Early and late recurrences in lymph nodenegative gastric cancer: a retrospective cohort study

Jian-Wei Sun,^a Dao-Li Liu,^b Jia-Xian Chen,^a Li-Zhen Lin,^c Lv-Ping Zhuang,^d Xian-Hai Hou^a

From the ^aDepartment of Surgery, Qingyang's People's Hospital, Qingyan, China; ^bDepartment of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Anqing First People's Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Anqing, China; ^cGraduate School, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China; ^dDepartment of Neurology, Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, Fuzhou, China

Correspondence: Prof. Xian-Hai Hou · Department of Surgery, Qingyang's People's Hospital, No. 608 South Road Qingyang Gansu Province 745000 China · 15294427679@163.com · ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1005-1958

Citation: Sun JW, Liu DL, Chen JX, Lin LZ, Zhuang KP, Hou XH. Early and late recurrences in lymph node-negative gastric cancer: a retrospective cohort study. Ann Saudi Med 2021; 41(6): 336-349. DOI: 10.5144/0256-4947.2021.336

Received: April 20, 2021

Accepted: July 30, 2021

Published: December 2, 2021

Copyright: Copyright © 2021, Annals of Saudi Medicine, Saudi Arabia. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND). The details of which can be accessed at http:// creativecommons. org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/

Funding: None.

BACKGROUND: Predictors of recurrence in patients with lymph nodenegative gastric cancer (GC) who have undergone curative resection have been widely investigated, but not the effects of predictors on timing of recurrence.

OBJECTIVE: Determine the factors associated with early and late recurrence in patients with node-negative GC.

DESIGN: Retrospective cohort.

SETTING: Academic tertiary care center.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: The study included patients with nodenegative GC after curative resection between 2008 and 2018 at two institutions. Early and late recurrences were determined using a minimum *P* value approach to evaluate the optimal cutoff for recurrencefree survival (RFS). A competing risk model and landmark analysis were used to analyze factors associated with early and late recurrences.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: Recurrence-free survival and factors associated with survival.

SAMPLE SIZE: 606.

RESULTS: After a median follow-up of 70 months, 50 (8.3%) patients experienced recurrent disease. The optimal length of RFS for distinguishing between early (n=26) and late recurrence (n=24) was 24 months (*P*=.0013). The median RFS in the early and late recurrence groups was 11 and 32 months, respectively. Diffuse tumors (hazard ratio 3.358, *P*=.014), advanced T stage (HR 8.804, *P*=.003), perineural invasion (HR 10.955, *P*<.001), and anemia (HR 2.351, *P*=.018) were independent predictors of early recurrence. Mixed tumor location (HR 5.586, *P*=.002), advanced T stage (HR 5.066, *P*<.001), lymphovascular invasion (HR 5.902, *P*<.001), and elevated CA19-9 levels (HR 5.227, *P*<.001) were independent predictors of late recurrence. Similar results were obtained in the landmark analysis.

CONCLUSIONS: Individualized therapeutic and follow-up strategies should be considered in future studies because of distinct patterns in predictors of early and late recurrence.

LIMITATIONS: Retrospective design, small sample size. **CONFLICT OF INTEREST:** None. G astric cancer (GC) is the fifth most frequently diagnosed cancer worldwide and the third leading cause of cancer death.¹ At present, radical gastrectomy with adequate lymphadenectomy remains the cornerstone of treatment for GC.² Lymph node status is a well-known prognostic factor for GC recurrence and survival after surgical resection.^{3,4} Although lymph nodenegative patients show substantially better survival than those with node-positive disease, recurrence is still noted in a subset of node-negative patients. Thus, factors associated with recurrence in patients with node-negative disease have been the focus of current research.⁵⁻⁸

In recent decades, cumulative evidence has demonstrated distinctions between early and late recurrence in several cancers, including hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric adenocarcinoma, and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma.9-11 In most patients with GC who experience recurrence, relapse occurs relatively soon after radical resection and usually heralds a worse prognosis than when disease recurrence occurs a long time after surgery.¹²⁻¹⁴ Moreover, it has been reported that predictors of early and late recurrence are significantly different in patients with GC after endoscopic submucosal dissection or radical gastrectomy.^{9,15,16} For patients with GC, exploring the risk factors of early and late recurrence is of great significance for the selection of adjuvant treatment and subsequent surveillance. However, this topic has not been studied in patients with lymph node-negative GC. We conducted a multicenter retrospective study to identify the risk factors for early and late cancer recurrence in patients who underwent curative surgery.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Data were selected from a cohort of patients with GC who underwent radical gastrectomy between January 2008 and January 2018 at two institutions in China. The institutional review boards of the participating institutions approved the study. Inclusion criteria were as follows: the presence of primary gastric adenocarcinoma with more than 15 lymph nodes pathologically analyzed after surgery, all results negative for metastases on routine hematoxylin-eosin staining, no preoperative chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy, no combined malignancies, no distant metastasis, complete basic information, and complete survival data. Exclusion criteria were as follows: histology showing a tumor type other than adenocarcinoma, remnant GC, and tumor invading the adjacent structures. Routine clinicopathological data were collected. All surgical procedures, including D2 lymph node dissections, were performed according to the Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines.^{17,18} The TNM classification (American Joint Committee

original article

on Cancer, 8th edition) was used for tumor staging.¹⁹ Patients with stage II-III GC were routinely recommended to receive six cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy after surgery every 3 weeks. The regimen consisted of an intravenous infusion of oxaliplatin and oral administration of capecitabine (XELOX) or S-1 (SOX). S-1 is a combination of tegafur (prodrug of 5-fluorouracil), 5-chloro-2,4dihydroxypyridine (dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase inhibitor); and potassium oxonate (reduces gastrointestinal toxicity).

