### RESEARCH



**Open Access** 

# A *Shigella boydii* bacteriophage which resembles *Salmonella* phage Vil

Hany Anany<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Erika J Lingohr<sup>3</sup>, Andre Villegas<sup>3</sup>, Hans-Wolfgang Ackermann<sup>4</sup>, Yi-Min She<sup>5</sup>, Mansel W Griffiths<sup>1</sup> and Andrew M Kropinski<sup>3,6</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Lytic bacteriophages have been applied successfully to control the growth of various foodborne pathogens. Sequencing of their genomes is considered as an important preliminary step to ensure their safety prior to food applications.

**Results:** The lytic bacteriophage,  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3, targets the important foodborne pathogen, *Shigella*. It is morphologically similar to phage Vil of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and a series of phages of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* and *Rhizobium meliloti*. The complete genome of  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 was determined to be 158 kb and was terminally redundant and circularly permuted. Two hundred and sixteen open reading frames (ORFs) were identified and annotated, most of which displayed homology to proteins of *Salmonella* phage Vil. The genome also included four genes specifying tRNAs.

**Conclusions:** This is the first time that a Vi-specific phage for *Shigella* has been described. There is no evidence for the presence of virulence and lysogeny-associated genes. In conclusion, the genome analysis of  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 indicates that this phage can be safely used for biocontrol purposes.

#### Background

*Shigella* species *sonnei*, *flexneri*, and *boydii* are among the most important foodborne pathogens [1,2]. Ingestion of food contaminated with these bacteria causes shigellosis within 12 - 48 hours. Fever, aches, fatigue and loss of appetite are the initial symptoms, which may be associated with watery diarrhea that, in turn, may develop into bloody stools or dysentery. A fatal hemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS), due to the production of Shiga toxin, may also develop in certain severe cases [3]. *Shigella*-related outbreaks occur through direct or indirect human fecal contamination and have been reported in both developed and developing countries wherever poor hygiene standards occur [4]. Food products such as salads, soft cheese, vegetables and meat products are usually reported as being associated with these outbreaks [3].

Lytic phages have been applied successfully to control the growth of various foodborne pathogens including *Shigella* [5]. They are able to attack sensitive bacteria and utilize their host's resources to reproduce. Cell lysis leads to the release of progeny phage particles [6]. As phages are becoming recognized as potential tools to control pathogens in food, phage genomics will play an increasingly important role in ensuring that potentially harmful phage products are selected against [7]. For instance, genomic data could ensure the detection of virulence genes and any genes that might lead to lysogenization of a pathogen [8]. As DNA sequencing techniques advance, the number of sequenced phage genomes has increased exponentially [9]. To date, over 530 complete genome sequences of Caudovirales phages have been deposited in the NCBI database, which has allowed detailed comparative analyses with the aim to develop more coherent classification schemes and provide insights into evolutionary processes [10-15].

Several important phages target the Vi or virulenceassociated polysaccharide capsular antigen of *Salmonella* Typhi as a receptor [16]. They are classified in seven serotypes (ViI to ViVII). Phage ViII is widely used for phage typing of this bacterium. All Vi phages have isometric heads and either contractile, or long or short noncontractile tails. They belong to the *Myoviridae* (ViI), *Siphoviridae* 



© 2011 Anany et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: hanany@alumni.uoguelph.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Canadian Research Institute for Food Safety, University of Guelph, ON; N1G 2W1, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

(ViII), and *Podoviridae* (ViIII to VII), respectively [17]. Phage ViI has a very characteristic morphology that was also found in certain *Acinetobactercalcoaceticus* and *Rhizobium meliloti* viruses [17-20]. Phages of this group possess a neck, a collar, and a contractile tail. They have a thin baseplate, which is connected to a highly ramified structure consisting of either short tail fibers. Phage ViII possesses a lambda-like morphology, but has a base plate with three spikes, while phages ViIII to ViVII have a very short tail terminating in a base plate with at least two spikes [17]. Although Vi phages were first described over 60 years ago, little is known about their molecular structure [16].

In previous work, we isolated three strongly lytic phages that could be considered as good candidates for *Shigella* control (unpublished data). One of them,  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3, was morphologically similar to phage ViI. We wanted to study some of its growth properties, determine and annotate its genomic sequence, and assess its structural functions in relation to other existing phages in the GenBank. This would aid in a better understanding of the molecular structure of this phage and features that might be useful in its taxonomical classification and application for *Shigella* control.

#### Results

#### Phage morphology, host range and one-step growth

Testing the collected environmental samples (rinse water, fecal samples, and sewage) against tested Shigella strains resulted in the isolation of 24 phages. From these one,  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3, was chosen for detailed study because of its broad host range and unusual morphology. The negatively stained virus has a clearly defined neck and a collar, and a complex network of baseplateassociated spikes and fibrous structures that is most visible after tail contraction (Figure 1). It has head diameter of approximately 83 nm and a contractile tail 110 nm long by 14 nm in diameter. This indicates that it is a member of the *Myoviridae* [21]. Its morphology is very similar to those of classical phage ViI of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi [17,22] and phage Det7 of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium phage [23], but this is the first time that such a phage has been reported to infect Shigella.

