blood vessels. The pathologic findings were consistent with FNH (Fig. 3). Post-operatively, the child was recovering well at the 6-month follow-up visit.

### 3. Discussion


Among pediatric primary liver neoplasms, 57% are malignant and 43% are benign [5]. FNH belongs to benign in children with

liver diseases. and FNH is usually diagnosed between 2 and 5 years of age [7]. Nevertheless, case reports involving children >5 years of age are still rare worldwide.

FNH is an uncommon diagnosis in children, and is often found incidentally [8–12]. The etiology of these lesions is unclear, with possibilities including vascular or environmental factors [10,13,14]. Like other benign liver tumors, small lesions can be asymptomatic incidental findings. Larger lesions eventually present with numerous symptoms, the most frequent of which is abdominal pain. On examination, the child usually presents with a right upper abdominal mass [15]. Although normal levels of AFP suggest a benign lesion, laboratory tests are usually unremarkable [16]. In this case report, the 6-year-old boy had no obvious abdominal symptoms when he came to our hospital and the physical examination just showed mild hepatomegaly and the tumor-marker test of AFP is normal (0.7 ng/ml).

FNH of the liver, which is a nodular, non-encapsulated, occasionally multifocal (15%–20%) liver mass, most frequently occurs in the left liver lobe [17]. Abdominal ultrasound is often used as the initial diagnostic imaging modality. Ultrasound is non-specific and FNH typically appears as a homogeneous, well-circumscribed lesion that can be isoechoic, hypoechoic, or hyperechoic [11]. The typical central scar is slightly hyperechoic, but is often difficult to visualize on ultrasound (20% of cases) [18]. Ungermann et al. [19] showed that contrast-enhanced ultrasonography can be the final diagnostic method for FNH >3 cm in size with a typical spoke-wheel vessel structure. If this phenomenon is not present and the central scar is not visible, a specific diagnosis of FNH cannot be based solely on contrast-enhanced ultrasound findings. The tumor has characteristics which are more specific and can aid in the diagnosis on CT scan after intravenous contrast enhancement. On pre-contrast CT scans, FNH is often seen as a focal hypo- or iso-dense mass. Some statistics have shown that a central hypodense scar is observed in only one-third of cases [18]. FNH typically demonstrates uniform enhancement with IV contrast administration and enhances more than normal liver tissue. In most cases (89%–100%), the lesion enhances rapidly during the arterial phase of contrast-enhanced CT because of the prominent arterial supply to FNH [20]. In our case, the typical central scar was not noted on ultrasound imaging which was taken two years ago; however, the central scar was seen on CT. Nevertheless, the diagnosis of CT was uncertain, which was compatible with the pathologic results.

Thus far, no histologic reports have shown that FNH undergoes malignant progression; however, cases of FNH lesions associated with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) do exist [21–23]. Petsas et al. [23] reported a case of HCC arising within a large FNH. The patient underwent pre-operative CT-guided core needle biopsy that revealed FNH; however, the final pathologic diagnosis disclosed FNH and HCC. Based on this case, we understand that although radiographic imaging could have a particular finding, liver biopsy or resection might be necessary to establish the diagnosis effectively. Makhlof et al. [24] reported that it is necessary to have



**Fig. 3.** (A–B) Well-defined, non-encapsulated tumor, composed of nodular hepatocytes with “cirrhosis-like” architecture, separated by fibrous septa. Numerous duct-like structure proliferations with scattered lymphocytes (hematoxylin & eosin, 40X). (C) Architectural disturbance caused by fibrous septa linking portal tracts (Masson staining, 100X). (D) Immunostaining for CK7 shows ductular reaction and phenotypic switching of the hepatocytic cytokeratins, reflecting long-standing intrahepatic cholestasis (CK7 immunostaining, 100X). (E) Immunostaining for CD34 shows a large sum of vascular endothelial cells which proliferated widely (CD34 immunostaining, 100X). (F) Immunostaining for Ki-67 shows the lower expression of proliferation (<1%, Ki-67 immunostaining, 100X).

a needle biopsy when there is any doubt about the diagnosis by imaging, however, only a quarter of the cases could be correctly diagnosed at this time. In this case, we invited a pediatrician from our hospital to perform the needle biopsy to establish the diagnosis; however, this attempt failed because of the lack of typical histologic characteristics of FNH. Yang et al. [9] suggested that for pediatric FNH patients, active surgical treatment by hepatectomy should be performed if the patient has the following: (1) clinical symptoms; (2) indefinite diagnosis or hepatitis B virus carriage; (3) tumor size >5 cm. In this case, the patient is in accordance with (2) and (3), so, the child accepted surgery treatment.

#### 4. Conclusion

It is occasional for children to have FNH without symptoms. We usually use imaging examinations to ensure the diagnosis of FNH. Sometimes, it is difficult for us to differentiate from other benign and malignant tumors in children. Needle biopsy will be necessary to have when there is any doubt about the diagnosis by imaging. However, when the diagnosis of FNH is still unclear by imaging

examinations and needle biopsy, surgical treatment should be considered.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient's legal guardian(s) for publication of this case report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal on request.