Definitions

Recurrence was defined as the presence of a biopsyproven tumor showing adenocarcinoma cells or imaging features highly suspicious of tumor recurrence.20 Recurrence was categorized by the site involved: locoregional, peritoneal, distant, or multiple.^{8,21,22} The presence of recurrent disease in two or more sites was defined as multiple recurrence. Multiple recurrent lesions in the same area (e.g., liver) were not classified as multiple recurrences. Although some patients had multiple recurrence episodes, this study analyzed only the initial recurrence episode, as defined above. The presence of anemia was defined as a hemoglobin level <12.0 g/dL for men and <11.0 g/dL for women. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from surgery to death. Diseasespecific survival (DSS) was defined as the time interval from surgery to death from GC. Recurrence-free survival (RFS) was measured from the date of curative resection to the date of disease recurrence. Post-recurrence survival (PRS) was defined as the period from the initial recurrence to either death or the last follow-up. When patients were diagnosed with recurrence, systemic chemotherapy or supportive therapy were usually recommended according to the patient's willingness after a discussion with the multidisciplinary team.

Follow-up

All patients received standard postoperative follow-ups, including 3-monthly visits for the first 2 years, 6-monthly reviews from the third to fifth year, and annually thereafter. Most routine follow-up appointments included physical examination, laboratory testing, chest radiography, and abdominopelvic ultrasonography or computed tomography. Annual endoscopy was also recommended. All patients were observed until death or at the final follow-up in June 2020. The median follow-up period was 70 months.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range

(IQR). Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages. Differences between the groups were assessed using the t test, Mann-Whitney test, Fisher's exact test, or the χ^2 test, as appropriate. Survival analysis was assessed using Kaplan-Meier survival curves, which were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. A log-rank test was used to determine the statistical significance. A minimum ^P value approach was used to evaluate the optimal threshold of RFS to divide the patients into early and late recurrence groups based on the duration of PRS.^{11,14} We conducted competing risk analyses to identify independent prognostic factors associated with early and late recurrence. When exploring risk factors for early recurrence, the case group comprised patients who experienced early recurrence, while the control group comprised the remaining patients, including those who experienced late recurrence. When exploring risk factors for late recurrence, the case group comprised patients who experienced late recurrence, while the control group comprised those who survived for >24 months and did not experience recurrence. The Fine and Gray competing risk model was used to obtain subhazard ratios (SHRs) and adjusted subhazard ratios (aSHRs).^{23,24} Variables with a value of *P*<.05 in the univariate analysis were subsequently included in a multivariate analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version.22.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, New York, United States) and R software (version 3.6.1; R Foundation for Statistical Computing; *https://www.r-proje ct.org/*). The competing risk model was performed using the R software, and the landmark analysis was performed using SPSS. All tests were twosided with a significance level of *P*<.05.

RESULTS

The 606 patients with lymph node-negative gastric adenocarcinoma who underwent curative-intent resection included 479 patients from Fujian Medical University Health System (Fuzhou, Fujian Province) and 127 from Qingyang People's Hospital (Qingyang, Gansu Province). The baseline characteristics of the patients are shown in **Table 1**. Of these, 244 patients (40.3%) underwent open gastrectomy and 362 (59.7%) underwent laparoscopic gastrectomy. After a median followup of 70 months (IQR, 60-84 months), 50 patients (8.3%) experienced disease recurrence. Compared with pa-

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of patients with and without recurrence..

	Total (n=606)	No recurrence (n=556)	Recurrence (n=50)	P value
Age (years), mean (SD)	59.6 (11.4)	59.4 (11.2)	62.1 (12.8)	.108
Gender				
Male	452 (74.6)	414 (74.5)	38 (76.0)	011
Female	154 (25.4)	142 (25.5)	12 (24.0)	.011
Smoking				
No	415 (68.5)	381 (68.5)	34 (68.0)	020
Yes	191 (31.5)	175 (31.5)	16 (32.0)	.737
Family history				
No	575 (94.9)	527 (94.8)	48 (96.0)	000
Yes	31 (5.1)	29 (5.2)	2 (4.0)	.999
Helicobacter pylori infection				
No	264 (43.6)	236 (42.4)	28 (56.0)	044
Yes	342 (56.4)	320 (57.6)	22 (44.0)	.004
Lauren classification				
Intestinal	367 (60.6)	349 (62.8)	18 (36.0)	< 001
Diffuse	239 (39.4)	207 (37.2)	32 (64.0)	<.001
Surgical approach				
Open	244 (40.3)	226 (40.6)	18 (36.0)	E 2 1
Laparoscopic	362 (59.7)	330 (59.4)	32 (64.0)	.JZ I

original article

Table 1 (cont)	Clinicopathological characteristics of patients with and without recurrence	
----------------	---	--

