

## 

**Citation:** Ojo KK, Ranade RM, Zhang Z, Dranow DM, Myers JB, Choi R, et al. (2016) *Brucella melitensis* Methionyl-tRNA-Synthetase (MetRS), a Potential Drug Target for Brucellosis. PLoS ONE 11 (8): e0160350. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160350

**Editor:** Concepción Gonzalez-Bello, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, SPAIN

Received: April 4, 2016

Accepted: July 18, 2016

Published: August 8, 2016

**Copyright:** © 2016 Ojo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper.

**Funding:** This project has been funded in part with Federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, under Contract No.: HHSN272201200025C and R01Al097177. The funders provided support in the form of salaries for authors RMR, ZZ, FSB and EF but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

## *Brucella melitensis* Methionyl-tRNA-Synthetase (MetRS), a Potential Drug Target for Brucellosis

Kayode K. Ojo<sup>1</sup>\*, Ranae M. Ranade<sup>1</sup>, Zhongsheng Zhang<sup>2</sup>, David M. Dranow<sup>3</sup>, Janette B. Myers<sup>1</sup>, Ryan Choi<sup>1</sup>, Steve Nakazawa Hewitt<sup>1</sup>, Thomas E. Edwards<sup>3</sup>, Douglas R. Davies<sup>3</sup>, Donald Lorimer<sup>3</sup>, Stephen M. Boyle<sup>4</sup>, Lynn K. Barrett<sup>1</sup>, Frederick S. Buckner<sup>1</sup>, Erkang Fan<sup>2</sup>, Wesley C. Van Voorhis<sup>1</sup>\*

 Center for Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases (CERID), Department of Medicine, Division of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, United States of America,
Department of Biochemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, United States of America,
Beryllium, Bainbridge Island, Washington, United States of America, 4 Center for Molecular Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Pathobiology, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia, United States of America

\* ojo67kk@u.washington.edu (KKO); wesley@uw.edu (WVCC)

## Abstract

We investigated Brucella melitensis methionyl-tRNA-synthetase (BmMetRS) with molecular, structural and phenotypic methods to learn if BmMetRS is a promising target for brucellosis drug development. Recombinant BmMetRS was expressed, purified from wild type Brucella melitensis biovar Abortus 2308 strain ATCC/CRP #DD-156 and screened by a thermal melt assay against a focused library of one hundred previously classified methionyl-tRNA-synthetase inhibitors of the blood stage form of Trypanosoma brucei. Three compounds showed appreciable shift of denaturation temperature and were selected for further studies on inhibition of the recombinant enzyme activity and cell viability against wild type B. melitensis strain 16M. BmMetRS protein complexed with these three inhibitors resolved into three-dimensional crystal structures and was analyzed. All three selected methionyltRNA-synthetase compounds inhibit recombinant BmMetRS enzymatic functions in an aminoacylation assay at varying concentrations. Furthermore, growth inhibition of B. melitensis strain 16M by the compounds was shown. Inhibitor-BmMetRS crystal structure models were used to illustrate the molecular basis of the enzyme inhibition. Our current data suggests that BmMetRS is a promising target for brucellosis drug development. However, further studies are needed to optimize lead compound potency, efficacy and safety as well as determine the pharmacokinetics, optimal dosage, and duration for effective treatment.

## Introduction

*Brucella* spp., small facultative intracellular coccobacilli, function as facultative intracellular bacteria causing the zoonotic disease, brucellosis. These bacteria are transmitted from animals



**Competing Interests:** DMD, TEE, DRD, and DL are employees of Beryllium, a commercial entity. DMD, TEE, DRD, and DL are employees of Beryllium and received funding for this study in the form of a salary. The specific roles of these authors are articulated in the 'author contributions' section. Beryllium played a role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish and preparation of the manuscript. This does not alter the authors' adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with this work. The manuscript, or any of its components, are not submitted or reported elsewhere. to humans by ingestion of infected food products, direct contact with tissues of an infected animal, or inhalation of aerosols. Although humans are considered accidental hosts, brucellosis remains a worldwide public health concern [1]. The effects of human brucellosis can range from an acute febrile disease to persistent neurological disorders, osteomyelitis, endocarditis, and other syndromes<sup>[2]</sup>. Eight species have been identified, and of these, 4 species—*B. abortus*, B. canis, B. suis and B. melitensis—infect livestock and have moderate to high human pathogenicity [1, 3]. While brucellosis remains a zoonotic disease, it can also be transmitted by unpasteurized milk products from various species. Today's global burden of human brucellosis remains enormous, with more than 500,000 human infections per year worldwide [4]. Furthermore, Brucella spp. exemplify an ever present threat as potential bioterrorism weapons [5], which underscores the need to validate novel drug targets for new therapeutics. Brucella species pose a significant public health concern by the rise of antimicrobial resistance and their potential exposure to sub-therapeutic antibiotics in livestock and humans. Brucellosis remains a very difficult disease to treat due to the bacteria's ability to reside for extended periods inside the host's cells through evasion of the immune response and inhibition of programmed cell death [6, 7]. The relative lack of efficacy of standard antibiotics on this intracellular bacterial pathogen also affects successful treatment. Current therapeutic options in order to avoid relapses and to prevent prolonged use of these drugs include combinations of the antibiotics: doxycycline, rifampin and streptomycin [8, 9]. High incidences of therapeutic failures (relapses) have been observed even with prolonged treatment regimens, possibly due to resurgence and outgrowth of intracellular reservoirs of Brucella. However, therapeutic failures may also be due to Brucella antibiotic resistance associated with increasing prevalence of drug-resistance genes for the brucellosis first-line treatment options [10, 11].

Hence, it remains a high priority to develop inexpensive, non-toxic, orally available brucellosis therapeutics utilizing new mechanisms of drug action. Advances in molecular biology and the availability of full genome sequences of *Brucella* species have increased the prospects for discovering druggable enzyme targets by exploiting the biochemical and physiological differences between pathogen and host. Selective disruptions of microbial protein translation processes have been successfully exploited in different classes of antimicrobial therapies. The aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (aaRSs) are among the essential enzymes in cell protein translation processes and are generating increased interest from a drug development standpoint [12]. A number of natural antimicrobials have been shown to specifically inhibit aaRSs, validating these as drug targets [13].

