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#### Abstract

The reactions of phenylglyoxylic acids during the synthesis and biological evaluation of fungal metabolites led to the discovery of hitherto unknown compounds with a $p$-quinone methide ( $p$-QM) structure. The formation of these $p$-QMs using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labelled starting materials revealed a key-step of this reaction being a retro-Friedel-Crafts alkylation.
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## 1. Introduction

While the secondary metabolites of plants have been studied very intensively, the metabolites formed in fungi and especially of lichens came only recently in the focus of increased scientific interest [1-3]. Furthermore, structures similar to 1,3,8-trihydroxy-6-methyl-anthracene-9,10-dione (Figure 1, emodine) or 1,8-dihydroxy-3-methyl-anthracene-9,10-dione (chrysophanol) from fungi have also been isolated from lichens [4-6]. Many of these compounds are cytotoxic. For example, the latter compound blocks the proliferation of colon cancer cells by inhibiting the EGFR/mTor pathway [7-9]. Some compounds are similar to "-rubicin" anticancer agents, such as daunorubicin or doxorubicin [4-6].
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Figure 1. Structure emodine, chrysophanol and daunorubicin isolated from fungi or lichens and $p$-quinone methide ( $p-\mathrm{QM}$ ) derived natural products rugaurones $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$ and cherylline.
$p$-Quinone methides ( $p$-QMs) are highly reactive compounds possessing a broad range of different biologic activities [10]. They have also been discussed as the major decomposition products of
catechol estrogen-o-quinones [11-13]. They are well known intermediates in the biosynthesis of natural products. Their high reactivity and potential has also been exploited in the total synthesis of some natural products such as the flavonoids rugaurone A-C [14] cherylline [15] and 20-deoxy-elansolid B1 [16]. Furthermore, the reactions of $p$-QMs have extensively been studied to generate compounds of pharmaceutical interest [17-20] and quite recently they were used as starting materials for an organo-catalytic asymmetric $\alpha$-alkylation of aldehydes [21].

During our research on metabolites from fungi and lichens we came across the chemical properties and reactions of phenylglyoxylic acids [22]. Thereby, we encountered several unexpected and unprecedented reactions yielding 3,3-diaryl substituted benzofuranones which undergo retro-Friedel-Crafts alkylation in hydrochloric acid forming the $p$ - QM structure. These molecules are similar to 3,3-diaryloxoindoles and 3,3-substituted oxoindoles that have lately been studied quite extensively yielding pharmacological interesting molecules [23-28]; they are also known intermediates from isatines and a prominent motif in natural product products, as for example in azonazine [29]. In contrast to isatines, benzofuran-2,3-diones are widely overlooked [28,30]. This was another reason to provide an access to this rare structural motif.

## 2. Results and Discussion

Oxidation of 2,4,5-trimethoxy-acetophenone (1, Scheme 1) with $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ [31] gave 2,4,5trimethoxyphenylglyoxylic acid (2); this compound has previously been isolated from the fungus Polyporus tumulosus Cooke [22,32]. As an alternative, a Friedel-Crafts acylation of 2,4,5-trimethoxybenzene (3) in the presence of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ gave a $94 \%$ yield of ester 4 [33-35] whose hydrolysis with methanolic KOH for 2 h resulted in an almost quantitative yield of 2. Partial deprotection of the methoxy-groups with $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ of $\mathbf{2}$ yielded 5 albeit in low yields, while demethylation with either hydrobromic or hydrochloric acid yielded $\mathbf{6}$, a red colored solid in almost quantitative yield. A major issue in these reactions is the instability of the $\alpha$-keto-acids that are readily decarboxylated [22,36]. These findings parallel previous reports for trimethoxy-substituted aromatic compounds [36]. Furthermore, $\alpha$-keto acids were used for the synthesis of oxadiazolopyrazines-selective antibacterial agents against Haemophilus influenzae [37]. Attempts to cyclize 5 under a variety of different conditions invariably led to the formation of 6 in moderate to excellent yields. The formation of 7 was only observed to a rather minor extend by ESI-MS.


Scheme 1. Reactions and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$, pyridine, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{ClC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, $\mathrm{DCM},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, r.t. $99 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, microwave, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 13 \%$; (e) aq. $\mathrm{HCl}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \%$; (f) $3, \mathrm{HCl}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; (g) aq. $\mathrm{HCl}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 49 \%$; (h) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$; (i) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$, pyridine, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 44 \%$; (j) DCM, oxalyl chloride, DMF, r.t. $14 \mathrm{~h}, 36 \%$; ( $\mathbf{k}$ ) DCM, oxalyl chloride, DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, traces.

Friedel-Crafts acylation of sesamol (8) with acetic anhydride/ $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ gave 9 [38-41] in $66 \%$ isolated yield whose oxidation with $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ in pyridine yielded 10 . Treatment of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with oxalyl chloride in DCM in the presence of DMF gave a moderate yield of $\mathbf{1 1}$ but-interestingly enough - no red-colored by-products (being analogous to 6) were observed during these reactions.

