



# The Effect of Daily Methylsulfonylmethane (MSM) Consumption on High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol in Healthy Overweight and Obese Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Lindsey Miller <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Kari Thompson <sup>2</sup>, Carolina Pavlenco <sup>2</sup>, Vijaya Saradhi Mettu <sup>3</sup>, Hans Haverkamp <sup>2</sup>, Samantha Skaufel <sup>2</sup>, Abdul Basit <sup>3</sup>, Bhagwat Prasad <sup>3</sup> and Julie Larsen <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Physiology, DeBusk College of Osteopathic Medicine, Lincoln Memorial University, Knoxville, TN 37934, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Nutrition and Exercise Physiology, Elson S. Floyd College of Medicine, Washington State University, Spokane, WA 99202, USA; kari.thompson@wsu.edu (K.T.); carolina.pavlenco@wsu.edu (C.P.); hans.haverkamp@wsu.edu (H.H.); samskaufel@gmail.com (S.S.); larsen2@wsu.edu (J.L.)
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Washington State University, Spokane, WA 99202, USA;
- vijaya.mettu@wsu.edu (V.S.M.); abdulbasit.shaikh@wsu.edu (A.B.); bhagwat.prasad@wsu.edu (B.P.)
- Correspondence: lindsey.miller@lmunet.edu

Abstract: Interventions to decrease inflammation and improve metabolic function hold promise for the prevention of obesity-related diseases. Methylsulfonylmethane (MSM) is a naturally occurring compound that demonstrates antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects. Improvements in measures of metabolic health have been observed in mouse models of obesity and diabetes following MSM treatment. However, the effects of MSM on obesity-related diseases in humans have not been investigated. Therefore, the purpose of this investigation was to determine whether MSM supplementation improves cardiometabolic health, and markers of inflammation and oxidative status. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled design was utilized with a total of 22 overweight or obese adults completing the study. Participants received either a placebo (white rice flour) or 3 g MSM daily for 16 weeks. Measurements occurred at baseline and after 4, 8, and 16 weeks. Outcome measures included fasting glucose, insulin, blood lipids, blood pressure, body composition, metabolic rate, and markers of inflammation and oxidative status. The primary finding of this work shows that high-density lipoprotein cholesterol was elevated at 8 and 16 weeks of daily MSM consumption compared to baseline, (p = 0.008, p = 0.013). Our findings indicate that MSM supplementation may improve the cholesterol profile by resulting in higher levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Keywords: methylsulfonylmethane; cardiometabolic; inflammation; overweight; obesity

# 1. Introduction

More than seventy percent of the adult population aged 20 and over is classified as overweight or obese in the Unites States [1]. Overweight and obesity are associated with chronic inflammation and oxidative stress, which contributes to metabolic dysfunction and various pathologies including type 2 diabetes, cardiac fibrosis and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [2–8], referred to collectively as cardiometabolic disease. Although overweight or obesity alone is a risk factor for cardiometabolic diseases, the presence of metabolic dysfunction, known as metabolically unhealthy overweight/obesity, further increases risk for the development of CVD and type 2 diabetes compared to individuals with metabolically healthy overweight/obesity [9–14]. Although the precise definition of 'metabolically unhealthy' has varied in the literature, the most common definition is based upon the presence of metabolic syndrome [9]. Metabolic syndrome is defined by the International Diabetes Federation as the presence of three or more of the following risk factors: central obesity



Article

Citation: Miller, L.; Thompson, K.; Pavlenco, C.; Mettu, V.S.; Haverkamp, H.; Skaufel, S.; Basit, A.; Prasad, B.; Larsen, J. The Effect of Daily Methylsulfonylmethane (MSM) Consumption on High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol in Healthy Overweight and Obese Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Nutrients* 2021, *13*, 3620. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu13103620

Academic Editors: Giovanna Trinchese and Maria Pina Mollica

Received: 2 September 2021 Accepted: 13 October 2021 Published: 15 October 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). measured by elevated waist circumference based upon population and country specific definitions, raised triglycerides ( $\geq$ 150 mg/dL), reduced high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol (<40 mg/dL in males and <50 mg/dL in females) or receiving medications for previously diagnosed dyslipidemia, raised blood pressure (systolic  $\geq$  130 mmHg or diastolic  $\geq$  85 mmHg) or treatment for previously diagnosed hypertension, and raised fasting plasma glucose  $\geq$ 100 mg/dL) or previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes [15]. Weight loss programs are often considered the first intervention to improve health outcomes in overweight or obese individuals; however, many programs are unsuccessful, or weight loss is unable to be maintained [16]. Therefore, additional strategies to improve the inflammatory status and metabolic profile, i.e., a shift from metabolically unhealthy to metabolically healthy overweight/obesity, are of the utmost importance for reducing disease development and mortality in obese individuals [13,17].

Recent reports have demonstrated methylsulfonylmethane (MSM), a common dietary supplement, has anti-inflammatory effects both in vitro and in vivo [18–20]. We have recently found that MSM decreases responsiveness to pro-inflammatory stimuli in a model of human cardiac cells, specifically by decreasing the transcript and protein expression of interleukin-6 (IL-6) [21]. Chronic elevation of IL-6 is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity and the development of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease [2,6,22]. Furthermore, MSM improves glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity in mouse models of obesity and type 2 diabetes [23]. Overall, evidence supports that MSM would have a beneficial effect on obesity-related diseases. However, the effects of MSM on cardiometabolic health and disease risk profiles in humans remained unknown. Therefore, the aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that MSM consumption would positively impact markers of metabolic health, inflammation, oxidative status, and cardiac fibrosis in individuals with overweight or obesity.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Study Approval

The study protocol was registered on ClinicalTrial.org (#NCT03716791) and approved by the Washington State University Institutional Review Board, #16970—009.

