# Evaluating the effect of laser irradiation on bone regeneration in midpalatal suture concurrent to rapid palatal expansion in rats

Fariborz Amini, Maryam Pirmoradian Najaf Abadi<sup>1</sup> and Mobina Mollaei

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Rapid palatal expansion is one of the most important orthopedic treatments that correct the dental and palatal constriction. Stability of the changes partly depend on the rapidity of new bone formation in affected sutures after expansion. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of laser irradiation on the healing of midpalatal suture concurrent to the expansion of midpalatal suture in rats.

**Materials and Methods:** A total of 78 male Sprague rats in seven groups were evaluated: A control group of six rats without any treatments and three experimental groups of 24 which underwent palatal expansion for different time periods (7, 14, and 30 days), and each divided into two groups of with and without laser irradiation. Laser therapy was done by gallium-aluminum-arsenide diode laser with 810 nm wavelength and 4 J/cm<sup>2</sup> irradiation in days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 in 4 points (1 labial and 3 palatal points). After sacrificing, the sections were evaluated by histomorphometric and quantitative analysis and results were statistically investigated by independent samples *t*-test. **Results:** The results in 7 days, 14 days, and 30 days show that laser therapy can increase the rate of osteogenesis in palatal suture during rapid palatal expansion but the differences in 7 days groups were not significant (P = 0.117) while in 14 days groups (P = 0.032) and 30 days groups were significant (P = 0.001). Most of effectiveness of low-power laser was seen between 14 and 30 days while the laser therapy was stopped.

**Conclusion:** These findings suggest that low-level laser irradiation can increase and accelerate bone regeneration in the midpalatal suture after rapid palatal expansion, hence, reduce retention time.

**Key words:** Bone regeneration, low-power laser irradiation, maxillary expansion, rapid palatal expansion, rat

## **INTRODUCTION**

Rapid maxillary expansion is one of the treatment options in the correction of the constriction of maxillary dental arch and jaw. Maxillary constriction is a part of many deformities such

Department of Orthodontics, Dental Branch, Islamic Azad University, <sup>1</sup>Private Practice, Tehran, Iran

Address for correspondence: Dr. Mobina Mollaei,

Department of Orthodontics, Dental Branch, Islamic Azad University, P.O. Box 19585-175, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: imobineh@yahoo.com

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.jorthodsci.org			
	DOI: 10.4103/2278-0203.160237			

as posterior crossbites, class II and III malocclusion.<sup>[1,2]</sup> One of the reasons for relapse consequence to use of maxillary rapid palatal expander (RPE) is a lack of rapid and adequate bone regeneration in the midpalatal suture.<sup>[3]</sup> Long-time retention after expansion will significantly decrease the rate of relapse through bone regeneration in palatal midsuture.<sup>[4,5]</sup> On the other hand, long-term use of banded or bonded RPE as retainers can cause some complications such as enamel hypocalcification, gingival irritation and dental carious. Improved bone regeneration in

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Amini F, Najaf Abadi MP, Mollaei M. Evaluating the effect of laser irradiation on bone regeneration in midpalatal suture concurrent to rapid palatal expansion in rats. J Orthodont Sci 2015;4:65-71.

the midpalatal suture decreases the retention time, and its complications hence improves treatment stability. Maxillary expansion causes hyperemia, osteoblastic activity, primary bone regeneration, and calcium removal.<sup>[6-9]</sup>

Since 1971, studies have evaluated the effect of laser on bone regeneration in clinical conditions or cell cultures.<sup>[2,4,5,9-15]</sup> Lasers can be used in biopsy sampling, eliminating oral lesions (aphthous ulcers, herpetic lesions), tuberosity reduction, coagulation, treating temporomandibular joint lesions, instrument sterilization, gingivectomies, etc.<sup>[2,4,5,7-19]</sup>

