# Effects of supplemental zinc source and level on antioxidant ability and fat metabolism-related enzymes of broilers<sup>1</sup>

Z. H. Liu,<sup>\*,†</sup> L. Lu,<sup>\*</sup> R. L. Wang,<sup>‡</sup> H. L. Lei,<sup>#</sup> S. F. Li,<sup>§</sup> L. Y. Zhang,<sup>\*</sup> and X. G. Luo<sup>\*,2</sup>

\*Mineral Nutrition Research Division, Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing 100193, P. R. China; <sup>†</sup>Institute for Agro-food Standards and Testing Technology, Shanghai Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shanghai 201403, P. R. China; <sup>‡</sup>Department of Animal Science, Guangdong Ocean University, Zhanjiang 524088, P. R. China; <sup>#</sup>Institute of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Sciences, Shanghai Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shanghai 201106, P. R. China; and <sup>§</sup>Hebei Vocation-technical Teachers College, Changli 066600, P. R. China

**ABSTRACT** The objective of the present study was to investigate the effects of dietary supplemental Zinc (Zn) source and level on antioxidant ability and fat metabolism-related enzymes of broilers. Dietary treatments included the Zn-unsupplemented corn-sovbean meal basal diet (control) and basal diets supplemented with 60, 120, or 180 mg Zn/kg as Zn sulfate, Zn amino acid chelate with a weak chelation strength of 6.5 quotient of formation  $(Q_f)$  (11.93% Zn) (Zn-AA W), Zn proteinate with a moderate chelation strength of 30.7  $Q_f$  (13.27% Zn) (Zn-Pro M), or Zn proteinate with an extremely strong chelation strength of 944.0  $Q_f$  (18.61%) Zn) (Zn-Pro S). The results showed that dietary supplemental Zn increased (P < 0.01) Zn contents in the liver, breast, and thigh muscles of broilers, and upregulated mRNA expressions of copper and Zn containing superoxide dismutase (CuZnSOD) and metallothioneins (MT) in the liver (P < 0.01) and thigh muscle (P < 0.05), and also enhanced (P < 0.05) CuZnSOD activities in the breast and thigh muscles, which exerted antioxidant ability and a decreased malondialdehyde (MDA) level in the liver (P < 0.01) and breast and thigh muscles (P < 0.05) of broilers. Furthermore, supplemental Zn increased activities of malate dehydrogenase (MDH) and lipoprotein lipase (LPL) in the abdominal fat (P < 0.05), and fatty acid synthetase (FAS) and LPL in the liver (P < 0.01), which were accompanied with up-regulation (P < 0.01) of the mRNA expressions levels of these enzymes in the abdominal fat and liver of broilers. Dietary Zn source, and an interaction between Zn source and level, had no effects on any measurements. It is concluded that dietary Zn supplementation improved Zn status and resulted in promoting antioxidant ability and activities and gene expressions of fat metabolism-related enzymes of broilers regardless of Zn source and level, and the addition of 60 mg Zn/kg to the corn-soybean meal basal diet (a total dietary Zn of approximately 90 mg/kg) was appropriate for improving the above aspects of broilers.

Key words: Zinc, antioxidant ability, fat metabolism-related enzymes, broilers

2015 Poultry Science 94:2686–2694 http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev251

#### INTRODUCTION

Zinc (Zn) is an essential trace element, which plays important roles in various biological activities of animals. Dietary Zn status exerts a powerful influence on the degree of oxidative damage caused by free radicals (Tupe et al., 2010). Exposure of an organism to Zn on a long-term basis, results in an induction of some other substances, such as the metallothioneins (**MT**). Chronic Zn deprivation generally increases sensitivity to some oxidative stresses (Powell, 2000). Supplemental Zn is shown to have increased liver MT content and expressions of copper and Zn containing superoxide dismutase (**CuZnSOD**) activity in piglets (Wang et al., 2012).

Studies in steers showed that supplemental Zn improved carcass traits, including carcass quality grade,

Received January 27, 2015.

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author: wlysz@263.net

<sup>©</sup> The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Poultry Science Association. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

Accepted July 13, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported by the Key International Cooperation Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (project no. 31110103916; Beijing, P. R. China), the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (ASTIP-IAS08; Beijing, P. R. China), China Agriculture Research System (project no. CARS-42; Beijing, P. R. China), and the Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (project no. 30871798; Beijing, P. R. China).

yield grade, marbling, and backfat (Greene et al., 1988; Malcolm et al., 2000; Spears et al., 2002). Dietary Zn supplementation was reported to increase the intramuscular fat (**IMF**) content in the breast muscle of broilers in our previous study (Liu et al., 2011). Nevertheless, it is not yet known whether dietary Zn supplementation could affect the antioxidant system as well as fat metabolism-related enzymes of broilers.

Organic Zn was reported to be superior to inorganic Zn in improving the carcass traits of steers (Greene et al., 1988; Malcolm et al., 2000), but other investigations did not support this (Spears et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2011). The difference in the results might be attributed to dietary Zn sources and levels. Our previous results indicated that the absorption and utilization of the organic Zn in broilers were closely related to the chelation strengths [defined as the quotient of formation  $(\mathbf{Q}_{f})$ , which is a quantitative measurement of chelation or complex strength between metal and ligand (Holwerda et al., 1995)] of the organic Zn sources (Huang et al., 2009, 2013; Yu et al., 2010). Zn from the Zn proteinate with a moderate chelation strength of  $30.7 \text{ Q}_{\text{f}}$  (13.27%) Zn) (**Zn-Pro M**) was the most available to broilers, followed by Zn amino acid chelate with a weak chelation strength of 6.5 quotient of formation  $(Q_f)$  (11.93% Zn) (Zn-AA W) and Zn sulfate  $(ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O)$ , while Zn proteinate with an extremely strong chelation strength of 944.0  $Q_f$  (18.61% Zn) (**Zn-Pro S**) was the least available (Huang et al., 2009, 2013). However, it remains unknown whether these 4 Zn sources could differ in affecting the antioxidant system and fat metabolism-related enzymes of broilers. Therefore, the present study focused on the effects of dietary supplemental Zn source and level on the antioxidant ability and fat metabolismrelated enzymes of broilers.

#### Materials and methods

#### Birds, Diets and Treatments

In this study, a total of 468 commercial one-day-old Arbor Acres male broilers were randomly allotted to 1 of 13 treatments by body weight (6 replicate cages of 6 chicks per cage) in a completely randomized design involving a  $4 \times 3$  factorial arrangement of treatments (4 Zn sources  $\times$  3 supplemental Zn levels plus the control with no Zn supplementation). Broilers were raised in electrically heated, temperature-controlled cages with fiberglass feeders and water troughs and a 24 hour constant-light schedule. The experiment lasted for a total of 42 days. Body weight and feed intake were recorded at the end of every 3 weeks. Birds were allowed ad libitum access to experimental diets and tap water containing 106  $\mu$ g Ca/mL, 72.7  $\mu$ g Mg/mL, 0  $\mu$ g Cu/mL, 0  $\mu$ g Fe/mL, 0.0029  $\mu$ g Mn/mL, and 0.833  $\mu$ g Zn/mL. Birds were managed according to guidelines approved by Arbor Acres Farm in Beijing.

