Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yang Lv, Peking University Third Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY Sujun Qiu, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, China Feifei Zhou, Peking University Third Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jing-Chuan Sun sumhm11@yeah.net Jian-Gang Shi changzhengspine@smmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Orthopedic Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 06 July 2022 ACCEPTED 02 September 2022 PUBLISHED 23 September 2022

CITATION

Han L-H, Sun K-Q, Yan C, Sun J-C and Shi J-G (2022) The effect of K-line classification in different cervical dynamic position on surgical outcomes in patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament after anterior controllable antedisplacement and fusion. Front. Surg. 9:987622.

doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.987622

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Han, Sun, Yan, Sun and Shi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The effect of K-line classification in different cervical dynamic position on surgical outcomes in patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament after anterior controllable antedisplacement and fusion

Lin-Hui Han^{1†}, Kai-Qiang Sun^{2†}, Chen Yan^{1†}, Jing-Chuan Sun^{1*} and Jian-Gang Shi^{1*}

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Spine Center, Changzheng Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China, ²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Naval Medical Center, Naval Medical University, Shanghai, China

Purpose: To investigate whether the K-line classification in different cervical dynamic position of patients with Ossification of the Posterior Longitudinal Ligament (OPLL) affects clinical outcome after Anterior Controllable Antedisplacement and Fusion (ACAF) surgery.

Methods: A total of 93 patients who suffered from cervical spondylosis caused by OPLL underwent ACAF surgery between June 2015 and December 2017 in a single institution. Neutral, neck-flexed and neck-extended cervical radiographs were obtained from every patient. Subsequently they were classified into K-line (+) and K-line (–) with reference to the K-line classification criteria. Clinical outcomes were assessed by the JOA score, improvement rate (IR) and visual analogue scale (VAS). Radiological assessment included Cobb angle and occupation ratio (OR) of OPLL. Correlations between the long-term surgical outcomes and classification of K-line in different dynamic position were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance.

Results: Significant improvements were shown in all postoperative clinical and radiographic assessments (P < 0.05). There were no differences in IR, Cobb angle and VAS among flexion K-line (–), flexion K-line (+), extension K-line (–) and extension K-line (+) at the 2-year follow-up (P > 0.05). However, the OR of extension K-line (–) (16.13% ± 11.58%) was higher than that of extension K-line (+) (9.00% ± 10.27%) and flexion K-line (+) subgroup (9.47% + 9.97%) (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: The ACAF procedure has shown satisfactory surgical outcomes in various K-line classifications in different dynamic position.

KEYWORDS

ACAF, k-line, OPLL, surgical outcome, classification

Introduction

Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) is a disease in which the posterior longitudinal ligament is chronically ossified at various vertebral levels, with the more frequent occurrence in cervical spine, particularly at the C5 level (1–4). As ossification progresses, it will eventually cause spinal cord compression and produce corresponding clinical symptoms (5). The symptoms of the patients mainly include sensory and motor dysfunction of extremities and torso, and even paralysis and incontinence in severe cases (6, 7). Currently, surgical decompression is the mainstay treatment for OPLL.

Anterior Controllable Antedisplacement and Fusion (ACAF) is a novel technique for the treatment of OPLL, which could provide sufficient decompression and postoperative recovery rates and is less likely to have post-operative complications compared to conventional surgery (8–11). K-line, a new index that can evaluate the cervical alignment and the OPLL size in 1 parameter, was reported, which could predict outcome of OPLL posterior decompression surgery. Cervical laminoplasty resulted in poor outcomes for K-line (–) OPLL, and good outcomes for K-line (+) OPLL (12). However, some patients who were K-line (+) in the neutral position but K-line (–) in the neck-flexed position had poor clinical outcomes after cervical laminoplasty (13). There is a dynamic aspect of cervical myelopathy caused by OPLL (14). Thus, the K-line classification in different dynamic positions is of great relevance for clinical outcomes.

