scientific reports

Check for updates

Groundwater sources OPEN for the Mataranka Springs (Northern Territory, Australia)

Sébastien Lamontagne1***, Axel Suckow1 , ChristophGerber1 , Alec Deslandes1 , CorneliaWilske1,2 & StevenTickell3**

The Mataranka Springs Complex is the headwater of the iconic Roper River of northern Australia. Using environmental tracers measured in springs and nearby boreholes, the origin of groundwater contributing to the springs was evaluated to help assess the impact of proposed groundwater extraction in the Cambrian Limestone Aquifer (CLA) for irrigation agriculture and for hydraulic fracturing in the Beetaloo Sub-basin (an anticipated world-class unconventional gas reserve). Major ions, Sr, ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr, δ¹⁸O-H₂O, δ²H-H₂O, ³H, ¹⁴C-DIC were consistent with regional groundwater from the **Daly and Georgina basins of the CLA as the sources of water sustaining the major springs (Rainbow and Bitter) and one of the minor springs (Warloch Pond). However, 3 H= 0.34 TU in another minor spring (Fig Tree) indicated an additional contribution from a young (probably local) source. High concentrations of radiogenic 4 He (> 10–7 cm3 STP g–1) at Rainbow Spring, Bitter Spring and in nearby groundwater also indicated an input of deeper, older groundwater. The presence of older groundwater within the CLA demonstrates the need for an appropriate baseline characterisation of the vertical exchange of groundwater in Beetaloo Sub-basin ahead of unconventional gas resource development.**

The Cambrian Limestone Aquifer (CLA) is an extensive (474,000 km²) regional groundwater system and provides water resources for social, economic and cultural activities for regional areas of the Northern Territory of Australia (NT)^{[1](#page-8-0)-[3](#page-8-1)}. Additional groundwater extraction from the CLA is being considered to help promote economic development, including for hydraulic fracturing in Beetaloo Sub-basin, anticipated to be a world-class unconventional gas reserve^{[4,](#page-8-2)[5](#page-8-3)}. The CLA has several distinct groundwater flow systems and, for hydraulic fractur-ing in Beetaloo Sub-basin, groundwater would be extracted from its Georgina flow path (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). This flow path originates from the more arid interior of the NT and fows northward to discharge into the Mataranka Springs Complex. These springs are also thought to be sustained by a shorter flow system from the north (the Daly flow path) originating at a groundwater divide between Katherine and Mataranka (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). The CLA is exposed in the vicinity of the Mataranka Springs Complex (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0) and has numerous karst features (i.e. sinkholes) suggesting that a local recharge near the springs could also contribute to the spring fow. Whether the springs are also sustained by groundwater from geological formations below the CLA is unknown but also possible as several potential pathways for enhanced vertical hydraulic connectivity are present in the vicinity of the Mataranka Springs Complex and within the Beetaloo Sub-basin (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0). The Mataranka Springs Complex hosts a unique groundwater-dependent ecosystem, is one of the most popular tourist attractions in the NT and has a signifcant cultural value to the local aboriginal communities^{[6](#page-8-4),[7](#page-8-5)}. Thus, understanding the sources of water sustaining the springs is necessary to plan sustainable groundwater extraction from the CLA.

Here, the hydrogeological conceptual model for the Mataranka Springs Complex was tested by sampling the springs and local groundwater for major ions, Sr, 87 Sr/ 86 Sr, δ^2 H-H₂O, δ^{18} O-H₂O, 3 H, 14 C-DIC and stable noble gases (³He, ⁴He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe). Sampling occurred in October 2019 (at the end of the dry season) and included the two major springs (Bitter Spring and Rainbow Spring), two minor ones (Fig Tree Spring and Warloch Pond Spring; Fig. [1](#page-1-0)) and nine groundwater samples within or close to the complex (eight from the CLA and one from the underlying Antrim Plateau Volcanics basalt; Supplementary Material Table S1). The environmental tracer database collected in this study can be found at: [https://data.gov.au/data/dataset/3aa021a8-f4cf-45cb-abf1-63742](https://data.gov.au/data/dataset/3aa021a8-f4cf-45cb-abf1-63742efb48df) [ef48df.](https://data.gov.au/data/dataset/3aa021a8-f4cf-45cb-abf1-63742efb48df) For major ions and the stable isotopes of water, trends within the complex were also compared to

¹CSIRO Land and Water, Waite Laboratories, Urrbrae, South Australia 5064, Australia. ²Faculty of Sciences, School of Physical Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia. ³Northern Territory Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security, Palmerston, Northern Territory 0830, Australia. ^[24]email: sebastien.lamontagne@csiro.au

Figure 1. Mataranka Springs Complex, Northern Territory. The complex is a regional groundwater discharge zone for the Cambrian Limestone Aquifer, via a fow path from the north in the Daly Basin (Daly fow path), where the springs are also located, and another from the south in the Georgina Basin (Georgina flow path)^{[2](#page-8-6)}. Geological cross-section along A-B shown on Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) The Beetaloo Sub-basin of the Georgina Basin is to the south of the springs (see Fig. [2](#page-2-0) for details). The springs and groundwater wells sampled in this study are all located in the vicinity of the Mataranka Springs Complex (inset). For major ions and stable isotopes of water, literature values from the Georgina and Daly basins were also used to characterise the signature for the Georgina and Daly basin groundwater fow paths (right; Table S2). Equipotential contours in m Australian Height Datum (AHD).

regional values in groundwater from the CLA (Supplementary Material Table S2). One additional minor spring (Botany Walk Spring) was too dry to sample in October 2019 but previous major ions measurements were used.

