Association of long noncoding RNA *MALAT1* polymorphisms with gastric cancer risk in Korean individuals

Jang Hee Hong^{1,2} | Eun-Heui Jin³ | In Ae Chang¹ | Hyojin Kang¹ | Sang-Il Lee⁴ | Jae Kyu Sung⁵

¹Clinical Trials Center, Chungnam National University Hospital, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

Revised: 11 October 2020

²Department of Pharmacology, Chungnam National University College of Medicine, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

³Translational Immunology Institute, Chungnam National University College of Medicine, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

⁴Department of Surgery, Chungnam National University Hospital, Chungnam National University College of Medicine, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Chungnam National University College of Medicine, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

Correspondence

Sang-II Lee, Department of Surgery, Chungnam National University Hospital, Chungnam National University College of Medicine, Daejeon 35015, Republic of Korea.

Email: mr231@cnuh.co.kr

Jae Kyu Sung, Department of Internal Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Chungnam National University College of Medicine, Daejeon 35015, Republic of Korea. Email jksung69@gmail.com

Funding information

This work was supported by the research fund of Chungnam National University, by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIP) (NRF-2016R1D1A3B03936067), and by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2017R1D1A1B04033515, NRF-2018R1D1A1B07050285, and NRF-2019R1F1A1063469).

Abstract

Background: Metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (*MALAT1*) drives tumorigenesis of various human cancers. However, the association between *MALAT1* variants and gastric cancer (GC) risk is unknown. We performed a case-control study to evaluate the possible association between rs619586 and rs3200401 SNPs in *MALAT* and GC risk.

Methods: Samples from 458 patients with GC and 381 controls were genotyped using the TaqMan genotyping assay.

Results: In stratified analyses, we observed that rs3200401 CT in the codominant model and CT+TT in the dominant model were associated with increased GC risk in male patients (CT: odds ratio [OR] = 1.81, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.09–3.01, p = 0.022; CT+TT: OR = 1.74, 95% CI = 1.07–2.83, p = 0.026), and the differentiated (CT: OR =1.79, 95% CI = 1.18–2.73, p = 0.007; CT+TT: OR = 1.76, 95% CI = 1.17–2.64, p = 0.007), and intestinal (CT: OR = 1.67, 95% CI = 1.11–2.49, p = 0.013; CT+TT: OR = 1.68, 95% CI = 1.14–2.47, p = 0.009) GC subgroups.

Conclusion: *MALAT1* rs3200401 increases GC susceptibility and might affect GC development. Further studies are needed to validate our results in large populations and different ethnic groups.

KEYWORDS

case-control study, gastric cancer, long noncoding RNA, metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1, single-nucleotide polymorphism

Jang Hee Hong and Eun-Heui Jin contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2020 The Authors. *Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) was the fifth most common cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide in 2018. Although GC incidence and mortality rates have decreased in recent decades, the rates remain high in Asia. In Korea, GC is the third most common cancer, with 30,504 new cases and 8264 deaths recorded in 2016 (Bray et al., 2018; Jung et al., 2019). Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are non-translated RNAs longer than 200 nucleotides. They play pivotal roles in tumorigenesis as proto-oncogenes (Li et al., 2009, 2014) and tumor suppressors (Zhao et al., 2015, 2016) that regulate cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis (Cruickshanks et al., 2013; Gupta et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2015; Qiu et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015, 2016). Recently, genome-wide association studies have demonstrated that a number of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in lncRNAs are related to cancer susceptibility (Cheetham et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2013). According to recent genome-wide association studies, disease-related SNPs are located in noncoding regions consisting of intronic, intergenic, and regulatory regions (Freedman et al., 2011; Hindorff et al., 2009). SNPs in the regulatory regions of lncRNAs affect lncRNA expression by enhancing or disrupting the binding of transcription factors to DNA (Guo et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2014).

Metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1) is an intergenic lncRNA >800 nucleotides in length located on chromosome 11q13. MALAT1 was first identified as a prognostic marker for non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and is associated with NSCLC metastasis (Ji et al., 2003). Multiple studies have demonstrated that aberrant expression of MALAT1 is involved in the proliferation, migration, invasion, and metastasis of several human cancers, such as breast cancer (BC), hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), GC, and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) (Ellis et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2015; Lai et al., 2012; Okugawa et al., 2014). Moreover, recent studies have shown that genetic variations in MALAT1 are associated with the risk of various cancers, including colorectal cancer (CRC), NSCLC, BC, papillary thyroid cancer (PTC), ESCC, and HCC (Li et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2018; Qu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017; Wen et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2019). Recent evidence in the context of GC has shown that plasma levels of MALAT1 are higher in patients with GC with distant metastases than in those with no metastases and healthy controls; that upregulated MALAT1 expression enhances the proliferation, migration, and invasion of GC cells through the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway (PI3K/AKT); and that MALAT1 promotes metastasis by suppressing tumor suppressor protocadherin 10 (PCDH10) via targeting by the MALAT1-EZH2 complex (Xia et al., 2016; Zhu et al.,

2019). Although a number of control-case studies have evaluated possible associations between lncRNA SNPs and GC risk, no studies have reported an association between an SNP in *MALAT1* and GC risk.