#### Table 1. Composition of the basal diets for broilers.

| Ingredient <sup>1</sup><br>(% unless noted) | Starter<br>(days 1~21) | Grower<br>(days 22~42) |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|
| Ground yellow corn                          | 53.00                  | 57.72                  |
| Corn starch                                 | 0.50                   | 0.50                   |
| Soybean meal                                | 39.80                  | 35.10                  |
| Soybean oil                                 | 2.70                   | 3.50                   |
| Calcium monohydrogen phosphate              | 1.94                   | 1.24                   |
| Ground limestone                            | 1.20                   | 1.34                   |
| Salt  | 0.30                   | 0.30                   |
| D, L-Methionine                             | 0.20                   | 0.07                   |
| Micronutrients <sup>2</sup>                 | 0.36                   | 0.23                   |
| Nutrient composition <sup>1</sup> , %       |                        |                        |
| $\mathrm{D}\mathrm{M}^3$                    | 89.80                  | 89.50                  |
| ME, MJ/kg                                   | 12.41                  | 12.83                  |
| $CP^3$                                      | 21.38                  | 20.79                  |
| Lysine                                      | 1.19                   | 1.08                   |
| Methionine                                  | 0.53                   | 0.38                   |
| Methionine $+$ cysteine                     | 0.89                   | 0.72                   |
| $Ca^3$                                      | 1.02                   | 0.92                   |
| Nonphytate P                                | 0.48                   | 0.35                   |
| $Mn^3$ , mg/kg                              | 126                    | 124                    |
| $Fe^3$ , mg/kg                              | 256                    | 242                    |
| $Cu^3$ , mg/kg                              | 17.10                  | 16.20                  |
| $Zn^3$ , mg/kg                              | 35.55                  | 32.79                  |

 $^1\mathrm{Ingredient}$  and nutrient composition are reported on an as-fed basis.

<sup>2</sup>For starter diets, provided per kg of diet: vitamin A (as all-trans retinol acetate), 15,000 IU; cholecalciferol, 4,500 IU; vitamin E (as all-rac-alpha-tocopherol acetate), 22.5 IU; vitamin K (as menadione sodium bisulfate), 3.0 mg; thiamin (as thiamin monon-itrate), 3.0 mg; riboflavin, 10.5 mg; vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 3.0 mg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 0.015 mg; calcium pantothenate, 15 mg; niacin, 39 mg; folic acid, 1.5 mg; biotin, 0.189 mg; choline (as choline chloride), 700 mg; Cu (CuSO<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>2</sub>O), 8 mg; Fe (FeSO<sub>4</sub>•H<sub>2</sub>O), 80 mg; Mn (Mn SO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O), 100 mg; I (KI), 0.35 mg; Se (Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), 0.15 mg.

For grower diets, provided per kilogram of diet: vitamin A (all-trans-retinol acetate), 10,000 IU; cholecalciferol, 3,000 IU; vitamin E (all-rac- $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate), 15 IU; vitamin K (menadione sodium bisulfate), 2.0 mg; thiamin (thiamin mononitrate), 2.0 mg; riboflavin, 7.0 mg; vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 2.0 mg; vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 0.010 mg; calcium pantothenate, 10 mg; niacin, 26 mg; folic acid, 1.0 mg; biotin, 0.126 mg; choline (choline chloride), 500 mg; Cu (CuSO<sub>4</sub>•5H<sub>2</sub>O), 8 mg; Fe (FeSO<sub>4</sub>•H<sub>2</sub>O), 80 mg; Mn (Mn SO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O), 100 mg; I (KI), 0.35 mg; Se (Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub>), 0.15 mg.

 $^{3}\mathrm{Determined}$  by analysis. Each value based on duplicate determinations.

The basal corn-soybean meal diets (Table 1) were formulated to meet or exceed the requirements for starter and grower broilers (NRC, 1994) except for Zn. Dietary treatments included the basal diets supplemented with 0, 60, 120, or 180 mg Zn/kg as  $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ , Zn-AA W (Zinpro Corp., Eden Prairie, MN), Zn-Pro M (Fenyahua Bioengineering Co., Changzhi, P. R. China), or Zn-Pro S (Alltech Inc., Nicholasville, KY). All organic Zn sources used in the current study are the same as those in the study of Liang et al. (2008), and their  $Q_f$  values and Zn concentrations were determined by Liang et al. (2008). These organic Zn sources were obtained from independent distributors rather than directly from the product manufacturers. Lysine or methionine levels in each treatment were balanced by supplementation of additional lysine or methionine from supplemental organic Zn sources, and added to each dietary treatment through corn starch. Each Zn source was mixed with corn starch to the same weight and then mixed with

|                  | Added Zn | Analyzed dietary Zn (mg/kg) |                     |  |  |
|------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Treatment        | (mg/kg)  | Days $1 \sim 21^1$          | Days $22{\sim}42^1$ |  |  |
| Control          | 0        | 35.6                        | 32.8                |  |  |
| $ZnSO_4.7H_2O^2$ | 60       | 99.3                        | 98.4                |  |  |
|                  | 120      | 160.2                       | 160.3               |  |  |
|                  | 180      | 212.4                       | 212.3               |  |  |
| $Zn-AA W^2$      | 60       | 91.1                        | 92.8                |  |  |
|                  | 120      | 154.2                       | 152.4               |  |  |
|                  | 180      | 209.1                       | 210.3               |  |  |
| $Zn$ - $Pro M^2$ | 60       | 92.8                        | 91.5                |  |  |
|                  | 120      | 150.3                       | 149.9               |  |  |
|                  | 180      | 211.1                       | 210.1               |  |  |
| $Zn$ - $Pro S^2$ | 60       | 91.1                        | 90.4                |  |  |
|                  | 120      | 150.3                       | 150.1               |  |  |
|                  | 180      | 210.9                       | 210.2               |  |  |

 $^1 \rm See$  Table 1 for the composition of the basal diets. Values based on chemical analysis of triplicate samples of diets, and reported on an as-fed basis.

 $^{2}$ ZnSO<sub>4</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O = Zn sulfate; Zn-AA W = Zn amino acid chelate with a weak chelation strength of 6.5 quotient of formation (Q<sub>f</sub>) (11.93% Zn, Zinpro Corp., Eden Prairie, MN); Zn-Pro M = Zn proteinate with a moderate chelation strength of 30.7 Q<sub>f</sub> (13.27% Zn, Fenyahua Bioengineering Co., Changzhi, P. R. China); Zn-Pro S = Zn proteinate with a nearly extremely strong chelation strength of 944.0 Q<sub>f</sub> (18.61% Zn, Alltech Inc., Nicholasville, KY). All organic Zn sources used in the present study and their Q<sub>f</sub> values and Zn concentrations were determined by Liang et al. (2008). These organic Zn sources were obtained from independent distributors rather than directly from the product manufacturers.

each aliquot of the basal diet. Added and analyzed Zn concentrations are presented in Table 2.

# Sample Collections and Analyses

On day 42 of the experiment, 2 broilers were selected from each cage according to the average BW within the cage following a 12 hour fasting, were weighed individually and slaughtered according to the welfare of animal slaughter regulations of China. All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Research Center at the Veterinarian Office of Beijing. Broilers were killed by cervical dislocation. About 2 g pectoralis major and thigh muscles and liver were removed and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for assays of MT and malondialdehyde (MDA) contents and the activities of copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (CuZnSOD), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), hormone sensitive lipase (**HSL**), lipoprotein lipase (**LPL**) and fatty acid synthetase (FAS) and the gene expressions MT, CuZnSOD, MDH, LPL and FAS. About 10 g pectoralis major and thigh muscles and liver were removed and frozen at -20°C for testing Zn contents.

