

ARTICLE

Received 3 Jan 2013 | Accepted 19 Mar 2013 | Published 23 Apr 2013

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2769 **OPEN**

Multipolar radiation of quantum emitters with nanowire optical antennas

Alberto G. Curto¹, Tim H. Taminiau¹, Giorgio Volpe¹, Mark P. Kreuzer¹, Romain Quidant^{1,2} & Niek F. van Hulst^{1,2}

Multipolar transitions other than electric dipoles are generally too weak to be observed at optical frequencies in single quantum emitters. For example, fluorescent molecules and quantum dots have dimensions much smaller than the wavelength of light and therefore emit predominantly as electric dipoles. Here we demonstrate controlled emission of a quantum dot into multipolar radiation through selective coupling to a linear nanowire antenna. The antenna resonance tailors the interaction of the quantum dot with light, effectively creating a hybrid nanoscale source beyond the simple Hertz dipole. Our findings establish a basis for the controlled driving of fundamental modes in nanoantennas and metamaterials, for the understanding of the coupling of quantum emitters to nanophotonic devices such as waveguides and nanolasers, and for the development of innovative quantum nano-optics components with properties not found in nature.

¹ ICFO - Institut de Ciencies Fotoniques, Mediterranean Technology Park, 08860 Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain. ² ICREA - Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, Barcelona, Spain. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.F.v.H. (email: Niek.vanHulst@ICFO.eu).

ptical antennas offer unprecedented possibilities for the interaction of light with nanoscale matter^{1,2}. Emission and absorption can be controlled by near-field coupling to a properly designed antenna mode. Napoantennas interaction of light with nanoscale matter^{[1,2](#page-6-0)}. Emission to a properly designed antenna mode. Nanoantennas based on dipolar resonances have already been exploited to improve singlemolecule detection³, brighten single-photon sources^{$4,5$} and direct fluorescence⁶. Ultimately, having access to all possible multipolar terms in the field expansion, beyond the dipole, provides complete control over optical fields to engineer electromagnetic parameters like phase, polarization state, directions of radiation and optical angular momentum.

Most optical antennas are based on short metal nanowires. In contrast, longer nanowires act as waveguides for surface plasmon[s7](#page-6-0) and coupling of quantum emitters results in confined transport of the emitted photons^{[8,9](#page-6-0)}. On the other hand, optical antennas aim to maximize the power radiated by quantum emitters to the far field into well-defined modes of the light field $6,10$. Nanowire antennas are an ideal platform to explore single-photon emission beyond usual electric dipole radiation thanks to the higher-order resonances of such nanorod antennas. These resonances have been studied using various techniques, mainly capitalizing on spatial mapping $^{11-2\mho}.$

Here, we demonstrate multipolar radiation of quantum dots (QDs) by coupling to multipolar resonances of nanowire antennas of increasing length, with alternating symmetry and multipole parity. The measured angular patterns and polarization states are reproduced by a one-dimensional model, and the underlying nature of the resonances is revealed by a multipolar expansion, showing controlled dipolar, quadrupolar, octupolar and higher multipolar character in the photon emission. Our results provide a first deterministic realization of the resonant interaction of quantum emitters with a variety of nanowire antenna modes of even and odd symmetries, which are fundamental building blocks in nano and quantum optics.

Results

Coupling a QD to a nanowire antenna. Intuitively, nanowires can be regarded as cavities for surface plasmons; at resonance, the oscillating surface charge and current distributions along the nanowire display stationary nodes²¹⁻²⁵. We model the nanowire as a one-dimensional resonator 24 24 24 . We label the order of the different resonances with j, indicating the approximate number of half-wavelengths contained in the resonator or, equivalently, the current maxima along the antenna (Fig. 1a,b). The emission properties of these modes and their near-field interaction with quantum emitters are governed by the symmetry and spatial distribution of the characteristic currents for each mode. For example, the lowest order mode, $j = 1$, has odd charge symmetry (Fig. 1a) and its current distribution creates a dipole source. The $j = 2$ mode has even symmetry, with a zero net dipole moment, and resembles a longitudinal quadrupole. Hence, $j = 1$ corresponds to a half-wave dipole antenna, whereas $j = 2$ is a full-wave, end-fed quadrupole antenna. As a first approximation, we expect that the angular radiation patterns of these two lowest order antenna modes are point dipoles and point quadrupoles (Fig. 1c,d).

