Evaluation of the relation between cardiac biomarkers and thorax computerized tomography findings in COVID-19 patients



Biomarkers

Cigdem Ileri^{*,1}, Zekeriya Dogan², Beste Ozben², Cagla Karaoglu³, Nuran Gunay¹, Kursat Tigen², Sema Basat³ & Cihangir Uyan¹

¹Department of Cardiology, Umraniye Research & Training Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey

²Department of Cardiology, Marmara University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

³Department of Internal Medicine, Umraniye Research & Training Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Istanbul, Turkey

*Author for correspondence: Tel.: +90 553 010 9796; cgdmileri@gmail.com

Background: Troponin levels may be elevated in COVID-19 infection. The aim of this study was to the explore relation between troponin levels and COVID-19 severity. **Materials & methods/Results:** One hundred and forty consecutive patients with COVID-19 pneumonia were included. Diagnosis of COVID-19 pneumonia was based on positive chest computed tomography (CT) findings. Quantitative PCR test was performed in all patients. Only 74 patients were quantitative PCR-positive. Twenty four patients had severe CT findings and 27 patients had progressive disease. These patients had significantly lower albumin and higher ferritin, D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, C-reactive protein, and high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I (hs-cTnI). **Conclusion:** COVID-19 patients with severe CT findings and progressive disease had higher hs-cTnI levels suggesting the use of hs-cTnI in risk stratification.

First draft submitted: 27 June 2020; Accepted for publication: 22 December 2020; Published online: 27 January 2021

Keywords: cardiac biomarkers • COVID-19 • myocardial injury • thorax CT • troponin

The first case of COVID-19 caused by a new coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, was reported in Wuhan (Hubei, China) on 8 December 2019 [1]. The epidemic then spread rapidly across the world, and the WHO declared a pandemic on 11 March 2020.

A common clinical manifestation of the disease is respiratory failure due to pneumonia. Approximately 25–50% of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients have comorbidities [2]. Diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), especially hypertension, are the most common comorbidities observed in patients with COVID-19 according to a meta-analysis [3]. The presence of concomitant cardiac disease is associated with poor prognosis, increased rate of mortality, septic shock and thromboembolic events [4].

Mild troponin elevations are frequently detected in COVID-19 patients [5]. Imbalance between oxygen demand and supply may cause myocardial injury reflected as mild troponin elevation. In an earlier study, it was reported that 12% of the COVID-19 patients had troponin elevation diagnosed as acute myocardial injury [6]. Troponin levels may be associated with disease severity [5,7]. COVID-19 may cause myocarditis and/or stress cardiomyopathy; which may explain moderate increases in troponin in these patients [8,9]. Progressive troponin increases have been suggested to predict a cytokine storm along with other biomarkers [5,10,11]. However, these classifications and predictions are mostly based on our knowledge and experience in previous viral infections.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) is the reference standard for the diagnosis of COVID-19, but it has high falsenegativity rates due to reasons such as inappropriate samples, diagnostic kit performance, viral load and sampling time [12]. On the other hand, thorax computed tomography (CT) may be a practical and reliable diagnostic method for COVID-19 with a higher sensitivity (97%) compared with PCR (59%) [13].

The aim of this study was to determine the relation between the troponin levels and COVID-19 severity defined according to thorax CT findings. We also investigated the epidemiological features, laboratory findings and comorbidities of patients who were hospitalized in a tertiary hospital in Turkey.



Materials & methods

The investigation conformed to the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the local ethics committee. All participants gave written informed consent.

One hundred and forty consecutive hospitalized COVID-19 patients between 24 March 2020 and 4 May 2020 constituted our study population. According to our national diagnostic algorithm, all patients with complaints of fever and coughing underwent thorax CT and laboratory tests including complete blood count, C-reactive protein (CRP), ferritin and D-dimer on admission. The diagnosis of COVID-19 and initiation of treatment were based on the presence of findings compatible with viral pneumonia on thorax CT and laboratory test results including lymphopenia, elevated CRP, ferritin and D-dimer. qPCR test was also performed simultaneously. While a positive qPCR test confirmed the diagnosis of COVID-19; an initial negative qPCR did not exclude COVID-19 and qPCR was repeated 24 h later. Even if the control qPCR was again negative; the patient was regarded as COVID-19 positive due to its high probability as the cause of viral pneumonia findings in thorax CT in this pandemic era and treated according to COVID-19 treatment protocols while it was advised to explore the other possible causes of viral pneumonia [14].

Patients were admitted to the hospital within 3 days after the onset of the symptoms. On admission, blood tests including complete blood count, creatinine, albumin, ferritin, D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), CRP, procalcitonin and high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I (hs-cTnI) were performed and were repeated to evaluate response to treatment and course of the disease.