Results

Major ions. Water quality varied from Ca–Mg–HCO₃ to Na–Cl dominant (Figs. [3a](#page-3-0)-d). In general, groundwater from the Daly flow path had a lower salinity and was $Ca-Mg$ – $CO₃$ dominant (that is, was >80% $Ca+Mg$ and HCO₃ for cations and anions, respectively), whereas groundwater from the Georgina flow path had an overall greater salinity and a more varied major ion content (with $Na + K$ and $Cl > 20\%$ for cations and anions, respectively). Salinity markedly increased from west to east within the complex, with the highest salinities observed in Fig Tree and Botany Walk springs and nearby groundwater. In general, the major ion composition for Rainbow Spring was most similar to the Daly fow path groundwater whilst the other springs were more similar to Georgina flow path groundwater. The highest salinity measured was in borehole RN034030, the only borehole located in the Antrim Plateau Volcanics within the complex. Borehole RN034230 is located in close proximity to Bitter Spring and had a nearly identical chemistry to the spring. Other boreholes located close to springs (RN035796 and RN034032) had chemistry similar to Georgina flow path groundwater. The boreholes on the northern (RN035860 and RN035519) and southern edge of the complex (RN035926, RN034031 and RN034038) were similar in ionic composition to Daly and Georgina Basin groundwater, respectively.

Most spring and groundwater samples in the complex were depleted in Sr (0.005–1 μ mol L⁻¹) relative to regional CLA groundwater elsewhere (typically > 1 µmol L⁻¹; Fig. [3](#page-3-0)e). There was a distinct ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr signature between Daly (>0.7177) and Georgina fow path groundwater (<0.7177), with Rainbow Spring and Bitter Spring intermediate between the two (~0.7177). Fig Tree (0.7174) and Warloch Pond springs (0.7168) had ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$ within the range found for Georgina flow path groundwater. The Antrim Plateau Volcanics groundwater sample had the lowest ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr (0.7159). The relatively low Sr concentrations in the complex indicate the geochemical conditions favour the precipitation of Sr minerals from groundwater. The Sr isotopic content indicate Rainbow Spring and Bitter Spring would be a mixture from the Daly and Georgina groundwater fow path whilst Fig Tree Spring and Warlock Pond Spring are sustained via the Georgina flow path.

Stable isotopes of water. Two groups of samples can be distinguished based on δ^2H and $\delta^{18}O$. Within the complex, Rainbow Spring and the Daly Basin fow path samples plot on the Meteoric Water Line (MWL) for either Darwin or Alice Springs (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)a), with the signature for Rainbow Spring being $\delta^{18}O = -8.3\%$ and δ^2 H = -55‰. In contrast, all groundwater samples from the Georgina flow path and the other springs fell on a distinct line consistent with evaporative enrichment of a more depleted rainfall source ($\delta^{18}O = -14\%$ and δ2 H=–97‰). Within the complex, Bitter Spring, Warloch Pond Spring and most Georgina groundwater samples had a similar isotopic signature (approximately $\delta^{18}O = -8.2\%$; $\delta^2H = -62\%$). Fig Tree Spring ($\delta^{18}O = -6.6\%$; δ^2 H = -50%) and two groundwater samples (RN034030 and RN034032) from bores in the eastern section of the study area had more evaporative enrichment. However, they were still within expectations relative to regional

2

Figure 2. Geological cross-section of the Daly Basin near the Mataranka Springs Complex (top) (A–B transect in Fig. [1;](#page-1-0) modified from Tickell^{[8](#page-8-12)}) and conceptualised geological cross-section for the Beetaloo Sub-basin of the Georgina Basin (bottom; modified from Orr et al.^{[9](#page-8-13)}). Note the large difference in the vertical scales between the top (m Australian Height Datum) and lower cross-sections (km below ground level). The Cenozoic/Cretaceous, CLA, and Antrim Plateau Volcanics geological units (the three topmost units in the lower cross-section) are continuous from the Georgina Basin to the Daly Basin. The Proterozoic Sandstone and Siltstone of the Daly Basin (top cross-section) may be equivalent to the Bessie Creek Sandstone in the Georgina Basin (bottom crosssection) but these units are not thought to form a continuous aquifer, being isolated by a basement rise south of Mataranka.

samples from elsewhere along the Georgina flow path (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)b). The presence of evaporative enrichment in groundwater is a common feature of arid regions and is consistent with the Georgina fow path being in a more arid section of the CLA relative to the Daly flow path 10^{-12} . The inferred lower isotopic signature for rainfall in the Georgina Basin is probably due to a continental effect^{[10](#page-8-7)} (rainfall tends to become more isotopically depleted inland) and an amount effect^{13,14} (groundwater recharge in arid climates mostly occurs following very large rain events, which tend to be more isotopically-depleted). Whilst data are limited, the isotopic composition of groundwater samples from the Antrim Volcanics and the Proterozoic Sandstone within or south of the complex were within expectations for the Georgina flow path of the CLA.

