



Pathogenicity of Shiga Toxin Type 2e Escherichia coli in Pig Colibacillosis

Valentina Baldo¹, Cristian Salogni¹, Stefano Giovannini¹, Mario D'Incau¹, Maria Beatrice Boniotti¹, Laura Birbes¹, Alessandra Pitozzi¹, Nicoletta Formenti^{1*}, Andrea Grassi¹, Paolo Pasquali² and Giovanni Loris Alborali¹

¹ Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna "Bruno Ubertini," Brescia, Italy, ² Department of Veterinary Public Health and Food Safety, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome, Italy

Shiga toxin type 2e (Stx2e) Escherichia coli is the causative factor of diarrhea and edema in swine. The aims of this study were to determine the prevalence of Stx2e-producing E. coli isolates and to characterize isolates from clinical cases of pig colibacillosis and healthy swine. During the 11 years of the study (2006-2017), a total of 233 Stx2e-producing isolates were detected-230 out of 2,060 (11.16%) E. coli isolated from diseased pigs and 3 out of 171 (1.75%) from healthy swine. Stx2e-producing isolates were indeed more present in clinical colibacillosis cases than in healthy pigs (p = 0.0002). The predominant serogroup was O139 (79.82%) and the most common fimbrial factor present in these isolates was F18 (177 isolates), followed by F6 (5 isolates). The enterotoxins LTI, STa, and STb were detected in 10.43, 41.73, and 48.26% of the isolates, respectively. The predominant virotypes F18-Stx2e and -STa-STb-Stx2e were similarly present in weaners (33.33 and 35.52%) and finishers (38.30 and 25.53%). Among isolates from diseased pigs, O139 and F18 were the more frequently identified serogroup and virulence factor, respectively. Of the tested 230 Stx2e-producing isolates isolated from diseased pigs, 29 (12.60%) harbored genes encoding ESBL, particularly TEM (79.30%), CTX-M1 (17.20%), and CMY-2 (3.40%). Antimicrobial resistance to tetracycline was the most common characteristic (98.25%), followed by ampicillin (93.91%), cephalotin (90.43%) and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (82.17%). Our results showed that Stx2e-producing E. coli were more frequently associated with clinical forms of colibacillosis, with minimal probability to isolate these isolates from healthy pigs.

Keywords: edema disease, healthy pigs, virulence factors, antimicrobial resistance, ESBL genes

INTRODUCTION

Escherichia coli is a facultative anaerobe (1) and, although an opportunistic pathogen commonly found in the intestinal tracts of vertebrates (2), has the potential to cause seriously pathogenic colibacillosis in humans and animals, when it harbors specific virulence genes (3). Indeed, even if most *E. coli* are harmless, some of them are able to cause gastroenteric/enteric or systemic diseases in vertebrates (4, 5). According to Barbau-Piednoir et al. (6), pathogenic *E. coli* are divided in diarrhoeagenic *E. coli* (DEC) and extraintestinal *E. coli* (ExPEC) and, based on the type of virulence factor present and on the host clinical symptoms, these groups can be further grouped into pathotypes. Uropathogenic (UPEC) and neonatal meningitidis (NMEC) *E. coli* belong to the

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Joy Scaria, South Dakota State University, United States

Reviewed by:

Indranil Samanta, West Bengal University of Animal and Fishery Sciences, India Magaly Toro, University of Chile, Chile

> *Correspondence: Nicoletta Formenti nicoletta_formenti@yahoo.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Veterinary Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 26 March 2020 Accepted: 12 August 2020 Published: 18 September 2020

Citation:

Baldo V, Salogni C, Giovannini S, D'Incau M, Boniotti MB, Birbes L, Pitozzi A, Formenti N, Grassi A, Pasquali P and Alborali GL (2020) Pathogenicity of Shiga Toxin Type 2e Escherichia coli in Pig Colibacillosis. Front. Vet. Sci. 7:545818. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.545818

1

ExPEC while the DEC group consists of eight pathotypes: shigatoxigenic (STEC) [including the enterohemorrhagic (EHEC)], enteropathogenic (EPEC), enterotoxigenic (ETEC), enteroinvasive (EIEC), enteroaggregative (EAggEC), diffusively adherent (DAEC), adherent invasive (AIEC), and the recently described enteroaggregative shigatoxigenic (EAggSTEC) *E. coli* (6).

Focusing on intensive pig farming, colibacillosis is a major threat due to severe economic losses, consequences of high morbidity, increased mortality rates, stunted or decreased growth, elevated health management costs and elevated costs of pharmacological treatments (7, 8). Among pathogenic E. coli, ETEC, and STEC isolates are the main agents in swine causing post-weaning diarrhea (PWD) and edema disease (ED), respectively (9). A common feature of these two pathotypes is the expression of specific fimbrial adhesins that allow the bacterial binding at the mucosal surface of the porcine small intestine, contrasting intestinal peristalsis (5). These fimbriae, indicated with the letter F, are numbered progressively. In swine, isolates typically display specific types of fimbriae, including F4 (K88), F5 (K99), F6 (P987), F18, and F41 (10). The most commonly reported fimbrial adhesins are of the F4 and F18 types, both with different antigenic variants: three for F4 (ab, ac, and ad), with F4ac being the most prevalent, and two main variants for F18 (F18ab is associated with ED and F18ac with PWD (11)). Other associated fimbriae of lower prevalence include F5, F6, and F41, whose number of active receptors on the intestinal epithelial cells decreases with the age of the host (5, 10). In addition to these colonization factors, the pathogenic attitude of ETEC and STEC is also mediated by the ability to produce enterotoxins and/or Shiga-toxins (12), respectively. The effect of both toxins on the digestive system leads to rapid intestinal fluid hypersecretion with consequent sudden onset of osmotic watery diarrhea that frequently results in severe dehydration and circulatory shock (13). The heat-labile enterotoxin LT and the heat-stable enterotoxins STa and STb are the best-known toxins (8). Epithelial adherence of these toxins is predominantly facilitated by adhesive fimbriae, thus according to Renzhammer et al. (8), the detection of at least one enterotoxin gene, together with one gene coding for fimbriae (F4, F5, F6, F18, and F41) in a single E. coli is defined as an essential criterion for the classification of porcine ETEC. On the other hand, STEC (Shiga toxin-producing E. coli) isolates produce the Shiga-toxins that are classified as type 1 (Stx1: Shiga-toxin 1 type) with subtypes a, c, d, and as type 2 (Stx2: Shiga-toxin 2 type) with subtypes a, b, c, d, e, f and g. Stx2 variant "e," also called edemigenic toxin, is the causative agent of the severe ED in pigs (9). The ability of STEC to cause disease is related to the production of one or more Shiga-like toxins, which inhibits the protein synthesis of host cells, thus leading to cell death (14). Furthermore, some isolates harbor both the Stx2e and enterotoxin genes, being able to cause symptoms of edema disease and diarrhea in the same animal (STEC/ETEC) (15). Therefore, the fact that ED is almost the most pathogenic among pig colibacillosis, together with the attitude of STEC in general to be important foodborne pathogens (7), representing a serious zoonotic risk with swine playing an important role as a carrier (13), highlights the need to investigate the presence and the characteristics of these pathogenic isolates in pigs.

In order to provide further insights into patterns associated with virulent and non-virulent phenotypes of Stx2e, the present study aims to determine the prevalence, the biomolecular and antimicrobial resistance patterns of Stx2e-producing *E. coli* isolates isolated from cases of pig colibacillosis and from healthy swine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

The presence of Stx2e-producing E. coli isolates was investigated in 2060 cases of colibacillosis, from January 2006 to December 2017, as part of the routine activity of the Diagnostic Section of IZSLER in Brescia, Italy The presence of Stx2e-producing E. coli isolates was investigated in 2060 cases of colibacillosis, from January 2006 to December 2017, as part of the routine activity of the Diagnostic Section of IZSLER in Brescia, Italy. In particular, a total of 1,337 E. coli were isolated from small intestinal contents sampled during the necropsy of pigs (Table 1) conferred to our Department for further diagnostic investigations. At the macroscopic examination these pigs showed yellowish, gray, or slightly pink watery diarrhea with a characteristic smell; the small intestine were dilatated, slightly edematous and hyperaemic with enlarged and hyperaemic mesenteric lymph nodes. Moreover, edema disease occurred in 24 of these cases and were characterized by edema in various sites, e.g., eye lids, nose bridge and forehead, stomach wall, and the mesentery of the colon, as main finds of edema disease as Franke et al. (16) had previously reported. In addition, an overall 723 E. coli were isolated from fecal samples/fecal swabs of living pigs (Table 1) with diarrhea. Carcasses and fecal samples were conferred to our Department from a total of 670 farms (no more than five samples from the same farm during the whole study period). All of these farms make a regular use of antibiotics.