	Total (n=606)	No recurrence (n=556)	Recurrence (n=50)	P value
Type of gastrectomy				
Total	253 (41.7)	218 (39.2)	35 (70.0)	- 001
Subtotal	353 (58.3)	338 (60.8)	15 (30.0)	<.001
Tumor location				
Lower 1/3	291 (48.0)	276 (49.6)	15 (30.0)	
Middle 1/3	126 (20.8)	114 (20.5)	12 (24.0)	000
Upper 1/3	126 (20.8)	115 (20.7)	11 (22.0)	.003
Mixed	63 (10.4)	51 (9.2)	12 (24.0)	
Pathologic T stage				
Τ1	332 (54.8)	329 (59.2)	3 (6.0)	
T2	89 (14.7)	83 (14.9)	6 (12.0)	
Т3	127 (21.0)	99 (17.8)	28 (56.0)	<.001
T4ª	58 (9.6)	45 (8.1)	13 (26.0)	
Lymph node harvested (median, IQR)	27 (20-36)	27 (20-35)	28 (22-40)	.066
Tumor size (mm), mean (SD)	33.4 (21.1)	32.3 (19.9)	45.5 (29.4)	<.001
Lymphovascular invasion				
No	546 (90.1)	509 (91.5)	37 (74.0)	
Yes	60 (9.9)	47 (8.5)	13 (26.0)	<.001
Perineural invasion				
No	556 (91.7)	527 (94.8)	29 (58.0)	- 001
Yes	50 (8.3)	29 (5.2)	21 (42.0)	<.001
Anemia				
No	488 (80.5)	452 (81.3)	36 (72.0)	110
Yes	118 (19.5)	104 (18.7)	14 (28.0)	.112
Carcinoembryonic antigen (ng/mL)				
<5.0	547 (90.3)	504 (90.6)	43 (86.0)	200
≥5.0	59 (9.7)	52 (9.4)	7 (14.0)	.200
CA19-9 (U/mL)				
<37.0	577 (95.2)	533 (95.9)	44 (88.0)	042
≥37.0	29 (4.8)	23 (4.1)	6 (12.0)	.013
Postoperative complication				
No	464 (72.0)	428 (77.0)	36 (72.0)	407
Yes	142 (23.4)	128 (23.0)	14 (28.0)	.420
Adjuvant chemotherapy				
No	436 (71.9)	414 (74.5)	22 (44.0)	- 004
Yes	170 (28.1)	142 (25.5)	28 (56.0)	<.001

Data are number (%) unless otherwise noted. CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9

RECURRENCE OF GASTRIC CANCER



Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival (A), recurrence-free survival (B), and post-recurrence survival (PRS) (C) of the entire cohort (n=606).



Figure 2. Comparison of overall survival for patients with or without recurrence (A) (n=606); post-recurrence survival (PRS) for patients with early or late recurrence (B) (n=50).



Figure 3. Different cut-off thresholds, with the corresponding *P* values, showing that the optimal threshold for defining early and late recurrence based on the difference in post-recurrence survival (PRS) is 24 months.

tients who did not experience recurrence, patients who experienced recurrence were more likely to have locally more advanced diseases, such as advanced pathologic T stage, lymphovascular invasion, and larger tumor size (**Table 1**). The two groups did not differ with respect to sex, age, or the number of lymph nodes harvested.

Overall and recurrence-free survival

Survival curves for the whole cohort are shown in **Figure 1**. Overall survival (OS) rates were 98.2% at 1 year, 91.6% at 3 years, and 89.1% at 5 years. The 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year DSS rates were 99.0% at 1 year, 94.7% at 3 years, and 93.4% at 5 years. Of the 50 patients who experienced recurrence, the median time to recurrence was 23 months (IQR 11–32 months), with 32% recurrence occurring at 1 year, 52% at 2 years, 78% at 3 years, and 94% at 5 years. The median PRS was only 7.5 months (IQR 3–13 months). Moreover, 74% of the patients died within 1 year. The 5-year OS for patients who experienced recurrence was significantly lower than that for patients who did not have a recurrence (8.0% vs. 96.2%; *P*<.001; **Figure 2A**).

Defining early and late recurrence

The optimal length of RFS to distinguish between early and late recurrence based on the subsequent PRS was 24 months (P=.0013, **Figure 3**). The median RFS in the early (<24 months) and late (\geq 24 months) recurrence groups was 11 months (IQR 9–16 months) and 32 months (IQR 27–47 months), respectively. The median PRS of patients who experienced late recurrence was significantly longer than that of patients with early recurrence (9.5 vs. 4.5 months, P=.001, **Figure 2B**). Multivariate analysis showed that late recurrence (HR 0.390, 95% CI 0.182– 0.834, P<.001) was independently associated with a better PRS in patients who experienced recurrence (**Table 2**).

original article

Table	2.	Univariate	and	multivariate	analyses	for	overall	survival	after	recurrence
-------	----	------------	-----	--------------	----------	-----	---------	----------	-------	------------

