

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Cellular Immunology 262 (2010) 28-34

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Cellular Immunology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ycimm

Comparison of the anti-allergic activity of Syk inhibitors with optimized Syk siRNAs in FccRI-activated RBL-2H3 basophilic cells

Michael P. Sanderson*, Stephen J. Gelling, Jörg F. Rippmann, Andreas Schnapp

Department of Pulmonary Diseases Research, Boehringer-Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Biberach an der Riss, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 18 August 2009 Accepted 9 December 2009 Available online 14 December 2009

Keywords: Allergy Inflammation Spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) RBL-2H3 siRNA IgE FccRI R406 Piceatannol BAY61-3606

ABSTRACT

Spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) binds ITAM-bearing receptors in a wide variety of cell types. One such example is the activation of mast cells, basophils and eosinophils via the stimulation of the FccRI receptor by IgE/allergen complexes. The possible role of Syk in inflammatory signaling cascades has led to the development of pharmacological agents designed to block the Syk catalytic domain as potential novel therapeutics. Whilst the enzymatic activity of Syk lends towards the design of small-molecule inhibitors, other attention has focused on the possibility of targeting Syk expression using anti-sense oligonucleotides as an alternate means of anti-inflammatory therapy. In this study, we compared the ability of multiple optimized Syk siRNA sequences and small-molecule Syk inhibitors to block FccRI-mediated signal transduction, degranulation and TNF α secretion in the basophilic cell line RBL-2H3. We also characterized the specificity of each siRNA sequence with regards to off-target induction of the interferon-inducible gene IFIT1. We identified a single siRNA sequence, which displayed a favorable profile of efficient Syk knockdown, blockage of FccRI-mediated signal transduction, degranulation and TNF α secretion and a lack of IFIT1 induction. The effect of this siRNA was comparable to that of the Syk kinase domain inhibitors BAY61-3606 and R406. The identification of an active and specific Syk siRNA could be a basis for the development of therapeutic Syk siRNAs against inflammatory diseases.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) is expressed widely in the immune system including in leukocytes, platelets and dendritic cells [1]. A wide variety of cell surface receptors on immune system cells and other cell types have been postulated to transduce signals via the binding and activation of Syk [2–5]. For the most part these include receptors bearing the immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM) [2]. However, other non-ITAM-bearing receptors such as those for IL-2, IL-15, erythropoietin and lipopoly-saccharide (endotoxin/LPS) have also been postulated to signal via the activation of Syk [6–9].

The FccRI receptor complex is expressed on mast cells, basophils and eosinophils and signal transduction following the binding of IgE/allergen leads to Syk binding and phosphorylation [3,10]. Syk is then believed to mediate the direct phosphorylation several proteins within an inflammatory complex including linker for activator of T cells (LAT), SH2-domain-containing leukocyte protein-76 (SLP-76) and Vav [3]. Following the assembly and activation of this complex of proteins, activation of MAPKs, calcium-flux and PLC γ 1 and PLC γ 2 phosphorylation lead to degranulation, lipid mediator and cytokine synthesis. Syk has gathered a great deal of attention as a potentially novel target for the treatment of allergic and other inflammatory disorders [3,10,11]. In particular, several small-molecule inhibitors of the Syk kinase domain have shown efficacy both human and animal models of allergic disease [12–16].

Recent advances in the use of small interfering RNA (siRNA) technologies as a means of post-transcriptional gene silencing have provided a potential alternative to the use of small-molecule inhibitors for the inhibition of disease targets [17–19]. In this study, we designed a range of different Syk siRNA sequences and tested them for their ability to knockdown Syk in the rat basophilic RBL-2H3 cell line. Syk siRNAs were also tested for their specificity by investigating the induction of an interferon response, as analyzed by the expression of IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats-1 (IFIT1/ISG56), which is a characteristic inflammatory response to viral dsRNA [20]. Selected siRNAs displaying a combination of efficient and specific knockdown of Syk were compared with the previously published Syk kinase inhibitors R406 [14], BAY61-3606 [15] and piceatannol [21] for their effect on FccRI signal transduction and basophilic degranulation. The identification of specific and cellularly active Syk sequences in this study provides a basis for the development of Syk siRNAs in more complex in vivo models of inflammatory diseases.





^{*} Corresponding author. Present address: Boehringer-Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Dr. Boehringer-Gasse 5-11, A-1121 Vienna, Austria.