Healing is one of the implications of low-power laser therapy. Regeneration is a complicated process and laser might accelerate it.<sup>[2,4,5,8-14,20-22]</sup> In a study, Saito and Shimizu investigated the effect of gallium-aluminum-arsenide (Ga-Al-As) low-power laser exposure on bone regeneration in palatal midsuture during the maxillary expansion of rats.<sup>[4]</sup> Results of histophotometric investigations showed that compared with the control group, bone regeneration increased approximately 1.2-1.4 times in 7 days in the experimental group. In addition, laser irradiation was more effective in the first 3 days. These results confirm the positive effect of laser therapy on bone regeneration in the midpalatal suture.<sup>[4]</sup> Blaya et al. studied effects of laser irradiation on the bone regeneration and showed increased width and depth of newly bone.<sup>[20]</sup> Agaiby et al. showed that areas receiving laser therapy experienced less pain and more effective dental movement.<sup>[23]</sup> Kawasaki and Shimizu reported better tooth movement, greater bone regeneration and cellular proliferation, and a greater number of osteoclasts in areas exposed to the laser.[24] In a study Ozawa et al. showed that laser exposure in early stages of culture, significantly stimulates cell proliferation, alkaline phosphatase activity, and appearance of osteocalcin gene.<sup>[21]</sup> Furthermore, laser exposure in early stages of culture caused a significant increase of bone nodules in 21st day. In other words, it caused both cell proliferation and cell differentiation.[21]

This study was conducted to evaluate the influence of Ga-Al-As low-power laser exposure on bone regeneration in palatal midsuture during palatal expansion in rats.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 78 Sprague male rats, each weighted around 200 g were randomly selected. The study was performed on six experimental groups of 12 rats, which underwent palatal expansion and one control group of six rats, which have not gone under any intervention. The animals were quarantined for 1 week. Rats' weight was monitored, and rats experiencing weight loss were kept in separate cages until reaching the normal weight of control group.

Rats were randomly divided into three experimental groups of 24 rats and one control group of 6 rats:

- Control group with no expansion or laser therapy
- Experimental group under treatment for 7 days

- Experimental group under treatment for 14 days
- Experimental group under treatment for 30 days.

Each one of the above experimental groups was divided randomly into two groups of expansion without laser irradiation "a" and expansion with laser irradiation for maximum 14 days "b".

Before placing orthodontic appliances, all experimental rats received 10% animal Ketamine and 2% xylocaine intramascular injection as deep sedation.<sup>[25]</sup> For expansion first an osteom was used to open a small space between central incisors, then a coil made of 1.5 mm stainless steel wire was used to open the midpalatal suture [Figure 1].<sup>[4]</sup> Coil was slowly pushed between central incisors. To stabilize the ring, a hole was drilled using a 35,000-rpm hand-piece in the distal portion of both centrals along the gingival papillae [Figure 2]. The ring, was stabilized using 0.5 mm round brass wire. In the days 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14, rats were irradiated by laser beam using a Ga-Al-As laser with wavelength of 810 and energy density of 4 J/cm<sup>2</sup> (Photo Laser, DMC, Brazil) in 4 points by placing the laser tip vertically over the mucosa as it has been described by Saito and Shimizu<sup>[4]</sup> and Blaya *et al.*<sup>[20]</sup> [Figure 3].

- One point with Buccal: 0.5 mm apically to the edge of bone crest
- Three points in palatal:
  - a. 1 mm apically to the edge of bone crest
  - b. 2 mm apically to the edge of bone crest
  - c. 3 mm apically to the edge of the bone crest.

After expansion and irradiation in 3 times intervals (7, 14, and 30 days), exposed (n-12) and unexposed (n-12) rats were anesthetized by injection of sodium pentobarbital and stored in 10% formalin with volume ratio of 1:20 and then sacrificed<sup>[26]</sup> [Figure 4]. For histological evaluation, optical microscopy and computer software (Photoshop Cs3, Adobe, USA) were used [Figure 5]. Findings were analyzed using independent samples *t*-test. Findings were considered significant when  $P \le 5\%$  (P < 0.05).

## RESULTS

Distribution of weight mean changes in irradiated groups and nonirradiated groups with their living days are given in Table 1.