**Zn Concentrations** Zn concentrations in diets, water, and tissues were determined by inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectroscopy (Model IRIS Intrepid II, Thermal Jarrell Ash, Waltham, MA) after wet digestion with HNO<sub>3</sub> and HClO<sub>4</sub> as described by Huang et al. (2009). Validation of the mineral analy-

sis was conducted using bovine liver powder [GBW (E) 080193, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Beijing, China] as a standard reference material.

**Enzyme Activities** Activities of CuZnSOD and LPL were analyzed using analysis kits (A001–2 and A067; Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China), according to the manufacturer's directions. The MDH activity was determined as described by Xu et al. (2003), and the activity of HSL in abdominal fat measured as described by Lu et al. (2006). The FAS activity in the liver was determined as described by Kim and Elson (1981).

**Malondialdehyde Contents** Malondialdehyde (MDA) contents in liver, breast or thigh muscles were determined with analysis kit (A003–1; Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China), according to the manufacturer's directions.

**MT Concentration** Metallothioneins concentration in liver was determined by <sup>109</sup>Cd-hemoglobin affinity assay (Eaton and Toal, 1982) with inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectroscopy (Model IRIS Intrepid II, Thermal Jarrell Ash, Waltham, MA). The concentration of MT was calculated using a <sup>109</sup>Cd-MT binding stoichiometry of 6:1.

**Real-Time PCR** The total RNA in muscle and liver were isolated using Trizol reagent (15596-026, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacture instructions. Concentrations of total RNA were measured with Nano Drop ND-1000 spectrofluorometer (Nano Drop Technologies, Wilmington, DE). Reverse transcription was performed using the Super Script First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and 1  $\mu$ g of total RNA was subjected to reverse transcription. Real-time PCR reactions were performed on an ABI7500 real-time PCR system using SYBR-Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The details of the primers are listed in Table 3. Every gene was amplified independently in triplicate within a single instrument run. The amplification efficiency of every gene was determined by the standard curve method with 5-fold serial dilutions of cDNA. Relative mRNA expressions of genes were calculated by the  $2^{-\triangle \triangle ct}$  method reported by Livak and Schmittgen (2001), and  $\beta$ -actin was chosen as reference to normalize the relative levels of gene expressions.

# Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses of data were performed using SAS software (Release 8.1, SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). Data were analyzed by 2-way ANOVA using the General Linear Models procedure. The model included main effects of Zn source, supplemental Zn level, and their interaction. Cage was the experimental unit. Differences among means were tested by the least significant difference method and P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

| Gene           | Genbank Accession | Primer $(5'-3')$  | Product (bp) | Tm $(^{\circ}C)^{1}$ |
|----------------|-------------------|---|--------------|----------------------|
| $\beta$ -actin | NM_205518.1       | F: GAGAAATTGTGCGTGACATCA  | 152          | 60                   |
| CuZnSOD        | NM_205064         | R: CCTGAACCT CTCATTGCCA<br>F: GGAGGAGTGGCAGAAGT                             | 159          | 55                   |
| MT             | NM_205275.1       | R: TAAACGAGGTCCAGCAT<br>F: GCAACAACTGTGCCAAGGGC                             | 138          | 61                   |
| FAS            | J03860            | R: TTTCGTGGTCCCTGTCACCC<br>F: AGCGTGCTATGCTTGCC<br>B: GTCCGTGACGAATTGCTTTAT | 129          | 60                   |

**Table 3.** Primer sequences of chicken  $\beta$ -actin, copper-zinc superoxide dismutase (CuZn-

<sup>1</sup>Annealing temperature.

XM 419350

NM\_205282

MDH

LPL

Table 4. Effects of dietary zinc source and level on growth performance of broilers.

F: AAAGACTGTGAAGGTGGTAG

R: ATCCAAGCGAGTCAAGC

F: TACAGTCTGGGTGCTCAT

R: GCATACTCAAAGGTGGG

114

104

56

56

| Zn source            | Added Zn level (mg/kg) | ADFI (g)             | ADG $(g)$            | F/G (g/g) |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Control <sup>1</sup> | 0                      | 98.6                 | 54.2                 | 1.72      |
| $ZnSO_4.7H_2O^1$     | 60                     | 102.0                | 57.2                 | 1.69      |
|                      | 120                    | 101.8                | 56.9                 | 1.69      |
|                      | 180                    | 101.6                | 57.1                 | 1.69      |
| $Zn-AA W^1$          | 60                     | 100.5                | 55.3                 | 1.73      |
|                      | 120                    | 102.5                | 57.3                 | 1.69      |
|                      | 180                    | 99.7                 | 55.4                 | 1.71      |
| $Zn$ - $Pro M^1$     | 60                     | 102.7                | 57.2                 | 1.70      |
|                      | 120                    | 103.8                | 57.2                 | 1.71      |
|                      | 180                    | 103.8                | 57.7                 | 1.70      |
| $Zn$ - $Pro S^1$     | 60                     | 102.5                | 55.8                 | 1.74      |
|                      | 120                    | 102.8                | 56.8                 | 1.72      |
|                      | 180                    | 105.8                | 58.6                 | 1.71      |
|                      | Pooled SE              | 2.35                 | 1.36                 | 0.016     |
| $Zn \ source^2$      | $ZnSO_4.7H_2O$         | 101                  | 56.4                 | 1.70      |
|                      | Zn-AA W                | 100                  | 55.6                 | 1.71      |
|                      | Zn-Pro M               | 102                  | 56.6                 | 1.71      |
|                      | Zn-Pro S               | 102                  | 56.3                 | 1.72      |
|                      | Pooled SE              | 1.17                 | 0.678                | 0.008     |
| Zn level             | $0^{1}$                | $98.6^{\mathrm{a}}$  | $54.2^{a}$           | 1.72      |
|                      | $60^{3}$               | $101.9^{b}$          | $56.4^{\mathrm{b}}$  | 1.71      |
|                      | $120^{3}$              | $102.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $57.0^{\mathrm{b}}$  | 1.70      |
|                      | $180^{3}$              | $102.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $57.2^{\mathrm{b}}$  | 1.70      |
|                      | Pooled SE              | 1.17                 | 0.678                | 0.008     |
|                      |                        |                      | P-value <sup>4</sup> |           |
|                      | Source                 | 0.538                | 0.732                | 0.230     |
|                      | Level                  | 0.043                | 0.008                | 0.384     |
| S                    | ource × level          | 0.979                | 0.946                | 0.854     |

<sup>a,b</sup>Means with different superscripts within the same column differ significantly (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>Each value represents the mean of 6 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 6).

 $^2\mathrm{Each}$  value represents the mean of 18 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 18).

 $^{3}$ Each value represents the mean of 24 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 24).

<sup>4</sup>Probability values for main effects of ANOVA.