The host range was determined using a Bioscreen C Plate Reader (Table 1). Most *S. boydii, S. dysenteriae, S. flexneri* and *S. sonnei* strains were infected by  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3, but the extent of infection varied in each case. The growth of 11 strains out of the 24 tested was totally suppressed in the presence of phage. None of the strains of *E. coli, Salmonella,* or *Listeria* tested were infected by this virus (data not shown).

One-step growth studies with  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 indicate that at 30°C this phage has a latent period of ~52 min, a



**Figure 1 Electron micrograph of phage \PhiSboM-AG3**. The phage has been negatively stained with 2% potassium phosphotungstate. The bar indicates 100 nm.

Table 1 Bacterial strains used in this study; and, host range of  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 on 24 *Shigella* strains

Shigella strain	Reaction	Shigella strain	Reaction
S. boydii (C865)	D+	S. boydii (79-1109)	С
S. sonnei (C866)	С	S. boydii (74-3594)	D
S. flexneri (C869)	С	S. boydii (84-1119)	Ν
S. sonnei (C870)	С	S. boydii (83-578)	D+
S. flexneri (61-1186)	Ν	S. boydii (99-4528)	D+
S. flexneri (71-2747)	С	S. dysenteriae (04-3380)	С
S. flexneri (04-3435)	С	S. dysenteriae (91-3501)	D+
S. flexneri (95-3239)	С	S. dysenteriae (53-4738)	Ν
S. flexneri (05-3605)	С	S. dysenteriae (52-2050)	С
S. flexneri (86-3239)	Ν	S. dysenteriae (69-2387)	D+
S. boydii (74-1789)	D+	S. dysenteriae (94-3065)	С
S. boydii (74-4334)	D+	S. dysenteriae (79-8006)	D+

C: Complete inhibition of bacterial growth

D+: More than 5 hours delay in bacterial growth to reach log phase compared to control (i.e. Detection time difference  $\geq$ 5 hours)

D: Less than 5 hours delay in bacterial growth to reach log phase compared

to control (i.e. Detection time difference <5 hours)

N: No effect of phage on bacterial growth

rise period of 23 min., and an average burst size of  $\sim$ 152 phages per cell (Figure 2).

#### General features of the **ФSboM-AG3** genome

The genome sequence was received as a single contig representing the consensus reads from  $19 \times$  coverage. The size of the genome is 158,006 bp with a G+C content of 50.4%; the latter being almost identical to that of its host bacterium, *S. boydii*, (51 mol%). Since pulsedfield gel electrophoresis indicated that the phage genome is approximately 165 kb, the genome of this phage is terminally redundant, with 3.5 kb redundant regions. For comparative purposes with *Salmonella* phage ViI, the  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 genome was opened just upstream of the *rIIA* homolog prior to annotation.

#### Identification and analysis of open reading frames (ORFs)

The sequence contained no demonstrable frameshifts as indicated by BLASTX analysis. The genome was subjected to automated analysis using AutoFACT complemented analysis for tRNAs; and, by manual annotation using Kodon coupled with BLASTP, PFAM, TMHMM and Phobius analyses of each of its proteins.

A total of 216 ORFs were identified in the genome (Figure 3; Additional file 1, Table S1). A total of 146,356 nucleotides (92% of the genome) were involved in coding for putative proteins. Four different start codons were used: ATG, GTG, CTG and TTG at frequencies of 95.4%, 3.2%, 0.9% and 0.5%, respectively. When originally annotated in early 2009, the products of only 107 ORFs



possessed homologues with proteins in the nonredundant NCBI database. Detailed comparative proteomic analyses using CoreGenes revealed that this phage shared 46 homologs with *Prochlorococcus* phage P-SSM2 [24], 57 with coliphage T4, 60 with *Aeromonas* phage Aeh1 [25], and, interestingly, 69 with *Delftia acidovorans* phage  $\Phi$ W-14 [26]. These results suggested that  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 was a peripheral member of the T4 superfamily of bacteriophages.

Recent analysis reveals that the majority (179 or 82.8%) of the proteins encoded by this phage show sequence similarity to *Salmonella* phage ViI [22]. The other virus with which  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 shares sequence similarity is *Escherichia* coli O157:H7 phage PhaxI, which is represented in GenBank by six fragments (HQ259285 - HQ259290).

#### Codon usage and tRNAs

The  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 genome was found to contain four tRNA genes for three amino acids, namely, serine (anticodons: TGA & GCT), asparagine (GTT), and tyrosine (GTA). While the manuscript describing the genome of Salmonella phage ViI indicates the presence of five tRNAs, these data are not included in their GenBank submission (FQ312032). These two viruses share tRNA-Asn, tRNA-Tyr and tRNA-Ser (GCT), but differ in that ViI has two additions tRNAs for Met (CAT) and Gln (TTG), and  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 possesses the second servl-tRNA. In both viruses, the tRNA genes are to be found in the same relative positions on the genomes. The GC content of the  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 tRNAs ranged from 53.9 to 56.2%. Adding these tRNAs to the ORFs resulted in a total coding capacity for the  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 genome of 146,481 bp or 92.7%. A comparison of the codon usage pattern of the phage with its host (Shigella boydii) showed that none of these tRNAs is likely to enhance translation. We identified six codons which are significantly overrepresented (frequency  $\geq$ 30% and  $\geq$ 1.5 fold increase) in phage genes (phenylalanine [UUC], isoleucine [AUG], proline [CCU], lysine [AAG], aspartic acid [GAC] and arginine [CGU]), yet no phage-specified tRNAs exist.

