



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Resuscitation

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/resuscitation

Letter to the Editor

High-fidelity simulation training with PPE may optimise resuscitation outcomes in the COVID-19 era



To the Editor,

We previously reported that high-fidelity simulation training in cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) could identify weaknesses in pre-coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Code Blue (CB) practices.¹ Importantly, donning of personal protective equipment (PPE) may delay CPR thus worsening patient outcomes.^{2,3} To that end, we sought to determine the effect of our high-fidelity COVID-19 CPR simulation training (CPR-HFST) within our centre on clinical practice.

We conducted a retrospective review of CB events in a 1000-bedded acute care hospital, pre- and intra-pandemic. Onset of the pandemic was taken as the 4th February 2020 - date of the first local COVID-19 case and implementation of a modified CB pandemic protocol; full PPE, powered air-purifying respiratory (PAPR) and McGrath® video laryngoscope. Ethical approval was granted. Cases from 1st May 2019 to 3rd February 2020 served as pre-pandemic controls. Cases from 4 February 2020 to 30 October 2020 were the intra-pandemic test group. Data collection periods were identical (approximately 9 months pre- and post- 4th February 2020). CPR-HFST commenced in January 2020. The primary objective was to

determine pre- and intra-pandemic response times. Response time was defined as the time our switchboard sent out CB notifications until the arrival of the CB team by the bedside. Intubation times, patient outcomes quantified by CB survival rates and the Cerebral Performance Category (CPC) score, and the incidence of healthcare worker (HCW) infection were our secondary objectives. The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score was used to stratify patients with similar comorbidities. When CCI scores were evaluated against CB episode survival, our dataset demonstrated the Youden index was $CCI > 10$. Therefore, this was used to distinguish patients with high and moderate-to-low pre-morbid risks. Data were not normally distributed; two-tailed Chi-square tests and Mann–Whitney tests were used for statistical comparisons, $\alpha = 0.05$.

158 CB events were reviewed (74 intra-pandemic, 84 pre-pandemic). The median response time was longer intra-pandemic compared to pre-pandemic; 4.0 min. (IQR: 3–5) vs. 3.0 min. (IQR: 1–4), $p = 0.0007$. Cardiac rhythms were asystole (25.5%), pulseless electrical activity (53.8%), ventricular tachycardia (5.7%), and

Table 1 – Pre-pandemic and intra-pandemic summary of results.

Time taken for CB response (mins)

Parameter	Pre-pandemic group (N = 84)	Intra-pandemic group (N = 74)	p-value
Code blue team response time: median (IQR)	3.0 min. (1.0–4.0)	4.0 min. (3.0–5.0)	0.0007
Survival rates and per-morbid risks			
Parameter	Pre-pandemic group (N = 62)	Intra-pandemic group (N = 42)	p-value
Survival rates in CB events, total	59.7%	71.4%	0.22
High risk patients (CCI > 10)	36.4%	85.7%	0.07
Non-high risk patients (CCI ≤ 10)	64.7%	68.6%	0.71
Survival rates to hospital discharge, total	14.1%	21.4%	0.33
High risk patients (CCI > 10)	18.5%	19.0%	1.00
Non-high risk patients (CCI ≤ 10)	10.8%	23.8%	0.26
CPC Score, median (IQR)	5 (5–5)	5 (5–5)	0.12
Survival rates and laryngoscopy methods			
Parameter	Direct laryngoscope (N = 20)	Video laryngoscope (N = 59)	p-value
Survival rates, total	55.0%	66.1%	0.38
High risk patients (CCI > 10)	50.0%	77.8%	0.49
Non-high risk patients (CCI ≤ 10)	55.6%	64.0%	0.53

ventricular fibrillation (11.3%). 67.1% (106/158) of patients required CPR, of which, 88.7% (94/106) were intubated. There were no significant difference in the median intubation times pre- and intra-pandemic; 12.0 min. (IQR:5–13) vs. 11.0 min. (IQR:4–12) respectively, $p=0.89$. Difficulties in auscultation, HCW communication, and reduced peripheral vision were experienced as previously reported with PAPER use.⁴ Survival to hospital discharge were similar pre- and intra-pandemic; 14.1% vs. 21.4% respectively, $p=0.33$. We did not find any significant differences in CB survival rates and CPC scores pre- and intra-pandemic (Table 1). There were no HCW infections.