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analyses for overall survival after recurrence.					
	Univariate an	alysis	Multivariate analysis		
Variables	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P value	
Age (years)	1.001 (0.979-1.023)	.957			
Gender					
Male	Reference				
Female	1.387 (0.710-2.709)	.338			
Lauren classification					
Intestinal	Reference	000	Reference	202	
Diffuse	1.941 (1.038-3.630)	.038	1.364 (0.679-2.739)	.383	
Tumor location					
Lower 1/3	Reference				
Middle 1/3	0.747 (0.330-1.691)	0.4.2			
Upper 1/3	0.890 (0.399-1.985)	.843			
Mixed	0.725 (0.326-1.612)				
Pathologic T stage					
T1-2	Reference	077			
T3-4	2.105 (0.923-4.800)	.077			
Tumor size, mm					
<40	Reference	005			
≥40	1.692 (0.930-3.081)	.085			
Lymphovascular invasion					
No	Reference	227			
Yes	0.708 (0.350-1.431)	.330			
Perineural invasion					
No	Reference	002	Reference 1.531 (0.729-3.218)	241	
Yes	2.784 (1.460-5.309)	.002		.261	
Postoperative complication					
No	Reference	077			
Yes	1.010 (0.522-1.955)	.7//			
Adjuvant chemotherapy					
No	Reference	294			
Yes	0.726 (0.404-1.304)	.204			
Timing of recurrence, months					
<24	Reference	003	Reference	015	
≥24	0.381 (0.202-0.719)	.005	0.390 (0.182-0.834)	.015	
Anti-tumor treatment after recurrence					
No	Reference	023	Reference	.026	
Yes	0.496 (0.271-0.906)	.020	0.456 (0.228-0.910)		

Factors associated with early and late recurrence Compared with the late recurrence group, the early recurrence group had more diffuse tumors and more perineural invasion (Table 3) (P=.048 and P<.001, respectively). We performed a competing risk model to identify the risk factors associated with early and late recurrences. In the univariate analysis, Lauren classification, T stage, perineural invasion, anemia, and adjuvant chemotherapy were significantly associated with early recurrence (P<.05, Table 4). In the multivariate analysis, diffuse tumors (HR 3.358, P=.014), advanced T stage (HR 8.804, P=.003), perineural invasion (HR 10.955, P<.001), and anemia (HR 2.351, P=.018) were independent predictors of early recurrence. In the univariate analysis, tumor location, T stage, tumor size, lymphovascular invasion, and CA19-9 levels were associated with late recurrence (P<.05, Table 5). Multivariate analysis showed that mixed tumor location (HR 5.586, P=.002), advanced T stage (HR 5.066, P<.001), lymphovascular invasion (HR 5.902, P<.001), and elevated CA19-9 levels (HR 5.227, P<.001) were independent predictors for late recurrence. Patterns of recurrence were similar between the early and late recurrence groups (*P*<.05; **Figure 4**).

Landmark analysis

In the landmark analysis (**Figure 5**), T3-4 disease and perineural invasion were closely associated with disease recurrence in both early (P<.001) and late (P=.017) periods. Diffuse tumors increased the risk of recurrence in the early period (P<.001) but not in the late period (P=.205). Moreover, non-lower-third tumors, lymphovascular invasion, and elevated CA19-9 levels did not increase the risk of early recurrence (P>.05), but did so for late recurrence (P<.001, P=.004, and P<.001, respectively).

DISCUSSION

In this study, distinct patterns of predictors for early and late recurrence in patients with lymph node-negative GC were demonstrated. Our study showed that patients with node-negative disease had a favorable

Table 3. Clinicopathological characteristics by early and late recurrence.

Characteristic	Early recurrence (n=26)	Late recurrence (n=24)	P value
Age (years), mean (SD)	60.2 (12.7)	64.2 (12.9)	.274
Gender			
Male	19 (73.1)	19 (79.2)	(4 4
Female	7 (26.9)	5 (20.8)	.614
Smoking			
No	19 (73.1)	15 (62.5)	400
Yes	7 (26.9)	9 (37.5)	.423
Family history			
No	25 (96.2)	23 (95.8)	4 000
Yes	1 (3.8)	1 (4.2)	1.000
Helicobacter pylori infection			
No	14 (53.8)	14 (58.3)	740
Yes	12 (46.2)	10 (41.7)	.749
Lauren classification			
Intestinal	6 (23.1)	12 (50.0)	0.40
Diffuse	20 (76.9)	12 (50.0)	.048
Surgical approach			
Open	7 (26.9)	11 (45.8)	1/4
Laparoscopic	19 (73.1)	13 (54.2)	.164
Type of gastrectomy			
Total	17 (65.4)	18 (75.0)	450
Subtotal	9 (34.6)	6 (25.0)	.457

original article

Table 3 (cont.).	Clinicopathological	characteristics	by early a	and late recurrence

Characteristic	Early recurrence (n=26)	Late recurrence (n=24)	P value
Tumor location			
Lower 1/3	10 (38.5)	5 (20.8)	
Middle 1/3	5 (19.2)	7 (29.2)	500
Upper 1/3	6 (23.1)	5 (20.8)	.503
Mixed	5 (19.2)	7 (29.2)	
Pathologic T stage			
T1-2	3 (11.5)	6 (25.0)	201
ТЗ-4	23 (88.5)	18 (75.0)	.201
Lymph node harvested, median (IQR)	27 (22-44)	28 (23-43)	.716
Tumor (mm), mean (SD)	46.7 (34.2)	44.2 (23.9)	.762
Lymphovascular invasion			
No	23 (88.5)	14 (58.3)	004
Yes	3 (11.5)	10 (41.7)	.024
Perineural invasion			
No	9 (34.6)	20 (83.3)	- 004
Yes	17 (65.4)	4 (16.7)	<.001
Anemia			
No	15 (57.7)	21 (87.5)	020
Yes	11 (42.3)	3 (12.5)	.028
Carcinoembryonic antigen (ng/mL)			
<5.0	21 (80.8)	22 (91.7)	120
≥5.0	5 (19.2)	2 (8.3)	.420
CA19-9 (U/mL)			
<37.0	25 (96.2)	19 (79.2)	003
≥37.0	1 (3.8)	5 (20.8)	.075
Postoperative complication			
No	20 (76.9)	16 (66.7)	420
Yes	6 (23.1)	8 (33.3)	.420
Adjuvant chemotherapy			
No	11 (42.3)	11 (45.8)	802
Yes	15 (57.7)	13 (54.2)	.002
Anti-tumor treatment after recurrence			
No	10 (38.5)	10 (41.7)	817
Yes	16 (61.5)	14 (58.3)	.017

Data are number (%) unless otherwise noted. CA199: carbohydrate antigen 19-9.