#### Author contribution

LZ carried out most data collection and article writing; CYN carried out most data collection and article writing; WBD carried out the processing of pictures; FZ carried out postoperative follow-up

of patients; YZ carried out postoperative follow-up of patients; YWS and DX were responsible for auditing and submitting article.

### Ethical approval

Ethical approval not required.

### Registration of research studies

No.

### Guarantor

Dong Xi.

### Acknowledgement

The study is supported by Project of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81273262).

### References

- [1] D. von Schweinitz, Management of liver tumors in childhood, *Semin. Pediatr. Surg.* 15 (1) (2006) 17–24.
- [2] M.D. Finch, et al., An 8-year experience of hepatic resection: indications and outcome, *Br. J. Surg.* 85 (3) (1998) 315–319.
- [3] D. Reymond, et al., Focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver in children: review of follow-up and outcome, *J. Pediatr. Surg.* 30 (11) (1995) 1590–1593.
- [4] B.N. Nguyen, et al., Focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver: a comprehensive pathologic study of 305 lesions and recognition of new histologic forms, *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* 23 (12) (1999) 1441–1454.
- [5] R.M. Filler, et al., Preoperative chemotherapy in hepatoblastoma, *Surgery* 110 (4) (1991) 591–596, Discussion 596–597.
- [6] W.H. Chen, et al., Focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver in a 5-year-old girl, *J. Chin. Med. Assoc.* 73 (11) (2010) 611–614.
- [7] H. Ehren, G.H. Mahour, H. Isaacs Jr., Benign liver tumors in infancy and childhood. Report of 48 cases, *Am. J. Surg.* 145 (3) (1983) 325–329.
- [8] R.L. Meyers, Tumors of the liver in children, *Surg. Oncol.* 16 (3) (2007) 195–203.
- [9] Y. Yang, et al., Management and surgical treatment for focal nodular hyperplasia in children, *Pediatr. Surg. Int.* 24 (6) (2008) 699–703.
- [10] A.O. Adeyiga, E.Y. Lee, R.L. Eisenberg, Focal hepatic masses in pediatric patients, *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol.* 199 (4) (2012) W422–40.
- [11] E.M. Chung, et al., From the archives of the AFIP: pediatric liver masses: radiologic-pathologic correlation part 1. Benign tumors, *Radiographics* 30 (3) (2010) 801–826.
- [12] I.N. Kochin, et al., Benign liver masses and lesions in children: 53 cases over 12 years, *Isr. Med. Assoc. J.* 13 (9) (2011) 542–547.
- [13] C.I. Bouyn, et al., Hepatic focal nodular hyperplasia in children previously treated for a solid tumor. Incidence: risk factors, and outcome, *Cancer* 97 (12) (2003) 3107–3113.
- [14] E.C. Citak, et al., Nodular regenerative hyperplasia and focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver mimicking hepatic metastasis in children with solid tumors and a review of literature, *Pediatr. Hematol. Oncol.* 24 (4) (2007) 281–289.
- [15] L.P. Dehner, et al., Focal nodular hyperplasia and adenoma of the liver: a pediatric experience, *Am. J. Pediatr. Hematol. Oncol.* 1 (1) (1979) 85–93.
- [16] J.B. Litten, G.E. Tomlinson, Liver tumors in children, *Oncologist* 13 (7) (2008) 812–820.
- [17] J.C. Scatarige, E.K. Fishman, R.C. Sanders, The sonographic scar sign in focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver, *J. Ultrasound Med.* 1 (7) (1982) 275–278.
- [18] K. Shamsi, et al., Focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver: radiologic findings, *Abdom. Imaging* 18 (1) (1993) 32–38.
- [19] L. Ungermann, et al., Focal nodular hyperplasia: spoke-wheel arterial pattern and other signs on dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasonography, *Eur. J. Radiol.* 63 (2) (2007) 290–294.
- [20] S.K. Carlson, et al., CT of focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver, *AJR Am. J. Roentgenol.* 174 (3) (2000) 705–712.
- [21] G. Muguti, et al., Hepatic focal nodular hyperplasia: a benign incidentaloma or a marker of serious hepatic disease? *HPB Surg.* 5 (3) (1992) 171–176, Discussion 176–180.
- [22] J. Belghiti, et al., Resection of presumed benign liver tumours, *Br. J. Surg.* 80 (3) (1993) 380–383.
- [23] T. Petsas, et al., A case of hepatocellular carcinoma arising within large focal nodular hyperplasia with review of the literature, *World J. Gastroenterol.* 12 (40) (2006) 6567–6571.
- [24] H.R. Makhlof, H.M. Abdul-Al, Z.D. Goodman, Diagnosis of focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver by needle biopsy, *Hum. Pathol.* 36 (11) (2005) 1210–1216.

### Open Access

This article is published Open Access at [sciedirect.com](http://sciedirect.com). It is distributed under the [IJSCR Supplemental terms and conditions](#), which permits unrestricted non commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.