Tritium, ¹⁴C and ⁴He. The examination of age-dating tracers shows a wide mixture in springs and groundwater and a contribution from a deeper, non-CLA groundwater source (or sources). Most springs had $3H$ < 0.05 TU (that is, were at the analytical detection limit), demonstrating a large component of pre-1950 water (Fig. [5](#page-4-1)a). However, Fig Tree Spring and RN034032 had ³H = 0.34 and 0.36 TU, respectively, showing that they contained a significant component of post-1950 water (Darwin rainfall having ~ 1.2 to 1.6 TU^{15} TU^{15} TU^{15} and the half-life of 3H being 12.4 years¹⁰). This was also consistent with a more modern ¹⁴C (81 pmC) in springs and groundwater where ³H was highest (Fig. [5](#page-4-1)a) relative to less modern values elsewhere (31-69 pmC). For 14 C and 3 H, the age distribution

was consistent with an Exponential Model^{[16](#page-8-14)}, with inferred mean residence times ranging from 120 to 170 years for Fig Tree Spring to>1000 years for the other springs (Fig. [5a](#page-4-1)).

Most spring and groundwater samples had radiogenic ⁴He (that is, had ⁴He concentrations in excess of atmospheric equilibrium, $4-5 \times 10^{-8}$ cm³ Standard Temperature and Pressure (STP) g^{-1}) with concentrations ranging from 4.6×10^{-8} to 2.6×10^{-6} cm³ STP g⁻¹ (Figs. [5](#page-4-1)b,c). The highest ⁴He concentration was found in the Antrim Plateau Volcanics groundwater sample. Whilst some accumulation of radiogenic 4 He during groundwater transport in the CLA is possible, the very high 4 He concentrations observed in many samples combined with the large spatial variability indicated a localised input of older groundwater from an underlying confned aquifer (or aquifers). However, as the ³He/⁴He ratio indicated admixture of pure radiogenic helium in all samples this deeper source was not derived from primordial mantle fluids^{[17](#page-8-15)}.

Other noble gases. The springs in the complex are notable for being 'warm' (25–33 °C). The trends in noble gases (here shown through Ne and Xe) indicate the warm temperatures are due to a relatively high ambient soil

4

Figure 4. Stable isotopes of water. **(a)** Isotopic composition of springs and groundwater at the Mataranka Springs Complex, also showing the Darwin Meteoric Water Line^{[12](#page-8-8)}, Alice Spring Meteoric Water Line¹² and the evaporation line for Georgina fow path groundwater. **(b)** Regional trends in isotopic composition along the Daly and Georgina fow paths (open symbols) also including groundwater and spring samples from the complex (closed symbols).

Figure 5. Trends in age-dating tracers in spring and groundwater. (a) ¹⁴C and ³H, also showing the Exponential Model (EM) for two 'dead' C correction factors and two formulations of the Kaitoke (K) 3 H input function; **(b)** ⁴He and ³H; (c) ¹⁴C and ⁴He. The vertical grey boxes represent the range in ⁴He concentration expected for a CLA groundwater sample that would be at equilibrium with the atmosphere (i.e. that has no radiogenic ⁴He). The horizontal stripped boxes represent the analytical detection limit for ³H.

Figure 6. Ne and Xe trends at the Mataranka Springs Complex, showing that the relative warm temperatures of the springs are caused by the prevailing warm climate. Deviations from solubility equilibrium (blue line) are due to the presence of excess air (EA) in groundwater. The green lines represent the possible range in noble gas concentrations at a given recharge temperature using either the unfractionated excess air model (UA) or the Partial Re-equilibration (PR) excess air model (for two initial EA contents). Mean shown with±one standard deviation.

temperature at the time of recharge rather than from geothermal heating (Fig. [6](#page-5-0)). All samples had a relatively large amount of excess air (that is, Ne and Xe concentrations were greater than expectations from solubility equilibrium). Using a combination of the unfractionated excess air model (UA) and the partial re-equilibration model (PR) for a moderate (10 cm³ STP kg⁻¹) and a large (35 cm³ STP kg⁻¹) amount of excess air^{18,19}, the recharge temperature would range from 31 to 41 °C. The Daly flow path samples had a greater amount of excess air and a lower inferred recharge temperature than the Georgina flow path groundwater samples. Fig Tree Spring had the lowest amount of excess air and the lowest inferred recharge temperature. This suggests extensive re-equilibration with the atmosphere on a seasonal basis caused by groundwater fow through large, partially saturated cavities in the tufa. As sampling occurred at the end of the (relatively cooler) dry season, the inferred temperature at the time of recharge would appear colder if groundwater discharging at Fig Tree Spring had recently undergone partial re-equilibration. Tis could be further evaluated by measuring the changes in the noble gas content of the springs on a seasonal basis.

Discussion

Sources of water for the Mataranka Springs complex. The original conceptual model from Karp^{[20](#page-8-18),[21](#page-8-19)} was for the Mataranka Springs Complex to be fed via the Daly and the Georgina regional fow paths of the CLA. However, a component of local recharge feeding the springs was also anticipated owing the less permeable Cretaceous sediment cover overlying much of the CLA elsewhere being absent in the area surrounding Elsey National Park, which should facilitate local recharge processes. The findings of this study are largely consistent with Karp. Based on salinity, ionic composition, δ^2H and $\delta^{18}O$, Rainbow Spring is primarily fed by the Daly flow path of the CLA whilst Bitter Spring, Warloch Pond Spring and Fig Tree Spring are primarily fed by the Georgina flow path of the CLA. The regional scale of the flow systems is also reflected in the mixture of groundwater ages in the springs¹⁶. Tritium > 0.1 TU in Fig Tree Spring and in several groundwater samples also demonstrated a contribution from recent (and probably local) recharge to the Georgina fow path of the CLA, especially at the eastern end of the complex. A simple end-member mixing analysis indicated the young fraction component was at least 20% of Fig Tree Spring fow but negligible to less than 18% at the other springs (Fig. [7\)](#page-6-0). Tus, most of the groundwater sustaining the major springs (Rainbow and Bitter) is regional in nature, that is originates from recharge a signifcant distance away from the springs.