## 2.2. Participant Recruitment

A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study design was utilized. Participants were recruited by advertisements on social media, flyers posted in public spaces, and campus announcements. Once potential participants contacted the study team, additional information and a pre-screen survey was provided to assess whether they met the study inclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria assessed with the pre-screen survey included being 18–65 years of age; having a BMI of 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or above; free from cardiovascular, liver, renal, thyroid, muscular disease, diabetes, and cancer; not taking immunosuppressants or medication to decrease blood pressure, cholesterol, or blood sugar; not currently taking and willing to refrain from taking dietary supplements; not habitually smoking; not currently pregnant, breastfeeding, or planning to become pregnant during study duration; and willing to maintain regular diet and physical activity levels during the study. After completing the pre-screen survey and signing the informed consent, measured exclusion criteria included a BMI less than 25 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, fasting blood glucose greater than 125 mg/dL, systolic blood pressure 180 mmHg or greater, or diastolic blood pressure 120 mmHg or greater.

The required sample size was estimated by performing a power analysis based upon effect sizes of our key selected outcomes from previously published work. At a power of 0.800 and alpha 0.0500, we predicted we would need a sample size of 10 participants completing the study, per group. With an estimated rate of attrition of 30%, we aimed to recruit 14 participants per group. In the original study design, we aimed to have 10 men and 10 women complete the study for each group to allow for analysis of potential differences between sexes. Unfortunately, the target of men and women participants was not met due precautions taken in response to SARS-CoV-2, and thus we examined two groups

with a mix of men and women. A flow diagram of the participant recruitment process is shown in Figure 1. A total of 474 individuals responded to the advertisements. The prescreening survey was completed by 113 individuals, 31 of which were excluded due to not meeting the inclusion criteria and 39 of which were unable to participate due to scheduling challenges. The remaining 43 individuals signed the informed consent, with 1 individual later disenrolling from the study prior to baseline measurements due to scheduling issues. A total of 42 adults completed baseline measurements, with 22 completing the entire study. Of the 20 individuals who were unable to complete the study, 5 were excluded due to baseline measure excluded due to baseline measure excluded due to baseline measure above study inclusion criteria, including 3 with measured BMI being below the study inclusion criteria, and 2 participants excluded due to fasting blood glucose measures above study inclusion criteria. Three participants were excluded due to self-reported non-compliance (for example eating prior to visits, regularly forgetting to consume supplement), 2 for unforeseen scheduling issues, 1 medical/personal issue unrelated to the study, 2 reported gastrointestinal disturbance (1 from each treatment group), and 7 due to COVID-related social distancing requirements.

# 2.3. Study Design

Participants were randomized to receive 3 g/day of MSM (OptiMSM®, Bergstrom Nutrition, Vancouver, WA, USA) or placebo (capsules containing white rice flour) daily for 16 weeks (blinded from investigators and participants as 'treatment 1' or 'treatment 2'). Both MSM and placebo consisted of 3 pills taken together with or without food in the morning. Outcomes were measured at baseline (pre-supplementation) and following supplementation at 4, 8, and 16 weeks. Participants completed physical activity questionnaires and 3-day diet recalls at baseline and week 16 to ensure no significant changes in diet and physical activity occurred over the course of the study. At each visit, participants were provided enough treatment to last to the next scheduled visit and were instructed to return any unused pills at the next visit for adherence determination. Baseline participant characteristics for each group are presented in Table 1. Prior to the visit, participants were instructed to avoid eating or drinking anything other than water for at least 12 h, including the supplement; avoid over-the-counter medications for 24 h; avoid consuming alcohol or using nicotine products for 24 h; and to avoid vigorous exercise for 24 h. Compliance with pre-visit instructions was assessed by verbal questionnaires at each visit. Participants were also asked to report any side-effects experienced and whether they believed they were consuming MSM or placebo at each follow-up visit.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics.

| Group                      | Placebo             | MSM                 | p Value |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| <i>n</i> (sex)             | 6 (female) 3 (male) | 9 (female) 4 (male) |         |
| age                        | $40.7\pm4.0$        | $43.7\pm3.9$        | 0.605   |
| BMI $(kg/m^2)$             | $34\pm2.3$          | $31.5\pm1.1$        | 0.593   |
| % body fat                 | $41.2\pm3.3$        | $39.8\pm2.0$        | 0.708   |
| RMR (kcals/day)            | $1650.0\pm218.3$    | $1596.9 \pm 109.3$  | 0.814   |
| PA (kcals/kg/week)         | $290.5\pm29.2$      | $299.6\pm24.3$      | 0.789   |
| Dietary intake (kcals/day) | $2069.1\pm174.4$    | $2206.0 \pm 134.3$  | 0.54    |
| Fat (g/day)                | $78.9\pm6.5$        | $102.2\pm 6.5$      | 0.031 * |
| Protein (g/day)            | $82.6\pm11.0$       | $97.8 \pm 10.2$     | 0.263   |
| Carbohydrate (g/day)       | $258.0\pm43.5$      | $231.5\pm25.4$      | 0.583   |

BMI, body mass index; RMR, resting metabolic rate; PA, physical activity. \* indicates a significant difference between groups,  $p \le 0.05$ .

#### 2.4. Physical Activity

Participants agreed to avoid any changes in their physical activity habits for the duration of the study. Participants completed the physical activity questionnaire developed by Rubenstein, Morgenstern, and colleagues (PAQ-M) [24]. The PAQ-M includes eight activity domains enabling the calculation of a total physical activity score in kcal/kg/week

and has been validated against the Paffenbarger PAQ [24]. The PAQ-M was completed during the initial visit and again during the last visit to assess potential changes in physical activity that might have occurred through the duration of the study.



**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of participant recruitment process. BMI, body mass index; MSM, methylsul-fonylmethane.