We hypothesized that *MALAT1* SNPs might affect genetic susceptibility to GC. Therefore, we performed a case-control study to explore the association between SNPs in *MALAT1* and GC risk in a Korean population. We further evaluated the impact of *MALAT1* SNPs on GC risk in combination with various characteristics and clinical features, including age, sex, tumor differentiation, histologic type, T classification, lymph node metastasis (LNM), and tumor stage.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study subjects

This case-control study population consisted of 458 GC patients and 381 controls. GC patients were recruited from the outpatient clinic at the Chungnam National University Hospital and classified according to Lauren's classification (Lauren, 1965). The control group was randomly selected among healthy volunteers visiting the Chungnam National University Hospital; only individuals who had no history of cancer were included. The blood samples used in this study were provided by the Chungnam National Hospital Biobank, a member of the National Biobank of Korea, which is supported and audited by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Korea. All individuals enrolled in this study provided written informed consent before blood collection. This study was approved and reviewed by the Ethics Committee of the institutional review board of Chungnam National University Hospital (IRB#201707023).

2.2 | SNP selection and genotyping

Two SNPs (rs619586 and rs3200401) in *MALAT1* were selected based on previously reported to be associated with cancer risk (Peng et al., 2018; Qu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017; Wen et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2019). Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood samples of all subjects using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen GmbH), according to the manufacturer's instructions. *MALAT1* rs619586 and rs3200401 SNPs were genotyped by the Applied Biosystems TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay using predesigned primer/ probe sets (assay ID C_1060479_10 and C_3246069_10, respectively). PCR was performed using the StepOnePlus Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) according to the following conditions: one cycle at 95°C for 10 min; 45 cycles at 92°C for 15 s and 60°C for 90 s.

2.3 | Statistical analysis

Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for each SNP in the control groups was assessed using the Chi-square *t*-test. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNPa pair was analyzed by calculating D' and r^2 values obtained using Haploview software version 4.0 (the Broad Institute). Differences in age and gender between the GC and control groups were calculated using the two-sided Pearson chi-square test and the Mann–Whitney *U*-test. The association was analyzed with three genetic models, including codominant (ht or mt vs. wt), dominant (ht + mt vs. wt), and recessive (mt vs. wt + ht) models. A binary logistic regression was used to estimate the GC risk according to odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The association analysis was adjusted by age and sex, which were included in the model as covariates. Stratified analyses by age, gender, and clinical features

TABLE 1Characteristics and clinicalfeatures of the GC and control groups

(Tumor differentiation, histological type, LNM, T classification, and tumor stage) were performed. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS (SPSS Inc.), version 20.0 for Windows. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Characteristics of the study subjects

The characteristics and clinical features of the 458 patients with GC and the 381 controls are shown in Table 1. There were significant differences in the age and sex distributions of the GC group and control group (p < 0.001 and p < 0.001, respectively). The mean age was 65.2 ± 10.1 years for the patients and 55.6 ± 10.9 years for the controls. The proportion of male subjects (70.1%) was higher than that of female

| | Gastric cancers | Controls | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Variables | N (%) | N (%) | р |
| Age (years) (mean \pm SD) | $458~(65.2 \pm 10.1)$ | 381 (55.6 ± 10.9) | < 0.001 ^a |
| <60 | 198 (43.2) | 197 (51.7) | 0.014 ^b |
| ≥60 | 260 (56.8) | 184 (48.3) | |
| Gender (%) | | | |
| Male | 321 (70.1) | 122 (32.0) | <0.001 ^b |
| Female | 137 (29.9) | 259 (68.0) | |
| Tumor differentiation | | | |
| Differentiated | 222 (48.5) | | |
| Undifferentiated | 195 (42.6) | | |
| Missing | 41 (8.9) | | |
| Histological type (%) | | | |
| Intestinal | 259 (56.6) | | |
| Diffuse | 145 (31.7) | | |
| Mixed | 54 (11.7) | | |
| T classification (%) | | | |
| T1 | 233 (50.9) | | |
| T2 | 66 (14.4) | | |
| Т3 | 16 (3.5) | | |
| T4 | 143 (31.2) | | |
| Lymph node metastasis (%) | | | |
| Negative | 283 (61.8) | | |
| Positive | 175 (38.2) | | |
| Tumor stage (%) | | | |
| I(A + B) | 273 (59.6) | | |
| II $(A + B)$ | 54 (11.8) | | |
| III $(A + B + C)$ | 131 (28.6) | | |

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

^aMann–Whitney U-test.

