#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### **Open Access**

# 126 novel mutations in Italian patients with neurofibromatosis type 1

Donatella Bianchessi<sup>1,a</sup>, Sara Morosini<sup>1,a</sup>, Veronica Saletti<sup>2</sup>, Maria Cristina Ibba<sup>1</sup>, Federica Natacci<sup>3</sup>, Silvia Esposito<sup>2</sup>, Claudia Cesaretti<sup>3</sup>, Daria Riva<sup>2</sup>, Gaetano Finocchiaro<sup>1</sup> & Marica Eoli<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Molecular Neuro-oncology, IRCCS Foundation, "C. Besta" Neurological Institute, Milan, Italy <sup>2</sup>Developmental Neurology, IRCCS Foundation, "C. Besta" Neurological Institute, Milan, Italy <sup>3</sup>Medical Genetics, IRCSS Foundation, Cà Grande-Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

#### Keywords

Diagnostic criteria, mutation database, neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), novel mutations

#### Correspondence

Marica Eoli, Fondazione IRCSS Istituto Neurologico "Carlo Besta", Via Celoria 11, 20133 Milan, Italy. Tel: +39-02-23942285; Fax: +39-02-23942453; E-mail: marica.eoli@istituto-besta.it

#### **Funding Information**

This work was supported in part by grant from the Italian Ministry of Health (RF-2008-1204836\_200709).

Received: 20 February 2015; Revised: 25 May 2015; Accepted: 1 June 2015

#### Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine 2015; 3(6): 513–525

doi: 10.1002/mgg3.161

<sup>a</sup>Equal contribution.

#### Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is a human autosomal dominant disorder that affects approximately 1 in 3500 individuals worldwide (Carey et al. 1986; Friedman 1999). The most common features of NF1 are pigmentary abnormalities such as café-au-lait macules (CALs) and skinfold freckling, Lisch nodules (LNs), cutaneous and plexiform neurofibromas (PNs), optic gliomas, and bone lesions. These symptoms are age-dependent (with a full clinical manifestation typically by 12 years of age) and present high variability in expressivity, even among affected members of the same family (Jett and Friedman 2010).

#### Abstract

Genetic analysis of Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) may facilitate the identification of patients in early phases of the disease. Here, we present an overview of our diagnostic research spanning the last 11 years, with a focus on the description of 225 NF1 mutations, 126 of which are novel, found in a series of 607 patients (513 unrelated) in Italy. Between 2003 and 2013, 443 unrelated patients were profiled by denaturing high pressure liquid chromatography (DHPLC) analysis of 60 amplicons derived from genomic NF1 DNA and subsequent sequencing of heterozygotic PCR products. In addition, a subset of patients was studied by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) to identify any duplications, large deletions or microdeletions present at the locus. Over the last year, 70 unrelated patients were investigated by MLPA and sequencing of 22 amplicons spanning the entire NF1 cDNA. Mutations were found in 70% of the 293 patients studied by DHPLC, thereby fulfilling the NIH criterion for the clinical diagnosis of NF1 (detection rate: 70%); furthermore, 87% of the patients studied by RNA sequencing were genetically characterized. Mutations were also found in 36 of the 159 patients not fulfilling the NIH clinical criteria. We confirmed a higher incidence of intellectual disability in patients harboring microdeletion type 1 and observed a correlation between a mild phenotype and the small deletion c.2970\_2972delAAT or the missense alteration in amino acid residue 1809 (p.Arg1809Cvs). These data support the use of RNA-based methods for genetic analysis and provide novel information for improving the management of symptoms in oligosymptomatic patients.

NF1 is caused by mutations in the neurofibromin gene (17q11.2.5-7; NM\_000267.3), which encodes a negative regulator of the Ras guanosine triphosphate (GTP)ase proteins and acts as a tumor suppressor gene. The detection of mutations in the NF1 gene is complex due to the large size of the gene (>350 kb), the presence of pseudogenes, the lack of hot spots, and a high mutation rate (50% of cases are sporadic and stem from de novo mutations; Cawthon et al. 1990; Huson 2008).

To date, small changes are most frequently identified in NF1 (approximately 80–90%) and often cause premature stop codons. Between 5% and 10% of these changes were characterized by microdeletion of the entire NF1 gene and

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2015</sup> The Authors. Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

contiguous genes (1–1.4 Mb) (Kluwe et al. 2004). Insertion and copy number alterations were reported less frequently in the literature (Kehrer-sawatzki et al. 2014). This wide mutational spectrum and the complex molecular features of the NF1 gene have been a source of difficulty in the identification of genotype–phenotype correlations.

At present, the diagnosis of NF1 is defined by clinical criteria described by the NIH in 1988 (Conference, 1988). However, children under 12 years without affected family members often share clinical features with "Rasopathy" syndromes such as Legius (Brems and Legius 2013; Rauen 2013). Thus, early clinical and molecular diagnosis of NF1 is challenging and requires improvement.

Between 2003 and 2013, we performed an *NF1* mutation analysis using a DNA-based approach: multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis was used to identify deletions and insertions in the NF1 gene, followed by denaturing high pressure liquid chromatography (DHPLC) and DNA sequencing to identify small pathogenic changes. We recently updated our protocol to a more sensitive approach utilizing both DNA and RNA to decrease the time of the analysis and increase mutation detection. Furthermore, RNA sequencing may help detect mutations affecting splicing that are not located at canonically conserved GT/AG splice sites (Messiaen et al. 2000; Wimmer et al. 2007; Valero et al. 2011).

Here, we report the mutational spectrum of 607 Italian patients referred to our center for the molecular analysis of NF1 over the last eleven years (2003–2014). Notably, we report 126 new alterations identified in NF1 patients displaying a partially or fully developed phenotype, which could help clinicians with patient management and genetic counseling.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Patient population**

Patients were referred to our Institute from twenty northern Italian centers. All gave written informed consent prior to genetic analysis.

A totals of 537 people were screened in our Institute between May 2003 and August 2013 for *NF1* mutations by a DNA-based approach including MLPA, DHPLC analysis and DNA sequencing; 443 of the patients were unrelated, whereas 94 were related. The median age was 22, and females and males spanned the same range. 293 of the unrelated patients fulfilled NIH criteria. The median age was 22 years (range: 6 months to 67 years); 42% were less than 12 years of age (122/293), and 50% were less than 18 years of age (146/293).

The 94 individuals with relatives included in the study were representative of 57 Italian families. Thirty-four of these 57 families were investigated for affected members, and 23 of the 57 families were used to verify the pathogenicity and de novo origin of the detected alteration.

Seventy unrelated patients were screened for *NF1* mutations by RNA-sequencing after prescreening by MLPA between September 2013 and August 2014. The median age was 27 years (range: 4 months to 70 years), and contained 64% females; 87% of the patients (61/70) manifested the clinical features of NF1.