In the following sections we shall briefly discuss the roles of some phage-encoded proteins.

## Nucleotide metabolism, DNA replication and recombination

The  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 genome contains numerous genes involved in nucleotide metabolism, DNA replication and recombination. In the former category are a dNTP diphosphatase (*orf063*), a putative nicotinamide phosphoribosyl transferase (*orf149*), NrdA (*orf093*), NrdB (*orf090*) and glutaredoxin (*orf088*) homologs, and thymidylate synthase *orf066*). At least nine genes were



identified with play significant roles in DNA replication in coliphage T4 including, a DNA polymerase (*orf236*), a primase (*orf102*), three proteins defined as possessing helicase activity (gp*orf041/059/125*), and a DNA ligase (*orf045*). Recombination proteins include two topoisomerases (*orf014/017*), a T4 gp46/47 recombinase pairs (*orf119/121*), and UvsWXY homologs (*orf168/061/170*).

#### Lysis

No holin or lysin-encoding genes were detected in the genome. Holins are usually small proteins characterized by the presence of two or three transmembrane domains. These criteria could apply to products of genes 9.1, 78, 117, 244 or 246 which possess 65, 103, 54, 65, and 61 amino acids, respectively. Since holin genes are frequently collocated on phage genomes a detailed PSI-BLAST examination of genes 10, 11, 79, 80, 243, and 247 failed to reveal lysin homologs or domains.

#### Transcriptional and regulatory sequences

None of the transcriptional regulatory sites were identified in the genome sequence of *Salmonella* phage ViI. Based on sequence homology to the consensus housekeeping *E. coli* promoter recognized by RNA polymerase carrying Sigma 70 (TTGACA ( $N_{15-18}$ ) TATAAT), eight promoters were tentatively identified (Additional file 2, Table S2) which probably function in early transcription.

Initiation of transcription of late genes in T4-related phages involves a complex between core RNA polymerase, a phage encoded sigma factor (gp55), an accessory protein (gp33) and the sliding clamp protein gp45 which "facilitates RNA polymerase recruitment to late promoters" [27].

 $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 encodes homologs of all three proteins gp55 (*Orf122*), gp33 (*Orf076*) and gp45 (*Orf165*). Based upon the sequence of late promoters (TATAAATA) and allowing one mismatch, 17 putative late promoters were identified in the genome of  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3. In three cases the putative promoters could result in products which

are postulated to be late:  $P_{orf072}$  (upstream of T4-like gp2 DNA end protector protein),  $P_{orf084}$  (gp5 baseplate hub subunit), and  $P_{orf217}$  (gp6 baseplate wedge subunit). A WebLogo [28] was constructed from which the consensus sequence TNT(N3)A(N10)C(N2)ATNAATA was used, with only one mismatch to search the genome for other potential late promoters. Three were identified.

Forty intergenic rho-independent terminators were found, situation that is reminiscent of coliphage T1 (Additional file 2, Table S2) [29].

#### DNA packaging and morphogenesis

The morphogenesis of this phage was investigated using genomic (homology searches) and proteomic (SDS-PAGE/mass spectroscopy) approaches. Large and small terminase subunit homologs were determined to be the products of genes 196 and 198. Genes for major head, prohead core, portal vertex proteins were identified as genes 185, 186 and 191, respectively. In addition, genes for prohead protease and head completion protein were identified as genes 188 and 036, respectively. Genes 037 and 084 were for baseplate assembly while, genes 210 and 212 showed sequence similarity to tail spike proteins. The tail tube and base plate initiator gene was identified to be gene 073. Genes 192, and 195 are predicted to be genes for tail sheath and tube. Interestingly, the terminase subunits are located between the genes involved in neck, base plate and tailspike synthesis and those involved in head and tail synthesis. The genes associated with baseplate synthesis are located in three widely separated regions of the genome.

Cesium chloride purified ØSboM-AG3 virions were analyzed by one-dimensional SDS-PAGE revealing 15 protein bands (Figure 4) with molecular weights of 12.7, 15.6, 17.7, 21.9, 27.2, 32.4, 34.3, 35.7, 37.3, 44.6, 60.4, 67.9, 76.5, 107.5 and 126.3 kDa, based on comparison to size markers. Six of the most intense bands (named A to F) were excised and analyzed by QqTOF mass spectrometry. The observed masses of the protein bands B to F were obviously similar to the predicted sizes by SDS-PAGE (Table 2). The highest sequence coverage was achieved for protein band D (major head protein, 44.6 kDa), which was 64.1%, while the lowest one was 16.4% and was found to be for protein band E (tail protein, 21.9 kDa) due to fewer trypsin cleavage sites. Based on the sequence identification by mass spectrometry, the protein bands C and F were two components (sheath, tube) of tail proteins (products of genes 195, and 192, respectively), while the protein band D was assigned to the major head protein (product of gene 185) (Additional file 3, Table S3). One very interesting observation was the observation that band A with a mass of 126.3 kDa, based upon SDS-PAGE, actually was a proteins of 177.2 kDa. The most obvious explanation



is that this protein has a high propensity for reforming a stable tertiary structure which is supported by it being a tailspike protein [30].

