Letter to the Editor



Hepatic artery resistive index as surrogate marker for fibrosis progression in NAFLD patients: A clinical perspective

International Journal of Immunopathology and Pharmacology Volume 32: I–3 © The Author(s) 2018 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/2058738418781373 journals.sagepub.com/home/iji



Claudio Tana¹, Cosima Schiavone², Andrea Ticinesi¹, Fulvio Lauretani¹, Antonio Nouvenne¹, Christoph Frank Dietrich³ and Tiziana Meschi¹

Abstract

Ultrasound (US) can reveal the presence of steatosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), but its diagnostic accuracy to reveal signs of fibrosis is low except in advanced stages of disease (e.g. cirrhosis). Current guidelines suggest the use of clinical algorithms, such as the NAFLD fibrosis score, and elastography to predict the progression of fibrosis, and the integration of elastography improves the detection accuracy of liver stiffness. However, there is a lack of evidence about the correlation between clinical algorithms and conventional US, and elastography is limited by the relative low diffusion, necessity of training, and loss of diagnostic accuracy in patients with high body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, or increased thickness of parietal walls, with consequent significant rates of failure of measurement of liver stiffness. Recently, the measurement of hepatic artery resistive index (HARI) has demonstrated a significant positive correlation with fibrosis degree, as measured with NAFLD fibrosis score, suggesting that the fibrous tissue accumulation may result in increased arterial rigidity and, therefore, in a rise of resistance to flow, and that the different tissue composition of the liver (adipose versus fibrous) can influence HARI differently. These issues should be further investigated because some aspects are still unknown. The limited data currently justify the need of larger, prospective studies aimed at assessing whether HARI correlates with elastography results. In view of their effect on weight loss, serum lipid concentration, and hepatic arterial flow hemodynamics, it could be interesting to evaluate if lifestyle and diet changes can influence significantly HARI values in NAFLD patients.

Keywords

fatty liver disease, fibrosis, hepatic artery resistive index, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, score, steatosis

Date received: 21 February 2018; accepted: 15 May 2018

Clinical perspective

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a common worldwide disorder characterized by imaging or histological evidence of steatosis occurring in patients with predisposing risk factors such as diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. Patients should have no specific etiology of liver disease such as viral hepatitis and alcohol abuse.^{1,2}

Instrumental evaluation of NAFLD is made with conventional ultrasound (US), an inexpensive and

Internal Medicine and Critical Subacute Care Unit, Medicine Geriatric-Rehabilitation Department, University Hospital of Parma, Parma, Italy

²Unit of Ultrasound in Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine and Science of Aging, "G. d'Annunzio" University, Chieti, Italy ³Department of Internal Medicine 2, Caritas-Krankenhaus Bad Mergentheim, Academic Teaching Hospital of the University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

Corresponding author:

Claudio Tana, Internal Medicine and Critical Subacute Care Unit, Medicine Geriatric-Rehabilitation Department, University Hospital of Parma, Via Antonio Gramsci 14, 43126 Parma, Italy. Email: ctana@ao.pr.it

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). largely diffused technique, which is reliable to reveal the presence of hepatic steatosis. Because of low cost, simple, and rapid execution for skilled operators, US is effective to detect several hepatic and extrahepatic disorders, and can be useful in almost all body organ and tissues.^{3–6} However, US is limited in several clinical conditions, such as low compliance, high degree of meteorism, and non-fasting patients. US diagnostic accuracy is improved by the use of ultrasound contrast agents (UCAs), a relative novel class of drugs which has demonstrated a diagnostic efficacy not only in liver diseases, by differentiating benign from malignant lesions according to the contrast washout in portal venous and late phases,^{7,8} but also in several extraliver conditions, including other focal tumors,^{9–11} rare disorders, and vascular malformations.^{11,12} Their use has diffused in clinical practice, and contrast enhancement ultrasound (CEUS) can be considered a valid alternative to traditional imaging techniques such as contrast enhancement computed tomography and contrast enhancement magnetic resonance imaging (CECT and CMRI, respectively) for several clinical indications, with the advantage of absence of radiation exposure and nephrotoxicity. However, some limits are the same of conventional US such as low patient compliance and high degree of meteorism.⁸

Despite advances in the diagnosis of focal liver disorders, the diagnostic accuracy of conventional US to reveal signs of fibrosis progression is low except in advanced stages of the disease (e.g. cirrhosis). Current guidelines suggest the use of clinical algorithms such as NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) and fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) calculator which have been validated in ethnically different NAFLD populations and have demonstrated a high accuracy to predict significant overall cardiovascular (CV) and liver-disease mortality.^{13–18} In particular, NFS is a simple scoring system, including routine demographic, clinical, and laboratory variables, such as body mass index (BMI), platelet count, albumin, and aspartate aminotransferase/alanine aminotranferease (AST/ALT) ratio.14 In particular, Angulo et al. found that a cutoff score of -1.455can exclude the presence of advanced fibrosis with high accuracy (negative predictive value (NPV) of 93% groups), avoiding an unnecessary liver biopsy in 75% of patients.14

The use of elastography has further improved the evaluation of liver stiffness measurement also in