#### DNA extraction, RNA extraction and retrotranscription

DNA was isolated from ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) blood samples using a Gentra<sup>®</sup> Puregene<sup>®</sup> Blood Core Kit B (Qiagen, Venlo, Netherlands Carlsband, California, USA). RNA samples were collected in Tempus Blood RNA Tubes (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) and extracted with a Tempus<sup>TM</sup> Spin RNA Isolation Kit within 4 days. DNase treatment with Absolute RNA Wash Solution was performed for all samples during the RNA extraction protocol. RNA samples (1  $\mu$ g) were reverse-transcribed using 50 units of High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription mix (Life Technologies) and 20 units of RNAse inhibitor (Ambion<sup>®</sup>, Austin, TX). Beta-2-Microglobulin amplification was used as a quality control for retro-transcription.

#### **MLPA** analysis

Patients' DNA was analyzed by MLPA with NF1 MLPA SALSA P081 and P082 (MRC Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). MLPA was performed routinely after July 2008, and a retrospective analysis was conducted for negative patients. P081/P082-positive patients with a deletion of the entire NF1 gene were screened again with the MLPA P122 SALSA kit. The results obtained with a ABI Prism 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies) were analyzed using Coffaliser.Net Software (MRC Holland).

# Microarray-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH)

Abnormalities identified by MLPA were subsequently tested by CGH Agilent array (protocol CGH v.6.0). A custom-designed  $8 \times 60$  K array (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) was used to detect exon deletions and duplications in the NF1 gene (data available on request). CGH array experiments were performed by the department of Human Pathology at Pavia University.

#### **DHPLC** analysis

Genomic DNA from patients testing negative by MLPA was analyzed by DHPLC prior to December 2013. The

NF1 gene was amplified by PCR in 60 amplicons of approximately 400 bp each. PCR products were analyzed on a DHPLC Wave Transgenomic 3500HT (Transgenomic<sup>®</sup>, Crewe, UK) equipped with a DNASep column (Transgenomic<sup>®</sup>). The oven temperatures for optimal heteroduplex separation were determined using WAVEmaker software v4.1.40 (Transgenomic<sup>®</sup>). Primers and annealing temperature were improved from Han et al. 2001 and Upadhyaya et al. 2004 (data available on request). PCR products displaying a heterozygous pattern were sequenced using an ABI Prism 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies) to identify mutations.

#### **DNA sequencing**

Sequencing analysis was performed on positive amplicons obtained via DHPLC. PCR reactions were performed using Taq Gold Polymerase<sup>®</sup> (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The sizes of PCR products were verified by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel. PCR products were purified using ExoSAP-IT® (USB Corporation, Cleveland, OH) according to the manufacturer's protocol and were sequenced in both directions using an ABI PRISM BigDye terminator sequencing kit v1.1 (Life Technologies) on an ABI Prism 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). Primers used for amplification and sequencing were the same as those used for DHPLC analysis. Bidirectional DNA sequences were compared to normal DNA and to NM 000267.3 reference sequence (NC 000017.10). NF1 exon numbering followed that used in the NCBI Reference Sequence (NG 009018.1) and included exons 1 to 58 (skipping exon 31, formerly referred to as exon 23a). Family members were usually studied by direct sequencing for the known mutation only.

#### cDNA amplification and sequencing

*NF1* cDNA was fully amplified in 22 overlapping fragments ranging from 400 to 560 bp (Valero et al. 2011). Primer sets were designed according to Valero et al. with the exception of segment F16 (exon 37 to 39). cDNA variations were confirmed at the DNA level, and exons 1 and 23a were typically sequenced, as described above, at the DNA level to test for GC-rich regions.

# Prediction of impacts at the protein and mRNA levels

The possible effects on NF1 gene and protein of the genetic variations identified only in DNA were predicted based on different databases and prediction sites including Mutation Taster (http://www.mutationtaster.org) by simultaneously querying different databases, such as the NCBI SNP database (dbSNP), the 1000 Genomes Project (TGP), disease variants from dbSNP (ClinVar) and the Human Genome Mutation Database (HGMD) (Schwarz et al. 2010). The HGMD (http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/ index.php - Institute of Medical Genetics, Cardiff, Wales, UK) and LOVD (Leiden Open Variation Database- http:// www.LOVD.nl/NF1) (Fokkema et al. 2011; van Minkelen et al. 2014) databases were usually interrogated to verify whether the mutation was novel. PolyPhen-2, (http:// genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) (Adzhubei et al. 2010) was used to predict the pathogenicity of novel missense alterations by evaluating the functional and structural impacts of an amino acid substitution. The possible effects on mRNA were evaluated with tools including splice site prediction by neural network (www.fruitfly.org/ seq\_tools/splice.html) (Reese et al. 1997), the Human Splicing Finder (HSF; http://www.umd.be/HSF/) (Desmet et al. 2009) and exonic splicing enhancer (ESE) Finder (http://rulai.cshl.edu/cgibin/tools/ESE3/esefinder.cgi?pro cess=home) (Cartegni et al. 2003; Smith et al. 2006).

#### **Clinical data and data analysis**

Clinical data for patients were obtained through a clinical questionnaire completed by the referring physician. The chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test was used to test for differences in mutation distribution among the four protein domains of neurofibromin.

Genotype–phenotype correlations were studied using multiple logistic regression models, with covariates of gender and age at the time of genetic testing. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals were calculated when there was a significant effect. The Bonferroni method was used to correct for multiple testing (significance level P = 0.003). Clinical features were considered individually for each type of mutation. Statistical analyses were performed with the software program SPSS 22.0 for IBM (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

#### Results

# NF1 cases detected by a DNA approach (2003–2013)

During the first 10 years of our diagnostic study, 443 unrelated patients were referred to the C. Besta Neurological Institute for clinical genetic testing of the NF1 gene (Fig. 1).

Among these 443 unrelated patients, only 293 fulfilled the NIH criteria for an NF1 diagnosis. The DNA-based protocol permitted the detection of pathogenic mutation in 206 of the 293 patients, fulfilling the clinical criteria (detection rate 70%). MLPA analysis distinguished 20 of



Figure 1. Summary of unrelated patients studied by DNA approach (2003–2013).

these 206 mutations (12 microdeletions, 6 intragenic deletions and 2 intragenic duplications), whereas DHPLC and DNA sequencing detected the other 186 mutations.

