

## CD11b+ and Sca-1+ Cells Exert the Main Beneficial Effects of Systemically Administered Bone Marrow-Derived Mononuclear Cells in a Murine Model of Mixed Th2/Th17 Allergic Airway Inflammation

FERNANDA F. CRUZ, <sup>a,b</sup> ZACHARY D. BORG, <sup>a</sup> MEAGAN GOODWIN, <sup>a</sup> AMY L. COFFEY, <sup>a</sup> DARCY E. WAGNER, <sup>a</sup> PATRICIA R.M. ROCCO, <sup>b</sup> DANIEL J. WEISS<sup>a</sup>

Key Words. Bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells • Mesenchymal stromal cells • Inflammation • Monocyte • Asthma • Mouse

### ABSTRACT

Systemic administration of bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells (BMDMCs) or bone marrowderived mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) reduces inflammation and airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) in a murine model of Th2-mediated eosinophilic allergic airway inflammation. However, since BMDMCs are a heterogeneous population that includes MSCs, it is unclear whether the MSCs alone are responsible for the BMDMC effects. To determine which BMDMC population(s) is responsible for ameliorating AHR and lung inflammation in a model of mixed Th2-eosinophilic and Th17neutrophilic allergic airway inflammation, reminiscent of severe clinical asthma, BMDMCs obtained from normal C57BI/6 mice were serially depleted of CD45, CD34, CD11b, CD3, CD19, CD31, or Sca-1 positive cells. The different resulting cell populations were then assessed for ability to reduce lung inflammation and AHR in mixed Th2/Th17 allergic airway inflammation induced by mucosal sensitization to and challenge with Aspergillus hyphal extract (AHE) in syngeneic C56BI/6 mice. BMDMCs depleted of either CD11b-positive (CD11b+) or Sca-1-positive (Sca-1+) cells were unable to ameliorate AHR or lung inflammation in this model. Depletion of the other cell types did not diminish the ameliorating effects of BMDMC administration. In conclusion, in the current model of allergic inflammation, CD11b+ cells (monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells) and Sca-1+ cells (MSCs) are responsible for the beneficial effects of BMDMCs. STEM CELLS TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE 2016;5:488–499

### SIGNIFICANCE

This study shows that bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells (BMDMCs) are as effective as bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) in ameliorating experimental asthma. It also demonstrates that not only MSCs present in the pool of BMDMCs are responsible for BMDMCs' beneficial effects but also monocytes, which are the most important cell population to trigger these effects. All of this is in the setting of a clinically relevant model of severe allergic airways inflammation and thus provides further support for potential clinical use of cell therapy using MSCs, BMDMCs, and also adult cells such as monocytes in patients with severe asthma.

#### INTRODUCTION

Cell-based therapies hold potential promise as a new treatment approach for asthma. This is potentially applicable to 5%–10% of patients with asthma who suffer from severe disease, which, in many cases, is caused by Th17-mediated neutrophilic airway inflammation [1–3]. This population is poorly clinically controlled and resistant to corticosteroids and most other available treatments [4–6]. Although there has been some potential promise with anti-immunoglobulin E-mediated therapies [7, 8] new therapeutic options are still urgently needed. A growing body of literature demonstrates that systemic administration of syn-, *allo*- or, xenogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) isolated from bone marrow and other sources can mitigate airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) and lung inflammation in preclinical (mouse) models of both Th2-mediated eosinophilic allergic airway inflammation [9–17] and in mixed Th2-eosinophilic/Th17-mediated neutrophilic allergic airway inflammation [18–20]. The ameliorating effects occur when the MSCs are administered either during initial antigen sensitization or at the onset of or during antigen challenge [9–20]. Some data also suggest that MSC

<sup>a</sup>Division of Pulmonary Disease and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont, USA; <sup>b</sup>Laboratory of Pulmonary Investigation, Institute of Biophysics Carlos Chagas Filho, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Correspondence: Daniel J. Weiss, M.D., Ph.D., University of Vermont, C352 Given Building, 89 Beaumont Avenue, Burlington, Vermont 05405, USA. Telephone: 802-656-8925; E-Mail: dweiss@ uvm.edu

Received July 7, 2015; accepted for publication November 2, 2015; published Online First on March 1, 2016.

©AlphaMed Press 1066-5099/2016/\$20.00/0

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5966/sctm.2015-0141 administration can also protect against chronic or delayed antigen challenges [9, 18]. Proposed mechanisms of MSC actions include upregulation of T-regulatory cells and also shift from Th2 to a counter-regulatory Th1 phenotype of antigen-specific CD4 cells [16–20]. As such, MSCs may provide a potential therapeutic approach for severe asthma.

However, use of MSCs poses some logistical difficulties, including isolation and expansion of the cells for subsequent administration as well as consideration of allogeneic MSC use [21-23]. An alternative approach uses a heterogeneous population of autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells (BMDMCs). One potential advantage of this approach is that autologous BMDMCs can be harvested and reinfused systemically, avoiding the need for cell expansion or other alterations that might occur during cell culture. We recently demonstrated that systemic administration of syngeneic BMDMCs ameliorated AHR and lung inflammation in a model of Th2mediated AHR in mice [24-26]. However, BMDMCs have not yet been evaluated in a more severe model of mixed Th2/ Th17 allergic airway inflammation. Moreover, there is no information as yet available about the cell or cells in the heterogeneous BMDMC population responsible for the ameliorating effects. Notably, the BMDMC population does contain a small proportion of MSCs, but whether these alone are responsible is as yet unknown.

To these ends, we investigated whether systemic administration of syngeneic BMDMCs could ameliorate mixed Th2/Th17-mediated lung inflammation and AHR provoked by mucosal sensitization to and challenge with *Aspergillus* hyphal extract (AHE) [27, 28]. We further investigated which cell(s) conveyed the ameliorating effects of the BMDMCs, by sequentially depleting specific cell types (CD45-positive [CD45<sup>+</sup>], CD34<sup>+</sup>, CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD19<sup>+</sup>, CD11b<sup>+</sup>, CD31<sup>+</sup>, Sca-1<sup>+</sup>) from the BMDMC fraction prior to administration.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Mice

C57Bl/6 mice (male, 8–12 weeks, n = 72, Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, https://www.jax.org) were housed in microisolator cages and used in accordance with the University of Vermont (UVM) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee under all applicable Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International guidelines.

#### **Mesenchymal Stromal Cell and Fibroblast Culture**

Murine bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells (mMSCs) from C57Bl/6 mice were obtained from the Texas A&M Health Science Center College of Medicine Institute for Regenerative Medicine (Temple, TX, http://medicine.tamhsc. edu/irm/msc-distribution.html) [29]. These cells have previously been extensively characterized for cell surface marker expression and differentiation capacity [30, 31]. mMSCs were expanded in culture using Iscove's Modification of Dulbecco's Medium (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Rockford, IL, https:// promo.gelifesciences.com/gl/hyclone), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (GE Healthcare Life Sciences), 10% horse serum (GE Healthcare Life Sciences), 10% horse serum (GE Healthcare Life Sciences), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Pen/Strep) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Grand Island, NY, https://www.thermofisher.com), and 2 mM L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and used at passages 4–6. mMSCs were maintained in culture at confluence no greater than 70%. Normal, adult human lung fibroblasts (HLF) (catalog number CCL-199; ATCC, Manassas, VA, http://www.atcc.org) were expanded in culture with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium: Nutrient Mixture F-12 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com), 10% FBS, 1% Pen/Strep, and 2 mM L-glutamine and used at passage 6 or lower. We have previously demonstrated that HLFs can be successfully used as a control cell population in immunocompetent mouse models of allergic airway inflammation [16, 19, 20].