# Results

# Growth Performance

The broilers were healthy and normal throughout the experiment with a mortality of < 2% that was unrelated to dietary treatment. The ADFI, ADG, and feed con-

version efficiency (F/G = feed intake/body weight gain)of birds were not affected by Zn source or an interaction between Zn source and supplemental Zn level, however, supplemental Zn level affected (P < 0.05) ADFI and ADG, but not F/G (Table 4). Compared to the control, the additions of 60, 120, or 180mg Zn/kg increased

| Zn content<br>(mg/kg)<br>25.3<br>28.7<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>29.8<br>31.0<br>29.8<br>31.0<br>29.6<br>29.0<br>29.0<br>29.6<br>29.0<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1<br>28.1  | CuZnSOD<br>(NU/mgprot)<br>205<br>211<br>205<br>211<br>227<br>218<br>215<br>215<br>215<br>214<br>218<br>214<br>212<br>214<br>212<br>213<br>214<br>212<br>225<br>225<br>225<br>225<br>225<br>225<br>225<br>225<br>226<br>227<br>227<br>227<br>227<br>227<br>227<br>227<br>227<br>227 | MDA<br>(nmol/mgprot)<br>1.402<br>1.1402<br>0.816<br>0.816<br>0.865<br>0.816<br>0.816<br>0.816<br>0.816<br>0.816<br>0.858<br>0.858<br>0.984<br>1.054<br>0.901 | MT<br>(mmol/mgprot)<br>0.321<br>0.415 | Zn content          | CuZnSOD             |                     |                       |                        |                      |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 0<br>60<br>120<br>180<br>60<br>120<br>180<br>60<br>120<br>120<br>120<br>120<br>120<br>120<br>120<br>120<br>120<br>2n-AA W<br>Zn-AA W<br>Zn-Pro M   | 205<br>211<br>218<br>218<br>220<br>225<br>225<br>225<br>223<br>225<br>223<br>224<br>212<br>223<br>224<br>222<br>224<br>222   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.402\\ 1.1402\\ 0.816\\ 0.865\\ 0.860\\ 0.860\\ 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 1.054\\ 0.901 \end{array}$                        | 0.321<br>0.415                        | (mg/kg)             | (NU/mgprot)         | MDA (nmol/mgprot)   | Zn content<br>(mg/kg) | CuZnSOD<br>(NU/mgprot) | MDA<br>(nmol/mgprot) |
|  | $\begin{array}{c} 212\\ 222\\ 223\\ 223\\ 223\\ 223\\ 223\\ 223\\$   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.140\\ 0.865\\ 0.865\\ 0.710\\ 0.866\\ 0.866\\ 0.866\\ 0.868\\ 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901 \end{array}$                         | 0.415                                 | 5 13                | 19.7                | 1 33                | 11.0                  | 15.0                   | 1 73                 |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 00\\ 120\\ 180\\ 60\\ 120\\ 180\\ 60\\ 120\\ 120\\ 180\\ 60\\ 120\\ 180\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 2n  A W\\ Zn  S0\\ Zn  Pro M\\ Zn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  Dn  O M\\ Zn  Dn  Dn  Dn  Dn  Dn  Dn  Dn $  | 211<br>211<br>215<br>215<br>215<br>215<br>214<br>213<br>214<br>214<br>214<br>214<br>224<br>224<br>224<br>224<br>224<br>224   | $\begin{array}{c} 1.140\\ 0.816\\ 0.816\\ 0.816\\ 0.816\\ 0.816\\ 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901\end{array}$  | C 17                                  | 01.0                |                     | 001                 | 0.11                  | 0.01<br>C 11           | 01.1                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 120\\ 120\\ 66\\ 120\\ 120\\ 180\\ 60\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 12$  | 227<br>218<br>215<br>215<br>225<br>214<br>212<br>214<br>212  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.816\\ 0.865\\ 0.710\\ 0.860\\ 0.860\\ 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901 \end{array}$   | 0 0                                   | 20.0                | 13.0                | 1.22                | 13.2                  | 10.3                   | 1.39                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 180 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 2nSO_4.7H_2O \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \end{array}$   | 218<br>220<br>215<br>225<br>225<br>223<br>218<br>218<br>213<br>224   | $\begin{array}{c} 0.865\\ 0.710\\ 0.860\\ 0.860\\ 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901\\ \end{array}$   | 0.457                                 | 5.64                | 14.4                | 1.27                | 15.6                  | 17.3                   | 1.67                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 60 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 60 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 120$   | 220<br>209<br>215<br>225<br>223<br>218<br>218<br>214<br>213<br>224   | $\begin{array}{c} 0.710\\ 0.860\\ 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901\end{array}$  | 0.328                                 | 5.58                | 14.7                | 1.33                | 14.1                  | 16.6                   | 1.60                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 120\\ 120\\ 180\\ 60\\ 120\\ 180\\ 60\\ 180\\ 60\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 120\\ 2nSO_4.7H_2O\\ 2nS$   | 209<br>215<br>225<br>223<br>218<br>218<br>214<br>212<br>224  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.860\\ 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901 \end{array}$   | 0.449                                 | 5.36                | 13.4                | 1.24                | 13.7                  | 17.0                   | 1.69                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 180 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 66 \\ 180 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 2nSO_4.7H_2O \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \end{array}$   | 215<br>225<br>218<br>218<br>212<br>212   | $\begin{array}{c} 0.816\\ 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901 \end{array}$   | 0.630                                 | 5.55                | 13.6                | 1.12                | 13.8                  | 16.2                   | 1.45                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 60 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 2nSO_4.7H_2O \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \end{array}$  | 225<br>223<br>218<br>212<br>224  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.858\\ 0.984\\ 1.054\\ 0.901 \end{array}$   | 0.628                                 | 5.46                | 12.9                | 1.19                | 13.0                  | 16.4                   | 1.25                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 180 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 2nSO_4.7H_2O \\ Zn-AA W \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \end{array}$   | 223<br>218<br>214<br>224   | $0.984 \\ 1.054 \\ 0.901$  | 0.466                                 | 5.41                | 13.3                | 1.18                | 13.9                  | 17.0                   | 1.62                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 180 \\ 60 \\ 60 \\ 120 \\ 180 \\ 180 \\ 2nSO_4.7H_2O \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \\ Zn-Pro M \end{array}$  | $218 \\ 214 \\ 212 \\ 224$   | $1.054 \\ 0.901$   | 0.466                                 | 5.44                | 14.0                | 1.09                | 13.7                  | 16.1                   | 1.22                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 60\\ 120\\ 180\\ \mathrm{oled SE}\\ \mathrm{ZnSO_4.7H_2O}\\ \mathrm{Zn-AAW}\\ \mathrm{Zn-ProM}\\ \mathrm{Zn-ProM}\\ \mathrm{Zn-ProM} \end{array}$  | $\begin{array}{c} 214\\ 212\\ 224\end{array}$  | 0.901  | 0.382                                 | 5.43                | 14.8                | 1.16                | 14.1                  | 16.3                   | 1.55                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 120\\ 180\\ \text{oled SE}\\ \text{ZnSO}_4.7\text{H}_2\text{O}\\ \text{Zn-AA}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{M}\\$ | $212 \\ 224$   |  | 0.639                                 | 5.30                | 14.8                | 1.16                | 14.1                  | 16.5                   | 1.43                 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 180\\ \text{oled SE}\\ \text{ZnSO}_4.7\text{H}_2\text{O}\\ \text{Zn-AA}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M}\\ \text{Zn-Pro}\\ \text{M} \end{array}$   | 224  | 0.813  | 0.472                                 | 5.50                | 13.9                | 1.08                | 13.2                  | 16.2                   | 1.42                 |
| oled SE<br>ZnSO <sub>4</sub> .7H <sub>2</sub> O<br>Zn-AA W<br>Zn-Pro M   |  | 1.036  | 0.647                                 | 5.48                | 14.5                | 1.06                | 13.9                  | 16.7                   | 1.34                 |
| ZnSO4.7H <sub>2</sub> O<br>Zn-AA W<br>Zn-Pro M   | 8.96   | 0.099  | 0.117                                 | 0.119               | 0.750               | 0.111               | 0.692                 | 0.869                  | 0.160                |
| Zn-AA W<br>Zn-Pro M  | 215  | 1.056  | 0.380                                 | 5.47                | 13.9                | 1.22                | 13.5                  | 16.0                   | 1.65                 |
| Zn-Pro M   | 212  | 0.947  | 0.507                                 | 5.38                | 13.1                | 1.22                | 12.9                  | 16.1                   | 1.53                 |
|  | 217  | 1.074  | 0.409                                 | 5.35                | 13.7                | 1.19                | 13.2                  | 16.1                   | 1.53                 |
| 211-LTO 2017-117   | 213  | 1.038  | 0.520                                 | 5.35                | 14.0                | 1.16                | 13.0                  | 16.1                   | 1.48                 |
| Pooled SE 0.666  | 4.49   | 0.050  | 0.059                                 | 0.060               | 0.375               | 0.056               | 0.346                 | 0.434                  | 0.080                |
| Zn level $0^1$ $25.3^a$  | 205  | $1.402^{a}$  | 0.321                                 | $5.13^{ m a}$       | $12.7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.33^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $11.0^{a}$            | $15.0^{\mathrm{a}}$    | $1.73^{a}$           |
| $60^3$ 27.3 <sup>b</sup>   | 217  | $0.902^{ m b}$   | 0.492                                 | $5.40^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $13.8^{ m b}$       | $1.20^{ m b}$       | $13.7^{ m b}$         | $16.4^{ m b}$          | $1.58^{ m b}$        |
| $120^3$ $28.6^b$   | 218  | $0.868^{ m b}$   | 0.506                                 | $5.53^{ m b}$       | $13.9^{ m b}$       | $1.14^{\rm b}$      | $14.1^{\mathrm{b}}$   | $16.5^{ m b}$          | $1.44^{ m b}$        |
|  | 219  | $0.943^{ m b}$   | 0.496                                 | $5.49^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $14.2^{\rm b}$      | $1.12^{ m b}$       | $13.8^{\mathrm{b}}$   | $16.5^{ m b}$          | $1.43^{ m b}$        |
| Pooled SE 0.666  | 4.48   | 0.050  | 0.059                                 | 0.060               | 0.375               | 0.056               | 0.434                 | 0.434                  | 0.080                |
| P-value <sup>4</sup>   |  |  |                                       |                     |                     |                     |                       |                        |                      |
| Source 0.914   | 0.869  | 0.285  | 0.244                                 | 0.479               | 0.426               | 0.999               | 0.624                 | 0.999                  | 0.502                |
|  | 0.075  | 0.001  | 0.078                                 | 0.001               | 0.039               | 0.042               | 0.001                 | 0.042                  | 0.033                |
| Source $\times$ level 0.120  | 0.906  | 0.230  | 108.0                                 | 0.996               | 0.894               | 0.905               | 0.5604                | 0.905                  | 0.711                |

