

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Journal of Affective Disorders



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jad

# Affective experiences during COVID-19 pandemic lockdown and posttraumatic growth: A 1-year longitudinal study in France

N. Goutaudier<sup>a,\*</sup>, N. Martinelli<sup>b</sup>, J. Chevalère<sup>b</sup>, G. Dezecache<sup>b</sup>, C. Belletier<sup>b</sup>, P. Huguet<sup>b</sup>, S. Droit-Volet<sup>b</sup>, S. Gil<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Université de Poitiers; CNRS (CeRCA UMR7295), France

<sup>b</sup> Université Clermont Auvergne; CNRS, LAPSCO, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T				
A R T I C L E I N F O Keywords: COVID-19 Affective experiences of lockdown Posttraumatic growth	<i>Background:</i> The COVID-19 crisis has resulted in major restrictions on daily life that are undeniably detrimental to individual wellbeing. Nevertheless, there may be positive psychological changes over the longer term, particularly in the form of posttraumatic growth (PTG). <i>Methods:</i> A total of 1075 individuals representative of the French population took part in an online survey during the first lockdown (T1: March to May 2020) and 1 year later (T2). Their affective experiences at T1 were analyzed, together with the development of PTG at T2. <i>Results:</i> Three affective profiles were identified at T1: one associated with feelings of loneliness and depressive symptoms (Loneliness cluster), one with positive feelings (Happiness cluster), and one with rather negative feelings of anger and fear, but also a feeling of happiness (Negative-moderate cluster). PTG was generally low at T2, with the Negative-moderate cluster achieving the highest score. <i>Limitations:</i> This study was based on an online survey, and an exploratory cluster analysis was conducted. Complementary studies should be conducted to determine the predictive value of our findings. <i>Conclusions:</i> Within the space of 1 year following the first lockdown due to COVID-19, people living in France, especially those who had experienced a mixture of feelings during lockdown, appeared to develop some form of PTG. Nevertheless, PTG was rather weak overall.				

### 1. Introduction

The pandemic of SARS-CoV-2, the virus responsible for COVID-19, has required unprecedented public-health measures resulting in major adjustments to people's everyday lives, and thus in a considerable psychological impact on individuals (Brooks et al., 2020). Worldwide, it is now acknowledged that the first period of lockdown was associated with psychological distress. In the general population, several psychological impairments were reported such as Substance Use Disorders (Mallet et al., 2021), Burnout syndrome, or Eating Disorders (see Clemente-Suárez et al., 2021 for a review). To date, anxiety and depressive symptoms (see Salari et al., 2020 for a meta-analysis) have received a special attention. According to a recent systematic review and meta-analysis conducted on a total sample of 78,225 participants, the average prevalences were 38.12% for anxiety, 34.31% for depression and 37.54% for psychological distress (Necho et al., 2021). Researchers

(Heeren, 2020) have also highlighted a high prevalence of negative emotional subjective experiences, such as fear and anger (e.g., Moroń and Biolik-Moroń, 2021), as well as feelings of loneliness and isolation (e.g., Groarke et al., 2020; Kokou-Kpolou et al., 2020). Counterintuitively, some studies have suggested that some individuals experienced positive feelings (Moroń and Biolik-Moroń, 2021).

More recently, longitudinal studies have examined the dynamic impact of the health crisis, but only over short time periods of up to 5 months after the first lockdown (Asmundson et al., 2021; Yarrington et al., 2021). These studies suggest that this traumatic experience (Shevlin et al., 2020) may have brought about an improvement in individuals' affective state (i.e., resilience; Yarrington et al., 2021) or even positive psychological changes in terms of life perspective (i.e., posttraumatic growth, PTG; Asmundson et al., 2021; Vazquez et al., 2021). Resilience can be seen as bouncing *back* from a traumatic event, whereas PTG is about bouncing *forward*. More specifically, PTG refers to the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.05.056 Received 30 September 2021; Received in revised form 18 February 2022; Accepted 10 May 2022 Available online 13 May 2022

0165-0327/© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Centre de Recherches sur la Cognition et l'Apprentissage (CeRCA), Bât. A5, MSHS, 5 rue Théodore Lefebvre, TSA 21103, 86073 Poitiers Cedex 9, France.