Within the twenty aaRSs, methionyl-tRNA synthetase (MetRS) is especially interesting for it not only links tRNA with methionine for elongation in protein synthesis, but also links the initiator tRNA with methionine for protein synthesis [14]. Previously solved crystal structures of bacterial MetRSs yield interesting insights into both enzyme architecture and methionylation catalysis. MetRS occurs in two major forms, MetRS1 and MetRS2. They can be distinguished based on amino acid sequence similarity and the presence of a number of zinc knuckle domains [15, 16]. Specific inhibitors of MetRS1 have been shown to be potential drugs for treatment against the bacterial pathogens: Staphylococcus aureus [17] and Clostridium difficile [18] while MetRS2 inhibitors of *Escherichia coli* methionyl-tRNA synthetase (*Ec*MetRS) have been described [19]. Sensitivity to inhibition by individual small molecule scaffolds seems to vary according to the specific MetRS form. We have demonstrated that analogs of MetRS1 inhibitors for S. aureus and C. difficile can selectively target Trypanosoma brucei MetRS (*Tb*MetRS) with potent therapeutic activity in the mouse model of trypanosomiasis [12, 20]. We have also shown that pharmacological properties of these series of inhibitors could be greatly improved using structure-based drug design [12, 20]. In this report, we describe biochemical, structural, and inhibitory studies of B. melitensis methionyl-tRNA synthetase

(*Bm*MetRS) and inhibitor activity against *B. melitensis*. Selective inhibitors from the *Tb*MetRS series inhibited both the function of recombinant *Bm*MetRS and the growth of *Brucella in vitro*. Optimization of lead candidates for development of potent therapeutics requires detailed understanding of inhibitor structural-activity relationships (SAR). X-ray crystal structures could effectively guide medicinal chemistry by predicting alterations and functional groups needed to improve potency, selectivity, and pharmacokinetic properties. The crystal structure of *Bm*MetRS was solved in complex with selenomethionine and with three different inhibitors to define structural pockets that can be further exploited for the development of potent lead candidates.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Compound library**

Methionyl-tRNA synthetase inhibitors used in this study were previously described [<u>12</u>, <u>20</u>, <u>21</u>]. Chemical purity of all compounds (>98%) was confirmed by reverse-phase HPLC and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR then reconstituted and stored at -20°C in 100% DMSO at a final concentration of 20 mM.

#### Molecular cloning, protein expression and purification of Brucella MetRS

The complete coding region of methionyl-tRNA synthetase was PCR amplified from genomic DNA extracted from wild type Brucella melitensis biovar Abortus 2308 strain ATCC/CRP #DD-156. Sequence similarities and conserved domain alignments of various Brucella spp. methionyl-tRNA synthetase open reading frames were performed using the online tools (BLAST and CDART) available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (http:// blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Because the available Brucella species MetRS gene sequences seem to be highly conserved, we do not anticipate any structural differences or response to inhibitors between strains or species. The amplicons were cloned into the ligation independent cloning (LIC) site of plasmid expression vector AVA0421 [22, 23]. Inserts were sequenced for confirmation with GenBank entries. Recombinant expression was in Rosetta<sup>®</sup> 2(DE3) competent E. coli (Novagen EMD, Billerica, MA) using Studier auto-induction protocols at 20°C [24]. Soluble enzymes were purified by immobilized metal-affinity chromatography (IMAC) in a  $Ni^{2+}$ -NTA (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) column followed by size exclusion chromatography in a 26/ 60 Superdex 75 SEC column as earlier described [25]. The binding buffer was composed of 20 mM HEPES pH 7.25, 500 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 0.5% CHAPS, and 1 mM TCEP. Purified proteins were eluted in the same buffer supplemented with 250 mM imidazole.

### Thermal shift assay

Thermal stability of recombinant *Bm*MetRS in the presence or absence of inhibitors was determined in a 96-well format as previously described [26]. Each assay well was composed of 0.16 mg/mL *Bm*MetRS enzyme, 0.1 mM of each inhibitor when present, and 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). All assays were performed independently three times.

### Aminoacylation assays

Enzyme activity was quantified by the attachment of  $[{}^{3}H]$ -L- methionine to tRNA in the presence of *Bm*MetRS enzymes as previously described [<u>12</u>]. All assays were run in 96-well filter plates with Durapore membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA) in a final reaction volume of 75 µL. Enzyme activity assays were performed in the presence of 25 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM KCl, 0.2 mM spermine, 0.1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin, 2.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM ATP, 240 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-L- methionine (83 Ci/mmol), and 5.3 U/mL pyrophosphatase (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Assays were performed to determine which tRNA source gave better activity with BmMetRS, bulk brewer's yeast tRNA (Roche Life Sciences, Indianapolis, IN) or bulk Escherichia coli tRNA (Sigma), and the bulk brewer's yeast tRNA was found to be superior. This preliminary reaction was determined in the presence of 100 nM recombinant *Bm*MetRS and serial two-fold dilutions of the tRNAs from 400 to 6.25 µg/mL. Enzyme reaction progress time curve was determined at 0, 15, 30, 60, 120 and 180 minutes with two fold serial concentrations (0, 1.5, 3, 6, 12 nM) of BmMetRS using 400 µg/mL bulk brewer's yeast tRNA. Inhibition of aminoacylation by synthesized compounds was assayed in the buffered medium, except the amount of pyrophosphatase was reduced to 0.1 U/mL to conserve this reagent, using 2 nM recombinant BmMetRS pre-incubated with 10 µM to 0.056 nM serial dilutions of inhibitors into a total volume of 75  $\mu$ L. The reaction was initiated by the addition of 400  $\mu$ g/mL bulk brewer's yeast tRNA. The plate was incubated without shaking at room temperature for 120 min. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 100 µL cold 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Using a vacuum manifold the precipitated tRNA-[<sup>3</sup>H]-L-methionine product was transferred to Durapore membranes plates (Millipore), which were washed three times with cold 10% TCA and dried. The reaction was read on a MicroBeta2<sup>®</sup> plate reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). Samples were run in triplicate, and the average activity of inhibitors was compared to that in control wells without inhibitors [12].

#### Bacterial strain and growth conditions

All *Brucella* growth experiments were performed in a BSL-3 laboratory located in the Virginia-Maryland Regional College of Veterinary Medicine, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA and certified annually by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Brucella melitensis* 16M, wild type and fully virulent, was obtained from the culture collection (Veterinary Medicine, Virginia Tech) and grown in either liquid minimal medium [27] or on minimal medium agar plates at 37°C in 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. A working plate stock (WPS) was prepared by inoculation of an aliquot of a -80°C frozen strain onto a minimal agar plate and grown 3–4 days; the WPS was then stored at 4°C for up to one month. One or two colonies were picked from the WPS and used to inoculate 25 ml of minimal medium and shaken at 180 rpm in a rotary air incubator until the culture reached late log phase, OD<sub>450</sub> = 0.8.

### Minimum inhibitory concentration determination

A late log phase culture was diluted 1:10 ( $OD_{450} = 0.05-0.1$ ) in minimal medium and incubated with indicated serial concentrations of inhibitors (total volume = 100 µL) in triplicates using a 96-well, low adhesion 3474 microtiter plate (Corning Inc., Corning, NY) [28]. Positive and negative controls included in each plate comprised of 50 µg/mL gentamicin and DMSO respectively. Gentamicin was used as a positive control as it is routinely bactericidal to *Brucella* spp. The mechanisms of gentamicin and MetRS inhibitors are similar. Gentamicin inhibits protein synthesis at the level of the 30S ribosomal subunit. To reduce edge effects and evaporation, the wells on the entire perimeter of the plate were not used for growth, but had 200 µL of minimal medium added to help reduce evaporation. The plates were sealed with an adhesive Microseal B lid (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and incubated at 37°C in a VersaMax Tunable Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) at the following settings: Kinetic, 450 nm; before readings at 28 hours, plates were shaken for 10 sec., read every 15 min., reduction set at 4. The lowest concentration of an inhibitor required to inhibit the growth of *B. melitensis* strain 16M (MIC) was performed by a standard method [28]. Subsequent analysis and calculation of

inhibitors MIC values were performed using Graphpad  $^{\textcircled{R}}$  Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