For use in experiments, the cells were harvested from tissue culture plates using 2.5% trypsin/EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cell density and viability was determined using trypan blue staining and counted using a hemacytometer (Sigma-Aldrich). Cell pellets were then resuspended in  $1 \times$  sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to a final concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells per 200  $\mu$ l of PBS, immediately prior to injection [16, 19, 20].

## Bone Marrow-Derived Mononuclear Cell Extraction, Characterization, and Depletions

Bone marrow cells from 20 adult male C57BL/6 mice were flushed from the femurs and tibias with Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM). After a homogeneous cell suspension was achieved, cells were centrifuged (400g for 10 minutes), resuspended in DMEM, and added to Ficoll-Hypaque (Histopaque 1083; Sigma-Aldrich). The mononuclear fraction (i.e., BMDMCs) was then isolated, centrifuged (3 times each at 400g for 10 minutes), resuspended in PBS, and cells were counted in a Neubauer chamber with trypan blue for evaluation of viability. A final concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells suspended in 200  $\mu$ l of PBS was prepared immediately prior to systemic administration [24, 25, 32].

Parallel aliguots of the BMDMCs were used for immunophenotypic characterization of the component cell populations by flow cytometry using the following antibodies: anti-mouse CD45-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (leukocytes, 1:200 dilution, catalog number MCD4501; Thermo Fisher Scientific), CD34-APC (hematopoietic precursors, 1:20 dilution, catalog number 128611; Biolegend, San Diego, CA, http://www.biolegend. com), CD3-APC (T lymphocytes, 1:20 dilution, catalog number 100311; Biolegend), CD19-Alexa700 (B lymphocytes, 1:200 dilution, catalog number 115527; Biolegend), CD11b-Alexa647 (monocytes and macrophages, 1:200 dilution, catalog number 101220; Biolegend), CD31-APC (endothelial cells, 1:200 dilution, catalog number 551262; BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA, http:// www.bdbiosciences.com) and Sca-1-FITC (progenitor cells: hematopoietic progenitor cells and mesenchymal stromal cells, 1: 200 dilution, catalog number 557405; BD Pharmingen) [24, 25, 32].

To identify which cell fraction participated in the ameliorating actions of the mixed BMDMCs, specific cell populations of the BMDMCs were labeled with the following biotinylated antibodies (all eBioscience, San Diego, CA, http://www.ebioscience.com; unless otherwise indicated): anti-mouse CD45 (1:200 dilution, catalog number 13-0451-82, anti-mouse CD34 (1:200 dilution, catalog number 119304), anti-mouse CD3 (1:200 dilution, catalog number 100303), anti-mouse CD19 (1:200 dilution, catalog number 115503), anti-mouse CD11b (1:200 dilution, catalog number 101204), anti-mouse CD31 (1:200 dilution, catalog number 102503), and Sca-1 (1:200 dilution, catalog number 130-101-995; Miltenyl Biotec, Gladbach, Germany, http://www. miltenyibiotec.com). Following antibody labeling of any given cell population or populations, the cell suspensions were mixed with a Dynabead solution (Dynabeads Biotin Binder, catalog number 11047; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the specific cell populations depleted with magnetic plates according to manufacturer's instructions [33, 34]. After depletion, cell viability was evaluated through trypan blue; an aliquot was used for immunophenotypic characterization by flow cytometry with the aforementioned antibodies to confirm cell depletion, and a final concentration of  $1 \times$  $10^6$  postdepletion cells suspended in 200  $\mu$ l of PBS was prepared immediately prior to systemic administration.

#### Induction of Allergic Airway Inflammation

The study design is shown in schematic form in Figure 1. Aspergillus hyphal extract (AHE) aliquots at a concentration of 1.466 mg/ml in  $1 \times$  PBS, generously provided by the Whittaker laboratory at UVM and previously used by us, were thawed and vortexed immediately prior to use, and diluted to a final concentration of 5  $\mu$ g of AHE in 40- $\mu$ l of sterile 1 $\times$  PBS [18–20]. Mice were anesthetized by isoflurane inhalation and received an oropharyngeal administration of PBS (naïve [N]) or AHE solution (A) on days 0 and 7 to initiate the immune response (sensitization). Then they were challenged for 3 successive days on days 14, 15, and 16, with oropharyngeal inoculations using the same AHE preparation (Fig. 1A) [18–20].

# Systemic Administration of HLFs, MSCs, BMDMCs, and Depleted BMDMCs

On day 14, immediately after the AHE inoculation, mice received systemic (tail vein) injection of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells in 200  $\mu$ l of  $1 \times$  PBS or  $1 \times$  PBS control (P) (n = 15). As previously described, animals received either HLFs (n = 6), mMSCs (n = 6), BMDMCs (n = 13), or BMDMCs depleted of either CD45 (n = 6), CD34 (n = 6), CD3 (n = 6), CD19 (n = 6), CD11b (n = 9), CD31 (n = 6), or Sca-1 (n = 6) cells (Fig. 1B) [16, 19, 20, 24, 25, 35, 36].

#### **Respiratory Mechanics**

Pulmonary function was analyzed using the forced oscillation technique (flexiVent; SCIREQ Scientific Respiratory Equipment, Tempe, AZ, http://www.scireq.com) as previously described [18–20, 37, 38]. The peak responses for airway resistance ( $R_N$ ), overall tissue resistance (G), and lung elastance (H) were determined in response to sequential inhalation of nebulized saline, followed by 3.125 mg/ml, 12.5 mg/ml, or 25 mg/ml methacholine (MCh).

#### Assessment of Airway Inflammation

Following evaluation of lung mechanics, mice were euthanized by lethal intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (260 mg/kg). Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) was collected by administering 1 ml of sterile  $1 \times$  PBS to the airways through a tracheal cannula and rinsing the lungs 3 times before recovery. BALF was centrifuged at 2,460g for 5 minutes at 4°C and the supernatant was collected in separate tubes and stored at -80°C. The Bioplex Cytokine Assay System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, http://www.bio-rad.com) was used to examine undiluted BALF samples for soluble inflammatory cytokines, using a mouse 23-plex panel. Concentrations were determined using the Bio-Plex Manager Software (Bio-Plex MAGPIX multiplex reader;



Figure 1. Study design. (A): Protocol of allergic airway inflammation induction. OP administration of PBS (naïve) or AHE solution on days 0 and 7 to initiate the immune response, then challenged for 3 days on days 14, 15, and16 with OP inoculations of AHE. Cell administration was performed on day 14; harvest was on day 19. (B): Experimental groups. Animals exposed to AHE solution (A) were randomly divided to receive treatment with the vehicle PBS, HLFs, mMSCs, BMDMCs, and BMDMCs depleted of CD45, CD34, CD11b, CD3, CD19, CD31, and Sca-1 positive cells. Abbreviations: #, oropharyngeal Aspergillus hyphal extract solution administered; 1, human lung fibroblasts, mouse mesenchymal stem/stromal cells, bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells and depleted bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells administered; A, animals exposed to AHE solution; AHE, Aspergillus hyphal extract; BMDMC, bone marrow-derived mononuclear cell; HLF, human lung fibroblast; mMSC, mouse mesenchymal stem/stromal cell; OP, oropharyngeal; P, group receiving phosphatebuffered saline; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

Bio-Rad Laboratories). The cell pellet was resuspended and an aliquot was used to determine total cell count, using the ADVIA Hematology Analyzer (Siemens Diagnostics, Johnson City, TN, http://usa.healthcare.siemens.com). Cytospins were performed using  $3 \times 10^4$  cells centrifuged onto precleaned, pretreated glass slides (Corning, Corning, NY, https://www.corning. com) at 79q for 8 minutes, dried overnight, and stained using Diff-Quick (Hema 3 Stain Set; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Different cell populations were determined by blinded manual count of 200 cells performed by 3 individuals. Following BALF collection, the trachea and heart/lung block were removed. The right lung was then removed and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. The left lobe was gravity fixed (20 cm H<sub>2</sub>O) for 1 hour with 4% paraformaldehyde, and 5- $\mu$ m paraffin sections subsequently were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Airway inflammation (10 airways per animal, at least 6 animals in each group) was evaluated by 3 people in blinded fashion, based on the presence and intensity of peribronchial cell infiltrates compared with positive and negative controls, using an established semiquantitative scoring system, using a 0-3 range as previously described [18-20].