E-mail address: michael.sanderson@boehringer-ingelheim.com (M.P. Sanderson).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents and siRNAs

Individual Duplex siRNAs were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Lafayette, CO) and Applied Biosystems/Ambion (Austin, TX). Antibodies to Syk (SYK-01), PLC γ 1 (1F1), β -actin (C4) and LAT (FL-233) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Heidelberg, Germany). Antibodies to phospho-PLCy1 (Tyr783), phospho-Zap70 (Tyr319)/Syk (Tyr352), Phospho-Erk (Thr202/Tyr204) and p44/42 MAP Kinase were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). The SLP-76 (Tyr128), SLP-76, and high affinity IgE receptor (FcERI) (BC4) antibodies were from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA). The phospho-LAT (Tyr226) and phosphotyrosine (4G10) antibodies were purchased from Millipore (Billerica, MA). The mouse anti-DNP IgE antibody (clone TIB142) was a kind gift from Dr. Takeshi Kono (Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim Co., LTD, Tokyo). Bovine serum albumin-2,4-dinitrophenyl (DNP-BSA) was purchased from Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany). Piceatannol was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2. Culture and treatment of RBL-2H3 cells with pharmacological agents

RBL-2H3 cells (ATCC: CRL-2256) were routinely cultured in minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). For the treatment of cells with pharmacological agents for later analysis of proteins by Western blotting, 5×10^5 cells were seeded into 24-well plates and cultured overnight. Cells were then washed once with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and then incubated for 30 min in MEM without FBS plus either inhibitors or the vehicle dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Cells were then activated for 10 min by the addition of the anti-FccRI (BC4) antibody (0.02 µg/ml). Cells were then washed once with ice-cold PBS and lysed at 4 °C for 30 min in Lysis Buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 1% NP40, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 20 µM Na₃VO₄) supplemented with Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail and Halt Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (both from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL). Lysates were then cleared by centrifugation and prepared for Western blotting by the addition of NuPAGE[®] Sample Reducing Agent and LDS Sample Buffer (both from Invitrogen).

2.3. Transfection of RBL-2H3 and MEF cells with siRNAs

The Nucleofector L Kit (#VCA-1005) (Lonza Cologne AG, Germany) was used for electroporation of RBL-2H3 cells with siRNAs. 1×10^6 cells were electroporated in cuvettes in Nucleofector L Solution with 420 nM of each siRNA using a Nucleofector[®] Device (Lonza, #AAD-1001) with program L-029. Cells were then diluted in 6 well plates with MEM/10% FCS to give a final siRNA concentration of 20 nM. Cells were cultivated for a further 2 days prior to application in cellular assays or for the analysis of Syk mRNA levels by TaqMan PCR as described in the following section.

DharmaFECT 2 siRNA Transfection Reagent (#T-2002-01) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for the transfection of mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells for the analysis of the induction of the interferon (IFN)-inducible gene IFIT1. MEF cells were routinely cultured in DMEM/10% FCS and seeded at a concentration of 4.2×10^5 in 6-well plates and grown overnight until they reached 90% confluence. Cells were then transfected with 20 nM of each siRNA mixed with DharmaFECT 2 siRNA Transfection Reagent. Following 24 h of culture, mRNA was analyzed by TaqMan PCR.

2.4. Gene expression analysis

For quantitative analysis of gene expression total RNA was isolated from cell culture lysates according to the RNeasy protocol (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The purified total RNA was stored at -20 °C. The gene expression levels were determined by TaqMan[®] analysis in a 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA) using the TaqMan® EZ RT-PCR reagent kit (Applied Biosystems) for reverse transcription and PCR amplification in ABI PRISM 384-well optical reaction plates (Applied Biosystems). For the detection of the respective transcripts, the following primers and probes were used: Syk_rat (Applied Biosystems, # Rn00562684_m1), Syk_mouse (Applied Biosystems, # Mm0 0441649_m1), RNA PolII_rat: forward primer: 5'-GCAGGCGAG AGCGTTGAG-3', reverse primer: 5'-CATTGGTATAAT CAAAACG-GAACTTC-3', probe: 5'-FAM-CTGGCTACACTTAAGCC TTCTAATAAA GC-TAMRA-3', RNA PolII mouse: forward primer: 5'-GCCAAAGA CTCCTTCACTCACT GT-3', reverse primer: 5'-TTCCAAGCGGCAAA-GAATGT-3', probe: 5'-FAM-TGGCTCT TTCAGCATCTCGTGCAGATT-TAMRA-3' and Ifit_mouse: forward primer: 5'-GGCAGG TTTCTGA GGAGTTCTG-3', reverse primer: 5'-CATCAGCATTCTCTCCCATGGT-3', probe: 5'-FAM-AAAACCCAGAGAACAGCTACCACCTTTACAGC-TAMRA-3'. Relative quantities of expression levels were determined by comparison of ct-values with a dilution series of a standard total RNA sample and normalized for the 18S rRNA quantity. For the presentation of the expression levels, normalized relative quantities of a transcript were divided by the mean value of the respective control group and displayed as%-control values.

