

Development and validation of a novel score to predict dialysis inadequacy in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis patients Journal of International Medical Research 49(1) 1–7 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/0300060520984591 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Aiya Qin^{1,2,*}, Xiang Liu^{1,2,*}, Mailudan Ainiwaer^{1,2}, Sirui Wang², Yi Tang² and Wei Qin²

Abstract

Objective: Adequate dialysis is of great importance for continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) patients. This study aimed to develop and validate an easily applicable quantitative dialysis adequacy risk scoring system in CAPD patients based on laboratory parameters from a single blood draw.

Methods: A total of 634 CAPD patients from four study centers were enrolled in this study (345 and 289 patients in development and validation groups, respectively). A risk score model for inadequate dialysis was developed based on multivariate regression analysis, which was validated by the area under the receiver operator curve and calibrated by a calibration curve.

Results: Seven independent predictors for inadequate dialysis were identified in the development group (male sex, hypoalbuminemia, anemia, being overweight, hyperuricemia, estimated glomerular filtration rate <4.7 mL/min/1.73 m², and serum creatinine >800 μ mol/L). A risk prediction score model was established and validated in the development and validation groups. Further analysis indicated that this model is suitable for CAPD patients with a wide range of clinical manifestations.

Conclusion: An easily applicable novel risk scoring system was established to detect inadequate dialysis in CAPD patients.

¹West China School of Medicine, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China *These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author:

Wei Qin, Department of Nephrology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Guoxue Alley 37, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China. Email: qinweihx@scu.edu.cn

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

²Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Keywords

Risk prediction model, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, dialysis adequacy, inadequate dialysis, risk score, area under the receiver operator curve

Date received: 10 September 2020; accepted: 3 December 2020

Introduction

Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) is often chosen for end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients, offering the advantages of low cost, simplicity, and minimal requirements for technical support. China has more than 40,000 prevalent peritoneal dialysis (PD)patients, of whom the majority have received CAPD.¹ Because inadequate dialysis is related to higher morbidity, techfailure. and mortality. nical the identification of patients at high risk of inadequate dialysis may be helpful in making treatment decisions and improving patient outcomes.²

Previous studies have reported conflicting results about risk factors for dialysis inadequacy in CAPD patients. Moreover, no study has established an integrated prediction model of dialysis adequacy in these patients. Currently, dialysis adequacy is usually assessed based on urea clearance (Kt/V), which is a time-consuming process requiring patients to collect 24-hour urine and 24-hour peritoneal dialysate.³ Additionally, it is difficult for older individuals and those from remote poverty-stricken areas to collect specimens correctly. Therefore, we aimed to establish an easily applicable novel model based on laboratory parameters from a single blood draw to identify CAPD patients with inadequate dialysis in this study.

Materials and methods

Design

A cross-sectional multicenter study was performed in 634 CAPD patients from four PD centers. The development group included 345 CAPD patients retrospectively collected from the PD center in West China Hospital. The validation group enrolled 289 patients from three PD centers (the Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical College, the Third Hospital of Zigong City, and the People's Hospital of Mianzu City). This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of West China Medical School, Sichuan University (FF-33-2019). Written informed consent was obtained from every participant.

Inclusion criteria were: 1) receiving CAPD for more than 1 month and 2) age between 18 and 70 years. Exclusion criteria were: 1) having undergone a kidney transplant, 2) missing patient data, and 3) refusal to participate in the study. All patients included in the study received glucosebased and lactate-buffered dialysis solu-Guangzhou, (Dianeal. Baxter. tions China) and were prescribed 2-L bags of dialysate containing 1.5% or 2.5% dextrose, sufficient for 3 to 5 exchanges per day.

