

Involvement of genetic factors in the response to a variable-dosing ranibizumab treatment regimen for age-related macular degeneration

Slawomir J. Teper,¹ Anna Nowinska,¹ Jaroslaw Pilat,¹ Andrzej Palucha,² Edward Wylegala¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Okregowy Szpital Kolejowy, Katowice, Poland; ²Genomed, Warsaw, Poland

Purpose: To determine whether gene polymorphisms of the major genetic risk factor for age-related macular susceptibility 2 (ARMS2 A69S) and the complement factor H Y402H influence the response to a variable-dosing treatment regimen with ranibizumab for age-related macular degeneration.

Methods: This prospective cohort study included 90 patients (90 eyes) with exudative age related macular degeneration (AMD) treated with ranibizumab. Patients underwent a 1-year treatment as in the Study of Ranibizumab in Patients with Subfoveal Choroidal Neovascularization Secondary to Age-Related Macular Degeneration (Mitchell et al.). Injections were administered monthly when a patient lost five letters on the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart or gained 100 µm in central subfield retinal thickness (CSRT). Genotypes (rs10490924 and rs1061170) were analyzed using gene sequence analysis. Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and CSRT values were compared between ARMS2 and complement factor H genotypes. Multiple regression analysis was used to assess the statistical significance.

Results: Mean increase in visual acuity was 4.44±8.12 letters with a 103.63±94.7 µm decrease in CSRT. BCVA improvement was statistically significant in all genotype groups except in homozygous 69S in the AMRS2 gene. CSRT and BCVA changes were correlated (r=0.2521; 95% CI: 0.04746-0.4364, p=0.0165). Multiple regression analysis revealed a significant impact of 69S (p=0.015) on the change in BCVA.

Conclusions: Visual acuity did not improve during the study in patients homozygous for ARMS2 69S, despite a decrease in CSRT. Further investigation is needed to confirm our findings and understand the mechanisms involved.

The complement factor H (CFH) and the age-related macular susceptibility 2 (ARMS2) variants Y402H and A69S are major genetic risk and progression factors in age-related macular degeneration (AMD) [1]. The CFH protein is responsible for regulating the complement alternative pathway, which based on the significant number of riskmodifying single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified among protein cascade genes in AMD, is crucially involved in the etiology of AMD [2]. The role of ARMS2 has not been fully elucidated; however, some findings suggest that it is involved in the extracellular matrix [3]. Due to strong linkage disequilibrium between ARMS2 and HtrA serine peptidase 1 (HTRA1; a serine peptidase gene) and the equal contribution of their variants (rs11200638 and rs10490924) to AMD, these genes are usually mentioned together [4].

AMD remains a leading cause of legal blindness in developed countries [5]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibition via injection of anti-VEGF monoclonal antibodies (e.g., bevacizumab and ranibizumab) has become the gold standard for AMD treatment in the last decade based on findings from the MARINA and ANCHOR studies [6,7]. Questions raised about the costliness and safety of monthly intravitreal injections of ranibizumab, however, have led to the search for new prognostic factors. Increasing the period between treatments decreases the rate (0.05% per injection) of endophthalmitis, one of the most common and potentially vision damaging complications [8].

Ranibizumab inhibits all VEGF isoforms, and thus, improves the efficacy of VEGF treatment [6,7]. The VEGF 121 isoform, however, is a neurotrophic factor and the longterm effects of its inhibition are not known [8]. Different regimens have been investigated in an effort to avoid potential complications and optimize outcomes. Evidence to support the necessity of injections given every month for the first three months is related to the clear best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) benefit during this period [6,7], but the treatment regimen following this period is a matter of debate. The Study of Ranibizumab in Patients With Subfoveal Choroidal Neovascularization Secondary to Age-Related Macular Degeneration (SUSTAIN) was one of the most important multi-centered clinical trials intended to determine if fewer, carefully timed injections could provide results similar to those in the MARINA and ANCHOR studies [9]. In addition, the cost of monthly treatment could be reduced by at least half if the SUSTAIN criteria were applied to determine the treatment regimen.