To gain a deeper insight in the structure and formation of $6,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeling experiments were called for. Thus, trimethoxybenzene (3) was allowed to react with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled acetylchloride (Scheme 2) in the presence of $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ and a $97 \%$ yield of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled 1 (12) was obtained. From its $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ oxidation compound ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled 2 (13) was obtained in $53 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2. Reactions and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; b) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$, pyridine, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 53 \%$; (c) aq. $\mathrm{HCl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 3 \%$; (d) aq. $\mathrm{HCl}, \Delta, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \%$.

To elucidate the structure of $\mathbf{6}$, a combination of different analytical techniques had to be applied. An ESI/MS of 6 in MeOH showed a $m / z=345[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$corresponding to a molecular composition of $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ and indicating a "condensation reaction" of 2 having taken place. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra showed the presence of four methoxy groups between $\delta=3.81$ and 3.97 ppm and four aromatic hydrogens between $\delta=6.06$ and 7.26 ppm , respectively. The material obtained from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeled starting material showed only one labeled carbon in the product as indicated by ESI-MS $m / z=346$ for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Reaction of 2 with $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ or 13 with hydrochloric acid at low temperatures, however, gave access to an intermediate 15 (from 13); upon warming this reaction mixture, 15 could not be detected any longer but 6 (from 2) or 14 (from 13) was formed. For compound 15, different temperatures ( $-50,-30$, 27 and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were applied (Figure 2) and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy revealed a change in the spectra. At room temperature (Figure 2, cyan) an extensive line broadening of one of the methoxy groups $(\delta=3.62 \mathrm{ppm})$ was observed. In addition, extensive line broadening was seen in the aromatic region. Integration of the signals suggested the presence of seven methoxy groups. Temperature dependent NMR spectroscopy revealed that the line broadening observed at room temperature is due to the presence of a rotational barrier. Furthermore, the NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 5}$ strongly depend on the used solvent (Figure 3). For example, the aromatic protons in 15 are severely shifted to lower field upon using deuterated toluene as the solvent probably due to an aromatic solvent induced shift behavior. An ESI-MS of $\mathbf{1 5}$ showed a quasimolecular ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} m / z=513$ corresponding well to the proposed structure. NMR spectra of the products are depicted in the Supplementary File.


Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR Spectra of the intermediate 15; temperatures: violet, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; cyan, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; green, $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; red, $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3. NMR Spectra of the intermediate 15 in different solvents; $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (red), toluene-d $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ (green), DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ (blue) at room temperature.

As 6 crystallizes readily from ethyl acetate, crystals suitable for a single crystal $X$ ray analysis could be obtained. The crystal structure of the black orthorhombic prisms (space group $\mathrm{P}_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ ) is consistent with the NMR data comprising $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ molecules. The molecular structure is depicted in Figure 4.


Figure 4. The molecular structure of compound 6 in the crystal. Displacement ellipsoids of C and O atoms drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level, H atoms as spheres of arbitrary size.

The bond lengths within the benzofurane-derived bicyclic system ( $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 8, \mathrm{O} 2$ ) cover a wide range of $133.2(3)-150.1(4) \mathrm{pm}$. C1-C2 (147.4(4) pm), C3-C4 (144.0(4) pm), C3-C8 (142.8(4) pm), C5-C6 (145.8(4) pm), C6-C7 (150.1(4) pm), C1-O2 (140.6(3) pm) and C4-O2 (138.2(3) pm) can be described as single bonds, while C2-C3 (136.0(3) pm), C4-C5 (133.2(3) pm) and C7-C8 (134.2(3) pm) have double bond character. The interconnection between the two ring systems, $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 10$, is a single bond at 147.0(4) pm. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ separations within the benzene ring ( $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15$ ) are in a typical narrow range of $137.3(4)-141.1(3) \mathrm{pm}$. The molecule is not strictly planar as the two ring systems are twisted around the C2-C10 vector about $41.0(1)^{\circ}$. In the crystal, the molecules are stacked together to a one-dimensional supramolecular array by $\pi$ interactions (Figure 5), with the closest intermolecular contacts being $\mathrm{C} 13 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2(342.2(4) \mathrm{pm})$ and $\mathrm{C} 8 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15(344.7(4) \mathrm{pm})$. The distances between the ring centroids are $356.81(3) \mathrm{pm}((\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4, \mathrm{O} 2) \cdots(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15))$ and $369.58(3) \mathrm{pm}((\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 8) \cdots(\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 15))$, respectively.


Figure 5. Intermolecular $\pi$ interactions in compound 6, resulting in a one-dimensional supramolecular structure extending along the crystallographic $a$ axis.

