




Effect of mild exercise on glycemic and bodyweight control in Japanese type 2 diabetes patients: A retrospective analysis

Shuheï Nakanishi^{1*} , Masahiro Iwamoto², Hidenori Hirukawa¹ , Masashi Shimoda¹, Fuminori Tatsumi¹, Kenji Kohara¹, Atsushi Obata¹, Seizo Okauchi¹, Tomoe Kinoshita¹, Junpei Sanada¹, Yoshiro Fushimi¹, Momoyo Nishioka¹, Akiko Mizoguchi¹, Miyuki Kameyama², Tomoatsu Mune¹, Kohei Kaku³, Hideaki Kaneto¹ 

¹Division of Diabetes, Metabolism and Endocrinology, Kawasaki Medical School, Okayama, ²Iwamoto Medical Clinic, Kagawa, and ³Department of General Internal Medicine 1, Kawasaki Hospital, Kawasaki Medical School, Okayama, Japan

Keywords

Nutritional therapy, Outpatient clinic, Physical exercise

*Correspondence

Shuheï Nakanishi
 Tel.: +81-86-462-1111
 Fax: +81-86-464-1046
 E-mail address:
 n-shuheï@umin.net

J Diabetes Investig 2019; 10: 104–107

doi: 10.1111/jdi.12832

ABSTRACT

We retrospectively evaluated the effects of mild physical exercise (P) in a routine clinical setting on glycemic and bodyweight control in Japanese type 2 diabetes patients with and without individualized nutritional therapy (D). We analyzed 49 patients who participated in P that measured 2.5 metabolic equivalents and was held once every 2 weeks, compared with 83 non-participant controls, followed over a period of approximately 1.6 years. With a Cox model, the adjusted hazard ratio for improved glycated hemoglobin by numerical count of P was 1.03 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.00–1.07; $P = 0.025$). Among four categories – with neither P nor D, only P, only D, and both P and D – the hazard ratios for reduced body mass index were 1.0, 0.87 (95% CI 0.46–1.67), 0.58 (95% CI 0.25–1.30) and 2.17 (95% CI 1.03–4.59), respectively. Even mild physical exercise contributed to glycemic control. The combination of P and D exerted beneficial effects on bodyweight control.

INTRODUCTION

First-line treatment for type 2 diabetes includes nutrition and physical activity, before or in parallel with initiation of pharmacological therapy¹. In terms of physical activity, it is still unclear whether mild physical exercise that might be easy for patients with type 2 diabetes to begin improves glycemic and bodyweight control. Also unclear is whether exercise interval can be effective.

Individualized nutritional therapy is associated with improved glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), as well as reduced bodyweight in patients with type 2 diabetes². Accordingly, such combination therapy is anticipated to lead to better control of such markers. The aim of the present study was to retrospectively evaluate the outcomes on glycemic and bodyweight control by mild long-term physical exercise once every 2 weeks in Japanese type 2 diabetes patients in a clinical setting, in both the presence and absence of individualized nutritional therapy.

METHODS

Physical exercise program

The physical exercise of the present study was initiated in the gym on site at the Iwamoto Medical Clinic, Kagawa, Japan, in September 2013 and held once every 2 weeks under the supervision of a licensed exercise therapist. The exercise period consisted of 10 min of stretching at the beginning and end, 20 min of mild exercise individually designed for physical strength, and 20 min of mild individually designed bodyweight exercises. The total metabolic equivalents of physical activity in each training session was estimated at just 2–2.5, whereas the intensity was designed based on participant age, ability to move and any complications. All outpatients of the clinic were informed of the availability of this exercise program, and were free to participate and to continue free of charge.

Study patients

The study population included 49 adult type 2 diabetes outpatients at the clinic that participated in the exercise program at least once during the 4 years from September 2013 through

Received 30 November 2017; revised 8 February 2017; accepted 5 March 2018

August 2017. The beginning of the observation period was defined as the most recent visit before the first participation in the exercise program, and the end of the follow-up period was deemed to be the most recent visit before the end of August 2017. Also monitored were 83 type 2 diabetes outpatients who never participated in the exercise program during the same period as the controls, randomly matched at the beginning of the follow-up period for age and body mass index (BMI). Patients on dialysis, using steroid medication and/or insulin, and those using glucagon-like peptide-1 analogs or taking sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors were excluded in advance. We defined “improved HbA1c” and “reduced BMI” as cases in which the data at the beginning of the follow-up period subtracted from those at the end were positive. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Kawasaki Medical School (No. 2803), and we released information to the public about the study on the Internet.

Measurements

Data were extracted from patient information obtained at each visit. We confirmed the total number of exercise program participations, and determined the presence or absence of individualized medical nutritional therapy for caloric restriction based on personal instruction by national registered dietitians before the beginning of the exercise program or during the observation period.