Correspondence to: Slawomir Teper, Okregowy Szpital Kolejowy w Katowicach, Ul. Panewnicka 65, 40-760 Katowice, Poland; Phone: 0048662281271; FAX: 0048327000152; email: slawomir.teper@gmail.com

	Visit												
Procedure	Baseline	I-III	IV-V	VI	VII-XI	XII							
BCVA (ETDRS)	+	+	+	+	+	+							
Tonometry	+	+	+	+	+	+							
Slit-lamp examination and indirect ophthalmoscopy	+	+	+	+	+	+							
OCT	+	+	+	+	+	+							
FA	+	-	-	+	-	+							
Ranibizumab injection	-	+	optional	optional	optional	-							

TABLE 1		STUDY	VISIT	SCHEDULE.
---------	--	-------	-------	-----------

BCVA – best-corrected visual acuity, ETDRS – Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study charts, OCT – optical coherence tomography, FA – fluorescein angiography.

Because AMD is a complex disease with a strong genetic background, pharmacogenomics may allow for more individualized therapy.

The purpose of the present study was to determine whether gene polymorphisms affect the response to a variable-dosing regimen treatment with ranibizumab (Lucentis, Genentech/Novartis) in patients with choroidal neovascularization (CNV) subsequent to AMD. Gene variants selected for the study were *ARMS2* A69S – rs10490924 and *CFH* Y402H – rs1061170. Their putative influence on treatment efficacy was previously reported in patients undergoing photodynamic therapy (PDT) and bevacizumab treatment [10–14], and the effects of treatment with ranibizumab and *CFH* Y402H have been studied [15]. We chose variants with the highest contribution to the disease for the present study.

METHODS

A cohort of 90 consecutive patients (90 eyes; 47 women and 43 men; Caucasians; mean age, 71.62 ± 8.4 years) of the eye clinic at the OSK Hospital in Katowice participated in the study. Active subfoveal CNV subsequent to AMD was confirmed with fluorescein angiography (FA) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) at baseline. All patients had intraretinal cysts or subretinal fluid or both in the fovea. Of the 90 patients, 74 were not treatment- naïve. Patients were enrolled in the study at least 3 months after any VEGF inhibitor injection, and 6 months after PDT or intravitreal triamcinolone. Subdividing patients into treatment groups was not possible due to the large variety of treatment modalities they had undergone before the study (PDT, ranibizumab, bevacizumab, pegaptanib, steroids, and combination therapy).

The study was a 1-center, 1-year, prospective cohort study that was performed by a genotype-masked study team comprised of BCVA and OCT technicians as well as the treating investigator. Genetic factors were not revealed to the study team until the end of the final follow-up visit. All patients provided written informed consent before any study procedure was initiated. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland (NN-6501–158/I/07) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

In our study, patients with subfoveal CNV subsequent to AMD underwent a 12-month ranibizumab treatment. The SUSTAIN study criteria were used to determine the need for reinjection after the first three monthly injections.

Visits were scheduled every month and subjects were reinjected each time one of the following criteria was met:

– loss of 5 letters on the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) charts compared to the highest number of letters during the first 3 months of the study; and

- gain of more than 100 μ m in central subfield retinal thickness (CSRT) compared to the lowest CSRT during the first 3 months of the study.

A CSRT value greater than 225 μ m was a prerequisite for reinjection after the first 3 months. A dose of 0.5 mg/0.05 ml ranibizumab was used for each treatment.

Examinations: All patients underwent a thorough examination at baseline, including BCVA, fundus photography, FA, OCT, slit-lamp examination, indirect ophthalmoscopy, and Goldmann applanation tonometry. The study visit schedule is shown in Table 1.

Visual acuity was measured at 4 m with the ETDRS charts by one of two experienced testers after standardized refraction. OCT Stratus III, software version 4.0.2 (Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA) was used to assess the retinal morphology (Retinal Thickness Map protocol) and CSRT (Fast Retinal Thickness Map protocol). All scans were acquired by the same experienced OCT technician. FA and fundus photography (Visucam FF450+; Zeiss) were performed and interpreted by an experienced physician (J.P.) blinded to. Initial neovascular activity, and size and type of lesion (predominantly classic, minimally classic, occult) were assessed.