While the reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in dry DCM did not lead to the formation of 6 and with conc. sulfuric or phosphoric acid only low yields ( $<10 \%$ ) were observed, the reaction of 2 with $\mathrm{HBr}(48 \%)$ gave $42 \%$ of 6 and with conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(37 \%)$ an $56 \%$ yield was observed. Reaction of 2 with $37 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in the presence of 5 equivalents 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene finally led to a $96 \%$ yield of 6 . From these observations as well as from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-labeling experiments (vide supra), a tentative mechanism for this reaction was deduced (as depicted in Scheme 3). In the course of the reaction of 2 with TFA in dry DCM an intermediate 16a was observed. ESI-MS experiments showed the presence of a quasimolecular ions $m / z=211.1\left([\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{Na}]^{2+}\right)$ and $m / z=791.2\left([2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}]^{+}\right)$corresponding to $M=376$. Subsequently from 16a intermediate $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ is formed; the latter compound was detected in ESI-MS spectra showing $m / z=549.13\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$and $1074.73\left([2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right)$. Friedel-Crafts reactions are known to be reversible [42-48] and compound 6 is very insoluble in the reaction mixture thus explaining the very high yield of $\mathbf{6}$ in these reactions. Previously, a retro Friedel-Crafts alkylation was used to access a fungal pigment from Peniophora sanguinea Bres [13].


Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism for the formation of 6 (TMP $=2,4,5$-trimethoxyphenyl; TMB = 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene).

To verify these assumptions, to a solution of $\mathbf{6}$ in dry 2 M HCl (in ethyl acetate) 3 was added in 10 -fold excess and within several hours of stirring at room temperature, the reaction mixture turned from black to slightly red. ESI-MS investigations of this reaction mixture showed the formation of $\mathbf{1 5}$ $\left(m / z=513,[M+H]^{+}\right)$.

Treatment of $\mathbf{6}$ with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{HCl}$ led to the formation of $\mathbf{1 7}$. To have a first insight into the scope of this reaction (Scheme 4), 2 was allowed to react with anisole or BOC-aniline in the presence of hydrochloric acid and products 18 and 19 were obtained, respectively. Benzoylation of 19 gave 20. Interestingly enough, reaction of 2 with benzene in the presence of hydrochloric acid furnished a $18 \%$ yield of 21 .



Scheme 4. Reactions and conditions: (a) anisole, $\mathrm{HCl}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$; (b) Boc-aniline, $\mathrm{HCl}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, $43 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, r.t. 6 h, $69 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{HCl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 43 \%$; (e) benzene, $\mathrm{HCl}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 18 \%$.

## 3. Materials and Methods

NMR spectra were recorded using the Varian spectrometers (Varian GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) Gemini 2000 or Unity 500 ( $\delta$ given in ppm, J in Hz; typical experiments: APT, H-H-COSY, HMBC, HSQC, NOESY), MS spectra were taken on a Finnigan MAT LCQ 7000 (electrospray, voltage 4.1 kV , sheath gas nitrogen) instrument. TLC was performed on silica gel (Merck 5554, detection with cerium molybdate reagent); melting points are uncorrected (Leica hot stage microscope, Leica GmbH , Wetzlar, Germany) and elemental analyses were performed on a Foss-Heraeus Vario EL (CHNS, Elementar analysensysteme GmbH , Langenselbold, Germany) unit. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer (Perkin Elmer Deutschland, Berlin, Germany) FT-IR spectrometer Spectrum 1000 or on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum Two (UATR Two Unit). The solvents were dried according to usual procedures. Crystallographic Data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center with the depository number CCDC 1569146. The data are available free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/csd upon request.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. 2,4,5-Trimethoxyphenylglyoxylic Acid (2)

From 1. A suspension of 2,4,5-trimethoxybenzene ( $1,840 \mathrm{mg}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and selenium dioxide ( 800 mg , $7.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 4 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was poured into $\mathrm{NaOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.05 \mathrm{~m})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was acidified with 2 m hydrochloric acid and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was evaporated and the residue re-crystallized from EtOAc to yield 2 ( $550 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ) as a yellow solid.

From 4. To a solution of $4(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, powdered $\mathrm{KOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 26.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h . Water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 70 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed to afford $2(886 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%)$ as a yellow solid; an analytical sample showed m.p. $182-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.:[49] $186{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}=0.35\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} ; 2: 1\right)$; IR ( KBr ): $v=3432 \mathrm{br}, 1736 \mathrm{~s}$, 1610s, 1517s, 1480m, 1423w, 1384w, 1288s, 1288s, 1230s, 1190m, 1142s, $1018 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-Vis (MeOH): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=237(4.22), 279(4.09), 341(4.01) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta=7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H})$, $6.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta=186.0(\mathrm{C}-2), 167.6(\mathrm{C}-1), 157.2(\mathrm{C}-6), 156.4(\mathrm{C}-4), 143.7(\mathrm{C}-7), 113.1(\mathrm{C}-3), 110.2(\mathrm{C}-8)$, 98.1 (C-5), 57.1 (C-10), $56.2(\mathrm{C}-9), 55.8(\mathrm{C}-11) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}, \mathrm{MeOH}): m / z(\%)=238.9\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 100\right)$,
$478.8\left([2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 8\right), 501.0\left([2 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{-}, 3\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (240.21): C 55.00, H 5.04; found: C 54.79, H 5.18.