The presence of Stx2e-producing *E. coli* isolates was also investigated in 171 living pigs–29 weaners and 142 finishers— without clinical any signs of colibacillosis sampled from 18 distinct farms during 2016. Farmers were voluntarily involved in the study and who agreed to participate allowed us to collect feces and/or fecal swabs from their swine.

This study was carried out as a part of the routine activity of Diagnostic Section of Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna (IZSLER), thus the scientific protocol did not require an additional approval of the Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation of IZSLER.

Identification of E. coli

The isolation procedure was consistent during the whole study period (2006–2017). The samples, processed within 24 h after the collection, were cultured on MacConkey agar plates and blood agar plates (Oxoid, Italy) and incubated aerobically for 18 ± 2 h at $37 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. After an overnight incubation, suspicious *E. coli* colonies were identified by morphology (pink on MacConkey and/or with hemolysis on blood agar plates) and Gram staining. For each case/animal, one suspected colony

Study year	Suckling (21–25 days old)		Weaner (60–70 days old)		Finisher (6–7 months old)	
	Fecal samples	Small intestinal contents	Fecal samples	Small intestinal contents	Fecal samples	Small intestinal contents
2006	19	37	22	59	19	24
2007	18	34	22	51	18	17
2008	15	29	19	53	16	18
2009	20	39	24	77	22	18
2010	18	35	24	57	18	18
2011	24	46	25	64	24	22
2012	18	35	20	50	19	16
2013	19	37	24	57	21	17
2014	20	39	20	56	26	19
2015	19	36	24	52	21	23
2016	16	32	16	50	18	15
2017	18	35	18	55	19	15

TABLE 1 | Sampling details for each pig category (suckling, weaner, and finisher).

with presumptive biochemical properties (lactose and indole positive; H_2S , oxidase, and urease negative) was subcultured on BHI (Brain Heart Infusion) agar slant (Oxoid, Italy) while its identity was confirmed by the biochemical method, API 20E (bioMérieux, France).

All the isolates were preserved in medium containing tryptone soy broth (TSB) with 20% glycerol at -80° C.

Serogrouping of the Isolates

The serogrouping of the Stx2e-producing E. coli isolated from clinical cases of colibacillosis was based on somatic O-antigens since, among them, there are the most frequent and pathogenic for humans and animals (17). The analyses was carried out using agglutinating antisera in microplate according to Guinée et al. (18) and modified by Blanco et al. (19). The available antisera were against 30 serogroups from O1 to O157 (O1, O2, O5, O8, 09, 015, 018, 020, 022, 026, 045, 049, 055, 064, 078, 086, O88, O101, O103, O111, O113, O118, O128, O138, O139, O141, O147, O149, O153, O157) (Oxoid, Italy) were tested. Briefly, in 96-well plates, 100 µl of diluted antiserum and 100 µl of Oantigens suspensions prepared by heating bacterial suspensions for 1 h at 100°C were mixed into each well and incubated at $37 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 18 ± 2 h. A positive reaction was confirmed by agglutination in the diluted antiserum. Isolates that did not react with any of the O-antisera examined were classified as O-antisera untypeable (ND).

Molecular Characterization by Multiplex Real-Time PCR

Escherichia coli isolated from both clinical cases and healthy pigs were screened by multiplex PCR for the presence of the major virulence genes of porcine pathogenic *E. coli*, including genes for 5 different adhesins (K88, K99, F41, 987P, and F18) and 4 different toxins (LT, STaP, STb, and Stx2e) following the method according to Casey and Bosworth (20). Briefly, DNA was obtained from each *E. coli* isolate (one colony) by hot lysis

procedure in which the sample were harvested by centrifugation $(12.000 \times \text{g for 5 min})$, and washed three times in distilled water, boiled at $97.5 \pm 2.5^{\circ}$ C for 10 min and immediately cooled on ice for 2 min. After centrifugation, the extracted DNA was subjected to multiplex PCR for screening of virulence factors (VFs) using specific primers (Table 2). According to Casey and Bosworth (20), the PCR reaction mixtures contained 18 primers at a concentration of 0.5 µmol each, 0.2 mmol deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate mix, 1 X reaction buffer, 5 mmol MgCl₂, and 2.5 units of Taq polymerase in a final volume of 20 µl. These amplification conditions were followed: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at 94°C, annealing at 55°C for 45 s, and extension for 1.5 min at 72°C. The extension time was increased by 3 s each cycle, and the final extension was 10 min at 72°C. The amplification products were then separated and detected by electrophoresis using 4% agarose gels at 75-100 V for 1.5-2 h (20).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

The susceptibility of Stx2e-producing isolates to a panel of antimicrobials was tested using the disc diffusion method following the procedures of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (21-26). Briefly, the isolates were inoculated in trypticase soy broth (TSB) and then plated on Mueller-Hinton agar. The following 11 commercially available antibiotic discs were used: ampicillin (AMP: 10 µg), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (AMC: 30 µg), cephalothin (KF: 30 µg), ceftiofur (EFT: 30 µg), enrofloxacin (ENR: 5 µg), florfenicol (FFC: 30 µg), flumequine (FQ: 30 µg), gentamicin (G: 10 µg), kanamycin (K: 30 µg), tetracycline (TE: 30 µg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (SXT: 1.25/23.75 µg). The plates were read after incubation in aerobic condition at $37 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C to 18 \pm 2 h. The isolates were classified as resistant, susceptible or intermediate to the antimicrobials tested according to

