# The Serological Sciences Network (SeroNet) for COVID-19: Depth and Breadth of Serology Assays and Plans for Assay Harmonization

Amy B. Karger<sup>1\*</sup>, James D. Brien<sup>2</sup>, Jayne M. Christen<sup>3</sup>, Santosh Dhakal<sup>4</sup>, Troy J. Kemp<sup>3</sup>, Sabra L. Klein<sup>4</sup>, Ligia A. Pinto<sup>3</sup>, Lakshmanane Premkumar<sup>5</sup>, John D. Roback<sup>6</sup>, Raquel A. Binder<sup>7</sup>, Karl W. Boehme<sup>8</sup>, Suresh Boppana<sup>9,10</sup>, Carlos Cordon-Cardo<sup>11</sup>, James M. Crawford<sup>12</sup>, John L. Daiss<sup>13</sup>, Alan P. Dupuis II<sup>14</sup>, Ana M. Espino<sup>15</sup>, Adolfo Firpo-Betancourt<sup>11</sup>, Catherine Forconi<sup>7</sup>, J. Craig Forrest<sup>8</sup>, Roxie C. Girardin<sup>14</sup>, Douglas A. Granger<sup>16</sup>, Steve W. Granger<sup>16</sup>, Natalie S. Haddad<sup>17</sup>, Christopher D. Heaney<sup>18</sup>, Danielle T. Hunt<sup>14</sup>, Joshua L. Kennedy<sup>19,20</sup>, Christopher L. King<sup>21</sup>, Florian Krammer<sup>22</sup>, Kate Kruczynski<sup>18</sup>, Joshua LaBaer<sup>23</sup>, F. Eun-Hyung Lee<sup>17</sup>, William T. Lee<sup>14,24</sup>, Shan-Lu Liu<sup>25</sup>, Gerard Lozanski<sup>26</sup>, Todd Lucas<sup>27</sup>, Damodara Rao Mendu<sup>11</sup>, Ann M. Moormann<sup>7</sup>, Vel Murugan<sup>23</sup>, Nkemakonam C. Okoye<sup>12</sup>, Petraleigh Pantoja<sup>28,#a</sup>, Anne F. Payne<sup>14</sup>, Jin Park<sup>23</sup>, Swetha Pinninti<sup>9</sup>, Amelia K. Pinto<sup>2</sup>, Nora Pisanic<sup>18</sup>, Ji Qiu<sup>23</sup>, Carlos A. Sariol<sup>28,29</sup>, Viviana Simon<sup>22</sup>, Lusheng Song<sup>23</sup>, Tara L. Steffen<sup>2</sup>, E. Taylor Stone<sup>2</sup>, Linda M. Styer<sup>14,24</sup>, Mehul S. Suthar<sup>30</sup>, Stefani N. Thomas<sup>1</sup>, Bharat Thyagarajan<sup>1</sup>, Ania Wajnberg<sup>31</sup>, Jennifer L. Yates<sup>14,24</sup>, Kimia Sobhani<sup>32</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota; <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular Microbiology & Immunology, Saint Louis University, Saint Louis, Missouri; <sup>3</sup>Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, Maryland; <sup>4</sup>W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland; <sup>5</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC; <sup>6</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>7</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts; <sup>8</sup>Department of Microbiology & Immunology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas; <sup>9</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; <sup>10</sup>Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; <sup>11</sup>Department of Pathology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; <sup>12</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Hempstead, New York; <sup>13</sup>MicroB-plex, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>14</sup>Wadsworth Center, New York State Department of Health, Albany, New York; <sup>15</sup>Department of Microbiology and Medical Zoology, University of Puerto Rico-Medical Sciences Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico; <sup>16</sup>Salimetrics, LLC, Carlsbad, California; <sup>17</sup>Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>18</sup>Department of Environmental Health and Engineering, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland; <sup>19</sup>Departments of Pediatrics and Internal Medicine, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas; <sup>20</sup>Arkansas Children's Research Institute, Little Rock, Arkansas; <sup>21</sup>Department of Pathology, Case Western Reserve School of Medicine, Cleveland, Ohio; <sup>22</sup>Department of Microbiology. Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. New York. New York: <sup>23</sup>Virginia G Piper Center for Personalized Diagnostics, Arizona State University Biodesign Institute, Tempe, Arizona; <sup>24</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, School of Public Health, University at Albany, Albany, New York; <sup>25</sup>Center for Retrovirus Research, Department of Veterinary Biosciences, Department of Microbial Infection and Immunity, Viruses and Emerging Pathogens Program, Infectious Disease Institute, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio; <sup>26</sup>Department of Pathology, The Ohio State University Medical Center, Columbus, Ohio;

<sup>27</sup>Division of Public Health and Department of Epidemiology, College of Human Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan; <sup>28</sup>Unit of Comparative Medicine, University of Puerto Rico-Medical Sciences Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico; <sup>29</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Puerto Rico-Medical Sciences Campus, San Juan, Puerto Rico; <sup>30</sup>Center for Childhood Infections and Vaccines of Children's Healthcare Atlanta, Department of Pediatrics, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory Vaccine Center, Yerkes National Primate Research Center, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>31</sup>Department of Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; <sup>32</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California.

<sup>#a</sup>Current affiliation: Seqirus, CSL, Cambridge, Massachusetts

\*Corresponding author: Amy Karger, MD, PhD, 420 Delaware St SE, MMC 609, Minneapolis, MN 55455; tel: 612-624-2150; fax: 612-625-1121; email: <u>karge026@umn.edu</u>

#### Abstract

**Background:** In October 2020, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) Serological Sciences Network (SeroNet) was established to study the immune response to COVID-19, and "to develop, validate, improve, and implement serological testing and associated technologies." SeroNet is comprised of 25 participating research institutions partnering with the Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research (FNLCR) and the SeroNet Coordinating Center. Since its inception, SeroNet has supported collaborative development and sharing of COVID-19 serological assay procedures and has set forth plans for assay harmonization.

**Methods:** To facilitate collaboration and procedure sharing, a detailed survey was sent to collate comprehensive assay details and performance metrics on COVID-19 serological assays within SeroNet. In addition, FNLCR established a protocol to calibrate SeroNet serological assays to reference standards, such as the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard reference material and First WHO International Standard (IS) for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin (20/136), to facilitate harmonization of assay reporting units and cross-comparison of study data. **Results:** SeroNet institutions reported development of a total of 27 ELISA methods, 13 multiplex assays, 9 neutralization assays, and use of 12 different commercial serological methods. FNLCR developed a standardized protocol for SeroNet institutions to calibrate these

diverse serological assays to reference standards.

**Conclusions:** SeroNet institutions have established a diverse array of COVID-19 serological assays to study the immune response to SARS-CoV-2 virus and vaccines. Calibration of SeroNet serological assays to harmonize results reporting will facilitate future pooled data analyses and study cross-comparisons.

### Introduction

The National Cancer Institute (NCI) Serological Sciences Network for COVID-19, or SeroNet, was launched on October 8, 2020, as a collaborative initiative to expand research on immune responses to SARS-CoV-2. SeroNet is comprised of investigators from 25 US biomedical research institutions, working in partnership with the Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research (FNLCR) and the SeroNet Coordinating Center, which is managed by the FNLCR.<sup>1</sup> Of the 25 participating research institutions, 8 are designated as Serological Sciences Centers of Excellence (funded by U54 grants), 13 are funded with U01 grants to carry out specific research projects related to COVID-19 immunity, and 4 institutions are funded by subcontracts and are designated as Serological Sciences Network Capacity Building Centers.<sup>1</sup>

One of the primary goals of this partnership is "to develop, validate, improve, and implement serological testing and associated technologies."<sup>1</sup> To this end, SeroNet formed a working group, the Serology Assays, Samples, and Materials Operations Group (abbreviated as "Serology Assay Ops"), in December 2020 to allow for coordinated development and collaborative sharing of serology assay procedures, and to establish processes for harmonizing and standardizing methodologies using reference materials across institutions. Establishing harmonized and standardized SARS-CoV-2 serological assays can allow cross-comparison and pooling of research study results and facilitate clinical interpretation of results for patient care.