^bTwo-sided Pearson χ^2 test.

EV_Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine

HEE HONG ET AL.

subjects (29.9%) in the GC group, whereas the percentage of female subjects (68.0%) was higher than that of male subjects (32.0%) in the control group (67.2%). The majority of the GC patients was classified into differentiated tumor (48.5%), intestinal type (56.6%), T1 (50.9%), LNM-negative (61.8%), and tumor stage I (59.6%).

3.2 | Association of SNPs with GC risk

To evaluate associations between *MALAT1* SNPs and GC risk, we genotyped rs619586 and rs3200401 SNPs in *MALAT1*, which have previously reported association with cancers. The distributions of the rs619586 and rs3200401 genotypes in the control group were in HWE (p = 0.906 and p = 0.908, respectively). LD coefficients (|D'|) were estimated for two SNPs, and an absolute LD (|D'| = 1 and r^2) was not found for any pair-wise combination of the two SNPs using Haploview 4.0 software. We used three genetic models to determine if the rs619586 and rs3200401 SNPs were associated with GC risk. However, there was no significant association between rs619586 and rs3200401 in *MALAT1* and GC risk (Table 2).

3.3 | Stratification analysis for rs619586 and rs3200401 SNPs

As shown in Tables 3 and 4, we performed stratified analyses based on various clinical features, including age, gender, LNM, T classification, and tumor stage, to further evaluate possible associations between the rs619586 and rs3200401 SNPs and GC risk in the GC subgroups. After adjusting for age and gender, in a stratified analysis by gender, rs3200401 showed significant associations with increased GC risk in the GC male subgroup in the codominant (CT) and dominant (CT + TT) models when compared with the CC genotype (OR = 1.81, 95% CI = 1.09–3.01, p = 0.022 and OR = 1.74, 95% CI = 1.07–2.83, p = 0.026, respectively). In a stratified

| | CON | GC | GC vs. CON | |
|------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Genotype | N (%) | N (%) | AOR (95% CI) ^a | p ^a |
| rs619586 | | | | |
| Codominant | | | | |
| AA | 334 (87.7) | 396 (86.5) | 1 | |
| AG | 46 (12.1) | 59 (12.8) | 0.95 (0.61-1.49) | 0.836 |
| GG | 1 (0.3) | 3 (0.7) | 2.82 (0.26-31.07) | 0396 |
| Dominant | | | | |
| AA | 334 (87.7) | 396 (86.5) | 1 | |
| AG + GG | 47 (12.3) | 62 (13.5) | 1.05 (0.66-1.66) | 0.848 |
| Recessive | | | | |
| AA + AG | 380 (99.7) | 455 (99.3) | 1 | |
| GG | 1 (0.3) | 3 (0.7) | 3.84 (0.35-42.21) | 0.272 |
| HWE | 0.906 | 0.886 | | |
| rs3200401 | | | | |
| Codominant | | | | |
| CC | 280 (73.5) | 312 (68.1) | 1 | |
| CT | 92 (24.1) | 133 (29.0) | 1.32 (0.94-1.85) | 0.104 |
| TT | 9 (2.4) | 13 (2.9) | 1.38 (0.53-3.54) | 0.496 |
| Dominant | | | | |
| CC | 280 (73.5) | 312 (68.1) | 1 | |
| CT + TT | 101 (26.5) | 146 (31.9) | 1.33 (0.96-1.84) | 0.088 |
| Recessive | | | | |
| CC + CT | 372 (97.6) | 445 (97.1) | 1 | |
| TT | 9 (2.4) | 13 (2.9) | 1.28 (0.51-3.26) | 0.600 |
| HWE | 0.908 | 0.967 | | |

TABLE 2 Genotype and allelefrequencies of MALAT1 polymorphisms insubjects and their associations with GC risk

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CON, control; GC, gastric cancer; HWE, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

^aAdjusted for age and gender. The significant results are in bold.

TABLE 3 Stratified analysis of the MALATI SNPs rs619586 and rs3200401 by age and gender in GC patients and controls