A contribution from a deeper source (or sources) inferred from radiogenic 4 He had not been demonstrated previously for the Mataranka Springs Complex. As radiogenic 4 He was more elevated in Rainbow and Bitter springs, the deeper source(s) may also contribute to the baseflow of the Roper River. The timescale of regional groundwater transport within the CLA is too short (<10,000 years) and the U and Th content of the limestone too low²² to account for the elevated radiogenic ⁴He content. However, the timescale of groundwater transport in underlying formations is unknown but anticipated to be much longer than in the CLA, which would foster the accumulation of radiogenic ⁴He. This is consistent with the ⁴He > 10^{-6} cm³ STP g^{-1} measured in Antrim Plateau Volcanics groundwater. There may also be a contribution from other geological formations underlying the CLA owing the presence of faults in the region⁹, including faults that have been reactivated in the recent geological history²³. The concentrations of radiogenic ⁴He found at the Mataranka Springs Complex are within

Figure 7. (a) Estimation of the 'young' groundwater component in the springs based on **(b)** the decaycorrected ³H content for rainfall between 1960 and 2019 (shaded area). As ³H is near analytical detection limit in springs other than Fig Tree, the young age fraction is an upper estimate for them. The envelope of potential admixtures of young and old water also considers most potential age-distributions within the young fraction.

the range (10^{-8} to 10^{-4} cm³ STP g⁻¹) found in large confined sedimentary aquifers in Australia^{[24](#page-9-0)[–26](#page-9-1)}. It is not possible to quantify the contribution from the deeper groundwater source(s) at present because there is very limited information about tracer signatures for groundwater in any of the geological formations underlying the CLA.

The evidence for partial re-equilibration of the gas content with the atmosphere for some spring and groundwater samples indicates the radiogenic ⁴He content provided here is conservative (that is, some of the initial radiogenic ⁴He may have been lost during groundwater transit through the complex). The noble gas-inferred recharge temperatures are broadly consistent with the measured spring temperatures, which indicates the deeper groundwater source is not geothermally-heated or that its contribution is small relative to the one from the CLA. A more quantitative interpretation of the source of radiogenic 4 He will require the deeper formations in the complex and in Beetaloo Sub-basin to be instrumented and for near-surface measurements to be carefully corrected for the presence of excess air and for partial re-equilibration efects with the atmosphere.

Salt balance. Deeper groundwater discharge and extensive groundwater transpiration may both contribute to the increase in groundwater salinity observed in parts of the Mataranka Springs Complex. Whilst springs are the more obvious groundwater discharge feature in the landscape, there is signifcant groundwater evapotranspiration throughout the complex, consistent with a net annual evaporative fux estimated by remote sensing $({\sim}250$ mm year⁻¹)^{[27](#page-9-2)}. This net evaporative flux would tend to increase the salinity of shallow groundwater, as is commonly observed in other regional groundwater discharge zones in arid environments^{28,29}. Alternatively, the increase in groundwater salinity in the complex could be caused by saline groundwater discharge to the CLA from a deeper groundwater source (or sources). The two processes are not mutually exclusive and deeper groundwater discharge may also be partially transpired when occurring in areas with shallow water tables within the Complex. Tus, better constraining the contribution of the various groundwater sources to the springs will require both defning the geochemical signature of the deeper groundwater source(s) and an independent assessment of groundwater transpiration by phreatophytes within the Complex.

Implications for water resources development. The potential impact of groundwater extraction in the CLA on the Mataranka Springs Complex will be commensurate with the extraction rate, the distance of the extraction from the complex, and the nature of the interaction between groundwater and the various groundwater-dependent assets within the complex³⁰. Recent evaluations of proposed groundwater extraction scenarios for the Georgina Basin of the CLA suggest a potential 20% decline of the Roper River baseflow over 300 years^{[31](#page-9-6)}. However, as groundwater—surface water interactions in the Mataranka Springs Complex are not represented in current hydrogeological models of the CLA, the potential impacts of planned extraction on springs and other groundwater-dependent assets are unclear.

The potential for hydraulic fracturing to increase the vertical connectivity between water resources and deeper geological formations is a key concern of the public relative to unconventional gas resources develop-ment in Beetaloo Sub-basin³² and elsewhere^{[33](#page-9-8),[34](#page-9-9)}. Demonstrating the presence of a deeper groundwater source at the springs (and for the CLA in general²⁴) is a key requirement for pre-development baseline assessments for

7

unconventional gas resources^{[35](#page-9-10)–[37](#page-9-11)}. Whilst it was established here that at least one deeper groundwater source is present pre-development at the springs, the exact origin of the deeper source (or sources), the mode of connectivity with the CLA (faults, contiguous formations, etc.) and the proportion of the spring fow attributable to deeper groundwater could not be evaluated because of the lack of infrastructure (that is, monitoring boreholes) in the geological formations underlying the $CLA²⁴$. Due to a significant interburden thickness, hydraulic fracturing in Beetaloo Sub-basin is unlikely to materially increase the vertical hydraulic connectivity between rocks hosting unconventional gas plays and the CLA[38.](#page-9-12) Nevertheless, additional baseline geochemical mapping and a feld evaluation of the existing pathways for vertical connectivity are required to guide the development of unconventional gas resources in the Beetaloo Sub-basin^{[37](#page-9-11),[39](#page-9-13),[40](#page-9-14)}.