Figure 1: Stainless steel ring 1.5 mm thick, made from 0.5 mm wires, and having two extended bars for ease of use



Figure 2: The straight handpiece (maximum rpm: 35,000) with a round carbide <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> bur



Figure 4: Rat maxillary bone showing laser spots. It was stored in 10% formalin with volume ratio of 1:20

During 7 days, weight changes of rats in group "2b" was significant compared with rats in group "2a". Accordingly, weight reduced in all groups during first 2-4 days. This decrease occurred after appliance placement and using the first dose of anesthesia, but weight loss in all laser-treated groups "b" was significantly less than weight loss of no-laser experimental groups "a." While most rats in experimental groups "b" showed weight loss only for 2 days, rats in experimental groups with no laser therapy "a" continued losing weight until 4<sup>th</sup> day, and many of them were not able to recover their initial weight after 7 days. Rats in experimental groups "b" started gaining weight at a rate equal to control, in a way that after 7 days, most of them could recover their weight loss in the first 2 days. Weight changes during this timescale were also significant among groups. Weight gain in groups continued during days 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 until the group without laser therapy "a" completely recovered in the 9<sup>th</sup> day. Weight changes of group "3b" in comparison with group "3a" were also significant during 2<sup>nd</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> days. During 10th to 14th days, the mean of weight gain in laser groups "b" was more, but we did not observe any significant difference between two groups. Weight gain process in groups of 30 days continued until the 30<sup>th</sup> day. Weight increases of both groups were similar in 14th day. After 14 days, weight gain in experimental groups "b" and "a" were similar.

Changes in mean weight (g/day) of experimental groups are compared in Diagram 1.



Figure 3: The gallium-aluminum-arsenide low-power laser device



Figure 5: Surface areas were measured on digital images using Adobe Photoshop Cs3

Quantitative bone changes [Table 2]:

### Bone Regeneration in 7<sup>th</sup> Day

The extent of bone regeneration in group "2a" was  $37.6 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$  inches, while it was  $40.2 \pm 3.15 \times 10^{-3}$  inches in group "2b." Difference between groups "2a" and "2b" was not significant (*P* > 0.05) [Figure 6 and Diagram 2]

#### Bone Regeneration in 14<sup>th</sup> Day

Mean bone regeneration in groups "3b" and "3a" were  $41.7 \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $38.8 \pm 1.85 \times 10^{-3}$  inches, respectively. Statistically significant differences between two exposed and unexposed groups were observed (*P* = 0.032) [Figure 7 and Diagram 3].

Table 1: Distribution	of mean weigh	t changes in ex	(posed (a)	and unex	posed (b) groups	5
		<u> </u>				

Group	Number of animals	Initial weight mean (g)	Weight gain mean (g/day)	SD (g)	Minimum weight gain (g)	Maximum weight gain (g)
Intact	6	175.80	1.68	7.79744	40.7182	60.0818
2a	12	189.58	-0.5457	9.77474	-11.7106	0.7106
2b	12	180.16	0.7738	6.302336	1.41123	9.4210
3a	12	180.3	0.6722	11.66548	2.6714	17.4952
3b	12	175.3	0.7	9.79912	4.0239	16.4761
4a	12	180.08	0.8722	1.06673	18.4998	33.8335
4b	12	182.66	1.1274	16.55567	23.8194	43.8283

SD - Standard deviation

#### Table 2: The mean of bone regeneration in study groups

Group name	Number of animals	Mean of bone regeneration (mm×10 <sup>-3</sup> inches)	Minimum bone regeneration (mm×10 <sup>-3</sup> inches)	Maximum bone regeneration (mm×10 <sup>-3</sup> inches)	Р
2a	12	37.6±1.7	35.9	39.3	0.117
2b	12	40.2±3.15	37.1	43.4	
3a	12	38.8±1.85	37.0	40.7	0.032*
3b	12	41.7±2.8	37.9	43.5	
4a	12	38.4±2.5	35.9	40.9	0.001**
4b	12	43.6±1.65	41.9	45.2	

\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01



Diagram I: Mean weight changes of control group and study groups (g/day)



**Figure 6:** Histological view of midpalatal suture, 7 days after expansion (a) without laser therapy and (b) with laser therapy

#### Bone Regeneration in 30<sup>th</sup> Day

However, radiation were stopped in 15 days but after

30 days bone regeneration in unexposed group ("4a") was  $37.6 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$  inches, while it was  $40.2 \pm 3.15 \times 10^{-3}$  inches in exposed group ("4b"). This difference was statistically significant (*P* = 0.001) [Figure 8 and Diagram 4].