#### 2.5. Dietary Intake

Participants agreed to avoid any changes in their typical dietary habits for the duration of the study. Participants were asked to complete three separate 24-h diet recalls (2 weekdays and one weekend day) within one week of study start date and again within one week of study end date using the Automated Self-Administered 24-h Dietary Assessment Tool (ASA24) [25]. Each recall was completed within 24 h of the reported day.

## 2.6. Anthropometric Measures

Height was measured at each visit using a stadiometer. Body weight, body mass index (BMI), and percent body fat assessed by bioelectric impedance were measured at each visit with the Tanita body composition analyzer SC-240. Waist and hip circumference were also measured at each visit.

#### 2.7. Metabolic Rate and Blood Pressure

Resting heart rate (bpm) and blood pressure (mmHg) were measured at each visit using an OMRON HEM-907XL digital blood pressure monitor, following 5 min of seated rest. Resting metabolic rate (kcal/day) was assessed at baseline and at the 16-week visit utilizing either a ParvoMedics TrueOne 2400 or a Carefusion Vyntus CPX, with each participant completing both of their measurements on the same system. Metabolic measurements were recorded for 15 min of seated rest, with the last 5 min of data averaged for determination of metabolic rate and respiratory exchange ratio (RER).

## 2.8. Blood Measures

Blood was collected from the antecubital vein by a registered nurse. Blood collected in serum separator tubes was sent to Laboratory Corporation of America (LabCorp) for analysis of glucose, insulin, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels (HDL), low-density lipoprotein levels (LDL), very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), triglyceride levels, and C-reactive protein (CRP). Insulin sensitivity was assessed by the Homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), calculated as the product of fasting glucose (mmol/L) and fasting insulin (uIU/mL) divided by 22.5 [26,27]. For all other measures, blood was collected in serum separator tubes, allowed to clot at room temperature for 15–20 min, and centrifuged at  $4000 \times g$  for 15 min. Serum was aliquoted and stored at -80 °C. Additional markers of oxidative status and inflammation were assessed using commercially available kits, following manufacturer's instructions. Assay manufacturer information is as follows: interleukin-6 (IL-6) Invitrogen, Vienna Austria, Cat# KHC0061; tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ) Invitrogen, Vienna Austria, Cat# KHC3014; total antioxidant capacity (TAC) Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, Item# 709001; Trans-4-Hydroxy-2-nonenal (4-HNE), Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA, Cat#NBP2-66364. Procollagen I N-terminal peptide (PINP) and procollagen III N-terminal peptide (PIIINP) were measured to assess cardiac fibrosis, purchased from Cloud-Clone Corp., Wuhan, China Cat# SEA957Hu and Cat# SEA573Hu, respectively. Serum concentrations of MSM were determined by LC-MS/MS following a previously developed protocol [28]. Briefly, 20  $\mu$ L of human serum samples were precipitated with 50  $\mu$ L of acetone containing  $112 \ \mu g/mL$  of internal standard (methyl-D3 methanesulfonate). Samples were vortexed and acetone was removed by evaporation on heating blocks at 37 °C for 1 h. The samples were diluted by adding 150 µL of water with 0.1% formic acid and vortex mixed for 5 min before centrifugation at  $16,000 \times g$  for 20 min. The supernatant was injected into LC-MS/MS. LC-MS/MS analysis was carried out using an M-class Waters UPLC system coupled with Xevo TQ-XS µLC-MS/MS instrument (Waters Technologies, Milford, MA, USA). Chromatographic separation of MSM and its internal standard, methyl-D3 methanesulfonate, was achieved using a reversed phase BEH C18 column (130 A, 1.7 μm, 1 mm imes 100 mm, Waters Technologies). Mobile phase consisted of eluent A (1 mM ammonium acetate with 0.1% formic acid in water) and B (methanol with 0.1% formic acid). The following gradient was applied to separate the analyte and internal standard: 0.0-1 min

(B 10% to 50%), 1–4 min (B % to 95%), 4–5 min (B 95%), and 5.1–6 min (B 10%). The flow rate was 25  $\mu$ L/min from 0 to 1 min and increased to 35  $\mu$ L/min from 1 to 5 min, and reduced to 25  $\mu$ L/min until 6 min. The mass spectrometer was used in the positive ESI mode using the multiple reaction monitoring approach. The capillary voltage was set to 3.5 kV, source temperature 150 °C, desolvation temperature was 250 °C, the gas flow to cone was 150 L/h and to the desolvation was 600 L/h. The multiple reaction monitoring transitions were m/z 94.9 > m/z 62.9 and m/z 113.54 > m/z 78.94 for MSM and methyl-D3 methanesulfonate, respectively. Data were acquired by TargetLynx software (MassLynx version 4.2 (Targetlynx, Waters Technologies, Milford, MA, USA)).

# 2.9. Statistics

Significance was indicated by *p* values of  $\leq 0.05$ , a priori. The Shapiro–Wilk test was utilized to confirm normality and differences between groups and across timepoints were assessed by two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc analysis when appropriate. In the case of failed normality, rank transformation was performed. *t*-tests were used to examine differences between groups at baseline with Mann–Whitney Rank Sum Test in the case of failed normality. Within the group receiving MSM, Pearson r was used to evaluate correlations between outcome measures and serum MSM concentrations. SigmaPlot software was utilized for all statistical analyses.

# 3. Results

#### 3.1. Physical Activity and Diet

No group differences were detected at baseline for physical activity (kcal/kg/week), total daily caloric intake, or grams of carbohydrate, or protein, although fat intake was higher in the group receiving MSM (Table 1). Additionally, no differences were noted between the baseline (0 week) and end of study (16 week) for any variable in either group, (data not shown).

# 3.2. Serum Methylsulfonylmethane

Serum MSM concentrations were significantly elevated by 4 weeks in the MSM group (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Serum MSM concentrations were significantly elevated after 4 weeks of supplementation in the MSM group. MSM was undetectable in serum samples from placebo at each time point. \* Indicates significantly different from baseline,  $p \le 0.001$ .