## Protein crystallization

Purified recombinant *Bm*MetRS was concentrated to 20 mg/mL and incubated with either 5 mM selenomethionine or ATP, 2 mM **1312**, 3 mM **1415**, or 3 mM **1433** for 5 minutes at 289 K. For all complexes, crystals were then grown at 289 K by sitting drop vapor diffusion with 0.4  $\mu$ L of protein/ligand complex mixed with 0.4  $\mu$ L reservoir solution equilibrated against 80  $\mu$ L of reservoir solution. Each reservoir solution differed: for selenomethionine crystals, the reservoir solution was 0.2 M ammonium nitrate, 20% PEG-3350, pH = 7.5; for **1312** crystals, the reservoir solution was 25% PEG-1500, 100 mM MMT buffer, pH = 5.0; for **1415** crystals, the reservoir solution was 25% PEG-1500, 7% 10x PCB, pH = 4.0, 3% 10x PCB, pH = 9.0; and for **1433** crystals, the reservoir solution was 0.2 M ammonium acetate, 0.1 M sodium acetate trihydrate, pH = 4.6, 30% PEG-4000. All crystals were cryo-protected in a solution containing 80% reservoir solution and 20% ethylene glycol.

## X-ray diffraction and structure determination

Data for BmMetRS with selenomethionine were collected at 100 K on a Rayonix MX-225 detector at a wavelength of 0.9787 Å on beamline 21-ID-F at the Advanced Photon Source (APS, Argonne, IL). Data for BmMetRS with 1312 were collected at 100 K on an ADSC Quantum 210R detector at a wavelength of 0.95370 Å on beamline MX1 at the Australian Synchrotron (AUS, Clayton, Victoria). Data for BmMetRS with 1415 were collected at 100 K on a Rayonix MX-225 detector at a wavelength of 0.9786 Å on beamline 21-ID-F at the Advanced Photon Source (APS). Data for BmMetRS with 1433 were collected at 100 K on a Rayonix MX-300 detector at a wavelength of 0.9786 Å on beamline 21-ID-G at the Advanced Photon Source (APS). For all datasets, indexing and integration were carried out using XDS and the scaling of the intensity data was accomplished with XSCALE [29]. For BmMetRS with selenomethionine, the structure was solved using molecular replacement with Phaser [30] with 2X1L as a starting model. All subsequent structures were solved using Fourier synthesis with Refmac5 [31] with the *Bm*MetRS with selenomethionine structure providing the phases. For all structures except the 1312 structure, refinement was carried out using Refmac5, TLS [32] and Coot [33]. For the 1312 structure, the final refinement was carried out with Phenix [34]. All structures were quality checked by Molprobity [35].

## Results

# Activity of aminoquinolone and urea-based inhibitors in thermal stability assay and inhibition of *Bm*MetRS in enzyme activity assay

A set of 100 aminoquinolone and urea-based inhibitors were screened by thermal shift assays as an indicator of binding affinity to *Bm*MetRS [12, 20]. The melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) of Apo (unbound) *Bm*MetRS was 60.6°C. A significant temperature shift ( $\Delta T_m$ ) of 7.8°C was observed for compound **1312**. We also identified 2 other compounds, **1415** and **1433**, exhibiting  $\Delta T_m$ s of 3.1°C and 2.5°C respectively (Fig 1).

Previous studies have shown good correlations between binding affinities predicted by thermal shift and direct measurement of compound activity [36]. Preliminary determination of BmMetRS and E. coli MetRS (EcMetRS) IC<sub>50</sub> values were then performed using a non-radioactive readout, Kinase-Glo<sup>®</sup> (Promega, Madison, WI) which measures changes in initial ATP concentration using enzyme concentration of 50 nM in the reaction mixture. The sensitivity of



Fig 1. Comparative analysis of thermal stability plots of recombinant *Bm*MetRS with ligands. Thermal shift assays  $T_m$  curves for apo form (control) of the *Bm*MetRS (red plot) and inhibitors bound with 1312 (amber), 1433 (blue) and 1415 (green) plots. A significant shift in melting temperature ( $\Delta T_m$ ) of 7.8°C was observed when compound 1312 was complexed with *Bm*MetRS compared to the Apo *Bm*MetRS (unbound). Two other compounds, 1415 and 1433, exhibited  $\Delta T_m$ s of 3.1°C and 2.5°C respectively.

PLOS ONE

this preliminary ATP-depletion assay was optimized based on consumption of around 85% [37] of initial concentration of 1  $\mu$ M. This ATP concentration is lower than the K<sub>m</sub> of 85  $\mu$ M previously reported for a similar human mitochondrial MetRS or K<sub>m</sub> of 20  $\mu$ M from *Ec*MetRS [37, 38]. Using the ATP-depletion assay, 50 nM of enzyme gave the best signal range for IC<sub>50</sub> determination which is consistent with previous findings [37]. The IC<sub>50</sub> values for the inhibitors tested by this method were found to be 302 nM, 2,887 nM and 7,369 nM for **1312**, **1433** and **1415** respectively (Fig 2). Finally using the aminoacylation enzyme inhibition assay, direct measurement of [<sup>3</sup>H]-L-methionine incorporation into charged aminoacylated tRNA by *Bm*MetRS (2 nM final concentration) in the presence of inhibitors was determined. Compound **1312** IC<sub>50</sub> value for *Bm*MetRS determined using the aminoacylation assay was 6 nM compared to 272 nM for **1433** and 1657 nM for **1415** (Fig 2).

#### B. melitensis growth inhibition

Growth inhibitory effects on *B. melitensis* by MetRS inhibitors were initially assessed based on compound  $IC_{50}$  values for *Bm*MetRS. The assay of compound inhibition of bacterial growth was performed using different quantity levels of compound concentrations: **1312**: low (0.376 µg/mL), medium (3.76 µg/mL) and high (37.6 µg/mL); **1415** low (0.716 µg/mL), medium (7.16 µg/mL) and high (71.6 µg/mL); and **1433** low (0.696 µg/mL), medium (6.96 µg/mL) and





Compound	<i>Brucella</i> MIC (μg/mL)	<i>Brucella</i> MIC (μM)	CRL- 8155 control CC₅₀ (µM)	HepG2 control CC₅₀ (µM)	<i>Bm</i> MetRS Kinase- Glo IC₅₀ (μM)	<i>Ec</i> MetRS Kinase- Glo IC₅₀ (μM)	<i>Bm</i> MetRS aminoacyl ation IC₅₀ (μM)	MetRS (Human Mito) aminoacyl ation IC₅₀ (μM)	
1312	28.96	76.96	>20 <sup>12,46</sup>	>20 <sup>46</sup>	0.302	>20	0.006	0.009 <sup>46</sup>	
1415	53.93	155.04	20 <sup>20</sup>	20 <sup>20</sup>	7.369	>20	1.657	8.3 <sup>20</sup>	
1433	38.67	107.93	12 <sup>20</sup>	13 <sup>20</sup>	2.887	>20	0.272	4.3 <sup>20</sup>	