Clinical variables and dialysis adequacy

Patient characteristics such as age, sex, body weight, height, primary renal disease,

diabetes mellitus, hypertensive nephrosclerosis, and duration of CAPD were collected. Laboratory data, including body mass index (BMI), albumin (Alb), prealbumin (PA), hemoglobin (Hb), uric acid (UA), serum creatinine (Cr), high-sensitivity Creactive protein (hsCRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and serum phosphorus (P), were measured in all patients. Causes of ESRD were determined. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m²) was calculated using the CKDEPI equation.⁴ Anemia and being overweight were defined using Chinese criteria.⁵ To examine the validity of the new method, inadequate dialysis was defined as total weekly Kt/V urea < 1.70. Total weekly Kt/V urea was calculated as the sum of peritoneal Kt/V urea and renal Kt/V urea using the formula recommended in KDOQI guidelines.⁶

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analyzed using unpaired t tests. Differences between development and external validation groups were compared using univariate analysis.⁷ Logistic regression was performed to identify independent predictors of inadequate dialysis and to establish a risk prediction model. The β coefficient was divided by the model's minimum coefficient value and rounded up to the nearest integer to assign a score. In the validity analysis, discrimination and calibration were evaluated by the area under the receiver operator curve (AUC) and calibration curve as previously described.⁷ The Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was used to assess model calibration. All statistical procedures were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05 as two-sided.

Baseline characteristics

A total of 679 patients were identified in the current study, of whom 45 were excluded: 27 patients with PD treatment of less than 1 month, three patients who had undergone kidney transplantation, and 15 patients with incomplete data. Of the remainder, 345 patients were included in the development group and 289 in the validation group. Baseline characteristics of both groups are shown in Table 1. Significant differences were observed between groups with respect to being overweight, anemia, hypoalbuminemia, inadequate dialysis, and hsCRP levels (p < 0.05). The proportion of inadequate CAPD in development and validation groups was 44.6% (n=154) and 29.8% (n=86), respectively.

Development of the risk prediction score

For ease of interpretation and analysis, we represented those risk factors as binary predictor variables. eGFR < 4.7 mL/min/1.73 m^2 and $Cr > 800 \mu mol/L$ were selected under the logistic regression model based on the optimal cut-off value of AUC for dialysis inadequacy. Univariate analysis indicated that nine variables: male sex, anemia (Hb < 120 g/L), hyperphosphatemia mmol/L), being overweight (P > 1.45) $(BMI > 24 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, hyperuricemia (UA >380 $\mu mol/L$), hypoalbuminemia (Alb < 40 g/L), eGFR < 4.7 mL/min/1.73m², Cr > 800 μ mol/L, and hsCRP > 3.5 mg/L were associated with a significantly higher risk of inadequate dialysis (p < 0.05). Further multivariate analysis revealed that male sex, hypoalbuminemia, anemia, being overweight, hyperuricemia, $eGFR < 4.7 mL/min/1.73 m^2$, and serum creatinine $> 800 \mu mol/L$ were significant independent predictors of dialysis inadequacy (p < 0.05, Table 2). The risk

Variable	Development group (n=345)	Validation group (n=289)	p-value	
Age (years)	48.05±15.17	47.58±13.30	0.683	
Men, n (%)	203 (57.7%)	142 (50.4%)	0.077	
DM, n (%)	48 (13.9%)	34 (11.8%)	0.476	
HTN, n (%)	50 (14.5%)	56 (19.4%)	0.109	
BMI > 24 (kg/m ²)	123 (35.7%)	80 (27.7%)	0.033*	
Alb < 40 (g/L)	291 (84.3%)	181 (64.9%)	<0.001*	
PA < 300 (mg/L)	84 (25.3%)	55 (19.4%)	0.082	
hsCRP < 3.5 (mg/L)	189 (55.4%)	188 (65.1%)	0.015*	
IL-6 > 7.0 (pg/mL)	112 (35.0%)	93 (32.3%)	0.493	
Hb < 120 (g/L)	318 (92.2%)	235 (81.6%)	<0.001*	
P > 1.45 (mmol/L)	164 (47.5%)	168 (58.1%)	0.008*	
UA >380 (μmol/L)	194 (56.2%)	163 (56.4%)	1.000	
Cr >800(µmol/L)	196 (56.8%)	181 (62.6%)	0.144	
eGFR < 4.7 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	158 (45.8%)	142 (49.1%)	0.224	
Inadequate dialysis, n (%)	154 (44.6%)	86 (29.8%)	<0.001*	

Table I. Baseline characteristics.

Data shown as number (percentage), * p < 0.05.

DM, Diabetes mellitus; HTN, hypertensive nephrosclerosis; BMI, body mass index; Alb, albumin; PA, prealbumin; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; IL-6, interleukin-6; Hb, hemoglobin; P, serum phosphorus; UA, uric acid; Cr, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.

