

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

“To have, to hold, from this day forward”: understanding current practice regarding the retention of trial participants

Anne Daykin¹, Athene Lane^{1*}, Carrol Gamble², Anna Kearney², Jane Blazeby¹, Mike Clarke³, Paula Williamson², Ali Heawood¹

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference
Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

Background

Inadequate retention can introduce bias and reduce the power of a trial. The aim of this qualitative study was to elicit detailed accounts from trialists regarding strategies used to enhance retention in clinical trials.

Methods

A purposive sample of five trials was selected from the Health Technology Assessment Portfolio of currently funded trials. Semi-structured interviews explored methods utilised by trial teams when collecting data and retaining participants. The data was analysed thematically using techniques of constant comparison.

Findings

Clear and cohesive definitions of retention were given. However, there was less agreement about the concept of ‘withdrawal’ from a trial. More experienced trialists emphasised different levels of withdrawal and were happy to negotiate with participants in order to at least collect primary outcome data. Novice trialists presumed the participants wanted to withdraw from all aspects of the trial and made no further contact with them.

Research Nurses used their interpersonal skills to motivate participants to remain in their trials. This required time not routinely acknowledged within the funding of trials.

Participants described proactive and reactive approaches to retention. Proactive approaches involved anticipated and considered strategies, both formal and informal, to maintain retention. Conversely, reactive approaches were

typified by unanticipated and spontaneous strategies, some formalised others informal, developed in response to retention problems during the trial.

Conclusion

The experience of trialists influences their actions during the retention of patients. Recommendations from this study highlight the need for sharing proactive approaches to retention that will benefit the trials community.

Authors’ details

¹University of Bristol, Bristol, UK. ²University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK. ³Queen’s University, Belfast, UK.

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-P104

Cite this article as: Daykin et al.: “To have, to hold, from this day forward”: understanding current practice regarding the retention of trial participants. *Trials* 2015 **16**(Suppl 2):P104.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit



¹University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article