Each multipolar resonance can be locally driven at points where the coupling between quantum emitter and antenna is efficient. The electric mode density is high at the ends of a nanowire regardless of the symmetry of the resonant mode. Therefore, a point source (electric dipole) at the end of a nanowire couples to all possible resonant modes, breaking the symmetry that might prevent access by far-field illumination, and encompassing both sub- and super-radiant modes irrespective of

Figure 1 | Single quantum dots coupled to nanowire antenna modes. (a,b) A QD is positioned at the end of a metal nanowire. The first two resonant modes have opposite symmetries in surface charge distribution and current along the antenna. Charge sign and current magnitude are sketched. (c,d) Angular radiation patterns of an electric point dipole and a point quadrupole oriented parallel to the longitudinal axis of the antenna above a glass substrate. Both patterns differ radically in direction and width of the lobes, with most radiation emitted into the lower half-space (substrate). The emission wavelength is 800 nm and the emitters are 15 nm above the substrate. (e) Photon anti-bunching measurements comparing the inter-photon delay of single photons emitted mostly by a single QD on glass (black) and coupled to a dipolar antenna (red). Single-photon emission is evidenced by a dip below 0.5 in coincidences. The total accumulation time was 50 min and the time resolution was 1.184 ns. Background contributions to the coincidences were not subtracted. Higher transition rates (narrower anti-bunching dip) are due to resonant coupling to the antenna.

symmetry^{24,26}. Our approach relies on positioning colloidal QDs with nanometre accuracy at the end of each nanowire through a combination of two steps of electron beam lithography and chemical functionalization^{[6](#page-6-0)}. With our method, we obtain arrays of antennas with typically one to three QDs per antenna at a predefined position. Photon anti-bunching measurements show a dip around zero inter-photon delay time (Fig. 1e, red line) characteristic of single-photon emission^{[27–29](#page-6-0)}, confirming that as few as a single QD can be attached to an antenna. Coupling to the antenna increases transition rates, which include radiative, nonradiative and excitation rates, resulting in a narrower dip than for a single QD on bare glass (black line).

Multipolar emission of hybrid quantum-emitter–antenna systems. First, we identify the antenna resonant lengths from the change of polarization of the emission^{[30](#page-6-0)} of QDs when coupled to nanowires of increasing length. To this end, we record confocal photoluminescence images of arrays of identical antennas with lengths from 60 to 900 nm. The emission is detected in two polarization channels, parallel and perpendicular to the long axis of the wires, with signals I_{\parallel} and I_{\perp} , respectively. The polarization of the emission depends on the mode of the antenna, evolving from linear polarization along the antenna to unpolarized emission for increasing order resonances (Fig. 2a). For quantitative analysis, we define the degree of linear polarization: $\text{DOLP} = (I_{\parallel} - I_{\perp})/(I_{\parallel} + I_{\perp})$. By taking statistics over \sim 200 antennas per length, we observe clear oscillations in polarization degree as a function of antenna length due to the evolution on and off resonance (Fig. 2b). Yet, linearly polarized emission along the antenna, with $\overline{D}OLP \approx 1$, is expected for an oscillating current on a line and predicted by our resonator model even off resonance (Fig. 2c). The observed oscillations in polarization in Fig. 2b are due to partial coupling of the QDs to the antennas, namely, a fraction of the emission does not interact with the antenna mode. The relative weight of the contributions of the polarized antenna mode and an unpolarized, uncoupled background varies as the antenna is tuned to resonance with the QD emission. The background comprises both direct, uncoupled QD emission and luminescence of the gold antennas themselves. Note that the emission of even a single QD is predominantly unpolarized because of the planar degeneracy in transition dipole moment of our semiconductor nanocrystals $31,32$. The resonances in polarization are thus attributed to the resonant modes j, and indicate the best overlap between antenna mode and the QD luminescence spectrum, centred around 800 nm.

After taking into account this partial coupling of QDs to the antenna mode, our model reproduces the observed oscillations in average polarization with a fit (Fig. 2b, blue line) based on a superposition of the theoretical antenna emission plus an incoherent sum of two perpendicular polarizations describing uncoupled emission. The average polarization decreases for increasing order of modes because the resonances are damped due to dissipation, resulting in a lower coupling efficiency. This average represents the inhomogeneously broadened distribution of antennas in the arrays, similarly to the broadened spectrum of an ensemble of molecules. Individual antennas exhibiting higher degrees of polarization are singled out from this ensemble (squares in Fig. 2b). We focus next on these cases where the emitter–antenna coupling is stronger.