Whether the K-line classification in different dynamic positions affects the postoperative clinical outcome of ACAF surgery is not clear. Therefore, we conducted this retrospective study with a large sample size and long-term follow-up.

Materials and methods

Subjects

From June 2015 through December 2017, 93 patients with cervical myelopathy due to OPLL underwent ACAF in a single institution. All patients underwent ACAF by the same surgical team. Inclusion criteria included those patients with myelopathy caused by OPLL with a clear diagnosis based on clinical symptoms and imaging examination. Exclusion criteria included a history of spinal surgery, trauma, tumor, deformity, or infection and concurrent compressive lesions in the other spinal region. Patients with blurred and obscured imaging data were not included in the study. The 93 patients were classified into three groups based on the cervical radiographs in different dynamic position: the neutral position, the neck-flexed position and the neck-extended position. Afterwards, each group was divided into two subgroups based on K-line measurement of OPLL: K-line (+) subgroup and K-line (-) subgroup (Figure 1).

Clinical evaluation and radiographic assessments

Clinical evaluation

Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) scores that was used to assess the degree of disability and improvement rate (IR) of neurologic function were investigated in all cases. Improvement rate was calculated as IR = (postoperative JOAscore—preoperative JOA score/17-preoperative JOA score) ×100%. A Visual Analog Scale (VAS) was applied to measure



neck pain and arm pain. Surgical outcome was defined by the IR as follows: excellent (IR \geq 75%), good (75% > IR \geq 50%), fair (50% > IR \geq 25%), and poor (IR < 25%). The follow-up period was 2 years in all cases.

Radiologic evaluation

All patients underwent plain radiography, computed tomography (CT), and three-dimensional reconstruction before and after surgery. The following parameters were investigated: (1) Cervical curvature is measured as the Cobb angle, the angle between a line parallel to the posterior aspect of the C2 vertebral body and that of the C7 body; (2) the rate of narrowing in the spinal canal is calculated by occupation ratio (OR). In the narrowest plane of the spinal canal, OR = (thickness of OPLL/spinal canal anteroposterior diameter) $\times 100\%$; (3) The K-line is the line connecting the midpoints of the spinal canal at C2 and C7. In the K-line (–) group, the OPLL exceeds the K-line, whereas in K-line (+) group, the ossified mass does not across the K-line (**Figure 2**).

Operative procedures

(1) Anesthesia and exposure: After general anesthesia, supine position was adopted. The anterior structure of the

cervical spine at the level of the OPLL was exposed and conventional discectomies were performed in the involved levels. (2) Resection of the anterior vertebral bodies of the vertebrae-OPLL complex (VOC): Then anterior parts of the vertebrae were cut according to the thickness of ossified ligament to allow for enough hoisting space. (3) Contralateral osteotomy: According to the expected decompression width, the contralateral osteotomy was performed using a high-speed drill. Then we used Kerrison rongeurs to remove the posterior vertebral wall on the bottom of the troughs. (4) Installation of the intervertebral cages and anterior cervical plate: Intervertebral carbon fiber cages were then placed into intervertebral spaces. The pre-bent anterior cervical plate and screws were then installed for temporary fixation on the middle vertebrae. (5) Isolation of VOC: An ipsilateral osteotomy was performed for complete isolation of the VOC. (6) Hoisting of VOC: The VOC were hoisted forward by tightening the screws mounted on the middle vertebrae (Figure 3).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 26.0 statistical software. Statistical analysis was performed using the paired t-test,





independent samples *t*-test and one-way analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) as appropriate. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results are presented as the mean \pm standard error.