In each day of 1<sup>st</sup> week after expansion, mean of bone regeneration for group "2b" was 5.7 × 10<sup>-3</sup> inches while this amount was 5.3 × 10<sup>-3</sup> inches for group "2a". Mean of bone regeneration during next 7 days in group without laser therapy was  $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$  inches and was  $0.4 \times 10^{-3}$  inches in the laser group. It means that the mean of bone regeneration in each day of 2<sup>nd</sup> week for group "3a" was 0.1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> inches and for group "3b" was  $0.06 \times 10^{-3}$  inches that showed a drastic decrease. In 3rd and 4th week, bone regeneration decreased for  $0.4 \times 10^{-3}$  inches in rats not treated with the laser, while it increased for about 2.8 × 10<sup>-3</sup> inches in rats, which received laser irradiation. According to this statistics, the greatest amount of bone regeneration in first 7 days was in group 2b while the greatest effect on bone regeneration in groups with laser therapy against groups without laser therapy were observed during second 15 days.

#### DISCUSSION

This study has evaluated changes in different timescales using the histomorphometric method. Furthermore, in this study, samples were standardized. Therefore, it has provided more realistic evaluation of regenerated bone on different timescales.

Weight loss of rats in initial days after placing appliance is probably due to pain and trauma of rapid maxillary expansion. According to previous studies, laser can reduce



**Diagram 2:** Mean bone regeneration (in 0.001 inch) after 7 days. The difference was not significant



**Diagram 3:** Mean bone regeneration (in 0.001 inch) after 14 days. The difference was significant



**Diagram 4:** Mean bone regeneration (in 0.001 inch) after 30 days. The difference was significant

inflammation and pain by different mechanisms including increasing levels of some prostaglandins, decreased infection, affecting sensory receptors, and proper coagulation and hemostasis.<sup>(B,11-14,16,17,19,27-38)</sup> A significant difference in weight changes during 1<sup>st</sup> days after placing the appliance in all groups can verify it.

According to findings of this study, a difference of the extent of regenerated bone during the 1<sup>st</sup> week was not significant between laser-included and laser-free groups. This indicates



**Figure 7:** Histological view of midpalatal suture, 14 days after expansion (a) without laser therapy and (b) with laser therapy



**Figure 8:** Histological view of midpalatal suture, 30 days after expansion (a) without laser therapy and (b) with laser therapy during the first 14 days

that the effect of laser does not appear in the 1<sup>st</sup> week. Based on a study of Saito and Shimizu on the same timescale, there is a significant difference in the bone regeneration of groups that received laser therapy compared with control groups.<sup>[4]</sup> Probably, laser power and exposure time can affect the amount of bone regeneration as well.

Difference in bone regeneration in laser-included and laser-free experimental groups was significant in the 14<sup>th</sup> day, which was consistent with another study.<sup>[21]</sup> Pretel *et al.* reported an advanced tissue response and bone formation in the laser group, shortened initial inflammatory reaction and promoted rapid new bone matrix formation in 15 and 45 days. However, they did not find any significant differences between the groups in 60<sup>th</sup> day.<sup>[15]</sup> They concluded that aiming infrared low-level laser directly at the wound showed a biostimulating effect on bone remodeling by stimulating the modulation of the initial inflammatory response and enhancing the recovery.<sup>[16]</sup> Cepera *et al.* as well concluded that low-level laser can affect the bone regeneration process of the suture and accelerate

healing.<sup>[13]</sup> Angeletti *et al.* as well observed a greater and accelerated amount of bone regeneration after rapid palatal expansion.<sup>[39]</sup> The difference in bone regeneration extent in laser-treated and laser-free experimental groups was significant as well. During days of 14–30,  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  inches of regenerated bone is reduced, which was not significant. The reason may be the gradual elimination of inflammatory factors and replacement by osteoblastic phase. Laser exposure can increase amount of ATP, that is, intracellular energy, during primary and secondary cellular reactions. In this process, light energy along primary reactions in oxidation cycle activates the intracellular reactions and enhances the bone healing.<sup>[22,38]</sup>

## CONCLUSION

Low-power laser irradiation can increase and accelerate bone regeneration in the midpalatal suture during and after rapid palatal expansion. While the highest extent of bone regeneration was seen in the first 7 days, the highest efficacy of laser was observed in 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> week. This confirms late effects of laser.