2.5. Western blot analysis of proteins

Cell lysates were loaded onto NuPAGE® 4-12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to Immobilon-P Transfer Membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA) by wet blotting. After blocking with blocking buffer (5% non-fat milk in TBS), membranes were incubated under gentle agitation with the respective primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were then washed four times for 10 min with TBS-T (TBS with 0.05% Tween 20) and then incubated with peroxidaseconjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe Ltd., Suffolk, UK) at a dilution of 1/5000 in blocking buffer (Jackson ImmunoResearch Europe Ltd, Suffolk, UK). Membranes were then washed again in TBS-T as described above and then Western blots developed using the Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) reagents (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Membranes were in some cases stripped with Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then analyzed with additional antibodies.

2.6. β -Hexosaminidase assay

The release of β -hexosaminidase enzyme activity from RBL-2H3 cells was used as a quantifiable readout for degranulation [22]. One day following transfection of cells with siRNAs, media was replaced by MEM/10% FCS containing 1 µg/ml of the mouse anti-DNP IgE antibody (clone TIB142) and the cells then cultured for a further 24 h. The following day, cells were washed twice with PBS and then cultured for 30 min in sterile filtered Tyrode's Buffer (135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 1.0 mM MgCl₂, 5.6 mM Glucose, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mg/ml BSA, pH 7.4). For testing of the effects of pharmacological agents on degranulation, non-transfected cells were then activated by the addition of DNP-BSA (100 ng/ml) in Tyrode's Buffer for 60 min. Supernatants were collected and cleared by centrifugation prior to analysis using the β -hexosaminidase assay as follows. 50 µl of supernatants were combined

with 50 μ l of substrate solution (4 mM 4-nitrophenyl *N*-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminide in McIlvaine's Buffer, pH 4.5) in 96-well plates and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Reactions were then terminated by the addition of 150 μ l of 0.2 M Glycine, pH 10.7. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm.

2.7. TNF α and histamine measurements

Following transfection with siRNAs, cells were incubated as described above with the mouse anti-DNP IgE antibody. The following day, cells were washed and then cultured for 6 h in MEM/10% FCS plus DNP-BSA (100 ng/ml). Supernatants were then cleared by centrifugation and analyzed for levels of rat TNF α using the rat TNF α Immunoassay (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and histamine using a specific enzyme immunoassay (EIA) (IMMUNO-TECH, Czech Republic), according to the manufacture's instructions.

3. Results

3.1. Design of siRNAs against Syk

Ten siRNA sequences (A–J) were designed against Syk with the aim of knocking down Syk in the rat RBL-2H3 cell line (Fig. 1). Sequences were based on the RefSeq information for human (NM_003177), mouse (NM_011518) and rat (NM_012758) Syk mRNAs. Sequences A–F had 100% homology with rat Syk alone, whereas sequences G–J were pan siRNAs with complete homology to the rat, mouse and human Syk transcripts.

3.2. Identification of active siRNAs against rat Syk

RBL-2H3 cells were transfected with each siRNA as described in Section 2. Syk mRNA levels were determined using TaqMan PCR (Fig. 2A). Syk levels were normalized to RNA Polymerase II in each sample. An siRNA against GAPDH, as well as cells electroporated in the absence of siRNAs were used as negative controls. These two controls led to a minor decrease in Syk mRNA levels compared to untreated cells. Sequence A was the most potent siRNA with regards to Syk mRNA knockdown. Meanwhile sequence D, was the least potent. Whole cell protein extracts were also analyzed for Syk expression by Western blotting with a specific antibody



Fig. 2. Identification of active siRNAs against rat Syk. (A) RBL-2H3 cells were transfected with Syk siRNAs A-J. In addition, three controls were used. (1) untreated cells, (2) cells transfected with GAPDH siRNA, (3) cells electroporated in the absence of siRNAs. Syk mRNA levels were measured 2 days after transfection by TaqMan PCR. Expression of Syk was normalized to levels of RNA polymerase II mRNA and then expressed as the percentage expression compared to that in untreated cells. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean for triplicate determinations from three separate experiments. A paired Student's t-test was used to compare the statistical significance of the difference between data points. With the exception of Syk siRNA D, each Syk siRNA significantly reduced Syk mRNA expression relative to the GAPDH siRNA control with a p-value of less than 0.05. The percentage knockdown of Syk mRNA compared to untreated cells is also presented. (B) Total cell lysates were analyzed for levels of Syk protein by Western blot. Levels of β-Actin were examined to control for protein loading. Note that two Syk proteins (Syk and the smaller SykB) are expressed in RBL-2H3 cells as a result of alternate mRNA splicing [48].