Table 2.	Univariate and multivariate	analysis of risk	factors ass	sociated with	dialysis inade	quacy for p	patients
in the dev	/elopment group.						

	Univariate analysis				Multivariate analysis		
Variable	β	OR (95% CI)	p-value	β	OR (95% CI)	p-value	Score
eGFR < 4.7 (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	2.19	8.97 (5.41–14.86)	<0.001*	2.97	19.52 (8.51–44.81)	<0.001*	4
Men	1.24	3.45 (2.18–5.48)	<0.001*	2.08	8.03 (3.82–16.84)	<0.001*	2
Hb < 120 (g/L)	1.22	3.377 (1.34-8.16)	0.010*	1.33	3.79 (1.07–13.47)	0.040*	2
Cr > 800 (µmol/L)	1.99	7.29 (4.42–12.00)	<0.001*	1.36	3.90 (1.97–7.75)	<0.001*	2
Alb < 40 (g/L)	0.66	1.94 (1.04–3.60)	0.036*	1.10	3.02 (1.20-7.57)	0.019*	1
UA > 380 (µmol/L)	0.60	1.82 (1.18–2.81)	0.007*	0.962	2.62 (1.30-5.26)	0.007*	1
$BMI > 24 (kg/m^2)$	0.52	1.68 (1.08-2.61)	0.022*	0.845	2.33 (1.18-4.60)	0.015*	1
P > 1.45 (mmol/L)	1.46	4.30 (2.74–6.77)	<0.001*	_	-	_	_
hsCRP > 3.5 (mg/L)	0.42	1.53 (1.00–2.35)	0.053	-	-	-	-

*p<0.05.

Cl, confidence interval; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; Hb, hemoglobin; Cr, serum creatinine; Alb, albumin; UA, uric acid; BMI, body mass index; P, serum phosphorus; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

prediction model was then converted into a risk prediction score, ranging from 0 to 13, by a simplified integer scoring system using the sum of each score. The probability of inadequate dialysis = 1/

[1 + EXP(7.716 - 0.845 * total score)].R² was 0.590 in this prediction model. The probability of inadequate dialysis and a comparison figure of the total score are shown in Figure **1a**.



Figure I (a) Comparison of the total score and probability of dialysis inadequacy. (b) Receiver operator characteristic curves of the risk model for prediction of inadequate dialysis in the two groups. (c) Calibration curves of the risk model for prediction of inadequate dialysis in the two groups. (d) Risk stratification of inadequate dialysis according to the risk score model.

The Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test *p*-value was 0.78. The AUC of the development group was 0.886 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.851–0.921) (Figure 1b), and the cut-off value that maximizes both sensitivity and specificity of the risk score for the prediction of dialysis inadequacy was 7.5 (sensitivity 67.5%, specificity 81.2%, Youden's index 0.49) in the development group. Increasing risk scores were significantly correlated with increasing dialysis inadequacy incidence. Patients with a total score of 9 to 13 have a high risk of developing inadequate dialysis

External validation of the risk prediction model

External validation was performed to confirm the accuracy of the risk prediction model. The AUC of the validation group was 0.836 (95% CI 0.782-0.891). Further calibration curves of the development and verification groups (Figure 1c) showed that the predicted scores were well calibrated. The Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic was Chisquare = $4.79 \ (p = 0.78)$ in the development group and Chi-square = 12.05 (p = 0.15) in the validation group. CAPD patients were then divided into three risk groups based on their score as follows: low risk (score 0-4; n = 49, 14.2%), moderate risk (score 5-8; n = 129, 37.4%), and high risk (score 9– 13; n = 167, 48.4%). The incidence of dialysis inadequacy in the three risk groups was 1.3, 17.5, and 81.2% in the development group, respectively, in accord with the incidence of dialysis inadequacy in the validation group, which was 0.0, 17.6, and 82.4%, respectively (Figure 1d).