## Mediastinal Lymph Node Mixed Lymphocyte Assessments

Mediastinal lymph nodes (MLNs) were isolated by dissection from each mouse and placed in T-cell medium (Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium; 5% FBS;  $1 \times$  Pen/Strep; 2 mM L-glutamine; 2,500 mg/ml glucose; 1 mg/ml folate in 2 g/l sodium bicarbonate; 1 mM sodium pyruvate; and 50  $\mu$ M beta-mercaptoethanol). To ensure we would have enough cells for the assay, MLN cells from mice of the same experimental group (at least 6 animals in each group) were pooled and pressed through a 40- $\mu$ m mesh filter into a single cell suspension. Cells were then washed twice in  $1 \times$  PBS and resuspended for counting. One million cells were plated in duplicate for each group in a 24-well dish in 500  $\mu$ l of T-cell medium. In half of the wells, cells were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ g of AHE in



**Figure 2.** Bone-marrow mononuclear cell characterization before and after specific Dynabead depletions. Percentage of CD45-, CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, CD19-, CD31-, and Sca-1-positive cells evaluated by flow cytometry analysis of bone-marrow derived mononuclear cells before and after magnetic depletions. Representative depletions are shown. Data are presented as mean + SD (n = 3). \*, significance compared with BMDMC before depletion.

the medium for 48 hours; the other wells were left unstimulated for the same length of time. The total contents of each well were collected at the indicated time points and were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 2,460*g* to pellet cells and debris. Supernatants were moved to a new tube and frozen at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. Contents of representative Th1, Th2, and Th17 soluble mediators (interleukin [IL]-4, IL-5, IL-17, and interferon [IFN]- $\gamma$ ) were assessed by enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (Biolegend) [18–20].

### **Statistical Analysis**

All data were graphed and analyzed using the GraphPad Prism version 6.0 statistical software package (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, http://www.graphpad.com). The normality of the data and the homogeneity of variances were tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with Lilliefors correction and Levene median test, respectively. Differences between groups were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test. Nonparametric data were analyzed using ANOVA on ranks followed by Dunn's post hoc test [19, 20]). Parametric data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and nonparametric as median (interquartile range). Statistical significance was established at p < .05.

## RESULTS

# Dynabead Depletions Significantly Reduced Specific Cells From the BMDMCs

Figure 1 depicts the experimental design. Flow cytometric analyses of representative naïve BMDMCs demonstrated 87.9%  $\pm$  2.3% CD45<sup>+</sup>, 24.3%  $\pm$  1.8% CD34<sup>+</sup>, 4.8%  $\pm$  0.8% CD3<sup>+</sup>, 4.4%  $\pm$  0.7% CD19<sup>+</sup>, 53.2%  $\pm$  1.7% CD11b<sup>+</sup>, 11.7%  $\pm$  1.3% CD31<sup>+</sup>, and 5.8%  $\pm$  0.6% Sca-1<sup>+</sup> cells (Fig. 2). After antibody labeling and magnetic bead depletions, there was a marked reduction of each specific cell

type, as follows: 72.6%  $\pm$  1.6% CD45<sup>+</sup>, 73.8%  $\pm$  1.2% CD34<sup>+</sup>, 70.0%  $\pm$  0.5% CD3<sup>+</sup>, 90.0%  $\pm$  0.6% CD19<sup>+</sup>, 69.5%  $\pm$  0.4% CD11b<sup>+</sup>, 78.1%  $\pm$  0.9% CD31<sup>+</sup>, and 82.9%  $\pm$  0.5% Sca-1<sup>+</sup> (Fig. 2).

## Systemic Administration of MSCs, Total BMDMCs, or CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, or CD31-Depleted BMDMCs Significantly Ameliorated Airway Hyperresponsiveness Induced by AHE Sensitization and Challenge

Sensitization and challenge with AHE and treatment with PBS (A-P group) resulted in a significant increase in RN, tissue resistance (G), and lung elastance (H) compared with N (Fig. 3). Systemic administration of either mMSCs or BMDMCs significantly decreased each measure of MCh-induced AHR in doses 12.5 and 25 mg/ml of MCh, compared with the A-P group, whereas the administration of the HLF control cell population led to no effect (Fig. 3A). Administration of either CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, or of CD31-depleted BMDMCs also reduced AHR to control levels. In contrast, administration of CD45-, CD11b-, or Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs had minimal effects on any measure of AHR (Fig. 3B, 3C).

## Systemic Administration of MSCs, Total BMDMCs, or CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, or CD31-Depleted BMDMCs Significantly Inhibited AHE-Provoked Lung Inflammation

AHE sensitization and challenge resulted in a significant increase in histologic inflammation and BALF inflammatory cell content compared with N (Figs. 4A, 4B, 5A, 5B). Administration of HLF did not yield any alterations in AHE-provoked lung inflammation. Systemic administration of either mMSCs, BMDMCs, or of CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, or CD31-depleted BMDMCs each significantly decreased both histologic inflammation (Fig. 4A, 4B) and BALF total and differential cell counts, compared with the A-P group (Fig. 5A, 5B). Administration of BMDMCs depleted of CD45+ cells did not



**Figure 3.** Systemic administration of mMSCs, BMDMCs, CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, and CD31-depleted BMDMCs, but not HLFs, significantly ameliorated the airway hyperresponsiveness induced by *Aspergillus* hyphal extract (AHE). Administration of CD11b-, Sca-1-, and CD45-depleted BMDMCs did not present the same beneficial effects. **(A):** Analysis of RN, G, and H according to methacholine dose in N and A-P, or A-HLF, A-mMSC, and A-BMDMCs (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-HLF, *n* = 6; A-mMSC, *n* = 6; A-BMDMC, *n* = 13). **(B):** Analysis of RN, G, and H in N, A-P, A-CD45, A-CD34, A-CD34, A-CD19 (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-CD45, *n* = 6; A-CD34, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; and A-CD19, *n* = 6). **(C):** Analysis of RN, G, and H in N, A-P, A-CD11b, A-CD31, and A-Sca-1 (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-CD11b, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; and A-Sca-1, *n* = 6). **(D):** Analysis of RN, G, and H in N, A-P, A-CD11b, A-CD31, and A-Sca-1 (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-CD11b, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; and A-Sca-1, *n* = 6). **(D):** Analysis of RN, G, and H in N, A-P, A-CD11b, A-CD31, and A-Sca-1 (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-CD11b, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; and A-Sca-1, *n* = 6). **(D):** Analysis of RN, G, and H in N, A-P, A-CD11b, A-CD31, and A-Sca-1 (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-CD11b, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; and A-Sca-1, *n* = 6). **(D):** Analysis of RN, G, and H in N, A-P, A-CD31, A-CD34, A-CD45, A-SC34, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; and A-Sca-1, *n* = 6). **(D):** Analysis of RN, G, and H according to the baseline, and then expressed as percent increase over the baseline, ±SD. \*, *p* ≤ .05 compared with N; #, compared with A-P; \*\*\*, compared with A-BMDMC;  $\tau$ , compared with A-CD11b. Abbreviations: A, *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice; A-BMDMC, *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells; A-CD31, CD3+, CD3

reduce AHE-provoked increases in histologic inflammation and had only minimal effects on BALF cellularity. Administration of CD11b-depleted BMDMCs did not reduce either AHE-provoked increases in histologic inflammation or BALF total and differential cellularity. Administration of Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs significantly decreased AHE-provoked increase histologic lung inflammation but had only minimal effects on BALF cellularity (Figs. 4A, 4B, 5A, 5B).