| | GC vs. CON | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|
| | Codomin | Codominant (ht/wt) | | | | Dominant (ht+mt/wt) | | | |
| Variables | GC | CON | OR (95% CI) ^a | p ^a | GC | CON | OR (95% CI) ^a | p ^a | |
| rs619586 | | | | | | | | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | | | |
| <60 | 29/169 | 20/176 | 1.30 (0.65-2.61) | 0.460 | 29/169 | 21/176 | 1.25 (0.62-2.48) | 0.534 | |
| ≥60 | 30/227 | 26/158 | 0.91 (0.49-1.70) | 0.771 | 33/227 | 26/158 | 1.01 (0.55-1.86) | 0.966 | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 48/271 | 15/107 | 1.26 (0.68-2.34) | 0.470 | 50/271 | 15/107 | 1.31 (0.71-2.44) | 0.391 | |
| Female | 11/125 | 31/227 | 0.65 (0.31-1.35) | 0.246 | 12/125 | 32/227 | 0.68 (0.34-1.38) | 0.288 | |
| rs3200401 | | | | | | | | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | | | | | |
| <60 | 58/134 | 51/144 | 1.39 (0.83-2.33) | 0.214 | 64/134 | 53/144 | 1.51 (0.91-2.51) | 0.108 | |
| ≥60 | 75/178 | 41/136 | 1.42 (0.88-2.29) | 0.155 | 82/178 | 48/136 | 1.30 (0.83-2.05) | 0.257 | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 98/215 | 24/95 | 1.81 (1.09-3.01) | 0.022 | 106/215 | 27/95 | 1.74 (1.07-2.83) | 0.026 | |
| Female | 35/97 | 68/185 | 1.03 (0.63-1.67) | 0.913 | 38/97 | 74/185 | 1.07 (0.68-1.71) | 0.765 | |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CON, controls; GC, gastric cancer; ht, heterozygous; mt, mutant; OR, odds ratio; wt, wild-type.

^aAdjusted by age and gender. The significant results are in bold.

analysis by tumor differentiation, rs3200401 was significantly associated with enhanced GC risk in the GC subgroup with differentiated tumors in the codominant (CT) and dominant (CT + TT) models compared with the CC genotype (OR = 1.79, 95% CI = 1.18–2.73, p = 0.007 and OR = 1.76, 95% CI = 1.17–2.26, p = 0.007, respectively). In addition, according to a stratified analysis by histological type, rs3200401 was significantly associated with increased GC risk in the subgroup with intestinal-type GC in the codominant model (CT) and dominant model (CT + TT) compared with the CC genotype (OR = 1.67, 95% CI = 1.11–2.49, p = 0.013 and OR = 1.68, 95% CI = 1.14–2.47, p = 0.009, respectively). However, rs619586 showed no significant associations with GC risk in any of the analyses stratified by age, gender, and clinical features.

4 | DISCUSSION

MALAT1 is one of the first lncRNAs identified as a protooncogene in early stage NSCLC (Ji et al., 2003), and it promotes cancer proliferation, migration, and metastasis (Bi et al., 2017; Li et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2014). We performed the first investigation of the association between the rs619586 and rs3200401 SNPs in *MALAT1* and GC susceptibility in a Korean population. Although we did not observe statistically significant associations between *MALAT1* rs619586 or rs3200401 and overall GC risk, we found significant associations between rs3200401 and GC risk in stratified analyses by gender, tumor differentiation, and histological type. In our stratified analyses, we found that the rs3200401 CT genotype in the codominant model and the CT + TT genotype in the dominant model were significantly associated with 1.81- and 1.74-times higher GC risk in the male subgroup, 1.79- and 1.76-times higher GC risk in the differentiated GC subgroup, and 1.67- and 1.68-times higher GC risk in the intestinal-type GC subgroup than the wild-type genotype. Furthermore, the rs3200401 CT genotype was associated with the highest GC risk (1.81 times greater than the wild-type genotype) in the male subgroup. Consistent with our results, Qu et al. (2019) showed, through stratified analysis, that the rs3200401 CT, TT, and CT + TT genotypes in the dominant model were associated with increased ESCC risk in the group that never smoked compared with the CC genotype. In contrast to our results, Wang et al. (2017) found that the rs3200401 CT and CT + TT genotypes were associated with decreased risk of death by NSCLC, and Peng at al. (2018) also showed that the CT genotype was associated with decreased BC risk in the subgroup >50 years old compared with the CC + TT genotype. MALAT1 interacts with serine/argine-rich (SR) proteins thus regulates the alternative splicing of pre-miRNAs (Tripathi et al., 2010). The rs3200401 SNP locates in the region M of MALAT1 (6008-7011 nts), one of the binding sites to SRSF2 (Miyagawa et al., 2012). The rs3200401 variation may regulate the expression of cancer-related genes thus influence cancer development. Further studies are needed to elucidate the different roles of rs3200401 SNP in different cancers. Wen et al. (2019) and Yuan et al. (2019) evaluated

| TABLE 4 | Associations of the clinical features | of GC with the MALAT1 rs619586 and | rs3200401 SNPs in GC patients and controls |
|---------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
|---------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|