Polarization alone, however, does not allow identification of the resonance order or multipolar character of the emission. For a detailed understanding of resonant antenna modes and their coupling to QDs, we turn to angular radiation patterns^{[24,33](#page-6-0)} for selected QD–antenna systems, which will ultimately lead us to a quantitative demonstration of multipolar radiation. The antennas lie on a glass substrate that affects the angular radiation patterns by funnelling and projecting most of the emission into the substrate half-space, especially above the critical angle of the air– glass interface. As a result, the radiation pattern of a point multipole on a substrate [\(Fig. 1c,d](#page-1-0)) differs from what is expected in free space (Supplementary Fig. S1). Fourier images of single antennas contain the directions of emission of the coupled system into the substrate. For off-resonance lengths, similar to the absence of polarization, the QD angular emission is hardly affected by the antenna and the pattern is rotationally symmetric ([Fig. 3a](#page-3-0) and Supplementary Fig. S2). At resonance, the angular pattern becomes dominated by the antenna mode. For the $j = 1$ resonance, the emission represents a linear dipole along the

Figure 2 | Polarization oscillations map the resonant modes of antennas of increasing length. (a) Confocal luminescence microscopy images of arrays of identical antennas of increasing length. The colour code reflects the DOLP of the emission of QDs coupled to nanowires of different lengths, including resonant modes *j*. The polarization along the long antenna axis decreases with antenna mode and oscillates on and off resonance with length due to a varying coupling efficiency. (**b**) Statistics taken over \sim 200 antennas per length. Vertical grey lines indicate the s.d. around the average polarization. Red dots show the most frequent polarization. Green squares identify the individual antennas presented in [Figs 3 and 4](#page-3-0). (c) Linear polarization of antenna emission predicted by the 1D resonator model, which describes only pure antenna emission, neglecting radiation that did not couple to the antenna mode. The DOLP (red line) is close to unity irrespective of length, even after taking into account the effect of the confocal imaging system on polarization detection. This model reproduces the oscillations in polarization with a fit to the average (blue line in **). We** add the polarization of pure antenna modes, which would correspond to 100% QD–antenna coupling, and a background of uncoupled emission in both linear polarizations.

antenna [\(Fig. 3c\)](#page-3-0); the degeneracy in transition dipole moment of our QDs [\(Fig. 3a\)](#page-3-0) is lifted by coupling to the antenna mode⁶. The $j = 2$ resonance has even charge symmetry and its radiation pattern is oriented perpendicular to the first mode

Figure 3 | Multipolar angular radiation patterns of quantum dots coupled to antennas of increasing resonance order. Fourier-space patterns recorded in the back focal plane of the microscope objective. (a) Reference structure: QD on an off-resonance 60-nm square. (b) Schematic of an angular pattern highlighting the critical angle of the glass–air interface and the numerical aperture of the objective (maximum collection angle). Antenna orientation and relative QD position are superimposed. $(c-h)$ Experimental patterns of the first six resonant antenna modes. The first four antennas are the individual antennas marked in [Fig. 2b](#page-2-0). The colour scale represents normalized counts. (i-n) The patterns are reproduced with the analytical resonator model, including a rotationally symmetric background. The left and right columns group together odd and even modes, respectively, with opposite angular symmetries.

(Fig. 3d and Supplementary Fig. S3), corresponding to a linear quadrupole. Owing to their opposite symmetry and despite their parallel polarization along the antenna, the central horizontal maximum in the emission of the dipole becomes a minimum in the emission of the quadrupole. The third order resonance has the symmetry of the half-wave dipole antenna but the lobes are now narrower (Fig. 3e), a characteristic of higher multipoles. For increasing order modes, additional lobes appear, with alternating symmetry and positions of minima and maxima. The patterns gradually wash out for higher-order resonances due to a lower coupling resulting in a rotationally symmetric background (pure antenna modes shown in Supplementary Fig. S4). For longer wires^{7,9,25}, several resonances start overlapping within the QD luminescence spectrum, and a band pass filter was used to select the central part of the emission spectrum for $j = 5$ and $j = 6$ to improve the contrast (Fig. 3g,h).