<sup>2</sup>Each value represents the mean of 18 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 18). <sup>3</sup>Each value represents the mean of 24 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 24). <sup>4</sup>Probability values for main effects of ANOVA.

<sup>1</sup>Each value represents the mean of 6 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 6).

contents and antioxidant ability in liver and breast and thigh muscles of broilers at 42 days of age and level on Zn 0041100

2690

|                  | Added Zn           | Liver               |                      | Breast mu        | scle        | Thigh muscle        |                     |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Zn source        | level(mg/kg)       | $CuZnSOD (RQ)^5$    | $MT (RQ)^5$          | $CuZnSOD (RQ)^5$ | $MT (RQ)^5$ | $CuZnSOD (RQ)^5$    | MT (RQ)             |
| $Control^1$      | 0                  | 1.17                | 0.920                | 1.02             | 0.993       | 1.04                | 1.11                |
| $ZnSO_4.7H_2O^1$ | 60                 | 189                 | 1.643                | 1.18             | 1.012       | 1.18                | 1.07                |
|                  | 120                | 1.86                | 1.946                | 1.39             | 1.650       | 1.1                 | 1.25                |
|                  | 180                | 1.67                | 1.820                | 1.17             | 1.323       | 1.39                | 1.21                |
| $Zn-AA W^1$      | 60                 | 2.02                | 1.465                | 1.17             | 1.465       | 1.07                | 1.10                |
|                  | 120                | 1.58                | 1.508                | 1.64             | 1.208       | 1.47                | 1.49                |
|                  | 180                | 1.86                | 1.576                | 1.27             | 1.257       | 1.40                | 1.57                |
| $Zn$ - $Pro M^1$ | 60                 | 1.59                | 1.772                | 1.06             | 1.135       | 1.45                | 1.31                |
|                  | 120                | 2.10                | 1.763                | 1.16             | 1.427       | 1.37                | 1.44                |
|                  | 180                | 1.72                | 1.483                | 1.17             | 1.032       | 1.24                | 1.13                |
| $Zn$ - $Pro S^1$ | 60                 | 1.72                | 1.823                | 1.24             | 1.232       | 1.44                | 1.36                |
|                  | 120                | 1.90                | 1.500                | 1.02             | 0.927       | 1.51                | 1.52                |
|                  | 180                | 1.84                | 1.467                | 1.18             | 1.147       | 1.12                | 1.39                |
| Pool             | ed SE              | 0.182               | 0.220                | 0.141            | 0.172       | 0.151               | 0.156               |
| $Zn \ source^2$  | $\rm ZnSO_4.7H_2O$ | 1.64                | 1.58                 | 1.19             | 1.240       | 1.18                | 1.16                |
|                  | Zn-AA W            | 1.66                | 1.37                 | 1.27             | 1.230       | 1.25                | 1.32                |
|                  | Zn-Pro M           | 1.64                | 1.48                 | 1.10             | 1.150       | 1.27                | 1.25                |
|                  | Zn-Pro S           | 1.66                | 1.43                 | 1.11             | 1.070       | 1.28                | 1.35                |
| Pool             | ed SE              | 0.091               | 0.111                | 0.071            | 0.086       | 0.076               | 0.078               |
| Zn level         | $0^1$              | $1.17^{\rm a}$      | $0.920^{a}$          | 1.02             | 0.993       | $1.04^{\rm a}$      | $1.11^{a}$          |
|                  | $60^{3}$           | $1.81^{\rm b}$      | $1.675^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.16             | 1.211       | $1.28^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.21^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|                  | $120^{3}$          | $1.86^{b}$          | $1.679^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.30             | 1.303       | $1.36^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.42^{b}$          |
|                  | $180^{3}$          | $1.77^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.587^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.20             | 1.190       | $1.29^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.33^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Pool             | ed SE              | 0.091               | 0.111                | 0.071            | 0.086       | 0.076               | 0.078               |
|                  |                    |                     |                      | P-value          | 4           |                     |                     |
| So               | urce               | 0.999               | 0.513                | 0.294            | 0.471       | 0.756               | 0.346               |
|                  | evel               | 0.001               | 0.001                | 0.051            | 0.084       | 0.023               | 0.035               |
| Source           | $\times$ level     | 0.514               | 0.910                | 0.512            | 0.206       | 0.338               | 0.749               |

 $^{\rm a,b}{\rm Means}$  with different superscripts within the same column differ significantly (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>Each value represents the mean of 6 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 6).