#### Discussion

ViI-like phages were reported to be found among isolated phages against Salmonella Typhi, Acinetobacter calcoaceticus and Rhizobium meliloti [17,18]. To our knowledge,  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 is the first ViI-like phage of Shigella species. Although Vi phages were first described almost 80 years ago [17,22], their genome structure has not been investigated until recently. The complete sequences of each member of the Vi-specific Salmonella viruses have now been completed. A member of the ViII species, Salmonella phage E1 [16], is a siphovirus that has a genome of 45.4 kb. The remainder are all members of the Autographivirinae of the Podoviridae family [14] with Salmonella phage (Vi VI, GenBank accession number FR667955, 38.4 kb), ViIII (39.0 kb), Vi V (38.6 kb), and Vi VII (39.2 kb) belonging to the "T7-like viruses", and ViIV, with a 44.6 kb genome, associated with the "SP6-like viruses" [22].

SDS-PAGE Band	ORF	Protein description	Molecular weight (kDa)			Number of peptides	% Sequence coverage
			SDS-PAGE	Predicted	MS		
A	206	Conserved hypothetical	126.3	177.2	177.2	36	39.6
В	213	Conserved hypothetical	107.5	106.9	106.9	25	42.6
С	195	Tail sheath (T4 gp18 homolog)	67.9	68.2	68.2	18	43.1
D	185	Major capsid (T4 gp23 homolog)	44.6	47.9	48.0	23	64.1
E	006	Putative tail protein	21.9	27.6	27.6	6	16.4
F	192	Tail tube (T4 gp19 homolog)	17.7	19.8	19.8	12	55.9

Table 2 Open reading frames identified by mass spectrometric analysis of CsCl-purified  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 phage particles

Shigella phage  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 possesses three contiguous genes (orfs 207, 210 and 212) which are homologous to tailspike-encoding genes from Salmonella phage ViI. This raises the interesting question about what surface receptor is recognized by the Shigella phage. The Vi antigen is an acetylated  $\alpha(1\rightarrow 4)$  polymer of galactosaminouronic acid units [31,32]. The genes for the biosynthesis of this polymer do not exist in the fully sequenced S. boydii strain (NC\_010658) as shown by BLAST analyses, nor does the antigen exist on our bacterium (L. Cole, personal communication). Of note was the observation that the serotyping one of the Shigella host strains was rough, that is, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) defective. This result suggests that the receptor for  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 either lies in the core region of the LPS or is perhaps an outer membrane protein. Phage ViI locus tag Vi01 171c contains a "domain of unknown function" (DUF303) between residues 343-445. This protein motif occurs in proteins described as sialic acid-specific 9-Oacetylesterases and acetylxylan esterases, which suggests that the tail fibers of Vi-specific Salmonella phages function to remove acetyl groups from the Vi antigen [22]. The ΦSboM-AG3 homolog, gp210, shows no sequence similarity in this region of the protein, and lacks a DUF303 motif suggesting that its receptor is only peripherally related to the *Salmonella* Vi antigen. The recent paper of Petrov and colleagues [33], reexamining the diversity of T4-like bacteriophages, recognized that  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 is a member of the "T4 superfamily". Salmonella phage ViI was recognized as being the sole member of a genus by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV; Ackermann, personal communication). Since Shigella phage  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 and Salmonella phage ViI are closely related we propose the creation of a new genus, the "ViI-like viruses".

It is notable that the genome of this phage does not contain any genes that are related to bacterial toxins and/or lysogeny. Similar results were obtained when the sequencing of *Listeria* phage P100 DNA revealed that it does not contain any genes or proteins which are known or suspected to be involved in toxicity, pathogenicity or antibiotic resistance [34]. This would make this phage a good candidate for the safe application for controlling foodborne pathogen *Shigella* sp. [8].

#### Materials and methods

#### Bacteria and bacteriophage

Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB), Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA), and Tryptic Soft Agar (TSB+ 0.4% agar) (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI) were used to grow the host bacteria and to propagate the phage. The bacterial strain *Shigella boydii* C865-2 (Canadian Research Institute of Food Safety (CRIFS), University of Guelph, ON, Canada) was used in this study for phage propagation. Other bacterial strains, listed in Table 1, were from the CRIFS collection (strains labeled with the prefix "C") or Nancy Strockbine (Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA).

#### Enrichment, isolation and propagation of phages

Sewage samples collected from local waste-water treatment plants (Guelph, ON, Canada) were enriched in an equal volume of TSB and 100  $\mu$ L of an overnight culture of a mixture of selected strains of *Shigella*. The mixture was incubated for 16-20 h at 30°C with gentle shaking. After incubation, the suspensions were centrifuged at 4000 × g for 15 min at 4°C (Beckman Avanti J-20 XPI, Beckman Coulter Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada). The supernatant was carefully transferred to another tube and filtered through a sterile disposable filter of 0.45  $\mu$ m pore size (Fisher Scientific, Mississauga, ON, Canada) and stored at 4°C.

Phages were detected in the enrichments by spot test [35]. Briefly, 100  $\mu$ L of a bacterial overnight culture was added to 4 mL of molten TSB containing 0.4% agarose at 50-55°C, mixed and poured onto TSA (1.5% agar) plate and allowed to solidify for 15 min. Samples (10  $\mu$ L) of the filtered were spotting on the top soft agar and allowing it to dry for 20 min before incubation for 16-20 h at 25°C.