DNA collection, isolation, amplification, and sequencing: DNA was isolated from dry blood samples collected on FTA[®] cards (Whatmann, Maidstone, UK). For DNA isolation, a disc (diameter, 2.0 mm) was punched and

collected in a sterile microcentrifuge tube. DNA was isolated using the lysis and neutralization solutions from the REDExtract-N-Amp[™] Blood PCR Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For PCR amplification of the ARMS2 (5'-ATA CCC AGG ACC GAT GGT AAC-3' and 5'-AGA GGA AGG CTG AAT TGC CTA-3' primer pair) and the CFH (5'-TTG ACT AAT GCC CAT TAA TAG GAG-3' and 5'-TTG ATA TTT CTT TTT GTG CAA ACC-3' primer pair) allele, the 2X PCR reaction mix from the same kit was used with 1 µl of DNA sample and 5 pmol of each primer in a 25-µl reaction mix. The amplification conditions were as follows: 95 °C initial denaturation for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C denaturation for 10 s, 58 °C (for ARMS2) or 50 °C (for CFH) annealing for 20 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 40 s. In all PCR reactions, a final elongation step was applied at 72 °C for 7 min. The quality and quantity of the PCR products was verified on 1% agarose gels by electrophoresis in Tris/borate/ EDTA buffer. Approximately 20 ng of each PCR product was purified using the ExoSAP-IT[®] enzyme mix (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions and directly submitted for DNA sequence analysis.

Statistics: Baseline differences between genotype groups were tested (i.e., BCVA, CSRT, type of lesion, area of lesion) using the Kruskal–Wallis test. BCVA and CSRT before and after treatment were compared. A Wilcoxon pair test was used to assess statistical significance. The possible relationship between baseline factors and both visual acuity and CSRT change was tested using multiple regression analysis. The following parameters were taken into consideration: age, sex, Y402H and A69S polymorphisms, CNV type, BCVA, CSRT, and lesion area at baseline. The correlation coefficient for BCVA and CSRT changes was calculated. MedCalc 10.2.0.0 (MedCalc Software bvba, Mariakerke, Belgium) was used for all analyses.

RESULTS

BCVA and CSRT: The average increase in visual acuity (4.44±8.12 letters) was lower than that reported in the MARINA and ANCHOR studies, with a 103.63±94.7 decrease in CSRT. CSRT and BCVA changes were correlated (r=0.2521; 95% CI: 0.04746–0.4364, p=0.0165). We did not observe significant BCVA improvement in the *ARMS2* 69S homozygous group. In patients homozygous for *CFH* 402H, the significance of the BCVA change (p=0.04) was lower than in the Y402H heterozygous and 402Y homozygous groups (Table 2).

Genotyping: Genotyping results and baseline characteristics of the study cohort are presented in Table 2. Genotype frequencies of both polymorphisms were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

Treatment efficacy factors: A multiple regression analysis was performed to assess the independency of the SNP as a

factor associated with treatment efficacy. The BCVA, CSRT, and number of injections are presented in Table 3, and the final BCVA, CSRT, and number of injections divided by genotype group are presented in Table 2. Marked values are statistically significant compared with the baseline value. The multiple regression analysis results are presented in Table 3 (BCVA, OCT, number of injections); this analysis revealed a significant influence of 69S homozygosity on the treatment efficacy measured with BCVA change (p=0.015).

R38X: Sequence analysis of several *ARMS2* loci in our samples revealed the presence of an additional Arg38Opal (stop) C/T genotype (R38X). The R38X *ARMS2* SNP was present in 16 patients. In seven patients, it coexisted with A69S SNP on another allele. R38X did not correlate with the final BCVA or CSRT.