(Fig. 2B). As a control, levels of β -actin were also examined to ensure equal loading of cellular proteins for each sample. Syk protein levels were reduced in a manner consistent with the potency of each siRNA towards Syk mRNA levels, with sequence A displaying the most potent knockdown of Syk protein.

siRNA A	Species specificity Rat	Sequence CCACUACACUAUCGAGAGA	Location in rat mRNA CDS bp: 505-523
В	Rat	GGUAUUACACUAUCGCAUC	CDS bp: 955-973
С	Rat	GCUGUAAGGUCACGUGGUA	3'UTR bp: 3030-3048
D	Rat	UUGCAUAAGGUUCGGCUAA	3'UTR bp: 3772-3790
Е	Rat	AUACUAGAGCAGACGGCAA	3'UTR bp: 3627-3645
F	Rat	GUCAAAGACCAACGGAAAA	CDS bp: 874-892
G	Rat, Mouse, Human	CCUCAUCAGGGAAUAUGUG	CDS bp: 697-715
н	Rat, Mouse, Human	GCCUGCUGCACGAAGGGAA	CDS bp: 936-954
I	Rat, Mouse, Human	GUCAUGCAGCAGCUGGACA	CDS bp: 1570-1588
J	Rat, Mouse, Human	GUGCUAAUGAAAACUACUA	CDS bp: 1862-1880

Fig. 1. Species specificity and sequence of each siRNA against Syk. The sequence of the Syk siRNAs A–J is shown along with the specific of location homology to the rat Syk mRNA (RefSeq_dna: NM_012758). The species specificity indicates that this siRNA has 100% homology with the given mRNA for either rat, mouse or human Syk. CDS; coding sequence, 3'UTR; 3' untranslated region, bp; base pair number.

3.3. Induction of the interferon-responsive gene IFIT1 by active Syk siRNAs

Induction of interferon (IFN) expression by double stranded RNA (dsRNA) comprises a first line of cellular defense against viral infection [20]. Viral dsRNA activates a range of cellular pathways including the dsRNA recognition protein PKR [23], toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3) [24] and the 2'-5'-oligoadenylate-dependent ribonuclease L (RNase L) [25,26] leading to inhibition of cellular translation and the expression of stress response genes including IFNs. Following IFN signaling, a wave of other genes are expressed including the IFN-stimulated genes (ISG), which function to block viral replication [20,27]. Activation of the IFN response has also been demonstrated in siRNA transfected cells and this complicates the therapeutic potential of these molecules [28,29]. In particular, Svk is considered a major target for inflammatory disorders and an induction of inflammatory mediators due to an anti-viral cellular response would be an unacceptable side-effect when designing Syk siRNA therapeutics.

The expression of IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats-1 (IFIT1/ISG56) was analyzed in mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells transfected with a selection of active Syk siRNAs (A, B, C, G, H, I and J) in order to determine if these siRNAs activated anti-viral cellular pathways. MEF cells have previously been shown to express interferon-responsive genes in response to siRNAs and therefore represent a valid experimental system [28,30]. Syk siR-NAs C, H and I increased the expression of IFIT1 by twofold suggestive of an activation of the IFN response (Fig. 3). The GAPDH siRNA as well as Syk siRNAs A, B, G and J were without effect on IFIT1 expression.

3.4. Knockdown of Syk reduces FccRI-mediated signal transduction in a manner similar to Syk kinase inhibitors

The ability of a selection of active Syk siRNAs (A, G and J) to block FccRI-mediated signal transduction was examined in RBL-2H3 cells. Crosslinking of the FccRI receptor in these cells led to a rapid phosphorylation Syk at tyrosine 346 (Fig. 4A). In addition, the Syk substrates LAT (tyrosine 226) and SLP-76 (tyrosine 128) as well as the associated protein PLC γ 1 (tyrosine 783) and downstream protein Erk (threonine 202/tyrosine 204) were also



Fig. 3. Expression of IFIT1 in response to siRNA transfection. MEF cells were transfected with Syk siRNAs A, B, C, G, H, I and J and the control siRNA against GAPDH and the expression of IFIT1 determined 2 days later using TaqMan PCR. IFIT1 expression was normalized to levels of RNA polymerase II mRNA and then expressed as the percentage expression compared to that in cell treated with the transfection reagent alone. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean for duplicate determinations from two separate experiments. A paired Student's *t*-test was used to compare the statistical significance of the difference between data points. With the exception of Syk siRNAs C and H (marked with an asterisk), no significant increase in IFIT1 expression relative to the GAPDH siRNA control was observed with a *p*-value of less than 0.05.

phosphorylated. A general activation of protein tyrosine phosphorylation with predominant signals at 100, 70-75 and 55 kDa was also observed in response to FccRI receptor crosslinking. Consistent with results presented above (Fig. 2), Syk siRNA A was the most potent in reducing levels of Syk protein. Sequences J and H were respectively less potent than sequence A. The phosphorylation of LAT, SLP-76, PLC γ 1 and Erk was decreased by Syk siRNAs in a manner that directly correlated with their effects on Syk expression. Interestingly, whilst the tyrosine phosphorylation of proteins at 100 and 70-75 kDa was reduced by Syk siRNAs, the phosphorylation of the 55 kDa protein was slightly enhanced. This suggests that the phosphorylation of this unidentified 55 kDa protein could be negatively regulated by Syk in response to FccRI receptor crosslinking. The total levels of LAT, SLP-76, PLC γ 1, Erk and β -actin were not affected by the Syk siRNAs indicating that the observed reduction in their respective phospho-forms was due to a decrease in their phosphorylation and not a decrease in their stability or expression.