Thorax CT findings of the patients were assessed by a clinician blinded to the clinical characteristics of the patients. The degree of involvement of each of the five lobes was classified as no involvement (0%), minimal (1-25%), mild (26-50%), moderate (51-75%) or severe (76-100%). No involvement corresponded to a lobe score of 0, minimal involvement to a lobe score of 1, mild involvement to a lobe score of 2, moderate involvement to a lobe score of 3 and severe involvement to a lobe score of 4. The total lung severity score was the sum of the five lobe scores ranging from 0 (no abnormality) to 20 (more than 75% of each lung lobe involved by COVID-19 lesion) [15,16].

An increase in densities and volumes of consolidations and ground-glass opacities, development of new consolidations at the follow-up were consistent with the radiological progression of COVID-19 [16,17]. An increase in the need for oxygen treatment and clinical deterioration of patients were accepted as clinical progression of the disease [18]. Radiological progression, clinical deterioration or rehospitalization for COVID-19 was accepted as the progression of COVID-19 in our study. Early warning score for 2019-nCoV was used to decide for admission of the patients into intensive care unit (ICU) [19].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by statistical software (SPSS 11.0 for windows, IL, USA). Continuous variables were checked for normal distribution by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Continuous data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or median with 25 and 75 percentiles and interquartile range while categorical data were presented as number of patients. Chi-squared test was used for comparison of categorical variables. Student's *t*-test or ANOVA was used to compare the normally distributed continuous variables while Mann–Whitney *U*-test or Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare the nonparametric continuous variables. *Post hoc* analyses were performed using Bonferroni test when an overall statistical significance was determined. Logistic regression analysis was performed to explore the independent predictors of severe CT findings, progressive disease and need for ICU. Statistical significance was accepted as a p < 0.05.

Results

One hundred and forty consecutive patients who were hospitalized in our clinic due to COVID-19 infection/pneumonia were included in the study. The mean age of the patients was 55 ± 16 years and 82 patients were male. While all the patients had positive chest CT findings compatible with COVID-19 pneumonia, PCR was positive in only 74 patients (52.9%). Elevated LDH levels (99%), elevated CRP levels (91%) and elevated D-dimer (85%) were detected in most of the patients. Lymphopenia was observed in 26% of the patients and troponin levels were elevated in 18% of the patients. There were bilateral lung infiltrations in 123 patients (87.9%) while only 17 patients (12.1%) had unilateral lung involvement. The chest CT findings were mild in 74 patients (52.9%), moderate in 42 patients (30%) and severe in 24 patients (17.1%). The general characteristics and laboratory parameters of the patients according to the severity of chest CT findings are listed in Table 1. There were

Table 1. The general characteristics and laboratory parameters of the patients according to severity of chest computed