The DNA approach did not detect a pathogenic mutation in 87 of the 293 patients presenting with clinical features of NF1. Further characterization showed that one young patient had a SPRED1 mutation (Legius syndrome; Brems et al. 2007). Three patients were characterized by benign variants: the c.8436T>C variant (p.Asn2812Asn; NF1\_248), reported in LOVD as a silent variation with unknown pathogenicity, and the c.5172G>A variant (p.K1724K; NF1\_92 and NF1\_181), a silent variation described by Fahsold et al. 2000 at the DNA level and studied by Nementhova et al. at the RNA level (Fahsold et al. 2000; Nemethova et al. 2013). In two patients, we obtained clinical evidence of segmental NF1, probably due to mosaicism (NF1\_40 and NF1\_122). In addition, we reexamined 16 of the 83 negative cases with available RNA techniques. By RNA sequencing, we detected a pathogenic mutation in 12 of these 16 cases (75%). Overall, the pathogenic mutation in 71 patients went undetected despite the fulfillment of clinical criteria.

DNA analysis identified 33 mutations among 150 of the 443 that did not fulfill clinical criteria at the time of the study. Patients with incomplete clinical features included 98 of the 150 (65%) under 18 years of age (85 of the 98 under 12 years – 87%) and 52 adults (Table S1). We detected a pathogenic mutation in 6 of the 52 adults (11% – median age 39 years, range: 34– 43 years) presenting with partial clinical features: two had neurofibromas only (NF\_46 and NF1\_552), 2 had fewer than 6 CALs or scoliosis and gliomas (NF1\_212 and NF1\_355, respectively), and 2 had learning disabilities (LD) and neurofibromas (NF1\_19) or CALs (NF1\_553) (Table 1).

Table 1. NF1 adults not fulfilling NIH clinical criteria.

Patients ID	Age	Clinical features	Mutation	Reference
NF1_46	43	Neurofibromas	c.1466A>G	Osborn and Upadhyaya (1999), Messiaen et al. (1999)
NF1_552	39	Neurofibromas	c.7994A>G	Novel
NF1_212	34	CALs <6 and glioma	c.2291T>C	Fahsold et al. (2000)
NF1_355	42	Scoliosis and glioma	c.1062 + 113A>G	Novel
NF1_19	35	LD and neurofibromas	c.3916C>T	Park et al. (1998)
NF1_553	41	LD and CALs	c.7240 A>T	Novel

CALs, Café-au-lait; LD, learning disabilities.

Children under 12 years of age (26/33 – 79%) at the time of the study presented only with CALs in various numbers and with other minor clinical features (i.e., learning difficulties, Ubo's, hypertelorism, angiomas, or sclerosing cholangitis) (Table S1). Twelve children under 12 developed other major features such as lentigo, LNs and optic pathway gliomas.

94 individuals were related cases distributed among 57 Italian families. Of the 23 families screened for the presence of an alteration, a de novo mutation was found in 14 cases (60.8%).

# NF1 cases detected with MLPA and an RNA sequencing approach (2013–2014)

During the last year of the study, we updated our diagnostic methodology and took an RNA-based approach to characterize 70 unrelated cases. This approach enabled us to identify 56 of the 70 positive cases: MLPA prescreening



Figure 2. Summary of unrelated patients studied by RNA approach (2013–2014).

identified 5 variations (4 type 1 microdeletion and 4 intragenic deletions), and RNA sequencing detected another 49 positive cases (Fig. 2). In addition, 61 of the 70 patients fulfilled the NIH criteria. Thus, the detection rate was 87% (53/61). We could not detect any mutation in 8 clinically defined cases. Moreover, the RNA-based protocol detected a pathogenic mutation in individuals

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 3. Summary of all unrelated patients studied (2003-2014).

NF1\_556, NF1\_658 and NF1\_688, who did not fulfill NIH criteria possibly due to their young age (<2 years).

#### **Characterization of the mutations**

Of the 443 unrelated patients investigated by DNA sequencing and the 70 unrelated patients analyzed within the last year (513 cases, overall), we identified 225 single mutations (Fig. 3). Nineteen mutations (8%) were gross deletions (17/19) or duplications (2/19) of one or more exons. The remaining 206 mutations (92%) were small changes spread among all *NF1* exons (Fig. 4). No hot spot region was identified. The exons most frequently hit by alterations (7 single mutations) were 5, 27, 28, 36 and 37. Twenty-two amplicons contained between 4 and 6 alterations; 27 exons displayed 1 to 3 changes, whereas exons 24, 23a, 35, 43, 55, and 57 were unaffected (Fig. 4).

Overall, 87% of single mutations were unique (i.e., only observed in one unrelated patient or family; 196/225). The remaining 29 mutations (13%) were observed more than once. Exons 5, 13, 28, 37, and 45 were frequently mutated in our cohort. The most frequent mutation, identified in 9 unrelated patients, was c.1466A>G, p.Tyr489\* (exon 13) (Table 2) (Messiaen et al. 1999;

![](_page_4_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **Distribution of NF1's mutations**

Figure 4. Distributions of the 206 single small mutations identified for each NF1 exon (17q11.2.5-7; NM\_000267.3).

 Table 2. Variable clinical features in patients with the same mutation.

Patient ID	Age at diagnosis	Mutation	Clinical features	Fulfilling NIH criteria
NF1_46	43	c.1466A>G	3 NFs	No
NF1_48	1	c.1466A>G	CALs, AF	Yes
NF1_157	59	c.1466A>G	CALs, AF, NF, lymphoma	Yes
NF1_199	39	c.1466A>G	CALs, AF, NF, LN	Yes
NF1_229	51	c.1466A>G	CALs, NF, LN, GB	Yes
NF1_245	4	c.1466A>G	CALs, AF	Yes
NF1_279	11	c.1466A>G	CALs, AF, LN	Yes
NF1_712	12	c.1466A>G	CALs, LN	Yes
NF1_775	24	c.1466A>G	CALs, AF, NF	Yes

CALs, Café-au-lait; NF, neurofibromas; AF, axillary freckling; OG, Optic glioma; GB, Glioblastoma; LN, Lisch nodules.

Osborn and Upadhyaya 1999). A type 1 microdeletion was found in 11 patients, and 2 unrelated patients presented microdeletion type III.

In total, 126 of the 225 mutations (56%) were novel (i.e., not present in HGMD and LOVD databases). All novel mutations identified herein have been deposited in

the LOVD database (http://www.LOVD.nl/NF1) and described according to HGSV recommendations. All sites of missense mutations were sequenced in 100 healthy individuals to confirm that the mutation was not a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). The 126 novel mutations are summarized in Table S2.

Of the 126 novel mutations, 110 were small changes and 16 were aberrant deletions/duplications of one or more exons (Table 4). The 110 small mutations were distributed along all *NF1* exons, from 1 to 58 (Fig. 5).