## Systemic Administration of MSCs, Total BMDMCs, or of CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, or CD31-Depleted BMDMCs Significantly Inhibited AHE-Provoked Increase in BALF Th2 and Th17 Proinflammatory Cytokines

Animals that underwent the AHE oropharyngeal administration and received PBS (A-P group) had significantly higher BALF levels of IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-12, IL-17, keratinocyte chemoattractant (KC), and RANTES (regulated on activation, normal T-cell expressed and secreted), and also, lower levels of IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-10 in the BALF, compared with N. mMSCs and BMDMCs had comparable effects in significantly decreasing the AHE provoked increases in BALF IL-4, IL-17, and KC levels, trending toward a reduction of IL-5, IL-6, and RANTES levels. In parallel, both mMSCs and BMDMCs comparably significantly increased the AHE-provoked reduction in IFN- $\gamma$ levels and produced a trend toward increase of IL-10 levels (Fig. 6A, 6B). Administration of CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, or CD31-depleted BMDMCs each resulted in similar effects. Administration of CD45depleted BMDMCs did not significantly reduce IL-4 or IL-17 levels but trended toward reduced AHE-provoked increases of IL-5, IL-6, KC, and RANTES levels compared with the A-P group. The CD45depleted BMDMCs promoted a significant increase in the BALF levels of IFN- $\gamma$  and showed a trend toward increased IL-10 levels compared with the A-P group. CD11b-depleted BMDMC administration had no effects on the AHE-provoked increase in IL-4, IL-5, IL-17, IL-6, KC, or RANTES levels and also did not increase either IL-10 or IFN- $\gamma$  levels. Administration of Sca-1-depleted



Figure 4. Systemic administration of mMSCs, BMDMCs, CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, CD31-, and Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs, but not HLFs, or CD11b-, and CD45-depleted BMDMCs, significantly reduced histologic lung inflammation provoked by AHE sensitization and challenge. (A): Inflammation score of the airway in N and A mice treated with HLF, mMSCs, BMDMCs, CD45-, CD34-, CD11b, CD3, CD19, CD31-, and Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs (N, n = 17; A-P, n = 15; A-HLF, n = 6; AmMSC, *n* = 6; A-BMDMC, *n* = 13; A-CD45, *n* = 6; A-CD34, *n* = 6; A-CD11b, n = 9; A-CD3, n = 6; A-CD19, n = 6; A-CD31, n = 6; A-Sca-1, n = 6). Data are presented as median and interquartile ranges. (B): Representative photomicrographs stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Original magnification, imes10. Scale bar = 25  $\mu$ m. \*,  $p \leq .05$ compared with N; #, compared with A-P; \*\*, compared with A-BMDMC. Abbreviations: A, Aspergillus hyphal extract-exposed mice; A-CD3 (or A-CD11b-, A-CD19-, A-CD31-, A-CD34-, A-CD45-, A-Sca-1-), Aspergillus hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, and Sca-1-) depleted bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells; A-HLF, Aspergillus hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with human lung fibroblasts; A-P, Aspergillus hyphal extractexposed mice treated with phosphate-buffered saline; BMDMC, bone marrow-derived mononuclear cell; HLF, human lung fibroblast; mMSC, mouse mesenchymal stem/stromal cell; N, naïve; P, phosphatebuffered saline.

BMDMCs reduced the AHE-provoked increase in IL-4 levels, showed a trend toward a reduction of IL-5 and IL-6, but had no effects on levels of IL-17, KC, IL-10, RANTES, or IFN- $\gamma$  levels. Administration of HLFs did not result in any alterations of AHE-provoked increase in inflammatory mediators.



Figure 5. Systemic administration of mMSC, BMDMCs, CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, CD31-, CD45-, and Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs, but not HLFs and CD11b-depleted BMDMCs, significantly reduced the number of inflammatory cells in BALF provoked by Aspergillus hyphal extract sensitization and challenge. (A): Total cell number within the BALF in N and A mice treated with PBS, HLF, mMSCs, BMDMCs, CD45-, CD34-, CD11b, CD3, CD19, CD31-, and Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs. (B): Differential cell population within the BALF normalized to total cell numbers. Total number of neutrophils, eosinophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes (N, n = 17; A-P, n = 15; A-HLF, n = 6; A-mMSC, n = 6; A-BMDMC, n = 13; A-CD45, n = 6; A-CD34, n = 6; A-CD11b, n = 9; A-CD3, n = 6; A-CD19, n = 6; A-CD31, n = 6; A-Sca-1, n = 6). Data presented as mean  $\pm$  SD. \*,  $p \leq$ .05 compared with N; #, compared with A-P; \*\*, compared with A-BMDMC. Abbreviations: A-CD3 (or A-CD11b-, A-CD19-, A-CD31-, A-CD34-, A-CD45-, A-Sca-1-), Aspergillus hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, and Sca-1-) depleted bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells; BALF, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; BMDMC, bone marrow-derived mononuclear cell; HLF, human lung fibroblast; mMSC, mouse mesenchymal stem/ stromal cell; N, naïve; P, phosphate-buffered saline.

## Systemic Administration of MSCs, Total BMDMCs, or CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, or CD31-Depleted BMDMCs Significantly Inhibited AHE-Provoked Antigen-Specific Release of Th2 and Th17 Mediators in Mixed Mediastinal Lymphocyte Cultures

AHE sensitization and challenge (A) resulted in a significant increase in IL-4, IL-5, and IL-17 release by mixed MLN cultures following ex vivo antigen stimulation (Fig. 7). This was most notable at 48 hours, particularly for the increase in IL-17 levels. No



**Figure 6.** Systemic administration of mMSCs, BMDMCs, CD34-, CD3-, CD19-, and CD31-depleted BMDMCs, but not HLFs and CD11b- and Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs, significantly reduced the increased levels of proinflammatory soluble cytokines and chemokines in BALF, provoked by AHE sensitization and challenge. **(A)**: Cytokines associated with Th2 (i.e., IL-4, IL-5, IL-13), Th17 (i.e., IL-6, IL-17a), and Th1 inflammation (i.e., IFN- $\gamma$ ). **(B)**: Additional Th17 inflammation-associated cytokines (i.e., IL-12, KCs), alternate inflammatory cytokines (i.e., IL-3, RANTES), and cytokines previously identified as secreted by MSCs in immunomodulation (i.e., IL-14, IL-10) (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-HLF, *n* = 6; A-mMSC, *n* = 6; A-BMDMC, *n* = 13; A-CD45, *n* = 6; A-CD11b, *n* = 9; A-CD3, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; A-CD11b, *n* = 9; A-CD3, *n* = 6; A-CD119, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; A-CD31-, A-CD31-, A-CD31-, A-CD31-, A-CD31-, A-CD31-, A-CD31-, A-CD45-, A-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, a-Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b-, CD19-, CD31-, CD34-, CD45-, or Sca-1-), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice t

significant changes in levels of IFN- $\gamma$  were observed. Systemic administration of either mMSCs or BMDMCs, but not HLFs, comparably resulted in significant reductions in release of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-17, and significant increase in IFN- $\gamma$  release (Fig. 7). Administration of CD34-, CD-3-, CD-19-, or CD-31-depleted BMDMCs tended to reduce IL-4, IL-5, and IL-17 levels and promoted increase in IFN- $\gamma$  levels. Administration of CD45-, CD11b-, or Sca-1-depleted BMDMCs tended not to reduce AHE-induced increases in IL-5 and IL-17 levels and also failed to promote increase in IFN- $\gamma$  levels.