RECURRENCE OF GASTRIC CANCER

	Univariate ana	ysis	Multivariate analysis		
Variables	SHR (95% CI)	P value	aSHR (95% CI)	P value	
Age (years)	1.017 (0.978-1.058)	.978			
Gender					
Male	Reference				
Female	0.625 (0.241-1.621)	.334			
Smoking					
No	Reference	(40)			
Yes	0.799 (0.336-1.901)	.610			
Helicobacter pylori infection					
No	Reference				
Yes	0.660 (0.306-1.424)	.290			
Lauren classification					
Intestinal	Reference		Reference		
Diffuse	4.419 (1.739-11.226)	.002	3.710 (1.365-10.080)	.010	
Tumor location					
Lower 1/3	Reference				
Middle 1/3	1.520 (0.505-4.580)	.457			
Upper 1/3	1.666 (0.582-4.769)	.341			
Mixed	2.137 (0.671-6.804)	.199			
Pathologic T stage					
T1-2	Reference	. 001	Reference		
ТЗ-4	23.679 (6.238-89.883)	<.001	7.008 (1.627-30.181)	.009	
Tumor size (mm)					
<40	Reference	4 4 7			
≥40	1.816 (0.811-4.068)	.147			
Lymphovascular invasion					
No	Reference	E0.2			
Yes	1.516 (0.450-5.107)	.502			
Perineural invasion					
No	Reference	< 001	Reference	< 001	
Yes	27.955 (11.859-65.898)	<.001	12.404 (4.870-31.593)	<.001	
Anemia					
No	Reference	004	Reference	019	
Yes	3.158 (1.453-6.863)	.004	2.351 (1.159-4.771)	.010	
Carcinoembryonic antigen (ng/mL)					
<5.0	Reference	057			
≥5.0	2.600 (0.966-6.999)	.037			

Table 4. Competing risk model of risk factors for early recurrence (n=26).

original article

Variables	Univariate anal	ysis	Multivariate analysis		
variables	SHR (95% CI) P value		aSHR (95% CI)	P value	
CA19-9 (U/mL)					
<37.0	Reference	007			
≥37.0	0.888 (0.120-6.560)	.907			
Postoperative complication					
No	Reference	050			
Yes	0.972 (0.393-2.416)	.950			
Adjuvant chemotherapy					
No	Reference	< 001	Reference	002	
Yes	4.079 (1.788-9.306)	<.001	0.480 (0.210-1.098)	.062	

Table 4 (cont.). Competing risk model of risk factors for early recurrence (n=26).

CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 19-9, SHR: subhazard ratios, aSHR, adjusted subhazard ratio.

Table 5. Competing risk model of risk factors for late recurrence (n=24).

Variables	Univariate ana	ysis	Multivariate analysis	
variables	SHR (95% CI)	P value	aSHR (95% CI)	P value
Age (years)	1.072 (0.981-1.172)	.126		
Gender				
Male	Reference	000		
Female	0.937 (0.343-2.556)	.070		
Smoking				
No	Reference	E20		
Yes	1.301 (0.569-2.975)	.530		
Helicobacter pylori infection				
No	Reference	140		
Yes	0.545 (0.243-1.223)	.140		
Lauren classification				
Intestinal	Reference	111		
Diffuse	1.967 (0.855-4.522)	.111		
Tumor location				
Lower 1/3	Reference		Reference	
Middle 1/3	4.780 (1.439-15.875)	.457	3.703 (1.250-10.968)	.018
Upper 1/3	3.436 (0.934-12.637)	.063	1.938 (0.516-6.610)	.290
Mixed	9.971 (2.908-34.185)	<.001	5.586 (1.866-16.709)	.002
Pathologic T stage				<.001
T1-2	Reference	. 001	Reference	
Т3-4	9.840 (3.652-26.515)	<.001	5.066 (2.014-12.752)	

RECURRENCE OF GASTRIC CANCER

able 5 (cont.). Competing risk model of risk factors for late recurrence (n=24).					
	Univariate ana	lysis	Multivariate analysis		
Variables	SHR (95% CI)	P value	aSHR (95% CI)	P value	
Tumor size, mm					
<40	Reference	040	Reference	071	
≥40	2.327 (1.003-5.398)	.049	0.984 (0.407-2.376)	.971	
Lymphovascular invasion					
No	Reference	- 001	Reference	. 001	
Yes	6.166 (2.664-12.275)	<.001	5.902 (2.537-13.735)	<.001	
Perineural invasion					
No	Reference	011	Reference	700	
Yes	4.556 (1.417-14.644)	.011	0.863 (0.296-2.510)	.790	
Anemia					
No	Reference	400			
Yes	0.653 (0.195-2.178)	.490			
CEA (ng/mL)					
<5.0	Reference	020			
≥5.0	0.937 (0.219-4.001)	.930			
CA19-9 (U/mL)					
<37.0	Reference	- 001	Reference	. 001	
≥37.0	6.263 (2.290-17.131)	<.001	5.227 (2.055-13.288)	<.001	
Postoperative complication					
No	Reference	170			
Yes	1.793 (0.774-4.157)	.170			
Adjuvant chemotherapy					
No	Reference	405			
		.405			

Abbreviations: CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; SHRs, subhazard ratios; aSHRs, adjusted subhazard ratios.