We used the small-molecule inhibitors of the Syk kinase domain BAY61-3606, R406 and piceatannol to investigate if a similar inhibition of FccRI signaling could be observed with Syk inhibitors and Syk siRNAs. The FccRI-induced phosphorylation of LAT, SLP-76, PLCy1, Erk and proteins at 100, 70-75 and 55 kDa were dosedependently inhibited by the BAY61-3606 and R406 compounds (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, in contrast to the effects of Syk siRNAs, the phosphorylation of the 55 kDa protein decreased with BAY61-3606 and R406. This may represent a discrepancy in the mechanism of action of these two inhibitory approaches. The phosphorylation of Syk at tyrosine 346 was unaffected by these inhibitors suggesting that although it is activated following FccRI crosslinking, that it is not a substrate for Syk autocatalytic activity. When used at 20 µM, piceatannol led to a minor decrease in the phosphorylation of LAT, SLP-76, PLC_{γ1}, Erk and the 100, 70-75 and 55 kDa proteins, yet the inhibitor was without effect at the lower concentrations of 10 and 1 µM.

3.5. Syk siRNAs and inhibitors block degranulation and $\text{TNF}\alpha$ expression

The activation of mast cells and basophils via IgE complexes leads to degranulation and secretion of cytokines. We tested the ability of Syk siRNAs A, G and J and the Syk inhibitors to block these cellular responses in RBL-2H3 cells. All three Syk siRNAs potently blocked the release of β-hexosaminidase from activated RBL-2H3 cells (Fig. 5A). Sequence G was shown above to knockdown Syk protein to a lesser extent than siRNAs A and J. Consistent with this, the release of β -hexosaminidase was inhibited to a lesser extent. The BAY61-3606 and R406 Syk inhibitors also blocked β-hexosaminidase release (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, although 10 and 1 µM piceatannol was shown above to have no impact on FcERI signal transduction, these concentrations effectively blocked β-hexosaminidase release. This indicates that although piceatannol has no impact on Syk-mediated signal transduction, it exerts unrelated anti-inflammatory effects in activated RBL-2H3 cells. Each Syk siR-NA and inhibitor also blocked the release of TNF α and histamine by RBL-2H3 cells activated via FccRI-crosslinking (Table 1).

4. Discussion

Syk is widely expressed in the immune system where it is implicated in the transmission of signals via Fc receptors and other cell surface proteins [1]. This has led to Syk gaining a great deal of interest as a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of inflammatory diseases such as asthma [3], rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [31] and lupus [32]. Meanwhile, recent reports have sug-



Fig. 4. Knockdown of Syk reduces FccRI-mediated signal transduction in a manner similar to Syk kinase inhibitors. (A) RBL-2H3 cells were transfected with active Syk siRNAs A, G and J or the control siRNA against GAPDH and then treated with or without the FccRI-crosslinking antibody. Total cell lysates were analyzed by Western blot for expression and phosphorylation of Syk (tyrosine 346), SLP-76 (tyrosine 128), LAT (tyrosine 226), PLC γ 1 (tyrosine 783), Erk (threonine 202/tyrosine 204) and total tyrosine-phosphorylated proteins. Total levels of each protein as well as β -actin were also analyzed. (B) Untransfected RBL-2H3 cells were treated with the Syk small molecule kinase inhibitors BAY61–3606 (1 and 0.1 μ M), R406 (1 and 0.1 μ M) and piceatannol (20, 10 and 1 μ M) and then activated with the FccRI-crosslinking antibody. The phosphorylation of the same proteins mentioned in part A of this figure was analyzed by Western blot. Total Syk and β -actin levels were analyzed to control for protein loading.



Fig. 5. Syk siRNAs and inhibitors block FccRI-mediated β-hexosaminidase release. RBL-2H3 cells were treated with Syk siRNAs (A) and kinase inhibitors (B) and then activated by overnight incubation in the mouse anti-DNP IgE antibody followed by addition of DNP-BSA. β-Hexosaminidase activity was measure in culture supernatants as described in Section 2. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean for quadruplicate determinations. A paired Student's *t*-test was used to compare the statistical significance of the difference between data points. All treatments with Syk siRNAs and inhibitors led to a significantly reduced secretion of β-hexosaminidase compared to the control with a *p*-value of less than 0.05.