K99 F5F AATACTTGTTCAGGGAGAAA F5 R AACTTTGATGGTTAACTTCCT F18 F18 F TGGTAAOGTACAGCAACTA F18 F18 R ACTTCAGTGGTTACGCAGCTATGC 987P 987P F AAGTTGTGCACGCTTTGATC 987P 987P F AGTTACAGTGCTTCATGC 987P 987P F AGTTGTGCACGCTTTGATC 987P 987P F AGTTGTGTCAGGAGATA 987P 987P F AGTTGTGTCAGGAGTTAAGCAGTTGACAGG 987P 987P F AGTTGTGTCAGTGTCAGTGAGG 987P 987P F GTACTCCACCGTTTGATGC 987P 987P F AGTTGTGTCAGGAGTTAAGCAGGT 987P F4 R GTTGTGACAGGTTGTAAGGG F41 F41 F AGTTGTGTCAGGAGAGTAGG F30 STb F TGGTACGGTCAGCATCTCAACAATT STb R CTCCAACAGTTGACCATCTTAA STa STaP F CAACTGACACCTGAGCAT Sta2e Sta2e F AATAGTACGGACAGCAAT Sta2e Sta2e F ATAGTATCAGCACCAGCAT CTX-M1 Group 1 AAACACTCAGCGGCAGGAT ACCT		Gene	Primers sequence	5'-3'	Product size
F5 R AACTITIGTGGTIAACTICCT F18 F18 F TGGTAACGCAACTA F18 R ACTTACAGTGGTATACGACAGTA 997P 997P R GTACTACCAGTGTATGG 997P 997P R GTACTCCACCGTTTGTATC 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		K99	F5 F	AATACTTGTTCAGGGAGAAA	230
Prise F18 F18 F TGGTAACGTATCAGCAACTA F18 R ACTTACGCAGCTCATCCAACG 987P 987P R GTAACCTCACGTCTATCCA 987P R GTAACCGACGTCTATCGA 987P R GTAACGTCACCCACCTTGTATC 887P R GTAACGTCACCACGTCTATCGA 987P R GTAACGTCACCACGTCTATCG 1000000000000000000000000000000000000			F5 R	AACTITGTGGTTAACTTCCT	
Bit Matrix F18 R ACTTACAGTGCTATTCGAGG 987P 987P F AGTTACTGCCAGTCTATGC 987P R GTACTGCCACGTTTGATGC 987P R GTACTGCCACGGTTGATGG 987 R GTACTGCCACGGTTGATGG 987 R GTACTGCCACGGTTGTATGG 987 R GTACTGCCACGGTTGATGG 987 R GTACTGCCACGGTTGATGG 987 R GTACTGCCACGGTTGATGG 987 R GTACTGCCACGTTATGG F41 F41 R GGCCTTACTGCTCTCTTAT F41 R CGCCATGCATGCATCACACAT F50 F GCCCACGGTCACACAT STb STb F GCCCACGGTCACACACAT STa STaP R TTATACACTCCAGCACAGG Sta2e Sta2e R TCTGCACACTTGGTGACT CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAATCACTGCGCAGGTT CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGTACCCTGGCAT CTX-M9 Group 8 CCCACGTACCCTGGTAGC CTX-M8/25 Group 8 CCGCGTACCCCTGGTAGC CTX-M9 Group 8 CCGCGTACCCTGGGTAGC CTX-M9 Group 8 CCGCGTACCCTGTAGGGAGCAGCG	JCe	F18	F18F	TGGTAACGTATCAGCAACTA	313
No 987P 987P F AAGTTACTGCCAGTCTATGC 987P R GTAACTCCACCGTTTGTATC 987P R GTAACTCCACCGTTTGTATC F4 GAATCTGTCCGAGAGTATAA F41 F41 F AGTATCTGGTTCAGTGATGG F41 F41 R CCACTATAGAGGTTGAAGC LTb subunit LT F GGGTTACTATCCTCTCTAT LTb subunit LT R TGGTCTGGGTCAGATATGT STb STb F TGCCTATGCATCACCTTCTAC STb R CTCCAGGAGTACCATTCGCTCTTA STa STaP F CAACTGATCACTGACCAGGA Stx2e Stx2e F AATACTGTCGGCCAGGTT Stx2e Group 1 AAAATCACTGCGCCAGGTT CTX-M1 Group 2 CGACGGCTAGATGACTGCGAGTT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGTGCAACGGGAT ATTGGAAAGCGTTCATCACC MATTGGAAAGCGTGAGAGG MATGGAAAGTGGTGGGGAGGAG CTX-M9 Group 8 TGCGCTTAGCGGAGTGAGC MACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AMCCCACGATGTGGGTAGC MACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC MACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC MACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC GTX-M9 Group 8 TGCGCGTGAGAGTGGC MACGCACGGTGA	uleı Fs)		F18 R	ACTTACAGTGCTATTCGACG	
No of y y 987P R GTAACTCCACCGTTTGTATC K88 F4F GTTGGTACAGGTCTTAATGG F4 R GAATCTGGTCCAGGAATATCA F41 F41 R CCACTATAGAGGTTGAAGC LTb subunit LT F GGCGTTACTATCCTCTCTAT LTb subunit LT R GGCGTTAGTAGCACAAT STb STb R CTCCAGGAGTAGCACAT STa STaP F CAACTGAATCACTGACCAGT STa STaP R TTAATAACATCCAGCACAGT Stv2e Stv2e R TGTGACACTGGGCCAGGTTC ACCGGTTAATCGCTGGGCCAGTT Group 1 AAAATCACTGGCGCAGTTC ACCGGTTAATCGGCACAGT Group 2 CGACGGTTAGCGGCAGTT CTX-M1 Group 2 CGACGGTTAGCGGCAGGT GTX-M2 Group 9 AAAAGGGTTGACACC CTX-M9 Group 8 TTGGAAAGCGTTCACACC CTX-M9 Groups 8 CCCCCGGTTAGCGGAGGAG SHV QS5 TTATGGAAAGCGTTAGCC SHV QS5 TTATGGAAAGCGTTAGCGGG SHV2 GS6 GATTTGGAAAATCGTTAGCCAGG CTX-M2 GNUP 2-F GCTTTGGAGTAGCCAGG GNUP 2-F GCTTGCGGTAGACCAGGG TATGGAAAATGGTTAGCGGG GTX-M9 GCUP 3 GAAAGAGTGGAAGGCGATGGC GTAGGAAAGCGTTGAGGTAGC GCACGGTTAGCGGTAGC GCACGGT	ž Š	987P	987P F	AAGTTACTGCCAGTCTATGC	409
Y M K88 F4 F GTTGGTACAGGTCTTAATGG F4 R GAATCTGTCCGAGAATATCA F4 R F41 F41 F GAATCTGTCCGGTCAGAGATATCA LTb subunit LT F GGCGTTACTATCCTCTCTAT LTb subunit LT F GGCCTATCAGACGATCACACAAT STb STb F TGGCTCGGTCAGATATGT STa STaP F CACCTGAATCACTCTCACCACAGG Sta2e St2e F AATGTATACGGTCAGCACGGAT Stv2e R TCTGACATTCTGGTGACGCCAGTTC AGCTTATTCATCGCCCGCTATT AGCTATTCAGTGGCCAGTT AGCTATTCATCGCGCCAGTT AGCTATTCATCGCCCCGCTATT Stv2e R TCTGACATTCTGGTGCACGCAGTG CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTT CCACCGCTACAGTTATTCACCGCCAGTT AGCTATTCCATCGCCAGGAT CTX-M2 Group 2 CCACGCTACACTGCTGCATT CTX-M8 Group 8 AGCCCACGATGGGTAGC CTX-M8 Group 8 AGCCACGATGGGTAGC Groups 25 GCCACGATGACGATGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AGCCACGATGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGGGTAGC CTX-M8 Groups 8 TTGCTGCTGTAGCACGATGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGGGTAGC	tor		987P R	GTAACTCCACCGTTTGTATC	
F4 R GAATCTGTCCGAGAATATCA F41 F41 F AGTATCTGGCTGAGGAGATATCA F41 R CACATATAGAGGTGAAGG LTb subunit LT F GGGTTACTATCCTCTCTAT LT R TGGTCCGGTCAGATATGT STb STb F TGCCTATGCATCTACACTATA STa STa P R TTATAGATCCACGACAGG Stv2e Stv2e F AATGTATACCATCGGCAGATATC Stv2e R TTATAGATCACTGGGCAGGAT Stv2e R CCGACGTACACCGCGGCAGTTC AGCTATTCAGGCACCGGT Stv2e R CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGGCGCAGTG CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGCTAACGACGGGAT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAACGACGATGGGATAGC CTX-M8 Groups 8 TGCGCTTAGGGTAGC CTX-M9 Groups 8 TGCGCTTAGGGATAGGC CTX-M9 Groups 25 GCACGATGACACTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCTGTTAGCACCACC OS6 ATTGGTAAACGTTAGCTCGGG TACCTCCGGG AmpC-gene CMY OM2-R GCTTTTCAAAAAATCGTTAGCGTCAGG CTM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTGAAAAATCGTTAGCGCCAGG	E.	K88	F4 F	GTTGGTACAGGTCTTAATGG	499
F41 F41 F AGTATCTGGTTCAGTGATGG F41 R CCACTATAGAGGTTGAAGG LTb subunit LT F GGCCTTACTATCCTCTCTAT LTb STb STb F TGCCTATGGATCACTTGACACAT STb STb R CTCCAGGAGTACCATTGACTCTT STa STaP R CTACAGGACGACGAGT Stb2e Stb2e R CTGCAGCGACTACCTGGTGAGCT CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAATCACTGGCCCAGTT CTX-M2 Group 2 CGAGGCTACCCTGGTAT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAGCGAAGGGAAGGGATG CTX-M8/25 Group 8 CTGCGGTAGCACATGGGGAGCG Group 8 CGCCAGGGTAGCGATGGGGAGC ACCCCAGGATGGGGTAGC TATGGAAGGGTGGAGGGAGGG ACCCCAGGATGGGGTAGC TATGGAAGGGTGGAGGG Group 9 CAAAGCGGAAGGGAAGGGAAGGGAAGG CTX-M9 Group 8 CGCGATGACCATTCGGG Group 8 CGCCAGGTTAGCGGATGGGAGC TATGGAAGCGGAAGGGAAGC ACCCCAGGATGTGGGTAGC TACCCAGGATGGGAGGC TACCCAGGATGGGTAGC ACCCCAGGTGGGTAGC GAGCCAGGATGGCAGGC TACCCAGGATGGGTAGC ACCCCAGGTGGGTAGC TATCTCCTGTTAGCCACC TACCCAGGATGGGTAGC CTX-M8/25 GROUP 8 CGCAGGTGAGGTAGC ACCCCAGGTGGGTAGC TACCCAGGATGGGTAGC ACCCCAGGTGGGTAGC TACCCAGGATGGGTAGC ACCCC			F4 R	GAATCTGTCCGAGAATATCA	
F41 R CCACTATAAGAGGTTGAAGC LTb subunit LT F GGCGTTACTATCCTCTCTAT LT R TGGTCTCGGTCAGATATGT STb STb F TGCCTAGCACTACACCAT STa STaP R CTCCAGCAGTACCATCGGCCAGGT Stx2e Stx2e F AATAGTATCGGGCCAGGTAC Stx2e R CTCAGCAGTACCCTGGGCCAGGTC CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGGGCCAGGGT CTX-M2 Group 2 GGAGGGTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M2 Group 2 GGAGGGTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M2 Group 3 CAACGAGGGTACACCGGATGAGGGAT GTX-M2 Group 4 AAAAATCACTGGGCCAGGTC CTX-M2 Group 2 GGAGGGTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M2 Group 3 CAACGAGGGTGAAGGGATGATGC GTX-M9 Group 8 CTGGGTTAGCGATGATGC CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 CGGCGTAGCATTGCGGG Groups 25 GGACGATGACATTGGGGTAGC MACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC GF41 GS6 TTATCTCCGTGTTAGCCACC GF41 GTX-M8/25 GGAGGATGACATTGGGTAGC Groups 25 GGAGGATGACATTGGGGTAGC MACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC GF41 GTX-M8/25 GGAGGATGACATT		F41	F41 F	AGTATCTGGTTCAGTGATGG	612
LTb subunit LT F GGCGTTACTATCCTCTCTAT LT R TGGTCTCGGTCAGATATGT STb STb F TGCCTATGCATCTACACAT STa STaP F CAACTGAATCACTTGACTCTT STa2 StaP R TTATAACATCCAGCAGCAGG Stx2e Stx2e R CTCGAGCATCTGGATCACATCGTT CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGGGCCAGGTT CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGGTACCCTGCTATT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAACAGAGGGATCACCGGAT CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGGCTTAGCGGCAGGGAGGGAT Groups 25 GGCAGAGCACACTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGGGGAGC Groups 25 GCACGATGGACACTGGGGAGC AACCCACGATGGGGAGC CHV OS5 TTACTCCCTGTTAGCCGGG SHV OS5 TTACTCCGTGTAGCCACGG CHY-2-R GTCTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG TTACTCCCGGTAGCTTGCCCGG TGCCATGAGCACCGGGAGC			F41 R	CCACTATAAGAGGTTGAAGC	
Number of the second		LTb subunit	LT F	GGCGTTACTATCCTCTCTAT	272
STb STb F TGCCTATGCATCTACACAAT STb R CTCCAGCAGTACCATCTCTA STa STaP F CAACTGAATCACTCAGCACAGG Stx2e Stx2e F AATAGTATACGGACAGCGAT Stx2e R TCTGACATTCTGGTTGACTC Notestand CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGCTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAGCGGCAGTC Groups 25 GCACGATGTGGGGTAGC NACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC ACCCCACGATGTGGGTAGC NACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC NACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCTGTTAGCCACCC NACCCACGATGTAGCTGCA SHV OS5 TATGCCAGTGTAGCACGCA NACCCACGATGTAGCTGCA AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-R GCTTTCAAGAATGCGTAGCACGG NATGAAAAATCGTTTGAAGAACTGCGTAGC TEM gene TEM F ATAAAATTCTTGAAGAACT NATGAAAATTCTTGAAGAACT NATGAAAATTCTTGAAGAACT			LT R	TGGTCTCGGTCAGATATGT	