Survival rates to hospital discharge of all patients requiring in-hospital CPR may be lower intra-pandemic than pre-pandemic; Miles et al. (2020) reported 3.2% vs 12.8% respectively, $p < 0.01$.⁵ These estimates were significantly different compared to our intra-pandemic cohort (3.2% vs. 21.4%, $p < 0.01$) but not in our pre-pandemic cohort (12.8% vs. 14.1%, $p=0.82$). Reasons for the differences are likely multifactorial. Nonetheless, in our experience and data, we believe CPR-HFST prevents deterioration in the standards of care and may help in optimising CPR outcomes. Further large scale studies are welcomed to evaluate the generalisability of our findings.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Funding

Not applicable.

Ethics approval

Singhealth's Centralised Institutional Review Board (Ref: 2019/2496) Acknowledgement: Smita Pathare, Department of Clinical Governance, Sengkang General Hospital

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Wan Yen Lim: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **John Ong:** Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. **Vishnu Vimal:** Project administration, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software. **Haoyuan Lim:** Investigation, Resources. **Hui Cheng Tan:** Data curation, Formal analysis. **Patrick Wong:** Supervision, Writing - review & editing. **Vui Kian Ho:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision. **Sharon Gek Kim Ong:** Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

REFERENCES

1. Lim WY, Wong P, Teo L-M, Ho VK. Resuscitation during the COVID-19 pandemic: Lessons learnt from high-fidelity simulation. *Resuscitation* 2020;152:89–90.
2. Chan PS, Krumholz HM, Nichol G, Nallamothu BK. American Heart Association National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Investigators. Delayed time to defibrillation after in-hospital cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:9–17.
3. Nolan JP, Soar J, Smith GB, et al. National Cardiac Arrest Audit. Incidence and outcome of in-hospital cardiac arrest in the United Kingdom National Cardiac Arrest Audit. *Resuscitation* 2014;85:987–92.
4. Roberts V. To PAPER or not to PAPER? *Can J Respir Ther* 2014;50:87–90.
5. Miles JA, Mejia M, Rios S, et al. Faillace RT. mic: Characteristics and Outcomes of In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Events During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Single-Center Experience From a New York City Public Hospital. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2020;13:e007303.

Wan Yen Lim^{a,b,c,1,*}

^aDepartment of Anaesthesia, Sengkang General Hospital, 110 Sengkang E Way, Singapore 544886, Singapore

^bDivision of Anaesthesiology and Perioperative Sciences, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road, Singapore 169608, Singapore

^cDuke-NUS Medical School, 8 College Rd, Singapore 169857, Singapore

John Ong^{a,b,1}

^aYong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119077, Singapore

^bDepartment of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1PZ, UK

Vishnu Vimal

Department of Intensive Care, Sengkang General Hospital, 110 Sengkang E Way, Singapore 544886, Singapore

Haoyuan Lim

Singhealth Anaesthesia Residency Program, Singapore

Hui Cheng Tan

Department of Clinical Governance, Sengkang General Hospital, 110 Sengkang E Way, Singapore 544886, Singapore

Patrick Wong

Department of Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, Waikato District Health Board, New Zealand

Vui Kian Ho^{a,b,c,d,e}

^aDepartment of Anaesthesia, Sengkang General Hospital, 110 Sengkang E Way, Singapore 544886, Singapore

^bDivision of Anaesthesiology and Perioperative Sciences, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road, Singapore 169608, Singapore

^cDuke-NUS Medical School, 8 College Rd, Singapore 169857, Singapore

^dYong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119077, Singapore

^eDepartment of Intensive Care, Sengkang General Hospital, 110 Sengkang E Way, Singapore 544886, Singapore

Sharon Gek Kim Ong^{a,b,c,d,e}

^aDepartment of Anaesthesia, Sengkang General Hospital, 110 Sengkang E Way, Singapore 544886, Singapore

^bDivision of Anaesthesiology and Perioperative Sciences, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road, Singapore 169608, Singapore

^cDuke-NUS Medical School, 8 College Rd, Singapore 169857, Singapore

^dYong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore 119077, Singapore

^eDepartment of Intensive Care, Sengkang General Hospital, 110 Sengkang E Way, Singapore 544886, Singapore

¹Denotes co-first authorship.

* Corresponding author at: Division of Anaesthesiology and Perioperative Sciences, Singapore General Hospital, Outram Road, Singapore 169608, Singapore.

E-mail address: lim.wan.yen@singhealth.com.sg (W. Lim).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.12.015>

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.