

Low prevalence of *Salmonella enterica* in cull dairy cattle at slaughter in Northern Italy

Silvia Bonardi,¹ Ilaria Bruini,¹
Rossella Magnani,² Nicolò Cannistrà,²
Franco Brindani¹

¹Food Hygiene Unit, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Parma; ²Parma Local Health Unit, National Veterinary Service, Parma, Italy

Abstract

In order to evaluate *Salmonella* carrier status of cull dairy cattle at slaughter, 125 animals were randomly selected during the period February-May 2016. Dairy cows were reared in 89 farms located in two regions of Northern Italy (Lombardy and Emilia-Romagna regions), where bovine milk is primarily used for *Parmigiano-Reggiano* cheese and *Grana Padano* cheese production. Samples were collected by swabbing a 400-cm² area of the brisket hide and by rectoanal mucosal swabs. They were tested following the reference ISO 6579 method and the isolates were serotyped following the Kauffmann-White-Le Minor scheme and genotyped by *Xba*I PFGE. *Salmonella* was detected in 1.6% of the brisket hide samples (2/125) (95% CI: 0.4-5.6) and never found in faecal samples (95% CI: 0-3%). The positive cattle were reared in two farms located only in Emilia-Romagna region. The isolates were typed as *S. Derby* and *S. Seftenberg*. The comparison of the pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns of the bovine strains with all the PFGE patterns of the same serotypes responsible for human salmonellosis cases notified in Emilia-Romagna region in the years 2013-2015 did not find any correspondence. Therefore, the role of cull dairy cattle in transmitting *Salmonella* to humans seems to be less important than those of pigs and poultry in EU, but more data are needed for completing attribution source studies.

Introduction

Salmonella is an important zoonotic pathogen, often reported with high prevalence in animal production. It is the second most common foodborne pathogen in the European Union, with 88,715 confirmed laboratory cases reported in 2014. Human foodborne salmonellosis may be frequently

acquired by eating raw or undercooked food of animal origin, as eggs, meat, milk and products thereof (EFSA and ECDC, 2015a).

To date, some studies on attributing foodborne salmonellosis in humans to animal reservoirs are based on the prevalence of *Salmonella* in animals and food from the EU-wide Baseline Studies conducted in the years 2006-2008 and from the European Union Summary Reports published by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) from 2006 to 2009, while data from human cases of salmonellosis are collected from The European Surveillance System (TESSy) administered by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) (De Knecht *et al.*, 2015a). These attribution estimates suggest that layer hens were the most important source of salmonellosis in the EU in the study period, followed by pigs. Turkeys and broilers were found to be particularly important only in a few countries, as Denmark and Portugal respectively (De Knecht *et al.*, 2015b).

These studies suggest that the role of cattle in transmitting *Salmonella* infection to humans by consumption of contaminated food is less important than other animal species. Nevertheless, if compared to pig and poultry data, cattle data collected by EU countries were in general poor and sometimes referred to clinical isolates only (De Knecht *et al.*, 2015a), thus suggesting that more efforts are needed to monitor *Salmonella* contamination of food of bovine origin. For example, the prevalence of *Salmonella*-positive bovine carcasses reported by 13 EU Member States was 0.23% in 2014. In the same year, 225 *Salmonella* strong-evidence outbreaks were reported; pig meat and products thereof accounted for 9.3% outbreaks, broiler meat and products thereof for 3.6%, bovine meat and products thereof for 2.2%. Another category of meat, defined as *meat and meat products* accounted for 3.1% of the strong-evidence outbreaks (EFSA and ECDC, 2015a). To study the role of cattle in human foodborne salmonellosis, raw milk at farm and carcasses at slaughter should be more frequently investigated. As verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) contamination of bovine carcasses at slaughter may occur *via* the faecal route or contaminated hide (EFSA, 2007), the same could occur also for *Salmonella* contamination (Narváez-Bravo *et al.*, 2013). *Salmonella*-carrier cattle may end up as contaminated carcasses at slaughter or may contribute to cross-contamination of other carcasses during processing.

The main aim of the study was to investigate the prevalence of *Salmonella* carriers among cattle at slaughter in Northern Italy

Correspondence: Silvia Bonardi, Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, via del Taglio 10, 43126, Parma, Italy.
Tel: +39.0521.032744.
E-mail: silvia.bonardi@unipr.it

Key words: *Salmonella enterica*; Cattle; Hide; Faeces; Slaughterhouse.