| | GC vs. CON | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Codominant (ht/wt) | | | | Dominant (ht + mt/wt) | | | |
| Variables | GC | CON | OR (95% CI) ^a | p ^a | GC | CON | OR (95% CI) ^a | p ^a |
| rs619586 | | | | | | | | |
| Tumor differentiation | | | | | | | | |
| Differentiated | 27/193 | 46/334 | 0.96 (0.54-1.69) | 0.878 | 28/193 | 47/334 | 0.98 (0.56-1.72) | 0.937 |
| Undifferentiated | 25/169 | 46/334 | 1.00 (0.58-1.73) | 0.999 | 27/169 | 47/334 | 1.07 (0.63-1.83) | 0.799 |
| Histological type | | | | | | | | |
| Intestinal | 31/226 | 46/334 | 0.91 (0.53-1.57) | 0.741 | 32/226 | 47/334 | 0.93 (0.54-1.59) | 0.784 |
| Diffuse | 19/125 | 46/334 | 1.01 (0.56-1.83) | 0.971 | 21/125 | 47/334 | 1.12 (0.63-1.98) | 0.708 |
| Lymph node metastasis | | | | | | | | |
| Negative | 38/243 | 46/334 | 1.06 (0.64-1.75) | 0.822 | 40/243 | 47/334 | 1.11 (0.68-1.83) | 0.671 |
| Positive | 21/153 | 46/334 | 0.87 (0.48-1.57) | 0.640 | 22/153 | 47/334 | 0.89 (0.50-1.59) | 0.695 |
| T classification | | | | | | | | |
| T1/T2 | 37/260 | 46/334 | 0.97 (0.58-1.61) | 0.902 | 39/260 | 47/334 | 1.04 (0.58-1.87) | 0.902 |
| T3/T4 | 22/136 | 46/334 | 1.02 (0.62-1.68) | 0.939 | 23/136 | 47/334 | 1.06 (0.60-1.89) | 0.842 |
| Tumor stage | | | | | | | | |
| I (A + B)/II | 41/284 | 46/334 | 0.98 (0.60-1.61) | 0.948 | 43/284 | 47/334 | 1.03 (0.63-1.67) | 0.910 |
| (A + B + C) | | | | | | | | |
| III $(A + B + C)$ | 18/112 | 46/334 | 1.03 (0.55-1.93) | 0.925 | 19/112 | 47/334 | 1.06 (0.58-1.97) | 0.845 |
| rs3200401 | | | | | | | | |
| Tumor differentiation | | | | | | | | |
| Differentiated | 73/142 | 92/280 | 1.79 (1.18-2.73) | 0.007 | 80/142 | 101/280 | 1.76 (1.17-2.64) | 0.007 |
| Undifferentiated | 53/138 | 92/280 | 1.18 (0.78-1.78) | 1.175 | 57/138 | 101/280 | 1.16 (0.77-1.73) | 0.481 |
| Histological type | | | | | | | | |
| Intestinal | 82/168 | 92/280 | 1.67 (1.11-2.49) | 0.013 | 91/168 | 101/280 | 1.68 (1.14-2.47) | 0.009 |
| Diffuse | 38/104 | 92/280 | 1.20 (0.76-1.89) | 0.436 | 41/104 | 101/280 | 1.19 (0.76-1.85) | 0.349 |
| Lymph node metastasis | | | | | | | | |
| Negative | 85/192 | 92/280 | 1.43 (0.98-2.09) | 0.066 | 91/192 | 101/280 | 1.38 (0.95-1.99) | 0.090 |
| Positive | 48/120 | 92/280 | 1.27 (0.82-1.97) | 0.286 | 55/120 | 101/280 | 1.34 (0.88-2.04) | 0.172 |
| T classification | | | | | | | | |
| T1/T2 | 89/204 | 92/280 | 1.37 (0.94-1.99) | 0.101 | 95/204 | 101/280 | 1.33 (0.93-1.92) | 0.123 |
| T3/T4 | 44/108 | 92/280 | 1.33 (0.84-2.10) | 0.219 | 51/108 | 101/280 | 1.39 (0.90-2.14) | 0.140 |
| Tumor stage | | | | | | | | |
| I (A + B)/II $(A + B + C)$ | 99/220 | 92/280 | 1.41 (0.98-2.03) | 0.067 | 107/220 | 101/280 | 1.38 (0.97-1.96) | 0.077 |
| III $(A + B + C)$ | 34/92 | 92/280 | 1.20 (0.73-1.97) | 0.465 | 39/92 | 101/280 | 1.26 (0.78-2.01) | 0.345 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CON, controls; GC, gastric cancer; ht, heterozygous; mt, mutant; OR, odds ratio; wt, wild-type.