Results

Clinicopathologic characteristics

Eligible for inclusion are 72 male patients and 21 female patients with a mean age of 57.56 years (range, 37–79 years). The mean JOA score for all patients increased from 11.69 ± 2.86 (range, 4–16) preoperatively to 15.96 ± 1.60 (range, 7–17) at the 2-year follow-up (P < 0.05). The mean pain intensity

was 1.70 ± 1.91 (range, 0–7) on the VAS preoperatively, decreasing to 0.28 ± 0.67 (range, 0–3) at the 2-year follow-up (P < 0.05). The IR averaged $80.86\% \pm 31.13\%$. The mean cobb angle increased from $14.70^{\circ} \pm 8.68^{\circ}$ (range, $0^{\circ}-38.9^{\circ}$) at preoperation to $20.26^{\circ} \pm 5.64^{\circ}$ (range, $10^{\circ}-32.6^{\circ}$) at the final follow-up (P < 0.05). The mean occupation ratio decreased from $53.98\% \pm 12.4\%$ (range, 25.89%-79.05%) at pre-operation to $20.26\% \pm 5.64\%$ (range, 10%-32.6%) at the final follow-up (P < 0.05). The spinal level of surgery involvement was C2–C4 for 1 patient, C2–C5 for 13 patients, C2–C6 for 10 patients, C2–C7 for 14 patients, C2–T1 for 1 patient, C2–T2 for 1 patient, C3–C5 for 1 patient, C3–C6 for 12 patients, C3–C7 for 18 patients, C4–C6 for 1 patient, C4–C7 for 18 patients, C4–T1 for 2 patients and C5–C7 for 1 patient. There were 26 patients with continuous type, 17 patients with segmental

type, 31 patients with mixed type and 19 patients with local type. As shown in Table 1.

Clinical data in two subgroups according to the K-line classification in neutral position

Of the 93 OPLL patients studied in our analysis, 64 were categorized as K-line (+) and 29 were categorized as K-line (-). The preoperative and postoperative clinical data are

TABLE 1 Clinical preoperative and postoperative data of the patients.

Item	Value		
Sex (n)	Male 72, female 21		
Age (years)	57.56 ± 9.01 (37–79)		
Japanese orthopaedic association score			
Before surgery	11.69 ± 2.86 (4-16)		
2 years after surgery	15.96 ± 1.60 (7-17)*		
IR (%)	80.86 ± 31.13 (-100 to 100)		
VAS			
Before surgery	1.70 ± 1.91 (0-7)		
2 years after surgery	$0.28 \pm 0.67 \ (0-3)^*$		
Cobb angle (°)			
Before surgery	14.70 ± 8.68 (0-38.9)		
2 years follow-up	$20.26 \pm 5.64 (10 - 32.6)^*$		
Occupying ratio (%)			
Before surgery	53.98 ± 12.42 (25.89-79.05)		
2 years follow-up	$10.99 \pm 11.07 \ (0-41.64)^*$		
Surgery involvement (number of patients)			
C2-C4	1		
C2-C5	13		
C2-C6	10		
C2-C7	14		
C2-T1	1		
C2-T2	1		
C3-C5	1		
C3-C6	12		
C3-C7	18		
C4-C6	1		
C4-C7	18		
C4-T1	2		
C5-C7	1		
Classification of OPLL (cases)			
Continuous type	26		
Segmental type	17		
Mixed type	31		
Local type	19		

Values are mean <u>+</u> standard error (range).

*Statistically different from the data before surgery.

presented in **Table 2**. The mean IR was 79.61% \pm 33.22% in the K-line (+) subgroup and 83.61% \pm 26.26% in the K-line (-) subgroup. Therefore, there was no difference in post-operative neurologic improvement between the K-line (+) subgroup and K-line (-) subgroup in neutral position (*P* > 0.05). The Cobb angle, OR and VAS of both subgroups improved significantly after surgery compared to the final follow-up (*P* < 0.05). The Cobb angle was 20.64° \pm 5.68° in the K-line (+) subgroup and 19.41° \pm 5.53° in the K-line (-) subgroup (*P* > 0.05). The OR for the K-line (+) subgroup was 8.83% \pm 10.29%, which was lower than the value for the K-line (-) subgroup 15.77% \pm 11.39% (*P* < 0.05). The VAS was 0.36 \pm 0.76 in the K-line (+) subgroup and 0.10 \pm 0.31 in the K-line (-) subgroup (*P* < 0.05).