# 3.3. Anthropometric, Metabolic Measures

Anthropometric measures consisted of height, weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, waist-hip circumference ratio, body composition by bioelectric impedance,

resting metabolic rate, resting heart rate, and blood pressure. No differences were detected between groups at baseline for any measure. Additionally, no differences were found between groups for any of the anthropometric measures, blood pressure, resting metabolic rate, or respiratory exchange ratio during treatment. Additionally, no differences were found at baseline, or any time point for fasting blood glucose, insulin, HOMA index, total cholesterol, LDL, VLDL, or triglycerides (Table 2). However, in the experimental group HDL was increased at 8 and 16 weeks compared with baseline (p = 0.008, p = 0.013), although there were no significant differences between groups (Figure 3).

**Table 2.** Measures of cardiometabolic health. HOMA-IR, Homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance; TGs, triglycerides; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; VLDL, very-low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure. \* indicates different from baseline,  $p \le 0.05$ .

| Variable                  | Group   | Baseline         | 4 Week         | 8 Week           | 16 Week            | <i>p</i> Value |  |
|---------------------------|---------|------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|
|                           | . 1 1   | 00.0   4.1       | 02.0   2.7     | 020   20         | 04.4 + 2.2         | <b>r</b>       |  |
| glucose (mg/dL)           | placebo | $92.3 \pm 4.1$   | $93.8 \pm 3.7$ | $92.9 \pm 3.9$   | $94.4 \pm 3.2$     | 0.656          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $92.5 \pm 3.0$   | $95.0 \pm 2.8$ | $93.8 \pm 2.9$   | $93.2 \pm 2.5$     |                |  |
| insulin (uUI/mL)          | placebo | $19.6 \pm 3.6$   | $18.2\pm4.4$   | $17.4 \pm 5.4$   | $20.6 \pm 5.8$     | 0.097          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $18.5\pm2.6$     | $18.3\pm1.7$   | $14.7\pm1.5$     | $17.9 \pm 1.6$     |                |  |
| HOMA-IR                   | placebo | $4.5\pm0.8$      | $4.2\pm1.1$    | $4.0 \pm 1.3$    | $4.8 \pm 1.4$      | 0.260          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $4.2\pm0.6$      | $4.3\pm0.4$    | $3.9\pm0.5$      | $4.1\pm0.4$        |                |  |
| Total Cholesterol (mg/dL) | placebo | $188.3 \pm 11.5$ | $194.3\pm8.0$  | $200\pm9.4$      | $186.9\pm9.3$      | 0.099          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $172.2\pm10.3$   | $179.0\pm8.4$  | $181.2\pm8.0$    | $176.4\pm8.3$      |                |  |
| TGs (mg/dL)               | placebo | $165.0\pm36.0$   | $121.1\pm13.8$ | $156.4\pm35.7$   | $162.1\pm26.2$     | 0.515          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $112.5\pm11.4$   | $110.7\pm9.6$  | $112.1\pm12.8$   | $131.6\pm18.3$     |                |  |
| LDL (mg/dL)               | placebo | $118.6\pm9.2$    | $123.9\pm6.5$  | $127.6\pm9.7$    | $109.7\pm10.2$     | 0.10(          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $102.0\pm8.5$    | $106.2\pm7.3$  | $107.4\pm5.6$    | $100.2\pm6.3$      | 0.126          |  |
| VLDL (mg/dL)              | placebo | $27.8\pm3.4$     | $24.4\pm2.7$   | $27.1\pm1.4$     | $29.2\pm5.2$       | 0.235          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $22.5\pm2.3$     | $22.2\pm1.9$   | $21.6\pm2.6$     | $24.4\pm3.6$       |                |  |
| HDL (mg/dL)               | placebo | $42.7\pm2.5$     | $46.0\pm3.8$   | $46.3\pm3.8$     | $48.0\pm4.9$       | 0.003          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $44.9\pm3.7$     | $50.7\pm3.0$   | * 52.2 $\pm$ 2.9 | $*$ 51.8 $\pm$ 2.8 |                |  |
| SBP (mmHg)                | placebo | $123.5\pm5.2$    | $118.1\pm5.2$  | $119.3\pm5.4$    | $114.9\pm3.1$      | 0.625          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $127.3\pm4.6$    | $120.3\pm5.2$  | $119.7\pm4.0$    | $121.6\pm4.8$      |                |  |
| DBP (mmHg)                | placebo | $84.8\pm4.5$     | $77.6\pm3.5$   | $79.8\pm3.0$     | $78.2\pm2.6$       | 0.967          |  |
|                           | MSM     | $80.7\pm3.1$     | $81.3\pm4.0$   | $77.6\pm3.5$     | $80.1\pm3.3$       |                |  |



**Figure 3.** High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) was elevated at 8 and 16 weeks in the MSM group. (**A**) absolute values of HDL, (**B**) Differences from baseline. \* Indicates different from baseline,  $p \le 0.05$ .

## 3.4. Measures of Inflammation, Oxidative Status, and Cardiac Fibrosis

No differences were detected for TNF $\alpha$  or IL-6, (Table 3). CRP was significantly lower in MSM compared to placebo at week 16 (p = 0.04). However, CRP increased across time in the placebo group, with significant differences found from week 4 compared to week 8 and 16 (p = 0.039, p = 0.021) while CRP was unchanged in the MSM group (Figure 4). The total antioxidant capacity of the serum was not different between the MSM and placebo group (Table 3). No differences were found between the groups for PIIINP or PINP, (Table 3). No significant correlations were found between serum MSM concentration and any outcome measure.