While there are 85 serological assays approved by the FDA for emergency use,<sup>2</sup> the quick development of assays has led to the lack of harmonized cut-offs and reporting units. Furthermore, there are no consensus guidelines on reporting standards or clarity on the clinical interpretation and relevance of results. This has created a complex landscape for interpreting both research and clinical serological assay results. For example, several studies have reported

on heterogeneity in serological assay performance that would have a significant impact on research study conclusions and clinical interpretations related to longitudinal serosurveillance.<sup>3-6</sup> Specifically, certain assays demonstrate reduced sensitivity over time after an initial SARS-CoV-2 infection diagnosis. Muecksch et al. reported that the Abbott SARS-CoV-2 anti-Nucleocapsid IgG assay dropped from a peak sensitivity of 98% at 21 – 40 days post-PCR diagnosis, to around 70% when patients were tested  $\geq$  81 days post-diagnosis, whereas the Roche Elecsys SARS-CoV-2 anti-Nucleocapsid total antibody assay and Siemens SARS-CoV-2 anti-receptor-binding domain (RBD) total antibody assay both maintained high sensitivity (95 - 100%) on the same set of serial samples. Narowski et al. also found a significant decline in the longitudinal sensitivity of their lab-developed nucleocapsid assay in a study of healthcare workers.<sup>6</sup> Perez-Saez et al. similarly demonstrated that the rates of sero-reversion at least 8 months after the initial infection differed greatly depending on the serological assay used.<sup>4</sup> While the sero-reversion rate of the EuroImmun semiquantitative anti-S1 IgG ELISA was 26%, the rate was significantly lower for the Roche anti-Nucleocapsid total antibody assay (1.2%) and the Roche semiquantitative anti-RBD total antibody assay (0%).<sup>4</sup> Additionally, numerous studies rely on neutralization assays as gold standard methods for determining the functional relevance of ligand-binding methods, but comparison studies have demonstrated variability in results for live-virus neutralization, pseudovirus neutralization, and surrogate neutralization assays (e.g., ACE2 inhibition assays).<sup>7-9</sup> raising the importance of assay harmonization and standardization across laborartories.

Therefore, SeroNet aims to address these knowledge gaps in SARS-CoV-2 serological assay research by establishing collaborative initiatives to characterize, compare, and harmonize SARS-CoV-2 serological assays. This manuscript describes the depth and breadth of serological assays developed and implemented within the SeroNet consortium, and outlines a proposed

process to establish assay traceability to the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard reference material and to the WHO International Standard (WHO IS 20/136) for these diverse assays, with the ultimate goal of establishing harmonized reporting standards. This will facilitate cross-comparison of results and provide clarity for their clinical interpretation, including in response to circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants.

#### Methods

#### Compilation of data on SeroNet serological assays

SeroNet institutions were queried by email between January and July 2021 and asked to complete a comprehensive serological assay survey to describe serological assays developed or implemented at their institution. The survey requested information on assay and sample type(s), instrument platform and reagents, data output, antibody isotype(s) detected, targeted antigens and virus strain(s), assay performance, cut-offs, use of standards and quality controls, method comparison studies, regulatory status, current use/applications for assays, and publications using each assay.

Protocol for establishing traceability of serology assays to the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard and First WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin FNLCR developed a recommended protocol for SeroNet institutions to establish serology assay traceability to the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 Serology Standard. In short, for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay platforms (ELISA), the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 standard is measured on the same 96-well plate as the daily assay standard, run as serial dilutions in triplicate and quadruplicate respectively (**Figure 1**). Standard curves are constructed for both the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 Serology standard and daily assay standard. A test of parallelism and linearity between the two dose-response curves is then performed to ensure that immunoaffinity differences or matrix effects do not prevent accurate calibration with the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 Serology Standard. Units based on the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard can then be assigned to the assay daily standard, to harmonize assays and units for results reporting. For non-plate-based assay platforms, similar dilution-based standard curves are constructed.

Traceability of the FNLCR standard to the First WHO International Standard (IS) for anti-

SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin (20/136) was established, to allow SeroNet assays to convert U.S. Serology Standard units to WHO IS units. The WHO IS 20/136 is a freeze-dried equivalent of 0.25 mL of pooled plasma from 11 individuals with a history of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Once reconstituted the WHO standard has an arbitrary unitage of 1000 binding antibody units (BAU)/mL. Eight serial dilutions of the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard and WHO IS 20/136 were run in triplicate. Parallel line analysis, which included tests for parallelism and linearity, was utilized to assign WHO IS 20/136 standard units to the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard; this will allow SeroNet institutions to convert U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard units to WHO standard units for serological methods.

#### Results

#### SeroNet Serology Assay data

Of the 25 institutions involved with SeroNet, 23 institutions reported performing between one to seven serology assays, and provided descriptive and performance data. Serology assay data were also obtained from the Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research (FNLCR) and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), both of which collaborate with SeroNet. Collectively, Seronet institutions reported development of 27 in-house ELISA methods (**Table 1**).<sup>6,10-26</sup> The majority of ELISA methods were developed for testing of serum and/or plasma, with additional methods available for testing dried blood spots (DBS), saliva/oral fluid, and

breast milk. Two methods have been granted FDA EUA approval, 3 methods are pending FDA EUA, 4 methods are validated for high-complexity testing in a CLIA-certified laboratory, and 18 methods are for research-use only (RUO). Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for in-house ELISA methods ranged from 67.4 - 100 % and 90 - 100%, respectively.

Eight institutions reported development or use of multiplex or protein arrays for antibody detection (Table 2).<sup>27-37</sup> Sample types include serum, plasma, DBS, saliva, and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for multiplex and protein array methods range from 85 - 98.8 % and 95.2 - 100 %, respectively. Neutralization assays were developed by 9 institutions, with sample types including serum, plasma, BAL fluid, nasal wash, DBS, and breast milk (Table 3).<sup>15,24,29,38-50</sup> Assays fall into three mechanistic categories – competitive binding assays, pseudotyped neutralization assays, and live virus neutralization assays. The competitive binding assay measures the ability of antibodies to block interactions between the SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain and human ACE2 receptor. Virus pseudotype neutralization assays, mainly HIV- and VSV-based, use full length spike incorporated in the viral particle to measure the capability of neutralizing antibodies to block viral entry into the target cells. SARS-CoV-2 live virus plaque or focus reduction neutralization assays measure the ability of neutralizing antibodies to block the spreading infection of authentic SARS-COV-2 in cell culture. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for neutralization methods developed within SeroNet range from 93 - 100 % and 97 - 100 %, respectively. Lastly, 9 institutions report use of 12 commercial serology methods (**Table 4**). Commercial methods detect IgG, IgM, and/or total Ig to spike, RBD, and/or nucleocapsid antigens in serum or plasma. Of the commercial methods in use, 10 are FDA EUA approved, 1 is pending FDA EUA, and 1 is RUO.

Establishment of SeroNet assay traceability to the U.S. SARS-CoV- 2 Serology Standard and First WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 Immunoglobulin

Units for the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 Serology standard were initially established by FNLCR based on measurements performed by eight laboratories (**Table 5**). Subsequently, FNLCR further established traceability of the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 Serology standard to the WHO IS 20/136 by using four FNLCR ligand binding serology assays, with assessment of neutralization tested at NIAID's Integrated Research Facility (IRF) (**Table 5**). The U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard was made available to the public in December 2020. Thus far, there have been 124 requests for U.S. SARS-CoV-2 standard material, and 19 requests for the reference panel samples.

#### Discussion

SeroNet has collectively established a diverse array of methodologies for measurement of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in a variety of biological fluids. Methods include laboratory-developed ELISAs, multiplex assays, and neutralization assays, most used for research-only purposes, as well as commercial assays available for patient care or research studies. Assays have been developed to test unique sample types, including DBS, saliva/oral fluid, breast milk, nasal washes, and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Binding assays identify IgM, IgG, IgA, and/or total antibodies to nucleocapsid, spike, RBD and/or N-terminal domain (NTD) antigens, and neutralization assays rely on three methods to quantify antibodies with functional neutralizing activity. This diversity of assay methods allows for robust investigation of multiple aspects of the serological response to SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination, and for cross-comparison of assay performance across platforms and institutions within SeroNet.

With the rapid development of numerous methods for serological assessment, as exemplified by the depth and breadth of assays within SeroNet, it is critical to establish assay

harmonization and standardized reporting units to facilitate cross-comparison of results across studies, as well as for streamlined meta-analyses. To this end, FNLCR has provided the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 serology standard reference material, which has traceability to the First WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 Immunoglobulin, to SeroNet sites performing serological assays, to allow establishment of standardized reporting of results in binding antibody units (BAU) per mL traceable to the WHO standard. These efforts may more rapidly facilitate the establishment of a universal cut-off as a correlate of protection, which will be critical to broaden the clinical utility of serological testing for patient care, will allow vaccine trials to transition to an immunogenicity endpoint rather than morbidity or mortality endpoints (immuno-bridging), and will guide decisions regarding optimal scheduling of future vaccine doses to optimize protective efficacy for the general immunocompetent population and susceptible immunocompromised sub-populations.

In summary, SeroNet is well-positioned to rapidly and collaboratively advance our understanding of the immune response to both SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination, with ongoing evaluation of serological responses to SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. The collective effort of institutions involved with SeroNet, to both establish diverse and complementary serological assays, and establish traceability of these diverse assays to the WHO standard, will allow for comprehensive investigation of immune responses and facilitate pooled analyses within the SeroNet consortium. This will enable achievement of the ultimate goal – establishment of a universal correlate of protection cut-off, which will provide a foundation for broader clinical use of serologic testing, as a guide for future decisions on scheduling of COVID-19 vaccine boosters, as well as for general assessment of COVID-19 vaccine immune responses against vaccine viruses and newly evolving variants of concern.

#### Acknowledgments

Funding sources. Funded by NCI Contract No. 75N91019D00024, Task Order No.

75N91021F00001, award numbers 21X089 (J.L., V.M., J.P., J.Q., L.S.), 21X090 (J.M.C.,

N.C.O.), 21X091 (A.B.K., S.N.T., B.T.), and 21X092 (C.C-C., A.F-B., F.K., D.R.M., V.S.,

A.W.); and NCI Grants U54CA260591 (K.S.), U01CA260469 (T.L., D.A.G., S.W.G., C.D.H.,

K.K., N.P.), U54CA260543 (L.P.), U54CA260582 (S-L.L., G.L.), U54CA260492 (S.L.K., S.D.),

U54CA260563 (F.E-H.L., M.S.S., N.S.H., J.L.D., J.D.R.), U01CA260541 (J.D.B., A.K.P.,

T.L.S., E.T.S., C.A.S., P.P., A.M.E.), U01CA260526 (K.W.B., J.C.F., J.L.K.), U01CA261276

(R.A.B., C.F., A.M.M.), U01CA260539 (C.L.K.), U01CA260508 (L.M.S., A.P.D., R.C.G.,

D.T.H., W.T.L., J.L.Y., A.F.P.), U01CA260462 (S.B., S.P.).

Potential conflicts of interest. A.B.K. is a consultant for Roche Diagnostics and has received research support from Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics and Kyowa Kirin Pharmaceutical Development. J.D.B., A.K.P., E.T.S., and T.L.S. have received research support from Altimmune. J.D.R. and M.S.S. are co-inventors on a patent filed by Emory University covering the serology assay described in this manuscript. M.S.S. serves on the advisory board for Moderna and Ocugen. F.E.L. is the founder of MicroB-plex, Inc. J.L.D. is the CSO of MicroBplex, Inc. N.S.H. has been a senior scientist at MicroB-plex, Inc. F.E.L. has research grants from the Gates Foundation and Genentech, is on the SAB of Be Biopharma, Inc., and received royalties from BLI, Inc., as an inventor for the plasma cell survival media. S.B. has research support from Merck and Pfizer, and is a member of the CMV Vaccine Advisory Committees of Merck and Moderna. S.P. has research support from Moderna. D.A.G. is the Chief Scientific and Strategy Advisor of Salimetrics, LLC. S.W.G. is the Chief Scientific Officer of Salimetrics, LLC. Mount Sinai has licensed serological assays to commercial entities and has filed for patent

protection for serological assays. The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai has filed patent

applications relating to the COVID-19 serological assay ("Serology Assay") and NDV-based

SARS-CoV-2 vaccines which list F.K. ("Serology Assay", vaccines), V.S. ("Serology Assay"),

A.F-B. ("Serology Assay"), D.R.M. ("Serology Assay"), and C.C-C. ("Serology Assay") as co-

inventors. The foundational "Serology Assay" intellectual property (IP) was licensed by the

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai to commercial entities including Kantaro Biosciences,

a company in which Mount Sinai has a financial interest. All remaining authors report no

relevant conflicts of interest.

1. NCI Serological Sciences Network for COVID-19 (SeroNet). Accessed December 2, 2021. https://www.cancer.gov/research/key-initiatives/covid-19/coronavirus-research-initiatives/serologicalsciences-network

2. In Vitro Diagnostics EUAs - Serology and Other Adaptive Immune Response Tests for SARS-CoV-2. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Accessed December 2, 2021. <u>https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19-emergency-use-authorizations-medical-devices/in-vitro-diagnostics-euas-serology-and-other-adaptive-immune-response-tests-sars-cov-2</u>

3. Muecksch F, Wise H, Batchelor B, et al. Longitudinal Serological Analysis and Neutralizing Antibody Levels in Coronavirus Disease 2019 Convalescent Patients. *J Infect Dis*. 02 13 2021;223(3):389-398. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa659

4. Perez-Saez J, Zaballa ME, Yerly S, et al. Persistence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies: immunoassay heterogeneity and implications for serosurveillance. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. Nov 2021;27(11):1695.e7-1695.e12. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2021.06.040

5. Muecksch F, Wise H, Templeton K, et al. Longitudinal variation in SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels and emergence of viral variants: implications for the ability of serological assays to predict immunity. *medRxiv*. Jul 07 2021;doi:10.1101/2021.07.02.21259939

6. Narowski TM, Raphel K, Adams LE, et al. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine induces robust specific and cross-reactive IgG and unequal neutralizing antibodies in naive and previously infected people. *Cell Rep*. Jan 20 2022:110336. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110336

7. Sholukh AM, Fiore-Gartland A, Ford ES, et al. Evaluation of Cell-Based and Surrogate SARS-CoV-2 Neutralization Assays. *J Clin Microbiol*. 09 20 2021;59(10):e0052721. doi:10.1128/JCM.00527-21

8. von Rhein C, Scholz T, Henss L, et al. Comparison of potency assays to assess SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody capacity in COVID-19 convalescent plasma. *J Virol Methods*. 02 2021;288:114031. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2020.114031

9. Olbrich L, Castelletti N, Schälte Y, et al. Head-to-head evaluation of seven different seroassays including direct viral neutralisation in a representative cohort for SARS-CoV-2. *J Gen Virol*. 10 2021;102(10)doi:10.1099/jgv.0.001653

10. Röltgen K, Powell AE, Wirz OF, et al. Defining the features and duration of antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection associated with disease severity and outcome. *Sci Immunol*. 12 07 2020;5(54)doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abe0240

11. Alter G, Yu J, Liu J, et al. Immunogenicity of Ad26.COV2.S vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 variants in humans. *Nature*. 08 2021;596(7871):268-272. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03681-2

12. Amanat F, Stadlbauer D, Strohmeier S, et al. A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans. *medRxiv*. Apr 16 2020;doi:10.1101/2020.03.17.20037713

13. Arthur JM, Forrest JC, Boehme KW, et al. Development of ACE2 autoantibodies after SARS-CoV-2 infection. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(9):e0257016. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0257016

14. Collier AY, McMahan K, Yu J, et al. Immunogenicity of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines in Pregnant and Lactating Women. *JAMA*. 06 15 2021;325(23):2370-2380. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.7563

15. Klein SL, Pekosz A, Park HS, et al. Sex, age, and hospitalization drive antibody responses in a COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor population. *J Clin Invest*. 11 02 2020;130(11):6141-6150. doi:10.1172/JCl142004

16. Premkumar L, Segovia-Chumbez B, Jadi R, et al. The receptor binding domain of the viral spike protein is an immunodominant and highly specific target of antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 patients. *Sci Immunol*. 06 11 2020;5(48)doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abc8413

17. Salazar E, Kuchipudi SV, Christensen PA, et al. Convalescent plasma anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike protein ectodomain and receptor-binding domain lgG correlate with virus neutralization. *J Clin Invest*. 12 01 2020;130(12):6728-6738. doi:10.1172/JCl141206

18. Seegmiller JC, Kokaisel EL, Story SJ, et al. Method comparison of SARS-CoV-2 serology assays involving three commercially available platforms and a novel in-house developed enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Clin Biochem*. Dec 2020;86:34-35. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2020.08.004

19. Stadlbauer D, Amanat F, Chromikova V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seroconversion in Humans: A Detailed Protocol for a Serological Assay, Antigen Production, and Test Setup. *Curr Protoc Microbiol*. 06 2020;57(1):e100. doi:10.1002/cpmc.100

20. Stadlbauer D, Tan J, Jiang K, et al. Repeated cross-sectional sero-monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 in New York City. *Nature*. 02 2021;590(7844):146-150. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2912-6

21. Suthar MS, Zimmerman MG, Kauffman RC, et al. Rapid Generation of Neutralizing Antibody Responses in COVID-19 Patients. *Cell Rep Med*. Jun 23 2020;1(3):100040. doi:10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100040

22. Thomas SN, Altawallbeh G, Zaun CP, et al. Initial determination of COVID-19 seroprevalence among outpatients and healthcare workers in Minnesota using a novel SARS-CoV-2 total antibody ELISA. *Clin Biochem*. Apr 2021;90:15-22. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2021.01.010

23. Vidal SJ, Collier AY, Yu J, et al. Correlates of Neutralization against SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern by Early Pandemic Sera. *J Virol*. 06 24 2021;95(14):e0040421. doi:10.1128/JVI.00404-21

24. Zeng C, Evans JP, Pearson R, et al. Neutralizing antibody against SARS-CoV-2 spike in COVID-19 patients, health care workers, and convalescent plasma donors. *JCl Insight*. 11 19 2020;5(22)doi:10.1172/jci.insight.143213

25. Sariol CAA, Pantoja P, Serrano-Collazo C, et al. Function Is More Reliable than Quantity to Follow Up the Humoral Response to the Receptor-Binding Domain of SARS-CoV-2-Spike Protein after Natural Infection or COVID-19 Vaccination. *Viruses*. 09 30 2021;13(10)doi:10.3390/v13101972

26. Roy V, Fischinger S, Atyeo C, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific ELISA development. *J Immunol Methods*. 2020 Sep - Oct 2020;484-485:112832. doi:10.1016/j.jim.2020.112832

27. Rosenberg ES, Tesoriero JM, Rosenthal EM, et al. Cumulative incidence and diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in New York. *Ann Epidemiol*. 08 2020;48:23-29.e4.

doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2020.06.004

28. Styer LM, Hoen R, Rock J, et al. High-Throughput Multiplex SARS-CoV-2 IgG Microsphere Immunoassay for Dried Blood Spots: A Public Health Strategy for Enhanced Serosurvey Capacity. *Microbiol Spectr.* 09 03 2021;9(1):e0013421. doi:10.1128/Spectrum.00134-21

29. Lee WT, Girardin RC, Dupuis AP, et al. Neutralizing Antibody Responses in COVID-19 Convalescent Sera. *J Infect Dis*. 01 04 2021;223(1):47-55. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa673

30. Yates JL, Ehrbar DJ, Hunt DT, et al. Serological analysis reveals an imbalanced IgG subclass composition associated with COVID-19 disease severity. *Cell Rep Med*. Jul 20 2021;2(7):100329. doi:10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100329

Pisanic N, Randad PR, Kruczynski K, et al. COVID-19 Serology at Population Scale: SARS-CoV-2 Specific Antibody Responses in Saliva. *J Clin Microbiol*. 12 17 2020;59(1)doi:10.1128/JCM.02204-20
Canaday DH, Carias L, Oyebanji OA, et al. Reduced BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine response in SARS-

CoV-2-naive nursing home residents. Clin Infect Dis. May 16 2021;doi:10.1093/cid/ciab447

33. Tian L, Elsheikh EB, Patrone PN, et al. Towards Quantitative and Standardized Serological and Neutralization Assays for COVID-19. *Int J Mol Sci*. Mar 08 2021;22(5)doi:10.3390/ijms22052723

34. Su Y, Chen D, Yuan D, et al. Multi-Omics Resolves a Sharp Disease-State Shift between Mild and Moderate COVID-19. *Cell*. 12 10 2020;183(6):1479-1495.e20. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.037

35. Xhangolli I, Dura B, Lee G, Kim D, Xiao Y, Fan R. Single-cell Analysis of CAR-T Cell Activation Reveals A Mixed T. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics*. 04 2019;17(2):129-139. doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2019.03.002

36. Heaney CD, Pisanic N, Randad PR, et al. Comparative performance of multiplex salivary and commercially available serologic assays to detect SARS-CoV-2 IgG and neutralization titers. *J Clin Virol*. 12 2021;145:104997. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2021.104997

37. Haddad NS, Nguyen DC, Kuruvilla ME, et al. One-Stop Serum Assay Identifies COVID-19 Disease Severity and Vaccination Responses. *Immunohorizons*. 05 17 2021;5(5):322-335. doi:10.4049/immunohorizons.2100011

38. Amanat F, White KM, Miorin L, et al. An In Vitro Microneutralization Assay for SARS-CoV-2 Serology and Drug Screening. *Curr Protoc Microbiol*. 09 2020;58(1):e108. doi:10.1002/cpmc.108

39. Wajnberg A, Amanat F, Firpo A, et al. Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 infection persist for months. *Science*. 12 04 2020;370(6521):1227-1230. doi:10.1126/science.abd7728

40. Hassert M, Geerling E, Stone ET, et al. mRNA induced expression of human angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 in mice for the study of the adaptive immune response to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *PLoS Pathog*. 12 2020;16(12):e1009163. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009163

41. Vanderheiden A, Edara VV, Floyd K, et al. Development of a Rapid Focus Reduction Neutralization Test Assay for Measuring SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibodies. *Curr Protoc Immunol*. 12 2020;131(1):e116. doi:10.1002/cpim.116

42. Girardin RC, Dupuis AP, Payne AF, et al. Temporal Analysis of Serial Donations Reveals Decrease in Neutralizing Capacity and Justifies Revised Qualifying Criteria for Coronavirus Disease 2019 Convalescent Plasma. *J Infect Dis*. 03 03 2021;223(5):743-751. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa803

43. Dhakal S, Ruiz-Bedoya CA, Zhou R, et al. Sex Differences in Lung Imaging and SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Responses in a COVID-19 Golden Syrian Hamster Model. *mBio*. 08 31 2021;12(4):e0097421. doi:10.1128/mBio.00974-21

44. Morgenlander WR, Henson SN, Monaco DR, et al. Antibody responses to endemic coronaviruses modulate COVID-19 convalescent plasma functionality. *J Clin Invest*. 04 01 2021;131(7)doi:10.1172/JCl146927

45. Ogega CO, Skinner NE, Blair PW, et al. Durable SARS-CoV-2 B cell immunity after mild or severe disease. *J Clin Invest*. 04 01 2021;131(7)doi:10.1172/JCl145516

46. Kared H, Redd AD, Bloch EM, et al. SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ T cell responses in convalescent COVID-19 individuals. *J Clin Invest*. 03 01 2021;131(5)doi:10.1172/JCl145476

47. Patel EU, Bloch EM, Clarke W, et al. Comparative Performance of Five Commercially Available Serologic Assays To Detect Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and Identify Individuals with High Neutralizing Titers. *J Clin Microbiol*. 01 21 2021;59(2)doi:10.1128/JCM.02257-20

48. Röltgen K, Nielsen SCA, Arunachalam PS, et al. mRNA vaccination compared to infection elicits an IgG-predominant response with greater SARS-CoV-2 specificity and similar decrease in variant spike recognition. *medRxiv*. Apr 07 2021;doi:10.1101/2021.04.05.21254952

49. Pegu A, O'Connell S, Schmidt SD, et al. Durability of mRNA-1273-induced antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 variants. *bioRxiv*. May 16 2021;doi:10.1101/2021.05.13.444010

50. Weissman D, Alameh MG, de Silva T, et al. D614G Spike Mutation Increases SARS CoV-2 Susceptibility to Neutralization. *Cell Host Microbe*. 01 13 2021;29(1):23-31.e4. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.11.012

## **FIGURES/TABLES**

Day 1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Plate 1	C_STD	C_STD	NEG	PC1	STD-C1	STD-C2	STD-C3	STD-T1	STD-T2	STD-T3	C_SID	C_STD
Α	50	50	50	50	200	200	200	200	200	200	50	50
B	100	100	150	150	400	400	400	400	400	400	100	100
С	200	200	450	450	800	800	800	800	800	<b>B00</b>	200	200
D	400	400	1350	1350	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600	400	400
			No Sample	PC2								
E	800	800	50	150	3200	3200	3200	3200	3200	3200	800	800
F	1600	1600	150	450	5400	6400	6400	5400	6400	6400	1600	1600
G	3200	3200	450	1350	12800	12800	12800	12800	12800	12800	3200	3200
H	6400	6400	1350	4050	25600	25600	25 <i>5</i> 00	25600	25600	25600	6400	6400

Figure 1: Example plate map for assay calibration set-up

Numbers indicate suggested serial dilutions. Serial dilutions of primary and secondary calibrators (reference materials) are plated in triplicate, and the daily internal assay standard is plated in quadruplicate.

C\_STD: Daily internal assay standard

STD-C1, C2, and C3: Primary calibrator (primary reference material or standard)

STD-T1, T2, and T3: Secondary calibrator (secondary reference material or standard)

NEG: Negative control sample

PC1: Positive control sample 1

PC2: Positive control sample 2

## Table 1: Laboratory-developed singleplex ELISA assays

Serum, Plasma, RBD     IgG     Up / 2 dist intection: Sensitivity     Emay University     PMID: 32835303     FDA EUA granted       samples     Intection: Sensitivity 100%; Day 16 site: Intection: Sensitivity 100%; Day 16 site: (COVID-19 pandemic): 97.69%     Mont Sinal     PMID: 322835303     FDA EUA granted       Serum, Plasma, RBD     Total (G)     Mont Sinal     PMID: 32280503     FDA EUA granted       Serum, Plasma, RBD     Total (G)     Serum (Flasma, Flasma, RBD     Total (G)     Assays validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA       Saliva     Total (G)     Overal sensitivity 22.5%, cover-100% (n-26.30); At > 14 days post-onset of symptoms, 90% for RBD     Minnesota     PMID: 32280645     Assays validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA       Serum, Plasma RBD     GG, I,M     Sort onset of symptoms, 90% for RBD     Stanford University     PMID: 33288645     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA       Serum, Plasma RBD     GG, I,MM, serus (as a follow-up assay in thork > 21 days post-onset of symptoms, 100% >>21	Sample Type	Antigen	Isotype	Assay Sensitivity & Specificity	Center/Institution	References	Regulatory Status
Died Pleama samples   (IQA/IgM T 23.01%; Day 9-14 after infection: being oral) Sensitivity 100%; Day 21 Stater infection: Sensitivity 100%; Day 1	Serum, Plasma,	RBD	lgG	Day 0-7 after infection: Sensitivity	Emory University	PMID: 32835303	FDA EUA granted
samples     being eval (Samples context) 100%; pp. 215 after infection: Samuly 100%; pp. Specificity 100%; pp. 2000; pp.	Dried Plasma		(IgA/IgM	73.01%; Day 8-14 after infection:			
Image     Image <th< td=""><td>samples</td><td></td><td>being eval)</td><td>Sensitivity 100%; Day <u>&gt;</u> 15 after</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	samples		being eval)	Sensitivity 100%; Day <u>&gt;</u> 15 after			
Image: Construct of the stamples collected prior to COVUD 19 paddemic; 97 x08% (m-38     Mount Sinal     PMID: 32302069, PMID: 32511441, PMID: 33142304     FDA EUA granted       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG, IgM, Sensitivity 95%, Specificity 100% (m-30); X1: 34 492%     Iminerativity of Minesota     PMID: 32302069, PMID: 32511441, PMID: 33142304     FDA EUA granted       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG, IgM, Sensitivity 100%, inc-300; X1: 34 492%     Iminesota     PMID: 332791053, PMID: 33539808     Assays validated in a high-complexity is stamples control of symptoms.       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG, IgM     Sensitivity 100%, inc-300; X1: 34 492%     Minesota     PMID: 33288645     Assays validated in a high-complexity is stamples control of symptoms.       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG, IgM     Sensitivity 91% for RBD IgG 15-21 days post-onest of symptoms.     Stanford University     PMID: 33288645     Assay validated in a high-complexity is stamples control of symptoms.       Serum, Plasma RBD-ACE2     RBD-ACE2     RBD-ACE2     RBD-Seropositive specimens     Stanford University     PMID: 34288645     Assay validated in a high-complexity is stamples control of symptoms.       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG, IgM + 8ensitivity 98% (n=181). Specificity     Stanford University P     PMID: 34288643     Assay validated in a high-complexity is stamples control of symptoms.     Iminesota     NR     Ass				infection: Sensitivity 100%; Specificity			
Berum, Plasma Spike     RBD and IgG, IgM, IgG, IgM, Sensitivity 95%, Specificity 100% (m-30)     Mount Sinal     PMID: 32302669, PMID: 32511441, PMID: 33142304     FDA EUA granted       Serum, Plasma Saliva     RBD     Total Ig, Veral againes are tested with IgG, Spike     Overall againes are tested overall againes are tested by 100% (m-30); N to 14 days beer compositive, Im-24 megaine are tested by 100% (m-30); N to 14 days beer compositive, Im-24 megaines are beer compositive are been compositive				(n=388 samples collected prior to			
Serum, Plasma RED and Serum, Plasma RED     Gensitivity 95%, specificity 100%, in-300 positive, n=74 negative speciments saliva     Mount Snail     PMID: 322802068, PMID: 33514241, PMID: 33142304     PDA EUA granted       Serum, Plasma Saliva     Total (g. University 100%, n=300, x1 > 14 days total specificity 100%, n=300, x1 = 14 specificity 90%, n=300, x1				COVID-19 pandemic): 97.68%			
Spike     gpA     positive, n=/4 negative serie tested)     Assays validated in a high-complexity series       Saliva     With IgG, specificity 100%, (n=300, At > 14 days, line series     Minnesota     Minnesota     Minnesota       Saliva     IgG, IgM, series     specificity 100%, (n=201); At > 14 days, line series     Minnesota     Minnesota     Minnesota       Serum, Plasma     RBD     gG, IgM, series     PMID: 33288645     Assays validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA series	Serum, Plasma	RBD and	IgG, IgM,	Sensitivity 95%, Specificity 100% (n=38	Mount Sinai	PMID: 32302069, PMID: 32511441, PMID: 33142304	FDA EUA granted
Serum, Plasma, RED     Initial Gamma Serum, Plasma, RED     Initial Constrainty 82.5%, overall fully 100%, increasing on the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of the sensitivity 100%, intersity of Puerto and the sensitivity 100%, intersity of the sensitivity 100%, inter	0	Spike	IgA	positive, n=74 negative sera tested)			
Saliva with fig., specificity 100% (h=30); AIS 14 days Minesola high (high form specificity) 100% (h=30); AIS 14 days Minesola high-complexity testing CLIA days specificity 100% (h=261); Starford University PMID: 33288645 high for the specificity 100% (h=261); Starford University PMID: 33288645 high for the specificity and the specificity and the specificity 100% (h=261); Starford University PMID: 33288645 high for the specificity 100% (h=261); Starford University PMID: 33288645 high for the specificity s	Serum, Plasma,	RBD	I otal Ig,	Overall sensitivity 82.5%, overall	University of	PMID: 32791053, PMID: 33539808	Assays validated in a
Instrum     Instruction     <	Saliva		with IgG,	specificity 100% (n= $300$ ); At > 14 days	Minnesota		nign-complexity
Serum, Plasma     RBD     IgG, IgM     Sensitivity 98% (n=201), post-onset of symptoms, 100% s-21 days post-onset of symptom cancel, 100% s-21 days post-onset days sotawards days dateted in a datretay days dateted in a datretay days dateted in a d			igivi, igA	rom symptom onset, sensitivity 100%,			lesting CLIA
Serum, Plasma RBD   igd, igm   Serum, Plasma RBD-ACE2   Total Igo   No. RBD (applications, 100% scillated in a high-complexity testing CLIA laboratory   PMID: 33288645   Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA laboratory     Serum, Plasma RBD-ACE2   Total Igo   N/A. used as a follow-up assay in that Diversity of Puero Pue	Sorum Dioomo			Specificity 100% (II=201),	Stanford Linix araity	DMID: 22289645	
Data Index of symptoms, 20% for RBD pp obtiones d0% for RBD pp obtiones d0 % mptoms, 20% for RBD igM     Index of symptoms, 20% for RBD igM     Index of symptom, 20% for RBD igM     Index of symptom, 20% for RBD igM     Assay validated in a high-complexity is the symptom object if ymstraw, 20% for RBD igM     Index of symptom object if ymstraw, 20% for RBD igM     Index of symptom object if ymstraw, 20% for RBD igM     Assay validated in a high-complexity is the symptom object if ymstraw, 20% for RBD igM     Index of symptom object if ymstraw, 20% for RBD igM     Assay validated in a high-complexity is the symptom object if ymstraw, 20% for RBD igM     Index of symptom object if ymstraw,	Serum, Plasma	RDU	igG, igivi	post onset of symptoms 100% >21 days	Staniord University	PIVIID. 55200045	high-complexity
Image: Second Stress     Image: Second Stress     Second Stres				post-onset of symptoms; 90% for RBD			testing CLIA
Image: Specificity     Image: Specificity     Specificity     PMID: 33288645     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma     RBD-ACE2     Total IgG     N/A, used as a follow-up assay in high-complexity resting CLIA aboratory     PMID: 34288645     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma     RBD     IgG     Is Sensitivity 98% (n=181). Specificity generative specimes aboratory     PMID: 34696403, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma     Spike     gG     Sensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity generative specimes aboratory     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma Spike     gG     Sensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity generatory     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid     gM     NR     Erederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma, RBD     Total Ig     Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days University of North after symptom onset), Specificity 100% (n=259; 9 or more days University of North (200)     PMID: 35090596     FDA EUA pending (n=53)       Serum, Plasma, RBD     Total Ig     Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days University of North after symptom onse				IgM 15-21 days post onset of symptoms.			laboratory
Specificity: 99.75% for RBD IgG, 100% for RBD JQM     Specificity: 99.75% for RBD IgG, 100% for RBD JQM     Stanford University     PMID: 33288645     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG, IgM + Sensitivity 98% (n=181), Specificity IgG     University of Puerto Rico     PMID: 34696403, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma Surum, Plasma Serum, Plasma Serum, Plasma Serum, Plasma Serum, Plasma Suke     IgG     Sensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity 97.6% (n=80)     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma Suke     IgG     Sensitivity 93.8% (n=60), Specificity 97.6% (n=80)     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma Suke     IgG     Sensitivity 97.6 (n=30), Specificity 97.6% (n=80)     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma Suke     IgG     Sensitivity 97.6 (n=23), Specificity 100% (n=535)     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma Suka     Total Ig     Sensitivity 97.6 (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)     NR     CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard/PMID: 335090596     FDA EUA pending Carolina       Serum, Plasma, Spike NTD     Total Ig     Sensitivity 97.6 (n=259; 9				100% >21 days post-onset of symptoms.			
International control     International control     International control     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma     RBD-ACE2 binding     International control     University of Puerto Rico     PMID: 33288645     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma     RBD     IgG, IgM + Sensitivity 98% (n=181), Specificity IgG     University of Puerto Rico     PMID: 34696403, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2     Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA aboratory       Serum, Plasma     Spike     IgG     Sensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity Ico     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma     Spike     IgM     Sensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity Laboratory     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma     Nucleoccapsid     IgM     Sensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100%     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma     Nucleoccapsid     IgM     Sensitivity 97% (n=259; 9 or more days Laboratory     NR     RUO     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma, RBD     Total Ig     Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days Laboratory     Iniversity of Nort				Specificity: 99.75% for RBD IgG, 100%			
Serum, Plasma RBD-ACE2 Total IgG N/A. used as a follow-up assay in that blocks Stanford University PMID: 3328645 Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA laboratory   Serum, Plasma RBD IgG, IgM+ Sensitivity 98% (n=181). Specificity University of Puerto Rico PMID: 34696403, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2 Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA laboratory   Serum, Plasma Spike IgG Sensitivity 93.% (n=60). Specificity Frederick National Laboratory NR RUO   Serum, Plasma Spike IgM Sensitivity 93.% (n=60). Specificity Frederick National Laboratory NR RUO   Serum, Plasma Spike IgM Sensitivity 93.% (n=30). Specificity Frederick National Laboratory NR RUO   Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgM NR Frederick National Laboratory NR RUO   Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgM NR Frederick National Laboratory NR RUO   Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgM NR Frederick National Laboratory NR RUO   Serum, Plasma, RBD Total Ig Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535) University of North (n=535) PMID: 35090596 FDA EUA pending 200   Serum, Plasma, RBD IgG				for RBD IgM			
Indit Diocks seropositive specimens RBD- ACE2 bindingIndit-complexity testing CLIA laboratorySerum, PlasmaRBDIgG, IgM + Sensitivity 98% (n=181), Specificity IgGUniversity of Puerto RicoPMID: 34696403, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA laboratorySerum, PlasmaSpikeIgGSensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity 99.3% (n=150)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaSpikeIgMSensitivity 93.3% (n=30), Specificity 97.6% (n=260)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgGSensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 00% Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma, RBDTotal IgSensitivity 95% (n=259: 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=259: 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 94% (n=259: 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 94% (n=250: 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 94% (n=25	Serum, Plasma	RBD-ACE2	Total IgG	N/A, used as a follow-up assay in	Stanford University	PMID: 33288645	Assay validated in a
RBD- ACE2 bindingRBD- ACE2 bindingItesting CLIA laboratoryTesting CLIA laboratorySerum, Plasma RBDIgG, IgM + IgGSensitivity 98% (n=181), Specificity 98.9% (n=181).University of Puerto RicoPMID: 34696403, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2Assay validated in a high-complexity testing CLIA laboratorySerum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity 99.3% (n=50)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma NucleocapsidIgGSensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity 97.6% (n=60)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma NucleocapsidIgGSensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 00% (n=55); 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535).NRRUOSerum, Plasma, RBDTotal IgSensitivity 92% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535).NRPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSalivaTotal IgSensitivity 92% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535).University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSalivaTotal IgSensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535).University of North CarolinaPMID: 33093379, PMID: 33893169FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBDIgGIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169RUOSerum, Plasma, RBDIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.%Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNR			that blocks	seropositive specimens			high-complexity
ACE2 binding     Indocatory     Indocatory       Serum, Plasma     RBD     IgG, IgM + IgG     + Serum, 183, Spike     IgG     - Serum, 183, Spike     IgG     Serum, 143, Spike     - Serum, 143, Spik			RBD-				testing CLIA
Dending Serum, PlasmaNew Sensitivity 98% (n=181), Specificity 98.9% (n=181).University of Puerto RicoPMID: 34696403, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2 https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2 high-complexity testing CLIA laboratorySerum, PlasmaSpikeIgG Sensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity 99.3% (n=160)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaSpikeIgG Sensitivity 93.8% (n=60), Specificity 97.6% (n=80)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgG Sensitivity 97.% (n=34), Specificity 100% (n=34), Specificity 96% (n=35)NRNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgMNRFrederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma, RBD SalivaTotal Ig Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBD SalivaTotal Ig Sensitivity 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBD SalivaIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard/PMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma, RBD Serum, Plasma, RBDIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard/PMID: 33893379, PMID: 33893169RUOSerum, PlasmaSpikeIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, PlasmaRBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNR			ACE2				laboratory
Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG, igit + Sensitivity 98% (n=181), Specificity   Provide the provide th	0 0		binding				A 11 1 1
Igs98.9% (II=161).NCOIntps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intps://www.blotxv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332/22Intesting CLIA laboratorySerum, PlasmaSpikeIgMSensitivity 93.% (n=30), SpecificityFrederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgMSensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100%Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgMNRFrederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma, RBDTotal IgSensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 94% (n=35)PMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBDIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529RUORUOSerum, Plasma, RBDIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.%Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33893169RUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNR	Serum, Plasma	RBD	IgG, IgM +	Sensitivity 98% (n=181), Specificity	University of Puerto	PMID: 34696403,	Assay validated in a
Serum, PlasmaSpikeIgGSensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity 99.3% (n=150)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaSpikeIgMSensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity 97.6% (n=80)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgGSensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100% (n=99)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgMNRFrederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma, RBD SalivaTotal IgSensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pending CarolinaSerum, Plasma, RBD SalivaTotal IgSensitivity 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pending CarolinaSerum, Plasma, RBD Serum, Plasma, RBD Serum, Plasma RBD (gGSensitivity 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 94% (n=535)CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.% Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.% Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.% Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma SpikeIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma Spike			igG	98.9% (n=181).	RICO	https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.11.146332v2	tosting CLIA
Serum, PlasmaSpikeIgGSensitivity 98.3% (n=60), Specificity 99.3% (n=150)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaSpikeIgMSensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity 97.6% (n=80)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgGSensitivity 97.6% (n=34), Specificity 100% (n=99)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgGSensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100% (n=99)Frederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgMNRFrederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma, RBDTotal IgSensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBDTotal IgSensitivity 92% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBDIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/HarvardPMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 10%, Specificity 98.8%Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, PlasmaRBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, PlasmaRBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUO							laboratory
Serum, Plasma Spike   IgM   Sensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity   Frederick National Laboratory   NR     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid   IgG   Sensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100%   Frederick National Laboratory   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid   IgM   Sensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100%   Frederick National Laboratory   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid   IgM   NR   Frederick National Laboratory   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   Total Ig   Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)   University of North Carolina   PMID: 32527802, 35090596   FDA EUA pending Carolina     Serum, Plasma, Spike NTD   Total Ig   Sensitivity 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 35090596   FDA EUA pending Carolina     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG, IgA, Image   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33893379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   <	Serum Plasma	Spike	laG	Sensitivity 98.3% (n=60) Specificity	Frederick National	NR	RUO
Serum, Plasma     Spike     IgM     Sensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity 97.6% (n=80)     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma     Nucleocapsid     IgG     Sensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100%     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma     Nucleocapsid     IgM     NR     Frederick National Laboratory     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma, RBD     Total Ig     Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)     University of North Carolina     PMID: 32527802, 35090596     FDA EUA pending       Serum, Plasma, Spike NTD     Total Ig     Sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days (n=535)     University of North (arcsity of North (n=535)     PMID: 35090596     FDA EUA pending       Serum, Plasma, RBD     IgG, IgA, IgG, IgA,     NR     CVVR/BIDMC/HarvardPMID: 34107529     RUO       Serum, Plasma, RBD     IgG     Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%     Tulane University     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG     Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%     Tulane University     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma RBD     IgG     NR     CVVR/BIDMC/HarvardPMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169 <t< td=""><td></td><td>opino</td><td>ige</td><td>99.3% (n=150)</td><td>Laboratory</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		opino	ige	99.3% (n=150)	Laboratory		
Serum, Plasma   Nucleocapsid   IgG   Sensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100% (n=99)   Frederick National Laboratory   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma   Nucleocapsid   IgM   NR   Frederick National Laboratory   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   Total Ig   Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)   University of North Carolina   PMID: 32527802, 35090596   FDA EUA pending     Serum, Plasma, Spike NTD   Total Ig   Sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)   University of North Carolina   PMID: 35090596   FDA EUA pending     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid InG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO	Serum, Plasma	Spike	IgM	Sensitivity 93.8% (n=30), Specificity	Frederick National	NR	RUO
Serum, Plasma   Nucleocapsid   IgG   Sensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100%   Frederick National Laboratory   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma   Nucleocapsid   IgM   NR   Frederick National Laboratory   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   Total Ig   Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)   University of North Carolina   PMID: 32527802, 35090596   FDA EUA pending     Serum, Plasma, Spike NTD   Total Ig   Sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)   University of North Carolina   PMID: 32527802, 35090596   FDA EUA pending     Serum, Plasma, Spike NTD   Total Ig   Sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)   University of North Carolina   PMID: 35090596   FDA EUA pending     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG   NR <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>Ŭ</td> <td>97.6% (n=80)</td> <td>Laboratory</td> <td></td> <td></td>		•	Ŭ	97.6% (n=80)	Laboratory		
LaboratoryLaboratorySerum, PlasmaNRFrederick National LaboratoryNRSerum, Plasma, RBD SalivaTotal IgSensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, Spike NTD SalivaTotal IgSensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBD Serum, Plasma, RBD IgG, IgA, restart ligMSensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum Serum, Plasma, RBD IgGIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma, RBD IgGIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%Tulane University Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBD IgGIgGNRTulane University Tulane UniversityNRRUO	Serum, Plasma	Nucleocapsid	lgG	Sensitivity 97% (n=34), Specificity 100%	Frederick National	NR	RUO
Serum, PlasmaNucleocapsidIgMNRFrederick National LaboratoryNRRUOSerum, Plasma, RBDTotal IgSensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, SalivaSpike NTDTotal IgSensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerumSpike, RBDIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard (n=535)PMID: 35090596RUOSerum, Plasma, RBDIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard IgMPMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma, RBDIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUO			-	(n=99)	Laboratory		
LaboratoryLaboratorySerum, Plasma, RBD SalivaTotal Ig after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, Spike NTD SalivaTotal Ig sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBD Breast milkIgG, IgA, IgMNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169RUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBDIgG IgGNRTulane University Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBDIgG IgGNRTulane University Tulane UniversityNRRUO	Serum, Plasma	Nucleocapsid	IgM	NR	Frederick National	NR	RUO
Serum, Plasma, RBD SalivaTotal Ig after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, Spike NTD SalivaTotal Ig after symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)Sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 32527802, 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum, Plasma, RBD Serum, Plasma, RBD IgGIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/HarvardPMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUO					Laboratory		
Salivaafter symptom onset), Specificity 96% (n=535)CarolinaSerum, Plasma, Spike NTD SalivaTotal Ig after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerum Spike, RBD Serum, Plasma, RBD IgGIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma, RBD Serum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBD Serum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUO	Serum, Plasma,	RBD	Total Ig	Sensitivity 95% (n=259; 9 or more days	University of North	PMID: 32527802, 35090596	FDA EUA pending
Serum, Plasma, Spike NTD SalivaTotal Ig after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% (n=535)University of North CarolinaPMID: 35090596FDA EUA pendingSerumSpike, RBDIgGNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529RUOSerum, Plasma, RBDIgG, IgA, IgMNRCVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169RUOSerum, Plasma SpikeIgGSensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%Tulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma RBDIgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUOSerum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgGNRTulane UniversityNRRUO	Saliva			after symptom onset), Specificity 96%	Carolina		
Serum, Plasma, Spike NID   I otal Ig   Sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days University of North after symptom onset), Specificity = 94% Carolina   PMID: 35090596   FDA EUA pending     Saliva   Spike, RBD   IgG   NR   CvVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG, IgA, IgM   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Breast milk   IgM   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO	0	0 11 1170		(n=535)			
Saliva   after symptom onset), Specificity = 94%   Carolina     Serum   Spike, RBD   IgG   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 34107529   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG, IgA, IgM   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Serum, Plasma Spike   IgG   Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO	Serum, Plasma,	Spike NTD	I otal Ig	Sensitivity = 92% (n=259; 9 or more days	University of North	PMID: 35090596	FDA EUA pending
Serum   Spike, RBD   IgG   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard   PMID: 34107529   RUO     Serum, Plasma, RBD   IgG, IgA, IgM   NR   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard   PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Breast milk   IgM   CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard   PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169   RUO     Serum, Plasma Spike   IgG   Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma RBD   IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO     Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG   NR   Tulane University   NR   RUO	Saliva			after symptom onset), Specificity = 94%	Carolina		
Serum, Plasma RBD IgG NR CVVR/BIDMC/Havard PMID: 34107329 RUO   Breast milk IgM CVVR/BIDMC/Havard PMID: 33983379, PMID: 33893169 RUO   Serum, Plasma Spike IgG Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8% Tulane University NR RUO   Serum, Plasma RBD IgG NR Tulane University NR RUO   Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG NR Tulane University NR RUO	Sorum	Spika PPD	laC	(II=555) ND		dPMID: 24107520	RIIO
Breast milk IgM IgM RUC Breast milk IgM RUC Serum, Plasma Spike IgG NR Tulane University NR RUC Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG NR Tulane University NR RUC Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG NR Tulane University NR RUC	Serum Plasma			ND			RIO
Serum, Plasma     Spike     IgG     Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%     Tulane University     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma     RBD     IgG     NR     Tulane University     NR     RUO       Serum, Plasma     Nucleocapsid IgG     NR     Tulane University     NR     RUO	Breast milk		IaM				
Serum, Plasma RBD IgG NR Tulane University NR RUO Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG NR Tulane University NR RUO	Serum, Plasma	Spike	laG	Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 98.8%	Tulane University	NR	RUO
Serum, Plasma Nucleocapsid IgG NR Tulane University NR RUO	Serum, Plasma	RBD	laG	NR	Tulane University	NR	RUO
	Serum, Plasma	Nucleocapsid	laG	NR	Tulane University	NR	RUO

Plasma, Serum	Spike, RBD	IgM, IgG, IgA	Spike: IgG (Sensitivity 96.6%, Specificity 96.7%); IgA (Sensitivity 99.3%, Specificity 90%); IgM (Sensitivity 97.9%, Specificity 100%). RBD: IgG (Sensitivity 97.3%, Specificity 100%); IgA (Sensitivity 99.3%, Specificity 96.7%); IgM (Sensitivity 97.9%, Specificity 96.7%). IgG data based on n=126 convalescent plasma donors, n=30 pre-pandemic samples; IgM/IgA data based on n=20 hospitalized, n=30 pre-pandemic samples.	Johns Hopkins University	PMID: 32764200	RUO
Serum, Plasma	Spike (ECD), RBD	lgG	NR	University of Texas- Austin	PMID: 32910806	RUO
Serum, Plasma	RBD	lgG	Sensitivity 100% (n=155), Specificity 96.5% (n=133)	Arizona State University	NR	RUO
Serum, DBS	RBD	IgG, IgM	Sensitivity 97% (n=39), Specificity 100% (n=37)	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences	PMID: 34478478, https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592v3	RUO
Serum, DBS	RBD, Spike, Nucleocapsid	lgG, lgM	Sensitivity 97% (n=39), Specificity 100% (n=37)	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences	PMID: 34478478, https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.08.04.21261592v3	RUO
Serum, Plasma, Breast milk	RBD, Spike, Nucleocapsid	IgG, IgM, IgA	97% Sensitivity (n=114), Specificity 99%	University of Alabama- Birmingham	NR	RUO
Serum, Plasma	RBD, Nucleocapsid, Spike Trimer	IgG, IgA	RBD: Sensitivity (70.9% for IgG, 74.4% for IgA) and Specificity (100% for both IgG and IgA); Nucleocapsid: Sensitivity (81.4% for IgG, 77.9% for IgA) and Specificity (98.5% for IgG, 100% for IgA); Spike Trimer: Sensitivity (67.4% for both IgG and IgA) and Specificity (98.5% for IgG, 100% for IgA). Data based on PCR confirmed COVID-19 hospitalized patients (n=86) and negative pre- pandemic samples (n=65).	University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School	PMID: 32780998	RUO
Serum, Plasma	Nucleocapsid	IgG	Sensitivity 100% (n=44), Specificity 99.5% (n=202)	The Ohio State University	PMID: 33035201	FDA EUA pending
Serum	Nucleocapsid	lgG	NR	The Ohio State University	NR	RUO
Oral fluid	Nucleocapsid	lgG	Sensitivity 92% (n=24), Specificity 98% (n=85)	Salimetrics	NR	RUO

ACE2: Angiotensin converting enzyme-2; BIDMC: Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; CLIA: Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments; CVVR: Center for Virology and Vaccine Research; DBS: Dried blood spots; ECD: Extracellular domain; EUA: Emergency Use Authorization; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; NR: Not reported; NTD: N-terminal domain; PMID: PubMed Identifier; RBD: receptor binding domain; RUO: research use only Table 2: Laboratory-developed multiplex assays

Sample Type	Antigen	Isotype	Assay Sensitivity & Specificity	Center/Institution	References	Regulatory Status
DBS, Serum	Spike S1, Nucleocapsid	lgG	Sensitivity: DBS 94% for symptomatic (n=774 samples collected >20 days after PCR+ result) 85% for asymptomatic (n=115 samples collected >20 days after PCR+ result ), Specificity: DBS 99% (n=730), Serum 99% (n=701)	Wadsworth	PMID: 32648546 PMID: 34319133	NYS CLEP-approved
Serum, Plasma, DBS	Spike, Nucleocapsid, RBD	Total Ig	Sensitivity >97%, Specificity 99%	Wadsworth	PMID: 33104179	FDA EUA granted; NYS CLEP-approved
Serum, Plasma, DBS	Spike, Nucleocapsid, RBD	IgG, IgM, IgA	Sensitivity >97%, Specificity 99%	Wadsworth	PMID: 34151306	NYS CLEP-approved; FDA EUA pending
Oral fluid, Serum, Plasma	Spike, RBD, Nucleocapsid	IgG, IgM, IgA	Oral fluid IgG assay sensitivity 98.8% ≥ 15 days post symptom onset (n=81), specificity 100% (n=127)	Johns Hopkins University, Supporting Michigan State University	PMID: 33067270, 34695724	Oral fluid assays validated in a high- complexity testing CLIA laboratory; Serum/plasma RUO
Serum, Plasma, BAL, DBS	Spike, RBD (different variants), Nucleocapsid	IgG	Sensitivity >97% sensitivity (n=89), Specificity 99% (n=260)	Case Western Reserve University	PMID: 33993265	RUO
Serum, Plasma, Saliva, BAL	Spike, RBD, Nucleocapsid	IgA	Sensitivity >98%, Specificity 99%	Case Western Reserve University	PMID: 33993265	RUO
Serum, Plasma	Spike	lgG	Sensitivity ≥ 93%, Specificity 100%	NIST	PMID: 33800363	RUO
Serum, Plasma	RBD	lgG	Sensitivity ≥ 93%, Specificity 100%	NIST	PMID: 33800363	RUO
Serum, Plasma	RBD, Nucleocapsid	IgG	Nucleocapsid Sensitivity 90.3% (n=155) and Specificity 98.0% (n=133); RBD Sensitivity 90.1% (n=155) and Specificity 97.0% (n=133)	Arizona State University	NR	FDA EUA pending
Serum	Spike, Nucleocapsid, RBD	IgG, IgM, IgA	NR	Yale	PMID: 33171100	RUO
Serum	Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta variants (Spike, RBD)	IgG, IgM, IgA	NR	Yale	PMID: 31229590	RUO
Saliva	Spike, Nucleocapsid, RBD	IgG	Sensitivity: Nucleocapsid 97.7%, RBD 92.9%, Spike 98.8%; Specificity: Nucleocapsid 95.2%, RBD 96.4%, Spike 97.6%. (Combined Nucleocapsid & Spike sensitivity 96.5%, specificity 98.8%)	Salimetrics	NR	RUO
Serum, Plasma	Spike S1, S1-RBD, Nucleocapsid, S1-NTD	IgG, IgA, IgM (combined) IgG, IgA, IgM (individual)	Sensitivity: combined antigens and isotypes 99%; S1- RBD combined isotypes 99%, S1-RBD IgG 99%; Specificity: combined antigens and isotypes 99%, S1- RBD combined isotypes 99%, S1-RBD IgG 99%. During the acute phase, Sensitivity 92%, Specificity 99%.	Emory/MicroB-plex	PMID: 34001652	RUO

BAL: Bronchoalveolar lavage; CLIA: Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments; DBS: Dried blood spots; EUA: Emergency use authorization; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; NIST: National Institute of Standards and Technology; NR: Not reported; NYS CLEP: New York State Clinical Laboratory Evaluation Program; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; PMID: PubMed Identifier; RBD: Receptor binding domain; RUO: Research use only

## Table 3: Neutralization assays

Sample Type	Antibody Neutralization Assay Type	Assay Sensitivity & Specificity	Center/Institution	References	Regulatory Status
Serum, Plasma, BAL	HIV Lentiviral Vector	Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 100%, using SeroNet FNLCR blinded reference panel set (n=110)	The Ohio State University	PMID:33035201	RUO
Serum, Plasma	Live Virus Neutralization Assay (microneutralization)	NR	Mount Sinai	PMID: 32585083 PMID: 33115920	RUO
Serum, Plasma, BAL	Live Virus Neutralization Assay (FRNT)	Sensitivity 93%, Specificity 100%	Saint Louis University	PMID: 34100029 PMID: 33326500	RUO
Serum, Plasma, BAL	Live Virus Neutralization Assay (FRNT/FRNT-mNG/PRNT)	NR	Emory	PMID: 33215858.	RUO
Serum, Plasma, DBS	Live Virus Neutralization Assay (PRNT)	PRNT50: Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 97%; PRNT90: Sensitivity 97%, Specificity 100%	Wadsworth	PMID: 33104179 PMID: 33417696	NYS CLEP-approved (serum and plasma)
Serum, plasma, breast milk	VSV Pseudotype Particle based assay	NR	University of Alabama Birmingham	NR	RUO
Serum, Plasma, Nasal Washes	TCID50 Neutralization Assay	NR	Johns Hopkins University	PMID: 34253053 PMID: 33571169 PMID: 33571162 PMID: 33427749 PMID: 33139419 PMID: 32764200	RUO
Serum, Plasma	ACE2 Competitive Binding Assay	Sensitivity 93.8% Specificity 99.4%	University of Puerto Rico	PMID: 34100029	RUO
Serum, plasma	Lentiviral based pseudovirus assay for Wuhan D614G, Brazil, South Africa, and Delta variants. Assay performed in CHO/ACE2 cells.	Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 100%	Tulane	PMID: 33306985	RUO

ACE2: Angiotensin converting enzyme-2; BAL: Bronchoalveolar lavage; CHO: Chinese hamster ovary; DBS: Dried blood spots; EUA: Emergency use authorization; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; FNLCR: Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research; FRNT: Focus reduction neutralization test; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; mNG: mNeonGreen; NR: Not reported; NYS CLEP: New York State Clinical Laboratory Evaluation Program; PMID: PubMed Identifier; PRNT: Plaque reduction neutralization test; RUO: Research use only; TCID: Tissue culture infectious dose; VSV: Vesicular stomatitis virus

## Table 4: Commercial assays

Instrument/Assay	Antigen	Isotype	Center/Institution	Regulatory Status
Abbott Alinity	Spike	IgM	Mount Sinai	FDA EUA granted
Abbott Architect	Spike, Nucleocapsid	lgG	Cedars-Sinai*	FDA EUA granted
Beckman Coulter Access	Spike	lgG	Arizona State University	FDA EUA granted
Beckman Coulter Access	Spike	IgM	Arizona State University	FDA EUA granted
DiaSorin Liaison	Spike	IgG	Feinstein/Northwell, Kaiser, The Ohio State University	FDA EUA granted
DiaSorin Liaison	Spike	IgM	Feinstein/Northwell	FDA EUA granted
Kantaro SeroKlir	Spike, RBD	IgG	Mount Sinai	FDA EUA granted
Kantaro Quantitative SARS-CoV-2	Spike, RBD	IgG	Mount Sinai	FDA EUA pending
Meso Scale Discovery	Spike, Nucleocapsid	lgG, lgM	University of Alabama - Birmingham, CVVR/BIDMC/Harvard, Johns Hopkins University, Stanford	RUO
Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2	Nucleocapsid	Total Ig	University of Minnesota, Feinstein/Northwell	FDA EUA granted
Roche Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S	RBD	Total Ig	University of Minnesota, Feinstein/Northwell	FDA EUA granted
Siemens Atellica	Spike	Total Ig	Kaiser, The Ohio State University	FDA EUA granted

\*Samples sent to Abbott Diagnostics for testing

BIDMC: Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; CVVR: Center for Virology and Vaccine Research; EUA: Emergency use authorization; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; RBD: Receptor binding domain; RUO: Research use only

Table 5: Units assigned to the U.S. SARS-CoV-2 Serology Standard

Un	nits assigned by FN	ILCR	WHO-calibrated units						
Functional activity	Spike & Nucleocapsid IgM	Spike & Nucleocapsid IgG	Functional activity	Spike IgG	Nucleocapsid IgG	Spike IgM	Nucleocapsid IgM		
200 NU/mL	100 BAU/mL	1200 BAU/mL	815 IU/mL	764 BAU/mL	681 BAU/mL	246 BAU/mL	1037 BAU/mL		

FNLCR: Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research; WHO: World Health Organization; NU/mL: Neutralizing Units per milliliter; BAU/mL: Binding Assay Units per milliliter; IU/mL: International units per milliliter