STa STa P F CAACTGAATCACTTGACCATCTA STa P R TTAATAACATCCAGGCACAGG Stx2e Stx2e F AATAGTATACGGACAGGCAT Stx2e R CTGCAGCATTGGCTGCACTT CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGGTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGGAACGGATGACCATC CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 CGCGTTAGCGGAGTGGAGCA CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 CGCGCGTGGGTAGCC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCGGTAGCGACGGATGACCGGATGACCGGATGACCGGATGGACGGATGGCACCGGATGACCGGATGACCGGATGGACGCACCCGATGGGTAGCC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTAGCCACCGACGGATGACCGGATGACCGGATGACCGGATGACCGGATGACCGGATGACCCCC CMP2-R GCTTTCAAGAAAAATCGTTATGCTGCG TATGAAAAAAATCGTTATGCTGCGCAGG THM gene TEM F ATAAAATTCTTGAAGAC TATAAAATTCTTGAAGAC		STb	STb F	TGCCTATGCATCTACACAAT	113
STa STaP F CAACTGAATCACTTGACTCTT STaP R TTAATAACATCCAGCACAGG Stb2e Stb2e F AATAGTATACGGACAGCGAT Stb2e R TCTGACATTCTGGTTGACTC CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGCTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAGCCACGGT CTX-M8/25 Groups 25 GCACGATGGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACGG SHV OS5 TATGCAAAAATCGTTATGGCGACG CMY-2-F ATGGAAAAAATCGTTATGCTGCG TATGCACACCCAGGA TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTGAAGAC TATGAAAAGCGCCAGGAAGGGAAGGAGAGGAGAGGAGAG			STb R	CTCCAGCAGTACCATCTCTA	
STaP R TTAATAACATCCAGGACAGG Stx2e Stx2e F AATAGTATACGGACAGCGAT Stx2e R TCTGACATTCTGGTTGACTC CTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGCTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGGGATGGCAGGGATGC CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGCGGATGC Groups 25 GCACGATGGCAGCACTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC GACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC GOTUP 2 GCACGATGGCGAGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC GOTUP 2 GCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC GCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC GCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC GCACGATGGCGGATGCC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC GCACGATGCCGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCCACGATGGGGTAGC ACCCACGATGGCGGTAGC GCACGATGCCGGTAGC GCACGATGCCGGTGGGTAGC ACCCCCCGGGTGGGTAGC <td< td=""><td></td><td>STa</td><td>STaP F</td><td>CAACTGAATCACTTGACTCTT</td><td>158</td></td<>		STa	STaP F	CAACTGAATCACTTGACTCTT	158
Stx2e Stx2e F AATAGTATACGGACAGCGAT Stx2e R TCTGACATTCTGGTTGACTC Group 1 AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC AGCTTATTCATCGCCACGTT AGCTTATTCATCGCCACGTT CTX-M2 Group 2 CTX-M9 Group 9 CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 26 CTX-M9/C Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 25 Groups 26 Groups 25 Groups 26 Groups 26 Groups 25 Groups 26 Groups 25 Groups 26 Groups 26 Groups 27 Groups 26 Groups 26 Groups 26 Groups 27 Groups 26 Groups 26 GCACGATGGGGTAGCC Groups 27 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 26 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 27 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 28 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 26 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 27 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 28 GCACGATGTGGGTAGCC Group 28 GCACGATGTGGGTAGCC Group 28 GCACGATGGAAAAAATCGTTAGCCACC G			STaP R	TTAATAACATCCAGCACAGG	
Sty2e R TCTGACATTCTGGTTGACTC GTX-M1 Group 1 AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC AGCTTATTCATCGCCCCTGCTATT AGCCTACCCCTGCTATT CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGGTTAAGCGGATGGTAGC Groups 25 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC SHV OS6 GATTGGTGAATTCGGTGAGC CMP-2-R GCTTTCAAGCGCCAGG TATCTCCCTGTTAGCCGCAGG TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAC		Stx2e	Stx2e F	AATAGTATACGGACAGCGAT	733
VITAM1 Group 1 AAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC AGCTTATTCATCGCCACGTT VITAM2 Group 2 CGACGCTACCCCTGCTATT CCAGCGTCACGGAT VITAM2 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG ATTGGAAAGCGTTCATCACC VITAM8/25 Group 8 TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGATGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC VITAM8/25 Group 8 CGACGATGACACTTCGGG AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC VITAM8/25 Group 25 GCACGATGACACTTCGGG AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC VITAM2 OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC VITAM2 OS6 GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTGGG AACCACGATGTGGGCAGG VITAGENE MapC-gene CMY CMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAAATCGTTATGCTGCC AACCACGATGTGGGCAGG VITAG gene TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAC AACAATTCTTGAAGACC			Stx2e R	TCTGACATTCTGGTTGACTC	
NAGCTTATTCATCGCCACGTT CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGCTACCCCTGCTATT CAGCGTCAGATTTTCAGG CAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGGCAGCG CTX-M8/25 Groups 25 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC CTX-M6/2 Groups 25 GCACGATGTGGGTAGC CTX-M2 QS5 TTATCCCCTGTTAGCCACC CTX-M2 MPC-gene CMY CMY-2-F CMY-2-R GCTTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG TTATTCATCGCCAGG TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAC		CTX-M1	Group 1	AAAAATCACTGCGCCAGTTC	415
CTX-M2 Group 2 CGACGCTACCCCTGCTATT CCAGCGTCAGATTTTCAGG CCAGCGTCAGATTTTCAGG CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG ATTGGAAAGCGTTCATCACC ATTGGAAAGCGTTCATCACC CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGATGC Groups 25 GCACGATGACATTCGGG AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC FWV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC ATGATGAAAAAATCGTCAGGAC TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAAC ATAAATTCTTGAAGAAC	F			AGCTTATTCATCGCCACGTT	
CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGATGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC CNY-2-F ATGATGAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC TCMY-2-F TEM gene TEM F TEM F	fru	CTX-M2	Group 2	CGACGCTACCCCTGCTATT	552
CTX-M9 Group 9 CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG ATTGGAAAGCGTTCATCACC ATTGGAAAGCGATGATGC CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGATGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC F Groups 25 GCACGATGACATTCGGG AACCCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC OS6 GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGG MCMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAAATCGTTATGCTGCCAGG CMY-2-R GCTTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAC 1	bec es			CCAGCGTCAGATTTTTCAGG	
PT GGAAAGCGTTCATCACC CTX-M8/25 Groups 8 TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGATGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 25 GCACGATGACATTCGGG AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC OS6 GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGG TAGATGAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAAATCGCTATGCCAGG TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAC T	nasi	CTX-M9	Group 9	CAAAGAGAGTGCAACGGATG	205
	nde			ATTGGAAAGCGTTCATCACC	
AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC Groups 25 Groups 25 AACCCACGATGACATTCGGG AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC AACCCACGATGTGT AACCCACGATGTGGTAGC A	Exte	CTX-M8/25	Groups 8	TCGCGTTAAGCGGATGATGC	666
Groups 25 GCACGATGACATTCGGG AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 OS6 GATTGCTGATTCGCTGGG AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-F CMY-2-R GCTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG TEM gene TEM F	m 70			AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC	
AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC OS6 GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGG AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC 1 CMY-2-R GCTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAC 1			Groups 25	GCACGATGACATTCGGG	327
SHV OS5 TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC OS6 GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGG AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC 1 CMY-2-R GCTTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG 1 TEM gene TEM F ATAAATTCTTGAAGAC 1				AACCCACGATGTGGGTAGC	
OS6 GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGG AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC 1 CMY-2-R GCTTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG TEM gene TEM F ATAAAATTCTTGAAGAC 1		SHV	OS5	TTATCTCCCTGTTAGCCACC	790
AmpC-gene CMY CMY-2-F ATGATGAAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC T CMY-2-R GCTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG TEM gene TEM F ATAAAATTCTTGAAGAC 1			OS6	GATTTGCTGATTTCGCTCGG	
CMY-2-RGCTTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGGTEM geneTEM FATAAAATTCTTGAAGAC1		AmpC-gene CMY	CMY-2-F	ATGATGAAAAAATCGTTATGCTGC	1117
TEM gene TEM F ATAAAATTCTTGAAGAC 1			CMY-2-R	GCTTTTCAAGAATGCGCCAGG	
		TEM gene	TEM F	ATAAAATTCTTGAAGAC	1200
TEM R TTACCAATGCTTAATCA			TEM R	TTACCAATGCTTAATCA	