The $j = 2$ resonance illustrates the physics involved. This antenna efficiently converts the emission of a dipolar transition (QD) into quadrupolar radiation by coupling to the optical antenna. Its angular pattern consists of two broad lobes directed along the antenna and resembles the pattern of a point quadrupole on glass ([Fig. 1d\)](#page-1-0). The coupling of a dipole transition to a quadrupolar mode is possible because the placement of the QD at the end of the antenna breaks the inversion symmetry of the QD–antenna system. As the total antenna length (260 nm) is on the order of half the wavelength, the $j = 2$ mode radiates effectively²⁴. It is therefore neither subradiant nor dark, despite its even charge symmetry. For antennas, multipolar moments are not necessarily small and, for antenna dimensions on the order of the wavelength, the radiant character of the mode is independent of its multipolar nature. Multipolar and dipolar antenna modes radiate with a comparable rate, in contrast to multipolar transitions in small quantum emitters such as molecules, QDs or ions, which are usually several orders of magnitude slower in radiative rates than dipolar transitions. Indeed, the ratios of average detected luminescence for the different modes are $I_{j=1}: I_{j=2}: I_{j=3}: I_{j=4} = 1:0.4:0.25:0.3$ with oscillations in radiated power following those of the polarization response (Supplementary Fig. S5). In absolute terms, the average count rate for the dipolar resonance $(I_{i=1})$ is 135 kCounts per ms for an excitation power density of 1.08 kW cm⁻². A QD coupled to the $j=2$ mode thus provides a novel nanoscale system: a bright single quantum emitter that interacts with light as a quadrupole.

To assess the strength of the coupling of the QDs to the antennas, we compare the experimental angular patterns to our one-dimensional analytical model in [Fig. 3i–n.](#page-3-0) The experimental results fit accurately to a sum of the theoretical antenna emission pattern, that is, pure antenna mode, plus an incoherent background consisting of two equal in-plane dipoles. The relative weights of the antenna mode and the uncoupled background give a measure for the effectiveness of the coupling of the QD to the antenna mode. We find that the fraction of the emitted power corresponding to the antenna mode is 90 and 60% for the first two resonances $j = 1$ and $j = 2$, respectively, denoting high coupling efficiencies between the QD and the plasmon mode of the antenna. The coupling is stronger than for longer nanowires^{[8,9](#page-6-0)} due to enhancement by the antenna resonances.

Multipolar expansion. To describe the nature of these radiating modes, we reproduce their angular patterns with a point multipolar expansion³⁴, conclusively demonstrating multipolar

radiation of otherwise dipolar quantum emitters. An oscillating distribution of charge on a wire generates propagating electromagnetic fields that can be decomposed in axial multipoles oriented along the antenna. As magnetic multipoles vanish for line sources, we fit our experimental results of individual antennas with a coherent superposition of electric multipoles (ℓ) near a dielectric interface (Fig. 4). We add again an incoherent background of two in-plane dipoles, with emission constant in the azimuth angle like an uncoupled QD. The expansion coefficients (a_ℓ) for the first two resonant modes confirm our previous assignments of their prominently dipolar and quadrupolar characters and the efficient coupling to both antennas. Although it is tempting to assign one multipole order to each resonant mode, for instance $l = 3$ multipole to $j = 3$ mode, this is generally not the case. For higher-order resonances, even modes are composed of a coherent superposition of predominantly even multipoles; complementarily, odd modes are dominated by odd multipoles.

Figure 4 | The multipolar nature of the emission is described by a multipolar expansion of the angular radiation patterns. (a-f) The experimental radiation patterns of the individual resonant antennas of [Fig. 3c–h](#page-3-0) were fit to a superposition of even (red) and odd (blue) multipoles oriented along the antenna plus an incoherent rotationally symmetric background consisting of two in-plane dipoles (uncoupled emission, green). Superpositions of even and odd multipoles dominate the even (right) and odd (left) modes, respectively. The complex multipole coefficients $|a_\ell|$ are normalized to the amplitude of the maximum coefficient. Note that for equal coefficient amplitudes $|a_{\ell}|$, each isolated term in the expansion radiates the same power in free space.

Discussion

In a broader context of plasmonic modes, angular measurements are a powerful tool for understanding how the unit cells of metamaterials interact with light $35-38$. In metamaterials, the nearfield coupling between elements supporting different modes, for example, dipole-quadrupole, leads to interesting polarization³⁹ and spectral effects such as electromagnetically induced transparency^{40,41}, a situation that resembles the coupling of dipolar QDs to quadrupolar antennas. Similar effects could therefore be explored in the hybrid systems explored here, formed by the combination of quantum-mechanical transitions and plasmon modes. On the other hand, the multipolar character of an emitter is intimately related to the angular momentum of light $42-45$. In addition to the angular intensity distributions, the antenna also controls the phase behind each lobe. Hence, the ideas presented here can be extended to design systems that could serve as nanoscale sources and detectors of optical angular momentum. Furthermore, a multipole moment is mostly sensitive to certain components of the electromagnetic field 46 . With a QD coupled to the quadrupolar mode, for example, a nanoscale probe that is sensitive to the electric field gradient can be envisioned.