Clinical data in four subgroups according to the K-line classification in flexed and extended position

Flexion and extension x-rays of the cervical spine were obtained from every patient. They were then divided into different subgroups according to the K-line Classification. The preoperative and postoperative clinical data are shown in **Table 3**. All four subgroups of postoperative observations (JOA score, Cobb angle, OR and VAS) were better than these before surgery (P < 0.05). There were no differences in IR, Cobb angle and VAS at the 2 years follow-up among the four subgroups (P > 0.05). However, there was a statistical variance among the OR. The OR of extension K-line (–) subgroup

TABLE 2 Clinical data according to the K-line classification in neutral position.

Item	K-line (+) (<i>n</i> = 64)	K-line (—) (<i>n</i> = 29)	P value
Age (years)	57.6 ± 9.1	57.3 ± 9.0	0.878
Japanese orthopedic assoc	iation score		
Before surgery	11.63 ± 2.84	11.83 ± 2.94	0.753
2 years after surgery	$15.81\pm1.81^*$	$16.28 \pm 0.96^{*}$	0.111
Improvement rate (%)	79.61 ± 33.22	83.61 ± 26.26	0.569
Cobb angle (°)			
Before surgery	16.30 ± 8.89	11.18 ± 7.13	0.008
2 years follow-up	$20.64\pm5.68^*$	19.41 ± 5.53*	0.330
Occupying ratio (%)			
Before surgery	51.71 ± 13.05	58.96 ± 9.27	0.003
2 years follow-up	$8.83 \pm 10.29^*$	$15.77 \pm 11.39^{*}$	0.004
Visual analog scale			
Before surgery	1.69 ± 1.85	1.72 ± 2.07	0.932
2 years after surgery	$0.36\pm0.76^{*}$	$0.10\pm0.31^{\ast}$	0.024

Values are mean <u>+</u> standard error.

*Statistically different from the data before surgery.

Item	Flexion K-line (+)	Flexion K-line (–)	Extension K-line (+)	Extension K-line (–)	P value
Number of cases (n)	35	59	67	26	
JOA score					
Before surgery	11.37 ± 2.70	11.88 ± 2.96	11.75 ± 2.84	11.54 ± 2.97	
2 years after surgery	15.54 ± 2.20^a	16.21 ± 1.04^a	15.84 ± 1.78^a	16.27 ± 0.96^a	
Improvement rate (%)	80.02 ± 26.10	81.36 ± 34.02	79.03 ± 34.09	85.56 ± 21.62	0.835
Cobb angle (°)					
Before surgery	17.21 ± 9.61	13.19 ± 7.77	16.23 ± 8.86	10.79 ± 6.90	
2 years follow-up	21.83 ± 5.99^a	19.31 ± 5.24^{a}	20.50 ± 5.60^{a}	19.63 ± 5.79^{a}	0.183
Occupying ratio (%)					
Before surgery	52.67 ± 15.87	54.76 ± 9.85	51.55 ± 12.86	60.22 ± 8.63	
2 years follow-up	$9.47\pm9.97^{a,\star}$	11.91 ± 11.67^{a}	$9.00 \pm 10.27^{a_{**}}$	16.13 ± 11.58^{a}	0.03
Visual analog scale					
Before surgery	1.63 ± 1.90	1.74 ± 1.93	1.66 ± 1.84	1.81 ± 2.12	
2 years after surgery	0.40 ± 0.85^a	$0.21\pm0.52^{\rm a}$	0.34 ± 0.75^a	0.12 ± 0.33^a	0.256

TABLE 3 Clinical data according to the K-line classification in the cervical dynamic position.

JOA, Japanese orthopedic association.

^aStatistically different from the data before surgery.

*Statistically different from the Extension K-line (-) subgroup.