Fig 2. Growth inhibition. The lowest effective concentration of a methionyl-tRNA synthetase inhibitor required to inhibit the growth of *B. melitensis* strain 16M (MIC) was assessed from values observed over concentrations ranging from 0.716–71.6  $\mu$ g/mL for compound 1433, 0.696–69.6  $\mu$ g/mL for 1415 while 1312 was determined at inhibitor concentration 0.865–37.6  $\mu$ g/mL. Average growth (OD<sub>450</sub> nm) at 28 hours (late log phase), 37°C, in *Brucella* minimal medium was measured. Inhibitor 1415 MIC = 53.93 $\mu$ g/mL; Inhibitor 1433 MIC = 38.67  $\mu$ g/mL; Inhibitor 1312, MIC = 28.96  $\mu$ g/mL. Control = gentamicin, no growth, 0.7–50  $\mu$ g/mL; DMSO control has no inhibition of bacterial growth. Data table shows MICs from *B. melitensis* growth inhibition and IC<sub>50</sub>s of inhibitors (Kinase-Glo<sup>®</sup>, aminoacylation assays). The characteristics of each inhibitor experimentally measured against human mitochondria MetRS, *Ec*MetRS, human liver hepatocellular (Hep G2) and lymphocytic (CRL-8155) cell lines are also shown.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160350.g002

high (69.6  $\mu$ g/mL) (<u>Table 1</u>). Inhibition of *B. melitensis* growth by MetRS inhibitors was shown to be concentration dependent (<u>Table 1</u>).

Compound **1312** have very efficient ( $\geq$ 95%) inhibition at a concentration of 37.6 µg/mL with identical OD<sub>450</sub> nm reading as gentamicin at 50 µg/mL, while equivalent level of bacterial growth inhibition was observed in **1415** and **1433** at 69.6 and 71.6 µg/mL respectively. Very little inhibition of *Brucella melitensis* growth was observed at the lowest concentration of inhibitors corresponding to no inhibitor control for all three inhibitors. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the lowest concentration of an antimicrobial that will inhibit the visible growth of a microorganism after overnight incubation. The MIC measurements were assessed from growth inhibition values observed over concentrations ranging from 0.696–71.6 µg/mL of inhibitors (Fig 2). The resulting MIC values calculated using Graphpad<sup>®</sup> Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) were similar for all inhibitors (Fig 2). In the case of the

Table 1	OD counts and	wayth inhihitaw	s offeete en D	malitanaia av	magaad to MatD	Cinhihitara
Table I.	OD <sub>450</sub> counts and	growth minipitor	y enects on D.		posed to meth	SIIIIIDILOIS

	OD 450nm										
Compound name/concentration	1433	1415	1312	Gentamycin (50µg/ml)	No compound						
High	0.116	0.124	0.13	0.12±0.002	0.4507±0.0111						
Medium	0.235	0.386	0.34								
Low	0.432	0.45	0.45								

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160350.t001

1433 inhibitor the MIC is 38.67  $\mu g/mL$ , for 1415 the MIC is 53.93  $\mu g/mL$  and for 1312, it is 28.96  $\mu g/mL$ .

#### Structure of BmMetRS bound to substrate analog SeMet-

*Bm*MetRS incubated with the substrate analog selenomethionine (SeMet) crystallized into space group  $P2_1$  and diffracted to 2.65 Å with three copies in the asymmetric unit. Each copy is similar to each other with RMSDs of 0.39 Å between chain A and B, 0.42 Å between chains A and C, and 0.23 Å between chains B and C. Data collection and refinement statistics are presented in <u>Table 2</u>. *Bm*MetRS is characterized by the structural motifs typically found in MetRSs from other organisms: a Rossman fold catalytic domain (red), inserted connective peptide (CP) domain (blue), a stem-contact fold (SCF) domain (magenta), and an anti-codon binding  $\alpha$ -helix bundle (green) (Fig 3a and 3b).

Clear electron density for SeMet was observed in each copy of *Bm*MetRS, and anomalous difference density for the selenium atom was present above the 15  $\sigma$  contour level in all three

Crystal	SeMet	1312	1415	1433
PDB code	4DLP	5K0S	5K0T	4PLY2
Data collection				
Space Group	P 1 21 1	P 1	P 1	P 1
Cell dimensions				
a, b, c (Å)	116.25, 77.62, 116.27	45.27, 99.74, 104.63	45.16, 99.65, 104.30	45.01, 99.48, 104.00
Α, β, γ (°)	90, 119.67, 90	110.58, 87.63, 99.91	110.46, 88.09, 99.72	110.47, 87.24, 99.99
Resolution range (Å)	50.00–2.65 (2.72– 2.65)	50.00–2.40 (2.46– 2.40)	50.00–2.60 (2.67– 2.60)	50.00–2.15 (2.21– 2.15)
No. of unique reflections	52379	63652	49660	88924
R <sub>merge</sub> (%) <sup>a</sup>	7.1 (48.6)	6.4 (52.5)	7.5 (47.0)	6.6 (53.6)
Redudancy <sup>a</sup>	3.7 (3.7)	2.4 (2.4)	2.4 (2.4)	3.9 (4.0)
Completeness (%) <sup>a</sup>	99.3 (99.5)	96.3 (85.2)	96.0 (97.5)	98.2 (97.3)
l/σl <sup>a</sup>	15.21 (2.63)	11.43 (2.13)	10.12 (2.07)	15.76 (2.73)
Refinement				
Resolution range	50.00–2.65 (2.72– 2.65)	50.00–2.40 (2.46– 2.40)	50.00–2.60 (2.67– 2.60)	50.00–2.15 (2.21– 2.15)
No. of protein atoms	10934	11469	11189	11480
No. of water molecules	88	219	169	135
R <sub>cryst</sub> (%)	19.7	21.0	25.6	17.8
R <sub>free</sub> (%)	23.7	25.6	28.6	21.1
Root-mean-square deviations from ideal stereochemistry				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.012	0.003	0.008	0.011
Bond angles (°)	1.421	0.577	1.183	1.384
Mean B factor (all atoms) (Å <sup>2</sup> )	48.25	43.29	49.76	35.98
Ramachandran plot				
Favored region (%)	96.87	98.77	98.67	97.43
Allowed regions (%)	3.13	1.23	1.33	2.57
Outlier regions (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clashscore	2.3	2.5	0.46	0.79
Molprobity Score	1.18	1.15	0.74	0.75

Table 2. Data collection and model refinement statistics.

<sup>a</sup> Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160350.t002



Fig 3. Structures of MetRS: A. MetRS bound to SeMet. Structural features of *Bm*MetRS include a catalytic domain formed by a Rossmann fold (red), inserted connective peptide (CP) zinc finger domain (blue), a stem-contact fold (SCF) domain (magenta), and an anti-codon binding  $\alpha$ -helix bundle (green). B. Overlay of MetRS bound to SeMet and MetRS bound to 1312. MetRS bound to 1312 is colored in yellow. Domains in MetRS bound to SeMet are colored identically to Fig 3a above. Movement of the CP domain is highlighted by the arrow.

copies of the protein in the asymmetric unit. SeMet binds in the pocket formed by Tyr14, Asp51, Val229, Trp230, Ala233, Leu234, Asn236, and Tyr237. It makes hydrogen bonds with the side chain of Asp51 and the main chain carbonyl of Ile12 (Fig 4a).