## DISCUSSION

Systemic administration of syngeneic BMDMCs during antigen challenge comparably reduced AHR and lung inflammation resulting from AHE-mediated allergic airway inflammation. This effect had not previously been demonstrated in this model with BMDMCs, to our knowledge, and is comparable to effects observed with systemic administration of syngeneic bone marrow-derived MSCs. Both cell types also comparably shifted phenotype of antigen-specific splenic CD4 cells from a Th2/Th17 toward a counter-regulatory Th1 phenotype. Using an antibody-mediated cell-depletion approach, removal of hematopoietic progenitors, endothelial cells, or of either T or B lymphocytes from the heterogeneous BMDMC populations had no effect, whereas removing either CD11b+ (monocyte/macrophages/dendritic cells) or Sca-1+ cells, likely the MSC fraction, of the BMDMCs each inhibited the ability of the BMDMCs to ameliorate either lung inflammation or airway hyperresponsiveness. These results demonstrate that for this model of lung injury, both MSCs and monocytes are the critical effector cells contained in the BMDMC population.

A large and steadily increasing number of studies have demonstrated beneficial effects of systemic or intratracheal administration of syngeneic, allogeneic, or xenogeneic MSCs derived from bone marrow, adipose tissue, placenta, and other sources in a wide spectrum of preclinical lung disease models [39–45]. With respect to asthma. MSC administration during either antigen sensitization or challenge mitigated both airway hyperresponsiveness and lung inflammation in a variety of models of allergic airway inflammation in mice [9-20]. Proposed mechanisms include MSC-stimulated increase in Tregulatory cells and also a shift from a Th2 or mixed Th2/ Th17 phenotype to a counter-regulatory Th1 phenotype of antigen-specific CD4 cells [16, 18-20, 46]. How this occurs remains unclear, although recent data suggest that conditioned media-more specifically, extracellular vesicles released by the MSCs-can convey the same protective effects as the cells themselves [20].



**Figure 7.** Systemic administration of mMSCs, BMDMCs, and postdepletion BMDMCs altered IL-4, IL-5, IL-17, and IFN- $\gamma$  production in ex vivo restimulation of mediastinal lymphocytes. Assessment of IL-4, IL-5, IL-17, and IFN- $\gamma$  levels in supernatants from mixed mediastinal lymph node cell populations ex vivo restimulated for 48 hours with *Aspergillus* hyphal extract antigen (N, *n* = 17; A-P, *n* = 15; A-HLF, *n* = 6; A-BMDMC, *n* = 13; A-CD45, *n* = 6; A-CD14, *n* = 6; A-CD11b, *n* = 9; A-CD3, *n* = 6; A-CD31, *n* = 6; A-Sca-1, *n* = 6). Data presented as mean of duplicates (pooled data). Abbreviations: A-CD3 (or A-CD11b, A-CD19, A-CD31, A-CD45, A-Sca-1), *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-exposed mice treated with CD-3- (or CD11b, CD19, CD31, CD34, CD45, or Sca-1-) depleted bone marrow-derived mononuclear cell; BMDMC, bone marrow-derived mononuclear cell; BMDMC, bone marrow-derived phosphate-buffered saline.

Use of MSCs for cell-based therapies is based on several attributes, including their ability to support adequate tissue repair and suppress inflammation [47, 48]. However, the use of MSCs, particularly allogeneic MSCs, has some logistical drawbacks, including the need for culture expansion, potential alterations in cell properties that can occur in culture [21, 49, 50], and consideration of allogeneic MSC use [51]. An alternative approach is to use autologous BMDMCs, a heterogeneous pool of cells that can be administered safely and easily on the day of harvesting [52, 53]. Potential benefits include lack of need for culture expansion, no changes in cell properties that might occur in culture, and lower overall costs [54]. As such. the use of autologous BMDMCs may provide a viable alternative to MSCs. The premise of autologous BMDMC transplantation is based on the assumption that an affected individual will have BMDMCs that will be beneficial. The animal data suggest that in certain models, the BMDMCs may very well reflect underlying disease and, thus, arguably may be unsuitable for therapeutic use [55, 56]. In contrast, we have found that BMDMCs obtained from mice with allergic airway inflammation, albeit that produced by ovalbumin, and also from mice with lipopolysaccharide-induced acute lung injury are as potent as BMDMCs from normal syngeneic mice in reducing the specific disease inflammatory endpoints. Similar findings were observed in an animal model of acute lung injury [26, 57]. As such, there may be instances in which autologous BMDMCs obtained from individuals with a given lung disease may be appropriate [26, 57]. Furthermore, it is imperative to understand which cell populations in the BMDMCs might convey beneficial effects for use in any given disease. In preclinical models of lung diseases, BMDMCs have been shown to abrogate airway inflammation and remodeling and to promote lung repair in mouse models of acute lung injury, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder, silicosis and asthma [24-26, 32, 36, 58]. With respect to asthma, we have previously compared BMDMCs with MSCs in a mild Th2-mediated eosinophilic allergic airway disease induced by ovalbumin sensitization and challenge [12]. In that study, to ascertain whether the outcomes of BMDMC administration resulted from the balance between cell types rather than strictly from MSCs present in the BMDMCs, similar numbers of MSCs were administered (4% MSCs in 2  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> BMDMCs, approximately 1  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> MSCs). That study demonstrated that BMDMC administration led to greater improvement in lung mechanics and a greater reduction in fractional area of alveolar collapse, collagen fiber content in the alveolar septa, and growth factor levels (transforming growth factor [TGF- $\beta$ ] and vascular endothelial growth factor) as compared with MSCs [12]. These results suggested that other cell types in the heterogeneous BMDMC mix might also be playing a role in ameliorating asthma.

The current study expands these initial observations to comparisons of BMDMC versus MSC administration in a more severe model of acute mixed Th2/Th17-mediated neutro-philic allergic airway inflammation induced by mucosal exposure to and challenge with adjuvant-free AHE. Notably, administration of either 10<sup>6</sup> BMDMCs or 10<sup>6</sup> MSCs yielded

similar beneficial effects on lung mechanics, airway inflammation, and behavior of antigen-specific splenic T cells, an effect not mimicked by a control fibroblast cell population. The reduction in AHE-induced increases in soluble Th2 (IL-4 and IL-5) and Th17 (IL-17) cytokines in BALF and in mixed lymphocyte cultures and the accompanying increase in IFN- $\gamma$  levels after systemic BMDMC administration suggest a comparable shift of Th2/Th17 inflammatory response toward a counterregulatory Th1 response, as observed with administration of MSCs alone. Reduction in AHE-stimulated increases in BALF levels of the neutrophil chemoattractants KC and RANTES suggests that BMDMCs and MSCs may also act in other ways to decrease neutrophil recruitment to the allergically inflamed lung. Additionally, BMDMC or MSC administration resulted in a decrease in IL-6 levels; IL-6 is an important regulator of effector CD4 T-cell fate, promoting IL-4 production during Th2 differentiation, inhibiting Th1 differentiation, and, together with TGF- $\beta$ , promoting Th17-cell differentiation [59]. Furthermore, control levels of IL-10, an important anti-inflammatory cytokine, were found after treatment with BMDMCs or MSCs.