TABLE 2 PCR primers used for the detection of *E. coli* virulence factors (VFs) and extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL).

the zone diameter interpretative standard recommendations by CLSI (M45-A; M2-A9; M100-S26; VET08; M100-S29). The isolates with intermediate growth were considered to be resistant (27).

The identification of broad spectrum β -lactamase producing *E. coli* was performed through a double synergy diagnostic method: after the pre-enrichment with BHI broth supplemented with 1 mg/L cefotaxime and an overnight incubation, a drop of the BHI broth was used to inoculate MacConkey agar supplemented with 1 mg/L cefotaxime (28–30). Positive growths were identified as pink to darkpink colonies and one of these was selected for further molecular characterization.

Characterization of β-Lactamase Genes

Detection of the resistance genes present in the Stx2e-producing isolates isolated from diseased pigs was performed using a panel of PCR reactions. A multiplex PCR was used for the identification of the CTX-M group genes whose single or multiple positivity identifies the five main phylogenetic groups—CTX-M1, CTX-M2, CTX-M9 and CTX-M8 and CTX-M25 (31). In addition, single PCR reactions were used for the identification of the SHV gene (32), TEM gene (33), using universal primers as previously described (34–37), and AmpC genes (CMY-2, CMY-4, CMY-6, CMY-7, CMY-12, CMY-13, CMY-14, CMY-18, LAT-3) [(38); **Table 2**]. All the TEM and SHV PCR amplicons were DNA-sequenced.

Statistical Analysis

Comparison between groups was assessed by using Fisher's exact test and differences were considered significant when P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Sample Identification and Molecular Characterization

A total of the 2,060 *E. coli* were isolated from diseased pigs. Of these, 230 (11.16%) were positive for Stx2e-producing isolates (**Table 3**), β -hemolytic activity was recorded in 215 (93.48%) of the isolates. All the details about the Stx2e-producing E. coli isolated from diseased pigs during each study year are available in **Table S1**. Three out of 171 (1.75%) *E. coli* isolated from healthy pigs harbored the Stx2e toxin (**Table 3**). Stx2e-producing isolates were more present in clinical colibacillosis cases than in healthy pigs (p = 0.0002).

Serogrouping of the Isolates

The serogrouping of the 230 Stx2e-producing *E. coli* isolated from diseased pigs showed that 109 (47.39%) of them were typeable with available O-antisera, whereas 121 (52.61%) isolates could not be assigned to any of the 30 serotypes serogroups tested and defined as O-antisera untypeable (ND). A total of 11 serogroups were identified within the collection: O1 (0.92%, 1/109), O2 (2.75%, 3/109), O6 (0.92%, 1/109), O8 (2.75%, 3/109), O9 (0.92%, 1/109), O128 (1.83%, 2/109), O139 (79.82%, 87/109), O141 (4.59%, 5/109), O147 (2.75%, 3/109), O149 (0.92%, 1/109), O157 (1.83%, 2/109).

Virulence Factors (VFs) Detected in Stx2e-Producing Isolates

Fimbrial factors were detected in 182 (79.13%) of the 230 Stx2eproducing isolates isolated from clinical colibacillosis cases. The most common fimbrial factor in the isolates was F18 (177 isolates) followed by 987P (5 isolates) (**Figure 1**). Differences in the presence of fimbrial factors between weaners and finishers are shown in **Table 4**. In particular, F18 was more frequently found in weaner than in finishers (p < 0.05). The enterotoxin LTI was detected in 24 out of 230 (10.43%) isolates; STa was detected in 96 out of 230 (41.73%) Stx2e-producing isolates; while STb was detected in 111 out of 230 (48.26%) Stx2e-producing isolates recorded (**Figure 1**). In addition, the prevalence of predominant virotypes, F18-Stx2e and F18-STa-STb-Stx2e, was similarly present in weaners (33.33 and 35.52%)

TABLE 3 | Proportion of Stx2e-producing isolates *E. coli* isolated in diseased and healthy weaners and finishers pigs.

	Stx2e-producing isolates			
Age group	Diseased pigs	Healthy pigs		
Suckling	0/658 (0.00%)	0/0 (0.00%)		
Weaner	183/939 (19.50%)	0/29 (0.00%)		
Finisher	47/463 (10.15%)	3/142 (2.11%)		

and finishers (38.30 and 25.53%) (**Table 4**). No virulence factors were detected in the 3 Stx2e-producing *E. coli* isolated from healthy pigs.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

The antimicrobials resistance profile of the Stx2e-producing E. coli isolated from diseased pigs was determined against 11 antibiotics (Table 5). The whole panel of antimicrobials had been applied on 189 Stx2e-producing isolates, for which frequency of multi-drug resistance (MDR) was calculated (Table 5), since not all the considered antibiotics have been available during all the study period. All these isolates were resistant to at least one antibiotic (Tables 5, 6). Resistance to tetracycline had the highest prevalence with a rate of 98.25%; ampicillin resistance showed a rate of 93.91% and resistance to cephalotin a rate of 90.43% (Table 5). Other common resistances possessed by the Stx2e-producing isolates detected in clinical colibacillosis cases were to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, kanamycin, gentamicin, followed by resistance to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, flumequine, ceftiofur, florfenicol, and enrofloxacin (Table 5). The overall possible multi-drug resistant profiles of the Stx2e-producing E. coli for which the whole panel of antimicrobials has been applied are available in Table S2. Out of the 230 Stx2e-producing isolates recorded from diseased pigs, 29 (12.60%) harbored genes encoding ESBL (Figure 2). The ESBL genes identified were TEM (79.30%), CTX-M1 (17.20%), and CMY-2 (3.40%) (Figure 2). From the total of 23 Stx2e-producing E. coli TEM-positive, overall 21 of them were available for sequencing and showed TEM-1 as result. PCR products were sequenced and deposited in NCBI GenBank with accession numbers from MT789713 to MT789733.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, Stx2e-producing *E. coli* were isolated and characterized from diseased and healthy weaner and finisher pigs, in light of the considerably different distribution and frequency of serogroups and virotypes between production stages (10, 19). A total of 230 isolates obtained from clinical forms of colibacillosis showed the Shiga-toxin variant 2 (Stx2e). Only three cases of Stx2e-producing isolates were isolated from healthy pigs. Thus, the Stx2e variant appears to be more frequently associated with clinical colibacillosis. The O139 serogroup and the virulence factor F18 were isolated in Stx2e-producing isolates from diseased pigs, suggesting that these characteristics are associated with a virulent phenotype.

The presence of Stx2e-producing isolates in cases of colibacillosis in pigs is well-documented although our study recorded higher prevalence than previously reported. For instance, Chen et al. (39) pointed out that Stx2e-producing isolates were isolated in 6.1% of the 250 *E. coli* isolates collected from pigs with diarrhea. A similar prevalence was reported by Brand et al. (40), who found 3.36% of Stx2e-producers in *E. coli* isolates from 115 pigs with diarrhea. Moreover, the presence of Stx2e-producing *E. coli* in healthy pigs has not been well-investigated although these isolates were normally isolated from



TABLE 4 | Prevalence of virotypes of Stx2e-producing *E. coli* isolated from weaner and finisher pigs.