Statistical analysis

The data are expressed as mean and standard deviation. Continuous variables were compared using an age-adjusted analysis of variance (ANOVA). The associations between the categorical data were evaluated using the χ^2 -test. To test the significance of physical activity for improved HbA1c and reduced BMI, the Cox proportional hazards model was used, adjusted for age, sex, duration of diabetes and the dose(s) of oral hypoglycemic agent(s) as potential confounders in addition to the presence of individualized nutritional therapy. The patients were divided into tertiles based on exercise program participation number, in addition to the numerical count itself, as follows: 0 ($n = 83$), 1–2 ($n = 27$) and ≥ 3 times ($n = 22$).

Next, all participants were divided into four categories: with nutritional therapy only (D; $n = 17$), exercise only (P; $n = 32$), both (PD; $n = 17$) and neither (N; $n = 66$). To test the significance of the combined treatments, the Cox proportional hazards model adjusted with potential confounders as described above was used. The statistical analyses were carried out using the SAS software program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics at baseline. In the Cox proportional hazards model used in analysis including tertiles divided by the total number of participations in the exercise program, the adjusted hazard ratios for improved HbA1c by increasing tertiles were 1.0, 2.76 (95% confidence interval [CI]

Table 1 | Clinical characteristics of patients at baseline

	With physical training	Without physical training
Male/female (n)	8/41	31/52*
Individualized nutritional therapy (n)	17	17
Age (years)	71.0 \pm 7.5	69.6 \pm 7.8
Duration of type 2 diabetes (years)	13.6 \pm 8.7	13.7 \pm 6.1
Observation period (years)	1.43 \pm 0.33	1.52 \pm 0.12 [†]
Bodyweight (kg)	55.4 \pm 10.1	57.6 \pm 11.6
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.0 \pm 4.1	24.0 \pm 4.4
HbA1c (%)	6.40 \pm 0.39	6.42 \pm 0.61
Systolic BP (mmHg)	120.9 \pm 12.2	124.7 \pm 15.6
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	66.9 \pm 12.1	70.0 \pm 10.2
AST (IU/L)	25.5 \pm 10.8	24.0 \pm 8.2
ALT (IU/L)	23.2 \pm 11.7	20.4 \pm 9.7
BUN (mg/dL)	15.2 \pm 4.0	15.7 \pm 3.6
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.58 \pm 0.11	0.67 \pm 0.24
HDL-C (mg/dL)	55.3 \pm 14.5	57.2 \pm 16.8
TG (mg/dL)	142.2 \pm 72.2	130.2 \pm 67.7
LDL-C (mg/dL)	112.7 \pm 25.7	106.5 \pm 19.4
Microvascular complications (n)		
Neuropathy (yes/no)	4/45	18/65*
Retinopathy (PR/SR/NR)	0/3/46	2/12/60
Nephropathy (4/3/2/1)	0/0/8/41	1/0/19/63
Macrovascular complications (n)		
Coronary/brain/PAD	3/5/2	2/4/2
Treatment for type 2 diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidemia (n)		
DPP4 inhibitors	29	48
Biguanides	12	28
Thiazolidinediones	1	5
Sulfonylureas	7	28
Glinides	7	14
α -Glucosidase inhibitors	12	19
ARB/CCB	16/10	27/22
Diuretic/ α -blocker/ β -blocker	1/0/4	2/0/6
Statin/ezetimibe/fibrate	20/2/1	37/1/1

Data are shown as mean \pm standard deviation. * $P < 0.05$; [†] $P < 0.01$.

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as bodyweight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. The patients were diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy by ophthalmologists. Diabetic nephropathy was classified according to the classification of diabetic nephropathy 2014. Diabetic neuropathy was assessed based on the abbreviated criteria by the Diabetic Neuropathy Study Group in Japan. ALT, alanine transaminase; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; AST, aspartate transaminase; BP, blood pressure; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CCB, calcium channel blocker; DPP4 inhibitors, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NR, no retinopathy; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PR, pre- or proliferative retinopathy; SR, simple retinopathy; TG, triglyceride.

0.87–8.73), and 4.17 (95% CI 1.37–12.74), respectively, and those for reduced BMI were 1.0, 1.60 (95% CI 0.86–2.98) and 0.95 (95% CI 0.44–2.07), respectively (Table 2). The adjusted hazard ratio for improved HbA1c or reduced BMI by total

Table 2 | Adjusted hazard ratios of improved glycated hemoglobin levels and reduced body mass index according to tertiles based on total participation number in the physical exercise program as categorical data

Total participation number(s)	0	1 or 2	≥3	P for trend
Improved HbA1c (95% CI)	1.0	2.76 (0.87–8.73)	4.17 (1.37–12.74)*	0.009
Reduced BMI (95% CI)	1.0	1.60 (0.86–2.98)	0.95 (0.44–2.07)	0.71

* $P < 0.05$ compared with the first tertile (0) after adjustment for age, sex, dose(s) of oral hypoglycemic agent, duration of diabetes and presence or absence of individualized nutritional therapy. BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin.