 $(16.13\% \pm 11.58\%)$ is higher than that of extension K-line (+) subgroup (9.00% ± 10.27%) and flexion K-line (+) subgroup (9.47% ± 9.97%) (*P* < 0.05).

Discussion

OPLL is more common in Asia, and the formation of ossification can cause compression of the spinal cord (15, 16). For asymptomatic OPLL patients, conservative treatment is the preferred option. If the patient has progressive myelopathy due to compression of the spinal cord by ossification, surgery will be necessary (17, 18). There are two categories of surgery: anterior and posterior decompression surgery (19). Patients with K-line (-) have difficulty in achieving satisfactory spinal drift and optimal neurological recovery after posterior decompression (20). Anterior approaches are superior to posterior techniques for >60% OPLL canal occupancy with cervical kyphosis (21, 22). When performing an anterior approach, however, the direct resection of the ossification with a limited surgical field of view makes it much riskier and more complicated (23). The OPLL is not directly removed during the ACAF surgery, an innovative technique for the treatment of OPLL. By resection of the anterior vertebral bodies of the vertebrae, the vertebral ossification complex (VOC) is hoisted and the spinal cord and nerve root then receive direct decompression (8). According to previous study, ACAF is more effective in treatment of multilevel severe OPLL compared with laminoplasty (9).

The ACAF technique has a favorable effect on both short and long term post-operative neurological improvement in

patients. One-year follow-up data showed IR of $60.1\% \pm 9.2\%$ for the ACAF technique (24). In the present study, 2-year follow-up indicated the IR was $80.86\% \pm 31.13\%$.

Dynamic factors are not associated with the clinical outcome of ACAF surgery. Patients with various K-line classifications, measured in different dynamic position, obtained ideal treatment results. Recent reports have described poor neurological improvement in patients with K-line (-) OPLL in the neck-flexed position after cervical laminoplasty (13, 25). In comparison, the ACAF technique was very effective in patients with K-line (-) in the neck-flexed position, with a mean improvement rate of $81.36\% \pm 34.02\%$. Meanwhile, the ACAF technique was found to be effective in treating patients with OPLL under other classifications [flexion K-line (+), extension K-line (+) and extension K-line (-)] in the current study. Statistical analysis showed no difference in the clinical outcome of ACAF among these four subgroups (P > 0.05). The classification of K-line is influenced by both the alignment of the cervical spine and the size of the ossification. Both can cause the ossification to exceed the K-line and meet the K-line (-) criterion. The ACAF procedure is able to restore the physiological curvature of the cervical spine and hoist VOC by means of a pre-bent titanium plate, allowing the K-line to move backwards. Cervical Cobb angle has been changed from 14.70° ± 8.68° preoperatively to $20.26^{\circ} \pm 5.64^{\circ}$ 2 years after surgery (P < 0.05). OR has been changed from 53.98% ± 12.42% preoperatively to $10.99\% \pm 11.07\%$ 2 years after surgery (P < 0.05). ACAF surgery solves above problems and therefore provides maximum decompression of the spinal cord, resulting in a favorable outcome. The change of cervical curvature and the relative backward movement of ossification may re-induce spinal cord compression in the neck-flexed position after cervical laminoplasty (13). ACAF surgery through discectomy, intervertebral carbon fiber cages implantation and pre-bend plate fixation makes the structure of the surgical site relatively stable. The ossification will not move with flexion or extension of the neck.

The postoperative OR in the neck-extended K-line (-) subgroup was higher than the other two K-line (+) subgroups which were measured in cervical dynamic position. This may be explained by the thicker ossification in extension K-line (-) subgroup, which made it necessary to resect more of the anterior vertebral bodies of the vertebrae to provide more hoisting space. However, if too much bone is removed, even the shortest cervical screws can penetrate the remaining vertebrae, causing damage to the spinal cord (26). Consequently, the postoperative OR for these patients was higher.