Stx2e—producing E. coli virotyping	Weaner pigs	Finisher pigs
F18-STa-STb-STx2e	35.52% (65/183)	25.53% (12/47)
F18-STx2e	33.34% (61/183)	38.30% (18/47)
STx2e	6.01% (11/183)	29.79% (14/47)
F18-STb-STx2e	6.01% (11/183)	2.13% (1/47)
LTI-STa-STb-STx2e	4.37% (8/183)	0.00% (0/47)
F18-STa-STx2e	2.73% (5/183)	0.00% (0/47)
LTI-STx2e	2.73% (5/183)	2.13% (1/47)
LTI-STb-STx2e	2.19% (4/183)	0.00% (0/47)
987P-LTI-STb-STx2e	1.64% (3/183)	2.13% (1/47)
STa-STb-STx2e	1.64% (3/183)	0.00% (0/47)
F18-LTI-STb-STx2e	1.09% (2/183)	0.00 (0/47)
987P-STx2e	0.55% (1/183)	0.00% (0/47)
STb-STx2e	0.55% (1/183)	0.00% (0/47)
STa-STx2e	0.55% (1/183)	0.00% (0/47)

 $\label{eq:table_to_$

Antimicrobial resistance of Stx2e-producing isolates			
Percentage of antimicrobial resistance			
36.04%			
41.05%			
44.35%			
46.27%			
47.58%			
57.39%			
66.09%			
82.17%			
90.43%			
93.91%			
98 25%			

healthy swine (41). Meng et al. found the presence of these subtype isolates in 25.42% of healthy swine in slaughterhouses (13). Similar result emerged in a recent study by Arancia et al., which showed a prevalence of 25.8% for Stx2e subtype isolates (52.1%) in the caecal contents of slaughtered pigs (41). Compared to these studies, we observed a lower prevalence of Stx2e subtype isolates in healthy pigs. This finding could be ascribed to possible differences in the screening methods employed. In the work by Meng et al. (13), the reported rate of 25.42% of STEC in healthy pigs was obtained by PCR screening; however, only 6.18% of the swine samples yielded STEC isolates by microbiological culture. Moreover, the difference in reported prevalence of isolation could be due to the anatomic sites of sampling. Indeed, the rate of isolation of STEC in fecal samples was considerably lower than the rate from colon or the small intestine (13). As this regards, the fact of having sampled feces/fecal swabs of

healthy pigs and the known presence in animal feces of numerous materials (e.g., complex polysaccharides, bilirubin, and bile salts) that are inhibitors of PCR (42) should be considered in the interpretation of results, although the reliability of both the used DNA extraction and PCR protocols.

We found that the vast majority of the isolated Stx2eproducing isolates belong to the O139 serogroup. Interestingly, available studies have mainly focused on the distribution of O157, without consideration for other serogroups. Milnes et al. (43) reported the detection of 0.3% (6/2,000) of VTEC O157 isolates from swine fecal samples at slaughter facilities. Similarly, Lenahan et al. (44) recorded a prevalence of STEC O157:H7 of 0.6% (3/480) in fecal samples from swine at slaughterhouses, supporting the report by Bonardi et al. (45) who isolated a low proportion (0.7%, 1/150) of O157-STEC isolates from fecal

TABLE 6 Distribution of concurrent resistance in Stx2e-producing E.	coli isolated
from diseased pigs.	

Count of concurrent antimicrobial resistance	Percentage of concurrent antimicrobial resistance	
1	1.59% (3/189)	
2	0.53% (1/189)	
3	1.59% (3/189)	
4	10.05% (19/189)	
5	12.70% (24/189)	
6	13.76% (26/189)	
7	16.40% (31/189)	
8	15.87% (30/189)	
9	9.52% (18/189)	
10	12.70% (24/189)	
11	5.29% (10/189)	

samples at slaughter facilities. Several European studies have reported STEC prevalence in swine population and most focused on STEC serogroup O157 (39, 46). However, in the present study, O157 was isolated in only one case out of the 230 investigated. Further, Friedrich et al. (47) showed that pigs with edema disease in post-weaning and young finishing pigs caused by STEC presented with serogroups O8, O138, O139, O141, and O147, similar to findings on Stx2e isolates reported by Fratamico et al. (14). Overall, these data suggest that, although O157 seemed an important serogroup from a public health perspective, other serogroups can have a significant impact on animal health, in certain types of animals (7).

In our study, most of the Stx2e-producing isolates harbored F18 adhesin factor, with a lower percentage of samples possessing 987P fimbriae; K88, K99, and F41 were not observed. These findings are not surprising, as the gene encoding F18 fimbriae is among the most important ones associated with colibacillosis and edema disease (10). Likely the fact that all the Stx2eproducing E. coli were detected from diseased animals with diarrhea supports an effect of the co-presence of these two virulence factors (Stx2e toxin and F18 adhesin) in causing this symptom, although even Shiga toxigenic *E. coli* are reported to cause diarrhea in young pigs (48-50). F18 fimbriae are absent in most human-derived STEC, but are essential for adherence to swine epithelial cells (13). Chen et al. (39) reported that 50.2% E. coli isolates detected from pigs with post-weaning diarrhea carried one or more fimbrial factors. In the present study, data from the multiplex PCR on Stx2e-producing isolates showed that STa and STb positive isolates were more prevalent than LTI. This fact, in light of the attitude of these enterotoxins in causing diarrhea and dehydration in pigs (51), may be related to the diarrheic syndrome recorded in the most of the analyzed subjects. In addition, this finding agrees with previous studies by Chen et al. (39) that reported 60.5% STa in isolates from pigs with postweaning diarrhea, and Toledo et al. (52), which observed STa (30%), STb (17%), Stx2e (6%), and LTI (5%) as the most common enterotoxins in suckling and weaning pigs.

The presence of extended-spectrum β -lactamase producing Escherichia coli (ESBL) in humans and animals is a major

global public health concern (53). In the present study, 29 out of the 230 Stx2e-producing isolates (12.60%) isolated from diseased pigs possessed ESBL genes. Although STEC isolates are not deemed a reservoir of ESBL, in recent reports have indicated an association between STEC isolates and ESBL genes, Mandakini et al. (53) reported on 25.29% isolates phenotypically confirmed as ESBL producers. We found that the predominant genes in our ESBL isolates were resistance to TEM (79.30%), CTX-M1 (17.20%), and CMY-2 (3.40%), in contrast to Jones et al. (54) who pointed out that CTX-M resistant genes were detected in 85% of isolates while TEM resistance was found in 6.12%. However, although the phenotypical test carried out in our study demonstrated the resistance of these isolates to cefotaxime, the fact that sequencing analyses showed the presence of TEM-1 Stx2e-producing E. coli cannot exclude the possibility that these isolates could have any other susceptibility to other cephalosporins (55).

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has become a major public health concern because bacteria causing infectious diseases are becoming less susceptible to antibiotic treatment (56, 57). However, antibiotic resistance can also arise in opportunistic bacteria, such as E. coli, as a result of different mechanisms (56). Currently, the presence and the dissemination of AMR among the genus Escherichia spp. represents an emerging problem (56, 57). In the present study, Stx2e-producing isolates isolated from farmed pigs showed high levels of resistance to various antimicrobial agents. Although the use of the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) test is currently suggested for antimicrobial resistance detection, we employed the Kirby-Bauer disc-diffusion method because specimen from 11 years of study were included, with results dating back to 2006 when MIC was not routinely performed. The highest percentages of antimicrobial resistance recorded for Stx2eproducing strains isolated in this study were registered for tetracycline (98.25%), ampicillin (93.91%), cefalotin (90.43%), and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (82.17%). These findings appeared not related to a specific correlation between Stx2e toxins and phenotypical antimicrobial resistance but these high percentages could be ascribed to the wide use of these antibiotics in the past for treating pig respiratory and enteric bacterial diseases (27). Moreover, the high percentage of isolates resistant to antibiotics commonly used to treat diarrhea in pigs emphasizes the importance of avoiding unnecessary use of antibiotics. The observed high level of resistance to tetracycline and ampicillin is probably a direct consequence of the intense use of these antibiotics in veterinary medicine. In addition, the horizontal transfer of these resistant genes should be considered together with their potential acquisition from a contaminated external environment (58, 59). We found that, besides the high rate of resistance to most of the tested antimicrobials, the majority of Stx2e-producing isolates isolated from pigs also exhibited multidrug resistance. This data agrees with results from a study by Brand et al. (40) that found a high level of AMR, in particular to tetracycline (50%), sulfamethoxazole (49%), trimethoprim (34%), and ampicillin (26%) in *E. coli* isolates from pigs. These results and the increasing awareness on drug-resistant E. coli strains, related to the prophylactic use of antibiotics on healthy



piglets or as food additive (60), highlight the need to develop a vaccine against Stx2e-producing isolates as an useful method alternatively to antimicrobials (61). In addition, an effective vaccine program is desirable in order to prime immunity able to confirm protection to the effect of toxins (60).