Table 3 | Clinical characteristics of patients at baseline

	N	P	D	PD
Male/female (n)	23/43	4/28	8/9	4/13
Age (years)	70.0 ± 7.2	71.0 ± 7.8	68.0 ± 10.0	71.1 ± 7.1
Duration of type 2 diabetes (years)	14.3 ± 5.5	16.0 ± 9.0	11.7 ± 7.9	9.0 ± 6.3*†
Observation period (years)	1.52 ± 0.13	1.53 ± 0.12	1.51 ± 0.11	1.25 ± 0.50*†
Bodyweight (kg)	55.7 ± 10.8	52.6 ± 8.8	64.8 ± 12.0	60.7 ± 10.4
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.5 ± 4.0	22.9 ± 3.9	25.9 ± 4.1	26.1 ± 4.7
HbA1c (%)	6.35 ± 0.62	6.37 ± 0.42	6.69 ± 0.53	6.46 ± 0.31
Systolic BP (mmHg)	124.2 ± 15.8	120.2 ± 13.2	126.4 ± 15.3	122.4 ± 10.3
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	69.3 ± 9.9	65.2 ± 13.2	72.9 ± 11.0	70.2 ± 9.2
AST (IU/L)	24.2 ± 8.1	24.3 ± 9.4	23.1 ± 8.7	27.8 ± 13.2
ALT (IU/L)	19.8 ± 8.5	23.0 ± 12.2	22.6 ± 13.5	23.5 ± 11.2
BUN (mg/dL)	15.2 ± 3.2	15.0 ± 3.6	17.6 ± 4.7	15.5 ± 4.8
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.65 ± 0.19	0.58 ± 0.10	0.73 ± 0.38†	0.59 ± 0.13
HDL-C (mg/dL)	57.7 ± 17.0	56.0 ± 15.6	55.5 ± 16.6	54.1 ± 12.5
TG (mg/dL)	132.7 ± 69.6	140.8 ± 68.6	120.6 ± 60.8	144.7 ± 80.7
LDL-C (mg/dL)	105.7 ± 18.6	116.6 ± 23.4	109.5 ± 22.7	105.5 ± 28.8
Microvascular complications (n)				
Neuropathy (yes/no)	16/50	1/31	2/6	3/14
Retinopathy (PR/SR/NR)	2/10/54	0/2/30	0/2/6	0/1/16
Nephropathy (4/3/2/1)	0/0/13/53	0/0/2/30	1/0/6/10	0/0/6/11
Macrovascular complications (n)				
Coronary/brain/PAD	2/3/2	0/4/0	0/1/0	3/1/2
Treatment for type 2 diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidemia (n)				
DPP4 inhibitors	37	21	11	8
Biguanides	18	7	10	5
Thiazolidinediones	4	1	1	0
Sulfonylureas	23	6	5	1
Glinides	9	6	5	1
α-glucosidase inhibitors	16	12	3	0
ARB/CCB	22/6	9/5	5/6	7/5
Diuretic/α-blocker/β-blocker	2/0/5	1/0/2	0/0/1	0/0/2
Statin/ezetimibe/fibrate	30/1/1	14/0/1	7/0/0	6/2/0

Data are shown as mean ± standard deviation. Based on the presence and absence of individualized nutritional therapy and physical exercise, all participants were divided into four categories: with both (PD), nutritional therapy only (D), physical exercise only (P) and neither (N). * $P < 0.05$ vs category N; † $P < 0.05$ vs category P. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as bodyweight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. The patients were diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy by ophthalmologists. Diabetic nephropathy was classified according to the classification of diabetic nephropathy 2014. Diabetic neuropathy was assessed based on the abbreviated criteria by the Diabetic Neuropathy Study Group in Japan. ALT, alanine transaminase; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; AST, aspartate transaminase; BP, blood pressure; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CCB, calcium channel blocker; DPP4 inhibitors, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NR, no retinopathy; PAD, peripheral artery disease; PR, pre- or proliferative retinopathy; SR, simple retinopathy; TG, triglyceride.

participation number in the exercise program was 1.03 (95% CI 1.00–1.07; $P = 0.025$) and 1.01 (95% CI 0.99–1.03; $P = 0.44$), respectively.