In conclusion, we presented a systematic study of nanowire modes and their interaction with quantum emitters. The use of optical antennas allowed us to convert the radiation of electric dipole transitions efficiently into novel multipolar sources of photons. Our results provide a wealth of new opportunities for the nanoscale control and engineering of the interaction of single quantum emitters with well-defined modes of the light field. Finally, we anticipate that optical antennas could also be devised to favour a given quantum-mechanical multipolar transition in the emitter itself, bringing into light forbidden transitions $47-49$

Methods

Positioning of QDs. We employ commercially available QDs (Invitrogen, Qdot 800 ITK amino (PEG)), which are core/shell (CdSeTe/ZnS) nanocrystals with a polymer coating. A first lithography defines the gold antennas (width 60 nm, height 30 nm) and alignment markers. A second lithographic layer opens gaps on the areas that will be functionalized for QD attachment. Chemical specificity to gold is provided by a mercaptoundecanoic acid monolayer only on the predefined areas, where the QDs are selectively attached.

Polarization measurements. The QD luminescence was collected by a scanning confocal microscope and split by a calibrated polarizing beamsplitter onto two avalanche photodiodes to calculate the DOLP. The QDs were excited at 633 nm with an incident circularly polarized beam of a few microwatts of power, and the excitation and emission were separated by a dichroic mirror (Semrock, FF665-Di02) and a long-pass filter (Omega Optical, 690ALP). The emission spectra of QDs with and without antennas can be found in Supplementary Fig. S6, which are compared with dark-field scattering spectra of the antennas in Supplementary Fig. S7.

Angular measurements. The angular luminescence emission of individual antennas was directly recorded by imaging the back focal plane of an oilimmersion high numerical aperture objective (Zeiss α Plan-Apochromat \times 100, 1.46) onto an electron-multiplying CCD camera (Andor, iXon + 897). This plane contains the Fourier-space image of the object plane. Antennas on a substrate were excited and recorded one at a time with a focused beam. The maxima of counts per pixel for the normalized images of the antennas in [Fig. 3c–h](#page-3-0) are 53.9 $(j = 1)$, 54.5 $(j = 2)$, 42.4 $(j = 3)$, 30.7 $(j = 4)$, 27.6 $(j = 5)$ and 16.8 $(j = 6)$ kCounts, accumulated over a total exposure time of 90 s.

One-dimensional cavity model. A nanowire can be parameterized with the following values²⁴: the wavelength of the plasmon propagating along the wire λ_{SP} , related for a given mode to the effective refractive index; the losses on propagation $Im(k)$; the reflectivity at the ends of the wire r; and the phase picked up on reflection ϕ . These parameters are retrieved by fitting to the experiment in polarization and angular measurements, adding background contributions of radiation not coupled to the antenna mode. Resonances are found for lengths that satisfy the condition $L_n = \lambda_{SP}/2$ ($n - \phi/\pi$), where *n* is a positive integer. For an

$$
I(y) \propto \frac{e^{\frac{ikL}{2}}(r+1)}{1-r^2e^{2ikL}}(e^{iky}-re^{ikL}e^{-iky})
$$
 (1)

From this current distribution we obtain the emitted field as:

$$
E(k_x, k_y) = E_0(k_x, k_y) \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} I(y) e^{-ik_y y} dy
$$
 (2)

in which k_x and k_y are the projections of the wave vector (magnitude k_0) of the emitted radiation along x and y, and $E_0(k_x, k_y)$ is the electric field emitted by a y-oriented electric dipole above an air–glass interface. This dipole is situated 15 nm away from the interface on the air side, at half the antenna height of 30 nm in the experiment.

Equation 2 directly yields the back focal plane image for the antenna mode:

$$
P^{\text{1D model}}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{|E(k_x, k_y)|^2}{2\eta}
$$
 (3)

in which η is the impedance of the medium.

This model describes the emission of the antenna mode alone, regardless of the number of emitters coupled to it. An additional background term of in-plane dipoles was added to take into account uncoupled radiation (uncoupled QD emission and antenna autoluminescence) that does not carry the characteristic antenna emission pattern. The background is taken as incoherent, as a good approximation, because of the broad spectrum and the random polarization of the QDs, and the incoherent nature of metal luminescence. Moreover, the differences in emission between uncoupled QDs and antenna modes, each under specific angles, polarizations and phases, reduce the visibility of interference even further.