In conclusion, the present study contributes to strengthening available information on the virulence factors of Stx2e-producing isolates in diseased and healthy pigs. In addition, this survey sheds new light on potential pathogenic characteristics of Stx2e-producing isolates and points out the need for a rational management of antibiotics use and effective vaccination programs in swine farms in order to minimize the impact of these antimicrobial resistant pathogenic Stx2e-producing *E. coli* isolates on animal health.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval was not required for the animal study because this study was carried out as a part of the routine activity of Diagnostic Section of Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Lombardia e dell'Emilia Romagna (IZSLER), thus the scientific protocol did not require an additional approval of the Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation of IZSLER.

REFERENCES

 Milton AAP, Agarwal RK, Priya GB, Aravind M, Athira CK, Rose L, et al. Captive wildlife from India as carriers of Shiga toxin-producing, enteropathogenic and enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli. J Vet Med Sci.* (2019) 81:321–7. doi: 10.1292/jvms.1 8-0488

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

VB, CS, SG, PP, and GA contributed to the conception and design of the study. VB, LB, AP, MD'I, MB, and AG performed the experiments. VB and LB organized the database. VB performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. NF and MB wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was supported by in house funding of IZSLER and by the Italian Ministry of Health (IZSLER project PRC 2014/001).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Federico Scali for his valuable contribution that improved the manuscript and Alberto Tiraboschi for his technical support with the sampling activities. We thank Editage Group (www.editage.com) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets. 2020.545818/full#supplementary-material

- Khairy RM, Mohamed ES, Ghany HMA, Abdelrahim SS. Phylogenic classification and virulence genes profiles of uropathogenic *E. coli* and diarrhegenic *E. coli* strains isolated from community acquired infections. *PLoS ONE.* (2019) 14:e0222441. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0222441
- 3. Hayer SS, Rovira A, Olsen K, Johnson TJ, Vannucci F, Rendahl A, et al. Prevalence and trend analysis of antimicrobial resistance in clinical *Escherichia coli* isolates collected from diseased pigs in the USA between

2006 and 2016. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2020) 1–12. doi: 10.1111/tbed.13528. [Epub ahead of print].

- 4. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *Foodborne Disease Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet)*. Atlanta, GA (2016)
- Luppi A. Swine enteric colibacillosis: diagnosis, therapy and antimicrobial resistance. *Porcine Health Manag.* (2017) 3:16. doi: 10.1186/s40813-017-0063-4
- Barbau-Piednoir E, Denayer S, Botteldoorn N, Dierick K, De Keersmaecker SCJ, Roosens NH. Detection and discrimination of five *E. coli* pathotypes using a combinatory SYBR[®] Green qPCR screening system. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2018) 102:3267–85. doi: 10.1007/s00253-018-8820-0
- Tseng M, Fratamico PM, Bagi L, Delannoy S, Fach P, Manning SD, et al. Molecular characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* (STEC) from finishing swine in a longitudinal study. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2014) 80:6395–402. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01761-14
- 8. Renzhammer R, Loncaric I, Roch F-F, Pinior B, Käsbohrer A, Spergser J, et al. Prevalence of virulence genes and antimicrobial resistances in *E. coli* associated with neonatal diarrhea, postweaning diarrhea, and edema disease in pigs from *Austria*. *Antibiotics*. (2020) 9:208. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9040208
- Scheutz F, Teel LD, Beutin L, Piérard D, Buvens G, Karch H, et al. Multicenter evaluation of a sequence-based protocol for subtyping Shiga toxins and standardizing Stx nomenclature. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2012) 50:2951– 63. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00860-12
- Vu-Khac H, Holoda E, Pilipcinec E, Blanco M, Blanco JE, Dahbi G, et al. Serotypes. virulence genes, intimintypes and PFGE profiles of *Escherichia coli* isolated from piglets with diarrhoea in Slovakia. *Vet J.* (2007) 174:176– 87. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2006.05.019
- Francis DH. Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* infection in pigs and its diagnosis. J Swine Health Prod. (2002) 10:171–5. doi: 10.1155/2018/6434910
- Zhang W, Mellmann A, Sonntag A, Wieler L, Bielaszewska M, Tschäpec H, et al. Structural and functional differences between disease-associated genes of enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O111. *Int J Med Microbiol*. (2007) 297:17–26. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2006.10.004
- Meng Q, Bai X, Zhao A, Lan R, Du H, Wang T, et al. Characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from healthy pigs in China. *BMC Microbiol.* (2014) 14:5. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-14-5
- Fratamico PM, Bagi LK, Bush EJ, Solow BT. Prevalence and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in Swine feces recovered in the National Animal Health Monitoring System's Swine 2000 study. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2004) 70:7173–8. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.12.7173–7178.2004
- Barth S, Schwanitz A, Bauerfeind R. Polymerase chain reaction-based method for the typing of F18 fimbriae and distribution of F18 fimbrial subtypes among porcine Shiga toxin–encoding *Escherichia coli* in Germany. *J Vet Diagn Investig.* (2011) 23:454–64. doi: 10.1177/1040638711403417
- Franke S, Gunzer F, Wieler LH, Baljer G, Karch H. Construction of recombinant Shiga-like toxin-IIv (SLT-11~) and its use in monitoring the SLT-11~antibody status of pigs. *Vet Microbiol.* (1995) 43:41–52.
- Szczerba-Turek A, Socha P, Bancerz-Kisiel A, Platt-Samoraj A, Lipczynska-Ilczuk K, Siemionek J, et al. Pathogenic potential to humans of Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* isolated from wild boars in Poland. *Int J Food Microbiol.* (2019) 300:8–13. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.04.004
- 18. Guinée PAM, Agterberg CM, Jansen WH. *Escherichia coli* 0 antigen typing by means of a mechanized microtechnique. *Appl Microbiol*. (1972) 24:127–31.
- Blanco M, Blanco JE, Gonzalez EA, Mora A, Jansen W, Gomes TAT, et al. Genes coding for enterotoxins and verotoxins in porcine *Escherichia coli* isolates belonging to different O:K:H serotypes: relationship with toxic phenotypes. *J Clin Microbiol.* (1997) 35:2958–63.
- 20. Casey TA, Bosworth BT. Design and evaluation of a multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay for the simultaneous identification of genes for nine different virulence factors associated with *Escherichia coli* that cause diarrhea and edema disease in swine. J Vet Diagn Invest. (2009) 21:25– 30. doi: 10.1177/104063870902100104
- 21. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Methods for Antimicrobial Dilution and Disk Susceptibility Testing of Infrequently Isolated or Fastidious Bacteria; Approved Guideline (M45-A).* Wayne, PA (2006).
- 22. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests; Approved Standard.* 9th ed (M2-A9). Wayne, PA (2006).