Discussion

Adequate dialysis is crucial for CAPD patients to avoid technical failure, high morbidity, and high mortality. Several studies have reported anemia, malnutrition, high inflammatory indexes, and an imbalance of electrolytes as risk factors of dialysis inadequacy in CAPD.^{3,8} In the current study, we found that sex, Cr, Alb, eGFR, BMI, Hb, and UA were risk predictors of inadequate dialysis for CAPD, similar to previous studies. However, we did not confirm hsCRP and P as risk factors.⁸ To make the prediction model more suitable for clinical practice, we integrated all identified risk factors into an easily applicable risk prediction model. Although several studies previously reported prediction models for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in PD patients,^{9,10} ours appears to be the first to report a risk score model to evaluate inadequate dialysis in CAPD patients.

We developed an easily applicable score system based on routine clinical indexes of CAPD patients to detect inadequate dialysis risk based on logistic regression analysis. Seven variables (Cr > $800 \mu mol/L$, Alb < 40g/L, UA > 380 μ mol/L, Hb < 120 g/L, eGFR < 4.7 mL/min/1.73 m², BMI > 24.0 kg/m², and male sex) were included. Patients scoring 9 to 13 were identified as having a high risk of developing inadequate dialysis, and external validation found that our prediction model had adequate discriminative ability to distinguish those patients likely to develop inadequate dialysis. Because the AUROC of the validation group (0.836; Figure 1b) exceeded 0.8, this indicated a good prediction performance. Moreover, the incidence of dialysis inadequacy in the development and validation groups suggested that the prediction model is suitable for CAPD patients with a wide range of clinical manifestations. Additionally, compared with the conventional Kt/V assessment method, our new model has the advantages of simplicity, low cost, and being based on laboratory parameters from a single blood draw. Its application could help clinicians identify patients at risk of inadequate dialysis, enabling them to make interventions to avoid clinical deterioration.

There are some limitations in the present study. First, the incidence of inadequate dialysis was not matched in this study (44.6% vs 29.8%, p<0.001), which may have led to an overestimation of prediction performance because of the limited number of patients. Second, most variables were represented as binary variables for statistical convenience, so their severity was not taken into account. Additionally, eGFR and Cr are high correlation variables, which may have caused a collinearity problem. Future work should be include more variables and patients, and perform a more accurate verification of the model.

Conclusion

We developed and validated a convenient, cost effective, and easy-to-perform functional scoring system for the detection of inadequate dialysis risk in CAPD patients.

Author contributions

AQ, MA, SW, and XL collected and analyzed the data. AQ and XL also wrote the main manuscript. YT reviewed and edited the manuscript. WQ supervised the work and revised the manuscript.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ORCID iD

Wei Qin D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4246-5431

References

- 1. Yu X and Yang X. Peritoneal dialysis in China: meeting the challenge of chronic kidney failure. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2015; 65: 147–151.
- Lo WK, Ho YW, Li CS, et al. Effect of Kt/V on survival and clinical outcome in CAPD patients in a randomized prospective study. *Kidney Int* 2003; 64: 649–656.
- Bargman JM, Thorpe KE and Churchill DN. Relative contribution of residual renal function and peritoneal clearance to adequacy of dialysis: a reanalysis of the CANUSA study. J Am Soc Nephrol 2001; 12: 2158–2162.
- 4. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 150: 604–612.
- 5. Wang F, Wu S, Song Y, et al. Waist circumference, body mass index and waist to hip

ratio for prediction of the metabolic syndrome in Chinese. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2009; 19: 542–547.

- II. NKF-K/DOQI Clinical practice guidelines for peritoneal dialysis adequacy: update 2000. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2001; 37: S65–S136.
- Wang Q, Tang Y, Zhou J, et al. A prospective study of acute kidney injury in the intensive care unit: development and validation of a risk prediction model. *J Transl Med* 2019; 17: 359.
- Stenvinkel P, Chung SH, Heimbürger O, et al. Malnutrition, inflammation, and atherosclerosis in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Perit Dial Int* 2001; 21: S157–S162.
- Haapio M, Helve J, Grönhagen-Riska C, et al. One- and 2-year mortality prediction for patients starting chronic dialysis. *Kidney Int Rep* 2017; 2: 1176–1185.
- Cao XY, Zhou JH, Cai GY, et al. Predicting one-year mortality in peritoneal dialysis patients: an analysis of the China Peritoneal Dialysis Registry. *Int J Med Sci* 2015; 12: 354–361.