After incubation, the plates were examined for the presence of complete or partial lysis zones; these zones were removed from the TSA plates by cutting the soft layer from the plate using a sterile wire loop and placing them separately in 1 mL of  $\lambda$ -Ca<sup>2+</sup> phage buffer ( $\lambda$  buffer: 2.5 g/L MgS0<sub>4</sub>. 7H<sub>2</sub>O; 0.05 g/L gelatin; 6 mL/L 1 M Tris buffer; pH 7.2). Following autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min, filter-sterilized CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O was added to  $\lambda$  buffer to a final concentration of 5 mM. The tubes were held at room temperature overnight to let the phages diffuse out of the soft agar. The mixture then was filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter (Fisher Scientific, Mississauga, ON, Canada) to purify the phages. The isolated phage was purified as previously described [35] by picking up single plaque and using the soft agar overlay method. This procedure was repeated 3 successive times to obtain purified phages.

## Host range determination using a Bioscreen C Plate Reader

The host range of AG3 on 29 Shigella strains was determined by measuring the optical density (OD) of the tested bacteria in the presence of phage using the Bioscreen C Microbiology Plate Reader (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland). The following experimental parameters were used for all experiments: single, wide band (wb) wavelength; 25°C incubation temperature; 5 min preheating time; kinetic measurement; measurement time 24 hours; reading every 20 min and medium intensity shaking for 10 s before measurements. Fifty microliters of the phage lysate were transferred to each of the 100 wells of the sterilized honeycomb plates of the Bioscreen C reader (Growth Curves USA, New Jersey, USA) each of the wells was inoculated with 125  $\mu$ L of a diluted overnight culture of the tested bacterium (around 10<sup>3</sup> CFU/mL). The multiplicity of infection (MOI) was around 100. The control wells contained either phage only, phage buffer only or an equal volume of phage buffer with bacteria. All samples were tested in triplicate. OD data were analyzed using the Bioscreen C data processing software version 5.26 (Labsystems, Helsinki, Finland) to determine the detection time (time required for each test well to increase by 0.3 OD units). Detection times (hr:min) were converted to decimal values, averaged and the mean control detection time was subtracted from all test data for each isolate tested and expressed as detection time difference (DT diff.). Instead of having positive and negative results and based on this time difference, we proposed that the lytic activity of the phages can be classified as; (N): in which phage did not cause any delay in the tested bacterial growth and the growth curve was similar to that of the control; (D): phage cause a delay of tested bacterial growth by less than five hours; DT < 5 hrs, (D+): phage cause a delay of the tested bacterial growth by 5 or more hours; DT  $\geq$ 5 hrs, and (C): in which the phage caused a complete inhibition of bacterial growth after 24 hours.

#### One-step growth curve

Burst size and latent period for the selected phages were determined by a one-step growth experiment with some modifications from that described [36].

#### Transmission electron microscopy

For electron microscopy, phages were sedimented for 60 min at 25,000 g in a Beckman J2-21 (Palo Alto, Ca) centrifuge using a JA-18.1 fixed angle rotor. This was followed by two washings in 0.1 M neutral ammonium acetate under the same conditions. Purified phages were deposited on carbon-coated Formvar films on copper grids, stained with 2% potassium phosphotungstate (pH 7.0), and examined in a Philips EM 300 electron microscope operated at 60 kV. Magnification was monitored with T4 phage tails.

#### DNA isolation and sequencing

A crude phage lysate was freed from bacterial debris by centrifugation at 14,000  $\times$  g for 20 min at 4°C. Contaminating nucleic acids in the supernatant were digested with pancreatic DNase 1, and RNase A, each to a final concentration of 10 µg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich Canada Ltd., Oakville, ON) and phage particles were precipitated in the presence 10% w/v (final concentration) PEG-8000 and 1 M NaCl at 4°C overnight. The precipitated phage particles were recovered by centrifugation, resuspended in TM buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, 1 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and purified by separation on a self-generating cesium chloride (CsCl) gradient (1.5 g/ml CsCl, run at 21,000  $\times$  g at 4°C for 24 h) in a fixed angle, Beckman SW 90Ti rotor. Following purification by a second passage through a CsCl gradient for another 24 hours, the phage was dialyzed against two changes of two liters each of  $1 \times 10$  mM TE buffer (pH 8.0), using Pierce dialysis cassettes with 3500 molecular weight cut-off (Thermo Scientific, Fisher Scientific, Mississauga, ON), and stored at 4°C. The DNA was extracted from a portion of the purified viral particles using the SDS/proteinase K method modified from [35] followed by extraction with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1, V/V), ethanol precipitation and resolution in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). The DNA was characterized spectrophotometrically.

The DNA was subjected to pyrosequencing (454 technology) at the McGill University and Genome Québec Innovation Centre (Montreal, QC, Canada) to a coverage of 19×.