The relatively small sample size is one of the limitations of our study, especially in extension K-line (-) subgroup. This may be associated with the fact that the lesions are theoretically most severe in these patients. Second, this study is a single institution and retrospective study inherently involved selection bias. Multi-center investigation is required for future studies. The relatively short follow-up period is another limitation of this study, although the 2-year follow-up is already the longest follow-up period in ACAF surgery studies. Control group which underwent posterior surgery from the same institution is needed. In the further study, we will set up posterior surgery as a control to investigate the effect of the K-line classification in different dynamic positions on the outcome of the procedure. Also, the sample size will be expanded and the follow-up period will be increased.

Conclusion

The ACAF surgery has excellent results in treating OPLL under each K-line classification in different dynamic positions. ACAF surgery has wide range of applicability for the treatment of OPLL.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

References

1. Yan L, Gao R, Liu Y, He B, Lv S, Hao D. The pathogenesis of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *Aging Dis.* (2017) 8:570–82. doi: 10.14336/AD. 2017.0201

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Changzheng Hospital. The ethics committee waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

K-QS is responsible for clinical data collection and collation. CY and L-HH are jointly responsible for conducting statistical analysis of clinical data. The above three people cooperate to write the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The study is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81802218).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

^{2.} Matsunaga S, Sakou T. Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament of the cervical spine: etiology and natural history. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* (2012) 37: E309–14. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e318241ad33

3. Saetia K, Cho D, Lee S, Kim DH, Kim SD. Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: a review. *Neurosurg Focus.* (2011) 30:E1. doi: 10.3171/2010.11.focus10276

4. Kawaguchi Y, Nakano M, Yasuda T, Seki S, Hori T, Suzuki K, et al. Characteristics of ossification of the spinal ligament; incidence of ossification of the ligamentum flavum in patients with cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament - analysis of the whole spine using multidetector CT. *J Orthop Sci.* (2016) 21:439–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jos.2016.04.009

5. Hirabayashi S, Kitagawa T, Yamamoto I, Yamada K, Kawano H. Surgical treatment for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) at the thoracic spine: usefulness of the posterior approach. *Spine Surg Relat Res.* (2018) 2:169–76. doi: 10.22603/ssrr.2017-0044

6. Kalb S, Martirosyan NL, Perez-Orribo L, Kalani MY, Theodore N. Analysis of demographics, risk factors, clinical presentation, and surgical treatment modalities for the ossified posterior longitudinal ligament. *Neurosurg Focus.* (2011) 30:E11. doi: 10.3171/2010.12.FOCUS10265

7. Chen Y, Guo Y, Lu X, Chen D, Song D, Shi J, et al. Surgical strategy for multilevel severe ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. (2011) 24:24–30. doi: 10.1097/BSD.0b013e3181c7e91e

8. Sun J, Shi J, Xu X, Yang Y, Wang Y, Kong Q, et al. Anterior controllable antidisplacement and fusion surgery for the treatment of multilevel severe ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament with myelopathy: preliminary clinical results of a novel technique. *Eur Spine J.* (2018) 27:1469–78. doi: 10. 1007/s00586-017-5437-4

9. Kong QJ, Luo X, Tan Y, Sun JC, Wang Y, Tan L, et al. Anterior controllable antedisplacement and fusion (ACAF) vs posterior laminoplasty for multilevel severe cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: retrospective study based on a two-year follow-up. *Orthop Surg.* (2021) 13:474–83. doi: 10.1111/ os.12856

10. Wang H, Yang R, Liu H, Meng Y, Hong Y. Comparison of interventions for cervical ossification of posterior longitudinal ligament: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg.* (2021) 155:1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu. 2021.07.138

11. Ramos M, Liu G, Tan JH, Tan J, Ruiz J, Hey H, et al. Risk factors for surgical complications in the management of ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *Spine J.* (2021) 21:1176–84. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2021.03.022

