



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

cancer after prostatectomy: Six-year outcomes of the SAKK 09/10 randomized phase III trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2021;39:194.

7. Ohri N, Dicker AP, Trabulsi EJ, Showalter TN. Can early implementation of salvage radiotherapy for prostate cancer improve the therapeutic ratio? A systematic review and regression meta-analysis with radiobiological modelling. *Eur J Cancer* 2012;48:837–844.
8. Wages NA, Sanders JC, Smith A, et al. Hypofractionated postprostatectomy radiation therapy for prostate cancer to reduce toxicity and improve patient convenience: A phase I/II trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:1254–1262.

Whole-Lung Low-Dose Radiation Therapy for Severe COVID Pneumonia

In Regard to Papachristofilou et al



To the Editor: This comment is regarding the paper by Papachristofilou et al.¹ To study the morbidity and mortality of patients with COVID-19 who needed mechanical ventilation, the authors investigated the use of whole-lung low-dose (1 Gy) radiation therapy (LDRT). Their randomized trial showed that whole-lung LDRT did not improve clinical outcomes in critically ill COVID-19 patients.¹ These results contradict the findings of earlier clinical trials. To identify the source of the discrepancy, it should be noted that substantial evidence shows that 1 Gy, at least for a proportion of the COVID-19 patients, may be beyond the range of therapeutically effective doses. In March 2020, LDRT was proposed for COVID-19 using doses up to 250 mGy.² However, later different researchers around the world, in competition, increased the radiation doses. Emory University Hospital used 1.5 Gy³ and Ameri et al tried both 0.5 Gy⁴ and 1.0 Gy.⁵ Sanmamed et al also used 1.0 Gy.⁶ Interestingly, a paper⁷ published recently clearly indicates that although doses <1 Gy have anti-inflammatory effects, doses >1 Gy have proinflammatory effects and cause fibrosis. Thus, the doses used in the clinical trials such by Papachristofilou et al were possibly unjustified as the doses were not within the optimal window of dose. We believe that this is 1 reason that Papachristofilou et al failed to find any therapeutic effects of LDRT for COVID-19.¹ Additionally, there is probably a window of opportunity during which LDRT can effectively address the pulmonary symptoms of COVID-19 or other viral pneumonias. This concept is akin to the window of opportunity during which external beam radiation therapy is effective for spinal cord compression. In the case of COVID pneumonia, the window of opportunity is likely before the patient becomes so ill that mechanical ventilation is required. In addition, the concept of a “therapeutic window” clearly explains why Ameri et al concluded that in their trial, 0.5 Gy was more effective than 1.0 Gy.⁵

Using doses <1 Gy (in particular, doses <0.5 Gy) not only increases the therapeutic effects of low-dose radiation therapy but also reduces the cancer risk to an acceptable level.

Disclosures: None.

Arruda et al have recently reported that regardless of the sex, enrolling patients older than 40 years, particularly elderly patients older than 60 years, can provide acceptable lifetime attributable risks of radiation-induced cancer for a radiation dose of 0.7 Gy.⁸ It is of crucial importance to note that using doses ≤ 0.5 Gy not only increases the therapeutic effects of LDRT and maintains the risks at an acceptable level but also prevents potential deterministic effects such as transient bone marrow damage. Studies conducted in patients with acute radiation sickness in Chernobyl show that even a modest dose of 0.5 to 0.7 Gy may compromise marrow function.⁹

In summary, both the timing and delivered dose are important considerations in LDRT studies. These factors are important considerations in developing an effective LDRT approach to treating patients with COVID-19.

Joseph J. Bevelacqua, PhD
Bevelacqua Resources
Richland, Washington

James S. Welsh, MD, PhD
Department of Radiation Oncology
Edward Hines Jr VA Hospital
Hines, Illinois
Department of Radiation Oncology
Stritch School of Medicine
Loyola University
Chicago, Illinois

S.M.J. Mortazavi, PhD
Medical Physics and Engineering Department
School of Medicine
Shiraz University of Medical Sciences
Shiraz, Iran

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.04.025>

References

1. Papachristofilou A, Finazzi T, Blum A, et al. Low dose radiation therapy for severe COVID-19 pneumonia: A randomized double-blind study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;110:1274–1282.
2. Ghadimi-Moghadam A, Haghani M, Bevelacqua JJ, et al. COVID-19 tragic pandemic: Concerns over unintentional “directed accelerated evolution” of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) and introducing a modified treatment method for ARDS. *J Biomed Phys Eng* 2020;10:241–246.
3. Hess CB, Nasti TH, Dhere VR, et al. Immunomodulatory low-dose whole-lung radiation for patients with coronavirus disease 2019-related pneumonia. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:867–879.
4. Ameri A, Rahnama N, Bozorgmehr R, et al. Low-dose whole-lung irradiation for COVID-19 pneumonia: Short course results. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2020;108:1134–1139.
5. Ameri A, Ameri P, Rahnama N, et al. Low-dose whole-lung irradiation for COVID-19 pneumonia: Final results of a pilot study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:859–866.
6. Sanmamed N, Alcantara P, Cerezo E, et al. Low-dose radiation therapy in the management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia (LOWRAD-Cov19): Preliminary report. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:880–885.
7. Lumniczky K, Impens N, Armengol G, et al. Low dose ionizing radiation effects on the immune system. *Environ Int* 2021;149 106212.