We next sought to identify the cell or cells in the BMDMCs that mediated the observed effects in this model. The heterogeneous BMDMC population does include a small percentage of Sca-1<sup>+</sup> CD45<sup>-</sup> cells that likely include MSCs. The approximate 5%–6% Sca-1 $^+$  CD45 $^-$  content of these cells is higher than those traditionally described in mouse bone marrow and so may also contain other Sca-1<sup>+</sup> cells. Nonetheless, it is reasonable to assume that this fraction does contain MSCs. Thus, either a small number of MSCs (i.e., the approximately  $5 imes 10^4$ MSCs contained in the BMDMCs) was sufficient to mitigate the effect or another cell type in the BMDMC also played a role. Previous studies in other disease models have suggested that the functional effects of the BMDMCs result from a balance between different cell types, with potential beneficial involvement of all component cells [24-26, 32, 36, 52, 53, 57, 58]. BMDMCs include a variety of cells: progenitor cells (hematopoietic progenitor cells and mesenchymal stromal cells), leukocytes (B and T lymphocytes, and monocytes/macrophages), and endothelial cells. Using established cell surface markers for each of these cells, it was feasible to remove individual cell categories (i.e., total leukocytes) or individual cell types (i.e., T or B cells, monocytes, endothelial cells, or hematopoietic progenitor cells) with antibody depletion techniques. Regarding MSC surface markers, there is no one characteristic cell surface marker; rather, a set of suggested positive and negative markers has been described [60]. In the mouse, this includes Sca-1<sup>+</sup>, CD45<sup>-</sup>, and CD34<sup>-</sup> cells [60, 61]. As such, we used depletion of Sca-1 as a marker to deplete a cell population that would include MSCs, and compared this to BMDMC populations depleted of CD34 cells, which included hematopoietic progenitor cells [62, 63]. As HSCs differentiate into common progenitors, Sca-1 expression is downregulated [64]; thus, the number of Sca-1-positive cells is lower than CD34-positive cells.

These depletion studies demonstrated that bone marrowderived CD11b<sup>+</sup> mononuclear cells were as potent, if not more so, than bone marrow-derived Sca-1+ mononuclear cells in conveying the beneficial effects of BMDMC administration in this model. CD11b-positive cells include a variety of cells, but, importantly, include dendritic cells or their precursors, and also monocytes/macrophages. Dendritic cells have been shown to activate T cells and trigger their differentiation into regulatory T cells following exposure to antigens. Once activated, regulatory T cells can engage in bystander suppression, whereby they suppress immune responses in an antigen-independent manner via cell-cell contact or by the secretion of inhibitory cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF- $\alpha$  [65]. Moreover, there are several mechanisms by which bone marrow-derived monocytes/macrophages could ameliorate allergic airway inflammation, including expression of anti-inflammatory cytokines and other mediators [66-71]. Although the inflammatory process in asthma is dominated by a Th2 inflammation, increasing evidence supports the parallel development and involvement of both M1 and M2 macrophages [65]. M1 macrophages, which are increased in bronchoalveolar lavage of patients with corticosteroidresistant asthma compared with those with corticosteroidsensitive asthma, are responsible for the recruitment of neutrophils, which are the major effector cells in severe phenotypes of asthma [65]. The cytokines IL-4 and IL-13 are abundantly present in the lungs of patients with asthma, and since these cytokines are M2 polarization inducers, it may not come as a surprise, therefore, that M2 macrophages have been associated with asthma [65]. However, previous studies did not conclusively prove that M2 macrophages play a causative role in the development of allergic airway inflammation, and Nieuwenhuizen et al. recently demonstrated that M2 macrophages are not necessary for allergic airway disease and may only be a consequence of the elevated Th2 response [72].

Notably, a growing body of literature demonstrates that MSCs induce macrophages to polarize into a M2 subtype that secretes several anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10 and TGF- $\beta$ , and that also can induce CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells to adopt a CD25<sup>+</sup>FoxP3<sup>+</sup> TGF- $\beta$ -1<sup>+</sup> functional suppressor phenotype (T regulatory cells) [73, 74]. Thus, bone marrow-derived macrophages that are in constant contact with MSCs in that microenvironment can have anti-inflammatory properties and are reported to produce high levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines when compared with macrophages from other sources (e.g., spleen and peritoneum) [75]. Furthermore, M2 macrophages express receptors involved in phagocytosis and in the engulfment and digestion of dead cells, debris, and various extracellular matrix components that could promote tissue-damaging M1 macrophage responses [76, 77]. More interestingly, M2 macrophages produce factors that induce the apoptosis of myofibroblasts as well as matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases that control extracellular matrix turnover and play an important role in wound healing, angiogenesis, and fibrosis by helping to restore tissue homeostasis [78]. Thereby, administration of bone marrow-derived monocytes/macrophages can conceivably produce beneficial effects in allergic airway inflammation models because of their anti-inflammatory properties and their remodeling capacity [65, 66].

Although the immune magnetic-bead-depletion technique used in this study is a simple, feasible, and efficient method to deplete specific cells, no cell type was completely removed. Thus, it is conceivable that small numbers remaining for each cell type following depletion from the BMDMCs might have played a role in the AHE model. In future studies, bone marrow-derived CD11b<sup>+</sup> and Sca-1<sup>+</sup> cells will be isolated and administered in the Th2/Th17-mediated allergic airway inflammation model. We will also further characterize the phenotype of the CD11b+ cells and compare effects with that of CD11b<sup>+</sup> cells extracted from other sources such as the blood, peritoneum, or the bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.

One other consideration is age of the mice being studied. Brandenberger et al. showed in 2014 that in BALB/c mice sensitized and challenged with house dust mites, the severity and character of allergic airway disease were age dependent, with a bias toward a Th17 immune response with aging. Thus, they concluded that elderly patients with asthma may be prone to develop severe allergic airway inflammation with a mixed Th2/Th17 immune response [79]. On the other hand, and corroborated by previous studies [16, 18-20], our group used younger immunocompetent C57Bl6 mice (8-12 weeks old) that have been shown to develop a severe neutrophilic-mediated allergic hyperresponsiveness and inflammation with mixed Th2/Th17 responses reflective of severe refractory asthma, induced by repeated mucosal exposure to AHE over a period of weeks. Thus, future studies will comparatively assess MSCs, BMMDCs, and BMMDC subpopulations in different age groups.

#### CONCLUSION

Systemic administration of either syngeneic BMDMCs or syngeneic MSCs were similarly effective in reducing airway inflammation and improving lung function in a mixed Th2/Th17 model of allergic airway inflammation in mice. However, depleting the heterogeneous BMDMC population of either monocytes/macrophages or of bone marrow-derived Sca-1+ cells did not produce the same improvement in lung mechanics and histology, suggesting that both cell types, and likely the interaction between these two cell types, play an important role in these processes. Future studies will clarify the respective contributions and interactions between these cell types in this model.

## 497

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nirav Daphtary and Minara Aliyeva of the Vermont Lung Center Core facility for assistance with Flexivent technical support; and Joseph Platz, Melissa Lathrop, Roxanna Del Rio Guerra, and Matthew Poynter for constructive ideas. This research was supported by NIH ARRA Grant RC4HL106625 (to D.J.W.); National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) Grants R21HL108689 (to D.J.W.), RO1 HL096702, and R21HL110023-01; Environmental Pathology training grant T32ES007122 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences; the Vermont Lung Center CoBRE Grant P20RR15557; and the Brazilian National Council of Technological and Scientific Development - Science Without Borders (funding to F.F.C. and P.R.M.R.). Some cells used in this work were provided by the Texas A&M Health Science Center College of Medicine Institute for Regenerative Medicine at Scott & White through Grant P40RR017447 from National Center for Research Resources of the NIH.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

F.F.C.: conception and design, collection and/or assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing, final approval of manuscript; Z.D.B., M.G., A.L.C., and D.E.W.: collection and/or assembly of data, final approval of manuscript; P.R.M.R.: conception and design, final approval of manuscript; D.J.W.: conception and design, financial support, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing, final approval of manuscript.

## DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

D.J.W. has research funding from United Therapeutics and Athersys, Inc. D.E.W. has compensated research funding from Whitaker Foundation. The other authors indicated no potential conflicts of interest.

#### REFERENCES

**1** Kupczyk M, Wenzel S. U.S. and European severe asthma cohorts: What can they teach us about severe asthma? J Intern Med 2012; 272:121–132.

2 Wenzel S. Severe asthma: From characteristics to phenotypes to endotypes. Clin Exp Allergy 2012;42:650–658.

**3** Hekking PP, Wener RR, Amelink M et al. The prevalence of severe refractory asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2015;135:896–902.

**4** Moore WC, Bleecker ER, Curran-Everett D et al. Characterization of the severe asthma phenotype by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Severe Asthma Research Program. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007;119: 405–413.

**5** Sorkness RL, Bleecker ER, Busse WW et al. Lung function in adults with stable but severe asthma: Air trapping and incomplete reversal of obstruction with bronchodilation. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2008;104:394–403.

**6** Strek ME. Difficult asthma. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2006;3:116–123.

**7** Caminati M, Senna G, Guerriero M et al. Omalizumab for severe allergic asthma in clinical trials and real-life studies: What we know and what we should address. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2015;31:28–35.

**8** Busse WW, Morgan WJ, Gergen PJ et al. Randomized trial of omalizumab (anti-IgE) for asthma in inner-city children. N Engl J Med 2011;364:1005–1015.

**9** Bonfield TL, Koloze M, Lennon DP et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells suppress chronic airway inflammation in the murine ovalbumin asthma model. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2010;299:L760–L770.

**10** Park HK, Cho KS, Park HY et al. Adiposederived stromal cells inhibit allergic airway inflammation in mice. Stem Cells Dev 2010;19: 1811–1818.

**11** Nemeth K, Keane-Myers A, Brown JM et al. Bone marrow stromal cells use TGF-beta to suppress allergic responses in a mouse model of ragweed-induced asthma [published correction appears in Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010 Apr 27;107(17):8041]. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010;107:5652–5657. 12 Abreu SC, Antunes MA, de Castro JC et al. Bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells vs. mesenchymal stromal cells in experimental allergic asthma. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2013; 187:190–198.

**13** Firinci F, Karaman M, Baran Y et al. Mesenchymal stem cells ameliorate the histopathological changes in a murine model of chronic asthma. Int Immunopharmacol 2011; 11:1120–1126.

14 Lee SH, Jang AS, Kwon JH et al. Mesenchymal stem cell transfer suppresses airway remodeling in a toluene diisocyanate-induced murine asthma model. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2011;3:205–211.

**15** Ou-Yang HF, Huang Y, Hu XB et al. Suppression of allergic airway inflammation in a mouse model of asthma by exogenous mesenchymal stem cells. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 2011;236:1461–1467.

**16** Goodwin M, Sueblinvong V, Eisenhauer P et al. Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells inhibit Th2-mediated allergic airways inflammation in mice. STEM CELLS 2011;29: 1137–1148.

**17** Kavanagh H, Mahon BP. Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells prevent allergic airway inflammation by inducing murine regulatory T cells. Allergy 2011;66:523–531.

**18** Lathrop MJ, Brooks EM, Bonenfant NR et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells mediate *Aspergillus* hyphal extract-induced allergic airway inflammation by inhibition of the Th17 signaling pathway. STEM CELLS TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE 2014;3:194–205.

**19** Cruz FF, Borg ZD, Goodwin M et al. Freshly thawed and continuously cultured human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells comparably ameliorate allergic airways inflammation in immunocompetent mice. STEM CELLS TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE 2015; 4:615–624.

**20** Cruz FF, Borg ZD, Goodwin M et al. Systemic administration of human bone marrowderived mesenchymal stromal cell extracellular vesicles ameliorates *Aspergillus* hyphal extractinduced allergic airway inflammation in immunocompetent mice. STEM CELLS TRANSLATIONAL MEDICINE 2015;4:1302–1316.

**21** Bara JJ, Richards RG, Alini M et al. Concise review: Bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells change phenotype following in vitro culture: implications for basic research and the clinic. STEM CELLS 2014;32:1713–1723.

22 Bork S, Pfister S, Witt H et al. DNA methylation pattern changes upon long-term culture and aging of human mesenchymal stromal cells. Aging Cell 2010;9:54–63.

**23** Alagesan S, Griffin MD. Autologous and allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells in organ transplantation: What do we know about their safety and efficacy? Curr Opin Organ Transplant 2014;19:65–72.

24 Abreu SC, Antunes MA, Maron-Gutierrez T et al. Effects of bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells on airway and lung parenchyma remodeling in a murine model of chronic allergic inflammation. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2011:175:153–163.

25 Abreu SC, Antunes MA, Maron-Gutierrez T et al. Bone marrow mononuclear cell therapy in experimental allergic asthma: Intratracheal versus intravenous administration. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2013;185:615–624.

26 Abreu SC, Antunes MA, Mendonça L et al. Effects of bone marrow mononuclear cells from healthy or ovalbumin-induced lung inflammation donors on recipient allergic asthma mice. Stem Cell Res Ther 2014;5:108.

**27** Allard JB, Poynter ME, Marr KA et al. *Aspergillus fumigatus* generates an enhanced Th2-biased immune response in mice with defective cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. J Immunol 2006;177: 5186–5194.

**28** Allard JB, Rinaldi L, Wargo MJ et al. Th2 allergic immune response to inhaled fungal antigens is modulated by TLR-4-independent bacterial products. Eur J Immunol 2009;39:776–788.

**29** Peister A, Mellad JA, Larson BL et al. Adult stem cells from bone marrow (MSCs) isolated from different strains of inbred mice vary in surface epitopes, rates of proliferation, and differentiation potential. Blood 2004; 103:1662–1668.

**30** Production Assistance for Cellular Therapies. About PACT. Available at https://secure. emmes.com/pactweb/Facilities. Accessed November 1, 2014.

**31** Reed W, Noga SJ, Gee AP et al. Production Assistance for Cellular Therapies (PACT): four-year experience from the United States National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) contract research program in cell and tissue therapies. Transfusion 2009;49: 786–796.

**32** Araújo IM, Abreu SC, Maron-Gutierrez T et al. Bone marrow-derived mononuclear cell therapy in experimental pulmonary and extrapulmonary acute lung injury. Crit Care Med 2010; 38:1733–1741.

**33** Teschner D, Distler E, Wehler D et al. Depletion of naive T cells using clinical grade magnetic CD45RA beads: A new approach for GVHD prophylaxis. Bone Marrow Transplant 2014;49: 138–144.

**34** Meyer RG, Britten CM, Wehler D et al. Prophylactic transfer of CD8-depleted donor lymphocytes after T-cell-depleted reducedintensity transplantation. Blood 2007;109: 374–382.

**35** Antunes MA, Abreu SC, Cruz FF et al. Effects of different mesenchymal stromal cell sources and delivery routes in experimental emphysema. Respir Res 2014;15: 118.

**36** Cruz FF, Antunes MA, Abreu SC et al. Protective effects of bone marrow mononuclear cell therapy on lung and heart in an elastaseinduced emphysema model. Respir Physiol Neurobiol 2012;182:26–36.

**37** Schuessler TF, Bates JH. A computercontrolled research ventilator for small animals: design and evaluation. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 1995;42:860–866.

**38** Gomes RF, Shardonofsky F, Eidelman DH et al. Respiratory mechanics and lung development in the rat from early age to adulthood. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2001;90: 1631–1638.

**39** Pierro M, Ionescu L, Montemurro T et al. Short-term, long-term and paracrine effect of human umbilical cord-derived stem cells in lung injury prevention and repair in experimental bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Thorax 2013;68: 475–484.