Table 1

Syk Knockdown and kinase inhibitors block TNF α expression and degranulation of histamine. RBL-2H3 cells were treated with the small-molecule inhibitors and siRNAs prior to activation of the FccRI receptor with IgE and DNP-albumin as described in Section 2. The percentage release of TNF α and histamine compared to IgE/DNP alone is presented. For reference, the IgE/DNP positive control resulted in the release of 30 pg/ml TNF α and 21.3 nM histamine. Results are typical of two identical experiments.

	TNFa (% control)	Histamine (% control)
No Treatment	0	0
IgE/DNP	100	100
BAY61-3606 1 μM	0	0
BAY61-3606 0.1 μM	0	0
R406 1 μM	0	0
R406 0.1 μM	9	0
Piceatannol 20 µM	23	13
Piceatannol 1 µM	29	79
siRNA A	0	0
siRNA G	28	12
siRNA J	34	21

gested that Syk may represent a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of B and T cell lymphomas [33,34]. Current approaches towards Syk inhibition include the use of small molecule kinase domain inhibitors and in particular the R406 compound has shown efficacy in animal and human models of RA [16,32] and immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) [35].

A novel approach towards Syk inhibition could be realized in the blockage of Syk expression using techniques such as anti-sense oligonucleotides or RNAi. These approaches potentially enable the reduction of gene expression as a means of target modulation as an alternative to the action of small-molecule inhibitors for which there are only a limited number of 'druggable' targets. Aerolized Syk anti-sense oligonucleotides have been show to reduce Syk expression in alveolar macrophages and also blocked IgG/antigen-complex induced nitric oxide and TNF α release, pulmonary infiltration of inflammatory cells and allergic contraction of the trachea [36–38]. These studies highlight the potential efficacy of targeting Syk expression levels as a novel therapeutic principle in airway inflammatory diseases. Yet the potency of different siRNAa towards Syk knockdown and also the specificity of these sequences have not been directly investigated.

In this study, we designed a range of different Svk siRNA sequences and tested their ability to knockdown Svk in the rat basophilic RBL-2H3 cell line. Syk siRNAs A-C and E-J all knocked down Syk mRNA and protein expression and each tested siRNA was also able to block FccRI-induced signal transduction, degranulation and TNF α release in a manner similar to the Syk inhibitors BAY61-3606 and R406. Interestingly, the commonly used and published Syk inhibitor piceatannol was shown to block RBL-2H3 cell degranulation and TNF α release at concentrations which did not affect Sykmediated signal transduction. This highlights the Syk-independent anti-inflammatory properties of this compound that must be considered in future studies. The blockage of FccRI signaling by each siRNA was directly proportional to their effect on Syk expression. These results not only suggest a fundamental role of Syk in FccRI signaling, but also demonstrate the efficacy of the siRNA approach in the targeting of FccRI-dependent allergic responses.

The clinical potential of siRNAs is somewhat limited by complications associated with activation of cellular anti-viral interferoninducible genes and unanticipated off-target effects on other mRNAs. Syk siRNA A was a unique sequence in that it displayed the most potent knockdown of Syk expression and reduction of FccRI-activated signaling and cellular responses, coupled with a lack of effect on the cellular IFN response. Furthermore, Affymetrics analysis of the effect of Syk siRNA A on the global expression of rat mRNAs in RBL-2H3 cells revealed no off-target regulatory effects (data not shown). The identification of this sequence and its desirable in vitro profile, raises the possibility of this sequence becoming a candidate for progress into Syk-dependent diseases models in vivo. Other limiting factors for potential siRNA therapeutics include complications regarding the efficient delivery to specific target cells and the maintenance of siRNA in vivo stability. Airway delivery of siRNAs via inhalation has the advantage of potentially limiting systemic distribution of the molecules, whilst locally targeting airway cells and their genes [39-41]. Airway siR-NAs have already demonstrated efficient local knockdown of targets including heme oxygenase-1 [42], glyceraldehyde-3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) [43] as well as the blockage of the replication and pathogenicity influenza virus A [44], respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [45,46] and SARS coronavirus (SCV) [47]. Future studies are warranted to clarify the potential of inhaled Syk siRNAs in models of airway inflammatory cells in vivo.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the following people at Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma for their excellent technical assistance and support of this work; Andreas Grieser, Bernd Guilliard, Susanne Müller, Christine Pischzan, Margit Ried, Christine Strasser and Eva Wex. We would also like to thank the following academic staff members at Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma for their support and contributions; Drs. Thierry Bouyssou, Florian Gantner, Matthias Grauert, Tobias Hildebrandt, Silke Hobbie, Matthias Hoffmann, Takeshi Kono, Peter Seither, Eric Simon and Stefan-Lutz Wollin.