#### BmMetRS in complex with inhibitors

In the presence of inhibitors, BmMetRS crystallized in P1 with nearly identical unit cell dimensions despite differing crystallization conditions. In each structure there were three copies in the asymmetric unit that were roughly equivalent to each other with average internal RMSDs of 0.81, 0.35, 0.64 for **1312**, **1415**, and **1433** respectively (Fig 4b-4d). Binding of each inhibitor





Fig 4. Crystal structure of *Bm*MetRS in-complex with selenomethione and three different inhibitors to define structural **pockets.** The binding of each ligand; selenomethionine (Fig 4a), **1312** (Fig 4b), **1415** (Fig 4c) and **1433** (Fig 4d) in the crystal structure at the active site causes similar conformational changes to the residues surrounding the methionine-binding site.

causes similar conformational changes to the residues surrounding the methionine-binding site (benzyl pocket Fig 5). Although the changes are similar in the presence of all three molecules, they are different relative to the conformational pose observed in the presence of substrate analog selenomethionine. Tyr14, which in the SeMet-bound structure forms one face of the binding pocket, swings ~50° to open up the binding pocket deeper into the Rossman fold domain. This allows for Asp51 to make hydrogen bonds to the "urea-core" of each compound, coordinating the tail end into the newly opened pocket (quinolone pocket Fig 5). With the tail end coordinated into the linker region, the head fits into the methionine-binding site. To accommodate each substituted phenyl groups of the inhibitors, Trp230 rotates out and away to create the necessary space (Fig 4a-4d).

MSREKYYITTAIAYPNGKPHIGHAYELIATDAMARFQRINGMDVYFLT	48	Brucella
FENLSVQEAADFLRCPRVYKVSAKVEKVFFVTSPIYYVNAAPHIGHVYSTLITDVIGRYHRVKGERVFALT	284	T. brucei
FDVLSVQECGDFLRVPQTYEVSPKKQKVFFATTPIYYVNASPHIGHVYSTLIVDVLGRYHRVKGEEVFVMT	253	L. major
GTDEHGIKMLOSARKEGITERDLADRNTSAFRRMAEVLNSSNDDYIRTSEERHYKASQAINQAMVANGDIY	119	Brucella
GTDEHGOKVAEAAKQKQVSPYDFTAAVAGEFKKCFEQMDYSIDYFIRTTNEQHKAVVKELWTKLEQKGDIY	355	T. brucei
GTDEHGOKVAEAAAKQGVSPMDFTTSVSSEFKQCFQEMNYDMNYFIRTTNPTHEKLVQDIWKKLAAKGDIY	324	L. major
100 KGGYAGWYSYRDEAYYGEEETEVRADGVRYGPQGTPVEWVEEESYFFRLSAYQDKLLDLYENNPGF LGRYEGWYSISDESFLTPQNITDGVDKDGNPCKVSLESGHVVTWVSEENYMFRLSAFRERLLEWYHANPGC LGKYEGWYSVSDESFLTAQNVADGVDRDGKPCKVSLESGHVVTWVEEENYMFRLSAFRERLLKYFHDHPNC	185 426 395	Brucella T. brucei L. major
IMEAERRNEIVSFVKSGLKDLSISFTTFDMGIPVPGDEKHVMYVWVDAITNYITALGYPD	245	Brucella
IVPEFRREVIRAVEKGLPDLSVSKKETLHNMAIPVPGNPDHCVYVWLDAITNYLTGSRLRVDESGKEVS	497	T. brucei
IVPEFRREVIKTVEKGLFDLSISKKESVNNWSIPVPGDERHCIYVWLDAIFNYYTGALTRVATDGTE.T	465	L. major
TTDERWAYWPANAHIIGKTISRFHAVYWPAFLMSAQLPLPKRVFAHGFLFNRGEKMSKSVGNVIDFFEL	314	Brucella
LVDDFNELERFPADVHVIGKDTLKFHAIYWPAFLLSAGLPLPKKIVAHGWWTKDRKKISKSLGNVFDPVEK	568	T. brucei
LDEDHHALNRWPADVHVVGKDTLKFHAIYWPAFLMSAELPLPERLVSHGWWTKDHKKISKSLGNAFDPVEK	536	L. major

Seq. No.	11	12	13	14	51	53	54	55	56	213	216	217	226	227	228	229	230	232	233	234	236	237	265	268	269
Pocket #	BP	BP	BP	LR	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	QP	BP	QP	BP						
B. melitensis.	Α	1	Α	Y	D	Н	G	Т	к	F	G	Т	v	м	Y	v	w	D	Α	L	N	Y	1	F	н
B. abortus	А	Т	А	Y	D	н	G	I	к	F	G	T	V	М	Y	V	W	D	А	L	Ν	Y	1	F	н
B. suis	А	Т	А	Y	D	н	G	I	к	F	G	I	V	М	Y	V	W	D	Α	L	N	Y	1	F	н
B. canis	А	Т	А	Y	D	Н	G	Т	к	F	G	Т	V	М	Y	V	W	D	А	L	N	Y	1	F	н
T. brucei	Ρ	Т	Y	Y	D	Н	G	Q	к	н	A	I	С	1	Y	V	W	D	А	L	N	Y	I	F	н
T. cruzi	Ρ	T	Y	Y	D	Н	G	Q	к	Q	A	T	С	I	Y	V	W	D	А	L	Ν	Y	ļ	F	н
L. major	Ρ	Т	Y	Y	D	н	G	Q	к	М	s	Т	С	Т	Y	V	W	D	А	L	N	Y	Т	F	н
E. coli	А	L	Ρ	Y	D	Н	G	т	Ρ	А	G	F	Y	F	Y	V	W	D	А	Ρ	G	Y	1	F	н
H. sapiens mito	Р	T	F	Y	D	н	G	L	к	L	G	T	т	I	Y	v	w	D	A	L	N	Y	1	F	н
H. sapiens cyto	А	L	Р	Y	D	Y	G	т	А	L	G	т	V	F	Y	v	w	D	А	L	G	Y	N	F	н

**Fig 5.** Amino acid sequence alignments within the benzyl and quinolone pocket of *Bm*MetRS, *Tb*MetRS and *Lm*MetRS. Inhibitor binding residues in *Bm*MetRS compared to *Tb*MetRS and *Lm*MetRS are highlighted in red boxes. The most significant differences can be found in residues 211–213 (TTF) of the *Bm*MetRS which are analogous to a larger run of residues (*Tb*MetRS: KRETLH *Lm*MetRS: KRESVM) in the trypanosome structures. Inhibitor interaction with Phe213 within the *Bm*MetRS complex led to different protein geometry relative to the *Tb*MetRS complex. Table shows list of residues within the benzyl and quinolone pockets interacting with inhibitors relative to other MetRS. Sequence numbers refer to the *Bm*MetRS sequence. # LR = linker region, BP = benzyl pocket, QP = quinolone pocket.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160350.g005

Based on analysis of the crystal complexes, compound **1312**'s more potent inhibition of *Bm*MetRS relative to the other 2 compounds may be due to the additional hydrogen bonding made by its ketone group with Asp51 in addition to a water mediated interaction with Tyr228 (Fig 6).