DISCUSSION

This prospective study revealed a correlation between the ARMS2 genotype and ranibizumab efficacy when injected according to the SUSTAIN study protocol. Patients homozygous for the 69S variant showed a poor response, especially with regard to BCVA; this group was the only group of patients that did not gain letters on the ETDRS chart. As the function of ARMS2 remains unknown, there is currently no pathophysiological explanation for the influence of A69S. Although there were no significant effects of CFH Y402H, BCVA improvement was relatively low in the homozygous 402H group (p=0.04). Because the ranibizumab treatment regimen was not consistently administered to any of the subjects, the effect of the chosen reinjection criteria cannot be excluded as an important environmental factor and possible bias. In a previous, retrospective, 9-month ranibizumab study, patients were treated at the physician's discretion [15], which is why the results are not comparable.

The first pharmacogenetic paper on AMD was published in 2007, in which no significant effects were detected in a study of 88 patients who had undergone PDT due to AMD [10]. Subsequent papers reported conflicting results. Goverdhan et al. published a study concluding that 402H may predispose to predominantly classic lesions, and patients homozygous for 402H (CC) had significantly worse results after PDT [13]. However, there were only two TT patients (homozygous for 402Y) that were studied. Furthermore, we know that patients with this type of CNV tend to respond better to PDT [16]. Brantley et al. [11] reported that if patients with predominantly classic lesions are subdivided by genotype, CC and CT patients have significantly better results. Interestingly, the CC genotype was a negative prognostic factor in a cohort treated with bevacizumab every 6 weeks [14]. This effect was also observed in a population treated with ranibizumab [15]. In our study, patients homozygous for 402H tended to have worse results, but this effect was not significant. In the previously mentioned study