Four NF1 protein domains have been identified: a Cystein and Serine Rich Domain (CSRD; amino acids 543–909), a Gap Region Domain (GRD; amino acids 1168–1530), a Leucine Zip Domain (LZD; amino acids 1543–1550), and a C-terminal Domain (CTD; amino acids 2260–2818) containing the Nuclear Localization Site (NLS; amino acids 2534–2550) and Tyrosine Kinase Recognition sites (TRS; amino acids 2549–2556). We observed a trend in the localization of the novel mutations to the GRD (18/110 – 16%), CTD (20/110 – 18%) and CSRD (14/110 – 13%). No novel mutations affected the LZR or the TRS site, although one was located in the NLS domain (exon 51). The remaining 53% of mutations

![](_page_5_Figure_10.jpeg)

### Distribution of 206 single mutations

Figure 5. Distributions of the 206 single small mutations divided in Known (95) and Novel mutations (110) for each NF1 exon. Gray bars represent the novel mutation while the black bar the known alterations (17q11.2.5-7; NM\_000267.3).

fell within exons not yet linked to a biological function (Table S3).

The majority of the identified mutations consisted of small changes (110/126 - 87%), such as small deletions and insertions (44% - 55/126) causing a frameshift or a premature stop codon. Others were splicing (21% - 27/126), missense (12% - 15/126) and nonsense (10% - 13/126) mutations (Fig. 6). Atypical microdeletions and deletions/insertions of one or more exons represented 13% of mutations (16/126; 4% atypical microdeletion and 9% deletion/duplication).

Deletions of 1 or 2 nucleotides were the most frequent alteration (26/55). However, patient NF1\_459 lost 7 nucleotides in the exon 15, and NF1\_156F lost 15 nucleotides of exon 36, whereas only 2 patients had in-frame deletions (NF1\_82; NF1\_156F). Fourteen of 55 patients harbored an insertion or duplication of 1 or 2 nucleotides. We identified the duplication of part of exon 44 in one patient (NF1\_6). In 4 cases, deletions and insertions of new nucleotides caused a frameshift (NF1\_384, 339, 614), and in one case an in-frame alteration (NF1\_314). The other 6 patients had deletions or duplications of more than 3 nucleotides (see Table S2 for more details).

# Single-nucleotide change mutations: nonsense, missense and silent mutations

Of the novel mutations identified, 13 (10%) created stop codons and produced a truncated protein, whereas 15 were classified as missense. We used PolyPhen software to predict whether the amino acid variations were pathogenic by examining their conservation during evolution. Four of 13 missense mutations found by DNA analysis are predicted to cause splicing errors: NF1\_208 and NF1\_197 hit the splice site consensus sequence, whereas

### **New Mutations Classification**

![](_page_6_Figure_8.jpeg)

Figure 6. The classification of 126 novel NF1 mutations (17q11.2.5-7; NM\_000267.3).

NF1\_434/640 and NF1\_322 fell within ESE sequences that influence correct splicing.

#### Splicing mutations: effect on mRNA

The splicing mutations identified herein predominantly affected consensus splice sites (21/27 - 78%); 17 affect the donor site (5'SS) and 4 the acceptor site (3'SS).

NF1\_355 has a deep intronic alteration in intron 9 (c. 1062 + 113 A>G). In silico analysis (Fruitfly, see M&M) showed that this mutation creates a new donor site. This results in the inclusion of a cryptic exon in the mRNA transcript and the formation of a truncated protein of 366 aa. Meanwhile, NF1\_556 (c.3748C>A) created a new acceptor site inside exon 28, and NF1\_416 (c.2410-18 C>G) resulted in the formation of a new acceptor site 18 nucleotides upstream of the canonical site. These mutations were confirmed by RNA analysis.

# Microdeletion and intragenic deletion/duplication of one or more exon

Sixteen patients had deletions or exon duplications that were revealed by MLPA (Table 3). Gross rearrangements were confirmed by CGH-array analysis. We found 5 of the 16 atypical microdeletions that involved the NF1 gene and the flanking centromeric and telomeric regions of chromosome 17q11.2. These deletions ranged from 0.8 to 1.8 Mb in size and included different genes such as NU-FIP2, BLMH, CRLF3, and RFN135 at the centromeric region, and RAB11TIP4, UTP6, SUZ12, MYOD at telomeric region. Notably, 2 atypical deletions were familial cases (NF1 31 and NF1 582) (Table 3A). Moreover, we detected new intragenic deletions (9/16) and duplications (2/16) (Table 3B and C). Intragenic deletions spanned over 35 kb and included exon 1. Two distinct familial cases presented the same internal deletion of exon 34, which was transmitted to offspring: NF1\_373 to his daughter NF1\_374, and NF1\_95 to his son NF1\_96. The other 7 cases reported deletions affecting multiple exons. Two intragenic duplications were also found: NF1 602 (exons 28/29; 0.3 Kb) and NF1\_295 (exons 13/31; 45 Kb).

#### **Clinical data**

We reviewed the clinical data of 207 patients with *NF1* mutations (shown in Table 4).

The percentage of major clinical phenotypes is in accordance to previous reports (Tonsgard 2006; Jett and Friedman 2010). When patients under 12 years of age were excluded, the incidence of neurofibromas and LNs decreased (Huson 2008). The majority of patients

Patient	S/F	Deleted	Type of deletions	Array-CGH hg18 assembly deletion 17q11.2
(A) New atypical micro	odeletion			
NF1_31 <sup>1</sup>	F	NUFIP2 - ex1 NF1	Atypic (1.8 Mb)	24,634,917–26,461,947
NF1_226 <sup>1</sup>	S	CRLF3-NF1-RAB11FIP4	Atypic (0.871 Mb)	26,173,551–27,044,653
NF1_505	S	BLMH-NF1	Atypic (1.1 Mb)	
NF1_582	F	RNF135-NF1	Atypic (0.4 Mb)	
NF1_724	S	Exon6 NF1- MYOD	Atypic (>1.6 Mb)	
Patient	S/F	Deleted	Type of deletions	Array-CGH hg18 assembly deletion 17q11.2
(B) Deletions of one o	r more exons			
NF1_(95 <sup>1</sup> -96)	F	34	Single exon (1.215 kb)	26,612,509–26,613,724
NF1_(373-374)	F			
NF1_267 <sup>1</sup>	S	14–31	Multiple exons (35 kb)	29,545,984–29,580,921
NF1_(451-494)	F	13–19	Multiple exons (13 kb)	
NF1_377	S	30/31	Multiple exons (4 kb)	
NF1_468	F	1 + promoter	Single exon (4 kb)	
NF1_521	NA	6–10	Multiple exons (19.6 kb)	
NF1_650	S	10–34	Multiple exons (60.6 kb)	
NF1_670	S	10-57	Multiple exons (173 kb)	
NF1_785	S	30-35	Multiple exon (16.3 kb)	
Patient	S/F	Duplication	Type of duplication	Array-CGH-hg18 assembly duplication 17q11.2
(C) Duplication of one	or more exor	าร		
NF1_295 <sup>1</sup>	S	13/31	Multiple exon (45 kb)	29,536,361–29,581,490
NF1_602	F	28/29	Multiple exon (0,3 kb)	

Table 3. (A) New atypical microdeletion; (B) deletions and (C) duplication of one or more exons.