For the analysis of polarization measurements, the average DOLP can be described by:

$$
\text{DOLP}^{\text{Exp}}(L) = \frac{I_x^{\text{1D model}} - I_y^{\text{1D model}} + \alpha I_x^{\text{uncoupled}} - \beta I_y^{\text{uncoupled}}}{I_x^{\text{1D model}} + I_y^{\text{1D model}} + \alpha I_x^{\text{uncoupled}} + \beta I_y^{\text{uncoupled}}}
$$
(4)

where I_x and I_y are counts that would be detected through a linear polarizer along x or y, respectively. The constants α and β are the relative weights of the two background polarizations with respect to the antenna mode, found by fitting to the experimental data.

For the analysis of angular patterns, the back focal plane image $P^{\text{Exp}}(k_x, k_y)$ of each individual antenna was reproduced with the equation:

$$
P^{\text{Exp}}(k_x, k_y) = A \cdot P^{\text{1D model}}(k_x, k_y) + B \cdot \left(P^{\text{dipole } x}(k_x, k_y) + P^{\text{dipole } y}(k_x, k_y)\right)
$$
\n
$$
(5)
$$

where the optimal weights (A and B) of the antenna mode ($P^{\text{1D model}}$, given by equation 3) and the uncoupled background $(P^{dipole x}$ and $P^{dipole y}$) were found by fitting to the experimental data.

For further details on this model and the fitting of polarization and angular measurements, including fitting results, see Supplementary Note 1, Supplementary Figs S3 and S8, and Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

Multipolar expansion of angular patterns. The angular patterns of electric multipoles near a dielectric interface are calculated using the angular spectrum
representation based on vector spherical harmonics^{[34](#page-6-0)}. Both propagating and evanescent fields are refracted and reflected using the Fresnel coefficients of the air–glass interface. The experimental angular patterns are reproduced with a coherent superposition of electric multipoles with complex coefficients a_{ℓ} and field components $E^{\ell}_{x,y,z}(k_xk_y)$. Only longitudinal electric multipoles oriented along the antenna are required due to the one-dimensional character of our antennas. We added two incoherent perpendicular dipoles ($E^{DipoleX}$ and $E^{DipoleY}$) to account for uncoupled emission originating from antenna luminescence and from uncoupled QD luminescence, which empirically describes our rotationally symmetric background in angular patterns. The total angular pattern is thus:

$$
\left| E^{\text{Exp}}(k_x, k_y) \right|^2 = \sum_{i = x, y, z} \left| \sum_{\ell=1}^6 a_\ell \cdot E_i^\ell(k_x, k_y) \right|^2 + b^2 \cdot \left(\left| \mathbf{E}^{\text{DipoleX}}(k_x, k_y) \right|^2 + \left| \mathbf{E}^{\text{DipoleY}}(k_x, k_y) \right|^2 \right)
$$
(6)

Only six terms in the multipolar expansion $(1<\ell<6)$ were necessary for a robust fit in order to find the complex coefficients a_{ℓ} , and the real coefficient b . The fields radiated by every multipole and the two background contributions $(\sum_{i=x,y,z}|E_i^{\ell}|^2, |\mathbf{E}^{\text{DipoleX}}|^2 \text{ and } |\mathbf{E}^{\text{DipoleY}}|^2)$ were normalized, so that equal coefficients a_{ℓ} and b radiated the same power in free space. On the substrate, the radiated powers integrated over all directions are 1.29, 2.58, 2.70 and 7.75 times the free-space power for the dipole $\ell = 1$, the uncoupled background dipoles, the quadrupole $\ell = 2$, and the octupole $\ell = 3$, respectively. The quality of the results of