In comparison, there are 6 amino acid differences in the aminoquinolone-based inhibitor's binding pockets of BmMetRS and TbMetRS (Fig 5). Theoretically, they should be mostly inconsequential as a direct visualization of the superposition of the 2 structures would suggest that these 6 side chains should be pointing away from the pocket. This is especially true when we compare the selenomethionine bound (PDB 4DLP) BmMetRS crystal structure to that of TbMetRS, because the methionine substrate bound conformation is different from the inhibitor bound conformation as observed with TbMetRS. However, there is one region of the inhibitor binding site that stands out. Residues 211–213 (TTF) of the BmMetRS are analogous to a larger run of residues (TbMetRS: KRETLH LmMetRS: KRESVM) in the trypanosome



Fig 6. Compound 1312 additional hydrogen bonding of its ketone group with ASP51. The crucial hydrogen bonds in all 3 inhibitors with Asp51 are conserved in all *Bm*MetRS inhibitor complexes. In addition, the 4-ketone group in the aminoquinolone compound 1312 makes water-mediated interactions (water molecule is shown as red sphere) with the phenol hydroxyl of Tyr228.

structures (red box in sequence alignment in Fig 5). Because of this, the interaction of Phe213 with the inhibitor seen in the *Brucella* complex instead involves a different residue (Leu456) and a different protein geometry than in the *Tb*MetRS complex. A superposition of 4PLY2 Chain B and 4MVW Chain A gives a good illustration of this (Fig 7). Previous studies have shown that there are dramatically different responses of crystals to the 2 sub-units of amino-quinolone and urea-based inhibitors [21] hence, it is not informative to compare the exact shapes of the inhibitor binding pocket of various MetRS species. Therefore, understanding of inhibitor affinity within *Bm*MetRS pockets through comparative analysis of the structural-activity relationships (SAR) and computational modeling of X-ray crystal structures in complex with inhibitors can effectively guide optimization for selectivity and subsequently improve pharmacokinetic properties.



Fig 7. A superposition of *Bm*MetRS (PDB ID 4PLY2 Chain B) and *Tb*MetRS (PDB ID 4MVW Chain) bound to compound 1433. A key difference is the interaction of *Bm*MetRS Phe213 which is functionally equivalent to Leu456 in *Tb*MetRS but led to different protein geometry. The *Tb*MetRS structure is shown in blue and the 2 different residues in orange.

#### Discussion

Therapeutic challenges associated with human brucellosis are multifaceted. Treatment regimens normally include two or more antimicrobials, yet the most effective combination and/or treatment durations are still very ambiguous [39, 40]. Varying rates of therapeutic relapses even with prolonged treatment have been reported for most antimicrobial regimens [41]. Relapses to treatment regimens are thought to be associated with the intracellular localization and replication of *Brucella* species in mammalian hosts. Combating intracellular persistence requires prolonged duration of drug treatment, which may lead to the development of acquired drug resistance not only to the targeted *Brucella* spp. but other human pathogens that respond to similar antimicrobial agents, such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. The prevalence of human brucellosis was earlier shown to increase with age in rural communities and with low socioeconomic status [4, 42] similar to tuberculosis. Hence, there is public health concern for a collateral increase of antimicrobial resistance in unrecognized tuberculosis infections with brucellosis therapy, due to overlaps in treatment regimen (streptomycin and rifampin) and prolonged durations of use [6]. Human brucellosis presents clinicians and research scientists' significant challenges that have resulted in appeals for shorter duration of current treatment regimens and development of more effective novel alternative drug options. It is anticipated that suppression of MetRS would be a major disruption to Brucella due to inhibition of initiation and elongation of protein synthesis. Effectively halting protein synthesis should shut down secretion of *Brucella* proteins that are necessary to influence host cells, such as preventing infected host cells from undergoing apoptosis. The aminoquinolone- and urea-based compounds in this study represent a novel class of antimicrobial agents whose synthesis was based on earlier reports of antibacterial activities of MetRS inhibitors [43, 44]. Comparative sequence alignment data (Fig 5) showed that BmMetRS clearly has one knuckle and is in the same MetRS1 family as *Tb*MetRS. Similarly, amino acid residues within the compound binding sites are highly conserved, especially within the benzyl pockets. Hence, huge differences in binding characteristics of BmMetRS and TbMetRS or sensitivity to similar inhibitors are not expected. However, differences in the properties of cell membranes, walls or barriers encountered by chemical entities before gaining access into the cytosolic spaces may influence level of sensitivity of different microorganisms to the same inhibitors. These compounds have shown great therapeutic potential for Trypanosoma cruzi, which proliferates as intracellular amastigotes within murine 3T3 fibroblasts, while showing no toxic effect on the mammalian cells [12]. Effective inhibition of T. cruzi during intracellular growth demonstrates that these inhibitors can act intracellularly [12], overcoming that limitation of brucellosis therapy. Three inhibitors were chosen from a library of one hundred based on a thermal shift stability assay that measures binding to recombinant BmMetRS. The most significant change in thermal shift temperature of 7.8°C was seen for compound 1312, which also gives the best IC<sub>50</sub> value against recombinant BmMetRS. The IC<sub>50</sub>s were higher for the luciferase assay than the aminoacylation assay (Fig 1) due to limitations of the Kinase-Glo<sup>®</sup> assay requiring high ATP and Met levels that effectively compete out aminoquinolone-based inhibitors, while lower ATP/Met levels are required in the aminoacylation assay. The differences in the 3 compounds' IC<sub>50</sub>s did not translate into appreciably different MIC values in the *B. melitensis* growth assay though compound 1312 which has the best inhibitory effect on the target enzyme looks like the best candidate after MIC determination (Fig 1). Since the MetRS inhibitors used in this study were not designed to compete with ATP for binding to the enzyme target, we do not anticipate that the Km of ATP would have any serious effect or could have affected the outcome of the MIC determination. It could however be due to limitations in permeability across the gram-negative membrane by the compound that is more active on the enzyme compared with compounds that are less active on the target enzyme. We have earlier described the poor membrane permeability of 1312 and the optimization strategy of replacing its aminoquinolone group with urea to develop compound 1433 [20, 21]. This led to a considerable gain in cell permeability of 1433 relative to 1312 [20, 21] which may explain the nonlinear co-relationship between enzymatic and cell based responses observed between the two compounds. Similarly, multidrug efflux pumps that exhibit low specificity and confer resistance to several unrelated toxic compounds in varying degree when overexpressed have been reported in *B. melitensis* [45]. These compounds, based on their molecular structures, should have limited to no effects on human cytosolic MetRS as earlier demonstrated for similar compounds[17]. However, the IC<sub>50</sub> values from human mitochondrial MetRS is very similar to that of BmMetRS, especially for the most effective compound 1312. Similarities in  $IC_{50}$  values could be explained with the homology model of the human mitochondrial MetRS overlaid with the crystal structure of BmMetRS in complex with 1433. Most of the residues within the binding site appear to be well conserved,



**Fig 8. Homology model of human mitochondrial MetRS overlaid with the crystal structure of** *Bm***MetRS in complex with 1433.** Very few differences could be observed between amino acid residues within the inhibitor binding site and interaction of the compound in the 2 enzymes. This fits well with experimental data showing similar level of inhibition between *Bm*MetRS and human mitochondrial MetRS enzymes.

PLOS ONE

especially within the benzyl pockets (Figs 5 and 8). Nevertheless, toxicity was not observed in mammalian lymphocytic (CRL-8155) and human liver hepatocellular (Hep G2) cell lines for compound **1312** at concentrations above 20  $\mu$ M (Fig 1), nor in mice injected with 50 mg/kg of body weight [12, 46]. This suggests the compound probably gets into the cytosol with no inhibitory effects on human cytosolic MetRS, but may have very limited or no interaction with mitochondrial MetRS due to multiple barriers including mitochondrial membrane layers. Other reasons for the absence of toxicity of these compounds in the mouse experimental model or in

*in vitro* human cell assays despite potent inhibition of human mitochondrial MetRS have previously been described [12].