12. Fujiyoshi T, Yamazaki M, Kawabe J, Endo T, Furuya T, Koda M, et al. A new concept for making decisions regarding the surgical approach for cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: the K-line. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. (2008) 33:E990–3. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e318188b300

13. Takeuchi K, Yokoyama T, Numasawa T, Yamasaki Y, Kudo H, Itabashi T, et al. K-line (-) in the neck-flexed position in patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament is a risk factor for poor clinical outcome after cervical laminoplasty. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. (2016) 41:1891–5. doi: 10.1097/BRS.00000000001660

14. Michelini G, Corridore A, Torlone S, Bruno F, Marsecano C, Capasso R, et al. Dynamic MRI in the evaluation of the spine: state of the art. *Acta Biomed.* (2018) 89:89–101. doi: 10.23750/abm.v89i1-S.7012

15. Xiao B, Nagoshi N, Takeuchi A, Mao J, Liu B, Tian W, et al. Imaging comparison between Chinese and Japanese patients with cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. (2018) 43:E1376–83. doi: 10.1097/BRS.00000000002707

16. Wu JC, Chen YC, Huang WC. Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament in cervical spine: prevalence, management, and prognosis. *Neurospine*. (2018) 15:33–41. doi: 10.14245/ns.1836084.042

17. Abiola R, Rubery P, Mesfin A. Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: etiology, diagnosis, and outcomes of nonoperative and operative management. *Global Spine J.* (2016) 6:195–204. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1556580

18. Matsunaga S, Sakou T, Taketomi E, Komiya S. Clinical course of patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: a minimum 10-year cohort study. *J Neurosurg.* (2004) 100:245-8. doi: 10.3171/spi.2004. 100.3.0245

19. Kwok S, Cheung J. Surgical decision-making for ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament versus other types of degenerative cervical myelopathy: anterior versus posterior approaches. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* (2020) 21:823. doi: 10.1186/s12891-020-03830-0

20. Li C, Zhou H, Yang S, Zhu X, Zha G, Yang Z, et al. Effect of K-line on posterior cervical surgery in patients with posterior longitudinal ligament ossification. *Eur Spine J.* (2020) 29:2368–77. doi: 10.1007/s00586-020-06507-3

21. Boody BS, Lendner M, Vaccaro AR. Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine: a review. *Int Orthop.* (2019) 43:797–805. doi: 10.1007/s00264-018-4106-5

22. Fujimori T, Iwasaki M, Okuda S, Takenaka S, Kashii M, Kaito T, et al. Longterm results of cervical myelopathy due to ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament with an occupying ratio of 60% or more. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. (2014) 39:58–67. doi: 10.1097/BRS.000000000000054

23. Odate S, Shikata J, Soeda T, Yamamura S, Kawaguchi S. Surgical results and complications of anterior decompression and fusion as a revision surgery after initial posterior surgery for cervical myelopathy due to ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *J Neurosurg Spine*. (2017) 26:466–73. doi: 10. 3171/2016.9.SPINE16430

24. Chen Y, Sun J, Yuan X, Guo Y, Yang H, Chen D, et al. Comparison of anterior controllable antedisplacement and fusion with posterior laminoplasty in the treatment of multilevel cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament: a prospective, randomized, and control study with at least 1-year follow up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* (2020) 45:1091–101. doi: 10.1097/BRS. 00000000003462

25. Kimura A, Shiraishi Y, Sugawara R, Inoue H, Endo T, Takeshita K. Impact of K-line (-) in the neck-flexion position on patient-reported outcomes after cervical laminoplasty for patients with ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *Clin Spine Surg.* (2019) 32:382–6. doi: 10.1097/BSD.00000000000891

26. Sun JC, Zhang B, Shi J, Sun KQ, Huan L, Sun XF, et al. Can K-line predict the clinical outcome of anterior controllable antedisplacement and fusion surgery for cervical myelopathy caused by multisegmental ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *World Neurosurg.* (2018) 116:e118–27. doi: 10.1016/j. wneu.2018.04.128