tomography findings.					
Parameters	All patients (n = 140)	Mild CT findings (n = 74)	Moderate CT findings (n = 42)	Severe CT findings (n = 24)	p-value
Mean age (years) (range)	55 ± 16 (23–89)	53.5 ± 16.7 (23–89)	55.6 ± 16.5 (23–88)	58.1 ± 15.5 (30–88)	0.474
Male sex (n; %)	82 (58.6)	40 (54.1)	25 (59.5)	17 (70.8)	0.346
Hypertension (n; %)	49 (35.0)	27 (36.5)	15 (35.7)	7 (29.2)	0.802
Diabetes (n; %)	36 (25.7)	20 (27.0)	9 (21.4)	7 (29.2)	0.733
Coronary artery disease (n; %)	10 (7.1)	5 (6.8)	4 (9.5)	1 (4.2)	0.706
Heart failure (n; %)	12 (8.6)	5 (6.8)	4 (9.5)	3 (12.5)	0.660
Renal failure (n; %)	10 (7.1)	5 (6.8)	2 (4.8)	3 (12.5)	0.493
Stroke (n; %)	2 (1.4)	1 (1.4)	1 (2.4)	0	0.733
Asthma (n; %)	16 (11.4)	11 (14.9)	3 (7.1)	2 (8.3)	0.396
COPD (n; %)	8 (5.7)	2 (2.7)	5 (11.9)	1 (4.2)	0.114
PCR + (n; %)	74 (52.9)	31 (41.9)	26 (61.9)	17 (70.8)	0.018
Lung involvement – Unilateral (n; %) – Bilateral (n; %)	17 (12.1) 123 (87.9)	17 (23) 57 (77)	0 42 (100)	0 24 (100)	<0.001
Total lung severity score (range)	8.0 ± 5.7 (1–20)	4.0 ± 2.5 (1–10)	10.5 ± 4.0 [†] (6–16)	15.7 ± 4.6 ^{†‡} (9–20)	<0.001
Length of hospital stay (days) (range) (IQR)	9 ± 5 (3-34) (6)	6 ± 3 (3–17) (3)	$10 \pm 5^{\dagger}$ (4–24) (7)	$13 \pm 7^{\dagger \ddagger}$ (5–34) (8)	<0.001
Treatment regime (n; %) - Hydroxychloroquine - Oseltamivir - Favipiravir - Azithromycin - Clarithromycin - Tocilizumab - Another antibiotic (piperacillin/tazobactam, meropenem) - Enoxaparin	139 (99.3) 81 (57.9) 29 (20.7) 108 (77.1) 6 (4.3) 4 (2.9) 10 (7.1) 104 (74.3)	73 (98.6) 36 (48.6) 4 (5.4) 51 (68.9) 1 (1.4) 0 4 (5.4) 48 (64.9)	42 (100) 26 (61.9) 11 (26.2) 37 (88.1) 3 (7.1) 1 (2.4) 2 (4.8) 36 (85.7)	24 (100) 19 (79.2) 14 (58.3) 20 (83.3) 2 (8.3) 3 (12.5) 4 (16.7) 20 (83.3)	0.638 0.026 <0.001 0.045 0.188 0.007 0.137 0.025
WBC (/µl) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	7175 ± 3771 (4825–8325) (3500)	7461 ± 3690 (5060–8590) (3530)	6636 ± 3836 (4770–7240) (2470)	7237 ± 3961 (4380–10260) (5880)	0.527
Lymphocyte (/µl) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	1616 ± 883 (972–2080) (1108)	1759 ± 932 (1000–2243) (1243)	1464 ± 619 (980–1955) (975)	1443 ± 1066 (682–1655) (973)	0.128
Hemoglobin (g/dl) (25—75th percentile) (IQR)	13.1 ± 1.8 (11.8–14.7) (3)	13.0 ± 1.9 (11.6–14.4) (3)	13.0 ± 1.7 (12.1–14.2) (2)	13.3 ± 1.6 (12.4–14.5) (2)	0.849
Platelet (10 ³ /µl) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	220 ± 77 (164–252) (88)	223 ± 69 (167–259) (92)	209 ± 80 (162–238) (76)	230 ± 98 (179–268) (89)	0.521
Creatinine (mg/dl) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	1.00 ± 0.66 (0.76–1.09) (0.33)	0.94 ± 0.32 (0.75–1.04) (0.29)	1.11 ± 1.09 (0.76–1.10) (0.34)	1.02 ± 0.38 (0.74–1.23) (0.49)	0.390
Albumin (g/dl) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	3.9 ± 0.5 (3.6–4.2) (0.6)	4.0 ± 0.5 (3.8–4.4) (0.6)	3.9 ± 0.5 (3.5–4.2) (0.7)	$3.6 \pm 0.6^{\dagger}$ (3.3–4.0) (0.7)	0.001
Ferritin (µg/l) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	433 ± 810 (72–429) (357)	319 ± 817 (39–258) (219)	398 ± 674 (82–449) (367)	779 ± 929 ^{†‡} (166–1113) (947)	0.001
D-dimer basal (µg/l) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	1168 ± 1657 (435–1164) (729)	1095 ± 1756 (343–1000) (657)	1047 ± 1545 (504–909) (405)	1587 ± 1525 (727–1832) (1105)	0.392
D-dimer peak (µg/l) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	1718 ± 2104 (536–1891) (1355)	1191 ± 1744 (383–1081) (698)	1804 ± 2110 (627–1898) (1271)	3157 ± 2451 ^{†‡} (1189–3860) (2671)	<0.001
LDH basal (U/I) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	313 ± 113 (242–386) (144)	277 ± 94 (208–321) (113)	321 ± 101 (239–373) (134)	411 ± 131 ^{†‡} (338–480) (142)	<0.001
LDH peak (U/l) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	400 ± 203 (296–478) (182)	340 ± 196 (264–384) (120)	421 ± 166 (331–501) (170)	547 ± 210 ^{†‡} (442–705) (263)	<0.001
CRP basal (mg/l) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	3.95 ± 5.09 (0.70–5.95) (5.25)	3.02 ± 5.35 (0.20–4.05) (3.85)	3.41 ± 3.44 (1.25–4.65) (3.40)	7.74 ± 5.16 ^{†‡} (2.87–12.40) (9.53)	<0.001
Post boc analysis					

Post hoc analysis.

⁺ Denotes statistical significance in comparison to patients with mild CT findings. ⁺ Denotes statistical significance in comparison to patients with moderate CT finding.

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C-reactive protein; CT: Computed tomography; Hs: High sensitivity; IQR: Interquartile range; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; WBC: White blood cell.