NAFLD patients.^{15–17} Main limits of elastography are that patients with high BMI ($\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), waist circumference ≥ 102 cm, or increased thickness of parietal walls are associated with significant rates of failure of measurement of liver stiffness.17,18 Elastography requires moreover specific training and is not available everywhere, and there is a lack of data about the correlation between clinical algorithms (e.g. NFS) and US findings (both conventional examination and elastography).¹⁸ Different approaches were evaluated to identify patients at high risk of significant fibrosis. Previously, the measurement of hepatic artery resistive index (HARI) demonstrated an inverse correlation with the US severity of steatosis (mild versus moderate versus severe, and all groups versus controls), suggesting different physiopathological mechanisms influencing the hepatic arterial resistance in the different NAFLD populations.¹⁹ In a recent study, HARI has demonstrated a significant positive correlation with fibrosis degree, as measured with NFS. HARI exceeded the range of controls for patients with NFS greater than 0.675 (mean HARI value=0.98±0.02 of NAFLD patients versus 0.88 ± 0.03 of controls, P < 0.05), suggesting that the fibrous tissue accumulation may result in increased arterial rigidity and, therefore, in a rise of resistance to flow, and that the different tissue composition of the liver (adipose versus fibrous) in the two hepatic disorders can influence HARI differently.¹⁹

These data support the hypothesis that the increased liver stiffness can affect globally US parameters, and suggest that the arterial resistance is differently influenced, depending on the prevalent tissue.

However, in view of the limited data, these issues should be further investigated with larger prospective trials because some aspects are still unknown. Further studies should evaluate also whether these parameters correlate with those from elastography. In view of their effect on weight loss, serum lipid concentration, and hepatic arterial flow hemodynamics,²⁰ it could be also interesting to evaluate whether lifestyle and diet changes can influence significantly HARI in NAFLD patients.

Acknowledgements

C.T. and C.S. collected the data and performed the study; A.T., F.L., A.N., C.F.D., and T.M. critically modified and revised this paper and gave their final approval for submission; and C.T. and C.S. contributed equally.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Zhu JZ, Hollis-Hansen K, Wan XY, et al. (2016) Clinical guidelines of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 22(36): 8226–8233.
- 2. Tana C, Giamberardino MA and Cipollone F (2017) microRNA profiling in atherosclerosis, diabetes, and migraine. *Annals of Medicine* 49(2): 93–105.
- Ignee A, Weiper D, Schuessler G, et al. (2005) Sonographic characterisation of hepatocellular carcinoma at time of diagnosis. *Zeitschrift für Gastroenterologie* 43(3): 289–294.
- Tana C, D'Alessandro P, Tartaro A, et al. (2013) Sonographic assessment of a suspected biloma: A case report and review of the literature. *World Journal* of Radiology 5(5): 220–225.
- 5. Cui XW, Jenssen C, Saftoiu A, et al. (2013) New ultrasound techniques for lymph node evaluation. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 19(30): 4850.
- Tana M, Tana C, Schiavone C, et al. (2017) Spontaneous dissection of the celiac artery in the young: A case report and systematic review of the literature. *Hospital Practice* 15: 1–7.
- Strobel D, Seitz K, Blank W, et al. (2008) Contrastenhanced ultrasound for the characterization of focal liver lesions—Diagnostic accuracy in clinical practice (DEGUM multicenter trial). *Ultraschall in der Medizin* 29(5): 499–505.
- Chiorean L, Tana C, Braden B, et al. (2016) Advantages and limitations of focal liver lesions assessment with ultrasound contrast agents: Comments to the European Federation of Societies for Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology (EFSUMB) guidelines. *Medical Principles and Practice* 25(5): 399–407.
- Tana C, Dietrich CF and Schiavone C (2014) Hepatosplenic sarcoidosis: Contrast-enhanced ultrasound findings and implications for clinical practice. *Biomed Research International* 2014: 926203.
- Tana C, Iannetti G, Mezzetti A, et al. (2014) Splenic sarcoidosis remains a diagnostic challenge. *Journal of Clinical Ultrasound* 42(3): 156.

- Tana C, Iannetti G, D'Alessandro P, et al. (2013) Pitfalls of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) in the diagnosis of splenic sarcoidosis. *Journal of Ultrasound* 16(2): 75–80.
- Tana C, Dietrich CF, Badea R, et al. (2014) Contrastenhanced ultrasound in portal venous system aneurysms: A multi-center study. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* 20(48): 18375–18383.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL), European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) and European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO) (2016) EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Journal of Hepatology* 64(6): 1388–1402.
- Angulo P, Hui JM, Marchesini G, et al. (2007) The NAFLD fibrosis score: A noninvasive system that identifies liver fibrosis in patients with NAFLD. *Hepatology* 45(4): 846–854.
- McPherson S, Stewart SF, Henderson E, et al. (2010) Simple non-invasive fibrosis scoring systems can reliably exclude advanced fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gut* 59(9): 1265–1269.
- 16. Aykut UE, Akyuz U, Yesil A, et al. (2014) A comparison of Fibrometer[™] NAFLD Score, NAFLD fibrosis score, and transient elastography as noninvasive diagnostic tools for hepatic fibrosis in patients with biopsy-proven non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology* 49(11): 1343–1348.
- Cassinotto C, Boursier J, de Lédinghen V, et al. (2016) Liver stiffness in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A comparison of supersonic shear imaging, FibroScan, and ARFI with liver biopsy. *Hepatology* 63(6): 1817– 1827.
- Xiao G, Zhu S, Xiao X, et al. (2017) Comparison of laboratory tests, ultrasound, or magnetic resonance elastography to detect fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis. *Hepatology* 66(5): 1486–1501.
- Tana C, Tana M, Rossi S, et al. (2016) Hepatic artery resistive index (HARI) and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) fibrosis score in NAFLD patients Cut-off suggestive of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) evolution. *Journal of Ultrasound* 19(3): 183–189.
- Bucci M, Tana C, Giamberardino MA, et al. (2016) Lp(a) and cardiovascular risk: Investigating the hidden side of the moon. *Nutrition, Metabolism, and Cardiovascular Diseases* 26: 980–986.