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated from Animals. Approved Standard. 3rd ed. Wayne, PA: CLSI document M31-A3 (2008).
- 24. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.* 26th ed. Wayne, PA: CLSI Supplement M100-S26 (2016).
- 25. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated From Animals.* 4th ed. Wayne, PA: CLSI supplement VET08 (2018).
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 29th ed. Wayne, A: CLSI supplement M100 (2019).
- Luppi A, Bonilauri P, Dottori M, Gherpelli Y, Biasi G, Merialdi G, et al. Antimicrobial resistance of F4+ *Escherichia coli* isolated from swine in Italy. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2015) 62:67–71. doi: 10.1111/tbed.12081
- Wasyl D, Hasman H, Cavaco LM, Aarestrup FM. Prevalence and characterization of cephalosporin resistance in nonpathogenic *Escherichia coli* from food-producing animals slaughtered in Poland. *Microb Drug Resist.* (2012) 18:79–82. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2011.0033
- 29. Cavaco L, Hendriksen RS, Agersø Y, Svendsen CA, Nielsen H, Guerra B, et al. Selective enrichment of ESBL, AmpC and carbapenemase producing *E. coli* in meat and cecal samples additional validation for poultry samples. In: *Abstract from 26th European Congress o Clinical Microbiology and Infectious diseases* (ECCMID 2016). Amsterdam (2016).
- Van Damme I, Garcia-Graells C, Biasino W, Gowda T, Botteldoorn N, De Zutter L. High abundance and diversity of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing *Escherichia coli* in faeces and tonsils of pigs at slaughter. *Vet Microbiol.* (2017) 208:190–4. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2017.08.009
- Woodford N, Fagan EJ, Ellington MJ. Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding CTX-M extended-spectrum (beta)-lactamases. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2006) 57:154–5. doi: 10.1093/jac/dki412
- Arlet G, Rouveau M, Philippon A. Substitution of alanine for aspartate at position 179 in the SHV-6 extended-spectrum β-lactamase. FEMS Microbiol Lett. (1997) 152:163–67. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.1997.tb10423.x
- Mabilat C, Goussard S, Sougakoff W, Spencer RC, Courvalin P. Direct sequencing of the amplified structural gene and promoter for the extendedbroad-spectrum β-lactamase TEM-9 (RHH-1) of *Klebsiella pneumonia*. *Plasmid*. (1990) 23:27–34. doi: 10.1016/0147-619x(90)90041-a
- 34. Chang F-Y, Siu LK, Fung C-P, Huang M-H, Ho M. Diversity of SHV and TEM b-lactamases in *klebsiella pneumoniae*: gene evolution in northern Taiwan and two novel b-lactamases, SHV-25 and SHV-26. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. (2001) 45:2407–13. doi: 10.1128/AAC.45.9.2407–2413.2001
- Ahmed AM, Motoi Y, Sato M, Maruyama A, Watanabe H, Fukumoto Y, et al. Zoo animals as reservoirs of gram-negative bacteria harboring integrons and antimicrobial resistance genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2007) 73:6686– 90. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01054-07
- 36. Crémet L, Caroff N, Dauvergne S, Reynaud A, Lepelletier D, Corvec S. Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants in ESBL enterobacteriaceae clinical isolates over a 1-year period in a French hospital. *Pathol Biol.* (2011) 59:151–6.
- 37. Gbonon V, Afran SA, Guessennd KN, Toty AA, Diplo TFB, N'Guetta ASP, et al. Detection of TEM and SHV genes in clinical *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains ESBL isolated in neonatology and pediatric units. *Int J Microbiol Res.* (2018) 23:1–7. doi: 10.9734/MRJI/2018/39354
- Dierikx C, van Essen-Zandbergen A, Veldman K, Smith H, Mevius D. Increased detection of extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli isolates from poultry. Vet Microbiol. (2010) 145:273–8. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.03.019
- 39. Chen X, Gao S, Jiao X, Fan Liu X. Prevalence of serogroups and virulence factors of *Escherichia coli* isolates isolated from pigs with postweaning diarrhoea in eastern China. *Vet Microbiol.* (2004) 103:13– 20. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2004.06.014
- Brand P, Gobeli S, Perreten V. Pathotyping and antibiotic resistance of porcine enterovirulent *Escherichia coli* isolates from Switzerland (2014-2015). *Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd*. (2017) 159:373–80. doi: 10.17236/sat00120
- 41. Arancia S, Iurescia M, Lorenzetti S, Stravino F, Buccella C, Caprioli A, et al. Detection and isolation of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC)

isolates in caecal samples from pigs at slaughter in Italy. *Vet Med Sci.* (2019) 5:462–9 doi: 10.1002/vms3.175

- 42. Fratamico PM, Bagi LK, Pepe T. A multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay for rapid detection and identification of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in foods and bovine feces. *J Food Prot.* (2000) 63:1032–7. doi: 10.4315/0362-028x-63.8.1032
- 43. Milnes AS, Sayers AR, Stewart I, Clifton-Hadley FA, Davies RH, Newell DG, et al. Factors related to the carriage of Verocytotoxigenic *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, thermophilic Campylobacter and Yersinia enterocolitica in cattle, sheep and pigs at slaughter. *Epidemiol Infect.* (2009) 137:1135–48. doi: 10.1017/S095026880900199X
- Lenahan M, O'Brien SB, Byrne C, Ryan M, Kennedy CA, McNamara EB, et al. Molecular characterization of Irish *E. coli* O157: H7 isolates of human, bovine, ovine and porcine origin. *J Appl Microbiol*. (2009) 107:1340– 9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04320.x
- 45. Bonardi S, Brindani F, Pizzin G, Lucidi L, D'Incau M, Liebana E, et al. Detection of Salmonella spp., Yersinia enterocolitica and verocytotoxinproducing Escherichia coli O157 in pigs at slaughter in Italy. Int J Food Microbiol. (2003) 85:101–10. doi: 10.1016/s0168-1605(02)00504-4
- Feder I, Morgan Wallace F, Gray JT, Fratamico P, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Pearce RA, et al. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from intact colon faecal samples of swine. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2003) 9:380–3. doi: 10.3201/eid0903.020350
- Friedrich AW, Bielaszewska M, Zhang WL, Pulz M, Kuczius T, Ammon A, et al. *Escherichia coli* harboring Shiga toxin 2 gene variants: frequency and association with clinical symptoms. *J Infect Dis.* (2002) 185:74– 84. doi: 10.1086/338115
- Liu W, Yuan C, Meng X, Du Y, Gao R, Tang J, et al. Frequency of virulence factors in *Escherichia coli* isolated from suckling pigs with diarrhoea in China. *Vet J.* (2014) 199:286–9. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2013.11.019
- Osek J. Virulence factors and genetic relatedness of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from pigs with post-weaning diarrhea. *Vet Microbiol.* (2000) 71:211– 22. doi: 10.1016/s0378-1135(99)00168-6
- Osek J. Genetic diversity among *Escherichia coli* O149:K91 strains isolated from pigs with diarrhoea determined by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis. *Res Vet Sci.* (1999) 67:195–6. doi: 10.1053/rvsc.1999.0285
- 51. Kwon D, Choi C, Jung T, Chung H-K, Kim J-R, Bae S-S, et al. Genotypic prevalence of the fimbrial adhesins (F4, F5, F6, F41 and F18) and toxins (LT, STa, STb and Sbc2e) in *Escherichia coli* isolated from postweaning pigs with diarrhoea or oedema disease in Korea. *Vet Rec.* (2002) 150:35– 7. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1716153
- Toledo A, Gomez D, Cruz C, Carreon R, Lopez J, Giono S, et al. Prevalence of virulence genes in *Escherichia coli* isolates isolated from piglets in the suckling and weaning period in Mexico. J Med Microbiol. (2012) 61:148– 56. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.031302-0
- 53. Mandakini R, Dutta TK, Chingtham S, Roychoudhury P, Samanta I, Joardar SN, et al. ESBL-producing Shiga-toxigenix *E. coli* (STEC) associated

with piglet diarrhea in India. Trop Anim Health Prod. (2015) 47:377-81. doi: 10.1007/s11250-014-0731-1

- 54. Jones CH, Tuckman M, Keeney D, Ruzin A, Bradford PA. Characterization and sequence analysis of extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-encoding genes from *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumonia,* and *Proteus mirabilis* isolates collected during tigecycline phase 3 clinical trials. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2009) 53:465–75. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00883-08
- Doi Y, Iovleva A, Bonomo RA. The ecology of extended-spectrum blactamases (ESBLs) in the developed world. J Travel Med. (2017) 24(Suppl. 1):S44–51 doi: 10.1093/jtm/taw102
- 56. Smith MG, Jordan D, Chapman TA, Chin JJ, Barton MD, Do TN, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence gene profiles in multi-drug resistant enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigs with post-weaning diarrhoea. *Vet Microbiol.* (2010) 145:299–307. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.04.004
- 57. Yang H, Paruch L, Chen X, van Eerde A, Skomedal H, Wang Y, et al. Antibiotic application and resistance in Swine production in China: current situation and future perspectives. *Front Vet Sci.* (2019) 6:136. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00136
- Mohan Raj JR, Vittal R, Shivakumaraswamy SK, Deekshit VK, Chakraborty A, Karunasagar I. Presence & mobility of antimicrobial resistance in Gramnegative bacteria from environmental samples in coastal Karnataka, India. *Indian J Med Res.* (2019) 149:290–4. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_2088_17
- Fouz N, Pangesti KNA, Yasir M, Al-Malki AL, Azhar EI, Hill-Cawthorne GA, et al. The contribution of wastewater to the transmission of antimicrobial resistance in the environment: implications of mass gathering settings. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* (2020) 5:33. doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed5010033
- 60. Hamabata T, Sato T, Takita E, Matsui T, Imaoka T, Nakanishi N, et al. Shiga toxin 2eB-transgenic lettuce vaccine is effective in protecting weaned piglets from edema disease caused by Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection. *Anim Sci J.* (2019) 90:1460–7. doi: 10.1111/asj.13292
- Matsui T, Asao H, Ki M, Sawada K, Kato K. Transgenic lettuce producing a candidate protein for vaccine against edema disease. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem.* (2009) 73:1628–34. doi: 10.1271/bbb.90129

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Baldo, Salogni, Giovannini, D'Incau, Boniotti, Birbes, Pitozzi, Formenti, Grassi, Pasquali and Alborali. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.