<sup>2</sup>Each value represents the mean of 18 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 18).

<sup>3</sup>Each value represents the mean of 24 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 24).

<sup>4</sup>Probability values for main effects of ANOVA.

<sup>5</sup>The mRNA abundances of enzymes were calculated as the relative quantities (RQ) of enzyme mRNA to  $\beta$ -actin mRNA; RQ =  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  (Ct = threshold cycle).

the ADFI (P < 0.05) and ADG (P < 0.01) of broilers. However, no differences were detected among all supplemental Zn levels.

#### Zn Contents

Dietary Zn level affected (P < 0.01) Zn contents in the liver, breast and thigh muscles of broilers, while no effects of dietary Zn source and an interaction between Zn source and level were observed (Table 5). Compared to the control, dietary supplemental Zn increased (P < 0.01) the Zn contents in liver and breast and thigh muscles with no difference among supplemental Zn levels. Liver Zn content was about twice of that in the thigh muscle, which was about twice of that in the breast muscle.

# Antioxidant Ability

The data are presented in Tables 5 and 6. Dietary Zn level affected the CuZnSOD activities in the breast and thigh muscles (P < 0.05) and MDA contents in the liver (P < 0.01) and the breast and thigh muscles (P < 0.05), and had no effect on CuZnSOD activity and MT content in the liver, while dietary Zn source and an interaction between Zn source and level had no effects on the above indices (Table 5). Compared to the control, dietary supplemental Zn increased (P < 0.05) CuZnSOD activities in breast and thigh muscles, and decreased MDA contents in the liver (P < 0.01) and the breast and thigh muscles (P < 0.05). No differences in the above parameters were observed among supplemental Zn levels.

Similarly, dietary Zn level had an effect on the mRNA levels of CuZnSOD and MT in the liver (P < 0.01) and thigh muscle (P < 0.05), and had no effect on the mRNA levels of CuZnSOD and MT in the breast muscle of broilers, while dietary Zn source and an interaction between Zn source and level did not affect the above indices (Table 6). Compared to the control, dietary supplemental Zn up-regulated the mRNA levels of *CuZnSOD* and *MT* in the liver (P < 0.01) and thigh muscle (P < 0.05) with no differences among supplemental Zn levels.

| Table 7. Effects of dietary Zn source and level on some fat metabolism-related enzyme activities in liver | r and |
|---|-------|
| abdominal fat of broilers at 42 days of age.  |       |

|                      | Added Zn         |                   | Liver             |                      |                     | Abdominal fat       |                  |  |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| Zn source            | level<br>(mg/kg) | FAS<br>(U/mgprot) | MDH<br>(U/mgprot) | LPL<br>(U/mgprot)    | MDH<br>(U/mgprot)   | LPL<br>(U/mgprot)   | HSL<br>(U/mgprot |  |
| Control <sup>1</sup> | 0                | 0.317             | 5.75              | 0.517                | 2.83                | 3.11                | 1.105            |  |
| $ZnSO_4.7H_2O^1$     | 60               | 0.469             | 6.25              | 0.616                | 3.28                | 3.85                | 1.284            |  |
|                      | 120              | 0.503             | 5.75              | 0.592                | 3.94                | 3.45                | 1.114            |  |
|                      | 180              | 0.549             | 5.35              | 0.605                | 3.23                | 3.45                | 1.122            |  |
| $Zn-AA W^1$          | 60               | 0.455             | 5.82              | 0.784                | 3.52                | 3.38                | 0.944            |  |
|                      | 120              | 0.523             | 5.26              | 0.611                | 3.05                | 3.62                | 0.939            |  |
|                      | 180              | 0.418             | 5.76              | 0.651                | 3.04                | 3.60                | 1.302            |  |
| $Zn$ - $Pro M^1$     | 60               | 0.459             | 6.52              | 0.744                | 3.05                | 3.39                | 1.005            |  |
|                      | 120              | 0.473             | 6.24              | 0.613                | 3.63                | 4.02                | 1.495            |  |
|                      | 180              | 0.497             | 6.16              | 0.654                | 3.73                | 3.54                | 0.901            |  |
| $Zn$ - $Pro S^1$     | 60               | 0.508             | 6.25              | 0.598                | 3.83                | 3.61                | 1.113            |  |
|                      | 120              | 0.550             | 5.80              | 0.607                | 3.76                | 3.73                | 1.470            |  |
|                      | 180              | 0.453             | 6.17              | 0.652                | 3.78                | 3.68                | 1.341            |  |
| Poole                | ed SE            | 0.0411            | 0.325             | 0.0539               | 0.352               | 0.332               | 0.265            |  |
| $Zn \ source^2$      | $ZnSO_4.7H_2O$   | 0.460             | 5.77              | 0.58                 | 3.32                | 3.47                | 1.16             |  |
|                      | Zn-AA W          | 0.428             | 5.65              | 0.64                 | 3.11                | 3.43                | 1.07             |  |
|                      | Zn-Pro M         | 0.437             | 6.17              | 0.63                 | 3.31                | 3.51                | 1.13             |  |
|                      | Zn-Pro S         | 0.457             | 5.99              | 0.59                 | 3.55                | 3.53                | 1.26             |  |
| Poole                | ed SE            | 0.0206            | 0.163             | 0.0269               | 0.176               | 0.166               | 0.133            |  |
| Zn level             | $0^{1}$          | $0.317^{a}$       | 5.75              | $0.517^{a}$          | $2.83^{a}$          | 3.11 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.110            |  |
|                      | $60^{3}$         | $0.473^{\rm b}$   | 6.21              | $0.686^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.42^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.56^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.090            |  |
|                      | $120^{3}$        | $0.512^{\rm b}$   | 5.76              | $0.606^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.60^{ m b}$       | $3.70^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.250            |  |
|                      | $180^{3}$        | $0.479^{b}$       | 5.86              | $0.640^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.45^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $3.57^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.170            |  |
| Poole                | ed SE            | 0.492             | 0.347             | 0.0269               | 0.176               | 0.166               | 0.133            |  |
|                      |                  |                   |                   | P-va                 | alue <sup>4</sup>   |                     |                  |  |
| Sou                  | ırce             | 0.643             | 0.117             | 0.348                | 0.376               | 0.471               | 0.797            |  |
| Le                   | vel              | 0.001             | 0.160             | 0.001                | 0.015               | 0.014               | 0.808            |  |
| Source               | $\times$ level   | 0.646             | 0.802             | 0.724                | 0.696               | 0.975               | 0.830            |  |

 $^{\rm a,\ b} {\rm Means}$  with different superscripts within the same column differ significantly (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>Each value represents the mean of 6 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 6).

<sup>2</sup>Each value represents the mean of 18 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 18).

<sup>3</sup>Each value represents the mean of 24 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 24).

<sup>4</sup>Probability values for main effects of ANOVA.

### Fat Metabolism-Related Enzymes

The data are presented in Tables 7 and 8. Dietary Zn level influenced FAS and LPL activities in the liver (P < 0.01) and MDH and LPL activities in the abdominal fat (P < 0.05) of birds, and had no effect on MDH activity in the liver and HSL activity in the abdominal fat, while no effects of dietary Zn source and an interaction between Zn source and level were observed (Table 7). Compared with the control, dietary supplemental Zn increased FAS and LPL activities in the liver (P < 0.01) and MDH and LPL activities in the abdominal fat (P < 0.05), with no differences among supplemental Zn levels.