These deletions were sequenced and none of the corresponding exons were found to carry a point mutation within the corresponding MLPA probe. NA, not available; F, familiar; S, sporadic.

<sup>1</sup>Deletions confirmed by CGH-array.

reviewed fulfill the NIH criteria (n = 171), whereas 30 did not show two of the six major features of NF1. Minor NF1 features were observed in both adults and children (Table 4).

No new genotype–phenotype correlations were observed (Table 5). Patients with microdeletions presented a higher frequency of mental retardation than patients with other mutations after the Bonferroni correction reached statistical significance (P = 0.002 OR 7.95, 95% CI: 2.03–31.01). Moreover, missense mutations were associated with minor neurofibromas (multiple and plexiform) more often than truncating mutations, although statistical significance was not reached. No association with gender and/or age was observed in the regression analysis.

#### Discussion

We report the mutational spectrum of Italian NF1 patients referred to our center between 2003 and 2014. Thus far, no national NF1 register has been instituted in Italy, and few papers have described Italian genetic

records (Origone et al. 2002; De Luca et al. 2004, 2007), although the findings of an Italian mortality study were consistent with those performed in other industrialized countries (Zöller et al. 1995; Rasmussen et al. 2001).

The detection rate obtained following our screening protocol changed depending on the technology applied. Diagnostic protocols evolved, beginning in 2003 with a canonical DNA-based approach of DHPLC and DNA sequence screening. In 2008, we introduced MLPA analysis to detect gross duplications and deletions in the NF1 gene. Beginning in January 2013, an integrated DNA-RNA protocol was routinely used.

These new technical approaches allowed us to improve our starting detection rate from 58% in 2003–2008 to 75% in 2008–2013 (MLPA introduction), and finally to 87% with the introduction of RNA analysis.

Our DNA detection rate is slightly lower than other reports based on DNA analysis, such as Griffiths et al. (2007) (78%) and Van Minkelen et al. (2014) (81%), possibly due to the large size of the Dutch patient cohort screened in those studies and the diversity of the techniques used. Indeed, higher detection rates were possible

Table 4. Mutated patients clinical data.

Patient	Total	Under 12 year	Over 12 year
	207	05	144
Café-au-lait	193 (93.2)	63 (100)	130 (90.3)
Freckles	149 (72)	53 (84.1)	96 (66.7)
Lisch nodules	100 (48.3)	15 (23.8)	85 (59)
Neurofibromas	135 (65.2)	14 (22.2)	121 (84)
Plexiform	35 (16.9)	9 (14.3)	26 (18.1)
neurofibromas			
Optic glioma	34 (16.4)	9 (14.3)	25 (17.4)
Osseous lesion	10 (4.8)	3 (4.8)	7 (4.9)
NF1 familiar	64 (30.9)	11 (17.5)	53 (36.8)
No minor feature	73 (35.3)	20 (31.7)	53 (36.8)
Tumors	34 (16.4)	9 (14.3)	25 (17.4)
Scoliosis	31 (15)	4 (6.3)	27 (18.8)
Macroencephaly	60 (29)	26 (41.3)	34 (23.6)
Short stature	14 (6.8)	6 (9.5)	8 (5.6)
Learning disability	26 (12.6)	8 (12.7)	18 (12.5)
Special education	3 (1.4)	0 (0)	3 (2.1)
Mental retardation	18 (8.7)	7 (11.1)	11 (7.6)
Speaking problems	7 (3.4)	5 (7.9)	2 (1.4)
Behavior problems	5 (2.4)	2 (3.2)	3 (2.1)
Epilepsy	9 (4.3)	3 (4.8)	6 (4.2)
Other	40 (19.3)	18 (28.6)	22 (15.3)

Parentheses values are expressed in percent.

only with a multistep protocol, when analyses of DNA and RNA were integrated (Nemethova et al. 2013; Sabbagh et al. 2013) 95% was reached by Messiaen et al. (2000) through a comprehensive protocol including cytogenetic analysis, MLPA, RNA analysis and DNA sequencing. In our experience, RNA analysis resulted in a decrease in the misclassification of missense and silent mutations facilitated the observation of new splicing mutations in deep intronic regions, and decreased the time spent by the analyst.

Among the 513 unrelated cases, our diagnostic protocols (i.e., both DNA and RNA analysis) revealed 225 distinct pathogenic mutations in the NF1 gene. As expected based on the literature, small changes represent 92% of mutations (206/225) and span the entire gene without any hot region (Fig. 4). The residual 8% was composed of gross deletions (17/19) or duplications of exons (2/19).

By searching two main genetic databases (HGMD and LOVD) for our 225 distinct mutations, we found that 126 were novel, including 110 small changes and 16 aberrant deletions/duplications.

The description of new mutations is important for NF1 patients due the high mutational rate of the gene and the high phenotypic variability of the disease, even among members of the same family. Thus, reporting new mutations could help physicians and genetic counselors with the diagnosis and treatment of NF1 patients worldwide.

Table 5. Genotype-phenotype correlations.

Patient Number	Intragenic mutation 179	Microdeletion type 1 11	<i>P</i> -value
Café-au-lait	167 (93.3)	11 (100)	NS
Freckles	128 (71.5)	8 (72.7)	NS
Lisch nodules	84 (46.9)	5 (45.5)	NS
Neurofibromas	119 (66.5)	5 (45.5)	NS
Plexiform neurofibromas	29 (16.2)	3 (27.3)	NS
Optic glioma	34 (19)	0 (0)	NA
Osseous lesion	8 (4.5)	0 (0)	NA
NF1 Familiar	56 (31.3)	0 (0)	NA
No minor feature	66 (36.9)	1 (9.1)	NS
Tumors	28 (15.6)	2 (18.2)	NS
Scoliosis	28 (15.6)	1 (9.1)	NS
Macroencephaly	51 (28.5)	5 (45.5)	NS
Short stature	12 (6.7)	1 (9.1)	NS
Learning disability	24 (13.4)	2 (18.2)	NS
Special education	3 (1.7)	0 (0)	NA
Mental retardation	12 (6.7)	4 (36.4)	0.0006
Speaking problems	7 (3.9)	0 (0)	NA
Behavior problems	5 (2.8)	0 (0)	NA
Epilepsy	10 5.6)	0 (0)	NA
Other	38 (21.2)	4 (36,4)	NS
	Intragenic	Missense	
Patient	mutation	mutation	
Number	161	18	P-value
Café-au-lait	150 (93.2)	17 (94.4)	NS
Freckles	115 (71.4)	13 (72.2)	NS
Lisch nodules	77 (47.8)	7 (38.9)	NS
Neurofibromas	110 (68.3)	9 (50)	NS
Plexiform neurofibromas	28 (17.4)	1 (5.6)	NS
Optic glioma	31 (19.3)	3 (16.7)	NS
Osseous lesion	8 (5)	0 (0)	NA
NF1 Familiar	51 (31.7)	5 (27.8)	NS
No minor feature	58 (36)	8 (44.4)	NS
Tumors	24 (14.9)	4 (22.2)	NS
Scoliosis	26 (16.1)	2 (11.1)	NS
Macroencephaly	48 (29.8)	3 (16.7)	NS
Short stature	11 (6.8)	1 (5.6)	NS
Learning disability	21 (13)	3 (16.7)	NS
Special education	3 (1.9)	0 (0)	NA
Mental retardation	11 (6.8)	1 (5.6)	NS
Speaking problems	7 (4.3)	0 (0)	NA
Behavior problems	5 (3.1)	0 (0)	NA
Epilepsy	9 (5.6)	1 (5.6)	NS
Other	32 (19.9)	6 (33.3)	NS

Parentheses values are expressed in percent. NS, nonstatistical significant; NA, not available.