0.629 (0.212-1.871)

Figure 4. Recurrence patterns in patients with early and late recurrence.

Yes



Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier estimates of survival before and after the landmark time (24 months) according to pathologic T stage (A), Lauren classification (B), perineural invasion (PNI) (C), anemia (D), lymphovascular invasion (LVI) (E), tumor location (F), and CA19-9 level (G).

prognosis, with 5-year OS and RFS rates of 89.1% and 92.2%, respectively. To differentiate between early and late recurrence, a recurrence-free interval of 24 months was defined as the optimal threshold based on PRS. Multivariate analyses revealed that the factors associated with late recurrence were quite different from those associated with early recurrence. Diffuse tumors, advanced T stage, perineural invasion, and anemia were independent predictive factors for early recurrence. In contrast, mixed tumors, advanced T stage, lymphovascular invasion, and elevated CA19-9 levels were independent predictive factors for late recurrence.

Lymph node metastasis was the strongest prog-

nostic factor for GC after R0 resection. Compared with node-positive GC, node-negative GC has less aggressive biological features and a better prognosis.²⁵ Despite this, a small proportion of patients with node-negative disease will experience recurrence and disease-specific death. Thus, identifying prognostic indicators for recurrence has been the focus of several studies in node-negative GC.^{5, 26, 27} Among these studies, the depth of tumor invasion was the most important predictor of survival in patients with node-negative GC, which is consistent with our study results. This finding can be explained by the aggressive biological behavior of the disease in patients with advanced T stage.^{28,29} In

contrast, the number of metastatic lymph nodes was the most significant factor associated with the prognosis of patients with node-positive GC.^{30,31} Moreover, in a retrospective study of 317 patients with node-negative GC from 7 US centers, competing risk regression was used to determine factors associated with time to recurrence.⁵ The results suggested that decreased time to recurrence, namely early recurrence, was characterized by T-stage III or higher. Unlike this study, our study demonstrated that T stage was the most important predictor of both early and late recurrence. Thus, patients with advanced T stage were always at a high risk of recurrence, irrespective of time after surgery.

We also found that diffuse histology, tumor location, lymphovascular invasion, perineural invasion, and anemia were significant risk factors for disease recurrence. Based on existing reports, the values of these prognostic factors are inconsistent and remain controversial. Baiocchi et al reported that diffuse histology was an independent predictor of advanced node-negative GC patients,⁶ Wang et al reported that anemia was an independent predictor of early GC,³² Chou et al reported that tumor location and perineural invasion were both independent predictors,⁷ and Jin et al reported that lymphovascular invasion was an independent predictor.⁸ A possible explanation is that these factors may exert different effects on the timing of cancer recurrence.

Previous studies have shown that patients who experience early recurrence of a variety of malignancies have a poor prognosis.³³⁻³⁵ However, evidence-based definitions for the early recurrence of GC after radical gastrectomy are few. In the present study, we used PRS as the outcome indicator and demonstrated an optimal cutoff of 24 months for differentiating between early and late recurrence. In the present study, the results of the competing risk model and landmark analysis revealed that diffuse histology, perineural invasion, and anemia were independently associated with early recurrence, while tumor location and lymphovascular invasion were independently associated with late recurrence. However, these associations have not been observed in patients with node-positive GC, which highlights the unique patterns of recurrence in nodenegative GC. Thus, more intensive follow-up should be performed during the first two years after surgery in patients with diffuse tumor, perineural invasion, and anemia, and should be performed after 2 years in patients with mixed tumor location and lymphovascular invasion. Moreover, although statistical significance was not reached (P=.079), patients with a high risk of early recurrence may benefit from adjuvant therapy. However, the efficacy of adjuvant chemotherapy seemed limited for patients with a high risk of late recurrence. In this regard, intensive follow-up and early detection of recurrence may help achieve a better prognosis.^{36,37}

This study has several limitations. First, as a retrospective study, it may have been subjected to selection bias. Second, despite the multicenter nature, recurrence in node-negative GC remains a rare entity; thus, it may be insufficiently powered to detect true differences. Nevertheless, this study may provide a direction for further investigations into the prognostic stratification of patients with recurrence. Third, we only used data from East Asia. Large samples of data from the West are needed to further validate our findings. However, in Western countries, D1/D1+ lymphadenectomy is performed to treat the majority of patients compared with countries in East Asia where D2 lymphadenectomies are routinely performed, which creates a doubt as to whether the study population was really "node-negative." In contrast, all patients in the present study received standard D2 lymphadenectomies, and none had fewer than 15 lymph nodes, which increased the reliability of our findings. Fourth, we lacked data on dietary factors (e.g., dietary N-nitroso compounds, diet low in fruits and vegetables, and high-salt diet) and cancer-related genes such as p53. Further studies are warranted to demonstrate their associations with early and late recurrence. Finally, this study did not include patients who received neoadjuvant therapy. It is well recognized that patients often experience tumor and lymph node regression and fibrotic response after neoadjuvant therapy.38 The timing of early recurrence and its influencing factors in patients with node-negative GC who have undergone neoadjuvant therapy warrants further investigation.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that several clinicopathological factors, which have previously been identified as prognostic factors, play different roles in the recurrence of node-negative GC following radical resection. Diffuse tumors, advanced T stage, perineural invasion, and anemia were significantly associated with early recurrence. In contrast, mixed tumor location, advanced T stage, lymphovascular invasion, and elevated CA19-9 levels were significantly associated with late recurrence. This finding may assist with decision-making regarding therapeutic and follow-up strategies for patients with node-negative GC.