#### Genome annotation

Prior to annotation, the genome was opened immediately upstream of the *rIIA* gene so that it could be compared with the sequence of the related VII phage. The genome was initially subjected to automated annotation using AutoFACT [37], following which all open reading frames (ORFs) were confirmed using Kodon version 2.0 (Applied Maths Inc., Austin, TX, USA). Genes were identified from among the predicted coding sequences based on the presence of ATG, GTG, CTG or TTG start codons, followed by at least 30 additional codons, and an upstream sequence resembling the following ribosome-binding site, GGAGGT [38,39]. Phage-encoded tRNA genes were identified with tRNAScan-SE and Aragorn, using the default parameters [40,41].

Batch PFAM motif searches [42] were made at http:// pfam.sanger.ac.uk/search#searchBatchBlock, while determination of the protein molecular weight and isoelectric point employed http://greengene.uml.edu/programs/ FindMW.html.

The BLASTP algorithm was used to determine the similarity to described proteins in the National Center for Biotechnology Information [NCBI] database with searches conducted using Batch BLAST (http://greengene.uml.edu/programs/NCBI\_Blast.html). DNAMAN was used to determine the codon usage information of both phage  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 and its host *S. boydii*. Promoters were identified based on sequence similarity to the consensus *E. coli* promoter, TTGACA ( $N_{15-18}$ ) TATAAT, immediately upstream of an annotated gene [43]. Rho-independent terminators were discovered by examining the secondary structure of the DNA adjacent to polyT sequences using MFOLD [44]. In addition we employed WebGeSTer [45] at http://pallab. serc.iisc.ernet.in/gester/rungester.html. Only terminators with a  $\Delta G$  of less than -10 kcal/mol are reported. Genomic comparisons at the proteomic level were made using CoreGenes [46,47]. Transmembrane domains were predicted using TMHMM v2.0 and Phobius [48,49].

#### **Proteomic analyses**

The intact phage particles were lysed using Laemmli's sample buffer (4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 10% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.004% bromophenol blue, 0.125 M Tris HCl) and boiled for 5 min [35]. The solubilized proteins were subsequently separated by a 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and stained with SimplyBlue SafeStain (Invitrogen Canada, Burlington, ON). The gel data were analyzed using BioNumerics software (Applied Maths). The six most intense phage bands were excised and subjected to mass spectrometric analysis at the Mass Spectrometry Facility of Queen's University (Kingston, ON, Canada).

#### Genome sequence

The annotated genome sequence for the *Shigella* phage  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 was deposited in the NCBI nucleotide database under the accession number FJ373894.

#### **Additional material**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Characteristics of the **ФSboM-AG3** genes and their products. BLASTP and PFAM searches conducted on January 12, 2011. The minimum E value used for reporting the PFAM values was 8.4 e-05. TMD = transmembrane domains.

Additional file 2: Table S2. Putative promoter and rho-independent terminators found in the  $\Phi$ SboM-AG3 genome.

Additional file 3: Table S3. Details of mass spectrometric analysis of  $\Phi \text{SboM-AG3}$  proteins.

#### Abbreviations

gp: gene product, used in the context of coliphage T4 proteins e.g. gp18, gp19, and gp23 are the protein products of genes 18, 19 and 23, respectively; MALDI: matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization; QqTOF MS: quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry; MS/MS: tandem mass spectrometry.

#### Acknowledgements

AMK is supported by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The authors would like to thank Linda Cole of the Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses (*Salmonella* OIE Reference Laboratory) for serotyping the *Shigella* strains. The authors would like to acknowledge the technical assistance with TEM imaging of Mr. Robert Harris from University of Guelph. Dr. Lynn McIntyre from Harper Adams University College, UK is gratefully acknowledged for advising on the Bioscreen C plate reader set up. HA is financially supported by the Egyptian Ministry of Higher Education and SENTINEL Bioactive Paper Network.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Canadian Research Institute for Food Safety, University of Guelph, ON; N1G 2W1, Canada. <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Abbassia, Cairo, Egypt. <sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Foodborne Zoonoses, Public Health Agency of Canada, Guelph, ON; N1G 3W4, Canada. <sup>4</sup>Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Infectiology, Faculty of Medicine, Laval University, Quebec, QC; G1X 4C6, Canada. <sup>5</sup>Centre for Vaccine Evaluation, Biologics and Genetic Therapies Directorate, Health Canada, Ottawa, ON; K1A 0K9, Canada. <sup>6</sup>Department of Molecular & Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, ON; N1G 2W1, Canada.

#### Authors' contributions

HA, MG, HWA and AMK contributed to the writing of this manuscript. AV carried out the AutoFACT analyses; HA and AMK finalized the annotation; YM carried out the proteomic analyses assisted by EJL; and the electron microscopy was carried out in collaboration with HWA. MG was the principal investigator and provides all facilitates to complete this work. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Authors' information

HA is currently a post-doctoral fellow in Canadian Research Institute for Food Safety, Food Science Department, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Received: 3 March 2011 Accepted: 19 May 2011 Published: 19 May 2011

#### References

- J Sutherland, A Varnam, Enterotoxin-producing Staphylococcus, Shigella, Yersinia, Vibrio, Aeromonas and Plesiomonas. in Foodborne Pathogens, ed. by Anonymous (Washington, DC: CRC press, 2002), pp. 384–415
- MH Kothary, US Babu, Infective dose of foodborne pathogens in volunteers: A review. Journal of Food Safety. 21(1):49–73 (2001). doi:10.1111/j.1745-4565.2001.tb00307.x
- DWK Acheson, Shigella. in Guide to Foodborne Pathogens, ed. by Labbe R, Garcia S (New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, 2001), pp. 193–200