The 126 new mutations were nearly all present in 1 unrelated patient ("private"), and 64% of the mutations were de novo; 86% of the patients with these novel mutations fulfilled NIH criteria and were characterized by high phenotypic variability.

Most of the novel mutations were small changes (87.5%) and were predominantly small deletions/insertions (44%). Splicing mutations represented the second most prominent class of mutations (27/126 – 21%), in agreement with previous reports (Griffiths et al. 2007; van Minkelen et al. 2014). (Messiaen et al. 2000; Wimmer et al. 2007; Sabbagh et al. 2013). However, RNA approach usually identify 30–34% of splicing alterations (Messiaen et al. 2000; Wimmer et al. 2000; Wimmer et al. 2007; Sabbagh et al. 2013).

We observed a higher frequency of missense variation than previously reported in literature (12 vs. 7.4–9.8%) (Messiaen et al. 2000; van Minkelen et al. 2014) This difference could be due to the cohort of novel mutations or our DNA-based protocol; RNA studies reveal that new missense and new silent mutations could alter correct splicing if they fall at consensus sites (Pros et al. 2008). Only 2 of the 15 novel missense alterations were identified by the RNA approach.

In our patients, four missense mutations screened by DNA are predicted to be splicing mutations influencing correct splicing form. Further studies of RNA will confirm any eventual splicing effects of these missense mutations on NF1 transcripts that were underestimated by a DNA-based approach. Sabbagh and colleagues verified that approximately 31.1% of missense mutations actually disturb NF1 transcript splicing (Sabbagh et al. 2013).

The majority of alterations resulted in the formation of frameshifts and truncated proteins that could also be induced by splicing alterations.

We found a preferential alteration in the conserved nucleotides of the consensus splice site. Splicing alteration at the donor (5') and acceptor (3') sites usually causes exon skipping. Donor (5') sites were compromised in 13 of the 21 (62%) splicing mutations, as predicted by bioinformatics tools. Splicing mutations were probably underestimated due to the nature of the DNA analysis used for the majority of the patients included in our analysis. Only 25 of the 126 mutations were detected by the MLPA-RNA sequencing approach. Moreover, we detected 16 novel gross alterations.

The description of mutations detected in unrelated patients and their correlation with phenotypic reports is very important for this multisystemic disease with high expression variability. The splicing mutation c.1466A>G is the most frequent alteration among unrelated patients in our database. This mutation has been described in the literature (Messiaen et al. 1999; Osborn and Upadhyaya 1999) and is found 34 times in LOVD database. Interestingly, the 9 patients with this alteration showed variable phenotypes (Table 1). In particular, NF1\_46 did not fulfill the NIH criteria for diagnosis (presenting with only 3 neurofibromas), indicating the importance of cataloging novel mutations and of sharing such information in databases such as LOVD.

No obvious genotype-phenotype correlation has been demonstrated in NF1 patients. To date only two correlations of clinical significance have been reported: del AAT in exon 22 is associated with absence of neurofibromas (described above; Upadhvava et al. 2007) and the "NF1 microdeletion phenotype" (Mensink et al. 2006; Mautner et al. 2010; Pasmant et al. 2010). Such patients have a higher incidence of intellectual disability (mental retardation), developmental delay, dysmorphic facial features, the earlier appearance of cutaneous neuconnective tissue rofibromas, and abnormalities. Recently, Pinna et al. (2014) published a mild phenotype linked to changes in the amino acid residue 1809. This substitution correlated with a mild phenotype characterized by CALs and skinfold freckling, but lacked discrete cutaneous or PN, LNs, and typical NF1 osseous lesions. In this Italian cohort, we describe 11 cases with a type 1 microdeletion (Kluwe et al. 2004) and two type 3 microdeletions (Bengesser et al. 2010), but no type 2 microdeletions (Kehrer-Sawatzki et al. 2004). Two patients confirmed that a mild phenotype was correlated with the small deletion c.2970\_2972delAAT and the missense alteration in the amino acid residue 1809 (p.Arg1809Cys).

We performed statistical analyses in 207 NF1 patients with reviewed clinical data. No novel genotype–phenotype correlation was discovered (Table 5). As expected, adults fulfilling clinical criteria displayed more neurofibromas and LNs, whereas children displayed more CALs and lentigo.

Statistical analysis was significant for only microdeletion type 1 and mental retardation.

Our data reveal important considerations for patients who did not fulfill clinical criteria at the time of diagnosis. Among the 513 unrelated individuals investigated, 159 did not fulfill the criteria described at the NIH conference in 1988. In addition, 36 had mutations in the NF1 gene.

Eighty-nine of the 159 patients were children of less than 12 years of age (56%). In children, clinical diagnosis is problematic because 46% of sporadic cases fail to meet NIH criteria by the age of 1 year (DeBella et al. 2000). Many features of NF1 increase in frequency with age: nearly all by the age of 12 years and all by 20 years. In fact, the majority of these patients not fulfilling the NIH criteria display CALs and minor features (Table S1). Adults that did not fulfill NIH criteria showed neurofibromas, CALs or both, but fell below the threshold for NIH diagnosis (Table S1). 11% of these adults (6 on 56/ 159) were oligosymptomatic cases with *NF1* mutations (Table 1).

Adult patients with *NF1* mutations not fulfilling the NIH criteria have been described and have distinct clini-

cal features: (a) segmental forms of NF1, probably due to mosaic *NF1* mutations; (b) spinal neurofibromatosis with extensive, symmetrical multiple tumors involving large regions of the spine and few, if any, cutaneous manifestations; (c) late-onset neurofibromatosis as defined by Riccardi (1992) with only neurofibromas beginning in the 3rd decade; and (d) optic glioma with few cutaneous manifestations (Buske et al. 1999). Two of our six cases had late-onset NF1 (NF1\_46 and NF1\_553), one optic glioma, and few cutaneous manifestations (NF\_355). Three cases had different and new clinical features: a girl had a glioma outside the optic nerve and less than 6 CALs (NF1\_212), and there were two cases of learning disability and a few neurofibromas or CALs (NF1\_19 and NF1\_552).