Cert Y 4011 Cert Y 4011 AnteX 5.605 Charpe I Cert Y 4011 I AnteX 5.605 Circ			TABLE 2	. STUDY POPULATION CHA	ARACTERISTICS.			
$ \begin{array}{cccc} {\bf Centorpe} & {\bf MI} & {\bf CT} & {\bf TT} & {\bf TT} & {\bf TT} & {\bf CT} & {\bf CT} \\ {\bf Gentorpe} \\ {\bf Lennares} & {\bf 15.61} & {\bf 17.82} & {\bf 17.83} & {\bf 10.01} & {\bf 11.00} & {\bf 11.007} & {\bf 14.16.17} & {\bf 14.5.17} & {\bf 6.45} & {\bf 12.9.13} & {\bf 12.10.11} & {\bf 7.115} & {\bf 17.82} & {\bf 17.83} & {\bf 10.01} & {\bf 17.81} & {\bf 10.01} & {\bf 17.81} & {\bf 10.01} & {\bf 11.007} & {\bf 14.16.17} & {\bf 6.45} & {\bf 12.9.13} & {\bf 12.10.11} & {\bf 7.115} & {\bf 17.90} & {\bf 12.0011} & {\bf 27.115} & {\bf 17.90} & {\bf 12.0011} & {\bf 27.115} & {\bf 17.90} & {\bf 12.0011} & {\bf 27.115} & {\bf 27.001} & {\bf 27.001} & {\bf 27.012} & {\bf 27.01$			CFH	Y402H		ARMS	3 A69S	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Genotype	ША	CC	CT	\mathbf{TT}	TT	GT	99
	Genotype frequency	·	28 (31.1%)	47 (52.2%)	15 (16.7%)	26 (28.9%)	33 (36.7%)	31 (34.4%)
	Lesion area	15.64	17.82	14.75	14.38	15.31	16.81	14.68
	[mm ²]	± 10.63	±13.22	± 10.26	±7.16	± 11.88	± 10.0	± 10.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kruskal–Wallis test H (2, n=90)=0.6011253 p=0.74 H (2. n=90)=1.366674 n=0.505							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Type of CNV	31:30	11:10:7	14:16:17	6:4:5	12:9:13	12:10:11	7:11:5
	pdc:mc:oc	29						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kruskal–Wallis test H (2, n=90)=0 6248223 n=0 732							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H(2, n=90)=4.495667							
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	p=0.106 RCVA	41 79	40.28	43 51	39.2	4131	38.79	45 39
Kuskal-Wallis test H (2, $n=90)=1.164531$ p=0.559 H (2, n=90)=3.937457 p=0.1446.23 $4.6.54$ 42.32 ± 16.57 49.06 ± 15.78 44.67 ± 17.82 42.65 ± 17.09 43.12 ± 16.93 52.55 ± 14.13 (2, n=90)=3.937457 p=0.1446.23 ± 16.57 4.6.77 ± 16.57 ± 15.78 ± 15.78 ± 17.82 	[[etters]	±14.52	±15.62	±14.19	±13.66	±16.47	± 13.7	±13.26
$ \begin{array}{cccccccc} {\rm Cr} {\rm Control} {\rm Contro} {\rm Control} {\rm Control} {\rm Control} {\rm Contro$	Kruskal-Wallis test H (2, n=90)=1.164551 p=0.559 H 00 ==-000)=2 037457 ==-014							
$ \left[[\text{tetters}] \right] = \frac{\pm 16.54}{16.54} = \frac{\pm 16.77}{16.71} = \frac{\pm 15.78}{2.555} = \frac{\pm 17.09}{5.547} = \frac{\pm 17.09}{1.35} = \frac{\pm 16.93}{2.433} = \frac{\pm 14.13}{7.16} \right] \\ (4.4\pm 8.12) = (2.04\pm 6.01) = \frac{\pm 9.53}{2.5533} = \frac{\pm 5.85}{2.553} = \frac{\pm 17.09}{2.8822} = \frac{\pm 16.93}{2.533} = \frac{\pm 14.13}{2.533} \right] \\ (7.16) = \frac{\pm 9.001}{2.5533} = \frac{\pm 5.85}{2.5533} = \frac{\pm 8.87}{2.8873} = \frac{\pm 8.87}{2.8822} = \frac{\pm 5.39}{2.41.35} \right] \\ (7.16) = \frac{\pm 9.001}{2.001} = \frac{9-0.01}{9-0.01} = \frac{9-0.01}{9-0.01} = \frac{9-0.01}{9-0.01} = \frac{9-0.01}{9-0.01} = \frac{9-0.01}{9-0.01} \\ (7.16) = \frac{10.55}{2.1356} = \frac{\pm 10.22}{3.33.71\pm 85.53} = \frac{\pm 10.22}{3.25.87} = \frac{\pm 10.35}{3.12.85} = \frac{\pm 9.09}{3.33.818} = \frac{3.11.55}{3.31.355} \\ \text{Kuskal-Wallis test H (2, 1) = 0.59 H \\ (2, n=90)=3.97457 \text{ p}=0.14 \\ (101.49) (118.8) (118.8) (89.27) (113.09) (85.39\pm 10.8) \\ (103.63-94.7) (99.11 (101.49) (118.8) (89.27) (113.09) (85.39\pm 10.8) \\ (103.63-94.7) (99.11 (101.49) (118.8) (89.27) (113.09) (85.39\pm 10.8) \\ (103.63-94.7) (99.11 (101.49) (118.8) (89.27) (113.09) (85.39\pm 10.8) \\ (103.63-94.7) (99.11 (101.49) (118.8) (89.27) (113.09) (85.39\pm 10.8) \\ (103.63-94.1) \text{ p}=0.01 \\ \text$	BCVA after 12 months	46.23	42.32	49.06	44.67	42.65	43.12	52.55
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[letters]	± 16.54	± 16.77	±15.78	±17.82	±17.09	± 16.93	± 14.13
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ĩ	(4.44 ± 8.12)	(2.04 ± 6.01)	(5.55	(5.47	(1.35	(4.33	(7.16
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				± 9.53	± 5.85)	±8.87)	±8.92)	± 5.39)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		p<0.01	p=0.04	p<0.01	p<0.01	p=0.5	p<0.01	p<0.01
Kruskal-Wallis test H (2, n=90)=1.164551 $p=0.559$ H (2, $n=90$)=3.937457 $p=0.14$ (2, $n=90$)=3.937457 $p=0.14$ (2, $n=90$)=3.937457 $p=0.14$ (2, $n=90$)=3.937457 $p=0.14$ (2, $n=90$)=3.937457 $p=0.14$ (103.63 ± 94.7) p=20.01 p=2.255.97 q=25.55.97	CSRT [µm]	331.95±99.05	333.71 ± 85.53	332.85	325.87	312.85	338.18	341.35
Kruskal-Wallis test H (2, $n=90$)=1.164551 p=0.559 H $0=90$)=1.164551 p=0.559 H $(2, n=90)$ =3.937457 p=0.14 $(2, n=90)$ =3.937457 p=0.14 $(2, n=90)$ =3.937457 p=0.14 $(2, n=90)$ =3.937457 p=0.14 (103.63 ± 94.7) ± 72.27 ± 80.08 ± 72.27 ± 80.08 ± 72.27 ± 83.49 ± 92.13 ± 72.27 ± 83.49 ± 92.87 ± 122.19 ± 122.19 ± 122.19 ± 122.19 ± 100.66 ± 83.49 ± 92.01 $p=0.01$				± 100.22	± 123.31	± 103.54	±89.69	± 105.55
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Kruskal-Wallis test H (2, n=90)=1.164551 p=0.559 H (2, n=90)=3.937457 p=0.14							
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CSRT after 12 months [µm]	228.32	234.61	231.36	207.07	223.58	206.09	255.97
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		±72.27	±80.08	±71.59	±58.35	±82.03	± 46.69	± 78.80
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(103.63 ± 94.7)	(99.11	(101.49)	(118.8	(89.27	(132.09	(85.39 ± 91.98)
p<0.01p<0.01p<0.01p<0.01p<0.01Injections 5.77 ± 1.51 5.96 ± 1.64 5.83 ± 1.34 5.2 ± 1.74 5.85 ± 1.51 5.79 ± 1.56 5.68 ± 1.51		p<0.01	± 83.49)	±92.87)	±122.19)	± 100.66)	± 88.16)	p<0.01
Injections 5.77±1.51 5.96±1.64 5.83±1.34 5.2±1.74 5.85±1.51 5.79±1.56 5.68±1.51			p<0.01	p<0.01	p<0.01	p<0.01	p<0.01	
	Injections	5.77±1.51	5.96±1.64	5.83 ± 1.34	5.2±1.74	5.85±1.51	5.79±1.56	5.68±1.51