#### Conclusion

This study presents data showing that specific inhibition of *Bm*MetRS by drug-like molecules could be detrimental to the viability of the bacteria, *Brucella melitensis*. Since only one MetRS has been identified in *Brucella spp.*, complementary rescue of essential pathways affected by inhibition of *Bm*MetRS by a second MetRS is very unlikely [15] making this a promising target for brucellosis drug development. Further studies needed to optimize lead compound potency, efficacy and safety as well as determine the pharmacokinetics, optimal dosage, and duration for effective treatment are ongoing. Alterations for optimization will be based on detailed understanding of inhibitor interactions as demonstrated by X-ray crystal structures and iterative improvement with functional groups needed to enhance potency, selectivity, as well as improvement in gram-negative membrane permeability and pharmacokinetic properties. Given the shared mode of action on protein synthesis, we speculate that combination therapy of aminoquinolone-based inhibitors with doxycycline as an alternative treatment for brucellosis will synergize and significantly reduce relapses associated with insufficient therapy.

#### **Ethics Statement**

Human lymphocytic cells (CRL-8155 ATCC, WIL2-NS) and human hepatocellular carcinoma (HEPG2 ATCC\_HB-8065) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA, <u>http://www.atcc.org/products/all/SCRC-1041.aspx</u>).

#### Acknowledgments

We thank all of the members of the Seattle Structural Genomics Center for Infectious Disease (SSGCID) and especially Peter Myler and Robin Stacy for their leadership. We acknowledge with thanks, helpful discussion on crystal analysis from Dr. Ethan A. Merritt.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: KKO EF WCVV.

**Performed the experiments:** RMR ZZ DMD JBM RC KKO SNH TEE DRD DL SMB LKB FSB.

Analyzed the data: KKO RMR ZZ DMD JBM RC SNH SMB TEE DRD DL EF WCVV.

**Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools:** KKO RMR ZZ DMD JBM RC SNH SMB TEE DRD DL SMB EF WCVV FSB LKB.

Wrote the paper: KKO RMR DMD RC SMB EF WCVV LKB FSB.

#### References

- 1. Young EJ. Brucella species. 6th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 2005.
- 2. Corbel MJ. Brucellosis: an overview. Emerg Infect Dis. 1997; 3(2):213–21. doi: <u>10.3201/eid0302</u>. <u>970219</u> PMID: <u>9204307</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2627605.
- Jennings GJ, Hajjeh RA, Girgis FY, Fadeel MA, Maksoud MA, Wasfy MO, et al. Brucellosis as a cause of acute febrile illness in Egypt. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 101(7):707–13. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> <u>trstmh.2007.02.027</u> PMID: <u>17442354</u>.
- Pappas G, Papadimitriou P, Akritidis N, Christou L, Tsianos EV. The new global map of human brucellosis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2006; 6(2):91–9. doi: <u>10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70382-6</u> PMID: <u>16439329</u>.

- Kaufmann AF, Meltzer MI, Schmid GP. The economic impact of a bioterrorist attack: are prevention and postattack intervention programs justifiable? Emerg Infect Dis. 1997; 3(2):83–94. doi: <u>10.3201/</u> <u>eid0302.970201</u> PMID: <u>9204289</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2627615.
- Frenchick PJ, Markham RJ, Cochrane AH. Inhibition of phagosome-lysosome fusion in macrophages by soluble extracts of virulent Brucella abortus. Am J Vet Res. 1985; 46(2):332–5. PMID: <u>3922258</u>.
- Gross A, Terraza A, Ouahrani-Bettache S, Liautard JP, Dornand J. In vitro Brucella suis infection prevents the programmed cell death of human monocytic cells. Infect Immun. 2000; 68(1):342–51. PMID: 10603407; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC97140.
- Organization JFaAOWH. FAO-WHO Expert Committee on Brucellosis. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1986 Contract No.: 740.
- Ariza J, Bosilkovski M, Cascio A, Colmenero JD, Corbel MJ, Falagas ME, et al. Perspectives for the treatment of brucellosis in the 21st century: the Ioannina recommendations. PLoS Med. 2007; 4(12): e317. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pmed.0040317</u> PMID: <u>18162038</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2222927.
- De Rautlin de la Roy YM, Grignon B, Grollier G, Coindreau MF, Becq-Giraudon B. Rifampicin resistance in a strain of Brucella melitensis after treatment with doxycycline and rifampicin. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1986; 18(5):648–9. PMID: <u>3804888</u>.
- Marianelli C, Ciuchini F, Tarantino M, Pasquali P, Adone R. Genetic bases of the rifampin resistance phenotype in Brucella spp. J Clin Microbiol. 2004; 42(12):5439–43. doi: <u>10.1128/JCM.42.12.5439-5443.2004</u> PMID: <u>15583262</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC535235.
- Shibata S, Gillespie JR, Kelley AM, Napuli AJ, Zhang Z, Kovzun KV, et al. Selective inhibitors of methionyl-tRNA synthetase have potent activity against Trypanosoma brucei Infection in Mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011; 55(5):1982–9. doi: <u>10.1128/AAC.01796-10</u> PMID: <u>21282428</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3088252.
- Kim S, Lee SW, Choi EC, Choi SY. Aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases and their inhibitors as a novel family of antibiotics. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2003; 61(4):278–88. doi: <u>10.1007/s00253-003-1243-5</u> PMID: <u>12743756</u>.
- Deniziak MA, Barciszewski J. Methionyl-tRNA synthetase. Acta Biochim Pol. 2001; 48(2):337–50. PMID: <u>11732605</u>.
- Gentry DR, Ingraham KA, Stanhope MJ, Rittenhouse S, Jarvest RL, O'Hanlon PJ, et al. Variable sensitivity to bacterial methionyl-tRNA synthetase inhibitors reveals subpopulations of Streptococcus pneumoniae with two distinct methionyl-tRNA synthetase genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003; 47 (6):1784–9. PMID: 12760849; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC155832.
- Mechulam Y, Schmitt E, Maveyraud L, Zelwer C, Nureki O, Yokoyama S, et al. Crystal structure of Escherichia coli methionyl-tRNA synthetase highlights species-specific features. J Mol Biol. 1999; 294 (5):1287–97. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.1999.3339 PMID: 10600385.
- Green LS, Bullard JM, Ribble W, Dean F, Ayers DF, Ochsner UA, et al. Inhibition of methionyl-tRNA synthetase by REP8839 and effects of resistance mutations on enzyme activity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009; 53(1):86–94. doi: <u>10.1128/AAC.00275-08</u> PMID: <u>19015366</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2612134.
- Critchley IA, Green LS, Young CL, Bullard JM, Evans RJ, Price M, et al. Spectrum of activity and mode of action of REP3123, a new antibiotic to treat Clostridium difficile infections. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009; 63(5):954–63. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkp041 PMID: 19258353.
- Kim SY, Lee YS, Kang T, Kim S, Lee J. Pharmacophore-based virtual screening: the discovery of novel methionyl-tRNA synthetase inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2006; 16(18):4898–907. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> <u>bmcl.2006.06.057</u> PMID: <u>16824759</u>.
- Shibata S, Gillespie JR, Ranade RM, Koh CY, Kim JE, Laydbak JU, et al. Urea-based inhibitors of Trypanosoma brucei methionyl-tRNA synthetase: selectivity and in vivo characterization. J Med Chem. 2012; 55(14):6342–51. doi: <u>10.1021/jm300303e</u> PMID: <u>22720744</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3480199.
- Koh CY, Kim JE, Wetzel AB, de van der Schueren WJ, Shibata S, Ranade RM, et al. Structures of Trypanosoma brucei methionyl-tRNA synthetase with urea-based inhibitors provide guidance for drug design against sleeping sickness. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014; 8(4):e2775. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pntd.</u> <u>0002775</u> PMID: <u>24743796</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3990509.
- Mehlin C, Boni E, Buckner FS, Engel L, Feist T, Gelb MH, et al. Heterologous expression of proteins from Plasmodium falciparum: results from 1000 genes. Molecular and biochemical parasitology. 2006; 148(2):144–60. doi: <u>10.1016/j.molbiopara.2006.03.011</u> PMID: <u>16644028</u>.
- Alexandrov A, Vignali M, LaCount DJ, Quartley E, de Vries C, De Rosa D, et al. A facile method for high-throughput co-expression of protein pairs. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2004; 3(9):934–8. doi: <u>10.1074/</u> <u>mcp.T400008-MCP200</u> PMID: <u>15240823</u>.