E-mail address: nelly.goutaudier@univ-poitiers.fr (N. Goutaudier).

restructuring of the fundamental components of the assumptive world, experienced as the result of a struggle with highly challenging life conditions (e.g., Calhoun and Tedeschi, 2014). The pandemic and lockdowns have been challenging for many individuals (e.g. Kokou-Kpolou et al., 2020). This is consistent with the theoretical model according to which PTG can be developed following highly stressful life circumstances (Calhoun and Tedeschi, 2014). Whereas resilience allows individuals to overcome difficult life events and return to normal functioning, PTG extends prior functioning and can lead to a greater appreciation of life, spiritual growth, personal strength, improved relationships, and a renewed sense of possibilities (Calhoun and Tedeschi, 2014). Studies suggest that 39-89% of individuals have developed PTG since the start of the pandemic (e.g., Chen et al., 2021; Stellard et al., 2021). However, most of these studies used cross-sectional designs and assessed PTG immediately after the first wave. According to the theoretical model of PTG (Calhoun and Tedeschi, 2014), changes in core beliefs are a demanding and time-consuming process. Interestingly, two conceptions of PTG have been proposed: *illusory* (i.e., not actual growth, but rather a maladaptive coping strategy) and real (i.e., actual change in fundamental assumptions leading to a decrease in distress) (Boerner et al., 2017). These fundamental conceptions of PTG have been studied surprisingly little in the COVID-19 context, given the importance of considering the illusory side of PTG so as not to overestimate the positive consequences of the pandemic (Asmundson et al., 2021).

In France, the authorities imposed the first lockdown on 17 March 2020, with limited opportunities for people to leave their homes for 9 consecutive weeks. Given that several affective states can simultaneously occur following a stressful event, it seemed appropriate to adopt a person-centered approach to fully assess emotional experiences during this lockdown. The aim of the present exploratory longitudinal study was therefore to a) identify, through a person-centered approach, profiles of affective states (i.e., self-reported emotional experience and selfreported anxiety and depressive symptoms) in a large and representative sample of the general population during the first lockdown in France (T1: March/May 2020), and b) examine differences between these profiles in terms of short-term psychological responses and long-term positive outcomes (T2: March/May 2021). Based on current literature, we reckoned that participants who reported negative affective states (negative emotional experience associated with anxiety and depressive symptoms) during the lockdown at T1 would exhibit a high level of PTG at T2.

### 2. Methods

### 2.1. Participants and procedure

A total of 1075 French participants (mean age = 47.54 years, SD =15.61; 671 women) completed an online questionnaire during the first French lockdown (T1: March to May 2020) and 1 year later (T2: March to May 2021) (see Table 1). The only inclusion criterion was being over 18. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Clermont Auvergne (IRB00011540-2020-31).

### 2.2. Measures<sup>1</sup>

### 2.2.1. Depressive symptoms (T1)

We administered the Beck Depression Inventory Short Form (Beck and Beck, 1972), a 13-item self-report questionnaire. Responses are rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 0 to 3. The total score, ranging from 0 to 39, reflects the intensity of depressive symptoms.