**Table 3.** Markers of inflammation, oxidative status, and cardiac fibrosis. All measures expressed relative to total serum protein content. TAC, Total antioxidant capacity; TNF- $\alpha$ , Tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ , IL-6, Interleukin-6; 4-HNE, Trans-4-Hydroxy-2-nonenal; PINP, Procollagen I N-terminal peptide; PIIINP, procollagen III N-terminal peptide.

| Variable      | Group   | Baseline               | 4 Week            | 8 Week           | 16 Week                | p Value |  |
|---------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------|--|
| TAC           | placebo | $6.06\pm0.58$          | $6.49 \pm 0.78$   | $6.30\pm0.74$    | $6.44\pm0.91$          | 0.583   |  |
|               | MSM     | $6.37\pm0.58$          | $6.49\pm0.61$     | $6.75\pm0.66$    | $6.75\pm0.60$          |         |  |
| TNF-α         | placebo | $6.46 \pm 1.08$        | $6.63 \pm 1.07$   | $6.72 \pm 1.07$  | $6.84 \pm 1.08$        | 0.498   |  |
|               | MSM     | $7.84 \pm 1.06$        | $7.76 \pm 1.00$   | $7.63\pm0.98$    | $8.04 \pm 1.13$        |         |  |
| IL-6          | placebo | $1.75\pm0.43$          | $2.33\pm0.59$     | $2.17\pm0.71$    | $2.29\pm0.66$          | 0.319   |  |
|               | MSM     | $1.79\pm0.60$          | $2.07\pm0.67$     | $1.76\pm0.62$    | $1.68\pm0.57$          |         |  |
| 4-HNE         | placebo | $91.24 \pm 20.91$      | $96.63 \pm 22.14$ | $87.77\pm21.5$   | $85.75\pm26.62$        | 0.574   |  |
|               | MSM     | $50.90 \pm 6.58$       | $55.01 \pm 7.37$  | $55.61 \pm 8.51$ | $49.88 \pm 7.54$       |         |  |
| PINP pla<br>M | placebo | $437.38\pm98.32$       | _                 | _                | $363.27\pm51.39$       | 0.007   |  |
|               | MSM     | $432.74\pm77.78$       | _                 | _                | $460.38\pm 64.39$      | 0.297   |  |
| PIIINP        | placebo | $0.446 \pm 0.130$      | _                 | _                | $0.680\pm0.293$        | 0.209   |  |
|               | MSM     | $0.305\pm0.077$        | _                 | _                | $0.533 \pm 0.120$      | 0.308   |  |
| PINP/PIIINP   | placebo | $3691.512 \pm 2714.35$ | _                 | _                | $2369.616 \pm 945.108$ | 0.311   |  |
|               | MSM     | $1727.916 \pm 601.482$ | _                 | _                | $899.000 \pm 187.634$  |         |  |



**Figure 4.** C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were lower in the MSM group compared to placebo at week 16. However, the placebo group showed increased CRP levels at week 8 and 16 compared to week 4. # Significantly different from week 4,  $p \le 0.05$ ;  $\ddagger$  significantly different from placebo group,  $p \le 0.05$ .

# 4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of MSM on markers of cardiometabolic health. Our major finding is that daily MSM consumption resulted in an improvement in HDL levels at 16 weeks compared to baseline. Additionally, CRP levels were lower in the group receiving MSM compared to the placebo group at 16 weeks, although this was due to increased CRP levels in the placebo group. Strengths of the study include utilization of a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled design and quantification of MSM levels in serum. However, the small sample size limits interpretation. More studies utilizing larger participant numbers and a cross-over study design are warranted to further characterize the effects of MSM on inflammation, oxidative stress, and cardiac fibrosis in humans. Our findings in the context of previous work and suggestions for future studies are discussed below.

#### 4.1. Physical Activity and Diet

Our data show that typical physical activity or dietary habits were not altered during the study period. Groups did not differ on levels of physical activity or caloric intake, although fat intake was greater in the group receiving MSM, which we are unable to account for. Overall, relatively low levels of physical activity were reported by the participants. While beyond the scope of the current study, future studies should seek to identify whether physical activity level or dietary factors may affect MSM activity.

#### 4.2. Serum MSM

Serum MSM concentrations were significantly elevated by 4 weeks of MSM supplementation and did not differ between weeks 4 and 16. However, we observed a nonsignificant decrease in serum MSM concentration at week 16, which we are unable to explain from the current data set. The concentration of serum MSM found in participants consuming 3 g of MSM daily is similar to that found in a previous study investigating the serum concentrations following varying doses of MSM consumption [29]. Additional studies examining more prolonged intake of MSM and serum concentrations would shed light on whether prolonged, regular supplementation results in steady serum concentrations, or if serum levels may change over time.

#### 4.3. Markers of Cardiometabolic Health

To our knowledge, this is the first investigation of the potential benefits of MSM supplementation on cardiometabolic health in humans. Rationale for the study design stemmed from established links between cardiometabolic disease and inflammation and previous research indicating an anti-inflammatory effect of MSM [18–20,23]. Importantly, MSM has been found to improve insulin sensitivity and blood glucose levels in mouse models of diet-induced obesity as well as leptin receptor-deficient (db/db) mice [23]. Although the mechanisms of MSM action to improve metabolic function are yet to be fully resolved, it appears modulation of gene expression impacting lipogenesis and inflammation is involved [23]. MSM improved glucose levels in db/db mice in a dose-dependent fashion with corresponding increases in insulin level and sensitivity, with the highest dose completely normalizing glucose levels [23]. Interestingly, MSM supplementation in normoglycemic mice does not alter blood glucose levels [23].

Our results do not indicate that 16 weeks of MSM supplementation causes change in fasting glucose levels or insulin sensitivity. However, when interpreting the results, it is important to note that the range in fasting glucose and markers of insulin sensitivity at baseline among participants was in the 'normal' range (some participants considered normal, while others 'high'), and the low statistical power due to small sample size. Since MSM has not been found to impact glucose levels or insulin sensitivity when values are in the normal range, it may be that individuals without impaired glucose levels or insulin sensitivity would not experience changes in these values with MSM supplementation. Therefore, further studies with a larger sample size and more homogenous participant characteristics of metabolically unhealthy obesity need to be performed.