### 4.2. 2,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl-glyoxylic Acid Ethyl-ester (4)

To a solution of 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene ( $3,5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 200 mL ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(3.6 \mathrm{~mL}$, 32.8 mmol ) was added followed by slowly adding ethyl chlorooxoacetate ( $3.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 31.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture was stirred for 2 h . Aqueous work-up $(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl})$ followed by extraction with chloroform $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes $\left./ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1\right)$ gave $4(7.48 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as pale yellow crystalline solid; m.p. $89-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.:[50] $89.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{F}=0.78\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes $\left./ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (ATR): $v=3004 \mathrm{w}, 2991 \mathrm{w}, 2943 \mathrm{w}, 2908 \mathrm{w}, 2840 \mathrm{w}, 1732 \mathrm{~m}, 1633 \mathrm{~m}, 1599 \mathrm{~s}, 1581 \mathrm{~m}, 1513 \mathrm{~s}, 1482 \mathrm{~m}, 1461 \mathrm{~m}, 1450 \mathrm{~m}$, $1440 \mathrm{~m}, 1418 \mathrm{~m}, 1390 \mathrm{w}, 1371 \mathrm{~m}, 1298 \mathrm{~s}, 1268 \mathrm{~s}, 1259 \mathrm{~s}, 1228 \mathrm{~s}, 1218 \mathrm{~s}, 1191 \mathrm{~s}, 1179 \mathrm{~s}, 1141 \mathrm{vs}, 1112 \mathrm{~m}, 1038 \mathrm{~m}$, $1021 \mathrm{~s}, 1007 \mathrm{~s}, 954 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 862 \mathrm{~m}, 816 \mathrm{~m}, 805 \mathrm{~m}, 770 \mathrm{~m}, 765 \mathrm{~m}, 743 \mathrm{~m}, 710 \mathrm{~m}, 655 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-Vis (methanol): $\lambda_{\max }$ (loge) 237 (3.52), 281 (3.40), $346(3.34) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=7.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.36\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Et}_{\mathrm{CH} 2}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(6^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $1.38\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Et}_{\mathrm{CH} 3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=184.8(\mathrm{C}-2), 166.1(\mathrm{C}-1), 157.3$ (C-4'), $156.5\left(\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right), 144.2\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 111.0\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 96.5\left(\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 61.6\left(\mathrm{C}^{-E t} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.9\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $56.3\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}\right)\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right)\right), 14.1\left(\mathrm{CEt}_{\mathrm{CH} 3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}, \mathrm{MeOH}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=269.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, 100); analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (268.26): C 58.20, H 6.01; found: C 57.95, H 6.22.

### 4.3. 2-Hydroxy-4,5-dimethoxyphenyl-glyoxylic Acid (5)

A solution of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(166 \mathrm{mg}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated for 3 h under microwave irradiation at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Usual aqueous work-up (aq. $\mathrm{HCl}, 80 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.125 \mathrm{~m}$ ) followed by extraction (ethyl acetate, $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and re-crystallization from chloroform gave $5(17 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ ) as yellow solid; m.p. $163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{lit}:[22] 142-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.25\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 2: 1\right) ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ : $v=3150 \mathrm{br}, 1713 \mathrm{~s}, 1573 \mathrm{~s}, 1526 \mathrm{~s}, 1482 \mathrm{~m}, 1400 \mathrm{~m}, 1352 \mathrm{w}, 1308 \mathrm{~m}, 1267 \mathrm{~s}, 1240 \mathrm{~m}, 1205 \mathrm{~s}, 1181 \mathrm{~m}, 1165 \mathrm{~s}$, $1035 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-Vis (MeOH): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\log \varepsilon=238(4.07), 283(3.99), 344(3.93) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta=7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (101 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta=185.6(\mathrm{C}-2), 167.8(\mathrm{C}-1), 157.1(\mathrm{C}-6), 155.4(\mathrm{C}-4), 142.8(\mathrm{C}-7), 112.3(\mathrm{C}-3), 111.2$ (C-8), 100.7 (C-5), 56.6 (C-10), $56.0(\mathrm{C}-9)$ ppm; MS (ESI, MeOH): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)=224.9$ ( $\mathrm{CM}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 100$ ), 472.9 ( $[2 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{-}, 16$ ); analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (226.18): C 53.10, H 4.46; found: C 52.96, H 4.60 .

### 4.4. 5-Methoxy-3-(2,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)benzofuran-2,6-dione (6)

Method A. Compound $2(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in conc. hydrochloric acid ( 25 mL ) and the mixture was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , diluted with water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed and the remaining residue was subjected to column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1\right)$ to afford $6(199 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a dark red solid.