- S Sivapalasingam, JM Nelson, K Joyce, M Hoekstra, FJ Angulo, ED Mintz, High prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among *Shigella* isolates in the United States tested by the National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System from 1999 to 2002. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 50(1):49–54 (2006). doi:10.1128/AAC.50.1.49-54.2006
- S Hagens, MJ Loessner, Bacteriophage for biocontrol of foodborne pathogens: calculations and considerations. Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology. 11, 58–68 (2010). doi:10.2174/138920110790725429
- E Kutter, A Sulakvelidze, Bacteriophages: biology and applications. (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2005)
- P Garcia, B Martinez, JM Obeso, A Rodriguez, Bacteriophages and their application in food safety. Letters in Applied Microbiology. 47, 479–485 (2008). doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02458.x
- E Strauch, J Hammerl, S Hertwig, Bacteriophages: New Tools for Safer Food. Journal f
  ür Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit. 2(2):138–143 (2007). doi:10.1007/s00003-007-0188-5
- MR Clokie, AM Kropinski, Bacteriophages: Methods and Protocols. (Molecular and Applied Aspects: Humana Press, 2009)
- HW Ackermann, Bacteriophage observations and evolution. Research in Microbiology. 154(4):245–251 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0923-2508(03)00067-6
- H Brüssow, RW Hendrix, Phage Genomics: Small Is Beautiful. Cell. 108(1):13–16 (2002). doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00637-7
- 12. NCBI, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/genlist.cgi? taxid=10239&type=6&name=Phages
- RW Hendrix, GF Hatfull, MCM Smith, Bacteriophages with tails: chasing their origins and evolution. Research in Microbiology. 154(4):253–257 (2003). doi:10.1016/S0923-2508(03)00068-8
- R Lavigne, D Seto, P Mahadevan, H Ackermann, AM Kropinski, Unifying classical and molecular taxonomic classification: analysis of the *Podoviridae* using BLASTP-based tools. Research in Microbiology. **159**(5):406–414 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2008.03.005
- R Lavigne, P Darius, EJ Summer, D Seto, P Mahadevan, AS Nilsson, H-Ackermann, AM Kropinski, Classification of *Myoviridae* bacteriophages using protein sequence similarity. BMC Microbiology. 9, 224 (2009). doi:10.1186/ 1471-2180-9-224
- D Pickard, NR Thomson, S Baker, J Wain, M Pardo, D Goulding, N Hamlin, J Choudhary, J Threfall, G Dougan, Molecular characterization of the Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi Vi-typing bacteriophage E1. Journal of Bacteriology. 190(7):2580–2587 (2008). doi:10.1128/JB.01654-07
- HW Ackermann, Ultrastructure of Vi phages I to VII of Salmonella typhi. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. 16(6):411–413 (1970). doi:10.1139/m70-070
- M Werquin, H Ackermann, RC Levesque, A study of 33 bacteriophages of *Rhizobium meliloti*. Applied & Environmental Microbiology. 54(1):188–196 (1988)
- H Ackermann, G Brochu, HP Emadi Konjin, Classification of Acinetobacter phages. Archives of Virology. 135(3):345–354 (1994). doi:10.1007/ BF01310019
- H Coffi, Lysotypie des Acinetobacter. (MSc thesis, Laval University, Medical School, Quebec, QC, Canada, 1995), p. 82
- 21. RW Hendrix, SR Casjens, Myoviridae. In Virus Taxonomy Eighth Report of the Committee on the Taxonomy of Viruses. (Elsevier Academic Press, 2005)
- D Pickard, AL Toribio, NK Petty, A van Tonder, L Yu, D Goulding, B Barrell, R Rance, D Harris, M Wetter, J Wain, J Choudhary, N Thomson, G Dougan, A Conserved Acetyl Esterase Domain Targets Diverse Bacteriophages to the Vi Capsular Receptor of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhi. Journal of Bacteriology. 192(21):5746–5754 (2010). doi:10.1128/JB.00659-10
- M Walter, C Fiedler, R Grassl, M Biebl, R Rachel, XL Hermo-Parrado, AL Llamas-Saiz, R Seckler, S Miller, MJ van Raaij, Structure of the Receptor-Binding Protein of Bacteriophage Det7: a Podoviral Tail Spike in a Myovirus. Journal of Virology. 82(5):2265–2273 (2008). doi:10.1128/JVI.01641-07
- MB Sullivan, ML Coleman, P Weigele, F Rohwer, SW Chisholm, Three *Prochlorococcus* Cyanophage Genomes: Signature Features and Ecological Interpretations. PLoS Biology. 3(5):e144 (2005). doi:10.1371/journal. pbio.0030144
- J Nolan, V Petrov, C Bertrand, H Krisch, J Karam, Genetic diversity among five T4-like bacteriophages. Virology Journal. 3(1):30 (2006). doi:10.1186/ 1743-422X-3-30
- AM Kropinski, RJ Bose, RA Warren, 5-(4-Aminobutylaminomethyl)uracil, an unusual pyrimidine from the deoxyribonucleic acid of bacteriophage φW-14. Biochemistry. 12(1):151–157 (1973). doi:10.1021/bi00725a025