© 2010 Molecular Vision

											d	0.3763	0.1722	0.1687		0.5012	0.0611		0.6061	0.7319	0.6063									
	ijections			122	2454			CCC		957	t	0.89	1.377	1.389		0.676	1.899		0.518	0.344	0.517									
	Number of in			0.1	0.02		Ċ	0		1.4	Std. Error	0.2094	0.2359	0.2045		0.01558	0.001687		0.01133	0.0194	0.3216									
											Coefficient	0.1863	0.325	0.2841		0.01053	0.003203		0.005864	0.006667	0.1664	Mean Squ	are		2.8631	726 6	1.2799	p=0.266		
	inal CSRT)										d	0.3001	0.3304	0.1708		0.0784	<0.001		0.41	0.38	0.4968	Sum of Square	S		22.9046	181 1954				
	aseline – fi			718	295		0,0	700		9625	t	1.043	-0.979	-1.382		-1.782	10.07		-0.828	0.883	0.683		DF		8	81	10			
Table 3. Multiple regression results	CSRT change (CSRT at b		0.5	0.7		0.5		64.	Std. Error	9.0955	10.2473	8.8828		0.6768	0.07327		0.492	0.8425	13.9674	Mean Sq	uare	57050.8	4 1000	4220.12	þ					
								Coefficient	9.486	-10.0345	-12.274		-1.2062	0.7378		-0.4074	0.7436	9.5343	Sum of Square	S		456406.7	341830.2	13.51875	p<0.001	- 				
	eline)										d	0.0147	0.1436	0.1792		0.1541	0.0513		0.8419	0.1441	0.7059		DF		8	81	10			
	- BCVA at base			0.2004								t	-2.492	-1.477	-1.355		-1.439	1.978		-0.2	-1.475	-0.379	Mean Sq	uare	146.970	ŝ	57 9069			
	ange (final BCVA -					0.2004	0.1215		0.4477	0.4477		7.6097	Std. Error	1.0654	1.2004	1.0405		0.07928	0.008583		0.05763	0.09868	1.6361	Sum of Square	S		1175.763	4690 46	01.000	
	BCVA ch										Coefficient	-2.655	-1.7727	-1.4099		-0.114	0.01698		-0.01153	-0.1456	-0.6197		DF		8	81	2.538	p=0.016	4	
	Dependent	Coefficient of	determination	\mathbb{R}^2	R ² -adjusted	Multiple		coerncient Residual	standard	deviation Regression	equation	S69	402H	CNV type	Lesion area at	baseline CSR T at	baseline	BCVA at	baseline	Age	Gender	Analysis of	variance	Regression	Dacidual	NCSIUUAI	F-ratio	Significance level		