Method B. Compound $2(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in conc. hydrochloric acid $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , diluted with water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed and the remaining residue was subjected to column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1$ ) to afford $6(274 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ as a dark red solid.
Method C. Compound $4(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in hydrochloric acid $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h ; workup as described above gave $6(636 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%)$ as dark red solid; m.p. 238-239 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{F}=0.68\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1\right)$; IR (ATR): $v=2958 \mathrm{w}, 2941 \mathrm{w}, 2841 \mathrm{w}, 1772 \mathrm{~s}, 1735 \mathrm{w}, 1643 \mathrm{~s}, 1610 \mathrm{~m}$, $1588 \mathrm{vs}, 1559 \mathrm{~s}, 1527 \mathrm{~m}, 1517 \mathrm{~m}, 1506 \mathrm{~m}, 1461 \mathrm{~s}, 1454 \mathrm{~s}, 1437 \mathrm{~m}, 1416 \mathrm{~m}, 1388 \mathrm{~m}, 1350 \mathrm{~s}, 1316 \mathrm{w}, 1274 \mathrm{~s}, 1234 \mathrm{vs}$, $1223 \mathrm{~s}, 1209 \mathrm{vs}, 1192 \mathrm{~s}, 1180 \mathrm{vs}, 1167 \mathrm{vs}, 1134 \mathrm{~s}, 1052 \mathrm{w}, 1040 \mathrm{~m}, 1023 \mathrm{~s}, 1001 \mathrm{~s}, 985 \mathrm{~s}, 934 \mathrm{~s}, 864 \mathrm{~s}, 854 \mathrm{~s}, 844 \mathrm{~s}$, $822 \mathrm{~m}, 814 \mathrm{~m}, 778 \mathrm{~m}, 774 \mathrm{~m}, 766 \mathrm{~m} 701 \mathrm{~m}, 696 \mathrm{~m}, 669 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, UV-Vis (MeOH): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon=311$ (3.31), 357 (3.08), 498 (3.00) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.36$
( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}$ ), $6.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 3.97\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.82\right.$ (s, 3H, OMe(5)) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=181.3$ (C-6), 167.4 (C-2), 160.5 (C-7a), 153.82 (C-2'), 152.78 (C-4'), 152.74 (C-5), 143.74 (C-5'), 138.80 (C-3a), 125.59 (C-3), 114.3 (C-6'), 109.3 (C-1'), 104.2 (C-7), $100.7(\mathrm{C}-4), 97.6\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 56.8+56.6\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 56.3(\mathrm{OMe}(5))$ ppm; MS (ESI, MeOH): m/z (\%) = $345.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{7}(344.32)$ : C 62.79 , H 4.68; found: C 62.55, H 4.83 .

### 4.5. 1-(6-Hydroxybenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)ethan-1-one (9)

To a suspension of sesamol ( $8,5.52 \mathrm{~g}, 40.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic anhydride ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.21 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 81.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . An aqueous solution of sodium acetate sol. (satd., 40 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with diethylether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ sol. $(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed and recrystallization from ethanol gave $9(4.74 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%)$ as an off-white solid; m.p. $111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit.:[51] $\left.114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.81\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1\right) ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): v=3446 \mathrm{br}, 2919 \mathrm{~m}, 1633 \mathrm{~s}, 1485 \mathrm{~s}, 1425 \mathrm{~s}, 1366 \mathrm{~m}$, $1322 \mathrm{~s}, 1257 \mathrm{~s}, 1182 \mathrm{~s}, 1118 \mathrm{~m}, 1037 \mathrm{~s}, 958 \mathrm{~m}, 924 \mathrm{~s}, 856 \mathrm{~m}, 844 \mathrm{~m}, 787 \mathrm{~m}, 773 \mathrm{~m}, 540 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-Vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=241(4.16), 278(3.86), 349(3.92) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=202.0(\mathrm{C}-8)$, 162.2 (C-2), 154.5 (C-4), 140.6 (C-5), 112.4 (C-1), 107.3 (C-6), 102.0 (C-7), 98.8 (C-3), 26.6 (C-9) ppm; MS (ESI, MeOH$): m / z(\%)=181.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (344.32): C 62.79, H 4.68; found: C 62.57, H 4.90 .

### 4.6. 2-(6-Hydroxybenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-oxoacetic Acid (10)

Compound 9 ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 13.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and selenium dioxide ( $3.08 \mathrm{~g}, 27.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in dry pyridine ( 45 mL ) and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Aqueous work-up ( $400 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ), followed by extraction with EtOAc $(8 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and evaporation of the solvent gave 10 as a brownish solid $(1.96 \mathrm{~g}$, $67 \%$ ). An analytical sample was obtained by re-dissolving 10 in EtOAc ( 100 mL ), washing with NaOH ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ ), separation of the phases, acidification of the aqueous phase with $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by extraction with EtOAc $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed at room temperature to yield analytically pure 10 ( $1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ) as an orange solid; m.p. $138-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.22\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 4: 1\right)$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ : $v=3422 \mathrm{br}, 3284 \mathrm{~m}, 2925 \mathrm{w}, 1765 \mathrm{~m}, 1734 \mathrm{w}, 1616 \mathrm{~m}, 1593 \mathrm{~m}, 1481 \mathrm{~m}, 1416 \mathrm{~m}, 1376 \mathrm{w}, 1289 \mathrm{~m}, 1237 \mathrm{~s}, 1176 \mathrm{~m}$, $1102 \mathrm{w}, 1038 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-vis $(\mathrm{MeOH}): \lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=246(4.04), 286(3.80), 358$ (8.87) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta=7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta=187.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 167,4(\mathrm{C}-1), 159.8(\mathrm{C}-4), 155.3(\mathrm{C}-6), 141.7(\mathrm{C}-7), 111.7(\mathrm{C}-3), 106.6(\mathrm{C}-8)$, 102.8 (C-9), 98.3 (C-5) ppm; MS (ESI, MeOH): m/z (\%) = $209.0\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 100\right), 441.0\left([2 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Na}]^{-}, 3\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (210.14): C 51.44, H 2.88; found: C 51.32, H3.03.