Acknowledgments: the authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Gisella Pizzin, Ms. Ida Poli and Ms. Giuseppina Trentadue (Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, Italy) for technical assistance and Dr. Erika Scaltriti (Institute for Experimental Veterinary Medicine of Lombardy and Emilia Romagna Region, Parma, Italy) for serotyping and PFGE typing of the isolates.

Conflict of interest: the authors declared no potential conflict of interest.

Funding: the study was funded by the University of Parma, Italy.

Received for publication: 18 July 2016.
Revision received: 18 November 2016.
Accepted for publication: 19 November 2016.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright S. Bonardi *et al.*, 2017
Licensee PAGEPress, Italy
Italian Journal of Food Safety 2017; 6:6172
doi:10.4081/ijfs.2017.6172

and to compare the proportion of positive animals by testing cattle hide and faecal matter. The objective was also to investigate if the *Salmonella* isolates detected in cattle were responsible of notified human salmonellosis cases in the same geographic area (Emilia-Romagna region) of Northern Italy.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

From February to May 2016, a total of 125 cull dairy cattle at slaughter was randomly selected to be tested for *Salmonella*. Sampling collection was performed during eight visits, spaced one to two weeks. The number of animals tested per visit ranged from 10 to 20 (average number: 15.6). Dairy cattle were reared in 89 farms located in the provinces of Cremona, Mantua, Parma, Piacenza and Reggio Emilia (Lombardy and Emilia-Romagna regions, of Northern Italy). In those provinces bovine milk is primarily used for hard cheese production, as Parmesan cheese and *Grana Padano* cheese. Cattle were tested by swabbing a 400 cm²

area of the brisket hide, which is considered at risk because the brisket area of the hide is cut during de-hiding and is thus a likely source of carcass contamination (EFSA, 2007). Swabbing was performed by using sterile sponges moistened with Buffered Peptone Water (BPW) (3M Health Care, S. Paul, MN, USA). Faecal samples were collected by using sterile cotton-tipped swabs and swabbing an area (3 to 5 cm) inside the anal canal of each animal prior to slaughter. This kind of sampling is called rectoanal mucosal swabs (RAMS) and was used in similar studies (Agga *et al.*, 2016; Beach *et al.*, 2002). Swabs were immediately placed in sterile tubes containing 1 mL of Buffered Peptone Water (BPW; Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). All samples were refrigerated after collection, transported to the laboratory within two hours and analysed on the same day. Confidence intervals in the observed prevalence were estimated by using the Wilson binomial approximation (Brown *et al.*, 2001).

Salmonella detection and typing

A total of 250 samples (125 hide samples and 125 faecal samples) were tested following the ISO 6579:2002 method (hide samples) and the ISO 6579:2007 Amd.1 (faecal samples) (ISO, 2002, 2007). All samples were previously pre-enriched in BPW suspending each hide sponge in 90 mL and each RAMS sample in 9 mL of the liquid medium. The sponge suspensions were manually shaken for 2 min. The cultures were then incubated at 37±1°C for 18±2 h. Following the ISO 6579:2002 method, after incubation the sponge cultures were inoculated into 10 mL of Mueller-Kauffmann tetrathionate broth (MKTT; Oxoid) and 10 mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis soy broth (RVSB; Oxoid), with dilution ratios of 1:10 and 1:100, respectively. The MKTT and RVSB cultures were incubated at 37±1°C and 41.5±1°C for 24 h, respectively. After incubation, 10-µL of each culture was streaked onto Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate agar (XLD; Oxoid) and Chromogenic *Salmonella* agar (Oxoid) plates, incubated at 37±1°C for 24 h. Suspect colonies were seeded into Triple Sugar Iron Agar (Biolife, Milan, Italy), Lysine Iron Agar (Oxoid) and Christensen's Urea Agar (Biolife) and incubated at 37±1°C for 20-24 h. Typical *Salmonella* colonies were tested by slide agglutination with an O-omnivalent *Salmonella* serum (Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan). Biochemical identification to the genus level was performed by using the API 20 E® microsubstrate system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). Following the ISO 6579:2007 Amd.1 method, after incubation 100-µL of

each faecal culture in BPW was seeded onto plates of Modified semisolid Rappaport Vassiliadis (MSRV; Oxoid) following ISO recommendations (3 drops placed separately on the plate surface). After incubation at 41.5±1°C for 24 h±3 h, the plates showing a grey-white zone extending out of the inoculum were further tested by streaking a 10-µL loopful of the bacterial growth onto XLD (Oxoid) agar plates. Plates not showing bacterial growth were incubated for further 24±3 h, after that they were considered negative if no grey-white growth has developed. XLD agar plates were incubated at 37±1°C for 24 h and suspect *Salmonella* colonies were selected and subjected to ISO 6579 confirmation tests as previously described. The reference *Salmonella* strain ATCC 14028 was used as positive controls.

Salmonella serotyping was performed according to the White-Kauffmann-Le Minor scheme by slide agglutination with O and H antigen specific sera (Bio-Rad, Marnes-La Coquette, France; Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan; Sifin, Berlin, Germany). *Salmonella* pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) typing was performed according to standard methods (PulseNet, 2010) with *Xba*I restriction of DNA.

Results

Hide sponges: *Salmonella* was detected in 1.6% of the brisket hide samples (2/125) (95% CI: 0.4-5.6). The positive animals were reared in two-farms located in Emilia-Romagna region. The two isolates were serotyped as *S. Dublin* and *S. Senftenberg*. The comparison of the PFGE patterns of the bovine strains to all the PFGE patterns of the same serotypes that were identified among human salmonellosis cases notified in Emilia-Romagna region in the years 2013-2015, did not find any correspondence. The human *Salmonella* isolates were sero-typed and PFGE-typed within the regular food-borne diseases surveillance activity by the Institute for Experimental Veterinary Medicine of Lombardy and Emilia-Romagna (Italy). The comparison of the pulsotypes of the two isolates of *S. Dublin* and *S. Seftenberg* with the PFGE profiles of the same serovars detected in cattle during the period 2011-2015, demonstrated that the PFGE type of *S. Dublin* detected in the present study was rather common among bovine population in Italy, while the pulsotype of *S. Senftenberg* was not frequently identified. RAMS samples: *Salmonella* was never detected in the faecal samples tested (95% CI: 0-3%).

Discussion

In the present study, *Salmonella* was detected from hide samples only thus confirming the role of cattle hide as likely source of *Salmonella* onto carcasses during slaughtering. Other studies support the role of hide in beef contamination at slaughter, even if a wide variation in prevalence has been reported. It ranged from 36.25% in Venezuela (Narváez-Bravo *et al.*, 2013), 15.4% in the USA (Bacon *et al.*, 2002) to 0.75% in Ireland (Khen *et al.*, 2014). Very high prevalence values were reported by Brichta-Harhay *et al.* (2008), which detected *Salmonella* in 89.6% of cull cattle hides in the USA, and by Fegan *et al.* (2005) which found 68% hide prevalence in Australia. These differences can be attributable to epidemiological factors, breeding conditions, management practices, transportation and lairage hygiene, analytical methods or sampling strategies.

In our study, all faecal samples were negative for *Salmonella*. This result can be attributable to different factors: i) *Salmonella* shedding by cattle is commonly intermittent (Wray and Sojka, 1977) and therefore faecal samples can test negative at slaughter; ii) hide contamination could have been previously acquired at farm, during transportation or at lairage from other shedding cattle or contaminated environment. As shown in a study by Beach *et al.* (2002), hide contamination with *Salmonella* increased in adult cattle prior and after transport from farm to slaughter from 20% to 56% and thus contaminated trucks and holding pens could play a role in *Salmonella* transmission to hides before slaughter. Regarding the use of RAMS instead of manual collection of faeces from the rectum (faecal grab; FG), RAMS is considered a more practical and reliable alternative to FG for assessing *Salmonella* fecal shedding status among cattle (Agga *et al.*, 2016).

Considering that the prevalence on cull cows hides was very low, the negativity of rectal samples was a reliable finding. As a matter of fact, in other studies *Salmonella* prevalence on cattle hides was higher than in faeces as shown by Fegan *et al.* (2005), which detected 16% of positive faeces vs 68% of positive hides and by Narváez-Bravo *et al.* (2013), which found 13.75% of positive faeces vs 36.25% of positive hides.

In our study, the isolates detected on hides belonged to serovars frequently found in cattle. Infections by *S. enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Dublin (*S. Dublin*) is of concern in cattle industries in several countries because of economic losses and health injuries as high mortality, abortion and

reduction in milk production (Carrique-Mas *et al.*, 2010; Lewerin *et al.*, 2011). In cattle, Dublin was the second most frequently detected serovar (29.4%) after Typhimurium (38.6%) in 2013 at EU level (EFSA and ECDC, 2015b). *S. Dublin* may be persistent in dairy farms, with an average duration of infection of approximately 2 years (Nielsen and Dohoo, 2013) due to its environmental survival (Findlay, 1972) and persistent infection in some animals (House *et al.*, 1993). Uncommon but serious human infections are reported and are mainly attributed to the consumption of raw or undercooked beef or unpasteurised milk products (Helms *et al.*, 2003; Maguire *et al.*, 1992). As expected, *S. Dublin* is frequently reported among serovars detected in bovine meat in the European countries (EFSA and ECDC, 2015b).

S. enterica subsp. *enterica* serovar Senftenberg (*S. Senftenberg*) is not frequently reported from cattle, but in some studies conducted in the USA it was one of the most prevalent serovars (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2003). Noteworthy, if *S. Dublin* is closely related to cattle, *S. Senftenberg* can be transmitted to humans by a variety of foods, including poultry (Pedersen *et al.*, 2008) and vegetables like basil and pistachios (CDC, 2016; Pezzoli *et al.*, 2008). The isolation from cattle at slaughter in Italy strengthen the hypotheses that *S. Senftenberg* could survive on cattle hide and be transmitted from bovines to the slaughterhouse environment and, potentially, to beef carcasses.

Conclusions

In this study a very low prevalence of *Salmonella* carriers among cull dairy cows at slaughter was detected. Nevertheless, since beef may be responsible for transmission of *Salmonella* to consumers and outbreaks from raw or undercooked beef products (as roast-beef and ground beef) can occur (Friesema *et al.*, 2012; Laufer *et al.*, 2016), bacteriological monitoring of cattle presented at slaughter is important for both epidemiological and attribution estimates. A recent attribution source study of human salmonellosis suggested that the median percent probabilities for human cases to originate from cattle ranged from 3 to 7% according to age groups (7% in children 5-17 years old) and from 2 to 6% according to urbanisation degree (6% in rural areas). According to season, in autumn and winter (October-March) 7% of the cases could occur vs 4% of the cases in spring and summer (April-September) (Mughini-Gras *et al.*, 2014). In our country, the interest in the

epidemiological role of cattle is supported by the paucity of data on *Salmonella* in cattle at slaughter. However, to enable more detailed conclusions regarding contamination routes of *Salmonella* at slaughter, future studies involving higher numbers of cattle are needed. The present study can be thus considered a preliminary research to investigate the role of cull dairy cows as likely source of *Salmonella* to beef during slaughter operations.

References

- Agga GE, Arthur TM, Schmidt JW, Wang R, Brichta-Harhay DM, 2016. Diagnostic accuracy of rectoanal mucosal swab of feedlot cattle for detection and enumeration of *Salmonella enterica*. *J Food Protect* 79:531-7.
- Bacon RT, Sofos JN, Belk KE, Hyatt DR, Smith GC, 2002. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolated from beef animal hides and carcasses. *J Food Protect* 65:284-90.
- Beach JC, Murano EA, Acuff GR, 2002. Prevalence of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* in beef cattle from transport to slaughter. *J Food Protect* 65:1687-93.
- Brichta-Harhay DM, Guerini MN, Arthur TM, Bosilevac JM, Kalchayanand N, Shackelford SD, Wheeler TL, Koochmarie M, 2008. *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 contamination on hides and carcasses of cull cattle presented for slaughter in the United States: an evaluation of prevalence and bacterial loads by immunomagnetic separation and direct plating methods. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74:6289-97.
- Brown LD, Cat TT, DasGupta A, 2001. Interval estimation for a binomial proportion. *Stat Sci* 16:101-33.
- Carrique-Mas JJ, Willmington JA, Papadopoulou C, Watson EN, Davies RH, 2010. *Salmonella* infection in cattle in Great Britain, 2003 to 2008. *Vet Rec* 167:560-5.
- CDC, 2016. Multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* Montevideo and *Salmonella* Senftenberg infections linked to Wonderful Pistachios (final update). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention www.cdc.gov/salmonella/montevideo-03-16/
- De Knecht LV, Pires SM, Hald T, 2015a. Using surveillance and monitoring data of different origins in a *Salmonella* source attribution model: a European Union example with challenges and proposal solutions. *Epidemiol Infect* 143:1148-65.
- De Knecht LV, Pires SM, Hald T, 2015b. Attributing foodborne salmonellosis in humans to animal reservoirs in the European Union using a multi-country stochastic model. *Epidemiol Infect* 143:1175-86.
- EFSA and ECDC, 2015a. The European Union summary report on trends of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and foodborne outbreaks in 2011. EFSA J 13:4329.
- EFSA and ECDC, 2015b. The European Union summary report on trends of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and foodborne outbreaks in 2013. EFSA J 13:3991.
- EFSA, 2007. Monitoring of verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) and identification of human pathogenic VTEC types. EFSA J 579:1-61.
- Fegan N, Vanderlinde P, Higgs G, Desmarchelier P, 2005. A study of the prevalence and enumeration of *Salmonella enterica* in cattle and on carcasses during processing. *J Food Protect* 68: 1147-53.
- Findlay CR, 1972. The persistence of *Salmonella Dublin* in slurry in tanks and on pasture. *Vet Rec* 91:233-5.
- Fitzgerald AC, Edrington TS, Looper ML, Callaway TR, Genovese KJ, Bischoff KM, McReynolds JL, Thomas JD, Anderson RC, Nisbet DJ, 2003. Antimicrobial susceptibility and factors affecting the shedding of *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* in dairy cattle. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 37:392-8.
- Friesema IHM, Schimmer B, Ros JA, Ober HJ, Heck MEOC, Swaan CM, de Jager CM, Peran i Sala RM, van Pelt W, 2012. A regional *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium outbreak associated with raw beef products, The Netherlands, 2010. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 9:102-7.
- Khen BK, Lynch OA, Carroll J, McDowell DA, Duffy G, 2014. Prevalence and characteristics of *Salmonella* in the beef chain in the Republic of Ireland. *Zoonoses Public Health* 61:534-6.
- Helms M, Vastrup P, Gerner-Smidt P, Mølbak K, 2003. Short and long term mortality associated with foodborne bacterial gastrointestinal infections: registry based study. *Brit Med J* 326:357-61.
- House JK, Smith BP, Dilling GW, Roden LD, 1993. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for serologic detection of *Salmonella Dublin* on a large dairy. *Am J Vet Res* 54:1391-9.
- ISO, 2002. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. ISO

- Norm 6579:2002. International Standardization Organization ed., Geneva, Switzerland.
- ISO, 2007. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs. Horizontal method for the detection of *Salmonella* spp. ISO Norm 6579:2002. Amendment 1: Annex D: Detection of *Salmonella* spp. in animal faeces and in environmental samples from the primary production stage. ISO Norm 6579:2007 Amd.1. International Standardization Organization ed., Geneva, Switzerland.
- Laufer AS, Grass J, Holt K, Whichard JM, Griffin PM, Gould LH, 2016. Outbreaks of *Salmonella* infections attributed to beef – United States, 1973-2011. *Epidemiol Infect* 143:2003-13.
- Lewerin SS, Skog L, Frössling J, Wahlström H, 2011. Geographical distribution of *Salmonella* infected pig, cattle and sheep herds in Sweden 1993-2010. *Acta Vet Scand* 53:51-8.
- Maguire H, Cowden J, Jacob M, Rowe B, Roberts D, Bruce J, Mitchell E, 1992. An outbreak of *Salmonella* Dublin infection in England and Wales associated with a soft unpasteurized cows' milk cheese. *Epidemiol Infect* 109:389-96.
- Mughini-Gras L, Enserink R, Friesema I, Heck M, van Duynhoven Y, van Pelt W, 2014. Risk factors for human salmonellosis originating from pigs, cattle, broiler chickens and egg laying hens: a combined case-control and source attribution analysis. *PLoS One* 9:e87933.
- Narváez-Bravo C, Rodas-González A, Fuenmayor Y, Flores-Rondon C, Carruyo G, Moreno M, Perozo-Mena A, Hoet AE, 2013. *Salmonella* on feces, hides and carcasses in beef slaughter facilities in Venezuela. *Int J Food Microbiol* 166:226-30.
- Nielsen LR, Dohoo I, 2013. Time-to-event analysis of predictors for recovery from *Salmonella* Dublin infection in Danish dairy herds between 2002 and 2012. *Prev Vet Med* 110:370-8.
- Pedersen TB, Olsen JE, Bisgaard M, 2008. Persistence of *Salmonella* Senftenberg in poultry production environments and investigation of its resistance to desiccation. *Avian Pathol* 37:421-7.
- Pezzoli L, Elson R, Little CL, Yip H, Fisher I, Yishai R, Anis E, Valinsky L, Biggerstaff M, Patel N, Mather H, Brown DJ, Coia JE, van Pelt W, Nielsen EM, Ethelberg S, de Pinna E, Hampton MD, Peters T, Threlfall J, 2008. Packed with *Salmonella*-investigation of an international outbreak of *Salmonella* Senftenberg infection linked to contamination of prepacked basil in 2007. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 5:661-8.
- PulseNet, 2010. One-day (24-28 h) standardized laboratory protocol for molecular subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* serotypes, *Shigella sonnei*, and *Shigella flexneri* by Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE). *PulseNet Int* 2010:1-16.
- Wray C, Sojka WJ, 1977. Reviews of the progress of dairy science: bovine salmonellosis. *J Dairy Res* 44:383-425.