The accuracy of diagnostic criteria is critical for autosomal dominant diseases, which are characterized by variable expression and a predisposition to cancer. Recent papers suggest that the NIH diagnostic criteria require reexamination (Burkitt Wright et al. 2013; Gutmann 2014; Tadini et al. 2014; Epstein et al. 2015). Our data suggest that clinical criteria such as the presence of glioma or learning disability as well as cutaneous features should be formally assessed and considered when deciding whether to perform molecular analysis, although further observations are needed to establish their role as potential diagnostic criteria.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Francesca Novara from the department of Human Pathology of Pavia University for array-CGH analysis. We thank Dr. Tadini and Dr. Menni (IRCSS Foundation, Cà Grande-Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico) for referring patients to our Institute. This work was supported in part by grants from the Italian Ministry of Health (RF-2008-1204836\_200709; M.E.) and the Associazione Italiana Tumori Cerebrali (AITC) (S.M.).

### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Adzhubei, I. A., S. Schmidt, L. Peshkin, V. E. Ramensky, A. Gerasimova, P. Bork, et al. 2010. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat. Methods 7:248–249.
- Bengesser, K., D. N. Cooper, K. Steinmann, L. Kluwe, N. A. Chuzhanova, K. Wimmer, et al. 2010. A novel third type of recurrent NF1 microdeletion mediated by nonallelic homologous recombination between LRRC37B-containing low-copy repeats in 17q11.2. Hum. Mutat. 31:742–751.

- Brems, H., and E. Legius. 2013. Legius syndrome, an update. Molecular pathology of mutations in SPRED1. Keio J. Med. 62:107–112.
- Brems, H., M. Chmara, M. Sahbatou, E. Denayer, K. Taniguchi, R. Kato, et al. 2007. Germline loss-of-function mutations in SPRED1 cause a neurofibromatosis 1-like phenotype. Nat. Genet. 39:1120–1126.
- Burkitt Wright, E. M., E. Sach, S. Sharif, O. Quarrell, T. Carroll, R. W. Whitehouse, et al. 2013. Can the diagnosis of NF1 be excluded clinically? A lack of pigmentary findings in families with spinal neurofibromatosis demonstrates a limitation of clinical diagnosis. J. Med. Genet. 50: 606–613.
- Buske, A., A. Gewies, R. Lehmann, K. Ruther, B. Algermissen, P. Nurnberg, et al. 1999. Recurrent NF1 gene mutation in a patient with oligosymptomatic neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1). Am. J. Med. Genet. 86:328–330.
- Carey, J. C., B. J. Baty, J. P. Johnson, T. Morrison, M. Skolnick, and J. Kivlin. 1986. The genetic aspects of neurofibromatosis. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 486:45–56.
- Cartegni, L., J. Wang, Z. Zhu, M. Q. Zhang, and A. R. Krainer. 2003. ESEfinder: a web resource to identify exonic splicing enhancers. Nucleic Acids Res. 31:3568–3571.
- Cawthon, R. M., R. Weiss, G. F. Xu, D. Viskochil, M. Culver, J. Stevens, et al. 1990. A major segment of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene: cDNA sequence, genomic structure, and point mutations. Cell 62:193–201.
- Conference. NIHCD. 1988. Neurofibromatosis. Conference statement.
- De Luca, A., A. Schirinzi, A. Buccino, I. Bottillo, L. Sinibaldi, I. Torrente, et al. 2004. Novel and recurrent mutations in the NF1 gene in Italian patients with neurofibromatosis type 1. Hum. Mutat. 23:629.
- De Luca, A., I. Bottillo, M. C. Dasdia, A. Morella, V. Lanari, L. Bernardini, et al. 2007. Deletions of NF1 gene and exons detected by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. J. Med. Genet. 44:800–808.
- DeBella, K., J. Szudek, and J. M. Friedman. 2000. Use of the national institutes of health criteria for diagnosis of neurofibromatosis 1 in children. Pediatrics 105:608–614.
- Desmet, F. O., D. Hamroun, M. Lalande, G. Collod-Beroud, M. Claustres, and C. Beroud. 2009. Human splicing finder: an online bioinformatics tool to predict splicing signals. Nucleic Acids Res. 37:e67.

Epstein, J. A., D. A. Ingram, Jr, A. C. Hirbe, and D. H. Gutmann. 2015. A multidisciplinary approach in neurofibromatosis 1–authors' reply. Lan. Neurol. 14:30–31.

- Fahsold, R., S. Hoffmeyer, C. Mischung, C. Gille, C. Ehlers, N. Kucukceylan, et al. 2000. Minor lesion mutational spectrum of the entire NF1 gene does not explain its high mutability but points to a functional domain upstream of the GAP-related domain. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 66:790–818.
- Fokkema, I. F. A. C., P. E. M. Taschner, G. C. P. Schaafsma, J. Celli, J. F. J. Laros, and J. T. den Dunnen. 2011. LOVD v.

2.0: the next generation in gene variant databases. Hum. Mutat. 32:557–563.

Friedman, J. M. 1999. Epidemiology of neurofibromatosis type 1. Am. J. Med. Genet. 89:1–6.

Griffiths, S., P. Thompson, I. Frayling, and M. Upadhyaya. 2007. Molecular diagnosis of neurofibromatosis type 1: 2 years experience. Fam. Cancer 6:21–34.

Gutmann, D. H. 2014. Eliminating barriers to personalized medicine. Neurology 83:463–471.

Han, S. S., D. N. Cooper, and M. N. Upadhyaya. 2001. Evaluation of denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (DHPLC) for the mutational analysis of the neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) gene. Hum. Genet. 109:487–497.

Huson, S. M. 2008. The neurofibromatoses: classification, clinical features and genetic councelling. Monographs in Human Genetics, 1–20.

Jett, K., and J. M. Friedman. 2010. Clinical and genetic aspects of neurofibromatosis 1. Genet. Med. 12:1–11.

Kehrer-Sawatzki, H., L. Kluwe, C. Sandig, M. Kohn, K. Wimmer, U. Krammer, et al. 2004. High frequency of mosaicism among patients with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) with microdeletions caused by somatic recombination of the JJAZ1 gene. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 75:410–423.

Kehrer-sawatzki, H., K. Bengesser, T. Callens, F. Mikhail, C. Fu, M. Hillmer, et al. 2014. Identification of large NF1 duplications reciprocal to NAHR-mediated type-1 NF1 deletions. Hum. Mutat. 35: 1–28.