## 4.7. [1,3]Dioxolo[4,5-f]benzofuran-6,7-dione (11)

A solution of $10(4.25 \mathrm{~g}, 22.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in dry DCM $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oxalyl chloride ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry DMF $(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 14 h . The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, the residue is re-dissolved in dry THF ( 50 mL ) and evaporated to dryness. Column chromatography ( $\left.\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}\right)$ gave $11(1.55 \mathrm{~g}$, $36 \%$ ) as slightly orange solid; m.p. 203-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.69\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}\right) ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}): \mathrm{v}=3446 \mathrm{br}, 1818 \mathrm{w}$, $1765 \mathrm{~m}, 1716 \mathrm{~m}, 1616 \mathrm{~s}, 1480 \mathrm{~s}, 1415 \mathrm{~m}, 1299 \mathrm{~s}, 1233 \mathrm{~s}, 1176 \mathrm{~m}, 1095 \mathrm{~m}, 1037 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$; UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): \lambda_{\max }$ $\left(\log \varepsilon=260(3.82), 310(3.56), 414(3.33) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta=7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 7.14\right.$ (s, 1H, 7-H), 6.23 (s, 2H, 9-H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.$ ): $\delta=174.9$ (C-2), 161.8 (C-8), 157.3 (C-1), 156.9 (C-6), 145.2 (C-5), 112.5 (C-3), 103.4 (C-9), 102.7 (C-4), 95.6 (C-7) ppm; MS (ESI, MeOH): m/z $(\%)=225.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{MeOH}]^{+}, 68\right), 247.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{MeOH}]^{+}, 100\right), 356.0\left([3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeOH})+\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{H}]^{2+}\right.$,
18), $467.7\left([4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeOH})+\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 30\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (192.13): C 56.26, H 2.10; found: C 55.97, H 2.27 .

### 4.8. 1-(2,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one-1- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (12)

To a solution of 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene ( $3,2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{TiCl} 4$ $(1.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by adding dropwise ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-acetylchloride ( $0.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h , poured into 2 M hydrochloric acid and extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Evaporation followed by column chromatography gave 12 ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a pale yellow crystalline solid.; m.p. $189-192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.25\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes/ethyl acetate, $\left.1: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta=7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 2.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=197.2\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 155.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-4), 153.9(\mathrm{C}-2), 143.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-5), 119.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=54.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1), 112.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, C-6), $96.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-3), 56.3(\mathrm{OMe}), 56.1(\mathrm{OMe}), 56.1(\mathrm{OMe}), 32.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=42.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z(\%)=212.37\left([M+H]^{+}, 100\right)$.

### 4.9. 2-Oxo-2-(2,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acetic-2- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Acid (13)

A suspension of $\mathbf{1 2}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ and selenium dioxide $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 4 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Work-up as described above followed by re-crystallization from EtOAc gave $13(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%)$ as yellow solid; m.p. $163-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.35\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 2: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right): \delta=7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe})$, $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): \delta=186.5\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 168.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=74.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 157.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-2), 156.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-4), 144.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-5), 113.6$ (d, $J=59.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1), 110.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6), 98.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-3), 57.6(\mathrm{OMe}), 56.7(\mathrm{OMe}), 56.3(\mathrm{OMe})$ ppm; MS (ESI, MeOH): $m / z(\%)=239.8\left([M-H]^{-}, 100\right)$.

### 4.10. 6-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3,3-bis(2,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)benzofuran-2(3H)-one-3- ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (15)

A suspension of $13(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ in conc. hydrochloric acid $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was neutralized with an aqueous sat. soln. of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was removed under diminished pressure and the remaining residue was subjected to column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1$ ) to afford 15 as a slightly yellow solid ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$ ); m.p. $103-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.66$ $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, toluene- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}\right): \delta=7.17-6.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 6.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} 6.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.49-3.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}\right.$, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}(5)) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, toluene- $\left.d_{8}\right)$ : $\delta=175.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=52.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-2), 148.13\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 146.40\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 142.8(\mathrm{C}-5), 142.8\left(\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 137.10(\mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{a}), 124.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=57.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}\right), 122.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=45.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{~b}), 108.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-4), 99.8\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 97.80(\mathrm{C}-7), 57.41(\mathrm{C}-3)$, $56.58\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 55.65\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 55.55\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 55.34(\mathrm{OMe}(5)) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}, \mathrm{MeOH}): m / z(\%)=512.1$ $\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 100\right), 536.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 100\right), 782.0\left([3 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}+\mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 33\right), 791.1\left([3 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{H}]^{2+}, 26\right)$.