References

- M. Turner, E. Schweighoffer, F. Colucci, J.P. Di Santo, V.L. Tybulewicz, Immunol. Today 21 (2000) 148–154.
- [2] D.M. Underhill, H.S. Goodridge, Trends Immunol. 28 (2007) 66-73.
- [3] B.R. Wong, E.B. Grossbard, D.G. Payan, E.S. Masuda, Expert. Opin. Investig. Drugs 13 (2004) 743-762.
- [4] M. Ohtsuka, H. Arase, A. Takeuchi, S. Yamasaki, R. Shiina, T. Suenaga, D. Sakurai, T. Yokosuka, N. Arase, M. Iwashima, T. Kitamura, H. Moriya, T. Saito, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004) 8126–8131.
- [5] K. Suzuki-Inoue, G.L. Fuller, A. Garcia, J.A. Eble, S. Pohlmann, O. Inoue, T.K. Gartner, S.C. Hughan, A.C. Pearce, G.D. Laing, R.D. Theakston, E. Schweighoffer, N. Zitzmann, T. Morita, V.L. Tybulewicz, Y. Ozaki, S.P. Watson, Blood 107 (2006) 542–549.
- [6] C. Ratthe, D. Girard, J. Leukoc. Biol. 76 (2004) 162-168.
- [7] Y. Minami, Y. Nakagawa, A. Kawahara, T. Miyazaki, K. Sada, H. Yamamura, T. Taniguchi, Immunity 2 (1995) 89–100.
- [8] V. Duprez, U. Blank, S. Chretien, S. Gisselbrecht, P. Mayeux, J. Biol. Chem. 273 (1998) 33985–33990.
- [9] A. Chaudhary, T.M. Fresquez, M.J. Naranjo, Immunol. Cell Biol. (2007).
- [10] M. Ulanova, F. Duta, L. Puttagunta, A.D. Schreiber, A.D. Befus, Expert. Opin. Ther. Targets 9 (2005) 901–921.
- [11] M. Bajpai, P. Chopra, S.G. Dastidar, A. Ray, Expert. Opin. Investig. Drugs 17 (2008) 641–659.
- [12] E.O. Meltzer, R.B. Berkowitz, E.B. Grossbard, J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 115 (2005) 791–796.
- [13] B.J. Guyer, S.R. Shimamoto, A.L. Bradhurst, E.B. Grossbard, S.C. Dreskin, H.S. Nelson, Allergy Asthma Proc. 27 (2006) 208–213.
- [14] S. Braselmann, V. Taylor, H. Zhao, S. Wang, C. Sylvain, M. Baluom, K. Qu, E. Herlaar, A. Lau, C. Young, B.R. Wong, S. Lovell, T. Sun, G. Park, A. Argade, S. Jurcevic, P. Pine, R. Singh, E.B. Grossbard, D.G. Payan, E.S. Masuda, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther, 319 (2006) 998–1008.
- [15] N. Yamamoto, K. Takeshita, M. Shichijo, T. Kokubo, M. Sato, K. Nakashima, M. Ishimori, H. Nagai, Y.F. Li, T. Yura, K.B. Bacon, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 306 (2003) 1174–1181.
- [16] M.E. Weinblatt, A. Kavanaugh, R. Burgos-Vargas, A.H. Dikranian, G. Medrano-Ramirez, J.L. Morales-Torres, F.T. Murphy, T.K. Musser, N. Straniero, A.V. Vicente-Gonzales, E. Grossbard, Arthritis Rheum. 58 (2008) 3309–3318.
- [17] P.Y. Lu, M.C. Woodle, Methods Mol. Biol. 437 (2008) 93-107.
- [18] M. Wood, H. Yin, G. McClorey, PLoS Genet. 3 (2007) e109.
- [19] C. Huang, M. Li, C. Chen, Q. Yao, Expert Opin. Ther. Targets 12 (2008) 637-645.
- [20] S.N. Sarkar, G.C. Sen, Pharmacol. Ther. 103 (2004) 245–259.
- [21] J.M. Oliver, D.L. Burg, B.S. Wilson, J.L. McLaughlin, R.L. Geahlen, J. Biol. Chem. 269 (1994) 29697–29703.
- [22] M.A. Silverman, J. Shoag, J. Wu, G.A. Koretzky, Mol. Cell. Biol. 26 (2006) 1826– 1838.