## Financial Support and Sponsorship Nil.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

There are no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Frank SW, Engel GA. The effects of maxillary quad-helix appliance expansion on cephalometric measurements in growing orthodontic patients. Am J Orthod 1982;81:378-89.
- Eichenberger M, Baumgartner S. The impact of rapid palatal expansion on children's general health: A literature review. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2014;15:67-71.
- Nicholson PT, Plint DA. A long-term study of rapid maxillary expansion and bone grafting in cleft lip and palate patients. Eur J Orthod 1989;11:186-92.
- Saito S, Shimizu N. Stimulatory effects of low-power laser irradiation on bone regeneration in midpalatal suture during expansion in the rat. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1997;111:525-32.
- 5. Trelles MA, Mayayo E. Bone fracture consolidates faster with low-power laser. Lasers Surg Med 1987;7:36-45.
- Enlow DH, Hans MG. Essentials of Facial Growth. Philadelphia: Saunders; 1996.
- Walsh LJ. The current status of low level laser therapy in dentistry. Part 1. Soft tissue applications. Aust Dent J 1997;42:247-54.
- Lim HM, Lew KK, Tay DK. A clinical investigation of the efficacy of low level laser therapy in reducing orthodontic postadjustment pain. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1995;108:614-22.
- 9. Koutná M, Janisch R, Veselska R. Effects of low-power laser irradiation on cell proliferation. Scr Med 2003;76:163-72.
- Tang XM, Chai BP. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> laser irradiation on experimental fracture healing: A transmission electron microscopic study. Lasers Surg Med 1986;6:346-52.
- 11. Ross G, Ross A. Low level lasers in dentistry. Gen Dent 2008;56:629-34.
- Garavello-Freitas I, Baranauskas V, Joazeiro PP, Padovani CR, Dal Pai-Silva M, da Cruz-Höfling MA. Low-power laser irradiation improves histomorphometrical parameters and bone matrix organization during tibia wound healing in rats. J Photochem Photobiol B 2003;70:81-9.
- 13. Cepera F, Torres FC, Scanavini MA, Paranhos LR, Capelozza Filho L,

Cardoso MA, *et al*. Effect of a low-level laser on bone regeneration after rapid maxillary expansion. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2012;141:444-50.

