


Comment on: “Angle Kappa is Not Correlated with Patient-Reported Outcomes After Multifocal Lens Implantation” [Letter]

Avi Wallerstein ^{1,2,*}, Chelsea Ridgway ^{2,3,*}, Mathieu Gauvin ^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada; ²Lasik MD, Montreal, QC, Canada; ³School of Medicine, University of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Avi Wallerstein, LASIK MD, 1250 Rene-Levesque Blvd W, MD Level, Montreal, QC, H3B 4W8, Canada, Tel +1 514-908-9888, Ext. 2273, Email awallerstein@lasikmd.com

Dear editor

We read “Angle Kappa is Not Correlated with Patient-Reported Outcomes After Multifocal Lens Implantation” by Liu et al, which analyzes the impact of angle kappa on patient-reported outcomes after multifocal intraocular lens (MIOL) implantation.¹

We noted the omission of a critical source reference that significantly contributes to the understanding of this debate and topic. In the *Journal of Refractive Surgery* Dec. 2023, we published “Angle Kappa Influence on Multifocal IOL Outcomes”. This study examines MIOL patient-reported outcomes in relation to preoperative angle kappa in a cohort of 26,470 eyes.²

We appreciate the authors for reproducing and validating our findings by utilizing the Pentacam “chord length” instead of the Orbscan kappa displacement distance to measure angle kappa. Their study, mirroring our conclusions, confirms that angle kappa lacks significant correlation with patient-reported outcomes and cannot be used as a criterion for MIOL candidacy. We demonstrated that preoperative angle kappa did not have a predictive clinical impact on postoperative MIOL visual outcomes, refractive accuracy, subjective patient satisfaction at near, intermediate and far distance, nor with the likelihood of recommending an MIOL procedure to friends and relatives.² Our study also noted a larger angle kappa in right vs. left eyes. Liu et al add validity to our existing findings² and confirms, along with several previous studies,^{3–9} that angle kappa as a single variable cannot be used to determine MIOL candidacy. The novelty of the study by Liu et al lies in reaching the same conclusion with angle kappa measurements taken by Pentacam and adding photic phenomena data.

The authors state “as angle kappa and chord length are used interchangeably in the refractive literature, the chord length is referred to as angle kappa in this manuscript”.¹ While the Pentacam chord length is a good proxy for angle kappa, this chord, and other measures of angle kappa in mm are not interchangeable, even though those terms are often wrongfully substituted. For example, the Pentacam angle kappa chord length of 0.41 mm in Liu’s study is significantly shorter than the Orbscan kappa displacement distance of 0.63 mm in our study.

In summary, Liu et al reproduced our large cohort study’s conclusions and they bring further evidence that angle kappa, as an independent variable, cannot be used as a selection criterion for MIOL candidacy. Further discussion of key previous studies on this topic would have enhanced the depth of their analysis and improve readers’ comprehension of the growing body of evidence concluding that angle kappa does not significantly impact postoperative MIOL outcomes.

Disclosure

The authors have no conflict to disclose and no financial interest in the subject matter or materials presented herein in this communication.

References

1. Liu X, Hannan SJ, Schallhorn SC, Schallhorn JM. Angle kappa is not correlated with patient-reported outcomes after multifocal lens implantation. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2024;18:605–612. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S452147
2. Wallerstein A, Ridgway C, Gatinel D, et al. Angle kappa influence on multifocal IOL outcomes. *J Refract Surg*. 2023;39(12):840–849. doi:10.3928/1081597X-20231101-01
3. Sandoval HP, Potvin R, Solomon KD. The effects of angle kappa on clinical results and patient-reported outcomes after implantation of a trifocal intraocular lens. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2022;16:1321–1329. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S363536
4. Velasco-Barona C, Corredor-Ortega C, Mendez-Leon A, et al. Influence of angle κ and higher-order aberrations on visual quality employing two diffractive trifocal IOLs. *J Ophthalmol*. 2019;2019:7018937. doi:10.1155/2019/7018937
5. Cervantes-Coste G, Tapia A, Corredor-Ortega C, et al. The influence of angle alpha, angle kappa, and optical aberrations on visual outcomes after the implantation of a high-addition trifocal IOL. *J Clin Med*. 2022;12(1):11. doi:10.3390/jcm12010011
6. Liu Y, Gao Y, Liu R, et al. Influence of angle kappa-customized implantation of rotationally asymmetric multifocal intraocular lens on visual quality and patient satisfaction. *Acta Ophthalmologica*. 2020;98(6):e734–e742. doi:10.1111/aos.14356
7. Garzón N, García-Montero M, López-Artero E, et al. Influence of angle κ on visual and refractive outcomes after implantation of a diffractive trifocal intraocular lens. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2020;46(5):721–727. doi:10.1097/j.jcrs.0000000000000156
8. Saad A, Frings A, Druchkiv V, Katz T, Kyei S. Pre- and postoperative angle kappa in MIOL patients after touch-up LASIK. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(4):e0283578. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0283578
9. Koç H, Kaya F. The relationship between kappa angle and photic phenomena after trifocal intraocular lens implantation. *Duzce Med J*. 2023;25(2):173–178. doi:10.18678/dtfd.1278566

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S475133>