

# Understanding the lung cancer mortality reductions produced by low-dose CT screening—Authors' reply

Stephen W. Duffy,<sup>a</sup> and John K. Field,<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Centre for Prevention, Detection and Diagnosis, Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

<sup>b</sup>Department of Molecular and Clinical Cancer Medicine, Institute of Systems, Molecular and Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, 6 West Derby Street, Liverpool L7 8TX, UK

We thank Dr Furukawa and Prof Hanley for their letters<sup>1,2</sup> regarding our recent UKLS publication in LRHE.<sup>3</sup>

We are in broad agreement with Dr Furukawa about the importance of the absolute benefit of health interventions, and for the most part with the approach. However, we would qualify it with the following remarks.

In terms of the effect on mortality from lung cancer, Dr Furukawa underestimates the absolute benefit. If we take the relative risk of 0.84 (already slightly conservative for reasons outlined in our paper) from the meta-analysis, and multiply 23 by 0.84, we get closer to 19 per thousand with the effect of the *offer* of screening, a reduction of four lung cancer deaths per thousand over 7 years. The effect of actually being screened will be larger.

It should also be noted that the absolute benefit will depend on the risk group targeted, and the 4 per thousand pertains specifically to the UKLS eligible population.

We agree with Prof Hanley on the usefulness of the absolute benefit, which remains relatively constant within a trial. Unfortunately, it is not generalizable between trials as it will depend on the period of observation, the risk group targeted and the uptake of the screening in each trial.

We also agree that the relative benefit does change with time within trials, and we did mention

that the conventional approach of taking the most recent result, which we adopted, is conservative.

## Author contribution

Equal contribution.

## Declaration of interests

JKF has received fees from AstraZeneca (Speaker's Bureau) and advisory boards of Epigenomics; NUCLEIX Ltd. AstraZeneca, iDNA; Grant Support: Janssen Research & Development, LLC. SWD has no competing interests.

## References

- 1 Furukawa Y. Understanding the lung cancer mortality reductions produced by low-dose CT screening. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100255>
- 2 Hanley J. Underestimating the lung cancer mortality reductions produced by low-dose CT screening. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100256>
- 3 Field JK, D V, Davies MPA, Baldwin DR, Brain KE, Devaraj A, et al. Lung cancer mortality reduction by LDCT screening: UKLS randomised trial results and international meta-analysis. *Lancet Reg Health Eur* 2021.

The Lancet Regional Health - Europe 2022;12: 100259

Published online xxx

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2021.100259>

lanepe.2021.100259

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [j.k.field@liverpool.ac.uk](mailto:j.k.field@liverpool.ac.uk) (J.K. Field).