- Studier FW. Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures. Protein Expr Purif. 2005; 41(1):207–34. PMID: <u>15915565</u>.
- Ojo KK, Arakaki TL, Napuli AJ, Inampudi KK, Keyloun KR, Zhang L, et al. Structure determination of glycogen synthase kinase-3 from Leishmania major and comparative inhibitor structure-activity relationships with Trypanosoma brucei GSK-3. Molecular and biochemical parasitology. 2011; 176(2):98– 108. doi: <u>10.1016/j.molbiopara.2010.12.009</u> PMID: <u>21195115</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3045540.
- Crowther GJ, Napuli AJ, Thomas AP, Chung DJ, Kovzun KV, Leibly DJ, et al. Buffer optimization of thermal melt assays of Plasmodium proteins for detection of small-molecule ligands. J Biomol Screen. 2009; 14(6):700–7. doi: <u>10.1177/1087057109335749</u> PMID: <u>19470714</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2819745.
- Plommet M. Minimal requirements for growth of Brucella suis and other Brucella species. Zentralbl Bakteriol. 1991; 275(4):436–50. PMID: <u>1755918</u>.
- CLSI. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 26th ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2016.
- Kabsch W. Integration, scaling, space-group assignment and post-refinement. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010; 66(Pt 2):133–44. doi: <u>10.1107/S0907444909047374</u> PMID: <u>20124693</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2815666.
- McCoy AJ. Solving structures of protein complexes by molecular replacement with Phaser. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2007; 63(Pt 1):32–41. doi: <u>10.1107/S0907444906045975</u> PMID: <u>17164524</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2483468.
- Murshudov GN, Vagin AA, Dodson EJ. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 1997; 53(Pt 3):240–55. doi: <u>10.1107/</u> S0907444996012255 PMID: 15299926.
- Painter J, Merritt EA. Optimal description of a protein structure in terms of multiple groups undergoing TLS motion. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2006; 62(Pt 4):439–50. doi: <u>10.1107/</u> S0907444906005270 PMID: 16552146.
- Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2004; 60(Pt 12 Pt 1):2126–32. doi: 10.1107/S0907444904019158 PMID: 15572765.
- Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010; 66(Pt 2):213–21. doi: <u>10.1107/S0907444909052925</u> PMID: <u>20124702</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2815670.
- Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010; 66 (Pt 1):12–21. doi: <u>10.1107/S0907444909042073</u> PMID: <u>20057044</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2803126.
- Regan J, Capolino A, Cirillo PF, Gilmore T, Graham AG, Hickey E, et al. Structure-activity relationships of the p38alpha MAP kinase inhibitor 1-(5-tert-butyl-2-p-tolyl-2H-pyrazol-3-yl)-3-[4-(2-morpholin-4-ylethoxy)naph- thalen-1-yl]urea (BIRB 796). J Med Chem. 2003; 46(22):4676–86. doi: <u>10.1021/</u> jm030121k PMID: 14561087.
- Pedró-Rosa L, Buckner FS, Ranade RM, Eberhart C, Madoux F, Gillespie JR, et al. Identification of potent inhibitors of the Trypanosoma brucei methionyl-tRNA synthetase via high-throughput orthogonal screening. J Biomol Screen. 2015; 20(1):122–30. doi: <u>10.1177/1087057114548832</u> PMID: <u>25163684</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4378865.
- Spencer AC, Heck A, Takeuchi N, Watanabe K, Spremulli LL. Characterization of the human mitochondrial methionyl-tRNA synthetase. Biochemistry. 2004; 43(30):9743–54. doi: <u>10.1021/bi049639w</u> PMID: <u>15274629</u>.
- Colmenero JD, Reguera JM, Cabrera FP, Cisneros JM, Orjuela DL, Fernández-Crehuet J. Serology, clinical manifestations and treatment of brucellosis in different age groups. Infection. 1990; 18(3):152– 6. PMID: <u>2365466</u>.
- Karabay O, Sencan I, Kayas D, Sahin I. Ofloxacin plus rifampicin versus doxycycline plus rifampicin in the treatment of brucellosis: a randomized clinical trial [ISRCTN11871179]. BMC Infect Dis. 2004; 4:18. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-4-18 PMID: 15214959; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC459220.
- 41. Hall WH. Modern chemotherapy for brucellosis in humans. Rev Infect Dis. 1990; 12(6):1060–99. PMID: 2267485.
- Al-Sekait MA. Epidemiology of brucellosis in Al medina region, saudi arabia. J Family Community Med. 2000; 7(1):47–53. PMID: 23008612; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3439737.

- **43.** Jarvest RL, Berge JM, Berry V, Boyd HF, Brown MJ, Elder JS, et al. Nanomolar inhibitors of Staphylococcus aureus methionyl tRNA synthetase with potent antibacterial activity against gram-positive pathogens. J Med Chem. 2002; 45(10):1959–62. PMID: <u>11985462</u>.
- Jarvest RL, Berge JM, Brown MJ, Brown P, Elder JS, Forrest AK, et al. Optimisation of anyl substitution leading to potent methionyl tRNA synthetase inhibitors with excellent gram-positive antibacterial activity. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2003; 13(4):665–8. PMID: <u>12639554</u>.
- Braibant M, Guilloteau L, Zygmunt MS. Functional characterization of Brucella melitensis NorMI, an efflux pump belonging to the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion family. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002; 46(9):3050–3. PMID: <u>12183269</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC127400.
- Ranade RM, Zhang Z, Gillespie JR, Shibata S, Verlinde CL, Hol WG, et al. Inhibitors of methionyl-tRNA synthetase have potent activity against Giardia intestinalis trophozoites. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2015; 59(11):7128–31. doi: <u>10.1128/AAC.01573-15</u> PMID: <u>26324270</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4604383.