Table 1. The general characteristics and laboratory parameters of the patients according to severity of chest computed tomography findings (cont.).

tomography mutilys (cont.).					
Parameters	All patients (n = 140)	Mild CT findings (n = 74)	Moderate CT findings (n = 42)	Severe CT findings (n = 24)	p-value
CRP peak (mg/l)	7.45 ± 7.50	4.67 ± 6.46	8.75 ± 6.06 [†]	13.82 ± 8.39 ^{†‡}	<0.001
(25–75th percentile) (IQR)	(1.67–11.47) (9.80)	(0.65–6.28) (5.63)	(4.10–12.50) (8.40)	(8.97–17.94) (8.97)	
Procalcitonin basal (ng/ml)	0.27 ± 1.40	0.27 ± 1.58	0.40 ± 1.47	0.07 ± 0.07	0.662
(range)	(0.05–13.60)	(0.05–13.60)	(0.05–8.28)	(0.05–0.37)	
Procalcitonin peak (ng/ml)	0.54 ± 2.02	0.47 ± 2.18	0.68 ± 2.09	0.47 ± 1.28	0.853
(range)	(0.05–13.60)	(0.05–13.60)	(0.05–10.80)	(0.05–5.33)	
Hs-troponin I basal (ng/ml) (25–75th percentile) (IQR)	0.012 ± 0.026 (0.001–0.009) (0.008)	0.010 ± 0.022 (0.001–0.008) (0.007)	0.007 ± 0.011 (0.001–0.007) (0.006)	$\begin{array}{l} 0.027 \pm 0.046^{\dagger \ddagger} \\ \textbf{(0.003-0.048) (0.045)} \end{array}$	0.013
Hs-troponin I peak (ng/ml)	0.015 ± 0.032	0.012 ± 0.026	0.009 ± 0.016	0.037 ± 0.056 ^{†‡}	0.008
(25–75th percentile) (IQR)	(0.001–0.012) (0.011)	(0.001–0.008) (0.007)	(0.001–0.010) (0.009)	(0.003–0.050) (0.047)	

Post hoc analysis.

[†]Denotes statistical significance in comparison to patients with mild CT findings.

[‡]Denotes statistical significance in comparison to patients with moderate CT finding.

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRP: C-reactive protein; CT: Computed tomography; Hs: High sensitivity; IQR: Interquartile range; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; WBC: White blood cell.

not any significant differences in the frequencies of hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, heart failure and renal failure among patients according to the severity of chest CT findings. Patients with severe CT findings had significantly lower albumin and higher total lung severity scores, ferritin, peak values of D-dimer and basal and peak values of LDH, CRP and hs-cTnI levels.

Disease progression was noted in 27 patients (19.3%) and 14 (10%) patients were taken into the ICU. The general characteristics and laboratory parameters of these patients are listed in Tables 2 & 3, respectively. The patients with progressive disease had significantly higher frequencies of diabetes and PCR positivity, higher total lung severity scores, ferritin, basal and peak values of hs-cTnI, LDH and CRP, peak procalcitonin and D-dimer levels, and lower albumin levels and lymphocyte counts compared with those without disease progression. The patients who needed ICU were elderly and had higher frequencies of diabetes, higher basal and peak values of hs-cTnI, LDH, CRP, procalcitonin and D-dimer levels, and also higher ferritin and lower albumin values.

Two patients (1.4%) died in the ICU. They were both male and had higher basal and peak values of LDH, CRP, procalcitonin and D-dimer levels and also higher ferritin values. Their baseline hs-cTnI values were normal but peak troponin levels were higher. One of them had comorbidities like hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease, heart failure and renal failure with negative PCR test and bilateral moderate CT findings while the other patient had severe CT findings and positive PCR test with no comorbidities.

Logistic regression analysis did not reveal troponin levels as an independent predictor for severe CT findings, progressive disease or need for ICU when adjusted by age, sex, CRP, LDH, ferritin and D-dimer.

Discussion

In our study, we found that patients with severe CT findings, disease progression and need for ICU had significantly higher troponin levels although the significance of hs-cTnI was lost when adjusted by age, sex and other inflammatory markers.

Troponin levels have been reported to be elevated in 8–28% of COVID-19 patients suggesting the presence of myocardial injury [20]. The release of modest levels of troponin caused by either viral or immune-mediated cardiac injury at the initial phase of the infection indicates a worse outcome [20]. Guo *et al.* have reported that myocardial injury characterized by moderate troponin elevation was mostly seen in patients with underlying CVD or other comorbidities and high levels of troponin together with natriuretic peptides on admission or at follow-up conferred up to five-times the risk of arrhythmias, mortality and ventilation need [11].