^aAdjusted by age and gender. The significant results are in bold.

possible associations between rs3200401 and PTC and HCC risks, respectively, but found no associations. Moreover, we found no association between rs619586 and GC risk, even in stratified analyses. However, in contrast to our results, four studies observed an association between rs619586 and cancer risk. Peng et al. (2018) found that the rs619586 AG and AG + GG genotypes were associated with decreased BC

risk, Wen et al. (2019) found that the rs619586 GG genotype was associated with decreased PTC risk, Qu et al. (2019) observed that the rs619586 GG genotype was associated with decreased risk of ESCC in the subgroup that never drank alcohol, and Yuan et al. (2019) showed that the rs619586 AG and AG + GG genotypes were associated with decreased risk of HCC in the subgroup of patients <55 years old.

There were a few limitations to our study. First, the sample size was too small to have statistical power for the stratification analysis. Second, cases and controls were not matched on age and gender. Therefore, binary logistic regression models with adjustment for age and gender were used to reduce the effect of covariate. Third, we failed to explore the association between the SNPs and other clinical features, such as *Helicobacter pylori* infection, smoking, and drinking, due to a lack of data from both the GC and control groups. Finally, our findings cover only a specific ethnic group.

In conclusion, we suggest that the *MALAT1* rs3200401 SNP is associated with increased GC risk in male patients, and those in the differentiated and intestinal tumor subgroups. The SNP may contribute to GC development as a proto-oncogene by altering *MALAT1* expression, as has been observed in other cancers. Further studies are required to validate our findings in large populations and different ethnic groups.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the research fund of the Chungnam National University, by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIP) (NRF-2016R1D1A3B03936067), and by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2017R1D1A1B04033515, NRF-2018R1D1A1B07050285, and NRF-2019R1F1A1063469).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

Sung JK, Hong JH, and Jin EH concepted and designed the research; Chang IA and Kang H performed the experiments; Lee SI contributed the selection of subjects and clinical data acquisition; Jin EH and Chang IA performed the data and statistical analysis; Sung JK, Lee SI, Hong JH, and Jin EH contributed to writing and revision of the manuscript.

ORCID

Jang Hee Hong https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0623-5455 *Eun-Heui Jin* https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1383-4429 *Sang-II Lee* https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2669-8577 *Jae Kyu Sung* https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9068-624X

REFERENCES

- Bi, S., Wang, C., Li, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, J., Lv, Z., & Wang, J. (2017). LncRNA-MALAT1-mediated Axl promotes cell invasion and migration in human neuroblastoma. *Tumour Biology*, 39, 1010428317699796. https://doi.org/10.1177/1010428317699796
- Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Soerjomataram, I., Siegel, R. L., Torre, L. A., & Jemal, A. (2018). Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN

estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 68, 394–424. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492

- Cheetham, S. W., Gruhl, F., Mattick, J. S., & Dinger, M. E. (2013). Long noncoding RNAs and the genetics of cancer. *British Journal* of Cancer, 108, 2419–2425. https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2013.233
- Chen, G., Qiu, C., Zhang, Q., Liu, B., & Cui, Q. (2013). Genomewide analysis of human SNPs at long intergenic noncoding RNAs. *Human Mutation*, 34, 338–344. https://doi.org/10.1002/ humu.22239
- Cruickshanks, H. A., Vafadar-Isfahani, N., Dunican, D. S., Lee, A., Sproul, D., Lund, J. N., Meehan, R. R., & Tufarelli, C. (2013). Expression of a large LINE-1-driven antisense RNA is linked to epigenetic silencing of the metastasis suppressor gene TFPI-2 in cancer. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41, 6857–6869. https://doi. org/10.1093/nar/gkt438
- Ellis, M. J., Ding, L. I., Shen, D., Luo, J., Suman, V. J., Wallis, J. W., Van Tine, B. A., Hoog, J., Goiffon, R. J., Goldstein, T. C., Ng, S., Lin, L. I., Crowder, R., Snider, J., Ballman, K., Weber, J., Chen, K., Koboldt, D. C., Kandoth, C., ... Mardis, E. R. (2012). Wholegenome analysis informs breast cancer response to aromatase inhibition. *Nature*, 486, 353–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11143
- Freedman, M. L., Monteiro, A. N. A., Gayther, S. A., Coetzee, G. A., Risch, A., Plass, C., Casey, G., De Biasi, M., Carlson, C., Duggan, D., James, M., Liu, P., Tichelaar, J. W., Vikis, H. G., You, M., & Mills, I. G. (2011). Principles for the post-GWAS functional characterization of cancer risk loci. *Nature Genetics*, 43, 513–518. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.840
- Guo, H., Ahmed, M., Zhang, F., Yao, C. Q., Li, S. D., Liang, Y. I., Hua, J., Soares, F., Sun, Y., Langstein, J., Li, Y., Poon, C., Bailey, S. D., Desai, K., Fei, T., Li, Q., Sendorek, D. H., Fraser, M., Prensner, J. R., ... He, H. H. (2016). Modulation of long noncoding RNAs by risk SNPs underlying genetic predispositions to prostate cancer. *Nature Genetics*, 48, 1142–1150. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3637
- Gupta, R. A., Shah, N., Wang, K. C., Kim, J., Horlings, H. M., Wong, D. J., Tsai, M.-C., Hung, T., Argani, P., Rinn, J. L., Wang, Y., Brzoska, P., Kong, B., Li, R., West, R. B., van de Vijver, M. J., Sukumar, S., & Chang, H. Y. (2010). Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. *Nature*, 464, 1071–1076. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08975
- Hindorff, L. A., Sethupathy, P., Junkins, H. A., Ramos, E. M., Mehta, J. P., Collins, F. S., & Manolio, T. A. (2009). Potential etiologic and functional implications of genome-wide association loci for human diseases and traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 106(23), 9362–9367. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903103106
- Hu, L., Wu, Y., Tan, D., Meng, H., Wang, K., Bai, Y., & Yang, K. (2015). Up-regulation of long noncoding RNA MALAT1 contributes to proliferation and metastasis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research*, 34, 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-015-0123-z
- Huang, Q., Whitington, T., Gao, P., Lindberg, J. F., Yang, Y., Sun, J., Väisänen, M.-R., Szulkin, R., Annala, M., Yan, J., Egevad, L. A., Zhang, K., Lin, R., Jolma, A., Nykter, M., Manninen, A., Wiklund, F., Vaarala, M. H., Visakorpi, T., ... Wei, G.-H. (2014). A prostate cancer susceptibility allele at 6q22 increases RFX6 expression by modulating HOXB13 chromatin binding. *Nature Genetics*, 46, 126–135. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2862
- Ji, P., Diederichs, S., Wang, W., Böing, S., Metzger, R., Schneider, P. M., & Müller-Tidow, C. (2003). MALAT-1, a novel noncoding RNA,