Kluwe, L., R. Siebert, S. Gesk, R. E. Friedrich, S. Tinschert, H. Kehrer-Sawatzki, et al. 2004. Screening 500 unselected neurofibromatosis 1 patients for deletions of the NF1 gene. Hum. Mutat. 23:111–116.

Mautner, V. F., L. Kluwe, R. E. Friedrich, A. C. Roehl, S. Bammert, J. Hogel, et al. 2010. Clinical characterisation of 29 neurofibromatosis type-1 patients with molecularly ascertained 1.4 Mb type-1 NF1 deletions. J. Med. Genet. 47:623–630.

Mensink, K. A., R. P. Ketterling, H. C. Flynn, R. A. Knudson, N. M. Lindor, B. A. Heese, et al. 2006. Connective tissue dysplasia in five new patients with NF1 microdeletions: further expansion of phenotype and review of the literature. J. Med. Genet. 43:e8.

Messiaen, L. M., T. Callens, K. J. Roux, G. R. Mortier, A. De Paepe, M. Abranlowicz, et al. 1999. Exon 10 b of the NF1 gene represents a mutational hotspot and harbors a recurrent missense mutation Y489C associated with aberrant splicing. Genet Med. 1: 248–253.

Messiaen, L. M., T. Callens, G. Mortier, D. Beysen, I. Vandenbroucke, Roy N. Van, et al. 2000. Exhaustive mutation analysis of the NF1 gene allows identification of 95% of mutations and reveals a high frequency of unusual splicing defects. Hum. Mutat. 15:541–555.

van Minkelen, R., Y. van Bever, J. N. R. Kromosoeto, C. J. Withagen-Hermans, A. Nieuwlaat, DJ Halley, et al. 2014. A clinical and genetic overview of 18 years neurofibromatosis type 1 molecular diagnostics in the Netherlands. Clin. Genet. 85:318–327.

Nemethova, M., A. Bolcekova, D. Ilencikova, D. Durovcikova, K. Hlinkova, A. Hlavata, et al. 2013. Thirtynine novel neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) gene mutations identified in Slovak patients. Ann. Hum. Genet. 77:364– 379.

Origone, P., Luca A. De, C. Bellini, A. Buccino, R. Mingarelli, S. Costabel, et al. 2002. Ten novel mutations in the human neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) gene in Italian patients. Hum. Mutat. 20:74–75.

Osborn, M. J., and M. Upadhyaya. 1999. Evaluation of the protein truncation test and mutation detection in the NF1 gene: mutational analysis of 15 known and 40 unknown mutations. Hum. Genet. 105:327–332.

Park, V. M., and E. K. Pivnick. 1998. Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1): a protein truncation assay yielding identification of mutations in 73% of patients. J. Med. Genet. 35:813–820.

Pasmant, E., A. Sabbagh, G. Spurlock, I. Laurendeau, E. Grillo, M. J. Hamel, et al. 2010. NF1 microdeletions in neurofibromatosis type 1: from genotype to phenotype. Hum. Mutat. 31:E1506–E1518.

Pinna, V., V. Lanari, P. Daniele, F. Consoli, E. Agolini, K. Margiotti, et al. 2014. p.Arg1809Cys substitution in neurofibromin is associated with a distinctive NF1 phenotype without neurofibromas. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2014.243: 1–4.

Pros, E., C. Gomez, T. Martin, P. Fabregas, E. Serra, and C. Lazaro. 2008. Nature and mRNA effect of 282 different NF1 point mutations: focus on splicing alterations. Hum. Mutat. 29:E173–E193.

Rasmussen, S. A., Q. Yang, and J. M. Friedman. 2001. Mortality in neurofibromatosis 1: an analysis using U.S. death certificates. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 68:1110–1118.

Rauen, K. A. 2013. The RASopathies. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 14:355–369.

Reese, M. G., F. H. Eeckman, D. Kulp, and D. Haussler. 1997. Improved splice site detection in Genie. J. Comput. Biol. 4:311–323.

Riccardi, V. M. 1992. Neurofibromatosis: phenotype, natural history, and pathogenesis. Baltimore John Hopkins University Press, Baltimora.

Sabbagh, A., E. Pasmant, A. Imbard, A. Luscan, M. Soares, H. Blanche, et al. 2013. NF1 molecular characterization and neurofibromatosis type I genotype-phenotype correlation: the French experience. Hum. Mutat. 34:1510–1518.

Schwarz, J. M., C. Rodelsperger, M. Schuelke, and D. Seelow. 2010. MutationTaster evaluates disease-causing potential of sequence alterations. Nat. Methods 7:575–576.

Smith, P. J., C. Zhang, J. Wang, S. L. Chew, M. Q. Zhang, and A. R. Krainer. 2006. An increased specificity score matrix for the prediction of SF2/ASF-specific exonic splicing enhancers. Hum. Mol. Genet. 15:2490–2508. Tadini, G., D. Milani, F. Menni, L. Pezzani, C. Sabatini, and S. Esposito. 2014. Is it time to change the neurofibromatosis 1 diagnostic criteria? Eur. J. Intern. Med. 25:506–510.

Tonsgard, J. H. 2006. Clinical manifestations and management of neurofibromatosis type 1. Semin. Pediatr. Neurol. 13:2-7.

Upadhyaya, M., S. Han, C. Consoli, E. Majounie, M. Horan, N. S. Thomas, et al. 2004. Characterization of the somatic mutational spectrum of the neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) gene in neurofibromatosis patients with benign and malignant tumors. Hum. Mutat. 23:134–146.

Upadhyaya, M., S. M. Huson, M. Davies, N. Thomas, N. Chuzhanova, S. Giovannini, et al. 2007. An absence of cutaneous neurofibromas associated with a 3-bp inframe deletion in exon 17 of the NF1 gene (c.2970-2972 delAAT): evidence of a clinically significant NF1 genotype-phenotype correlation. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 80:140–151.

Valero, M. C., Y. Martín, E. Hernández-Imaz, A. M. Hernández, G. Meleán, A. M. Valero, et al. 2011. A highly sensitive genetic protocol to detect NF1 mutations. J. Mol. Diagnostics 13:113–122. Wimmer, K., X. Roca, H. Beiglbock, T. Callens, J. Etzler, A. R. Rao, et al. 2007. Extensive in silico analysis of NF1 splicing defects uncovers determinants for splicing outcome upon 5' splice-site disruption. Hum. Mutat. 28:599–612.

Zöller, M., B. Rembeck, H. O. Akesson, and L. Angervall. 1995. Life expectancy, mortality and prognostic factors in neurofibromatosis type 1. A twelve-year follow-up of an epidemiological study in Göteborg, Sweden. Acta Derm. Venereol. 75:136–140.

### **Supporting Information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Table S1. Not fulfilling NIH criterion clinical data.

Table S2. Novel small mutations.

Table S3. Mutations in NF1 protein domain.

Table S4. Small mutations described in literature.