Table 3 shows the clinical characteristics at baseline according to four categories. In the Cox proportional hazards model used in analysis including the four categories (N, P, D and

Table 4 | Adjusted hazard ratios of improved glycated hemoglobin and reduced body mass index divided into four categories

	N	P	D	PD
Improved HbA1c (95% CI)	1.0	3.40 (0.86–13.47)	2.88 (0.74–11.21)	9.69 (2.59–36.00)*
Reduced BMI (95% CI)	1.0	0.87 (0.46–1.67)	0.58 (0.25–1.30)	2.17 (1.03–4.59)*

Based on the presence and absence of individualized nutritional therapy and physical exercise, all participants were divided into four categories: with both (PD), nutritional therapy only (D), physical exercise only (P) and neither (N). * $P < 0.05$ compared with the category N after adjustment for age, sex, dose(s) of oral hypoglycemic agent and duration of diabetes. BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin.

PD), the adjusted hazard ratios for improved HbA1c were 1.0, 3.40 (95% CI 0.86–13.47), 2.88 (95% CI 0.74–11.21) and 9.69 (95% CI 2.59–36.00), respectively. Similarly, the adjusted hazard ratios for reduced BMI were 1.0, 0.87 (95% CI 0.46–1.67), 0.58 (95% CI 0.25–1.30) and 2.17 (95% CI 1.03–4.59), respectively (Table 4).

During observation, numbers of different types and doses of oral hypoglycemic agents did not statistically change between the beginning and the end in each group, or between the two groups.

DISCUSSION

In the present observational retrospective study of Japanese type 2 diabetes patients, we found that mild exercise, even if its interval was only once every 2 weeks, improved HbA1c not BMI, but that both improvements were obtained when such mild exercise was added to an individualized nutritional therapy program.

We found that the adjusted hazard ratio for improved HbA1c by total participation number was significant. In addition, categorized analysis showed that participation of three times or more significantly improved HbA1c levels when compared with the category of non-participation. The results might indicate that patients who participated in the exercise program even only a few times were motivated by the trainer's instruction, and tried to adopt and continue such exercise in their own daily lives despite a relatively low participation number in the exercise program, but we did not evaluate patients' daily exercise or direct relationship between exercise and HbA1c or BMI.

Combined with individualized nutritional therapy, the quality and quantity of physical exercise in the present study might have been sufficient for both outcomes. Several previous studies showed the importance of diet therapy to enhance physical therapy for glucose and bodyweight control^{3,4}. These results support the idea that continuous lifestyle management regarding both diet and exercise is recommended, even if the exercise regimen is relatively mild.

The present study had several limitations. First, it was a retrospective observational study with a limited study population, leading to a selection bias, because participants of exercise or nutritional therapy might have been interested in participation. Second, the number of patients undergoing the individualized

nutritional therapy was also limited. Finally, we evaluated the effect of exercise by comparing changes in HbA1c and BMI at the beginning and end of the observation period only. In addition, patients attending the exercise program were predominantly women.

In conclusion, a combination of individualized nutritional therapy and mild exercise exerted marked beneficial effects on glycemic and bodyweight control in the present study, although further prospective study is necessary to confirm these findings.

DISCLOSURE

SN has received honoraria for lectures from Sanofi. HK has received honoraria for lectures and scholarship grants from Sanofi, Novo Nordisk, Lilly, Boehringer Ingelheim, MSD, Takeda, Ono Pharma, Daiichi Sankyo, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, Pfizer, Kissei Pharma, AstraZeneca, Astellas, Novartis, Kowa, Chugai, and Taisho Pharma. KK has been an advisor to, received honoraria for lectures from and received scholarship grants from Novo Nordisk Pharma, Sanwa Kagaku Kenkyusho, Takeda, Taisho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, MSD, Kowa, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, Novartis, Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma, AstraZeneca, Nippon Boehringer Ingelheim, Chugai, Daiichi Sankyo, and Sanofi. MI has received honoraria for lectures from Sanofi and for clinical trials from Kissei Pharma and Boehringer Ingelheim.

REFERENCES

- American Diabetes Association. 4. Lifestyle Management. *Diabetes Care* 2017; 40(Suppl 1): S33–S43.
- Coppell KJ, Kataoka M, Williams SM, *et al.* Nutritional intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes who are hyperglycaemic despite optimised drug treatment - Lifestyle Over and Above Drugs in Diabetes (LOADD) study: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2010; 341: e3337.
- Umpierre D, Ribeiro PA, Kramer CK, *et al.* Physical activity advice only or structured exercise training and association with HbA1c levels in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2011; 305: 1790–1799.
- Donnelly JE, Blair SN, Jakicic JM, *et al.* Appropriate physical activity intervention strategies for weight loss and prevention of weight regain for adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009; 41: 459–471.