and thymosin beta4 predict metastasis and survival in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncogene*, 22, 8031–8041. https://doi. org/10.1038/sj.onc.1206928

- Jung, K. W., Won, Y. J., Kong, H. J., & Lee, E. S. (2019). Cancer statistics in Korea: Incidence, mortality, survival, and prevalence in 2016. *Cancer Research and Treatment*, 51, 417–430. https://doi. org/10.4143/crt.2019.138
- Lai, M.-C., Yang, Z., Zhou, L., Zhu, Q.-Q., Xie, H.-Y., Zhang, F., Wu, L.-M., Chen, L.-M., & Zheng, S.-S. (2012). Long non-coding RNA MALAT-1 overexpression predicts tumor recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation. *Medical Oncology*, 29, 1810–1816. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-011-0004-z
- Lauren, P. (1965). The two histological main types of gastric carcinoma: diffuse and so-called intestinal-type carcinoma. An attempt at a histo-clinical classification. Acta Pathologica Et Microbiologica Scandinavica, 64, 31–49. https://doi.org/10.1111/ apm.1965.64.1.31
- Li, H., Yu, B., Li, J., Su, L., Yan, M., Zhu, Z., & Liu, B. (2014). Overexpression of lncRNA H19 enhances carcinogenesis and metastasis of gastric cancer. *Oncotarget*, 5, 2318–2329. https://doi. org/10.18632/oncotarget.1913
- Li, L., Feng, T., Lian, Y., Zhang, G., Garen, A., & Song, X. (2009). Role of human noncoding RNAs in the control of tumorigenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106, 12956–12961. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0906005106
- Li, Y., Bao, C., Gu, S., Ye, D., Jing, F., Fan, C., & Chen, K. (2017). Associations between novel genetic variants in the promoter region of MALAT1 and risk of colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget*, 8, 92604– 92614. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.21507
- Liu, B., Sun, L., Liu, Q., Gong, C., Yao, Y., Lv, X., Lin, L., Yao, H., Su, F., Li, D., Zeng, M., & Song, E. (2015). A cytoplasmic NF-κB interacting long noncoding RNA blocks IκB phosphorylation and suppresses breast cancer metastasis. *Cancer Cell*, 27, 370–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2015.02.004
- Miyagawa, R., Tano, K., Mizuno, R., Nakamura, Y., Ijiri, K., Rakwal, R., Shibato, J., Masuo, Y., Mayeda, A., Hirose, T., & Akimitsu, N. (2012). Identification of cis- and trans-acting factors involved in the localization of MALAT-1 noncoding RNA to nuclear speckles. *RNA*, 18, 738–751. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.028639.111
- Okugawa, Y., Toiyama, Y., Hur, K., Toden, S., Saigusa, S., Tanaka, K., Inoue, Y., Mohri, Y., Kusunoki, M., Boland, C. R., & Goel, A. (2014). Metastasis-associated long non-coding RNA drives gastric cancer development and promotes peritoneal metastasis. *Carcinogenesis*, 35, 2731–2739. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/ bgu200
- Peng, R., Luo, C., Guo, Q., Cao, J., Yang, Q., Dong, K., Wang, S., Wang, K., & Song, C. (2018). Association analyses of genetic variants in long non-coding RNA MALAT1 with breast cancer susceptibility and mRNA expression of MALAT1 in Chinese Han population. *Gene*, 642, 241–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2017.11.013
- Qiu, J. J., Lin, Y. Y., Ding, J. X., Feng, W. W., Jin, H. Y., & Hua, K. Q. (2015). Long non-coding RNA ANRIL predicts poor prognosis and promotes invasion/metastasis in serous ovarian cancer. *International Journal of Oncology*, 46, 2497–2505. https://doi. org/10.3892/ijo.2015.2943
- Qu, Y., Shao, N., Yang, W., Wang, J., & Cheng, Y. (2019). Association of polymorphisms in MALAT1 with the risk of esophageal squamous

