Abstract citation ID: ckac093.048

S09-4 The development of the Physical Activity Environment Policy Index (PA-EPI): a tool for monitoring and benchmarking government policies and actions to improve physical activity

and actions to improve physical activity Catherine B. Woods¹, Liam Kelly¹, Kevin Volf¹, Peter Gelius², Sven Messing², Sarah Forberger³, Jeroen Lakerveld^{4,5}, Nicolette R. den Braver^{4,5}, Joanna Zukowska⁶, Enrique García Bengoechea^{1,7}, on behalf of the PEN consortium ¹Physical Activity for Health Research Cluster, Health Research Institute,

¹Physical Activity for Health Research Cluster, Health Research Institute Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland ²Department of Sport Science and Sport, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, 91058 Erlangen, Germany ³Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology – BIPS, 28359

³Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology – BIPS, 28359 Bremen, Germany

⁴Amsterdam UMĆ, VU University Amsterdam, Department of Epidemiology and Data Science, Amsterdam Public Health Research institute, De Boelelaan 1089a, Amsterdam 1081 HV, The Netherlands

⁵Upstream Team, www.upstreamteam.nl. Amsterdam UMC, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1089a, Amsterdam 1081 HV, The Netherlands ⁶Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk 80-213, Poland

⁷Research and Innovation Unit, Sport Ireland, Dublin, Ireland Corresponding author: catherine.woods@ul.ie

Background

Insufficient physical activity (PA) is a global issue for health. A multifaceted response, including government action, is essential to improve population levels of PA. The purpose of this study was to develop the 'Physical Activity Environment Policy Index' (PA-EPI) monitoring framework to assess government policies and actions for creating a healthy PA environment. **Methods**

An iterative process was undertaken. This involved a review of policy documents from authoritative organisations, a policy audit of four European countries, and systematic reviews of scientific literature. This was followed by an online consultation with academic experts (N = 101; 20 countries, 72% response rate), and policymakers (N = 40, 4 EU countries).

During this process, consensus workshops where quantitative and qualitative data alongside theoretical and pragmatic considerations were used to inform PA-EPI development. **Results**

The PA-EPI is conceptualised as a two-component 'policy' and 'infrastructure support' framework. The two components comprise eight policy and seven infrastructure support domains. The policy domains are education, transport, urban design, healthcare, public education (including mass media), sport-for-all, workplaces and community. The infrastructure support domains are leadership, governance, monitoring and intelligence, funding and resources, platforms for interaction, workforce development, and health-in-all-policies. Forty-five 'good practice statements' (GPS) or indicators of ideal good practice within each domain concludes the PA-EPI is described.

Conclusions

Once pre-tested and piloted in several countries of various sizes and income levels, the PA-EPI GPS will evolve into benchmarks established by governments at the forefront of creating and implementing policies to address inactivity. **Keywords:** physical activity, benchmarking, policy, implementation, sport, transport, mass media