### 4.11. 6-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-(2,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)benzofuran-2(3H)-one (17)

Compound 6 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in hydrochloric acid ( 25 mL ) and zinc ( 250 mg , 3.82 mmol ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 4 h at room temperature, diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Purification by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes $\left./ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1\right)$ gave $17(112 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%)$ as slightly yellow solid; m.p. $143-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.32\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes $\left./ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=6.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 6.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.56-6.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}+3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.78$ (s, 1H, OH), $4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H} 3), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}(5)), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=176.57(\mathrm{C}-2), 151.72\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 149.96\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 148.03$
(C-7a), 146.24 (C-6), 143.61 (C-5), 143.36 (C-5'), 118.20 (C-3a), 116.33(C-1'), 114.11 (C-6'), 106.85 (C-4), 98.63 (C-3'), 98.44 (C-7), $56.83\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 56.79\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 56.62(\mathrm{OMe}(5)), 56.18\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 46.19(\mathrm{C}-3)$ ppm; MS (ESI, MeOH): m/z (\%) = $345.6\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 100\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (346.33): C 62.44, H 5.24; found: C 62.26, H 4.98 .

### 4.12. 5-Methoxy-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)benzofuran-2,6-dione (18)

Compound 2 ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anisole ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were suspended in hydrochloric $\operatorname{acid}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, the solvent was evaporated and the residue re-crystallized from EtOAc to yield $\mathbf{1 8}(211 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%)$ as a red crystalline solid; m.p. $194-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.54\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=7.52-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}+4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.11\left(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.05\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 6.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}(5)) \mathrm{ppm}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(126 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl} 3): \delta=181.29$ (C6), 160.53 (C2), 157.38 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 154.42 (C5), 140.69 (C7a), 132.40 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 131.67 ( $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ), 126.18 ( C 3 a ), 121.29 (C5'), 117.65 (C1'), 111.88 (C3'), 104.49 (C7), $99.83(\mathrm{C} 4), 56.41\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 55.77$ ( $\left.\left.\mathrm{OMe} 5^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}): m / z(\%)=285.4\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 100\right), 307.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 38\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{5}(284.26)$ : C 67.60, H 4.26; found: C 67.41, H 4.39.

### 4.13. 3-(4-Aminophenyl)-5-methoxybenzofuran-2,6-dione (19)

To a suspension of $2(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hydrochloric acid ( 25 mL ) Boc-aniline ( 781 mg , 4 mmol ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Usual aqueous workup followed by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5\right)$ and recrystallization from EtOAc gave $19(243 \mathrm{mg}$, $43 \%$ ) as black crystalline solid; m.p. $243-246{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.14\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (ATR): $v=3456 \mathrm{w}, 3331 \mathrm{~m}$, $3219 \mathrm{w}, 1780 \mathrm{~m}, 1662 \mathrm{~m}, 1643 \mathrm{~m}, 1628 \mathrm{~m}, 1600 \mathrm{~s}, 1575 \mathrm{~s}, 1551 \mathrm{~s}, 1515 \mathrm{~s}, 1506 \mathrm{~m}, 1470 \mathrm{w}, 1445 \mathrm{~m}, 1439 \mathrm{~m}, 1415 \mathrm{~s}$, $1355 \mathrm{~m}, 1331 \mathrm{~m}, 1227 \mathrm{~s}, 1181 \mathrm{~s}, 1157 \mathrm{~s}, 995 \mathrm{~m}, 945 \mathrm{~m}, 850 \mathrm{~m}, 840 \mathrm{~s}, 832 \mathrm{~s}, 821 \mathrm{~m}, 789 \mathrm{~m}, 773 \mathrm{~m}, 687 \mathrm{~m}, 679 \mathrm{~m}$, $602 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-Vis (MeOH): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon) 251$ (3.67), 317 (3.80), 507 (3.92) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta=7.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 6.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, NH), $6.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ): $\delta=179.71(\mathrm{C}-6), 167.28$ (C-2), 159.86 (C-7a), 153.98 (C-5), 152.18(C-3), 131.58 (C-2' ), 130.82 (C-3a), 126.93 (C-1'), 116.23 (C-4'), $114.06\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 103.10(\mathrm{C}-7), 99.84(\mathrm{C}-4), 56.03(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}, \mathrm{MeOH}): m / z(\%)=270.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, 100), $292.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 14\right), 302.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{MeOH}]^{+}, 20\right), 423.5\left([3 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Ca}]^{2+}, 18\right), 560.8\left([2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right.$, 90); analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (269.25): C 66.91, H 4.12, N 5.20; found: C 66.72, H 4.34, N 5.02 .