cell carcinoma in a Chinese population. *OncoTargets and Therapy*, 12, 2495–2503. https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S191155

- Tripathi, V., Ellis, J. D., Shen, Z., Song, D. Y., Pan, Q., Watt, A. T., Freier, S. M., Bennett, C. F., Sharma, A., Bubulya, P. A., Blencowe, B. J., Prasanth, S. G., & Prasanth, K. V. (2010). The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. *Molecular Cell*, 39, 925–938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.08.011
- Wang, J.-Z., Xiang, J.-J., Wu, L.-G., Bai, Y.-S., Chen, Z.-W., Yin, X.-Q., Wang, Q., Guo, W.-H., Peng, Y., Guo, H., & Xu, P. (2017). A genetic variant in long non-coding RNA MALAT1 associated with survival outcome among patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma: a survival cohort analysis. *BMC Cancer*, 17, 167. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12885-017-3151-6
- Wen, J., Chen, L., Tian, H., Li, J. I., Zhang, M., Cao, Q., Zhang, W., Chen, S., & Shi, L. (2019). Effect of MALAT1 polymorphisms on papillary thyroid cancer in a Chinese population. *Journal of Cancer*, 10, 5714–5721. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.28887
- Wu, X.-S., Wang, X.-A., Wu, W.-G., Hu, Y.-P., Li, M.-L., Ding, Q., Weng, H., Shu, Y.-J., Liu, T.-Y., Jiang, L., Cao, Y., Bao, R.-F., Mu, J.-S., Tan, Z.-J., Tao, F., & Liu, Y.-B. (2014). MALAT1 promotes the proliferation and metastasis of gallbladder cancer cells by activating the ERK/MAPK pathway. *Cancer Biology and Therapy*, 15, 806–814. https://doi.org/10.4161/cbt.28584
- Xia, H., Chen, Q., Chen, Y., Ge, X., Leng, W., Tang, Q., Ren, M., Chen, L., Yuan, D., Zhang, Y., Liu, M., Gong, Q., & Bi, F. (2016). The IncRNA MALAT1 is a novel biomarker for gastric cancer metastasis. *Oncotarget*, 7, 56209–56218. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncot arget.10941
- Yuan, L. T., Chang, J. H., Lee, H. L., Yang, Y. C., Su, S. C., Lin, C. L., Yang, S. F., & Chien, M. H. (2019). Genetic variants of lncRNA MALAT1 exert diverse impacts on the risk and clinicopathologic characteristics of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Journal* of Clinical Medicine, 8, 1406. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8091406
- Zhao, B., Hou, X., & Zhan, H. (2015). Long non-coding RNA PCAT-1 over-expression promotes proliferation and metastasis in nonsmall cell lung cancer cells. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*, 8, 18482–18487.
- Zhao, J.-J., Hao, S., Wang, L.-L., Hu, C.-Y., Zhang, S., Guo, L.-J., Zhang, G., Gao, B. O., Jiang, Y., Tian, W.-G., & Luo, D.-L. (2016). Long non-coding RNA ANRIL promotes the invasion and metastasis of thyroid cancer cells through TGF-β/Smad signaling pathway. *Oncotarget*, 7, 57903–57918. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncot arget.11087
- Zhu, K., Ren, Q., & Zhao, Y. (2019). lncRNA MALAT1 overexpression promotes proliferation, migration and invasion of gastric cancer by activating the PI3K/AKT pathway. *Oncology Letters*, 17, 5335– 5342. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2019.10253

How to cite this article: Hong JH, Jin EH, Chang IA, Kang H, Lee SI, Sung JK. Association of long noncoding RNA *MALAT1* polymorphisms with gastric cancer risk in Korean individuals. *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2020;8:e1541. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.1541</u>