Similarly, dietary Zn level had an effect on the mRNA abundances of FAS and LPL in the liver (P < 0.01) and MDH and LPL in abdominal fat (P < 0.01), while dietary Zn source and an interaction between Zn source and level did not affect the above indices (Table 8). Dietary supplemental Zn increased (P < 0.01) the mRNA abundances of FAS and LPL in liver, and MDHand LPL in abdominal fat compared with the control. No differences in the above parameters were observed among supplemental Zn levels.

# DISCUSSION

Zn is essential for organisms and participates in several of the pathways of metabolism. Tissue mineral concentrations are indicators of body mineral storage and status, and have been used in studies of mineral requirements and bioavailabilities (Wedekind et al., 1992; Yan and Waldroup, 2006). Our data indicated that dietary Zn supplementation increased the Zn contents in the liver and breast and thigh muscles, which contributed to the improvement of the Zn status of broilers.

MT is an important maintainer of the Zn pool of the organisms, and plays a protective role in antioxidant responses by scavenging free radicals, particularly the hydroxyl radical (Ruttkay-Nedecky et al., 2013). Zn is necessary for the structure and function of CuZnSOD, which comprised 90% of the total SOD and protects tissues from oxidative damage (Noor et al., 2002). Dietary Zn was reported to increase liver Zn, MT content, and CuZnSOD activity in piglets (Wang et al., 2012). Tissue concentration, especially in bone, increased with dietary Zn content (Henry et al., 1987, Huang et al., 2007). In our study,

|                  | Added Zn                | Li                   | ver                 | Abdom                | inal fat            |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Zn source        | level (mg/kg)           | FAS mRNA $(RQ)^5$    | LPL mRNA $(RQ)^5$   | MDH mRNA $(RQ)^5$    | LPL mRNA (RQ)       |
| $Control^1$      | 0                       | 0.840                | 1.12                | 0.967                | 1.04                |
| $ZnSO_4.7H_2O^1$ | 60                      | 1.242                | 1.80                | 1.310                | 1.29                |
|                  | 120                     | 1.358                | 1.61                | 1.328                | 1.38                |
|                  | 180                     | 1.410                | 1.33                | 1.385                | 1.29                |
| $Zn-AA W^1$      | 60                      | 1.093                | 1.58                | 1.090                | 1.44                |
|                  | 120                     | 1.148                | 1.62                | 1.210                | 1.27                |
|                  | 180                     | 1.258                | 1.28                | 1.153                | 1.38                |
| $Zn$ - $Pro M^1$ | 60                      | 1.235                | 1.76                | 1.252                | 1.40                |
|                  | 120                     | 1.437                | 1.93                | 1.223                | 1.31                |
|                  | 180                     | 1.353                | 1.73                | 1.190                | 1.11                |
| $Zn$ - $Pro S^1$ | 60                      | 1.278                | 1.47                | 1.253                | 1.15                |
|                  | 120                     | 1.505                | 1.86                | 1.333                | 1.34                |
|                  | 180                     | 1.340                | 1.49                | 1.085                | 1.34                |
| Pool             | led SE                  | 0.168                | 0.193               | 0.119                | 0.121               |
| $Zn \ source^2$  | $\rm ZnSO_4.7H_2O$      | 1.21                 | 1.46                | 1.25                 | 1.25                |
|                  | Zn-AA W                 | 1.09                 | 1.40                | 1.11                 | 1.28                |
|                  | Zn-Pro M                | 1.22                 | 1.64                | 1.16                 | 1.22                |
|                  | Zn-Pro S                | 1.24                 | 1.49                | 1.16                 | 1.22                |
| Pool             | led SE                  | 0.0843               | 0.0965              | 0.0595               | 0.0607              |
| Zn level         | $0^{1}$                 | $0.840^{\rm a}$      | $1.12^{a}$          | $0.967^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.04^{\rm a}$      |
|                  | $60^{3}$                | $1.212^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.65^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.226^{\rm b}$      | $1.32^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|                  | $120^{3}$               | $1.362^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.76^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.274^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.32^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|                  | $180^{3}$               | $1.340^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.46^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.203^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.28^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Pool             | ed SE                   | 0.0843               | 0.0965              | 0.0595               | 0.0607              |
|                  |                         |                      | P-v                 | ralue <sup>4</sup>   |                     |
| So               | urce                    | 0.559                | 0.370               | 0.404                | 0.839               |
| L                | evel                    | 0.001                | 0.001               | 0.002                | 0.003               |
| Source           | $e \times \text{level}$ | 0.996                | 0.886               | 0.943                | 0.745               |

**Table 8.** Effects of dietary Zn source and level on gene expressions of some fat metabolism-related enzymes in liver and abdominal fat of broilers at 42 days of age.

<sup>a,b</sup>Means with different superscripts within the same column differ significantly (P < 0.05).

<sup>1</sup>Each value represents the mean of 6 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 6).

<sup>2</sup>Each value represents the mean of 18 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 18).

<sup>3</sup>Each value represents the mean of 24 replicate cages with 6 chicks per cage (n = 24).

<sup>4</sup>Probability values for main effects of ANOVA.

 $^{5}$ The mRNA abundances of enzymes were calculated as the relative quantities (RQ) of enzyme mRNA to  $\beta$ -actin mRNA;

 $RQ = 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  (Ct = threshold cycle).

the linear relationship between dietary Zn level and tissue Zn contents has not been observed, which might be attributed to higher levels of dietary Zn supplementation. Consistently, in the present study, supplemental Zn increased the MT contents and CuZnSOD activities in the breast and thigh muscles of broilers as well as up-regulated the mRNA expressions of MT and CuZnSOD in the liver and thigh muscle. It was suggested that Zn additions to the corn-soybean meal diets could increase the antioxidant ability of broilers. This could explain why dietary Zn supplementation decreased drip loss in the breast and thigh muscles of broilers, which was shown in our previous study (Liu et al., 2011).

Lipid oxidation is a major cause of quality deterioration in meat and gives rise to rancidity and the formation of undesirable odors and flavors (Gray et al., 1996). MDA is a soluble degraded product of lipids and widely used to reflect the extent of lipid oxidation of meat (Raharjo and Sofos, 1993). We could speculate that dietary Zn might strengthen the oxidative defenses and decrease the MDA content of muscles, which would contribute to higher quality and longer shelf life of meat.

FAS, LPL, MDH, and HSL are the key enzymes involved in fat metabolism (Belfrage et al., 1984; Shen et al., 1991; Mersmann, 1998; Sato et al., 1999). Retrospective studies suggested that Zn supplementation results in an improvement in the yield grade, marbling score, and internal fat of steers (Greene et al., 1988; Malcolm et al., 2000; Spears et al., 2002). Supplemental Zn increased IMF accumulation in pigs by up-regulating intramuscular lipogenic and fatty acid transport genes (ACC, FAS, SCD-1, and SREBP-1) expression and enzyme activities while down-regulating lipolytic genes (HSL and CPT-1) expression and enzyme activities (Zhang et al., 2014). Our previous study showed that supplemental Zn increased IMF content in the breast muscle (Liu et al., 2011). In the present study, supplemental Zn in the diets elevated the activities of FAS, LPL, and MDH, and up-regulated the expressions of these lipogenic enzymes. It was suggested that supplemental Zn has a powerful impact on the activities and gene expressions of the above enzymes

involved in fat metabolism, and increases the IMF content in the breast muscles of broilers, as shown in our previous study (Liu et al., 2011).