### 4.14. N-(4-(5-Methoxy-2,6-dioxo-2,6-dihydrobenzofuran-3-yl)phenyl)benzamide (20)

To a solution of $19(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and triethylamine ( $0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ benzoylchloride $(0.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was stirred for 6 h . Usual aqueous workup followed by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1\right)$ gave $20(191 \mathrm{mg}$, $69 \%$ ) as a red solid; m.p. $259-264{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{F}=0.11\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (ATR): $v=3377 \mathrm{w}, 3021 \mathrm{w}, 2973 \mathrm{w}$, $2934 \mathrm{w}, 1811 \mathrm{w}, 1782 \mathrm{~m}, 1749 \mathrm{w}, 1669 \mathrm{~m}, 1629 \mathrm{~s}, 1587 \mathrm{~s}, 1572 \mathrm{~s}, 1512 \mathrm{~s}, 1489 \mathrm{~s}, 1452 \mathrm{~m}, 1421 \mathrm{~m}, 1408 \mathrm{~s}, 1351 \mathrm{~m}$, $1321 \mathrm{~s}, 1303 \mathrm{~m}, 1250 \mathrm{~s}, 1232 \mathrm{~s}, 1181 \mathrm{~s}, 1158 \mathrm{~s}, 1124 \mathrm{~m}, 1105 \mathrm{~m}, 1079 \mathrm{w}, 1066 \mathrm{w}, 1020 \mathrm{w}, 991 \mathrm{~m}, 934 \mathrm{~m}, 904 \mathrm{~m}$, 854m, 847s, $837 \mathrm{~s}, 827 \mathrm{~s}, 799 \mathrm{~m}, 789 \mathrm{~m}, 720 \mathrm{~s}, 699 \mathrm{~m}, 690 \mathrm{~m}, 669 \mathrm{~m}, 625 \mathrm{~s}, 610 \mathrm{~s}, 546 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$; UV-Vis (methanol): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=229(3.89), 307(3.97), 452(3.92) \mathrm{nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, pyridine- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{5}\right): \delta=11.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 8.37-8.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.27-8.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 2^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 8.09-8.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.50-7.46$ (m, 1H, $\left.4^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.45-7.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 3.77$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( 126 MHz , pyridine- $\mathrm{d}_{5}$ ): $\delta=180.98(\mathrm{C}-6), 167.90(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 167.75(\mathrm{C}-2), 160.91(\mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{a}), 156.36$ (C-5), 143.12 (C-4'), 138.18 (C-3a), 136.42 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime \prime}\right), 132.47$ (C-4' $), 131.38$ ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ ), 129.19 ( $2 x \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime \prime}$ ), 128.86 ( $2 \times \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}$ ), 127.09 (C-3), 125.27 (C-1'), $121.63\left(2 \times \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}\right), 105.16$ (C-7), $99.25(\mathrm{C}-4), 56.70(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ MS (ESI, MeOH$): m / z(\%)=374.20\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 46\right), 396.07\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 18\right), 406.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeOH}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, 100), $428.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeOH}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 32\right), 766.7\left([2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 55\right), 800.7\left([2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{MeOH}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 70\right), 832.9$
$\left([2 \mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{MeOH}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 64\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (373.36): C 70.77, H 4.05, N 3.75 ; found: C 70.51, H 4.35, N 3.52.

### 4.15. 6-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-phenyl-3-(2,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)benzofuran-2(3H)-one (21)

To a solution of $2(240 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzene $(45 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ hydrochloric acid $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h . Usual aqueous work-up followed by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, hexanes/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1$ ) gave $21(74 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%)$ as a pale yellow crystalline solid; m.p. $112-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{R}_{F}=0.63\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (KBr): $v=3432 \mathrm{br}, 3081 \mathrm{w}, 3062 \mathrm{w}, 3008 \mathrm{w}, 2961 \mathrm{w}, 2941 \mathrm{w}, 2842 \mathrm{w}, 1763 \mathrm{w}$, $1638 \mathrm{~s}, 1586 \mathrm{~s}, 1527 \mathrm{~m}, 1516 \mathrm{~m}, 1461 \mathrm{~m}, 1427 \mathrm{w}, 1382 \mathrm{w}, 1412 \mathrm{~m}, 1388 \mathrm{~m}, 1348 \mathrm{~s}, 1322 \mathrm{w}, 1233 \mathrm{~s}, 1190 \mathrm{~s}, 1140 \mathrm{~m}$, $1043 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 991 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 936 \mathrm{~m}, 852 \mathrm{~s}, 824 \mathrm{~m}, 781 \mathrm{~m}, 689 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-Vis (MeOH): $\lambda_{\max }(\log \varepsilon)=239$ (3.05), 284 (3.01), 331 (3.37) $\mathrm{nm}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+2^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right), 7.38-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}+4^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 6.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}(5)), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 3.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=178.27(\mathrm{C}-2), 151.58\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 149.83(\mathrm{C}-4), 147.79$ (C-7a), 146.46 (C-6), 143.63 (C-5), $143.13\left(\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 138.09\left(\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime \prime}\right), 129.27\left(2 \times \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}\right), 128.53\left(2 \times \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime \prime}\right), 128.32\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime \prime}\right), 123.36$ (C-1'), 120.65 (C-3b), 114.05 (C-6'), 108.18 (C-4), 99.07 (C-3'), 98.57 (C-7), 57.96 (C-3), $56.9\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $56.9\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(5^{\prime}\right)\right), 56.8(\mathrm{OMe}(5)), 56.2\left(\mathrm{OMe}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; MS (ESI): $m / z(\%)=421.2\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}, 100\right)$; analysis calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (422.43): C 68.24, H 5.25; found: C 68.10, H 5.37.

## 5. Conclusions

The reaction 2 in the presence of hydrochloric acid led to the formation of the highly substituted $p$-QM 6. The putative mechanism of this reaction was deduced from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ labeling experiments as well by the ESI-MS identification of several intermediates. The mechanism for the formation of 6 includes the equilibrium between a Friedel-Crafts alkylation and a retro Friedel-Crafts alkylation.
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