Levels of happiness <i>F</i> (2, 1072) = 512.05, <i>p</i> <			Interpersonal $F$ (2, 1072) =		
.001 Loneliness cluster	2.40	1.02	15.320, <i>p</i> < .001 Loneliness cluster	8.07 <sup>a</sup>	7.75
Happiness cluster	5.11	1.04	Happiness cluster	7.03 <sup>a</sup>	8.59
Negative-moderate feelings cluster	4.26	1.04	Negative- moderate feelings cluster	10.23	8.75
Level of Anger <i>F</i> (2, 1072) = 442.31, <i>p</i> < .001			New possibilities F(2, 1072) = 11.328, $p < .001$		
Loneliness cluster	3.66	1.86	Loneliness cluster	6.03 <sup>a</sup>	5.73
Happiness cluster	1.93	0.99	Happiness cluster	5.59 <sup>a</sup>	6.40
Negative-moderate feelings cluster	4.61	1.26	Negative- moderate feelings cluster	7.57	6.31
Level of Fear <i>F</i> (2, 1072) = 271.33, <i>p</i> < .001			Personal strength <i>F</i> (2, 1072) = 12.116, p < .001		
Loneliness cluster	3.40	1.70	Loneliness cluster	5.44 <sup>a</sup>	4.90
Happiness cluster	2.11	1.04	Happiness cluster	4.92 <sup>a</sup>	5.45
Negative-moderate feelings cluster	4.22	1.35	Negative- moderate feelings cluster	6.69	5.37
Level of isolation <i>F</i> (2, 1072) = 405.37, <i>p</i> < .001			Spiritual changes F(2, 1072) = 8.43, $p = 00023$		
Loneliness cluster	27,35	4.14	Loneliness cluster	1.54 <sup>a,b</sup>	2.22
Happiness cluster	18.13	4.15	Happiness cluster	1.29 <sup>a</sup>	2.34
Negative-moderate feelings cluster	21.62	3.68	Negative- moderate feelings cluster	1.97 <sup>b</sup>	2.59
Psychopathological variables (T1)					
Levels of depressive symptoms $F(2, 1072)$ = 218.16, $p < .001$			Life appreciation <i>F</i> (2, 1072) = 18.31, <i>p</i> < .001		
Loneliness cluster	10,41	6.19	Loneliness cluster	5.36 <sup>a</sup>	3.83
Happiness cluster	2.49	2.98	Happiness cluster	4.32	3.96
Negative-moderate feelings cluster	6.04	5.17	Negative- moderate feelings cluster	5.94 <sup>a</sup>	3.93
Levels of anxiety symptoms $F(2, 1072)$ = 212,13, $p < .001$					
Loneliness cluster	15.73	4.60			
Happiness cluster Negative-moderate	10.00 $14.32$	3.13 4.09			
feelings cluster					

Note. Mean with same superscript are not significantly different from each other (Tukey post-hoc comparisons). Levels of happiness, anger, and fear were assessed using a single item. Levels of isolation were assessed using the Loneliness Scale Short Form; levels of anxiety symptoms were assessed using the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), levels of depressive symptoms were assessed using the Depression Inventory Short Form (BDI-SF), levels of PTG were assessed using the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI). T1 = First Lockdown in France; T2 = One year later.

Table 1

(T1)

Cluster-based variables

SD

Mean

score

Mean comparisons of affective state (non-standardized), anxiety and depressives at T1 and levels of posttraumatic growth at T2 on the 3 clusters (using ANOVAs

Positive

reactions (T2)

SD

and post-hoc test) in a sample of 1075 French participants.

Mean

score

 $<sup>^{1\,}</sup>$  The present study was part of a larger project that included variables which were not analyzed here.

### 2.2.2. Anxiety (T1)

Anxiety was assessed with the 6-item short-form Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (Marteau and Bekker, 1992). Responses are rated on a 4-point scale, with a total score ranging from 6 to 24. The higher the score, the greater the anxiety.

### 2.2.3. Feelings of loneliness (T1)

Feelings of loneliness were assessed with the third version of the UCLA Loneliness Scale Short Form (Russell, 1996), a 10-item self-report questionnaire. Items are rated on a 4-point scale, with a total loneliness score of 10–40.

### 2.2.4. Affective states (T1)

Affective states during lockdown were assessed with one item per affective state. Three affective states were measured: fear, happiness, and anger. Participants had to rate the degree to which they experienced each of these states on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 to 7.

### 2.2.5. Posttraumatic growth levels (T2)

We administered the Posttraumatic Growth Inventory, a 21-item selfreport questionnaire (Tedeschi and Calhoun, 1996). Participants had to rate the degree to which they experienced a change in their life as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic on a 6-point scale ranging from 0 (*I did not experience this change*) to 5 (*I experienced this change to a very great degree*). This scale contains 5 subscales: 1) New possibilities, 2) Interpersonal, 3) Personal strength, 4) Spiritual change, and 5) Appreciation of life. The higher the subscore, the higher the level of posttraumatic growth.

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Statistical analysis

A cluster analysis was conducted to identify homogenous groupings of participants based on standardized scores of affective states at T1. As groups were not determined in advance, we ran a hierarchical cluster analysis and used the dendrogram and the agglomeration schedule to define the appropriate number a cluster to consider. K-means clustering was then used to assign participants to one of the identified clusters. Groups were compared on their mean scores (non-standardized) for each affective state experienced during the first lockdown through a series of one-way analyses of variance and post hoc tests. Groups were then compared on PTG scores at 1-year follow-up.

#### 3.2. Descriptive statistics for three-cluster solution

A three-cluster solution was identified. Discriminant analysis revealed clear distinctions between the clusters (Wilks' Lambda: 0.194),  $F(8, 2138) = 340.771 \ p < .001$ , with 95.53% of the participants correctly classified.

The first cluster (n = 230, 21.40% of study sample) was characterized by elevated feelings of loneliness and labeled *Loneliness*. The second cluster (n = 435, 40.46% of study sample) was characterized by an elevated feeling of happiness and labeled *Happiness*. The third cluster (n = 410, 38.14% of study sample) was characterized by moderate levels of anger, fear, and happiness, and labeled *Negative-moderate* (see Fig. 1).

# 3.3. Means comparisons between three clusters for first lockdown in France (T1)

### 3.3.1. Affective states

Participants in the Negative-moderate feelings cluster had significantly higher mean anger, F(2, 1072) = 442.31, p < .001, and fear, F(2, 1072) = 271.33, p < .001, scores than participants in the Loneliness and Happiness clusters, who did not differ from each other. Participants in the Happiness cluster had a significantly higher mean happiness score, F(2, 1072) = 512,05, p < .001, than participants in the Negative-moderate and Loneliness clusters. Participants in the Loneliness cluster had a significantly higher mean loneliness score, F(2, 1072) = 405.37, p < .001, than participants in the Negative-moderate and Happiness clusters. For a more detailed statistical description, see Table 1.



Fig. 1. Cluster solution based on standardized scores of feelings and emotions (anger, happiness, fear and isolation) experienced during the first lockdown in France in a sample of 1075 French participants.

### 3.3.2. Anxiety and depressive symptoms

Participants in the Loneliness cluster had significantly higher mean scores on anxiety, F(2, 1071) = 212.13, p < .001, and depressive symptoms, F(2, 1070) = 218,16, p < .001, than participants in the Negative-moderate and Happiness clusters (see Table 1).

## 3.4. Means comparisons according to levels of posttraumatic growth 1 year after first lockdown in France (T2)

Regarding the mean PTG subscores (see Table 1), participants in the Negative-moderate cluster had significantly higher mean scores on the interpersonal, F(2, 1072) = 15.320, p < .001, new possibilities, F(2, 1072) = 11.328, p < .001, and personal strength, F(2, 1072) = 12.116, p < .001, subscales, compared with participants in the Loneliness and Happiness clusters, which did not differ from each other. Negative-moderate participants had a significantly higher mean score on the spiritual change subscale, F(2, 1072) = 8.43, p < .001, than Happiness participants, and a significantly lower mean score on the appreciation of life subscale, F(2, 1072) = 18.31, p < .001, than participants in the Loneliness and Negative-moderate clusters, who did not differ from each other.

### 4. Discussion

This longitudinal study was designed to identify different profiles of responses to the first lockdown and establish an association with PTG dimensions 1 year later. Three distinct clusters were highlighted: one positive (Happiness), one negative (Loneliness) and one with moderately negative affective states. Interestingly, 38.14% of participants developed negative-moderate feelings during the lockdown, suggesting the emotional complexity of coping with this situation.

As expected, participants reporting feelings of loneliness had the highest levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms at T1, in line with the cognitive and behavioral explanatory models of mental disorders (Hofmann, 2011). Contrary to our hypothesis, the highest level of PTG at T2 was found not in the cluster with immediate negative emotional responses (i.e., Loneliness), but in the Negative-moderate feelings cluster. The association between negative states and PTG is consistent with the comprehensive model (Calhoun and Tedeschi, 2014) of PTG that highlights distress as a key component of the development of PTG, but questions the role of happiness.

Noteworthily, our findings showed that PTG levels were relatively low, compared with previous studies (e.g., Chen et al., 2021; Stellard et al., 2021). Given the time needed for PTG (Calhoun and Tedeschi, 2014), we reckon that the high PTG levels found in previous (shortterm) studies were actually illusory (Asmundson et al., 2021). The sheer length of the pandemic may prevent individuals from engaging in the process of controlling rumination that can lead to PTG, which would explain this finding.

PTG can lead to a subsequent decrease in psychopathological symptoms such as PTSD (Chen et al., 2015), so it is important to favor it in patients with psychological impairments due to the pandemic. Research in this area has begun, and some efficient therapeutic components aimed at fostering growth could easily be added to traditional therapies (e.g., Calhoun and Tedeschi, 2014; Jones et al., 2020). The integration of PTG into clinical practice for COVID-19-related mental disorders should therefore be considered.

### 4.1. Limitations

Several limitations need to be acknowledged. First, data were obtained through an online survey. Additionally, variables that could play a role in the development of PTG (e.g., rumination) were not assessed. While it might have impacted the experience of lockdowns, personality and temperamental variables were not evaluated, which constitutes an important limitation of this study. Moreover, given the overlapping between measures of loneliness and depressive symptoms, these results need to be considered with caution. Finally, this study did not yield any information on the course of psychological symptoms developed at T1. Although the aim was to explore subsequent PTG, an additional wave of data collection is needed to explore how far real PTG protects individuals from lasting symptoms.

### 5. Conclusion

Overall, our findings suggest that individuals who had difficulty coping with the first lockdown experienced subsequent growth 1 year later, albeit to a very moderate extent. While not elevated, the highest level of PTG was found in participants who reported negative-moderate feelings during the first lockdown. This important finding could be used as a psychoeducational component of clinical practice. Previous reports of high PTG levels therefore need to be viewed with caution, as they may reflect the adoption of maladaptive strategies for coping with negative states. Additional longitudinal studies exploring the impact of long-term PTG on psychopathological symptoms are warranted.

### Funding

The French Research Agency (Agence Nationale de la Recherche-ANR) supported this work under grant ANR-Flash-COVID19.

### CRediT authorship contribution statement

All authors designed the study, wrote the protocol and managed the literature searches. NG undertook the statistical analysis. NG and SG wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

### **Conflict of interest**

None.

#### Acknowledgement

None.

### References

- Asmundson, G.J., Paluszek, M.M., Taylor, S., 2021. Real versus illusory personal growth in response to COVID-19 pandemic stressors. J. Anxiety Disord. 81, 102418 https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2021.102418.
- Beck, A.T., Beck, R.W., 1972. Screening depressed patients in family practice: a rapid technic. Postgrad. Med. 52 (6), 81–85.
- Boerner, M., Joseph, S., Murphy, D., 2017. A theory of reports of constructive (real) and illusory posttraumatic growth. J. Humanist. Psychol. 60 (3), 384–399. https://doi. org/10.1177/0022167817719597.
- Brooks, S.K., Webster, R.K., Smith, L.E., Woodland, L., Wessely, S., Greenberg, N., Rubin, G.J., 2020. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet 395 (10227), 912–920. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8.
- Calhoun, L.G., Tedeschi, R.G., 2014. Handbook of Posttraumatic Growth: Research and Practice. Routledge, London.
- Chen, J., Zhou, X., Zeng, M., Wu, X., 2015. Post-traumatic stress symptoms and posttraumatic growth: Evidence from a longitudinal study following an earthquake disaster. PLoS One 10, e0127241. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0127241.
- Chen, R., Sun, C., Chen, J.J., Jen, H.J., Kang, X.L., Kao, C.C., et al., 2021. A large-scale survey on trauma, burnout, and posttraumatic growth among nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int. J. Ment. Health Nurs. 30 (1), 102–116. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/inm.12796.
- Clemente-Suárez, V.J., Martínez-González, M.B., Benitez-Agudelo, J.C., Navarro-Jiménez, E., Beltran-Velasco, A.I., Ruisoto, P., Tornero-Aguilera, J.F., 2021. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental disorders. A critical review. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 18 (19), 10041. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph181910041.
- Groarke, J.M., Berry, E., Graham-Wisener, L., McKenna-Plumley, P.E., McGlinchey, E., Armour, C., 2020. Loneliness in the UK during the COVID-19 pandemic: crosssectional results from the COVID-19 psychological wellbeing study. PloS one 15 (9), e0239698. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239698.

### N. Goutaudier et al.

- Heeren, A., 2020. On the distinction between fear and anxiety in a (post) pandemic world: a commentary on Schimmenti et al. (2020). Clin. Neuropsychiatry 17 (3) doi: 10.36131/ cn ori editore20200307.
- Hofmann, S.G., 2011. An Introduction to Modern CBT: Psychological Solutions to Mental Health Problems. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.
- Jones, A.C., Hilton, R., Ely, B., Gororo, L., Danesh, V., Sevin, C.M., Boehm, L.M., 2020. Facilitating posttraumatic growth after critical illness. Am. J. Crit. Care 29 (6). https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2020149 e108-e115.
- Kokou-Kpolou, C.K., Megalakaki, O., Laimou, D., Kousouri, M., 2020. Insomnia during COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown: prevalence, severity, and associated risk factors in french population. Psychiatry Res. 290, 113128 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. psychres.2020.113128.
- Mallet, J., Dubertret, C., Le Strat, Y., 2021. Addictions in the COVID-19 era: current evidence, future perspectives a comprehensive review. Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 106, 110070. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. pnpbp.2020.110070.
- Marteau, T.M., Bekker, H., 1992. The development of a six-item short-form of the state scale of the spielberger State—Trait anxiety inventory (STAI). Br. J. Clin. Psychol. 31 (3), 301–306. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.1992.tb00997.x.
- Moroń, M., Biolik-Moroń, M., 2021. Trait emotional intelligence and emotional experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak in Poland: a daily diary study. Personal. Individ. Differ. 168, 110348 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110348.
- Necho, M., Tsehay, M., Birkie, M., Biset, G., Tadesse, E., 2021. Prevalence of anxiety, depression, and psychological distress among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int. J. Soc. Psychiatry 67, 892–906. https://doi.org/10.1177/00207640211003121.

- Russell, D.W., 1996. UCLA loneliness scale (Version 3): reliability, validity, and factor structure. J. Pers. Assess. 66 (1), 20–40. https://doi.org/10.1207/ s15327752jpa6601\_2.
- Salari, N., Hosseinian-Far, A., Jalali, R., Vaisi-Raygani, A., Rasoulpoor, S., Mohammadi, M., Khaledi-Paveh, B., 2020. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Glob. Health 16 (1), 1–11 e108-e115.
- Shevlin, M., Hyland, P., Karatzias, T., 2020. Is posttraumatic stress disorder meaningful in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic? A response to Van Overmeire's commentary on Karatzias et al. (2020). J. Trauma. Stress. 33 (5), 866–868. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jts.22592.
- Stellard, P., Pereira, A.I., Barros, L., 2021. Post-traumatic growth during the COVID-19 pandemic in carers of children in Portugal and the UK: cross-sectional online survey. Br. J. Psychol. Open 7, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2021.1.e37.
- Tedeschi, R.G., Calhoun, L.G., 1996. The posttraumatic growth inventory: measuring the positive legacy of trauma. J. Trauma. Stress. 9 (3), 455–471. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BF02103658.
- Vazquez, C., Valiente, C., García, F.E., Contreras, A., Peinado, V., Trucharte, A., Bentall, R.P., 2021. Post-traumatic growth and stress-related responses during the COVID-19 pandemic in a national representative sample: the role of positive core beliefs about the world and others. J. Happiness Stud. 1–21 https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10902-020-00352-3.
- Yarrington, J.S., Lasser, J., Garcia, D., Vargas, J.H., Couto, D.D., Marafon, T., Niles, A.N., 2021. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health among 157,213 Americans. J. Affect. Disord. 286, 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jad.2021.02.056.