REFERENCES

1. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2018;68(6):394-424. Epub 2018/09/13.

2. Songun I, Putter H, Kranenbarg EM, Sasako M, van de Velde CJ. Surgical treatment of gastric cancer: 15-year follow-up results of the randomised nationwide Dutch D1D2 trial. The Lancet Oncology. 2010;11(5):439-49. Epub 2010/04/23.

3. Siewert JR, Böttcher K, Stein HJ, Roder JD. Relevant prognostic factors in gastric cancer: ten-year results of the German Gastric Cancer Study. Ann Surg. 1998;228(4):449-61. Epub 1998/10/28.

4. Sun Z, Zhu GL, Lu C, Guo PT, Huang BJ, Li K, et al. The impact of N-ratio in minimizing stage migration phenomenon in gastric cancer patients with insufficient number or level of lymph node retrieved: results from a Chinese mono-institutional study in 2159 patients. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology. 2009;20(5):897-905. Epub 2009/01/31.

5. Huang KH, Chen JH, Wu CW, Lo SS, Hsieh MC, Li AF, et al. Factors affecting recurrence in node-negative advanced gastric cancer. Journal of gastroenterology and hepatology. 2009;24(9):1522-6. Epub 2009/05/27.

6. Baiocchi GL, Tiberio GA, Minicozzi AM, Morgagni P, Marrelli D, Bruno L, et al. A multicentric Western analysis of prognostic factors in advanced, node-negative gastric cancer patients. Ann Surg. 2010;252(1):70-3. Epub 2010/06/22.

7. Chou HH, Kuo CJ, Hsu JT, Chen TH, Lin CJ, Tseng JH, et al. Clinicopathologic study of node-negative advanced gastric cancer and analysis of factors predicting its recurrence and prognosis. Am J Surg. 2013;205(6):623-30. Epub 2012/10/06.

8. Jin LX, Moses LE, Squires MH, 3rd, Poultsides GA, Votanopoulos K, Weber SM, et al. Factors Associated With Recurrence and Survival in Lymph Node-negative Gastric Adenocarcinoma: A 7-Institution Study of the US Gastric Cancer Collaborative. Ann Surg. 2015;262(6):999-1005. Epub 2015/01/22.

9. Yokota T, Saito T, Teshima S, Yamada Y, Iwamoto K, Takahashi M, et al. Early and late recurrences after gastrectomy for gastric cancer: a multiple logistic regression analysis. Upsala journal of medical sciences. 2002;107(1):17-22. Epub 2002/09/26.

 Imamura H, Matsuyama Y, Tanaka E, Ohkubo T, Hasegawa K, Miyagawa S, et al. Risk factors contributing to early and late phase intrahepatic recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy. Journal of hepatology. 2003;38(2):200-7. Epub 2003/01/28.
 Groot VP, Gemenetzis G, Blair AB, Rivero-Soto RJ, Yu J, Javed AA, et al. Defining and Predicting Early Recurrence in 957 Patients With Resected Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. Ann Surg. 2019;269(6):1154-62. Epub 2019/05/15.

12. Feng XY, Chen YB, Wang W, Guan YX, Li YF, Chen S, et al. Time-varying pattern of recurrence risk for gastric cancer patients. Medical oncology (Northwood, London, London, London, 2013;30(2):514. Epub 2013/02/26.
13. Takahashi R, Ohashi M, Kano Y, Ida S,

Kumagai K, Nunobe S, et al. Timing and site-specific trends of recurrence in patients with pathological stage II or III gastric cancer after curative gastrectomy followed by adjuvant S-1 monotherapy. Gastric Cancer. 2019;22(6):1256-62. Epub 2019/03/17.

14. Xu BB, Lu J, Zheng ZF, Xie JW, Wang JB, Lin JX, et al. The predictive value of the preoperative C-reactive protein-albumin ratio for early recurrence and chemotherapy benefit in patients with gastric cancer after radical gastrectomy: using randomized phase III trial data. Gastric Cancer. 2019;22(5):1016-28. Epub 2019/02/11.

15. Xu S, Tang X, Chai N, Linghu E. Different risk factors between early and late cancer recurrences in patients without additional surgery after noncurative endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer. Gastrointestinal endoscopy. 2020;92(1):223-4. Epub 2020/06/27.

16. Yamada S, Hatta W, Shimosegawa T, Takizawa K, Oyama T, Kawata N, et al. Different risk factors between early and late cancer recurrences in patients without additional surgery after noncurative endoscopic submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer. Gastrointestinal endoscopy. 2019;89(5):950-60. Epub 2018/11/23.