- [23] A. Kumar, J. Haque, J. Lacoste, J. Hiscott, B.R. Williams, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91 (1994) 6288–6292.
- [24] L. Alexopoulou, A.C. Holt, R. Medzhitov, R.A. Flavell, Nature 413 (2001) 732-738.
- [25] S.L. Liang, D. Quirk, A. Zhou, IUBMB Life 58 (2006) 508-514.
- [26] A. Zhou, J.M. Paranjape, S.D. Der, B.R. Williams, R.H. Silverman, Virology 258 (1999) 435–440.
- [27] S.D. Der, A. Zhou, B.R. Williams, R.H. Silverman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95 (1998) 15623–15628.
 [28] C.A. Sledz, M. Holko, M.J. de Veer, R.H. Silverman, B.R. Williams, Nat. Cell Biol. 5
- (2003) 834–839.
 [29] D. Siolas, C. Lerner, J. Burchard, W. Ge, P.S. Linsley, P.J. Paddison, G.J. Hannon,
- [25] D. Stotas, C. Leffiel, J. Burchard, W. Ge, F.S. Entstey, F.J. Faddison, G.J. Hannon, M.A. Cleary, Nat. Biotechnol. 23 (2005) 227–231.
- [30] F. Terenzi, C. White, S. Pal, B.R. Williams, G.C. Sen, J. Virol. 81 (2007) 8656-8665.
- [31] P.R. Pine, B. Chang, N. Schoettler, M.L. Banquerigo, S. Wang, A. Lau, F. Zhao, E.B. Grossbard, D.G. Payan, E. Brahn, Clin. Immunol. 124 (2007) 244–257.
- [32] F.R. Bahjat, P.R. Pine, A. Reitsma, G. Cassafer, M. Baluom, S. Grillo, B. Chang, F.F. Zhao, D.G. Payan, E.B. Grossbard, D.I. Daikh, Arthritis Rheum. 58 (2008) 1433– 1444.
- [33] R.M. Young, I.R. Hardy, R.L. Clarke, N. Lundy, P. Pine, B.C. Turner, T.A. Potter, Y. Refaeli, Blood 113 (2009) 2508–2516.
- [34] A.L. Feldman, D.X. Sun, M.E. Law, A.J. Novak, A.D. Attygalle, E.C. Thorland, S.R. Fink, J.A. Vrana, B.L. Caron, W.G. Morice, E.D. Remstein, K.L. Grogg, P.J. Kurtin, W.R. Macon, A. Dogan, Leukemia 22 (2008) 1139–1143.
- [35] A. Podolanczuk, A.H. Lazarus, A.R. Crow, E. Grossbard, J.B. Bussel, Blood (2008).
- [36] G.R. Stenton, M. Ulanova, R.E. Dery, S. Merani, M.K. Kim, M. Gilchrist, L. Puttagunta, S. Musat-Marcu, D. James, A.D. Schreiber, A.D. Befus, J. Immunol. 169 (2002) 1028–1036.
- [37] G.R. Stenton, M.K. Kim, O. Nohara, C.F. Chen, N. Hirji, F.L. Wills, M. Gilchrist, P.H. Hwang, J.G. Park, W. Finlay, R.L. Jones, A.D. Befus, A.D. Schreiber, J. Immunol. 164 (2000) 3790–3797.
- [38] M. Ulanova, L. Puttagunta, M.K. Kim, A.D. Schreiber, A.D. Befus, Curr. Opin. Investig. Drugs 4 (2003) 552–555.
- [39] V. Bitko, S. Barik, Methods Mol. Biol. 442 (2008) 75-82.
- [40] N. Durcan, C. Murphy, S.A. Cryan, Mol. Pharm. 5 (2008) 559–566.
- [41] F.D. Popescu, J. Cell. Mol. Med. 9 (2005) 840-853.
- [42] X. Zhang, P. Shan, D. Jiang, P.W. Noble, N.G. Abraham, A. Kappas, P.J. Lee, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 10677–10684.
- [43] D. Massaro, G.D. Massaro, L.B. Clerch, Am J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol. 287 (2004) L1066-L1070.
- [44] S.M. Tompkins, C.Y. Lo, T.M. Tumpey, S.L. Epstein, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004) 8682–8686.
- [45] X. Kong, W. Zhang, R.F. Lockey, A. Auais, G. Piedimonte, S.S. Mohapatra, Genet.Vaccines Ther. 5 (2007) 4.
- [46] V. Bitko, A. Musiyenko, O. Shulyayeva, S. Barik, Nat. Med. 11 (2005) 50–55.
 [47] B.J. Li, Q. Tang, D. Cheng, C. Qin, F.Y. Xie, Q. Wei, J. Xu, Y. Liu, B.J. Zheng, M.C.
- Woodle, N. Zhong, P.Y. Lu, Nat. Med. 11 (2005) 944–951.
- [48] S. Latour, J. Zhang, R.P. Siraganian, A. Veillette, EMBO J. 17 (1998) 2584-2595.