References

- 1. Novotny, L. & van Hulst, N. F. Antennas for light. *Nat. Photon.* 5, 83–90 (2011).
2. Biagioni, P., Huang, I.-S. & Hecht. B. Nanoantennas for visible and infrared
- 2. Biagioni, P., Huang, J.-S. & Hecht, B. Nanoantennas for visible and infrared radiation. Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 024402 (2012).
- 3. Kühn, S., Hakanson, U., Rogobete, L. & Sandoghdar, V. Enhancement of singlemolecule fluorescence using a gold nanoparticle as an optical nanoantenna. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 017402 (2006).
- 4. Kinkhabwala, A. et al. Large single-molecule fluorescence enhancements produced by a bowtie nanoantenna. Nat. Photon. 3, 654–657 (2009).
- 5. Schietinger, S., Barth, M., Aichele, T. & Benson, O. Plasmon-enhanced single photon emission from a nanoassembled metal – diamond hybrid structure at room temperature. Nano Lett. 9, 1694–1698 (2009).
- 6. Curto, A. G. et al. Unidirectional emission of a quantum dot coupled to a nanoantenna. Science 329, 930–933 (2010).
- Ditlbacher, H. et al. Silver nanowires as surface plasmon resonators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 257403 (2005).
- 8. Akimov, A. V. et al. Generation of single optical plasmons in metallic nanowires coupled to quantum dots. Nature 450, 402–406 (2007).
- 9. Kolesov, R. et al. Wave-particle duality of single surface plasmon polaritons. Nat. Phys. 5, 470–474 (2009).
- 10. Huang, K. C. Y. et al. Antenna electrodes for controlling electroluminescence. Nat. Commun. 3, 1005 (2012).
- 11. Imura, K., Nagahara, T. & Okamoto, H. Plasmon mode imaging of single gold nanorods. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 12730–12731 (2004).
- 12. Dorfmüller, J. et al. Fabry-Pérot resonances in one-dimensional plasmonic nanostructures. Nano Lett. 9, 2372–2377 (2009).
- 13. Esteban, R. et al. Direct near-field optical imaging of higher order plasmonic resonances. Nano Lett. 8, 3155–3159 (2008).
- 14. Schnell, M. et al. Controlling the near-field oscillations of loaded plasmonic nanoantennas. Nat. Photon. 3, 287–291 (2009).
- 15. Fumeaux, C. et al. Measurement of the resonant lengths of infrared dipole antennas. Infrared Phys. Technol. 41, 271–281 (2000).
- 16. Barnard, E. S., Pala, R. A. & Brongersma, M. L. Photocurrent mapping of nearfield optical antenna resonances. Nat. Nanotech. 6, 588–593 (2011).
- 17. Vesseur, E. J. R., de Waele, R., Kuttge, M. & Polman, A. Direct observation of plasmonic modes in au nanowires using high-resolution cathodoluminescence spectroscopy. Nano Lett. 7, 2843–2846 (2007).
- 18. Coenen, T., Vesseur, E. J. R. & Polman, A. Deep subwavelength spatial characterization of angular emission from single-crystal au plasmonic ridge nanoantennas. ACS Nano 6, 1742–1750 (2012).
- 19. Douillard, L. et al. Short range plasmon resonators probed by photoemission electron microscopy. Nano Lett. 8, 935–940 (2008).
- 20. Nelayah, J. et al. Mapping surface plasmons on a single metallic nanoparticle. Nat. Phys. 3, 348–353 (2007).
- 21. Aizpurua, J. et al. Optical properties of coupled metallic nanorods for fieldenhanced spectroscopy. Phys. Rev. B 71, 235420 (2005).
- 22. Novotny, L. Effective wavelength scaling for optical antennas. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 266802 (2007).
- 23. Barnard, E. S., White, J. S., Chandran, A. & Brongersma, M. L. Spectral properties of plasmonic resonator antennas. Opt. Express 16, 16529–16537 (2008).
- 24. Taminiau, T. H., Stefani, F. D. & van Hulst, N. F. Optical nanorod antennas modeled as cavities for dipolar emitters: evolution of sub- and super-radiant modes. Nano Lett. 11, 1020–1024 (2011).
- 25. Della Valle, G., Sondergaard, T. & Bozhevolnyi, S. I. Plasmon-polariton nanostrip resonators: from visible to infra-red. Opt. Express 16, 6867–6876 (2008).
- 26. Kajetan Schmidt, M., Mackowski, S. & Aizpurua, J. Control of single emitter radiation by polarization- and position-dependent activation of dark antenna modes. Opt. Lett. 37, 1017–1019 (2012).
- 27. Michler, P. et al. Quantum correlation among photons from a single quantum dot at room temperature. Nature 406, 968–970 (2000).
- 28. Messin, G., Hermier, J. P., Giacobino, E., Desbiolles, P. & Dahan, M. Bunching and antibunching in the fluorescence of semiconductor nanocrystals. Opt. Lett. 26, 1891–1893 (2001).
- 29. Lounis, B., Bechtel, H. A., Gerion, D., Alivisatos, P. & Moerner, W. E. Photon antibunching in single CdSe/ZnS quantum dot fluorescence. Chem. Phys. Lett. 329, 399–404 (2000).
- 30. Mertens, H., Biteen, J. S., Atwater, H. A. & Polman, A. Polarization-selective plasmon-enhanced silicon quantum-dot luminescence. Nano Lett. 6, 2622–2625 (2006).
- 31. Koberling, F. et al. Fluorescence anisotropy and crystal structure of individual semiconductor nanocrystals. J. Phys. Chem. B 107, 7463–7471 (2003).
- 32. Empedocles, S. A., Neuhauser, R. & Bawendi, M. G. Three-dimensional orientation measurements of symmetric single chromophores using polarization microscopy. Nature 399, 126–130 (1999).
- 33. Encina, E. R. & Coronado, E. A. Plasmonic nanoantennas: angular scattering properties of multipole resonances in noble metal nanorods. J. Phys. Chem. C 112, 9586–9594 (2008).
- 34. Inoue, T. & Hori, H. Theoretical treatment of electric and magnetic multipole radiation near a planar dielectric surface based on angular spectrum representation of vector field. Opt. Rev. 5, 295–302 (1998).
- 35. Sersic, I., Tuambilangana, C. & Koenderink, A. F. Fourier microscopy of single plasmonic scatterers. New J. Phys. 13, 083025 (2011).
- 36. Mühlig, S., Menzel, C., Rockstuhl, C. & Lederer, F. Multipole analysis of metaatoms. Metamaterials 5, 64–73 (2011).
- 37. Cho, D. J., Wang, F., Zhang, X. & Shen, Y. R. Contribution of the electric quadrupole resonance in optical metamaterials. Phys. Rev. B 78, 121101 (2008).
- 38. Grahn, P., Shevchenko, A. & Kaivola, M. Electromagnetic multipole theory for optical nanomaterials. New J. Phys. 14, 093033 (2012).
- 39. Tate, N. et al. Quadrupole-dipole transform based on optical near-field interactions in engineered nanostructures. Opt. Express 17, 11113–11121 (2009).
- 40. Liu, N. et al. Plasmonic analogue of electromagnetically induced transparency at the Drude damping limit. Nat. Mater. 8, 758-762 (2009).
- 41. Zhang, S., Genov, D. A., Wang, Y., Liu, M. & Zhang, X. Plasmon-induced transparency in metamaterials. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 047401 (2008).
- 42. Jackson, J. D. Classical Electrodynamics (Wiley, 1999).
- 43. Foreman, M. R. & Török, P. Spin-orbit coupling and conservation of angular momentum flux in non-paraxial imaging of forbidden radiation. New J. Phys. 13, 063041 (2011).
- 44. Molina-Terriza, G. Determination of the total angular momentum of a paraxial beam. Phys. Rev. A 78, 053819 (2008).
- 45. Andrews, D. L. Optical angular momentum: multipole transitions and photonics. Phys. Rev. A 81, 033825 (2010).
- 46. Burresi, M. et al. Probing the magnetic field of light at optical frequencies. Science 326, 550-553 (2009).
- 47. Karaveli, S. & Zia, R. Spectral tuning by selective enhancement of electric and magnetic dipole emission. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 193004 (2011).
- 48. Yang, N. & Cohen, A. E. Local geometry of electromagnetic fields and its role in molecular multipole transitions. J. Phys. Chem. B 115, 5304–5311 (2011).
- 49. Andersen, M. L., Stobbe, S., Sørensen, A. S. & Lodahl, P. Strongly modified plasmon-matter interaction with mesoscopic quantum emitters. Nat. Phys. 7, 215–218 (2011).