8. Arruda GV, Dos Santos Weber RR, Bruno AC, et al. The risk of induced cancer and ischemic heart disease following low dose lung irradiation for COVID-19: Estimation based on a virtual case. *Int J Radiat Biol* 2021;97:120–125.
9. Barabanova A, Bushmanov A, Kotenko K. *Encyclopedia of Environmental Health*; 2011:1–8. Available at: <https://www.elsevier.com/books/encyclopedia-of-environmental-health/nriagu/978-0-444-52273-3>. Accessed 17 March 2021.

In Reply to Bevelacqua et al



We thank Bevelacqua et al for their comments¹ on our study of whole-lung low-dose radiation therapy (LDRT) for severe COVID-19 pneumonia.² In their letter, Bevelacqua et al suggest that suboptimal dosing and timing of LDRT may explain the negative outcome.

Bevelacqua et al cite “substantial evidence” showing that 1 Gy may be beyond the range of effective doses for COVID-19 patients. Unfortunately, they did not provide references that adequately support this statement. In addition, our patients did not receive the prescribed dose of 1 Gy to the whole lungs. Rather, as described in the manuscript, the lungs received anti-inflammatory doses in the range of 0.5 to 1.0 Gy, which appears adequate based on recent preclinical research.^{3,4}

It is essential to acknowledge the uncertainty surrounding the “ideal” LDRT dose. Bevelacqua et al cite the Iranian study and declare that their concept “clearly explains why Ameri et al concluded that 0.5 Gy was more effective than 1.0 Gy.” This was not a conclusion of the study’s authors, who address important limitations in their paper.⁵ We cannot exclude that a different outcome would have been observed in our study with use of a lower radiation dose. However, such assumptions are speculative, if not disconnected from the clinical reality of these patients, for whom LDRT failed to produce any meaningful effect.

Our study focused on ventilated patients, for whom the presumed risk-benefit ratio of LDRT appeared most favorable. Although an earlier application could be more effective, the long-term risks may outweigh potential benefits in less critically ill patients. Moreover, the timing of immunomodulatory treatments is complex. RECOVERY showed large benefits of dexamethasone in ventilated patients, but only small improvements in patients on oxygen.⁶ Tocilizumab, an interleukin-6 receptor antibody, may further reduce mortality in these patients.⁷ Even if LDRT were to improve on these results, it would likely require large-scale randomized trials to show a potential benefit.

Our trial was borne out of a clinical need, and we chose a randomized double-blind design to reduce any potential bias. The availability of prospective controls

is the crucial difference between our study and earlier reports,^{5,8,9} a difference that Bevelacqua et al unfortunately did not consider as a source of discrepancy between results. Although vaccines now provide a light at the end of the COVID-19 tunnel, the discussion of anti-inflammatory LDRT will go on. We should continue to separate evidence from opinion and work together to investigate what is best for our patients both during and after the COVID-19 pandemic.

Tobias Finazzi, MD
Alexandros Papachristofilou, MD
Clinic of Radiotherapy and Radiation Oncology
University Hospital Basel
Basel, Switzerland

Martin Siegemund, MD
Clinic of Intensive Care Medicine
University Hospital Basel
Basel, Switzerland

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.04.026>

References

1. Bevelacqua JJ, Welsh JS, Mortazavi SMJ. In Regard to Papachristofilou et al. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;110:1549–1550.
2. Papachristofilou A, Finazzi T, Blum A, et al. Low-dose radiation therapy for severe COVID-19 pneumonia: A randomized double-blind study [e-pub ahead of print]. *Int J Radiat Oncol*. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.02.054, accessed May 1, 2021.
3. Meziani L, Robert C, Classe M, et al. Low doses of radiation increase the immunosuppressive profile of lung macrophages during viral infection and pneumonia [e-pub ahead of print]. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.03.022, accessed May 1, 2021.
4. Jackson MR, Stevenson K, Cahal SK, et al. Low-dose lung radiotherapy for COVID-19 lung disease: A pre-clinical efficacy study in a bleomycin model of pneumonitis. *BioRxiv*. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.03.433704>. Accessed May 4, 2021.
5. Ameri A, Ameri P, Rahnama N, et al. Low-dose whole-lung irradiation for COVID-19 pneumonia: Final results of a pilot study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:859–866.
6. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, et al. RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:693–704.
7. Horby PW, Pessoa-Amorim G, Peto L, et al. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): Preliminary results of a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *MedRxiv*. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.11.21249258>. Accessed May 4, 2021.
8. Hess CB, Nasti TH, Dhere V, et al. Immunomodulatory low-dose whole-lung radiation for patients with COVID-19-related pneumonia. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:867–879.
9. Sanmamed N, Alcantara P, Cerezo E, et al. Low-dose radiotherapy in the management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia (LOWRAD-Cov19): Preliminary report. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021;109:880–885.