Methods

Study area. Climate in the study area ranges from tropical savanna near Mataranka and Katherine to hot semi-arid further south, with a distinct north–south monsoon-infuenced rainfall gradient. At Katherine (Bureau of Meteorology Station 014903), annual precipitation varies from 678 to 1575 mm, annual potential evaporation is 2227 mm, and the average daily maximum temperature is 34 °C. The hydrogeology of the CLA is complex owing to the north–south rainfall gradient, distinct geological basins (Wiso, Daly and Georgina) with their own groundwater fow systems, extensive karst features, partial confnement, and the potential for vertical hydraulic connectivity with underlying geological units. At the Mataranka Springs Complex, the CLA is unconfned, relatively thin, but overlain by a layer of tufa (redeposited limestone) with extensive karst features (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)). Two local confned aquifers, the Antrim Plateau Volcanics basalt and the Proterozoic Sandstone and Siltstone, underlie the CLA near Mataranka and outcrop to the north of the study area. The extent of tufa deposition (>10 m) at the Mataranka Springs Complex indicates the area has been a regional groundwater discharge zone for millennia. The Beetaloo Sub-basin underlies part of the Georgina Basin and is approximately 150 km south from Mataranka (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0).

Environmental tracer sampling. Spring and groundwater samples were collected from the Mataranka Springs Complex between 16 and 20 October 2019. The locations of the springs and boreholes and the borehole construction details can be found in Table S1. Note that borehole RN034030 located in the Antrim Plateau Volcanics basalt was the only borehole available in the area that had a screen located in a geological formation other than the CLA. The two largest springs (Rainbow Spring and Bitter Springs) flow at 0.3–0.8 m³ s⁻¹ and generate short streams popular as tourist attractions before they cascade into the Roper River²⁰. Rainbow Spring has one cluster of vents over approximately a 10 $m²$ area generating the streamflow, whereas Bitter Springs appears to have many vents (> 10) spread over a larger, densely vegetated area (\sim 1000 m²) joining together to form a stream. Warloch Pond Spring is one of probably many vents discharging into Elsey Creek, whereas Fig Tree Spring discharges horizontally from a cavity in a tufa cliff along the riparian corridor of the Roper River. These smaller springs have not been gauged previously but are estimated to have a discharge $< 0.1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

To avoid degassing losses, the springs were sampled by either installing a small submersible pump in a vent or, at Fig Tree Spring, by inserting a tube in the cavity. Samples were collected from one of the Rainbow Spring vents, two of the Bitter Springs vents and from the Fig Tree and Warloch Pond Spring vents (with, for selected analytes, two additional surface water samples collected from the Bitter Spring stream downstream from the vents). For groundwater samples, a submersible pump was lowered to the screen interval and the borehole casing was dewatered for at least three bore volumes before sample collection was initiated. A YSI™ multi-parameter probe [\(https://www.ysi.com\)](https://www.ysi.com) was used to measure pH, specifc electrical conductance (EC), temperature and dissolved oxygen concentrations. For major and minor elements, samples were fltered through a 0.45 µm nitrocellulose membrane filter and (for cations) acidified with $(1\% \text{ v/v HNO}_3)$. Alkalinity was measured in the field using a HACH™ titration kit ([https://www.hach.com\)](https://www.hach.com). Delta-18O and δ2 H samples were stored in 28 mL gas-tight glass bottles (McCartney). Tritium, δ^{13} C-Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) and ¹⁴C-DIC samples were stored in 1 L HDPE bottles, unfltered with no headspace and with no preservative. Sr (and strontium isotopes) samples were collected unfltered in 125 mL plastic bottles. Spring and groundwater samples for noble gases were collected using the copper tube method 41 .

Major and minor cations were analysed by a SPECTRO CIROS Radial Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometer and anions using a Dionex ICS-2500 Ion Chromatrograph at CSIRO Land & Water Analytical Services, Adelaide, South Australia. The charge balance error on the major ion measurements was ± 5%. Strontium and 87Sr/86Sr were measured by Inductive-Coupled Mass Spectrometry at the CSIRO Land & Water Analytical Services. Stable isotopes of water were measured by Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry at GNS, New Zealand, with a precision of 0.1‰ and 1.0‰, for $\delta^{18}O$ and δ^2H , respectively. Noble gases were measured at the CSIRO Land & Water Noble Gas Laboratory using ofine separation of gases from water by cryogenic techniques, separation of reactive gases from noble gases using catalyst and getter systems and separation of the noble gas fractions using cryogenic techniques down to 13 K. Total gas content was evaluated using a spinning rotor gauge, the isotopic composition using quadrupole mass spectrometers and a high-resolution Helix MC noble gas mass-spectrometer[42.](#page-9-16) Tritium was measured by electrolytic enrichment and liquid scintillation counting with a detection limit of 0.025 TU⁴³. Radiocarbon and δ¹³C-DIC were measured by accelerator mass spectrometry and Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry at the Rafter Radiocarbon Laboratory with an accuracy of 0.2‰ for $\delta^{13}C$ and a detection limit of 0.5% Modern Carbon (pmC) for 14C.

Lumped-parameter models. The age-distribution of spring and groundwater was evaluated using lumped-parameter models^{[16](#page-8-14),[44](#page-9-18)}. For simplicity, only the results of the Exponential Model (EM) are reported here for two different initial ¹⁴C values corrected for 'dead' C inputs (¹⁴C = 70 pmC and 80 pmC) due to the dilution of rainfall 14 C by rock-water interactions in the aquifer. The input function for 3 H from Kaitoke was multiplied by a factor of 0.8, which approximates the (incomplete) Darwin rainfall ³H record.

Young groundwater fraction. The 'young' (post-1950) groundwater component at Mataranka was estimated as ${}^{3}H_{sp} = p_y$ ³ H_{dc} , where ${}^{3}H_{sp}$ is the spring ³H, p_y the proportion of post-1950 water in spring water and ${}^{3}H_{2}$ is the decay-corrected value for rainfall for a particular year (with the pre-195 ${}^{3}H_{dc}$ is the decay-corrected value for rainfall for a particular year (with the pre-1950 component assumed to be H-free). For simplicity, an envelope of potential ${}^{3}H_{sp}$ was estimated using a relatively low ${}^{3}H_{dc}$ (1960; 0.3 TU) and high ${}^{3}\text{H}_{\text{dc}}$ (2019; 1.4 TU). This envelope also describes most age-distributions within the post-1950 component.

Data availability

Spring and borehole location can be found in Supplementary Material Table S1. The environmental tracer dataset collected in this study can be found at https://data.gov.au/data/dataset/3aa021a8-f4cf-45cb-abf1-63742efb48 [df](https://data.gov.au/data/dataset/3aa021a8-f4cf-45cb-abf1-63742efb48df). Supplementary Material Table S2 provides the additional literature data used to characterise the major ions and stable isotopic signature of Daly and Georgina basins groundwater (Botany Walk Spring: Mean of three samples from Karp²⁰). The summary for major elements, ${}^{87}Sr/{}^{86}Sr$, U and Th content from rocks in the Georgina fow path of the CLA can be found at<https://data.gov.au/data/dataset/49e25e37-ab1c-481a-9ad9-84ec201a9dc7>. The Darwin and Alice Springs rainfall ³H time series is available upon request from gnip@ansto.gov.au and the Kaitoke 3 H time series was obtained by personal communication from Uwe Morgenstern, GNS New Zealand.

Received: 28 June 2021; Accepted: 8 December 2021 Published online: 20 December 2021

References

- 1. Evans, T. J. *et al.* Hydrogeology of the Beetaloo GBA region. Technical appendix for Geological and Bioregional Assessment Program: Stage 2. (Australia, 2020). [https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/fles/gba-bee-stage2-appendix_hydro](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/gba-bee-stage2-appendix_hydrogeology_final.pdf) [geology_fnal.pdf](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/gba-bee-stage2-appendix_hydrogeology_final.pdf). Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 2. Huddlestone-Holmes, C. R. *et al.* Geological and environmental baseline assessment for the Beetaloo GBA region. Geological and Bioregional Assessment Program: Stage 2. (Australia, 2020). [https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/fles/](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/gba-bee-stage2-baselineanalysis-final.pdf) [gba-bee-stage2-baselineanalysis-fnal.pdf.](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/gba-bee-stage2-baselineanalysis-final.pdf) Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 3. Fulton, S. & Knapton, A. *Beetaloo Basin Hydrogeological Assessment*. (2015).
- 4. Côté, A., Richards, B., Altmann, C., Baruch, E. & Close, D. Australia's premier shale basin: Five plays, 1 000 000 000 years in the making. *APPEA J.* **58**, 799–804. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AJ17040> (2018).
- 5. Northern Territory Geological Survey. Kyalla and middle Velkerri resource assessment: Gorrie, Beetaloo, OT Downs and Broadmere Sub-basins. Report No. NTGS Record 2017-003 (2017). <https://geoscience.nt.gov.au/gemis/ntgsjspui/handle/1/85135>. Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 6. Rees, G. N. *et al.* Characterisation of the stygofauna and microbial assemblages of the Beetaloo Sub-basin, Northern Territory. (2020). [https://gisera.csiro.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/GISERA-Project18-Stygofauna_fnal-report-20201208.pdf.](https://gisera.csiro.au/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/GISERA-Project18-Stygofauna_final-report-20201208.pdf) Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 7. Northern Territory Government. Elsey National Park Plan of Management. (2012). [https://dtsc.nt.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_fle/](https://dtsc.nt.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0009/249057/Elsey-PoM.pdf) [0009/249057/Elsey-PoM.pdf.](https://dtsc.nt.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0009/249057/Elsey-PoM.pdf) Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 8. Tickell, S. *Springs of the Mataranka Area [Map]*. (Northern Territory Department of Infrastructure, Planning and the Environment, 2004).
- 9. Orr, M. L. *et al.* Geology of the Beetaloo GBA region. Technical appendix for the Geological and Bioregional Assessment: Stage 2. (2020). [https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/fles/gba-bee-stage2-appendix_geology_fnal.pdf](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/gba-bee-stage2-appendix_geology_final.pdf). Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 10. Clark, I. & Fritz, P. *Environmental Isotopes in Hydrogeology*. (Lewis, 1997).
- 11. Allison, G. B., Barnes, C. J., Hughes, M. W. & Leaney, F. W. J. *Isotope Hydrology 1983*. 105–123. (IAEA, 1984).
- 12. Hollins, S. E., Hughes, C. E., Crawford, J., Cendon, D. I. & Meredith, K. T. Rainfall isotope variations over the Australian continent—Implications for hydrology and isoscape applications. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* **645**, 630–645. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.082) [2018.07.082](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.082) (2018).
- 13. Dogramaci, S., Skrzypek, G., Dodson, W. & Grierson, P. F. Stable isotope and hydrochemical evolution of groundwater in the semi-arid Hamersley Basin of subtropical northwest Australia. *J. Hydrol.* **475**, 281–293. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.10.004) [004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.10.004) (2012).
- 14. Harrington, G. A., Cook, P. G. & Herczeg, A. L. Spatial and temporal variability of groundwater recharge in central Australia: A tracer approach. *Ground Water* **40**, 518–528 (2002).
- 15. Tadros, C. V., Hughes, C. E., Crawford, J., Hollins, S. E. & Chisari, R. Tritium in Australian precipitation: A 50 year record. *J. Hydrol.* **513**, 262–273.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.03.031>(2014).
- 16. Cook, P. G. & Böhlke, J. K. *Environmental Tracers in Subsurface Hydrology* (eds. Cook, P. G. & Herczeg, A. L.) Chap. 1. 1–30. (Kluwer, 2000).
- 17. Torgersen, T., Habermehl, M. A. & Clarke, W. B. Crustal helium fuxes and heat-fow in the Great Artesian Basin, Australia. *Chem. Geol.* **102**, 139–152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541\(92\)90152-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(92)90152-U) (1992).
- 18. Aeschbach-Hertig, W., Peeters, F., Beyerle, U. & Kipfer, R. Palaeotemperature reconstruction from noble gases in ground water taking into account equilibration with entrapped air. *Nature* **405**, 1040–1044.<https://doi.org/10.1038/35016542> (2000).
- 19. Aeschbach-Hertig, W., El-Gamal, H., Wieser, M. & Palcsu, L. Modeling excess air and degassing in groundwater by equilibrium partitioning with a gas phase. *Water Resour. Res.* **44**, *W08449.* <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007wr006454> (2008).
- 20. Karp, D. Surface and groundwater interaction the Mataranka area. Report No 17/2008D, 69 (2008). [https://hdl.handle.net/10070/](https://hdl.handle.net/10070/228528) [228528](https://hdl.handle.net/10070/228528). Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 21. Tien, A. T. *Te Infuence of Climate Variability on Hydrological Processes and Surface and Groundwater Hydrochemistry: Te Tropical Upper Roper River Catchment*. PhD Thesis, Queensland University of Technology (2010).
- 22. Geological and Bioregional Assessment Program. Fact Sheet 12: Isotope geochemistry of aquifer rocks in the Cambrian Limestone Aquifer (CLA) (2021). [https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/fles/fact_sheet_12_isotope_geochemistry_of_](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/fact_sheet_12_isotope_geochemistry_of_aquifer_rocks_in_the_cambrian_limestone_aquifer_cla_0.pdf) [aquifer_rocks_in_the_cambrian_limestone_aquifer_cla_0.pdf.](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/fact_sheet_12_isotope_geochemistry_of_aquifer_rocks_in_the_cambrian_limestone_aquifer_cla_0.pdf) Accessed 23 Sep 2021.
- 23. Geological and Bioregional Assessment Program. Fact Sheet 23: Structural fow implications for unconventional gas resource exploration, Beetaloo Sub-basin case study (Northern Territory, Australia) (2021). [https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/fact_sheet_23_structural_flow_implications_for_unconventional_gas_resource_exploration_beetaloo_sub-basin_case_study_0.pdf) [sites/default/fles/fact_sheet_23_structural_fow_implications_for_unconventional_gas_resource_exploration_beetaloo_sub](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/fact_sheet_23_structural_flow_implications_for_unconventional_gas_resource_exploration_beetaloo_sub-basin_case_study_0.pdf)[basin_case_study_0.pdf.](https://www.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/sites/default/files/fact_sheet_23_structural_flow_implications_for_unconventional_gas_resource_exploration_beetaloo_sub-basin_case_study_0.pdf) Accessed 23 Sep 2021.
- 24. Suckow, A. *et al.* Multi-isotope studies investigating recharge and inter-aquifer connectivity in coal seam gas areas (Qld, NSW) and shale gas areas (NT). *APPEA J.* **60**, 335. <https://doi.org/10.1071/aj19187>(2020).
- 25. Mahara, Y. et al. Groundwater dating by estimation of groundwater flow velocity and dissolved ⁴He accumulation rate calibrated by 36Cl in the Great Artesian Basin, Australia. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **287**, 43–56 (2009).
- 26. Bethke, C. M., Zhao, X. & Torgersen, T. Groundwater fow and the 4 He distribution in the Great Artesian Basin of Australia. *J. Geophys. Res.* **104**, 12999–13011 (1999).
- 27. Crosbie, R. & Rachakonda, P. K. Constraining probabilistic chloride mass-balance recharge estimates using basefow and remotely sensed evapotranspiration: The Cambrian Limestone Aquifer, northern Australia. *Hydrogeol. J.* 29, 1399-1419. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-021-02323-1) [1007/s10040-021-02323-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-021-02323-1) (2021).
- 28. Simmons, C. T., Narayan, K. A. & Wooding, R. A. On a test case for density-dependent groundwater fow and solute transport models: The salt lake problem. *Water Resour. Res.* 35, 3607-3620 (1999).
- 29. Lamontagne, S., Leaney, F. W. & Herczeg, A. L. Groundwater–surface water interactions in a large semi-arid foodplain: Implications for salinity management. *Hydrol. Proc.* **19**, 3063–3080. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.5832> (2005).
- 30. Sophocleous, M. From safe yield to sustainable development of water resources—Te Kansas experience. *J. Hydrol.* **235**, 27–43 (2000) .
- 31. Bruwer, Q. & Tickell, S. J. Daly Basin groundwater resource assessment—North Mataranka to Daly Waters. (Northern Territory Department of Land Resource Management, 2015). [http://hdl.handle.net/10070/259527.](http://hdl.handle.net/10070/259527) Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 32. Northern Territory Government. Final Report of the Scientifc Inquiry in to Hydraulic Fracturing in the Northern Territory. (2018). [https://frackinginquiry.nt.gov.au/inquiry-reports/?a=494286.](https://frackinginquiry.nt.gov.au/inquiry-reports/?a=494286) Accessed 2 June 2021.
- 33. Vengosh, A., Jackson, R. B., Warner, N., Darrah, T. H. & Kondash, A. A critical review of the risks to water resources from unconventional shale gas development and hydraulic fracturing in the United States. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **48**, 8334–8348. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/es405118y) [org/10.1021/es405118y](https://doi.org/10.1021/es405118y) (2014).
- 34. Shanafeld, M., Cook, P. G. & Simmons, C. T. Towards quantifying the likelihood of water resource impacts from unconventional gas development. *Ground Water* **57**, 547–561. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12825> (2019).
- 35. Banks, E. W. *et al.* Multi-tracer and hydrogeophysical investigation of the hydraulic connectivity between coal seam gas formations, shallow groundwater and stream network in a faulted sedimentary basin. *J. Hydrol.* **578***, 124132.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydr](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124132) [ol.2019.124132](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124132) (2019).
- 36. Iverach, C. P. *et al.* Assessing connectivity between an overlying aquifer and a coal seam gas resource using methane isotopes, dissolved organic carbon and tritium. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 15996.<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15996> (2015).
- 37. Jackson, R. E. et al. Groundwater protection and unconventional gas extraction: The critical need for field-based hydrogeological research. *Ground Water* **51**, 488–510.<https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12074>(2013).
- 38. Geological and Bioregional Assessment Program. *Compromised Subsurface Integrity: Stressor Node Description for the Beetaloo GBA Region*.<https://gba-explorer.bioregionalassessments.gov.au/bee/9/19/0> (2021).
- 39. Darrah, T. H., Vengosh, A., Jackson, R. B., Warner, N. R. & Poreda, R. J. Noble gases identify the mechanisms of fugitive gas contamination in drinking-water wells overlying the Marcellus and Barnett Shales. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 14076–14081. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1322107111>(2014).
- 40. Zhou, Z. & Ballentine, C. J. 4 He dating of groundwater associated with hydrocarbon reservoirs. *Chem. Geol.* **226**, 309–327. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2005.09.030) doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2005.09.030 (2006).
- 41. Weiss, R. F. Helium isotope efect in solution in water and seawater. *Science* **168**, 247–248. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.168.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.168.3928.247) [3928.247](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.168.3928.247) (1970).
- 42. Suckow, A., Deslandes, A., Gerber, C., Mallants, D. & Smith, S. Commissioning of the CSIRO noble gas facilities at Waite. 50 (CSIRO, 2019).<https://publications.csiro.au/publications/publication/PIcsiro:EP196117>. Accessed 23 Sep 2021.
- 43. Morgenstern, U. & Taylor, C. B. Ultra low-level tritium measurement using electrolytic enrichment and LSC. *Isot. Environ. Health Stud.* **45**, 96–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10256010902931194>(2009).
- 44. Cartwright, I., Cendon, D., Currell, M. & Meredith, K. A review of radioactive isotopes and other residence time tracers in understanding groundwater recharge: Possibilities, challenges, and limitations. *J. Hydrol.* **555**, 797–811. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydr](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.10.053) [ol.2017.10.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.10.053) (2017).

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the traditional owners (including the Mangarrayi and Yangman) as the custodian of the land and springs at Mataranka and are grateful for their input and support in accessing the springs, including at sacred sites. We thank Elsey National Park for granting access to the springs and the Northern Land Council for facilitating the collaboration with traditional owners. The study was funded by the Commonwealth Government's Geological and Bioregional Assessment Program.

Author contributions

S.L. designed the study, interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript; A.S. designed the study; C.G. modelled the noble gas data; A.D. contributed to the design of the feld program; S.T. helped design the study. C.W. interpreted the Sr and Sr isotopes data. All authors contributed to data interpretation and discussion of results and implications.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03701-1) [10.1038/s41598-021-03701-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03701-1).

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International $\overline{\odot}$ \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© Crown 2021