- Abreu ME, Viegas VN, Pagnoncelli RM, de Lima EM, Farret AM, Kulczynski FZ, et al. Infrared laser therapy after surgically assisted rapid palatal expansion to diminish pain and accelerate bone healing. World J Orthod 2010;11:273-7.
- Pretel H, Lizarelli RF, Ramalho LT. Effect of low-level laser therapy on bone repair: Histological study in rats. Lasers Surg Med 2007;39:788-96.
- 16. Miyasaki M. Shedding light on the soft tissue laser. Signature 2004;11:11-3.
- Ozcelik O, Cenk Haytac M, Kunin A, Seydaoglu G. Improved wound healing by low-level laser irradiation after gingivectomy operations: A controlled clinical pilot study. J Clin Periodontol 2008;35:250-4.
- Pirnat S. Versatility of an 810 nm diode laser in dentistry: An overview. J Laser Health Acad 2007;4:1-9.
- Sobouti F, Rakhshan V, Chiniforush N, Khatami M. Effects of laser-assisted cosmetic smile lift gingivectomy on postoperative bleeding and pain in fixed orthodontic patients: A controlled clinical trial. Prog Orthod 2014;15:66.
- Blaya DS, Guimarães MB, Pozza DH, Weber JB, de Oliveira MG. Histologic study of the effect of laser therapy on bone repair. J Contemp Dent Pract 2008;9:41-8.
- 21. Ozawa Y, Shimizu N, Kariya G, Abiko Y. Low-energy laser irradiation stimulates bone nodule formation at early stages of cell culture in rat calvarial cells. Bone 1998;22:347-54.
- Zhang L, Xing D, Gao X, Wu S. Low-power laser irradiation promotes cell proliferation by activating PI3K/Akt pathway. J Cell Physiol 2009;219:553-62.
- Agaiby AD, Ghali LR, Wilson R, Dyson M. Laser modulation of angiogenic factor production by T-lymphocytes. Lasers Surg Med 2000;26:357-63.
- Kawasaki K, Shimizu N. Effects of low-energy laser irradiation on bone remodeling during experimental tooth movement in rats. Lasers Surg Med 2000;26:282-91.
- Fish R, Danneman PJ, Brown M, Karas A. Anesthesia and Analgesia in Laboratory Animals. USA, Waltham, Massachusetts: Academic Press; 2011.
- Rosai J. Rosai and Ackerman's Surgical Pathology. USA: Elsevier Mosby; 2004.
- 27. Kravitz ND, Kusnoto B. Soft-tissue lasers in orthodontics: An overview. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2008;133:S110-4.
- Ize-Iyamu IN, Saheeb BD, Edetanlen BE. Comparing the 810 nm diode laser with conventional surgery in orthodontic soft tissue procedures. Ghana Med J 2013;47:107-11.
- Fornaini C, Rocca JP, Bertrand MF, Merigo E, Nammour S, Vescovi P. Nd: YAG and diode laser in the surgical management of soft tissues related to orthodontic treatment. Photomed Laser Surg 2007;25:381-92.
- Webert K, Cook RJ, Sigouin CS, Rebulla P, Heddle NM. The risk of bleeding in thrombocytopenic patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica 2006;91:1530-7.
- Yagüe-García J, España-Tost AJ, Berini-Aytés L, Gay-Escoda C. Treatment of oral mucocele-scalpel versus CO2 laser. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2009;14:e469-74.
- English JD, Peltomaki T, Pham-Litschel K. Soft-Tissue Diode Laser Surgery in Orthodontics. Mosby's Orthodontic Review. USA: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2009. p. 272-6.
- Sarver DM. Use of the 810 nm diode laser: Soft tissue management and orthodontic applications of innovative technology. Pract Proced Aesthet Dent 2006;18:suppl 7-13.
- Bjordal JM, Johnson MI, Iversen V, Aimbire F, Lopes-Martins RA. Low-level laser therapy in acute pain: A systematic review of possible mechanisms of action and clinical effects in randomized placebo-controlled trials. Photomed Laser Surg 2006;24:158-68.
- 35. Kneebone WJ. Practical applications of low level laser therapy. Pract Pain Manag 2006;6:34-40.
- Stübinger S, Saldamli B, Jürgens P, Ghazal G, Zeilhofer HF. Soft tissue surgery with the diode laser – Theoretical and clinical aspects. Schweiz

Monatsschr Zahnmed 2006;116:812-20.

- 37. D'Arcangelo C, Di Nardo Di Maio F, Prosperi GD, Conte E, Baldi M, Caputi S. A preliminary study of healing of diode laser versus scalpel incisions in rat oral tissue: A comparison of clinical, histological, and immunohistochemical results. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;103:764-73.
- Gao X, Xing D. Molecular mechanisms of cell proliferation induced by low power laser irradiation. J Biomed Sci 2009;16:4.
- Angeletti P, Pereira MD, Gomes HC, Hino CT, Ferreira LM. Effect of low-level laser therapy (GaAlAs) on bone regeneration in midpalatal anterior suture after surgically assisted rapid maxillary expansion. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2010;109:e38-46.

### Author Help: Reference checking facility

The manuscript system (www.journalonweb.com) allows the authors to check and verify the accuracy and style of references. The tool checks the references with PubMed as per a predefined style. Authors are encouraged to use this facility, before submitting articles to the journal.

- The style as well as bibliographic elements should be 100% accurate, to help get the references verified from the system. Even a single spelling error or addition of issue number/month of publication will lead to an error when verifying the reference.
- Example of a correct style Sheahan P, O'leary G, Lee G, Fitzgibbon J. Cystic cervical metastases: Incidence and diagnosis using fine needle aspiration biopsy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2002;127:294-8.
- Only the references from journals indexed in PubMed will be checked.
- Enter each reference in new line, without a serial number.
- Add up to a maximum of 15 references at a time.
- If the reference is correct for its bibliographic elements and punctuations, it will be shown as CORRECT and a link to the correct article in PubMed will be given.
- If any of the bibliographic elements are missing, incorrect or extra (such as issue number), it will be shown as INCORRECT and link to
  possible articles in PubMed will be given.