17. Association JGC. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2010 (ver. 3). Gastric Cancer. 2011;14(2):113-23.

Association JGC. Japanese gastric cancer treatment guidelines 2014 (ver. 4). Gastric Cancer Official Journal of the International Gastric Cancer Association & the Japanese Gastric Cancer Association. 2017;20(1):1-19.
 Doescher J, Veit JA, Hoffmann TK. The 8th edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. HNO. 2017:1-5.

20. Chen QY, Zhong Q, Zhou JF, Qiu XT, Dang XY, Cai LS, et al. Conditional survival and recurrence of remnant gastric cancer after surgical resection: A multi-institutional study. Cancer science. 2020;111(2):502-12. Epub 2019/11/12.

21. Lee D, Son SY, Kim YB, Han SU, Hur H. Neural Invasion is a Significant Contributor to Peritoneal Recurrence in Signet Ring Cell Gastric Carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol. 2018;25(5):1167-75. Epub 2018/02/17.

22. Lu J, Xu BB, Zheng ZF, Xie JW, Wang JB, Lin JX, et al. CRP/prealbumin, a novel inflammatory index for predicting recurrence after radical resection in gastric cancer patients: post hoc analysis of a randomized phase III trial. Gastric Cancer. 2019;22(3):536-45. Epub 2018/11/01.

23. Fine JP, Gray RJ. A proportional hazards model for the subdistribution of a competing risk. J Amer Statist Assoc 94: 496-509. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 1999;94(446):496-509.

24. Scrucca L, Santucci A, Aversa F. Regression modeling of competing risk using R: an in depth guide for clinicians. Bone marrow transplantation. 2010;45(9):1388-95. Epub 2010/01/12

25. Hsu JT, Chen TC, Tseng JH, Chiu CT, Liu KH, Yeh CN, et al. Impact of HER-2 overexpression/amplification on the prognosis of gastric cancer patients undergoing resection: a single-center study of 1,036 patients. The oncologist. 2011;16(12):1706-13. Epub

original article

2011/12/07.

26. Bruno L, Nesi G, Montinaro F, Carassale G, Boddi V, Bechi P, et al. Clinicopathologic characteristics and outcome indicators in node-negative gastric cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2000;74(1):30-2. Epub 2000/06/22.

27. Saito H, Kuroda H, Matsunaga T, Fukuda K, Tatebe S, Tsujitani S, et al. Prognostic indicators in node-negative advanced gastric cancer patients. J Surg Oncol. 2010;101(7):622-5. Epub 2010/05/13.

28. Kopfstein L, Christofori G. Metastasis: cell-autonomous mechanisms versus contributions by the tumor microenvironment. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS. 2006;63(4):449-68. Epub 2006/01/18.

 Yu P, Rowley DA, Fu YX, Schreiber H. The role of stroma in immune recognition and destruction of well-established solid tumors. Current opinion in immunology. 2006;18(2):226-31. Epub 2006/02/07.
 Deng J, Liang H, Sun D, Pan Y. The

30. Deng J, Liang H, Sun D, Pan Y. The prognostic analysis of lymph node-positive gastric cancer patients following curative resection. The Journal of surgical research. 2010;161(1):47-53. Epub 2009/09/29.

31. Erstad DJ, Blum M, Estrella JS, Das P, Minsky BD, Ajani JA, et al. Benchmarks for nodal yield and ratio for node-positive gastric cancer. Surgery. 2021. Epub 2021/06/02. **32.** Wang J, Yu JC, Kang WM, Ma ZQ. Prognostic significance of intraoperative chemotherapy and extensive lymphadenectomy in patients with node-negative gastric cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2012;105(4):400-4. Epub 2012/02/09.

33. Yamamoto Y, Ikoma H, Morimura R, Konishi H, Murayama Y, Komatsu S, et al. Optimal duration of the early and late recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma after hepatectomy. World journal of gastroenterology. 2015;21(4):1207-15. Epub 2015/01/30.

34. Kiankhooy A, Taylor MD, LaPar DJ, Isbell JM, Lau CL, Kozower BD, et al. Predictors of early recurrence for node-negative t1 to t2b non-small cell lung cancer. The Annals of thoracic surgery. 2014;98(4):1175-83. Epub 2014/08/20.

35. Li T, Fan J, Qin LX, Zhou J, Sun HC, Qiu SJ, et al. Risk factors, prognosis, and management of early and late intrahepatic recurrence after resection of primary clear cell carcinoma of the liver. Ann Surg Oncol. 2011;18(7):1955-63. Epub 2011/01/18.

36. Bennett JJ, Gonen M, D'Angelica M, Jaques DP, Brennan MF, Coit DG. Is detection of asymptomatic recurrence after curative resection associated with improved survival in patients with gastric cancer? Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 2005;201(4):503-10. Epub 2005/09/27.

37. Villarreal-Garza C, Rojas-Flores M, Castro-Sánchez A, Villa AR, García-Aceituno L, León-Rodríguez E. Improved outcome in asymptomatic recurrence following curative surgery for gastric cancer. Medical oncology (Northwood, London, England). 2011;28(4):973-80. Epub 2010/06/03.

38. An JY, Kim KM, Kim YM, Cheong JH, Hyung WJ, Noh SH. Surgical complications in gastric cancer patients preoperatively treated with chemotherapy: their risk factors and clinical relevance. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012;19(8):2452-8. Epub 2012/03/08.