Acknowledgements

We thank Richard Hildner, Marta Castro-López, Martin Kuttge and Daan Brinks for technical help and stimulating discussions. This work was financially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) and Ministry of Education through programs FIS2009-08203, CONSOLIDER CSD2007-046, FPU (A.G.C. and G.V.), FPI (T.H.T.) and Ramón y Cajal (M.P.K.), Fundació CELLEX Barcelona (R.Q., N.F.v.H.) and the European Research Council (R.Q., ERC-StartGrant; N.F.v.H., ERC-AdvGrant).

Author contributions

A.G.C., T.H.T., G.V. and N.F.v.H. conceived the experiment; G.V. and M.P.K. fabricated the sample and positioned the QDs; A.G.C. performed the measurements, analysed the data, implemented the multipolar expansion and wrote the manuscript; T.H.T. developed the analytical one-dimensional model; R.Q. and N.F.v.H. supervised the project. All authors discussed the results and commented on the final manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at [http://www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications) [naturecommunications](http://www.nature.com/naturecommunications)

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at [http://npg.nature.com/](http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/) [reprintsandpermissions/](http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/)

How to cite this article: Curto, A. G. et al. Multipolar radiation of quantum emitters with nanowire optical antennas. Nat. Commun. 4:1750 doi: 10.1038/ncomms2769 (2013).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>