A primary, novel finding of the present study is the improvement in HDL cholesterol levels in participants consuming MSM. Epidemiological studies have reported the inverse relationship between HDL and cardiovascular disease, with an estimated decrease in coronary heart disease risk of 2% in men and 3% in women for every 1 mg/dL increase in HDL cholesterol [30]. Therefore, MSM supplementation could offer significant health benefits through elevated HDL levels. However, it is important to note that some studies of pharmacologically elevated HDL cholesterol have failed to translate to improve health outcomes [31]. Whether MSM impacts the overall function of HDL cholesterol is yet to be determined. Additionally, we are unable to determine the mechanisms for increased HDL cholesterol levels could be due to decreased inflammation and changes in the metabolism of HDL. Although our findings did not support decreased levels of inflammatory markers, multiple

studies have indicated the anti-inflammatory nature of MSM [19,20,32]. A specific mechanism could be through the effect of MSM on NF- $\kappa$ B activity. Inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B results in elevated HDL cholesterol [33], and MSM has been documented to decrease NF- $\kappa$ B activation in LPS stimulated macrophages [19], thus presenting a plausible mechanism for our present observations. Future studies to confirm our observations and further investigate the effect of MSM on HDL function are warranted.

#### 4.4. Markers of Inflammation and Oxidative Status

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effect of MSM on markers of inflammatory and oxidative status in adults with overweight or obesity. Our study did not find any significant changes in the inflammatory markers  $TNF\alpha$ , IL-6, or markers of oxidative status, TAC or 4-HNE. C-reactive protein was significantly higher in the placebo group than the treatment group at 16 weeks, although this was due to an increased CRP level in that group, without any change in CRP levels in the group receiving MSM. Although preventing a rise in CRP levels may be considered a benefit [34], we are unable to account for the cause of increased CRP level in the placebo group. Therefore, the data are inconclusive on whether 16 weeks of MSM supplementation resulted in improvement in inflammatory profile based upon our current data set.

In contrast to our findings, recent evidence suggests that MSM may decrease levels of inflammation and oxidative stress [18,20,32,35,36]. For example, MSM inhibits nodlike receptor family pyrin domains containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome in macrophages derived from humans and mice, treated with lipopolysaccharide [20]. Transcript expression of IL-1 $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, and NLRP3 were decreased following MSM treatment [20]. Several studies have demonstrated decreased measures of inflammation and oxidative stress following MSM supplementation in models of exhaustive exercise, although pre-exercise measures do not appear to be impacted [18,32,35,36]. It may be that overall levels of the measured inflammatory markers in the present study were too low to detect changes in 'unstressed' situations, similar to studies that have examined the effect of MSM at rest and during exhaustive exercise.

#### 4.5. Cardiac Fibrosis

Interventions to decrease NF- $\kappa$ B expression, inflammatory cytokines, and ROS decrease cardiac fibrosis [37,38]. Since previous studies indicated MSM decreases NF- $\kappa$ B activation and downstream inflammatory markers, we hypothesized that MSM could also decrease markers of cardiac fibrosis. Markers of fibrosis were measured at baseline and 16 weeks by examining blood levels of procollagen III N-terminal peptide (PIINP) and procollagen I N-terminal peptide (PINP). These markers decrease and increase, respectively, in accordance with the development of cardiac fibrosis [39]. Our data do not support this hypothesis, since PIIINP and PINP were not different between groups. Study limitations (sample size, relatively healthy population) and lack of more sensitive measures of cardiac fibrosis should be noted. More studies are needed to investigate the potential effects of MSM on cardiac fibrosis.

# 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, oral supplementation with MSM may offer cardiometabolic benefits through increased HDL cholesterol. Animal models suggest that MSM may also improve insulin sensitivity and glucose regulation. The lack of supportive evidence in the present study should be interpreted with caution due to lack of a cross-over study design and homogeneity among our participant population (i.e., normal vs. high fasting blood glucose levels) and the relatively small sample size. Future work is needed to determine the full benefits of MSM on cardiometabolic health. **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, L.M.; Data curation, K.T. and C.P.; Formal analysis, L.M., V.S.M., A.B. and B.P.; Funding acquisition, L.M.; Investigation, L.M., K.T., C.P., H.H., S.S. and J.L.; Methodology, L.M., V.S.M., A.B. and B.P.; Project administration, L.M. and K.T.; Resources, L.M. and

Methodology, L.M., V.S.M., A.B. and B.P.; Project administration, L.M. and K.T.; Resources, L.M. and B.P.; Supervision, L.M., K.T., C.P., B.P. and J.L.; Validation, V.S.M., A.B. and B.P.; Writing—original draft, L.M. and V.S.M.; Writing—review & editing, K.T., C.P., H.H., B.P. and J.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Bergstrom Nutrition, and the Washington State University New Faculty Seed Grant to L.M., grant number 135541.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Washington State University (protocol code 16970, approved 20 September 2018).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Acknowledgments:** The study team thanks Nicholas Dunn, the Department of Nutrition and Exercise Physiology Laboratory Coordinator, for superb technical assistance. We also thank Judy Griffin, RN, for her wonderful phlebotomy skill and help with study flow. Finally, we thank our fabulous participants for their time and dedication to this project.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The study was funded, in part, by Bergstrom Nutrition, manufacturer and provider of OptiMSM<sup>®</sup>. The funders took no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