**40** Sutsko RP, Young KC, Ribeiro A et al. Long-term reparative effects of mesenchymal stem cell therapy following neonatal hyperoxiainduced lung injury. Pediatr Res 2013;73: 46–53.

**41** Hansmann G, Fernandez-Gonzalez A, Aslam M et al. Mesenchymal stem cellmediated reversal of bronchopulmonary dysplasia and associated pulmonary hypertension. Pulm Circ 2012;2:170–181.

**42** Aslam M, Baveja R, Liang OD et al. Bone marrow stromal cells attenuate lung injury in a murine model of neonatal chronic lung disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;180: 1122–1130.

**43** Pati S, Gerber M, Menge TD et al. Bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells inhibit inflammation and preserve vascular endothelial integrity in the lungs after hemorrhagic shock. PLoS One 2011;6:e25171.

**44** Kim ES, Chang YS, Choi SJ et al. Intratracheal transplantation of human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells attenuates *Escherichia coli*-induced acute lung injury in mice. Respir Res 2011;12:108.

**45** Sun J, Han ZB, Liao W et al. Intrapulmonary delivery of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells attenuates acute lung injury by expanding CD4+CD25+ Forkhead Boxp3 (FOXP3)+ regulatory T cells and balancing anti- and pro-inflammatory factors. Cell Physiol Biochem 2011;27:587–596.

**46** Bernardo ME, Fibbe WE. Mesenchymal stromal cells: sensors and switchers of inflammation. Cell Stem Cell 2013;13:392–402.

**47** Le Blanc K, Mougiakakos D. Multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells and the innate immune system. Nat Rev Immunol 2012;12: 383–396.

**48** Bianco P, Cao X, Frenette PS et al. The meaning, the sense and the significance: translating the science of mesenchymal stem cells into medicine. Nat Med 2013;19:35–42.

**49** Bentivegna A, Miloso M, Riva G et al. DNA methylation changes during in vitro propagation of human mesenchymal stem cells: Implications for their genomic stability? Stem Cells Int 2013;2013:192425.

**50** Li Z, Liu C, Xie Z et al. Epigenetic dysregulation in mesenchymal stem cell aging and spontaneous differentiation. PLoS One 2011; 6:e20526.

**51** Consentius C, Reinke P, Volk HD. Immunogenicity of allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells: What has been seen in vitro and in vivo? Regen Med 2015;10:305–315.

**52** Lu D, Chen B, Liang Z et al. Comparison of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells with bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells for treatment of diabetic critical limb ischemia and foot ulcer: A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011; 92:26–36.

53 Mathieu M, Bartunek J, El Oumeiri B et al. Cell therapy with autologous bone marrow mononuclear stem cells is associated with superior cardiac recovery compared with use of nonmodified mesenchymal stem cells in a canine model of chronic myocardial infarction. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009;138:646–653.

**54** Fischer UM, Harting MT, Jimenez F et al. Pulmonary passage is a major obstacle for intravenous stem cell delivery: The pulmonary first-pass effect. Stem Cells Dev 2009;18: 683–692.

**55** Ji SQ, Chen HR, Wang HX et al. Comparison of outcome of allogeneic bone marrow transplantation with and without granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (lenograstim) donor-marrow priming in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2002;8: 261–267.

**56** Spahr L, Chalandon Y, Terraz S et al. Autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell transplantation in patients with decompensated alcoholic liver disease: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS One 2013;8:e53719.

**57** Silva JD, Paredes BD, Araújo IM et al. Effects of bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells from healthy or acute respiratory distress syndrome donors on recipient lung-injured mice. Crit Care Med 2014;42:e510–e524.

**58** Lopes-Pacheco M, Ventura TG, de Oliveira HD et al. Infusion of bone marrow mononuclear cells reduces lung fibrosis but not inflammation in the late stages of murine silicosis. PLoS One 2014;9:e109982.

**59** Rincon M, Irvin CG. Role of IL-6 in asthma and other inflammatory pulmonary diseases. Int J Biol Sci 2012;8:1281–1290.

**60** Krampera M, Galipeau J, Shi Y et al. Immunological characterization of multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells–The International Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) working proposal. Cytotherapy 2013;15:1054–1061.

**61** Houlihan DD, Mabuchi Y, Morikawa S et al. Isolation of mouse mesenchymal stem cells on the basis of expression of Sca-1 and PDGFR- $\alpha$  Nat Protoc 2012;7:2103–2111.

**62** Krause DS, Ito T, Fackler MJ et al. Characterization of murine CD34, a marker for hematopoietic progenitor and stem cells. Blood 1994; 84:691–701.

**63** Morel F, Szilvassy SJ, Travis M et al. Primitive hematopoietic cells in murine bone marrow express the CD34 antigen. Blood 1996;88: 3774–3784.

**64** Holmes C, Stanford WL. Concise review: Stem cell antigen-1: Expression, function, and enigma. STEM CELLS 2007;25:1339–1347.

**65** Larmonier N, Marron M, Zeng Y et al. Tumor-derived CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cell suppression of dendritic cell function involves TGF-beta and IL-10. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2007;56:48–59.

**66** Boorsma CE, Draijer C, Melgert BN. Macrophage heterogeneity in respiratory

diseases. Mediators Inflamm 2013;2013: 769214.

**67** Pesce JT, Ramalingam TR, Mentink-Kane MM et al. Arginase-1-expressing macrophages suppress Th2 cytokine-driven inflammation and fibrosis. PLoS Pathog 2009;5:e1000371.

**68** Mosser DM. The many faces of macrophage activation. J Leukoc Biol 2003;73:209– 212.

**69** Weng SY, Padberg K, Wang XY et al. P633 Regulation of liver fibrosis by tuning M2 macrophage polarization through IL-4R inhibition. J Hepatol 2014;60(suppl):S279–S280.

**70** Wang Y, Wang YP, Zheng G et al. Ex vivo programmed macrophages ameliorate experimental chronic inflammatory renal disease. Kidney Int 2007;72:290–299.

**71** Yang J, Zhang L, Yu C et al. Monocyte and macrophage differentiation: Circulation inflammatory monocyte as biomarker for inflammatory diseases. Biomark Res 2014;2:1.

**72** Nieuwenhuizen NE, Kirstein F, Jayakumar J et al. Allergic airway disease is unaffected by the absence of IL-4R $\alpha$ -dependent alternatively activated macrophages. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;130:743–750.e8.

**73** Savage NDL, de Boer T, Walburg KV. Human anti-inflammatory macrophages induce Foxp3+ GITR+ CD25+ regulatory T cells, which suppress via membrane-bound TGFbeta-1. J Immunol 2008;181:2220–2226.

**74** Zhu YG, Feng XM, Abbott J et al. Human mesenchymal stem cell microvesicles for treatment of *Escherichia coli* endotoxin-induced acute lung injury in mice. STEM CELLS 2014;32: 116–125.

**75** Wang C, Yu X, Cao Q et al. Characterization of murine macrophages from bone marrow, spleen and peritoneum. BMC Immunol 2013;14:6.

**76** Gordon S, Martinez FO. Alternative activation of macrophages: Mechanism and functions. Immunity 2010;32:593–604.

77 Leidi M, Gotti E, Bologna L et al. M2 macrophages phagocytose rituximab-opsonized leukemic targets more efficiently than M1 cells in vitro. J Immunol 2009;182:4415–4422.
78 Wynn TA Cellular and molecular mecha-

nisms of fibrosis. J Pathol 2008;214:199–210.

**79** Brandenberger C, Li N, Jackson-Humbles DN et al. Enhanced allergic airway disease in old mice is associated with a Th17 response. Clin Exp Allergy 2014;44:1282–1292.