BCVA - best-corrected visual acuity, CSRT - central subfield retinal thickness

of treatment with ranibizumab, the *CFH* genotype seemed to influence the number of injections [15]; however, in our cohort, this effect was not significant, which might be related to the different retreatment criteria. The present study is the first to show that the 69S ARMS2 variant in homozygous subjects affects the response to ranibizumab. Despite the reduction in CSRT, BCVA showed no improvement. Thus, ranibizumab is effective for reducing macular edema, but the lack of BCVA improvement might be related to structural changes in the retina, retinal pigment epithelium atrophy, and the loss of photoreceptors.

The influence of the gene variants may have been altered if more aggressive criteria were chosen for determining treatment frequency.

Despite the significant findings in our study, a false positive association may be as likely, or even more likely than a true positive when investigating such common alleles [17]. Further studies are needed to validate these results.

Study limitations: 1. Most patients were not treatmentnaïve and had undergone many different treatment modalities before the beginning of the study.

2. The number of patients was relatively low.

3. False positive associations may be common in such studies.

The genetic contribution to the variable outcomes in wet AMD treatment is likely related to many loci. The combined effects of different variants and gene–environment interactions make it difficult to detect stronger associations. Single genotypes are likely to explain only a small proportion of efficacy variation. It is also possible that risk genotypes only predispose to the development of late stages of AMD, but do not influence how these late stages progress or respond to treatment. Large samples and genome-wide analyses rather than a candidate gene approach might improve the replication of genetic associations leading to the generation of multivariate predictive models and personalized therapy. AMD has a complicated etiology, and therefore, lifestyle factors and antioxidant intake should be included in future multi-centered clinical trials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research funded by the Polish Ministry of Science, Warsaw, Poland (project grant no: N N402 194335), and the Medical University of Silesia.

REFERENCES

- Seddon JM, Francis PJ, George S, Schultz DW, Rosner B, Klein ML. Association of CFH Y402H and LOC387715 A69S with progression of age-related macular degeneration. JAMA 2007; 297:1793-800. [PMID: 17456821]
- Anderson DH, Radeke MJ, Gallo NB, Chapin EA, Johnson PT, Curletti CR, Hancox LS, Hu J, Ebright JN, Malek G, Hauser MA, Rickman CB, Bok D, Hageman GS, Johnson LV. The pivotal role of the complement system in aging and age-

related macular degeneration: hypothesis re-visited. Prog Retin Eye Res 2010; 29:95-112. [PMID: 19961953]