- 1. Ogden, C.L.; Flegal, K.M. Prevalence of Obesity Among Adults and Youth: United States, 2011–2014. NCHS Data Brief 2015, 131, 1–8.
- Fève, B.; Bastard, J.-P. The Role of Interleukins in Insulin Resistance and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 2009, 5, 305–311. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Suzuki, T.; Katz, R.; Jenny, N.S.; Zakai, N.A.; LeWinter, M.M.; Barzilay, J.I.; Cushman, M. Metabolic Syndrome, Inflammation, and Incident Heart Failure in the Elderly: The Cardiovascular Health Study. *Circ. Heart Fail.* **2008**, *1*, 242–248. [CrossRef]
- 4. Savini, I.; Catani, M.V.; Evangelista, D.; Gasperi, V.; Avigliano, L. Obesity-Associated Oxidative Stress: Strategies Finalized to Improve Redox State. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2013, *14*, 10497–10538. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fang, L.; Ellims, A.H.; Beale, A.L.; Taylor, A.J.; Murphy, A.; Dart, A.M. Systemic Inflammation Is Associated with Myocardial Fibrosis, Diastolic Dysfunction, and Cardiac Hypertrophy in Patients with Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 2017, 9, 5063–5073. [PubMed]
- Bahrami, H.; Bluemke, D.A.; Kronmal, R.; Bertoni, A.G.; Lloyd-Jones, D.M.; Shahar, E.; Szklo, M.; Lima, J.A.C. Novel Metabolic Risk Factors for Incident Heart Failure and Their Relationship with Obesity: The MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) Study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2008, 51, 1775–1783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Visser, M.; Bouter, L.M.; McQuillan, G.M.; Wener, M.H.; Harris, T.B. Elevated C-Reactive Protein Levels in Overweight and Obese Adults. JAMA 1999, 282, 2131–2135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Wee, C.C.; Mukamal, K.J.; Huang, A.; Davis, R.B.; McCarthy, E.P.; Mittleman, M.A. Obesity and C-Reactive Protein Levels among White, Black, and Hispanic US Adults. *Obesity* 2008, *16*, 875–880. [CrossRef]
- 9. Eckel, N.; Meidtner, K.; Kalle-Uhlmann, T.; Stefan, N.; Schulze, M.B. Metabolically Healthy Obesity and Cardiovascular Events: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* **2016**, *23*, 956–966. [CrossRef]
- Bell, J.A.; Kivimaki, M.; Hamer, M. Metabolically Healthy Obesity and Risk of Incident Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. Obes. Rev. Off. J. Int. Assoc. Study Obes. 2014, 15, 504–515. [CrossRef]
- Bañuls, C.; Rovira-Llopis, S.; Lopez-Domenech, S.; Diaz-Morales, N.; Blas-Garcia, A.; Veses, S.; Morillas, C.; Victor, V.M.; Rocha, M.; Hernandez-Mijares, A. Oxidative and Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress Is Impaired in Leukocytes from Metabolically Unhealthy vs Healthy Obese Individuals. *Int. J. Obes.* 2017, *41*, 1556–1563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Esser, N.; L'homme, L.; De Roover, A.; Kohnen, L.; Scheen, A.J.; Moutschen, M.; Piette, J.; Legrand-Poels, S.; Paquot, N. Obesity Phenotype Is Related to NLRP3 Inflammasome Activity and Immunological Profile of Visceral Adipose Tissue. *Diabetologia* 2013, 56, 2487–2497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Opio, J.; Croker, E.; Odongo, G.S.; Attia, J.; Wynne, K.; McEvoy, M. Metabolically Healthy Overweight/Obesity Are Associated with Increased Risk of Cardiovascular Disease in Adults, Even in the Absence of Metabolic Risk Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Obes. Rev.* 2020, *21*, e13127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bogers, R.P.; Bemelmans, W.J.E.; Hoogenveen, R.T.; Boshuizen, H.C.; Woodward, M.; Knekt, P.; van Dam, R.M.; Hu, F.B.; Visscher, T.L.S.; Menotti, A.; et al. Association of Overweight with Increased Risk of Coronary Heart Disease Partly Independent of Blood Pressure and Cholesterol Levels: A Meta-Analysis of 21 Cohort Studies Including More Than 300,000 Persons. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2007, 167, 1720–1728. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Alberti, K.G.M.M.; Eckel, R.H.; Grundy, S.M.; Zimmet, P.Z.; Cleeman, J.I.; Donato, K.A.; Fruchart, J.C.; James, W.P.T.; Loria, C.M.; Smith, S.C. Harmonizing the Metabolic Syndrome: A Joint Interim Statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; And International Association for the Study of Obesity. *Circulation* 2009, 120, 1640–1645. [CrossRef]
- Franz, M.J.; Boucher, J.L.; Rutten-Ramos, S.; VanWormer, J.J. Lifestyle Weight-Loss Intervention Outcomes in Overweight and Obese Adults with Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* 2015, 115, 1447–1463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Stefan, N.; Häring, H.-U.; Schulze, M.B. Metabolically Healthy Obesity: The Low-Hanging Fruit in Obesity Treatment? *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* **2018**, *6*, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 18. Nakhostin-Roohi, B.; Barmaki, S.; Khoshkhahesh, F.; Bohlooli, S. Effect of Chronic Supplementation with Methylsulfonylmethane on Oxidative Stress Following Acute Exercise in Untrained Healthy Men. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 2011, 63, 1290–1294. [CrossRef]
- Kim, Y.H.; Kim, D.H.; Lim, H.; Baek, D.-Y.; Shin, H.-K.; Kim, J.-K. The Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Methylsulfonylmethane on Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Inflammatory Responses in Murine Macrophages. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 2009, 32, 651–656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahn, H.; Kim, J.; Lee, M.-J.; Kim, Y.J.; Cho, Y.-W.; Lee, G.-S. Methylsulfonylmethane Inhibits NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation. Cytokine 2015, 71, 223–231. [CrossRef]