- 27. EP Geiduschek, GA Kassavetis, Transcription of the T4 late genes. Virology Journal. **7**, 288 (2010). doi:10.1186/1743-422X-7-288
- G Crooks, G Hon, J Chandonia, S Brenner, WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. Genome Research. 14(6):1188–1190 (2004). doi:10.1101/gr.849004
- MD Roberts, NL Martin, AM Kropinski, The genome and proteome of coliphage T1. Virology. 318(1):245–266 (2004). doi:10.1016/j.virol.2003.09.020
- A Bhardwaj, N Walker-Kopp, S Wilkens, G Cingolani, Foldon-guided selfassembly of ultra-stable protein fibers. Protein Sci. 17(9):1475–1485 (2008). doi:10.1110/ps.036111.108
- K Heyns, G Kiessling, Strukturaufklärung des vi-antigens aus Citrobacter freundii (E. coli) 5396/38. Carbohydrate Research. 3(3):340–353 (1967). doi:10.1016/S0008-6215(00)82210-7
- EM Daniels, R Schneerson, WM Egan, SC Szu, JB Robbins, Characterization of the Salmonella paratyphi C Vi polysaccharide. Infection & Immunity. 57(10):3159–3164 (1989)
- VM Petrov, S Ratnayaka, JM Nolan, ES Miller, JD Karam, Genomes of the T4related bacteriophages as windows on microbial genome evolution. Virology Journal. 7, 292 (2010). doi:10.1186/1743-422X-7-292
- RM Carlton, WH Noordman, B Biswas, ED de Meester, MJ Loessner, Bacteriophage P100 for control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in foods: Genome sequence, bioinformatic analyses, oral toxicity study, and application. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology. 43(3):301–312 (2005). doi:10.1016/j.yrtph.2005.08.005
- J Sambrook, EF Fritsch, T Maniatis, *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*, 2nd edn. (NY: Cold Spring Harbor, 1989)
- EL Ellis, M Delbrück, The growth of bacteriophage. The Journal of General Physiology. 22(3):365–384 (1939). doi:10.1085/jgp.22.3.365
- L Koski, M Gray, BF Lang, G Burger, AutoFACT: An Automatic Functional Annotation and Classification Tool. BMC Bioinformatics. 6(1):151 (2005). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-6-151
- J Shine, L Dalgarno, The 3'-terminal sequence of *Escherichia coli* 16S ribosomal RNA: complementarity to nonsense triplets and ribosome binding sites. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **71**(4):1342–1346 (1974). doi:10.1073/ pnas.71.4.1342
- J Shine, L Dalgarno, Terminal-sequence analysis of bacterial ribosomal RNA. European Journal of Biochemistry. 57(1):221–230 (1975). doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1975.tb02294.x
- P Schattner, AN Brooks, TM Lowe, The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web servers for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. Nucleic Acids Research. 33(Web Server Issue suppl 2):W686–W689 (2005)
- D Laslett, B Canback, ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Research. 32(1):11–16 (2004). doi:10.1093/nar/gkh152
- RD Finn, J Mistry, J Tate, P Coggill, A Heger, JE Pollington, OL Gavin, P Gunasekaran, G Ceric, K Forslund, L Holm, ELL Sonnhammer, SR Eddy, A Bateman, The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Research. 38(Database issue suppl\_1):D211–D222 (2010)
- MD Ermolaeva, HG Khalak, O White, HO Smith, SL Salzberg, Prediction of transcription terminators in bacterial genomes. Journal of Molecular Biology. 301(1):27–33 (2000). doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.3836
- M Zuker, Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic Acids Research. 31(13):3406–3415 (2003). doi:10.1093/ nar/gkg595
- A Mitra, AK Kesarwani, D Pal, V Nagaraja, WebGeSTer DB–a transcription terminator database. Nucleic Acids Research. 39(suppl\_ 1):D129–D135 (2011)
- N Zafar, R Mazumder, D Seto, CoreGenes: A computational tool for identifying and cataloging "core" genes in a set of small genomes. BMC Bioinformatics. 3(1):12 (2002). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-3-12
- AM Kropinski, M Borodovsky, TJ Carver, AM Cerdeño-Tárraga, A Darling, A Lomsadze, P Mahadevan, P Stothard, D Seto, G Domselaar, DS Wishart, *In silico* identification of genes in bacteriophage DNA. Bacteriophages. 57–89 (2009)
- A Krogh, B Larsson, G von Heijne, ELL Sonnhammer, Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden markov model: application to complete genomes. Journal of Molecular Biology. **305**(3):567–580 (2001). doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315
- L Kall, A Krogh, ELL Sonnhammer, Advantages of combined transmembrane topology and signal peptide prediction--the Phobius web server. Nucleic Acids Research. 35(suppl\_2):W429-432 (2007)

 JR Grant, P Stothard, The CGView Server: a comparative genomics tool for circular genomes. Nucleic Acids Research. 36(suppl 2):W181–W184 (2008)

doi:10.1186/1743-422X-8-242

Cite this article as: Anany et al.: A Shigella boydii bacteriophage which resembles Salmonella phage Vil. Virology Journal 2011 8:242.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

**BioMed** Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit