

# Localization, proteomics, and metabolite profiling reveal a putative vesicular transporter for UDP-glucose

Cheng Qian<sup>1</sup>, Zhaofa Wu<sup>2,3†</sup>, Rongbo Sun<sup>2,3†</sup>, Huasheng Yu<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Jianzhi Zeng<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Yi Rao<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Yulong Li<sup>2,3,4,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Life Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China; <sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology, Peking University School of Life Sciences, Beijing, China; <sup>3</sup>PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing, China; <sup>4</sup>Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Beijing, China; <sup>5</sup>Chinese Institute for Brain Research, Beijing, China

**Abstract** Vesicular neurotransmitter transporters (VNTs) mediate the selective uptake and enrichment of small-molecule neurotransmitters into synaptic vesicles (SVs) and are therefore a major determinant of the synaptic output of specific neurons. To identify novel VNTs expressed on SVs (thus identifying new neurotransmitters and/or neuromodulators), we conducted localization profiling of 361 solute carrier (SLC) transporters tagging with a fluorescent protein in neurons, which revealed 40 possible candidates through comparison with a known SV marker. We parallelly performed proteomics analysis of immunisolated SVs and identified seven transporters in overlap. Ultrastructural analysis further supported that one of the transporters, SLC35D3, localized to SVs. Finally, by combining metabolite profiling with a radiolabeled substrate transport assay, we identified UDP-glucose as the principal substrate for SLC35D3. These results provide new insights into the functional role of SLC transporters in neurotransmission and improve our understanding of the molecular diversity of chemical transmitters.

\*For correspondence:  
yulongli@pku.edu.cn

†These authors contributed  
equally to this work

**Competing interests:** The  
authors declare that no  
competing interests exist.

**Funding:** See page 20

**Received:** 03 December 2020

**Accepted:** 15 July 2021

**Published:** 16 July 2021

**Reviewing editor:** Rebecca  
Seal, University of Pittsburgh  
School of Medicine, United  
States

© Copyright Qian et al. This  
article is distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons  
Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which  
permits unrestricted use and  
redistribution provided that the  
original author and source are  
credited.

## Introduction

The release of extracellular signaling molecules by secretory vesicles is a strategy used by a wide range of cell types and tissues and plays an essential role under both physiological and pathological conditions (*Burgoyne and Morgan, 2003*). A key step in the process is the accumulation of the respective signaling molecules into the secretory vesicles by specific transporter proteins. In the nervous system, vesicular neurotransmitter transporters (VNTs) such as VGLUT and VGAT (which transport glutamate and GABA, respectively) are essential for the transport of small-molecule neurotransmitters into synaptic vesicles (SVs). These selective transporters determine the category, amount, and transport kinetics of neurotransmitters, thereby establishing the molecular basis of the underlying chemical neurotransmission (*Blakely and Edwards, 2012*). All VNTs identified to date belong to the Solute Carrier (SLC) superfamily of membrane transport proteins, the second-largest group of membrane proteins in the human proteome, with more than 400 members spanning 65 subfamilies (<http://slc.bioparadigms.org/>) (*Hediger et al., 2013*). Strikingly, approximately 30% of these 400 transporters are either uncharacterized or orphan transporters (*César-Razquin et al., 2015; Perland and Fredriksson, 2017*), providing the opportunity to identify novel VNTs and their cognate substrates, thus identifying new neurotransmitters and/or neuromodulators.

The physiological role of transporter proteins is closely coupled with their subcellular localization; however, to date, localization profiling of transporters – particularly SLC transporters, including which are expressed on secretory organelles in primary cells – has not been systematically studied.

Tagging a protein of interest with a fluorescent protein is a widely used strategy for localization profiling (Chong et al., 2015; Huh et al., 2003; Simpson et al., 2000), and this approach offers an effective strategy for screening large numbers of targeted proteins. In addition, the development of mass spectrometry (MS)-based proteomics coupled with subcellular fractionation has made it possible to examine the subcellular spatial distribution of the proteome both rapidly and efficiently (Andersen et al., 2003; Christoforou et al., 2016; Itzhak et al., 2016; Orre et al., 2019), including the SV proteome (Laßek et al., 2015; Takamori et al., 2006). Immunoprecipitation of SVs, followed by proteomic analysis using high-sensitivity MS, provides a specific and efficient method for characterizing the molecular anatomy of SVs (Boyken et al., 2013; Grønberg et al., 2010) including endogenous SLC transporters.

Electron microscopy (EM) is the gold standard to obtain ultrastructural information since it offers the vastly superior resolution (on the order of 1 nm in biological samples) compared to the resolution of optical imaging (on the order of 200–300 nm) (Fernández-Suárez and Ting, 2008). Moreover, using a genetically encoded tag for EM overcomes certain limitations associated with classic immuno-EM labeling methods, which require specific antibodies and penetration of those antibodies. APEX2, an enhanced variant of ascorbate peroxidase, is a highly efficient proximity-based EM tag (Lam et al., 2015) suitable for determining the subcellular localization of proteins of interest.

Identifying the molecular function of an orphan transporter is an essential step toward understanding its biological function. However, using the classic radiolabeled substrate transport assay to deorphanize transporters is a relatively low-throughput approach, particularly given the virtually unlimited number of chemicals that can be tested. On the other hand, metabolite profiling using MS is a high-throughput method for knowing the content metabolites (Chantranupong et al., 2020; Nguyen et al., 2014; Vu et al., 2017) that can offer insights into candidate substrates. Thus, combining metabolite profiling together with the radiolabeled substrate transport assay will likely yield new insights into the molecular function of orphan transporters.

The nucleotide sugar uridine diphosphate glucose (UDP-glucose) plays an essential role in glycosylation in both the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi apparatus (Moremen et al., 2012). Interestingly, the release of UDP-glucose into the extracellular space was detected previously using an enzyme-based method (Lazarowski et al., 2003). Subsequent experiments with 1231N1 cells (an astrocytoma cell line) showed that the release of UDP-glucose requires both  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  signaling and the secretory pathway, as the release was inhibited by the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  chelator BAPTA and the Golgi apparatus blocker brefeldin A (Kreda et al., 2008).


Nucleotide sugars are transported into subcellular organelles by the SLC35 family, which contains 31 members, including 20 orphan transporters (Caffaro and Hirschberg, 2006; Ishida and Kawakita, 2004; Song, 2013). Importantly, the level of nucleotide sugars released by cells can be manipulated by changing the expression of SLC35 transporters; for example, knocking out an SLC35 homolog in yeast decreased the release of UDP-N-acetyl-galactosamine, whereas overexpressing human SLC35D2 in airway epithelial cells increased UDP-N-acetyl-galactosamine release (Sesma et al., 2009). However, whether UDP-glucose is transported by a SLC35 transporter located on secretory organelles is currently unknown.

In this study, we screened 361 SLC members using localization profiling and identified 40 candidate vesicular transporters. In parallel, we performed proteomics analyses of immunoprecipitated SVs from mouse brain samples and found that seven transporters overlapped, including the orphan SLC35 subfamily transporters SLC35D3, SLC35F1, and SLC35G2. Further ultrastructural analysis using APEX2-based EM supported that the SLC35D3 is capable of trafficking to SVs. Finally, we combined metabolite analysis and the radiolabeled substrate transport assay in subcellular organelles and identified UDP-glucose as the principal substrate of SLC35D3.

## Results

### Identification of a subset of SLC35 proteins as putative vesicular transporters using localization screening of SLC transporters

To identify new candidate vesicular transporters, we performed localization screening of SLC transporters (Figure 1). First, we created a cloning library containing 361 human SLC family members fused in-frame with the red fluorescent protein mCherry; we then systematically co-expressed



**Figure 1.** Localization profiling of SLC family members reveals candidate vesicular transporters. (A) Top: Schematic diagram of the localization profiling strategy. Red and green fluorescent signals were collected using confocal microscopy imaging of cultured rat neurons co-expressing mCherry-tagged SLC proteins and EGFP-tagged synaptophysin (SYP-EGFP). Bottom: Sequential steps used for the localization profiling. Two rounds of screening revealed a total of 40 of 361 screened SLC transporters as candidate vesicular transporters. (B) Representative images of neurons expressing SLC

Figure 1 continued on next page

Figure 1 continued

X-mCherry transporters (red) and SYP-EGFP (green). Scale bars: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ . (C) Representative images of neurons expressing three known vesicular SLC transporters (red) and SYP-EGFP (green), with magnified views. White arrowheads indicate co-localization. Scale bars: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ . (D) Representative images of neurons expressing three non-vesicular organelle markers (red) and SYP-EGFP (green), with magnified views. Scale bars: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ . (E) Summary of the co-localization ratio between 134 proteins and SYP-EGFP. Dark gray bars represent known vesicular transporters, magenta bars represent SLC35 transporters, light gray bars represent non-vesicular organelle markers, and white bars represent the SLC transporters screened in this study. The threshold indicated by the vertical dashed line was defined as the co-localization ratio between VGLUT3 and SYP-EGFP.  $n =$  at least three neurons each. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. (F, G) Representative images of neurons expressing vesicular (F) and non-vesicular (G) SLC35 transporters (red) and SYP-EGFP (green), with magnified views. White arrowheads indicate co-localization. Scale bars: 10  $\mu\text{m}$ .

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

**Source data 1.** Genes for co-localization quantification.

**Figure supplement 1.** Subcellular localization of SLC35D3 at a reduced expression level.


individual SLC-mCherry construct with EGFP-tagged synaptophysin (SYP-EGFP) to label SVs in cultured rat cortical and hippocampal neurons, revealing the localization of SLC transporters (Figure 1A,B). Of the 223 SLC transporters that trafficked to neurites, 134 showed overlap with SYP-EGFP and were analyzed further by quantifying the co-localization ratio between the red and green fluorescent signals (Figure 1A,E). As expected, known synaptic vesicular transporters such as VGLUT and the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VACHT) had relatively high co-localization ratio with SYP-EGFP (50–80% co-localization) (Figure 1C,E), whereas markers of non-vesicular organelles such as the Golgi apparatus, endoplasmic reticulum, and mitochondria had relatively low co-localization ratio (10–20%) (Figure 1D,E). Setting a threshold at the co-localization ratio for VGLUT3 – a well-known vesicular transporter – revealed a total of 40 candidate vesicular transporters (Figure 1E and Supplementary file 1). Among these candidates, a subset of SLC35 transporters, including SLC35D3, SLC35F1, and SLC35G2, had a co-localization ratio of approximately 70% with SYP-EGFP (Figure 1E,F). In contrast, other members of the same subfamily, such as SLC35A1, SLC35E1, and SLC35E2, localized primarily to organelles in the soma and had relatively low co-localization ratio (10–20%) (Figure 1E,G). Together, these results indicate that putative vesicular transporters, including a subset of SLC35 family members, likely localize to neuronal SVs.

To avoid mis-localization caused by overexpression, we tested different delivery strategies for a low expression level on one candidate SLC35D3. The lowest expression level of epitope-tagged SLC35D3 was achieved using lentivirus, which was ~40% compared with plasmid transfection (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A,B). Then we focused on the localization of SLC35D3 in the lentivirus infected neurons (Figure 1—figure supplement 1C). The co-localization ratio between SLC35D3 and SYP (SV marker) was ~60%, which is similar to that in the plasmid transfected neurons (~70%). Given SYP may also be localized to secretory granules, we co-immunostained a secretory granule marker Chg A and found that the co-localization ratio between SLC35D3 and Chg A was ~30%. Taken together, SLC35D3 with relatively low expression level has a higher possibility to localize to synaptic vesicles than to secretory granules.

### Proteomics analysis of SVs reveals novel vesicular transporters

To probe the proteome including the vesicular transporters presented in SVs, we immunisolated intact SVs from fractionated mouse brain samples and used western blot analysis and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)–MS to analyze the proteome (Figure 2A). Using a specific antibody against SYP to isolate SVs, we found a number of SV markers present in the anti-SYP samples, but not in samples obtained using a control IgG (Figure 2B); as an additional control, the post-synaptic marker PSD-95 was not detected in either the anti-SYP sample or the control IgG sample in western blotting. Moreover, using EM, we directly observed SVs on the surface of anti-SYP beads, but not control IgG beads (Figure 2C), confirming that the anti-SYP beads selectively isolate SVs.

Next, we performed HPLC–MS analysis and found high reproducibility among repeated trials in both the anti-SYP and control IgG samples (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). We further analyzed the relatively abundant proteins (LFQ intensity  $> 2^{20}$ , without immunoglobulin) that were significantly enriched in the anti-SYP sample compared to the control sample (Figure 2D). The proteins enriched in the anti-SYP sample covered more than 60% of the 110 proteins in the SV proteome listed in the SynGO database (Koopmans et al., 2019), including known VNTs, vesicular ATPase subunits, and a



**Figure 2.** Proteomics profiling of SVs identifies novel putative vesicular SLC transporters. (A) Schematic diagram depicting the strategy for proteomics profiling of SVs immunoprecipitated from fractionated mouse brain  
 Figure 2 continued on next page

## Figure 2 continued

homogenates. (B) Top: western blot analysis of the indicated protein markers for SVs and the postsynaptic marker PSD-95 in the input fraction (supernatant after centrifugation of whole brain lysates), the anti-SYP immunisolated sample, and the control IgG sample. Bottom: Ponceau staining of the membrane, showing the total proteins. (C) Electron microscopy images of anti-SYP beads (top) and control IgG beads (bottom), with magnified views. Arrowheads indicate immunisolated SVs. Scale bars: 500 nm and 100 nm (magnified views). The bottom-left panel shows the quantification of the number of SVs attached to the indicated beads. (D) Left: volcano plot depicting the proteins detected using SV proteomics. The blue dashed box indicates anti-SYP-enriched proteins using thresholds set at  $p < 0.05$  and LFQ intensity  $> 2^{20}$ .  $n = 3$  independently prepared protein samples. p-values by two-sided Student's t-test. Right: magnified view of the anti-SYP-enriched proteins. Representative SV markers are shown in black, V-ATPase subunits are shown in purple, and known vesicular transporters are shown in red. (E) Venn diagram showing the overlap between anti-SYP-enriched proteins (blue) and the known SV proteome based on the SynGO database (red). (F) Summary of the percentage of overlap between anti-SYP-enriched proteins and the SV proteome (from the SynGO database), Golgi apparatus proteins (from UniProt), mitochondrial proteins (from UniProt), and the entire mouse proteome (from UniProt). (G) Summary of the SLC transporters identified using SV proteomics. Classic VNTs are shown in red, and SLC35 transporters are shown in magenta. p-values by two-sided Student's t-test. The horizontal dashed line indicates the threshold at  $p = 0.05$ . (H) Venn diagram showing the overlap between the vesicular transporters identified using localization profiling (yellow) and the vesicular transporters identified using proteomics profiling of SVs (blue). The three candidate SLC35 transporters are shown in magenta.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

**Source data 1.** Proteomics profiling of SVs.

**Figure supplement 1.** Repeatability of the proteomic data Scatterplots showing the correlation between independent biological trials.

**Figure supplement 2.** SLC35D3 in subcellular fractionation of SVs.

number of other SV markers (**Figure 2D–F**). Conversely, only 8.0% and 2.2% of the proteins in the mitochondrial and Golgi apparatus proteome, respectively, were present in the anti-SYP sample (**Figure 2F**), indicating minimal contamination by these organelles; as an additional control, we found very little overlap between the proteins in the anti-SYP sample and the entire mouse proteome in the UniProt database (**UniProt Consortium et al., 2019**).

We then focused on SLC transporters and identified 20 SLC transporters, including SLC35D3, SLC35F1, and SLC35G2, among the SV-associated proteins (**Supplementary file 2**). The abundance of these three transporters was similar to known VNTs, including VACHT and the monoamine transporter VMAT2 (**Figure 2G**), even though VACHT was below the threshold for significance ( $p > 0.05$ ). Comparing the putative vesicular transporters identified in our localization screen with the SLC transporters identified in the SV proteome revealed a total of seven transporters present in both datasets, including the three SLC35 family members (i.e., SLC35D3, SLC35F1, and SLC35G2) identified above (**Figure 2H**). The other four transporters were previously reported to localize to SVs including the choline transporter SLC5A7 (**Ferguson et al., 2003; Nakata et al., 2004; Ribeiro et al., 2003**), the proline transporter SLC6A7 (**Crump et al., 1999; Renick et al., 1999**), the neutral amino acid transporter SLC6A17 (**Fischer et al., 1999; Masson et al., 1999**), and the zinc transporter SLC30A3 (**Wenzel et al., 1997**).

To further dissect the subcellular distribution of one novel vesicular transporter candidate, SLC35D3, in different organelles, we performed differential centrifugation to fractionate the mouse brain (**Huttner et al., 1983; Figure 2—figure supplement 2A**). Firstly, we conducted retro-orbital injection of AAV-PhP.eB virus to infect the mouse brain (**Challis et al., 2019**). The expression of epitope-tagged SLC35D3 was detected 3 weeks after AAV injection (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2B**). With the progress of differential centrifugation, we observed enrichment of SLC35D3 from P2' (crude synaptosome) to LP2 (crude SVs) fraction, which is similar to known SV markers VGLUT1 and SYP. In contrast, the secretory granule marker Chg A, organelle markers of ER and Golgi are majorly enriched before P2' (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2C**). SLC35D3 and VGLUT1 also appeared in P1 and S1 fractions, likely due to the reason that these membrane proteins are being produced and processed through the secretory pathway. In summary, these data corroborate the view that SLC35D3 is less likely to be a classic ER/Golgi transporter and tends to localize to SVs.

## Localization of SLC35D3 to SVs revealed by EM

To further verify the vesicular localization of one of the three SLC35 candidates, SLC35D3, we used APEX2-based labeling (Lam et al., 2015) coupled with EM (Figure 3A). We first validated this strategy by transfecting cultured rat neurons with Mito-APEX2 to label mitochondria and found two distinct populations based on electron density (Figure 3B); as an additional control, we found only one population of SVs in non-transfected neurons (Figure 3C). Importantly, neurons transfected with either VGLUT1-APEX2 (Figure 3D) or SLC35D3-APEX2 (Figure 3E) had two distinct populations of SVs based on electron density, demonstrating that SLC35D3 could localize to SVs in cultured neurons.


## Deorphanization of SLC35D3 using metabolite profiling combined with a radiolabeled substrate transport assay

To search for the cognate substrate corresponding to the orphan vesicular transporter SLC35D3, we used metabolite profiling, based on the assumption that overexpressing the transporter will enrich its cognate substrate in organelles. In our analysis, we intentionally focused on nucleotide sugars present in mammals as possible substrates, as the SLC35 transporter family has been reported to transport these molecules (Figure 4A; Caffaro and Hirschberg, 2006; Ishida and Kawakita, 2004; Song, 2013). By optimizing a hyperPGC column-based HPLC strategy coupled with selected reaction monitoring in MS (Garcia et al., 2013), we successfully detected a range of nucleotide sugars (Figure 4B). Next, we used the deorphanization strategy shown in Figure 4C. Firstly, we measured nucleotide sugars in untransfected control cells, finding all known nucleotide-sugars (Figure 4D,E). To test the sensitivity of this deorphanization strategy, we generated a stable cell line overexpressing EGFP-tagged SLC35A2 (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A), which is known to transport the nucleotide sugars including UDP-galactose and UDP-N-acetyl-galactosamine (Ishida et al., 1996; Segawa et al., 2002; Sun-Wada et al., 1998). Profiling the relative abundance of specific nucleotide sugars in organelles prepared from control cells and SLC35A2-overexpressing (SLC35A2OE) cells revealed a >100% increase in the substrate UDP-galactose in SLC35A2OE organelles (Figure 4F,G, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B). Interestingly, we also detected 60% higher levels of UDP-glucose in SLC35A2OE cells, indicating a previously unknown substrate of the SLC35A2 transporter; in contrast, we found that the SLC35A2 substrate UDP-N-acetyl-galactosamine did not appear to be enriched in SLC35A2OE cells, possibly due to limitations in separating UDP-N-acetyl-glucosamine and UDP-N-acetyl-galactosamine in our HPLC–MS setup (Figure 4F,G). We then used this same strategy to search for substrates of the orphan vesicular transporter SLC35D3 using SLC35D3-overexpressing (SLC35D3OE) cells (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A). Our analysis revealed a 40% increase in UDP-glucose and a 30% increase in CMP-sialic acid in SLC35D3OE organelles compared to control organelles (Figure 4H,I, Figure 4—figure supplement 1B), suggesting that these two nucleotide sugars might be substrates of the SLC35D3 transporter.

Metabolite profiling can detect the effects of both direct transport activity and indirect changes in the abundance of metabolites due to the overexpression of transporters; thus, we also conducted an uptake assay with radiolabeled nucleotide sugars in order to measure the transport activity (Figure 5A). We found that cells expressing the SLC35A2 transporter had significantly increased uptake of both the previously known substrate UDP-galactose and the newly identified substrate UDP-glucose compared to control cells (Figure 5B), validating our deorphanization strategy combining metabolite profiling and the radiolabeled transport assay. Importantly, cells expressing human SLC35D3 had a nearly onefold increase in UDP-glucose transport, but no significant change in the transport of UDP-galactose or UDP-N-acetyl-glucosamine; similar results were obtained from the cells expressed the mouse SLC35D3 (Figure 5B). Thus, UDP-glucose is a promising substrate of SLC35D3.


## Characterization of the transport properties of SLC35D3

Next, we characterized the transport of UDP-glucose by SLC35D3. To study the substrate specificity of SLC35D3, we performed a competition assay in which we applied a 100-fold higher concentration of non-radiolabeled substrate together with radiolabeled UDP-glucose in the transport assay. We found that non-radiolabeled UDP-glucose – but not the structurally similar UDP-N-acetyl-galactosamine – virtually eliminated the transport of radiolabeled UDP-glucose (Figure 5C). In addition,



**Figure 3.** Validation of the vesicular localization of SLC35D3 using electron microscopy. (A) Schematic diagram depicting the APEX2-based labeling strategy for studying ultrastructural localization. (B–E) Representative EM images (left) and distribution of organelle darkness (right) of mitochondria in cultured rat neurons transfected with Mito-APEX2 (B), SVs in non-transfected neurons (C), and SVs in neurons transfected with either VGLUT1-APEX2 (D) or SLC35D3-APEX2 (E), with magnified views of the dashed boxes from (E). The blue arrows and red arrowheads indicate organelles with low (light) and high (dark) electron density, respectively. Scale bars: 500 nm. The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 3:

**Source data 1.** Quantification of organelle darkness.



**Figure 4.** The targeted metabolite profiling reveals putative substrates of SLC35D3. (A) Phylogenetic tree of the SLC35 transporter family and known corresponding substrates. SLC35A2 and SLC35D3 are shown in blue and green, respectively. O: orphan transporters. (B) Left: representative HPLC-MS trace showing 5  $\mu$ M of the indicated nucleotide sugars. The inset shows the linear correlation between the UDP-glc standard and MS ion intensity ( $R^2 = 0.997$ , Pearson's  $r$ ). Right: molecular structures of the UDP-sugars UDP-glc, UDP-gal, UDP-glcNAc, and UDP-galNAc, with differences shown in the gray dashed boxes. (C) Schematic diagram depicting the strategy for detecting metabolites in organelles and in whole cells. (D) Representative traces of the indicated nucleotide sugars detected in control (SLC35A2KO) cells, with a magnified view at the right. (E) Summary of the relative abundance of the indicated nucleotide sugars measured in control cells and cells overexpressing SLC35D3.  $n = 5$  and 3 independently prepared metabolite extracts, respectively. (F–G) Representative extracted ion chromatograms of specific nucleotide sugars (F) and summary of their relative abundance (G) in organelles isolated from control cells (gray) and cells overexpressing SLC35A2 (blue).  $n = 3$  independently prepared metabolite extracts.  $p$ -values by two-sided Student's  $t$ -test.  $p=0.0049$  for UDP-gal and  $p=0.0099$  for UDP-glc abundance, respectively. N.D.: not detectable. (H–I) Representative extracted ion chromatograms of specific nucleotide sugars (H) and summary of their relative abundance (I) in organelles isolated from control cells

Figure 4 continued on next page

Figure 4 continued

(gray) and cells overexpressing SLC35D3 (green). n = three independently prepared metabolite extracts. p-values by two-sided Student's t-test. p=0.00196 for UDP-glc and p=0.01006 for CMP-SA abundance, respectively. N.D.: not detectable. Data are mean ± s.e.m.; two-sided Student's t-test. The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

**Source data 1.** Targeted metabolite profiling of nucleotide sugars.

**Figure supplement 1.** Additional analysis of metabolite profiling.

several other UDP-sugars partially inhibited transport activity but were not enriched in the metabolite profiling, possibly by competing with UDP-glucose on the transporter's substrate-binding pocket. Interestingly, CMP-sialic acid did not reduce the transport of UDP-glucose (**Figure 5C**), even though this nucleotide sugar was increased – albeit to a lesser extent than UDP-glucose – in the organelles of cells expressing SLC35D3 (see **Figure 4I**), indicating that CMP-sialic acid may not be a direct substrate of SLC35D3 but may have been indirectly increased on its abundance as shown by metabolite profiling.


We also measured the time course and dose dependence of UDP-glucose transport by SLC35D3, revealing a time constant of 2.9 min (**Figure 5D**) and a Km value of 0.87 μM (**Figure 5E**). Lastly, we examined the role of the electrochemical proton gradient on SLC35D3 activity, as this gradient has been reported to drive the activity of known VNTs (**Edwards, 2007; Van Liefferinge et al., 2013**). We therefore applied a variety of pharmacological inhibitors and measured UDP-glucose transport by SLC35D3 (**Figure 5F**). We found that *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM), FCCP (carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone), and nigericin significantly reduced UDP-glucose transport in SLC35D3-expressing cells (**Figure 5G**), suggesting that the electrochemical proton gradient contributes – at least in part – to the driving force. Interestingly, Bafilomycin A1 did not reduce the transport. Unlike the proton uncouplers that directly abolish the proton electrochemical gradient, Bafilomycin A1 inhibits V-ATPase that indirectly affects the maintenance of proton electrochemical gradient (**Yoshimori et al., 1991**). There can be preserved proton electrochemical gradient in SVs after the acute application of Bafilomycin A1, as indicated by a previous work using pH-dependent quantum dots to study SV Kiss and Run (K and R) and full-collapse fusion (FCF) (**Zhang et al., 2009**), which may support UDP-glucose transport by SLC35D3.

To compare the transport mechanism of SLC35D3 with a canonical ER/Golgi localized SLC35 transporter, we investigated the pharmacological properties of SLC35A3, which is an ER/Golgi localized UDP-N-acetyl-glucosamine transporter (**Ishida et al., 1999; Figure 5—figure supplement 1A**). We found the pharmacological treatment including proton uncouplers did not significantly inhibit UDP-N-acetyl-glucosamine transport, indicating SLC35A3 may have a different transport mechanism compared with SLC35D3 (**Figure 5—figure supplement 1B**). Moreover, the transport activity of GDP-mannose by a yeast homolog of the nucleotide-sugar transporters was neither sensitive to CCCP nor valinomycin (**Parker and Newstead, 2017**), which also suggested different transport mechanisms among nucleotide-sugar transporters. Further studies by proteoliposome reconstitution of purified SLC35D3 can help to illustrate the detailed transport mechanism, e.g., if SLC35D3 has the obligate exchanger activity. Taken together, these data support the notion that SLC35D3 is a nucleotide sugar transporter, with UDP-glucose as its primary substrate.

## Discussion

Here, we report the identification and characterization of three novel SLC35 transporters putatively localized to SVs using a combination of localization profiling, proteomics profiling, and EM. Using metabolite profiling combined with a radiolabeled substrate transport assay, we also found that one of these novel vesicular transporters – SLC35D3 – is a UDP-glucose transporter. These data indicate the potential existence of a novel neuronal vesicular transporter of the nucleotide sugar UDP-glucose (**Figure 6**).

Our localization screening strategy revealed a series of vesicular transporter candidates in neurons, a cell type that has tightly regulated secretory vesicles. We cannot rule out the possibility that these transporters may also play a physiological role in regulated secretory granules in non-neuronal secretory cells. Taking the well-known vesicular transporter VMAT2 as an example, it could localize



**Figure 5.** Validation and characterization of the UDP-glucose transport activity of SLC35D3. (A) Schematic diagram depicting the transport assay using organelles isolated from HEK293T cells. (B) Summary of the transport of [<sup>3</sup>H]-UDP-glc, [<sup>3</sup>H]-UDP-gal, and [<sup>3</sup>H]-UDP-glcNAc (500 nM each) in control (SLC35A2KO) cells and in cells overexpressing mouse SLC35D3 (mSLC35D3), human SLC35D3 (hSLC35D3), or human SLC35A2 (hSLC35A2); n = 3 experiments each. p=0.04953 for mSLC35D3, hSLC35D3, and hSLC35A2 in UDP-glc transport; p=0.04953 for hSLC35A2 in UDP-gal transport. (C) Competition assay measuring [<sup>3</sup>H]-UDP-glc (500 nM) transport in the presence of the indicated non-labeled compounds (at 50  $\mu$ M) in cells expressing SLC35D3; the data are expressed relative to mock cells, in which solvent was applied instead of a non-labeled compound; n = 3 experiments each. p=0.04953 for cold UDP-glc, GDP-Man, UDP-glcA, UDP-gal, UDP-xyl, and GDP-fuc competition. (D) Time course of [<sup>3</sup>H]-UDP-glc transport measured in cells expressing SLC35D3, relative to corresponding baseline values. The data were fitted to a single-exponential function. (E) Dose–response curve for [<sup>3</sup>H]-UDP-glc transport in cells expressing SLC35D3, relative to the corresponding baseline values. The data were fitted to Michaelis–Menten kinetics equation. (F) Schematic diagram depicting the proton gradient driving vesicular transporters, with specific inhibitors shown. (G) Summary of [<sup>3</sup>H]-UDP-glc transport measured in cells expressing SLC35D3, expressed relative to mock cells, in which solvent was applied; n = 3 experiments each. NEM, N-ethylmaleimide (0.2 mM); FCCP, carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (50  $\mu$ M); Nig, Nigericin (5  $\mu$ M); Baf, bafilomycin A1 (100 nM); Val, valinomycin (20  $\mu$ M). p=0.04953 for NEM, FCCP, and Nig inhibition. Data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.; p-values by Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA test.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

Figure 5 continued on next page

Figure 5 continued

**Source data 1.** UDP-glucose transport activity of SLC35D3.

**Figure supplement 1.** Pharmacology-insensitive transport activity by SLC35A3.


to both synaptic vesicles and large dense-core vesicles in neurons (Nirenberg et al., 1996), as well as secretory granules in endocrine cells of the peripheral system (Weihe et al., 1994).

It is important to note that some VNTs may have been below the detection limit of enriched proteins in our SV proteomics approach. For example, the vesicular nucleotide transporter SLC17A9 has been reported to play a role in vesicular ATP release (Sawada et al., 2008), but was not identified in our proteomics analyses of SVs, consistent with reports by other groups (Grønberg et al., 2010; Takamori et al., 2006). Similarly, our analysis did not identify SLC10A4, another vesicular transporter (Larhammar et al., 2015). Therefore, studies regarding these low-abundance transporters may require more robust strategies such as enriching specific SVs from VNT-expressing brain regions, using specific antibodies against VNTs, or generating transgenic mice expressing biochemical labels on specific VNTs.

In addition to our study, a subset of SLC35 family members was also reported by SV proteomics. SLC35G2 was recently reported in SV proteomics using an improved workflow (Taoufiq et al., 2020). Interestingly, SLC35D3 was not simultaneously identified, potentially due to a few reasons: (1) the proteome may vary across different species at different ages (SD rats at 4–6 weeks vs C57BL6 mice at 6–8 weeks); (2) SLC35D3 has an even lower protein abundance compared with SLC35G2 (Figure 2G), which is more challenging for detection; and (3) different purification strategies may lead to differences in SV pools. For example, another SLC35 family member, SLC35F5, was found to be enriched in VGAT-positive SVs instead of VGLUT1-positive SVs, even though the majority of the two proteomes were highly similar (Boyken et al., 2013; Grønberg et al., 2010). Taken together, these studies provided hints for identifying vesicular SLC35 transporters.

Biochemical fractionation strategies (e.g., differential fractionation and density gradient fractionation) combined with antibodies recognizing endogenous proteins are classic in validating the sub-cellular localization of the protein of interest. Given limited performance of antibodies in detecting SLC35D3, we tried exogenous delivery of SLC35D3 using AAV-PhP.eB, which infected the whole mouse brain efficiently therefore providing satisfactory starting materials. It is worth noting that AAV-PhP.eB potentially results in overexpression of SLC35D3 in the brain that may affect the subcellular distribution of the transporter. In addition, the LP2 fraction (crude SVs) after differential fractionation may contain other organelles such as secretory granules and lysosomes. Subsequent studies using more efficient SLC35D3 antibodies and further purified SVs can be of help to validate the localization of endogenous SLC35D3 in vivo.

Combining metabolite profiling with a radio-labeled substrate transport assay is a powerful tool for identifying and characterizing transporter substrates (Nguyen et al., 2014; Vu et al., 2017), which could facilitate the classic deorphanization of an orphan transporter by screening the costly and environmentally unfriendly radioactive ligands in transport assay. Therefore, targeted candidates in metabolic profiling were in a higher priority for further validation like radioactive transport assay. Here, we show that this strategy can indeed be effective for studying orphan vesicular transporters located on secretory organelles. The performance of metabolic profiling and the transport assay is largely dependent on the signal-to-



**Figure 6.** Working model depicting SLC35D3 as a putative UDP-glucose transporter on SVs. SLC35D3 is a vesicular transporter which potentially mediate transport of UDP-glucose into SVs. UDP-glucose may function as a signaling molecule through a GPCR namely P2Y14.

noise/signal-to-background ratio. Here in addition to function as an extracellular signaling molecule, UDP-glucose is also known to be accumulated in ER/Golgi for glycosylation of proteins (**Perez and Hirschberg, 1986**). This transport activity mediated by endogenous transporters contributes to the basal signal and limits the performance of overexpressed SLC35D3 in metabolic profiling as well as the transport assay based on organelles derived from the secretory pathways. Further optimization of the deorphanization strategy, e.g., knocking out endogenous transporters can be tested to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio.

SLC35D3 is expressed primarily in striatal neurons that project to the substantia nigra and the globus pallidus externa in the brain (**Lobo et al., 2006**), and mice with a recessive mutation in the *SLC35D3* gene have decreased motor activity, impaired energy expenditure, and develop obesity (**Zhang et al., 2014**). Thus, an important question for future studies is how SLC35D3 and its substrate UDP-glucose play a role in these circuits. The substrate of SLC35D3, UDP-glucose, is generally synthesized and exists in the cytoplasm (**Hirschberg et al., 1998**). In our hypothesis, UDP-glucose will be transported into SVs in SLC35D3-positive neurons and undergo regulated exocytosis upon stimulations. After the extracellular signaling process, UDP-glucose can be degraded by ecto-nucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterases (E-NPPs), which is widely known to metabolize nucleoside triphosphates (**Lazarowski and Harden, 2015**).

Interestingly, previous studies regarding G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) found that UDP-sugars, including UDP-glucose, could serve as the ligand of the purinergic receptor P2Y14 (**Chambers et al., 2000; Freeman et al., 2001**), indicating that nucleotide sugars may function as extracellular signaling molecules, a notion supported by the fact that the P2Y14 receptor is widely expressed in a variety of brain regions and cell types (**Chambers et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2003; Zeisel et al., 2018**). The P2Y14 receptor is coupled primarily with the G $\alpha$ i protein (**Chambers et al., 2000; Inoue et al., 2019**), which does not elicit an excitatory downstream calcium signal. Thus, whether the P2Y14 receptor plays a role in SLC35D3-expressing neurons is an interesting question that warrants investigation.

In addition to function in the central nervous system, it is possible that SLC35D3 also plays a role in the peripheral tissues. SLC35D3 can be localized to secretory organelles in platelets, and mutations on SLC35D3 lead to malfunction of the secretory organelles in platelets of mice, which resembles HPS syndrome that causes bleeding in humans (**Chintala et al., 2007; Meng et al., 2012**). Moreover, UDP-sugars can mediate vasoconstriction of the porcine coronary artery through the P2Y14 receptor (**Abbas et al., 2018**). Whether or not there is a general principle of vesicular UDP-glucose release mediated by SLC35D3 in different tissues would be an important question to answer.

## Materials and methods

### Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Gene ( <i>Mus musculus</i> )	SYP	GenBank	NM_009305.2	
Gene ( <i>Homo sapiens</i> )	SLC35A2	GenBank	NM_005660.3	
Gene ( <i>Mus musculus</i> )	SLC35D3	GenBank	BC139194.1	
Gene ( <i>Homo sapiens</i> )	SLC35D3	GenBank	KJ896073.1	
Strain, strain background ( <i>Mus musculus</i> )	Wild-type	Charles River	C57BL6/J, RRID:MG1:5650797	
Strain, strain background (AAV)	AAV-PhP.eB hSyn-SLC35D3-EGFP-3xFlag	Vigene		Titer: $7.68 \times 10^{13}$ gc/ml
Cell line ( <i>Homo sapiens</i> )	HEK293T	ATCC	RRID:CVCL_0063	

Continued on next page

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Cell line ( <i>Homo sapiens</i> )	SLC35A2KO cell line	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'KO cell line establishment and validation'
Antibody	Polyclonal rabbit anti-VGLUT1	Synaptic Systems	Cat. #: 135302 RRID:AB_887877	WB dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Polyclonal rabbit anti-VGLUT2	Synaptic Systems	Cat. #: 135402 RRID:AB_2187539	WB dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Monoclonal mouse anti-SYP	Synaptic Systems	Cat. #: 101011 RRID:AB_887824	WB and IF dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Polyclonal rabbit anti-SYP	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. #: 5461 RRID:AB_10698743	WB dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Monoclonal mouse anti-VAMP2	Synaptic Systems	Cat. #: 104211 RRID:AB_887811	WB dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Monoclonal mouse anti-PSD95	NeuroMab	Cat. #: 75-028 RRID:AB_2292909	WB dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Monoclonal mouse anti-Flag	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: F9291 RRID:AB_439698	WB dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Polyclonal chicken anti-GFP	Abcam	Cat. #: Ab13970 RRID:AB_300798	IF dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Monoclonal rabbit anti-CALR	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. #: 12238 RRID:AB_2688013	WB dilution 1:1000
Antibody	Polyclonal rabbit anti-GM130	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat. #: 12480 RRID:AB_2797933	WB dilution 1:500
Antibody	Polyclonal rabbit anti-Chg A	Synaptic Systems	Cat. #: 259003 RRID:AB_2619972	WB and IF dilution 1:500
Recombinant DNA reagent	pN3-human SLC35D3-mCherry (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pN3-mouse SYP-EGFP (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pN3-rat VGLUT1-APEX2 (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pN3-OMM-APEX2 (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pN3-human SLC35D3-APEX2 (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pPacific-mouse SLC35D3-EGFP (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pPacific-human SLC35D3-EGFP (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pPacific-human SLC35A2-EGFP (Plasmid)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pAAV-hSyn-human SLC35D3-EGFP-3xFlag (AAV vector)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	pLenti hSyn-human SLC35D3-EGFP-3xFlag (lenti vector)	This paper		Materials and methods section, 'Molecular biology'
Recombinant DNA reagent	Human ORFeome 8.1	Center for Cancer Systems Biology	<a href="http://horfdb.dfci.harvard.edu/">http://horfdb.dfci.harvard.edu/</a>	Full-length human cDNAs
Recombinant DNA reagent	DNASU	NIGMS-funded Protein Structure Initiative (PSI)	<a href="https://dnasu.org/DNASU/Home.do">https://dnasu.org/DNASU/Home.do</a>	Full-length human cDNAs

Continued on next page

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Recombinant DNA reagent	The PlasmID Repository	DF/HCC DNA Resource Core at Harvard Medical School	<a href="https://plasmid.med.harvard.edu/PLASMID/Home.xhtml">https://plasmid.med.harvard.edu/PLASMID/Home.xhtml</a>	Full-length human cDNAs
Chemical compound, drug	UDP-glucose	Santa Cruz	Cat. #: sc-296687	
Chemical compound, drug	UDP-galactose	Santa Cruz	Cat. #: sc-286849A	
Chemical compound, drug	UDP-N-acetylgalactosamine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: U5252	
Chemical compound, drug	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: U4375	
Chemical compound, drug	UDP-xylose	SugarsTech	Cat. #: SN02004	
Chemical compound, drug	UDP-glucuronic acid	Santa Cruz	Cat. #: sc-216043	
Chemical compound, drug	CMP-sialic acid	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: C8271	
Chemical compound, drug	GDP-fucose	Santa Cruz	Cat. #: sc-221696A	
Chemical compound, drug	GDP-mannose	Santa Cruz	Cat. #: sc-285856A	
Chemical compound, drug	Uridine diphosphate glucose [6–3H]	PerkinElmer	Cat. #: NET1163250UC	
Chemical compound, drug	Uridine diphosphate galactose [1–3H]	ARC	Cat. #: ART0737	
Chemical compound, drug	Uridine diphosphate N-acetylglucosamine [6–3H]	ARC	Cat. #: ART0128	
Chemical compound, drug	Valinomycin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: V0627	
Chemical compound, drug	Nigericin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: N7143	
Chemical compound, drug	FCCP	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: C2920	
Chemical compound, drug	N-Ethylmaleimide	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat. #: E3876	
Chemical compound, drug	Bafilomycin A1	abcam	Cat. #: ab120497	
Other	Protein G dynabeads	Thermo	Cat. #: 10004D	

## Animals

Postnatal 0-day-old (P0) Sprague-Dawley rats (Beijing Vital River Laboratory) and adult (P42–56) wild-type C57BL/6J (Beijing Vital River Laboratory) were used in this study. All animals were raised in a temperature-controlled room with a 12 hr/12 hr light–dark cycle, and all animal procedures were performed using protocols approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees at Peking University.

## Molecular biology

DNA fragments were cloned using PCR amplification with primers (TsingKe Biological Technology) containing 30 bp of overlap. The fragments were then assembled into plasmids using Gibson assembly (*Gibson et al., 2009*). All plasmid sequences were verified using Sanger sequencing (TsingKe Biological Technology). For the localization studies in cultured neurons, the open-reading frames (e.g., SLC-mCherry, SLC-APEX2, SYP-EGFP, organelle marker-EGFP, etc.) were cloned into the N3 vector under the control of the CAG promoter. To generate stable cell lines expressing various SLC35 transporters, we generated the pPacific vector containing a 3' terminal repeat, the CAG promoter, a

P2A sequence, the puroR gene, and a 5' terminal repeat; the genes of interest were then cloned into a modified pPiggyBac (namely pPacific) vector using Gibson assembly. Two mutations (S103P and S509G) were introduced in pCS7-PiggyBAC (ViewSolid Biotech) to generate a hyperactive piggyBac transposase for generating the stable cell lines. For the AAV and lentivirus, hSyn-hSLC35D3-EGFP-3xFlag was cloned into pLenti and pAAV vectors independently.

### Lentiviral production

The lentivirus was produced by transfection of HEK-293T cells with the pLenti-hSyn-hSLC35D3-EGFP-3xFlag in combination with the VSV-G envelope and packaging plasmids. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the media was changed to fresh DMEM (Gibco) with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco). Forty-eight hours after transfection, the virus containing supernatant was collected from the cells and centrifuged at 1000 g for 5 min to remove cells and debris. Supernatants were aliquoted and stored in  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

### Preparation and fluorescence imaging of cultured cells

The HEK293T cell line is from ATCC. No mycoplasma contamination was detected. HEK293T cells were cultured at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  in 5%  $\text{CO}_2$  in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco). For transfection, cells in six-well plates were incubated in a mixture containing 1  $\mu\text{g}$  DNA and 3  $\mu\text{g}$  PEI for 6 hr, and fluorescence imaging was performed after the generation of a stable cell line.

Rat cortical neurons were prepared from P0 Sprague-Dawley rat pups (Beijing Vital River Laboratory). In brief, cortical neurons were dissociated from dissected rat brains in 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (GIBCO), plated on 12 mm glass coverslips coated with poly-D-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich), and cultured at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$  in 5%  $\text{CO}_2$  in Neurobasal medium (Gibco) containing 2% B-27 supplement (Gibco), 1% Glutamax (Gibco), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco). After 7–9 days in culture, the neurons were transfected with SLC-mCherry, SYP-EGFP, organelle markers, or SLC-APEX2, and fluorescence imaging was performed 2–4 days after transfection. For AAV or lentivirus expressing epitope-tagged SLC35D3, neurons were infected after 7–9 days in culture, and fluorescence imaging was performed 4–7 days after infection.

Cultured cells were imaged using an inverted Ti-E A1 confocal microscope (Nikon) equipped with a  $40\times/1.35$  NA oil-immersion objective, a 488 nm laser, and a 561 nm laser. During fluorescence imaging, the cells were either bathed or perfused in a chamber containing Tyrode's solution consisting of (in mM): 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 2 mM  $\text{MgCl}_2$ , 2 mM  $\text{CaCl}_2$ , 10 mM HEPES, and 10 mM glucose (pH 7.4).

Localization imaging data of SLC-mCherry fluorescence overlapping with SYP-EGFP puncta were firstly manually selected by three researchers in a double-blind fashion. The selected SLC-mCherry images were further quantified to obtain a co-localization ratio with SYP-EGFP using the modified in silico Puncta Analyzer tool (see Source code file: in silico Puncta Analyzer tool), as described previously (Kimura *et al.*, 2007). By using the plugin based on Image J software:

1. To adjust the contrast of raw green and red images, saturation was set to 0.35 with 25 min and 96 max. Then the images were processed with 'subtract background' and 'autothreshold'.
2. A colocalized channel of green and red channels was synthesized by a plug-in 'co-localization'.
3. Green and colocalized channels were transformed into binary images.
4. Synaptic boutons (puncta  $< 100 \mu\text{m}^2$ ) from green and colocalized channels were extracted by 'analyze particles'.
5. Co-localization ratio =  $N$  (puncta in colocalized channel)/ $N$  (puncta in green channel).

### Immunostaining

Cells were firstly washed two times with PBS, followed by fixation in 4% PFA in PBS for 15 min, and then washed three times with PBS for 10 min each. Later, cells were permeabilized in 0.2% TritonX-100 in PBS for 20 min and were washed three times with PBS for 10 min each. After that, cells were blocked in 5% BSA in PBS for 1 hr. Primary antibodies were added to each coverslip: monoclonal mouse anti-SYP (101011; Synaptic Systems), polyclonal chicken anti-GFP (ab13970; Abcam), and polyclonal rabbit anti-Chg A (259003, Synaptic Systems). Cells were incubated overnight at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Following this, cells were washed three times with PBS for 10 min each. Secondary antibodies were

then added: goat anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 488, goat anti-mouse iFluor 555, and goat anti-rabbit iFluor 647. Cells were incubated at room temperature for 2 hr and washed three times with PBS for 10 min each. Cells were imaged by confocal microscopy as described above.

### Proteomics analysis of SVs

Thirty minutes prior to use, 5  $\mu$ g of antibody was conjugated to 50  $\mu$ l Protein G M-280 dynabeads at room temperature in KPBS buffer containing (in mM): 136 KCl and 10  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  (pH 7.25). The brain was removed from an adult (P42-56) C57BL/6J mouse, homogenized using a ball-bearing homogenizer (10  $\mu$ m clearance) in 3 ml ice-cold KPBS, and centrifuged at 30,000 g for 20 min. The supernatant (input) containing the SVs was collected and incubated with antibody-conjugated dynabeads for 1 hr at 0°C for immunoisolation. Dynabead-bound SVs were washed three times with KPBS and eluted by incubating the samples with SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer. The SV samples were heated for 10 min at 70°C and centrifuged for 2 min at 14,000 rpm, and the supernatants were transferred to clean tubes. The protein samples were then subjected to SDS-PAGE for western blotting and HPLC-MS, respectively.

The resolved proteins in SDS-PAGE were digested and extracted from the gel pieces using acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid (FA). The samples were then dried in a vacuum centrifuge concentrator at 30°C and resuspended in 10  $\mu$ l 0.1% FA.

Using an Easy-nLC 1200 system, 5  $\mu$ l of sample was loaded at a rate of 0.3  $\mu$ l/min in 0.1% FA onto a trap column (C18, Acclaim PepMap 100 75  $\mu$ m  $\times$  2 cm; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and eluted across a fritless analytical resolving column (C18, Acclaim PepMap 75  $\mu$ m  $\times$  15 cm; Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a 75 min gradient of 4–30% LC-MS buffer B at 300 nl/min; buffer A contained 0.1% FA, and buffer B contained 0.1% FA and 80% acetonitrile.

The peptides were directly injected into an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a nano-electrospray ion source with an electrospray voltage of 2.2 kV. Full-scan MS spectra were acquired using the Orbitrap mass analyzer ( $m/z$  range: 300–1500 Da), with the resolution set to 60,000 (full width at half maximum or FWHM) at  $m/z = 200$  Da. Full-scan target was  $5e5$  with a maximum fill time of 50 ms. All data were acquired in profile mode using positive polarity. MS/MS spectra data were acquired using Orbitrap with a resolution of 15,000 (FWHM) at  $m/z = 200$  Da and higher-collisional dissociation (HCD) MS/MS fragmentation. The isolation width was 1.6  $m/z$ .

### Intravenous injection

The procedure was adapted from previous study (*Challis et al., 2019*). Briefly, WT female adult (P42–48) C57BL/6N mice were anesthetized by an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 2,2,2-tribromoethanol (Avertin, 500 mg/kg body weight, Sigma-Aldrich). AAV-PhP.eB was delivered by retro-orbital injection to the mice at  $5 \times 10^{11}$  genome copy (gc), and western blot analysis was conducted 3 weeks after injection.

### Western blot

Protein lysates were denatured by the addition of 2 $\times$  sample buffer followed by 70°C treatment for 10 min. Samples were resolved by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred for 1 hr at room temperature at 25 V to NC membranes, and analyzed by immunoblotting. Membranes were firstly stained by Ponceau S staining followed by washing with TBST and blocking with 5% non-fat milk prepared in TBST for 1 hr at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies in 5% non-fat milk TBST overnight at 4°C, followed by washing with TBST three times, 10 min each. Membranes were incubated with the corresponding secondary antibodies in 5% non-fat milk for 2 hr at room temperature. Membranes were then washed three more times, 10 min each, with TBST before being visualized using chemiluminescence. Antibodies used were polyclonal rabbit anti-VGLUT1 (135302; Synaptic Systems), polyclonal rabbit anti-VGLUT2 (135402; Synaptic Systems), monoclonal mouse anti-SYP (101011; Synaptic Systems), polyclonal rabbit anti-SYP (5461; Cell Signaling Technology), monoclonal mouse anti-VAMP2 (104211; Synaptic Systems), monoclonal mouse anti-PSD95 (75-028; NeuroMab), monoclonal mouse anti-Flag (F9291; Sigma-Aldrich), monoclonal rabbit anti-CALR (12238, Cell Signaling Technology), polyclonal rabbit anti-GM130 (12480, Cell Signaling Technology), and polyclonal rabbit anti-Chg A (259003, Synaptic Systems).

## Electron microscopy

Antibody-conjugated dynabeads were pelleted by centrifugation and subsequently resuspended in 1.5% agarose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4). Small agarose blocks were cut out, fixed overnight at 4°C using 4% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB at pH 7.4, followed by post-fixation of 1% osmium tetroxide for 1 hr and treatment of 0.25% uranyl acetate overnight at 4°C. The samples were then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (20%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 100%, 100%) at 8 min per step and then changed to propylene oxide for 10 min. The cells were then infiltrated in Epon 812 resin using a 1:1 ratio of propylene oxide and resin for 4 hr, followed by 100% resin twice at 4 hr each; finally, the beads were placed in fresh resin and polymerized in a vacuum oven at 65°C for 24 hr. After polymerization, ultrathin sections were cut and stained with lead citrate.

For APEX2-based EM labeling, the procedure was adapted from previous study (Martell et al., 2012). Transfected neurons were firstly fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB at room temperature, quickly placed on ice, and incubated on ice for 45–60 min. The cells were rinsed with chilled PB twice at 5 min each before adding 20 mM glycine to quench any unreacted glutaraldehyde. The cells were then rinsed three times with PB at 5 min each. Freshly prepared solution containing 0.5 mg/ml 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) tetrahydrochloride and 10 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was then added to the cells. After 5–10 min, the reaction was stopped by removing the DAB solution and rinsing three times with chilled PB at 5 min each. The cells were then incubated in 2% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 M PB combined with 0.1 M imidazole (pH 8.0) for 30 min in a light-proof box. The cells were then rinsed six times with water at 5 min each and then incubated in 2% (w/v) aqueous uranyl acetate overnight at 4°C. The cells were rinsed six times with water at 5 min each, then dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (20%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 100%, 100%) at 8 min per step, and then rinsed once in anhydrous ethanol at room temperature. The cells were then infiltrated in Epon 812 resin using a 1:1, 1:2, and 1:3 (v/v) ratio of anhydrous ethanol and resin for 1 hr, 2 hr, and 4 hr, respectively, followed by 100% resin twice at 4 hr each; finally, the cells were placed in fresh resin and polymerized in a vacuum oven at 65°C for 24 hr.

The embedded cells were cut into 60 nm ultrathin sections using a diamond knife and imaged using a FEI-Tecnaï G2 20 TWIN transmission electron microscope operated at 120 kV.

## KO cell line establishment and validation

The SLC35A2KO cell line was constructed by transient co-transfection of plasmids expressing mCherry and sgRNAs targeting the SLC35A2 gene, and a plasmid expressing spCas9. The sgRNA sequences were as follows: atgccaacatggcagcggtt, ggtggtccaccgcgcc, ggcggttccgcggtgcat, and gactgtctaccgactgg. Single cells with high mCherry signal were sorted and seeded in 96-well plates 1 week after transfection. After cell expansion, the SLC35A2KO DNA fragments of target loci were independently amplified by PCR with a primer pair (SLC35A2seqF: ttaggagcggaggagaaaag; SLC35A2seqR: ctctcagaatgttctctccc). The purified PCR products were sequenced, and the insertions and deletions (indels) within the SLC35A2 gene caused by sgRNA/Cas9 were analyzed with an online tool (<http://crispid.gbiomed.kuleuven.be/>) (Dehairs et al., 2016). Functional validation was done by radioactive transport assay.

## Organelle fractionation

Stable cell lines grown in two 15 cm dishes were washed twice with either ice-cold KPBS (for metabolite detection) or sucrose buffer containing 0.32 M sucrose and 4 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.4) (for the uptake assay), and then gently scraped and collected into 1 ml of the corresponding buffer. The cells were then homogenized using a ball-bearing homogenizer (10 μm clearance). The homogenate was centrifuged at 13,000 g for 10 min to remove the nuclei and cellular debris. The resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 200,000 g for 25 min. For metabolite profiling, the pellet was washed three times in ice-cold KPBS, and the metabolites were extracted in 80% methanol, freeze-dried, and stored at –80°C. For the transport assay, the pellet was resuspended in uptake assay buffer containing 0.32 M sucrose, 2 mM KCl, 2 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and 10 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.4), aliquoted, and stored at –80°C.

For SV fractionation, the procedure was adapted from previous study (Huttner et al., 1983) (see also Figure 2—figure supplement 2). Briefly, mouse brains were gently homogenized in sucrose buffer containing 0.32 M sucrose and 4 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.4) on ice. The homogenate was

centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min to remove the nuclei and cellular debris. The resulting supernatant (S1) was collected and centrifuged at 9200 g for 15 min. The pellet (P2) was resuspended in sucrose buffer and recentrifuged at 10,200 g for 15 min. The resulting pellet (P2') was resuspended in 1 ml sucrose buffer and then added with 9 ml ice-cold water. After three strokes, the lysate was immediately added with 80  $\mu$ l 1M HEPES-NaOH buffer (pH 7.4) and kept on ice for 30 min. The lysate was then centrifuged at 25,000 g for 20 min. The resulting supernatant (LS1) was further centrifuged at 165,000 g for 2 hr to get a pellet (LP2) of crude SVs.

### Targeted metabolite profiling

Samples were analyzed using a TSQ Quantiva Ultra triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer coupled with an Ultimate 3000 UPLC system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization probe. Chromatographic separation was achieved using gradient elution on a Hypercarb PGC column (2.1  $\times$  100 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Mobile phase A consisted of 5 mM ammonium bicarbonate dissolved in pure water, and mobile phase B consisted of 100% acetonitrile. A 25 min gradient with a flow rate of 250  $\mu$ l/min was applied as follows: 0–1.2 min, 4% B; 1.2–19 min, 4–35% B; 19–20 min, 35–98% B; 20–22 min, 98% B; 22–25 min 4% B. The column chamber and sample tray were kept at 45°C and 10°C, respectively. Data were acquired using selected reaction monitoring in negative switch ion mode, and optimal transitions are reported as the reference. Both the precursor and fragment ion fractions were collected at a resolution of 0.7 FWHM. The source parameters were as follows: spray voltage: 3000 V; ion transfer tube temperature: 350°C; vaporizer temperature: 300°C; sheath gas flow rate: 35 arbitrary units; auxiliary gas flow rate: 12 arbitrary units; collision-induced dissociation gas pressure: 1.5 mTorr.

### Uptake assay

For the radiolabeled substrate transport assay, 20  $\mu$ g of the membrane fraction was incubated with the indicated concentration of radiolabeled substrate at 37°C for 5 min (unless otherwise). The reaction was terminated using the same volume of ice-cold assay buffer. The samples were then trapped on a 0.7  $\mu$ m GF/F glass fiber filter (Whatman) and washed twice. The radioactivity retained on the filter was measured using liquid scintillation.

### Quantification and statistical analysis

Imaging data from cultured cells were processed using ImageJ software (NIH). SV proteomics data were analyzed using MaxQuant\_1.6.10.43 (MPI). The metabolite profiling data were analyzed and quantified using Xcalibur version 3.0.63 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequence data for generating the phylogenetic tree of were analyzed by MEGA-X. Cartoons created using BioRender (<http://www.biorender.com>). All summary data are presented as the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m., and group data were compared using the Student's t-test or the Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA test; \* $p$ <0.05, \*\* $p$ <0.01, \*\*\* $p$ <0.001, and n.s., not significant ( $p$ >0.05).

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission (Z181100001318002), grants from the Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, and grants from the State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology at Peking University School of Life Sciences.

We thank the Proteomics Core in National Center for Protein Sciences at Peking University, particularly Dong Liu for providing technical assistance. We thank Shitang Huang for helping with the radioactive transport assay. We also thank the Metabolomics Facility at Technology Center for Protein Sciences in Tsinghua University, particularly Xueying Wang and Li'na Xu, for providing technical assistance.

## Additional information

### Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission	Z181100001318002	Yulong Li
Center for Life Sciences		Yulong Li
State Key Laboratory of Membrane Biology		Yulong Li

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

### Author contributions

Cheng Qian, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Validation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Zhaofa Wu, Rongbo Sun, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review and editing; Huasheng Yu, Data curation, Validation, Investigation, Writing - review and editing; Jianzhi Zeng, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review and editing; Yi Rao, Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - review and editing; Yulong Li, Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing

### Author ORCIDs

Cheng Qian  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9926-4406>  
Zhaofa Wu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2027-5194>  
Rongbo Sun  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4364-8435>  
Huasheng Yu  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5641-2512>  
Jianzhi Zeng  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5380-6281>  
Yi Rao  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0405-5426>  
Yulong Li  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9166-9919>

### Ethics

Animal experimentation: All animal procedures were performed using protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Peking University. ( LSC LiYL 1 ).

### Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65417.sa1>

Author response <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65417.sa2>

## Additional files

### Supplementary files

- Source code 1. in silica Puncta Analyzer tool.
- Supplementary file 1. Vesicular transporters identified in SLC localization profiling.
- Supplementary file 2. SLC transporters enriched in immunisolated synaptic vesicles.
- Transparent reporting form

### Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files.

## References

- Abbas ZSB**, Latif ML, Dovlatova N, Fox SC, Heptinstall S, Dunn WR, Ralevic V. 2018. UDP-sugars activate P2Y<sub>14</sub> receptors to mediate vasoconstriction of the porcine coronary artery. *Vascular pharmacology* **103-105**:36–46. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vph.2017.12.063>, PMID: 29253618
- Andersen JS**, Wilkinson CJ, Mayor T, Mortensen P, Nigg EA, Mann M. 2003. Proteomic characterization of the human centrosome by protein correlation profiling. *Nature* **426**:570–574. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02166>, PMID: 14654843
- Blakely RD**, Edwards RH. 2012. Vesicular and plasma membrane transporters for neurotransmitters. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* **4**:a005595. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a005595>
- Boyken J**, Grønborg M, Riedel D, Urlaub H, Jahn R, Chua JJ. 2013. Molecular profiling of synaptic vesicle docking sites reveals novel proteins but few differences between glutamatergic and GABAergic synapses. *Neuron* **78**:285–297. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.027>, PMID: 23622064
- Burgoyne RD**, Morgan A. 2003. Secretory granule exocytosis. *Physiological reviews* **83**:581–632. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00031.2002>, PMID: 12663867
- Caffaro CE**, Hirschberg CB. 2006. Nucleotide sugar transporters of the golgi apparatus: From Basic Science to Diseases. *Accounts of Chemical Research* **39**:805–812. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/ar0400239>
- César-Razquin A**, Snijder B, Frappier-Brinton T, Isserlin R, Gyimesi G, Bai X, Reithmeier RA, Hepworth D, Hediger MA, Edwards AM, Superti-Furga G. 2015. A Call for Systematic Research on Solute Carriers. *Cell* **162**:478–487. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.022>, PMID: 26232220
- Challis RC**, Ravindra Kumar S, Chan KY, Challis C, Beadle K, Jang MJ, Kim HM, Rajendran PS, Tompkins JD, Shivkumar K, Deverman BE, Gradinaru V. 2019. Systemic AAV vectors for widespread and targeted gene delivery in rodents. *Nature protocols* **14**:379–414. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0097-3>, PMID: 30626963
- Chambers JK**, Macdonald LE, Sarau HM, Ames RS, Freeman K, Foley JJ, Zhu Y, McLaughlin MM, Murdock P, McMillan L, Trill J, Swift A, Aiyar N, Taylor P, Vawter L, Naheed S, Szekeres P, Hervieu G, Scott C, Watson JM, et al. 2000. A G Protein-coupled receptor for UDP-glucose. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **275**:10767–10771. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.275.15.10767>
- Chantranupong L**, Saulnier JL, Wang W, Jones DR, Pacold ME, Sabatini BL. 2020. Rapid purification and metabolomic profiling of synaptic vesicles from mammalian brain. *eLife* **9**:e59699. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.59699>, PMID: 33043885
- Chintala S**, Tan J, Gautam R, Rusiniak ME, Guo X, Li W, Gahl WA, Huizing M, Spritz RA, Hutton S, Novak EK, Swank RT. 2007. The Slc35d3 gene, encoding an orphan nucleotide sugar transporter, regulates platelet-dense granules. *Blood* **109**:1533–1540. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2006-08-040196>, PMID: 17062724
- Chong YT**, Koh JL, Friesen H, Duffy SK, Duffy K, Cox MJ, Moses A, Moffat J, Boone C, Andrews BJ. 2015. Yeast Proteome Dynamics from Single Cell Imaging and Automated Analysis. *Cell* **161**:1413–1424. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.051>, PMID: 26046442
- Christoforou A**, Mulvey CM, Breckels LM, Geladaki A, Hurrell T, Hayward PC, Naake T, Gatto L, Viner R, Martinez Arias A, Lilley KS, Arias AM. 2016. A draft map of the mouse pluripotent stem cell spatial proteome. *Nature communications* **7**:8992. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9992>, PMID: 26754106
- Crump FT**, Freneau RT, Craig AM. 1999. Localization of the brain-specific high-affinity l-proline transporter in cultured hippocampal neurons: molecular heterogeneity of synaptic terminals. *Molecular and cellular neurosciences* **13**:25–39. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/mcne.1998.0727>, PMID: 10049529
- Dehairs J**, Talebi A, Cherifi Y, Swinnen JV. 2016. CRISP-ID: decoding CRISPR mediated indels by Sanger sequencing. *Scientific reports* **6**:28973. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28973>, PMID: 27363488
- Edwards RH**. 2007. The neurotransmitter cycle and quantal size. *Neuron* **55**:835–858. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.09.001>, PMID: 17880890
- Ferguson SM**, Savchenko V, Apparsundaram S, Zwick M, Wright J, Heilman CJ, Yi H, Levey AI, Blakely RD. 2003. Vesicular localization and activity-dependent trafficking of presynaptic choline transporters. *Journal of Neuroscience* **23**:9697–9709. PMID: 14585997
- Fernández-Suárez M**, Ting AY. 2008. Fluorescent probes for super-resolution imaging in living cells. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **9**:929–943. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2531>, PMID: 19002208
- Fischer J**, Bancila V, Maillly P, Masson J, Hamon M, El Mestikawy S, Conrath M. 1999. Immunocytochemical evidence of vesicular localization of the orphan transporter RXT1 in the rat spinal cord. *Neuroscience* **92**:729–743. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0306-4522\(99\)00017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0306-4522(99)00017-2), PMID: 10408621
- Freeman K**, Tsui P, Moore D, Emson PC, Vawter L, Naheed S, Lane P, Bawagan H, Herrity N, Murphy K, Sarau HM, Ames RS, Wilson S, Livi GP, Chambers JK. 2001. Cloning, pharmacology, and tissue distribution of G-protein-coupled receptor GPR105 (KIAA0001) rodent orthologs. *Genomics* **78**:124–128. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1006/geno.2001.6662>, PMID: 11735218
- García AD**, Chavez JL, Mechref Y. 2013. Sugar nucleotide quantification using multiple reaction monitoring liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* **27**:1794–1800. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6631>, PMID: 23821573
- Gibson DG**, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nature methods* **6**:343–345. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1318>, PMID: 19363495
- Grønborg M**, Pavlos NJ, Brunk I, Chua JJ, Münster-Wandowski A, Riedel D, Ahnert-Hilger G, Urlaub H, Jahn R. 2010. Quantitative comparison of glutamatergic and GABAergic synaptic vesicles unveils selectivity for few

- proteins including MAL2, a novel synaptic vesicle protein. *Journal of Neuroscience* **30**:2–12. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4074-09.2010>, PMID: 20053882
- Hediger MA, Clémenton B, Burrier RE, Bruford EA. 2013. The ABCs of membrane transporters in health and disease (SLC series): introduction. *Molecular aspects of medicine* **34**:95–107. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2012.12.009>, PMID: 23506860
- Hirschberg CB, Robbins PW, Abejón C. 1998. Transporters of nucleotide sugars, ATP, and nucleotide sulfate in the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus. *Annual review of biochemistry* **67**:49–69. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.67.1.49>, PMID: 9759482
- Huh WK, Falvo JV, Gerke LC, Carroll AS, Howson RW, Weissman JS, O’Shea EK. 2003. Global analysis of protein localization in budding yeast. *Nature* **425**:686–691. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02026>, PMID: 14562095
- Huttner WB, Schiebler W, Greengard P, De Camilli P. 1983. Synapsin I (protein I), a nerve terminal-specific phosphoprotein. III. Its association with synaptic vesicles studied in a highly purified synaptic vesicle preparation. *The Journal of cell biology* **96**:1374–1388. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.96.5.1374>, PMID: 6404912
- Inoue A, Raimondi F, Kadji FMN, Singh G, Kishi T, Uwamizu A, Ono Y, Shinjo Y, Ishida S, Arang N, Kawakami K, Gutkind JS, Aoki J, Russell RB. 2019. Illuminating G-Protein-Coupling Selectivity of GPCRs. *Cell* **177**:1933. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.044>, PMID: 31160049
- Ishida N, Miura N, Yoshioka S, Kawakita M. 1996. Molecular cloning and characterization of a novel isoform of the human UDP-galactose transporter, and of related complementary DNAs belonging to the nucleotide-sugar transporter gene family. *Journal of biochemistry* **120**:1074–1078. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a021523>, PMID: 9010752
- Ishida N, Yoshioka S, Chiba Y, Takeuchi M, Kawakita M. 1999. Molecular cloning and functional expression of the human Golgi UDP-N-acetylglucosamine transporter. *Journal of biochemistry* **126**:68–77. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a022437>, PMID: 10393322
- Ishida N, Kawakita M. 2004. Molecular physiology and pathology of the nucleotide sugar transporter family (SLC35). *Pflug Archiv European Journal of Physiology* **447**:768–775. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-003-1093-0>
- Itzhak DN, Tyanova S, Cox J, Borner GH. 2016. Global, quantitative and dynamic mapping of protein subcellular localization. *eLife* **5**:e16950. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.16950>, PMID: 27278775
- Kimura S, Noda T, Yoshimori T. 2007. Dissection of the autophagosome maturation process by a novel reporter protein, tandem fluorescent-tagged LC3. *Autophagy* **3**:452–460. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.4451>, PMID: 17534139
- Koopmans F, van Nierop P, Andres-Alonso M, Byrnes A, Cijssouw T, Coba MP, Cornelisse LN, Farrell RJ, Goldschmidt HL, Howrigan DP, Hussain NK, Imig C, de Jong APH, Jung H, Kohansalnodehi M, Kramarz B, Lipstein N, Lovering RC, MacGillivray H, Mariano V, et al. 2019. SynGO: An Evidence-Based, Expert-Curated Knowledge Base for the Synapse. *Neuron* **103**:217. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.05.002>, PMID: 31171447
- Kreda SM, Seminario-Vidal L, Heusden C, Lazarowski ER. 2008. Thrombin-promoted release of UDP-glucose from human astrocytoma cells. *British journal of pharmacology* **153**:1528–1537. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjp.0707692>, PMID: 18204471
- Lam SS, Martell JD, Kamer KJ, Deerinck TJ, Ellisman MH, Mootha VK, Ting AY. 2015. Directed evolution of APEX2 for electron microscopy and proximity labeling. *Nature methods* **12**:51–54. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3179>, PMID: 25419960
- Larhammar M, Patra K, Blunder M, Emilsson L, Peuckert C, Arvidsson E, Rönnlund D, Preobraschenski J, Birgner C, Limbach C, Widengren J, Blom H, Jahn R, Wallén-Mackenzie Å, Kullander K. 2015. SLC10A4 is a vesicular amine-associated transporter modulating dopamine homeostasis. *Biological psychiatry* **77**:526–536. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.07.017>, PMID: 25176177
- Laßek M, Weingarten J, Volkandt W. 2015. The synaptic proteome. *Cell and tissue research* **359**:255–265. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-014-1943-4>, PMID: 25038742
- Lazarowski ER, Shea DA, Boucher RC, Harden TK. 2003. Release of cellular UDP-glucose as a potential extracellular signaling molecule. *Molecular pharmacology* **63**:1190–1197. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.63.5.1190>, PMID: 12695547
- Lazarowski ER, Harden TK. 2015. UDP-Sugars as Extracellular Signaling Molecules: Cellular and Physiologic Consequences of P2Y14 Receptor Activation. *Molecular pharmacology* **88**:151–160. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.115.098756>, PMID: 25829059
- Lee BC, Cheng T, Adams GB, Attar EC, Miura N, Lee SB, Saito Y, Olszak I, Dombkowski D, Olson DP, Hancock J, Choi PS, Haber DA, Luster AD, Scadden DT. 2003. P2Y-like receptor, GPR105 (P2Y14), identifies and mediates chemotaxis of bone-marrow hematopoietic stem cells. *Genes & development* **17**:1592–1604. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1071503>, PMID: 12842911
- Lobo MK, Karsten SL, Gray M, Geschwind DH, Yang XW. 2006. FACS-array profiling of striatal projection neuron subtypes in juvenile and adult mouse brains. *Nature neuroscience* **9**:443–452. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1654>, PMID: 16491081
- Martell JD, Deerinck TJ, Sancak Y, Poulos TL, Mootha VK, Sosinsky GE, Ellisman MH, Ting AY. 2012. Engineered ascorbate peroxidase as a genetically encoded reporter for electron microscopy. *Nature biotechnology* **30**:1143. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2375>, PMID: 23086203

- Masson J**, Riad M, Chaudhry F, Darmon M, Aidouni Z, Conrath M, Giros B, Hamon M, Storm-Mathisen J, Descarries L, El Mestikawy S. 1999. Unexpected localization of the Na<sup>+</sup>/Cl<sup>-</sup>-dependent-like orphan transporter, Rxt1, on synaptic vesicles in the rat central nervous system. *The European journal of neuroscience* **11**:1349–1361. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.1999.00540.x>, PMID: 10103130
- Meng R**, Wang Y, Yao Y, Zhang Z, Harper DC, Heijnen HF, Sitaram A, Li W, Raposo G, Weiss MJ, Poncz M, Marks MS. 2012. SLC35D3 delivery from megakaryocyte early endosomes is required for platelet dense granule biogenesis and is differentially defective in Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome models. *Blood* **120**:404–414. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-11-389551>, PMID: 22611153
- Moremen KW**, Tiemeyer M, Nairn AV. 2012. Vertebrate protein glycosylation: diversity, synthesis and function. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **13**:448–462. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3383>, PMID: 22722607
- Nakata K**, Okuda T, Misawa H. 2004. Ultrastructural localization of high-affinity choline transporter in the rat neuromuscular junction: enrichment on synaptic vesicles. *Synapse* **53**:53–56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/syn.20029>, PMID: 15150741
- Nguyen LN**, Ma D, Shui G, Wong P, Cazenave-Gassiot A, Zhang X, Wenk MR, Goh EL, Silver DL. 2014. Mfsd2a is a transporter for the essential omega-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid. *Nature* **509**:503. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13241>, PMID: 24828044
- Nirenberg MJ**, Chan J, Liu Y, Edwards RH, Pickel VM. 1996. Ultrastructural localization of the vesicular monoamine transporter-2 in midbrain dopaminergic neurons: potential sites for somatodendritic storage and release of dopamine. *Journal of Neuroscience* **16**:4135–4145. PMID: 8753875
- Orre LM**, Vesterlund M, Pan Y, Arslan T, Zhu Y, Fernandez Woodbridge A, Frings O, Fredlund E, Lehtiö J. 2019. SubCellBarCode: Proteome-wide Mapping of Protein Localization and Relocalization. *Molecular cell* **73**:166. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.035>, PMID: 30609389
- Parker JL**, Newstead S. 2017. Structural basis of nucleotide sugar transport across the Golgi membrane. *Nature* **551**:521–524. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24464>, PMID: 29143814
- Perez M**, Hirschberg CB. 1986. Topography of glycosylation reactions in the rough endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **261**:6822–6830. PMID: 2422171
- Perland E**, Fredriksson R. 2017. Classification Systems of Secondary Active Transporters. *Trends in pharmacological sciences* **38**:305–315. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2016.11.008>, PMID: 27939446
- Renick SE**, Kleven DT, Chan J, Stenius K, Milner TA, Pickel VM, Freneau RT. 1999. The mammalian brain high-affinity L-proline transporter is enriched preferentially in synaptic vesicles in a subpopulation of excitatory nerve terminals in rat forebrain. *Journal of Neuroscience* **19**:21–33. PMID: 9870934
- Ribeiro FM**, Alves-Silva J, Volkandt W, Martins-Silva C, Mahmud H, Wilhelm A, Gomez MV, Rylett RJ, Ferguson SS, Prado VF, Prado MA. 2003. The hemicholinium-3 sensitive high affinity choline transporter is internalized by clathrin-mediated endocytosis and is present in endosomes and synaptic vesicles. *Journal of neurochemistry* **87**:136–146. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.01974.x>, PMID: 12969261
- Sawada K**, Echigo N, Juge N, Miyaji T, Otsuka M, Omote H, Yamamoto A, Moriyama Y. 2008. Identification of a vesicular nucleotide transporter. *PNAS* **105**:5683–5686. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0800141105>, PMID: 18375752
- Segawa H**, Kawakita M, Ishida N. 2002. Human and *Drosophila* UDP-galactose transporters transport UDP-N-acetyl galactosamine in addition to UDP-galactose. *European journal of biochemistry* **269**:128–138. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0014-2956.2001.02632.x>, PMID: 11784306
- Sesma JI**, Esther CR, Kreda SM, Jones L, O'Neal W, Nishihara S, Nicholas RA, Lazarowski ER. 2009. Endoplasmic reticulum/Golgi nucleotide sugar transporters contribute to the cellular release of UDP-sugar signaling molecules. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **284**:12572–12583. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M806759200>
- Simpson JC**, Wellenreuther R, Poustka A, Pepperkok R, Wiemann S. 2000. Systematic subcellular localization of novel proteins identified by large-scale cDNA sequencing. *EMBO reports* **1**:287–292. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/embo-reports/kvd058>, PMID: 11256614
- Song Z**. 2013. Roles of the nucleotide sugar transporters (SLC35 family) in health and disease. *Molecular aspects of medicine* **34**:590–600. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2012.12.004>, PMID: 23506892
- Sun-Wada GH**, Yoshioka S, Ishida N, Kawakita M. 1998. Functional expression of the human UDP-galactose transporters in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of biochemistry* **123**:912–917. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a022024>, PMID: 9562625
- Takamori S**, Holt M, Stenius K, Lemke EA, Grønborg M, Riedel D, Urlaub H, Schenck S, Brügger B, Ringler P, Müller SA, Rammner B, Gräter F, Hub JS, De Groot BL, Mieskes G, Moriyama Y, Klingauf J, Grubmüller H, Heuser J, et al. 2006. Molecular anatomy of a trafficking organelle. *Cell* **127**:831–846. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.10.030>, PMID: 17110340
- Taoufiq Z**, Ninov M, Villar-Briones A, Wang HY, Sasaki T, Roy MC, Beauchain F, Mori Y, Yoshida T, Takamori S, Jahn R, Takahashi T. 2020. Hidden proteome of synaptic vesicles in the mammalian brain. *PNAS* **117**:33586–33596. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2011870117>, PMID: 33376223
- UniProt Consortium**, Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, Magrane M, Alpi E, Bely B, Bingley M, Britto R, Bursteinas B, Busiello G. 2019. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**:D506–D515. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049>, PMID: 30395287
- Van Liefferinge J**, Massie A, Portelli J, Di Giovanni G, Smolders I. 2013. Are vesicular neurotransmitter transporters potential treatment targets for temporal lobe epilepsy? *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience* **7**:139. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2013.00139>, PMID: 24009559
- Vu TM**, Ishizu AN, Foo JC, Toh XR, Zhang F, Whee DM, Torta F, Cazenave-Gassiot A, Matsumura T, Kim S, Toh SES, Suda T, Silver DL, Wenk MR, Nguyen LN. 2017. Mfsd2b is essential for the sphingosine-1-phosphate

- export in erythrocytes and platelets. *Nature* **550**:524. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24053>, PMID: 29045386
- Weihe E**, Schäfer MK, Erickson JD, Eiden LE. 1994. Localization of vesicular monoamine transporter isoforms (VMAT1 and VMAT2) to endocrine cells and neurons in rat. *Journal of molecular neuroscience : MN* **5**:149–164. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02736730>, PMID: 7654518
- Wenzel HJ**, Cole TB, Born DE, Schwartzkroin PA, Palmiter RD. 1997. Ultrastructural localization of zinc transporter-3 (ZnT-3) to synaptic vesicle membranes within mossy fiber boutons in the hippocampus of mouse and monkey. *PNAS* **94**:12676–12681. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.23.12676>, PMID: 9356509
- Yoshimori T**, Yamamoto A, Moriyama Y, Futai M, Tashiro Y. 1991. Bafilomycin-A1, a Specific Inhibitor of Vacuolar-Type H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, Inhibits Acidification and Protein-Degradation in Lysosomes of Cultured-Cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **266**:17707–17712.
- Zeisel A**, Hochgerner H, Lönnerberg P, Johnsson A, Memic F, van der Zwan J, Häring M, Braun E, Borm LE, La Manno G, Codeluppi S, Furlan A, Lee K, Skene N, Harris KD, Hjerling-Leffler J, Arenas E, Ernfors P, Marklund U, Linnarsson S. 2018. Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. *Cell* **174**:999. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.021>, PMID: 30096314
- Zhang Q**, Li Y, Tsien RW. 2009. The dynamic control of kiss-and-run and vesicular reuse probed with single nanoparticles. *Science* **323**:1448–1453. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167373>, PMID: 19213879
- Zhang Z**, Hao CJ, Li CG, Zang DJ, Zhao J, Li XN, Wei AH, Wei ZB, Yang L, He X, Zhen XC, Gao X, Speakman JR, Li W. 2014. Mutation of SLC35D3 causes metabolic syndrome by impairing dopamine signaling in striatal D1 neurons. *PLOS genetics* **10**:e1004124. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004124>, PMID: 24550737