- 21. Miller, L.E. Methylsulfonylmethane Decreases Inflammatory Response to Tumor Necrosis Factor-α in Cardiac Cells. *Am. J. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2018**, *8*, 31–38. [PubMed]
- Bertoni, A.G.; Burke, G.L.; Owusu, J.A.; Carnethon, M.R.; Vaidya, D.; Barr, R.G.; Jenny, N.S.; Ouyang, P.; Rotter, J.I. Inflammation and the Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Diabetes Care* 2010, 33, 804–810. [CrossRef]
- Sousa-Lima, I.; Park, S.-Y.; Chung, M.; Jung, H.J.; Kang, M.-C.; Gaspar, J.M.; Seo, J.A.; Macedo, M.P.; Park, K.S.; Mantzoros, C.; et al. Methylsulfonylmethane (MSM), an Organosulfur Compound, Is Effective against Obesity-Induced Metabolic Disorders in Mice. *Metabolism* 2016, 65, 1508–1521. [CrossRef]
- 24. Rubenstein, J.H.; Morgenstern, H.; Kellenberg, J.; Kalish, T.; Donovan, J.; Inadomi, J.; McConnell, D.; Stojanovska, J.; Schoenfeld, P. Validation of a New Physical Activity Questionnaire for a Sedentary Population. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 2011, *56*, 2678–2687. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Subar, A.F.; Kirkpatrick, S.I.; Mittl, B.; Zimmerman, T.P.; Thompson, F.E.; Bingley, C.; Willis, G.; Islam, N.G.; Baranowski, T.; McNutt, S.; et al. The Automated Self-Administered 24-Hour Dietary Recall (ASA24): A Resource for Researchers, Clinicians, and Educators from the National Cancer Institute. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* 2012, 112, 1134–1137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Matthews, D.R.; Hosker, J.P.; Rudenski, A.S.; Naylor, B.A.; Treacher, D.F.; Turner, R.C. Homeostasis Model Assessment: Insulin Resistance and β-Cell Function from Fasting Plasma Glucose and Insulin Concentrations in Man. *Diabetologia* 1985, 28, 412–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Wallace, T.M.; Levy, J.C.; Matthews, D.R. Use and Abuse of HOMA Modeling. *Diabetes Care* 2004, 27, 1487–1495. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lin, L.; Ma, D.; Bloomer, R.; Butawan, M.; Smith, W.A.; Yates, C.R. Development and Application of an LC-MS/MS Method for Determining Methylsulfonylmethane (MSM) Levels in Human Plasma. J Nutr Food Sci. 2020, 10, 773.
- 29. Luo, X.; Chae, M.; Krishnakumar, R.; Danko, C.G.; Kraus, W. Dynamic Reorganization of the AC16 Cardiomyocyte Transcriptome in Response to TNFα Signaling Revealed by Integrated Genomic Analyses. *BMC Genom.* **2014**, *15*, 155. [CrossRef]
- Gordon, D.J.; Probstfield, J.L.; Garrison, R.J.; Neaton, J.D.; Castelli, W.P.; Knoke, J.D.; Jacobs, D.R.; Bangdiwala, S.; Tyroler, H.A. High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol and Cardiovascular Disease. Four Prospective American Studies. *Circulation* 1989, 79, 8–15. [CrossRef]
- 31. Pirillo, A.; Catapano, A.L.; Norata, G.D. Biological Consequences of Dysfunctional HDL. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2019**, *26*, 1644–1664. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. van der Merwe, M.; Bloomer, R.J. The Influence of Methylsulfonylmethane on Inflammation-Associated Cytokine Release before and Following Strenuous Exercise. J. Sports Med. 2016, 2016, 7498359. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Morishima, A.; Ohkubo, N.; Maeda, N.; Miki, T.; Mitsuda, N. NFkappaB Regulates Plasma Apolipoprotein A-I and High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol through Inhibition of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Alpha. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 38188–38193. [CrossRef]
- Puzianowska-Kuźnicka, M.; Owczarz, M.; Wieczorowska-Tobis, K.; Nadrowski, P.; Chudek, J.; Slusarczyk, P.; Skalska, A.; Jonas, M.; Franek, E.; Mossakowska, M. Interleukin-6 and C-Reactive Protein, Successful Aging, and Mortality: The PolSenior Study. *Immun. Ageing* 2016, 13, 21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barmaki, S.; Bohlooli, S.; Khoshkhahesh, F.; Nakhostin-Roohi, B. Effect of Methylsulfonylmethane Supplementation on Exercise— Induced Muscle Damage and Total Antioxidant Capacity. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness 2012, 52, 170–174. [PubMed]
- 36. Nakhostin-Roohi, B.; Niknam, Z.; Vaezi, N.; Mohammadi, S.; Bohlooli, S. Effect of Single Dose Administration of Methylsulfonylmethane on Oxidative Stress Following Acute Exhaustive Exercise. *Iran J. Pharm. Res.* **2013**, *12*, 845–853.
- 37. Gupta, S.; Young, D.; Maitra, R.K.; Gupta, A.; Popovic, Z.B.; Yong, S.L.; Mahajan, A.; Wang, Q.; Sen, S. Prevention of Cardiac Hypertrophy and Heart Failure by Silencing of NF-KappaB. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2008**, *375*, 637–649. [CrossRef]

- Zhong, P.; Wu, L.; Qian, Y.; Fang, Q.; Liang, D.; Wang, J.; Zeng, C.; Wang, Y.; Liang, G. Blockage of ROS and NF-KB-Mediated Inflammation by a New Chalcone L6H9 Protects Cardiomyocytes from Hyperglycemia-Induced Injuries. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2015, 1852, 1230–1241. [CrossRef]
- Rubiś, P.; Wiśniowska-Smiałek, S.; Wypasek, E.; Rudnicka-Sosin, L.; Hlawaty, M.; Leśniak-Sobelga, A.; Kostkiewicz, M.; Podolec, P. 12-Month Patterns of Serum Markers of Collagen Synthesis, Transforming Growth Factor and Connective Tissue Growth Factor Are Similar in New-Onset and Chronic Dilated Cardiomyopathy in Patients Both with and without Cardiac Fibrosis. *Cytokine* 2017, 96, 217–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]