- Kortvely E, Hauck SM, Duetsch G, Gloeckner CJ, Kremmer E, Alge-Priglinger CS, Deeg CA, Ueffing M. ARMS2 is a constituent of the extracellular matrix providing a link between familial and sporadic age-related macular degenerations. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010; 51:79-88. [PMID: 19696174]
- Francis PJ, Zhang H, Dewan A, Hoh J, Klein ML. Joint effects of polymorphisms in the HTRA1, LOC387715/ARMS2, and CFH genes on AMD in a Caucasian population. Mol Vis 2008; 14:1395-400. [PMID: 18682806]
- Wong TY, Chakravarthy U, Klein R, Mitchell P, Zlateva G, Buggage R, Fahrbach K, Probst C, Sledge I. The natural history and prognosis of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a systematic review of the literature and metaanalysis. Ophthalmology 2008; 115:116-26. [PMID: 17675159]
- Brown DM, Michels M, Kaiser PK, Heier JS, Sy JP, Ianchulev T, ANCHOR Study Group. Ranibizumab versus verteporfin photodynamic therapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: Two-year results of the ANCHOR study. Ophthalmology 2009; 116:57-65. [PMID: 19118696]
- Rosenfeld PJ, Brown DM, Heier JS, Boyer DS, Kaiser PK, Chung CY, Kim RY, MARINA Study Group. Ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. N Engl J Med 2006; 355:1419-31. [PMID: 17021318]
- Zachary I. Neuroprotective role of vascular endothelial growth factor: signalling mechanisms, biological function, and therapeutic potential. Neurosignals 2005; 14:207-21. [PMID: 16301836]
- Mitchell P, Korobelnik JF, Lanzetta P, Holz FG, Prünte C, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Tano Y, Wolf S. Ranibizumab (Lucentis) in neovascular age-related macular degeneration: evidence from clinical trials. Br J Ophthalmol 2010; 94:2-13. [PMID: 19443462]
- Seitsonen SP, Jarvela IE, Meri S, Tommila PV, Ranta PH, Immonen IJ. The effect of complement factor H Y402H polymorphism on the outcome of photodynamic therapy in age-related macular degeneration. Eur J Ophthalmol 2007; 17:943-9. [PMID: 18050121]
- Brantley MA Jr, Edelstein SL, King JM, Plotzke MR, Apte RS, Kymes SM, Shiels A. Association of complement factor H and LOC387715 genotypes with response of exudative agerelated macular degeneration to photodynamic therapy. Eye (Lond) 2009; 23:626-31. [PMID: 18292785]
- Chowers I, Meir T, Lederman M, Goldenberg-Cohen N, Cohen Y, Banin E, Averbukh E, Hemo I, Pollack A, Axer-Siegel R, Weinstein O, Hoh J, Zack DJ, Galbinur T. Sequence variants in HTRA1 and LOC387715/ARMS2 and phenotype and response to photodynamic therapy in neovascular age-related macular degeneration in populations from Israel. Mol Vis 2008; 14:2263-71. [PMID: 19065273]
- Goverdhan SV, Hannan S, Newsom RB, Luff AJ, Griffiths H, Lotery AJ. An analysis of the CFH Y402H genotype in AMD patients and controls from the UK, and response to PDT treatment. Eye 2008; 22:849-54. [PMID: 17464302]
- Brantley MA Jr, Fang AM, King JM, Tewari A, Kymes SM, Shiels A. Association of complement factor H and LOC387715 genotypes with response of exudative age-

related macular degeneration to intravitreal bevacizumab. Ophthalmology 2007; 114:2168-73. [PMID: 18054635]

- Lee AY, Raya AK, Kymes SM, Shiels A, Brantley MA Jr. Pharmacogenetics of complement factor H (Y402H) and treatment of exudative age-related macular degeneration with ranibizumab. Br J Ophthalmol 2009; 93:610-3. [PMID: 19091853]
- Mataix J, Desco MC, Palacios E, Garcia-Pous M, Navea A. Photodynamic therapy for age-related macular degeneration

treatment: epidemiological and clinical analysis of a longterm study. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging 2009; 40:277-84. [PMID: 19485292]

 Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA, Khoury MJ. Implications of small effect sizes of individual genetic variants on the design and interpretation of genetic association studies of complex diseases. Am J Epidemiol 2006; 164:609-14. [PMID: 16893921]

The print version of this article was created on 2 December 2010. This reflects all typographical corrections and errata to the article through that date. Details of any changes may be found in the online version of the article.