## CONCLUSIONS

Our results indicate that dietary Zn supplementation improved Zn status, antioxidant ability, and fat metabolism-related enzymes of broilers. However, there were no significant differences among either the 4 Zn sources or supplemental Zn levels. Supplemental 60 mg Zn/kg in the corn-soybean meal basal diet (a total dietary Zn of about 90 mg/kg) was adequate for improving the above aspects of broilers.

#### REFERENCES

- Belfrage, P., G. Fredrikson, H. Olsson, and P. Stralfors. 1984. Regulation of adipose tissue lipolysis through reversible phosphorylation of hormone-sensitive lipase. Adv. Cyclic. Nucleotide Protein Phosphorylation Res. 17:351–359.
- Eaton, D. L., and B. F. Toal. 1982. Evaluation of the Cd/hemoglobin affinity assay for the rapid determination of metallothionein in biological tissues. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 66:134–142.
- Gray, J. I., E. A. Gomaa, and D. J. Buckley. 1996. Oxidative quality and shelf life of meats. Meat Sci. 43:S111–S123.
- Greene, L. W., D. K. Lunt, and F. M. Byers. 1988. Performance and carcass quality of steers supplemented with zinc oxide or zinc methionine. J. Anim. Sci. 66:1818–1823.
- Henry, P. R., C. B. Ammerman, and R. D. Miles. 1987. Effect of dietary zinc on tissue mineral concentration as a measure of zinc bioavailability in chicks. Nutr. Rep. Int. 35:15–20.
- Holwerda, R.A., A.R. Albin, and F.C. Madsen, 1995. Chelation effectiveness of zinc proteins demonstrated. Feedstuffs 67:12–13, 23.
- Huang, Y.L., L. Lu, X.G. Luo, and B. Liu. 2007. An optimal dietary zinc level of broiler chicks fed a corn-soybean meal diet. Poult. Sci. 86:2582–2589.
- Huang, Y. L., L. Lu, S. F. Li, X. G. Luo, and B. Liu. 2009. Relative bioavailabilities of organic zinc sources with different chelation strengths for broilers fed a conventional corn-soybean meal diet. J. Anim. Sci. 87:2038–2046.
- Huang, Y. L., L. Lu, J. J. Xie, S. F. Li, X. L. Li, S. B. Liu, L. Y. Zhang, L. Xi, and X. G. Luo. 2013. Relative bioavailabilities of organic zinc sources with different chelation strengths for broilers fed diets with low or high phytate content. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 179:144–148.
- Kim, M., and C. E. Elson. 1981. Comparative Studies of Adaptive Responses of Fatty Acid Synthetase Activities in Rat Liver and Adipose Tissue. J. Nutr. 111:1985–1995.
- Liang, J. G. L., Lv, X. G. Luo, Q. Y. Diao, and B. Liu. 2008. Physical and chemical characteristics of supplemental organic zinc sources and their stabilities in vitro fermentation rumen. Acta Veterinaria et Zootechnica Sinica. 39:1355–1366.
- Liu, Z. H., L. Lu, S. F. Li, L. Y. Zhang, L. Xi, K. Y. Zhang, and X. G. Luo. 2011. Effects of supplemental zinc source and level on

growth performance, carcass traits, and meat quality of broilers. Poult. Sci. 90:1782–1790.

- Livak, K. J., and T. D. Schmittgen. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Meth. 25:402–408.
- Lu, L., C. Ji, X. G. Luo, B. Liu, and S. X. Yu. 2006. The effect of supplemental manganese in broiler diets on abdominal fat deposition and meat quality. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 129:49–59.
- Malcolm Callis, K. J., G. C. Duff, and S. A. Gunter. 2000. Effects of supplemental zinc concentration and source on performance carcass characteristics, and serum values in finishing beef steers. J. Anim. Sci. 78:2801–2808.
- Mersmann, H. J. 1998. Lipoprotein and hormone-sensitive lipases in porcine adipose tissue. J. Anim. Sci. 76:1396–1404.
- Noor, R., S. Mittal, and J. Iqbal. 2002. Superoxide dismutate– applications and relevance to human disease. Med. Sci. Moni. 8:RA210–215.
- Powell, S. R. 2000. The antioxidant properties of zinc. J. Nutr. 130:1447S-1454S.
- Raharjo, S., and J. N. Sofos. 1993. Methodology for measuring malonaldehyde as a product of lipid peroxideation in muscle tissues: A review. Meat Sci. 35:145–169.
- Ruttkay-Nedecky, B. L., Nejdl, J. Gumulec, O. Zitka, M. Stiborova, V. Adam, and R. Kizek. 2013. The role of metallothionein in oxidative stress. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 14:6044–6066.
- Sato, K., Y. Akiba, Y. Chida, and K. Takahashi. 1999. Lipoprotein hydrolysis and fat accumulation in chicken adipose tissues are reduced by chronic administration of lipoprotein lipase monoclonal antibodies. Poult. Sci. 78:1286–1291.
- Shen, T., J. Y. Wang, B. D. Zhao, J. W. Li, C. F. Xu, S. G. Zhu, M. M. Yu, D. Yang, and F. Y. Yang. 1991. Biochemistry, Higher Education Press, Beijing. p151–167.
- Spears, J. W., and E. B. Kegley. 2002. Effect of zinc source (zinc oxide vs zinc proteinate) and level on performance, carcass characteristics, and immune response of growing and finishing steers. J. Anim. Sci. 80:2747–2752.
- Tupe, R. S., S. G. Tupe, and K. V. Tarwadi. 2010. Effect of different dietary zinc levels on hepatic antioxidant and micronutrients indices under oxidative stress conditions. Metabol. 59:1603–1611.
- Wang, M. Q., W. J. Tao, S. S. Ye, Y.J. Du, C. Wang, and S. X. Shen. 2012. Effects of Dietary Pharmacological Zinc on Growth, Liver Metallothionein, Cu, Zn-SOD Concentration and Serum Parameters in Piglets. J. Anim. and Vet. Adv. 11:1390–1394.
- Wedekind, K. J., A. E. Hortin, and D. H. Baker. 1992. Methodology for assessing zinc bioavailability: Efficacy estimates for zincmethionine, zinc sulfate, and zinc oxide. J. Anim. Sci. 70:178–187.
- Xu, Z. R., M. Q. Wang, H. X. Mao, X. A. Zhan, and C. H. Hu. 2003. Effects of L-carnitine on growth performance, carcass composition, and metabolism of lipids in male broilers. Poult. Sci. 82:408–413.
- Yan, F., and P. W. Waldrop. 2006. Evaluation of Mintrex Manganese as a Source of Manganese for Young Broilers. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 5:708–713.
- Yu, Y., L. Lu, R. L. Wang, L. Xi, X. G. Luo, and B. Liu. 2010. Effects of zinc source and phytate on zinc absorption by in situ ligated intestinal loops of broilers. Poult. Sci. 89:2157– 2165.
- Zhang, H. B., M. S. Wang, Z. S. Wang, A. M. Zhou, X. M. Zhang, X. W. Dong, and Q. H. Peng. 2014. Supplementation Dietary Zinc Levels on Growth Performance, Carcass Traits, and Intramuscular Fat Deposition in Weaned Piglets. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 161:69–77.