This situation may be similar to troponin elevation observed in acute respiratory distress syndrome or sepsis. Circulating troponin is detectable in over 90% of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome and is associated with degree of critical illness [21]. While major signs of infection including tachycardia and fever increase the oxygen demand, hypotension due to cytokine storm or sepsis and pneumonia induced hypoxemia can result in insufficient supply impairing the balance of myocardial oxygen need and supply [22]. This imbalance may lead to ischemic signs and/or symptoms with a typical rise and fall pattern of troponin without classical plaque rupture and

Table 2. The characteristics and laboratory pa	rameters of the patients a	ccording to disease progress.	
Parameters	Patients with progressive disease (n = 27)	Patients without progressive disease (n = 113)	p-value
lean age (years) (range)	$\textbf{60} \pm \textbf{16} \text{ (3087)}$	$54 \pm$ 16 (23–89)	0.126
1ale sex (n; %)	17 (63.0)	65 (57.5)	0.606
ypertension (n; %)	7 (25.9)	42 (37.2)	0.271
iabetes (n; %)	11 (40.7)	25 (22.1)	0.047
pronary artery disease (n; %)	3 (11.1)	7 (6.2)	0.406
eart failure (n; %)	4 (14.8)	8 (7.1)	0.246
enal failure (n; %)	3 (11.1)	7 (6.2)	0.406
roke (n; %)	1 (3.7)	1 (0.9)	0.350
sthma (n; %)	3 (11.1)	13 (11.5)	1.00
DPD (n; %)	1 (3.7)	7 (6.2)	1.00
CR + (n; %)	20 (74.1)	54 (47.8)	0.014
ıng involvement – Unilateral (n; %) – Bilateral (n; %)	2 (7.4) 25 (92.6)	15 (13.3) 98 (86.7)	0.526
otal lung severity score (range)	11.6 ± 6.6 (1–20)	7.1 ± 5.1 (1–20)	<0.001
ength of hospital stay (days)	14 ± 7	7 ± 4	<0.001
ange) (IQR)	(4–34) (9)	(3–19) (4)	
reatment regime (n; %) – Hydroxychloroquine – Oseltamivir – Favipiravir – Azithromycin – Clarithromycin – Tocilizumab	27 (100) 15 (55.6) 16 (59.3) 22 (81.5) 2 (7.4) 4 (14.8)	112 (99.1) 66 (58.4) 13 (11.5) 86 (76.1) 4 (3.5) 0	1.00 0.787 <0.001 0.550 0.327 0.001
– Another antibiotic (piperacillin/tazobactam, meropenem)	7 (25.9)	3 (2.7)	<0.001
noxaparin	26 (96.3)	78 (69.0)	0.003
/BC (/μl) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	7894 ± 5540 (4725–8985) (4260)	7003 ± 3219 (4900–8400) (3500)	0.907
/mphocyte (/µl) 15—75th percentile) (IQR)	1391 ± 1060 (610–1720) (1110)	1670 ± 832 (1000–2100) (1100)	0.024
emoglobin (g/dl) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	13.1 ± 1.5 (12.2–14.3) (2)	13.0 ± 1.9 (11.8–14.6) (3)	0.985
atelet (10³/µl) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	207 ± 73 (166–250) (84)	223 ± 78 (163–256) (93)	0.342
reatinine (mg/dl) 5—75th percentile) (IQR)	1.07 ± 0.39 (0.74–1.44) (0.70)	0.99 ± 0.71 (0.76–1.04) (0.28)	0.147
lbumin (g/dl) 5—75th percentile) (IQR)	3.6 ± 0.7 (3.1-4.1) (1.0)	4.0 ± 0.4 (3.7–4.3) (0.6)	0.005
rritin (µg/l) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	901 ± 1083 (134–1199) (1065)	301 ± 664 (41–333) (292)	<0.001
-dimer basal (µg/l) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	1294 ± 1417 (514–1275) (761)	1136 ± 1716 (420–1060) (640)	0.100
-dimer peak (µg/l) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	2555 ± 2166 (1175–3605) (2430)	1511 ± 2046 (510–1335) (825)	0.001
DH basal (U/I) 5—75th percentile) (IQR)	387 ± 156 (306–489) (183)	296 ± 93 (227–343) (116)	0.001
DH peak (U/I) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	626 ± 331 (427–718) (291)	346 ± 102 (281–420) (139)	<0.001
RP basal (mg/l) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	6.25 ± 7.40 (1.30–8.60) (7.30)	3.40 ± 4.23 (0.60–5.65) (5.05)	0.013
RP peak (mg/l) 5—75th percentile) (lQR)	14.26 ± 9.10 (8.90–19.30) (10.40)	5.81 ± 6.04 (1.10–8.83) (7.73)	<0.001
ocalcitonin basal (ng/ml) (range)	0.45 ± 1.59 (0.05–8.28)	$0.24 \pm 1.36 \text{ (0.05-13.60)}$	0.053
ocalcitonin peak (ng/ml) (range)	$0.95 \pm 2.32 \text{ (0.05-10.80)}$	0.44 ± 1.94 (0.05–13.60)	0.001
s-troponin I basal (ng/ml) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	0.020 ± 0.043 (0.003-0.011) (0.008)	0.010 ± 0.020 (0.001–0.009) (0.008)	0.033
s-troponin I peak (ng/ml) 5–75th percentile) (IQR)	0.034 ± 0.055 (0.004–0.036) (0.032)	0.011 ± 0.022 (0.001–0.009) (0.008)	0.002

Parameters	Patients transported to ICU (n = 14)	Patients without need for ICU (n = 126)	p-value
Aean age (years) (range)	63 ± 14 (41–87)	54 ± 16 (23–89)	0.048
lale sex (n; %)	10 (71.4)	72 (57.1)	0.397
ypertension (n; %)	5 (35.7)	44 (34.9)	0.953
iabetes (n; %)	8 (57.1)	28 (22.2)	0.005
oronary artery disease (n; %)	1 (7.1)	9 (7.1)	1.00
leart failure (n; %)	2 (14.3)	10 (7.9)	0.343
enal failure (n; %)	1 (7.1)	9 (7.1)	1.00
troke (n; %)	0	2 (1.6)	1.00
sthma (n; %)	2 (14.3)	14 (11.1)	0.663
OPD (n; %)	1 (7.1)	7 (5.6)	0.579
PCR + (n; %)	10 (71.4)	64 (50.8)	0.168
ung involvement – Unilateral (n; %) – Bilateral (n; %)	2 (14.3) 12 (85.7)	15 (11.9) 111 (88.1)	0.679
otal lung severity score (range)	13.6 ± 6.7 (1–20)	7.3 ± 5.2 (1–20)	<0.001
ength of hospital stay (days)	18 ± 7	8 ± 4	<0.001
range) (IQR)	(9–34) (11)	(3–19) (5)	
Treatment regime (n; %) – Hydroxychloroquine – Oseltamivir – Favipiravir – Azithromycin – Clarithromycin – Tocilizumab – Another antibiotic (piperacillin/tazobactam, meropenem) Enoxaparin	14 (100) 8 (57.1) 10 (71.4) 12 (85.7) 1 (7.1) 4 (28.6) 7 (50.0) 14 (100)	125 (99.2) 73 (57.9) 19 (15.1) 96 (76.2) 5 (4.0) 0 3 (2.4) 90 (71.4)	1.00 0.955 <0.001 0.523 0.475 <0.001 <0.001 0.021
NBC (/μl)	7550 ± 5264	7134 ± 3593	0.900
25–75th percentile) (IQR)	(5025–9275) (4250)	(4875–8405) (3530)	0.500
ymphocyte (/µl) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	1351 ± 1073 (650–1510) (860)	1646 ± 860 (1015–2135) (1120)	0.067
-lemoglobin (g/dl) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	13.1 ± 1.8 (11.7–14.7) (3)	13.0 ± 1.8 (11.8–14.6) (3)	0.945
Platelet (10 ³ /µl) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	192 ± 72 (147–222) (75)	223 ± 77 (171–258) (87)	0.147
:reatinine (mg/dl) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	1.13 ± 0.40 (0.75–1.44) (0.69)	0.99 ± 0.69 (0.75–1.04) (0.29)	0.118
lbumin (g/dl) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	3.4 ± 0.7 (2.8–3.9) (1.1)	4.0 ± 0.5 (3.7–4.3) (0.6)	0.002
erritin (µg/l) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	1380 ± 1242 (393–2100) (1707)	300 ± 632 (48–344) (296)	<0.001
0-dimer basal (μg/l) 25—75th percentile) (IQR)	1583 ± 1756 (725–1842) (1117)	1120 ± 1646 (410–1103) (693)	0.043
0-dimer peak (μg/l) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	3279 ± 1833 (1814–4333) (2519)	1539 ± 2065 (467–1302) (835)	<0.001
DH basal (U/l) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	373 ± 103 (308–424) (116)	307 ± 1133 (226–359) (133)	0.009
DH peak (U/l) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	769 ± 379 (499–913) (414)	359 ± 118 (274–434) (160)	<0.001
RP basal (mg/l) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	8.57 ± 9.28 (2.37–12.80) (10.43)	3.43 ± 4.15 (0.40–5.40) (5.00)	0.010
RP peak (mg/l) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	19.41 ± 9.00 (11.50–26.95) (15.45)	6.11 ± 6.01 (0.95–9.60) (8.65)	<0.001
rocalcitonin basal (ng/ml) (range)	$0.22 \pm 0.35 \text{ (0.05-1.29)}$	$0.28 \pm 1.47 \text{ (0.05-13.60)}$	0.003
rocalcitonin peak (ng/ml) (range)	1.01 ± 1.59 (0.05–5.33)	$0.48 \pm 2.06 \text{ (0.05-13.60)}$	<0.001
Is-troponin I basal (ng/ml) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	0.027 ± 0.052 (0.004–0.027) (0.023)	0.010 ± 0.021 (0.001–0.008) (0.007)	0.004
is-troponin I peak (ng/ml) 25–75th percentile) (IQR)	0.054 ± 0.066 (0.009–0.121) (0.112)	0.011 ± 0.023 (0.001–0.008) (0.007)	<0.001

coronary thrombosis and is named as type-II myocardial infarction [23]. Patients with type-II myocardial infarction or injury usually have multiple comorbidities and poor prognosis. One of the most common precipitating factors for type-II myocardial infarction or injury is sepsis, which is originated predominantly from the lower respiratory tract. Anemia, electrolyte imbalance, arrhythmia and hypotension are also among the common factors associated with type-II myocardial infarction or injury [24]. In our study, troponin was elevated in 25 patients. The typical rise and fall pattern of troponin was observed in five patients while most of the patients (18 patients) had steady-state low positive troponin values. Two patients had continuously increasing troponin levels.

Whether the exact mechanism of myocardial injury is due to primary infection or is secondary to respiratory system involvement is still not known. It is also not clear whether it reflects a systemic or local ischemic/inflammatory process [25]. In addition, troponin elevation may result from epicardial coronary artery lesions, myocarditis or pulmonary embolism. However, continuous increase in biomarkers can be a sign of uncontrolled and amplified inflammatory response [20].

According to the data of The Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention for COVID-19 in mainland China, the overall case fatality rate was 2.3% (1023 deaths among 44,672 confirmed cases), and the mortality reached 10.5% in patients with an underlying CVD [1]. Mortality rate of our study was 1.4%, which was lower compared with the global data. Higher mortality rates are expected in patients with comorbidities [26]. However, in our study, one of the patients who died had CVD while the other patient had no comorbidity.

Viral load, host immune system, age and comorbidities are the major factors that lead to clinical differences [20]. One of the important results of our study was the higher frequency of diabetes among patients with progressive disease and ICU need. This result was consistent with the previous study of first 138 hospitalized patients in Wuhan [27]. Although this study pointed out cerebrovascular diseases and CVDs, especially hypertension, as important comorbidities in clinical deterioration, we did not confirm this in our study.

The most prominent laboratory changes in our study were elevations in CRP (91%), D-dimer (85%) and LDH levels (99%). According to the data obtained from the first 138 patients at the beginning of the pandemic, the most common biochemical abnormalities were lymphopenia, prolonged prothrombin time and elevated LDH [27]. Zhu *et al.* has reported biochemical findings of COVID-19 patients as elevated CRP (73.6%), elevated D-dimer levels (37.2%), lymphopenia (56.5%), elevated procalcitonin (17.5%) and leukocytosis (12.6%) [28]. Unlike the previous studies, lymphopenia was a less common finding of our study (26%). While procalcitonin levels are generally normal because of the absence of a bacterial infection, high CRP level is a common finding [28]. Liu *et al.* reported that CRP levels but not procalcitonin levels had a significant correlation with disease severity [29]. The course of the laboratory parameters during hospitalization is also related with outcome [10]. Lymphopenia is highly prognostic and recovery of lymphocyte count is related with clinical improvement [20]. D-dimer is one of the most common laboratory abnormalities of the disease and increasing levels of D-dimer are related with in-hospital mortality [30]. In our study, patients with disease progression and need of ICU had a continuous increase in hs-cTnI, CRP, procalcitonin and D-dimer levels.

Study limitations

The major limitations of our study were the relatively small sample size and its being a single-center study. A larger cohort study is needed to verify our results. In our study, the diagnosis of COVID-19 was based on chest CT findings. These CT findings are not specific to COVID-19 disease and may be seen in other viral pneumonia cases. Thus there might be the possibility of overdiagnosis of COVID-19 in our cohort. Cardiovascular involvements of patients with elevated troponin levels could not be verified by transthoracic echocardiography or cardiac MRI due to the isolation condition in our hospital. N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide levels of the patients were not assessed in our study.

Conclusion

COVID-19 patients with severe CT manifestations, disease progression and need for ICU have significantly higher troponin levels in addition to elevations in ferritin, D-dimer LDH and CRP suggesting the use of troponin levels in the risk stratification of COVID-19 patients. There is need for further studies with larger sample sizes to understand the associations between high troponin and cardiovascular complications of COVID-19.

Summary points

- Troponin levels may be elevated in patients with COVID-19.
- Patients with severe computed tomography findings had significantly higher troponin levels in addition to higher total lung severity scores, ferritin, D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, and C-reactive protein and lower albumin levels.
- Patients with disease progression and need for intensive care unit had also significantly higher troponin levels in addition to higher total lung severity scores, ferritin, D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase, and C-reactive protein and lower albumin levels.
- The significance of troponin on predicting disease severity or progression is lost when adjusted by age, sex and other biochemical markers.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

References

- 1. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72–314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* 323(13), 1239–1242 (2020).
- 2. Ge H, Wang X, Yuan X et al. The epidemiology and clinical information about COVID-19. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 39(6), 1011–1019 (2020).
- Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Cardona-Ospina JA, Gutiérrez-Ocampo E *et al.* Latin American Network of Coronavirus Disease 2019-COVID-19 Research (LANCOVID-19). Clinical, laboratory and imaging features of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Travel. Med. Infect. Dis.* 34, 101623 (2020).
- Inciardi RM, Adamo M, Lupi L et al. Characteristics and outcomes of patients hospitalized for COVID-19 and cardiac disease in Northern Italy. Eur. Heart J. 41(19), 1821–1829 (2020).
- 5. Clerkin KJ, Fried JA, Raikhelkar J et al. COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease. Circulation 141(20), 1648–1655 (2020).
- 6. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X *et al.* Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 395(10223), 497–506 (2020).
- Driggin E, Madhavan MV, Bikdeli B *et al.* Cardiovascular considerations for patients, health care workers, and health systems during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 75(18), 2352–2371 (2020).
- Inciardi RM, Lupi L, Zaccone G et al. Cardiac involvement in a patient with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). JAMA Cardiol. 5(7), 819–824 (2020).
- 9. Fried JA, Ramasubbu K, Bhatt R *et al.* The variety of cardiovascular presentations of COVID-19. *Circulation* 141(23), 1930–1936 (2020).
- 10. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet 395(10229), 1054–1062 (2020).
- 11. Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M *et al.* Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol.* 5(7), 811–818 (2020).
- 12. Yang Y, Yang M, Yuan J *et al.* Comparative sensitivity of different respiratory specimen types for molecular diagnosis and monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 shedding. *Innovation (N Y)* 1(3), 100061 (2020).
- 13. Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H *et al.* Correlation of chest CT and RT-PCR testing for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: a report of 1014 cases. *Radiology* 296(2), E32–E40 (2020).
- 14. Ministry of Health. National COVID-19 diagnosis and treatment algorithm. https://covid19.saglik.gov.tr/Eklenti/37690/0/covid19-plkacilhastayonetimipdf.pdf?_tag1=E514708F4392A533E2E8FCF139838B92CC444CD4
- 15. Chung M, Bernheim A, Mei X et al. CT imaging features of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). Radiology 295(1), 202-207 (2020).
- Jiang Y, Guo D, Li C, Chen T, Li R. High-resolution CT features of the COVID-19 infection in Nanchong City: initial and follow-up changes among different clinical types. *Radiol. Infect. Dis.* 7(2), 71–77 (2020).
- 17. Ye Z, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Huang Z, Song B. Chest CT manifestations of new coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a pictorial review. *Eur. Radiol.* 30(8), 4381–4389 (2020).

- Kim ES, Chin BS, Kang CK *et al.* Korea National Committee for Clinical Management of COVID-19. Clinical course and outcomes of patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection: a preliminary report of the first 28 patients from the Korean Cohort Study on COVID-19. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* 35(13), e142 (2020).
- 19. Liao X, Wang B, Kang Y. Novel coronavirus infection during the 2019–2020 epidemic: preparing intensive care units-the experience in Sichuan Province, China. *Intensive Care Med.* 46(2), 357–360 (2020).
- 20. Liu PP, Blet A, Smyth D, Li H. The science underlying COVID-19: implications for the cardiovascular system. *Circulation* 142(1), 68–78 (2020).
- Metkus TS, Guallar E, Sokoll L et al. Prevalence and prognostic association of circulating troponin in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit. Care Med. 45(10), 1709–1717 (2017).
- 22. Libby P. The heart in COVID-19: primary target or secondary bystander? JACC Basic Transl. Sci. 5(5), 537-542 (2020).
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). Eur. Heart J. 40(3), 237–269 (2019).
- 24. Pillai B, Trikkur S, Farooque U et al. Type II myocardial infarction: predisposing factors, precipitating elements, and outcomes. Cureus 12(7), e9254 (2020).
- 25. Guzik TJ, Mohiddin SA, Dimarco A *et al.* COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system: implications for risk assessment, diagnosis, and treatment options. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 116(10), 1666–1687 (2020).
- 26. Ruan Q, Yang K, Wang W, Jiang L, Song J. Clinical predictors of mortality due to COVID-19 based on an analysis of data of 150 patients from Wuhan, China. *Intensive Care Med.* 46(5), 846–848 (2020).
- 27. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C *et al.* Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA* 323(11), 1061–1069 (2020).
- 28. Zhu J, Ji P, Pang J *et al.* Clinical characteristics of 3062 COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. *J. Med. Virol.* doi:10.1002/jmv.25884 (2020) (Epub ahead of print).
- 29. Liu F, Li L, Xu M et al. Prognostic value of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and procalcitonin in patients with COVID-19. J. Clin. Virol. 127, 104370 (2020).
- 30. Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q *et al.* D-dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 18(6), 1324–1329 (2020).